AN OVERDETERMINED NEUMANN PROBLEM WITH A NONLINEARITY

IGNACE ARISTIDE MINLEND AND JING WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the existence of a family of non trivial compact subdomains Ω in the manifold $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for which the overdetermined Neumann boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = \mu |w|^{p-2} w & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\ w = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(0.1)

admits solutions for some $\mu > 0$ and $2 if <math>N \ge 3$ or p > 2 if N = 2. The domains we construct have nonconstant principal curvature, and therefore are not isoparametric nor homogeneous. By this, we establish a non-linear analogue of a recent result obtained by Fall, Weth and the first named author in [14], where the overdetermined Neumann eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian was considered.

MSC 2020: 35J57, 35J66, 35N25, 35J25, 35R35, 58J55

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, we consider the manifold $\mathcal{M} := \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the flat metric and we are concerned with the existence of subdomains $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ admitting solutions to the overdetermined Neumann boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta w = g(w) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\
w = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where η is the unit outer normal to the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and g is a nonlinearity.

When the manifold $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is replaced by the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , problem (1.1) can be viewed as a nonlinear counterpart of a long standing open conjecture by Schiffer [28, Problem 80, p. 688], which states that balls are the only smooth bounded subdomains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that there exist a constant $\mu > 0$ and a solution $u \neq 0$ to

 $Key \ words \ and \ phrases.$ Neumann eigenvalue problem, Overdetermined problems, Schiffer conjecture, Bifurcation .

the overdetermined Neumann problem

$$(\mathbf{N}_{\mu}): \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\ u = 1 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Schiffer's conjecture finds a strong connection with the so called *Pompeiu problem* [2,4,27,29]. A bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is said to have the *Pompeiu property* if $f \equiv 0$ is the only continuous function on \mathbb{R}^N for which

$$\int_{\sigma(\Omega)} f \, dx = 0 \qquad \text{for every rigid motion } \sigma \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^N$$

The Pompeiu problem consists in finding all sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ having the Pompeiu property. In 1976, Williams [27, Theorem 2, p. 186], (see also [4]) proved that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ homeomorphic to the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N fails the Pompeiu property if and only if problem (N_{μ}) admits a nontrivial solution for some $\mu > 0$. In [18], Liu also obtained a new necessary and sufficient condition for a domain without the Pompeiu property. Namely a bounded and $C^{4,\alpha}$ domain with $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ connected fails the Pompeiu property if and only if there is a nontrivial buckling eigenfunction with second order interior normal derivative constant on the boundary.

So far, a lot of works have been devoted to address the validity of Schiffer's conjecture but positive results only exist in some particular cases. In [2,3], Berenstein and Yang proved that the existence of a solution to (N_{μ}) with $\mu = \lambda_2$ (the second Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian) implies that Ω is a ball. Moreover, the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues to (N_{μ}) implies the validity of the conjecture. In the same direction, Aviles [1] proved in 1986 that disks are the only planar and convex domains admitting solutions to problem to (N_{μ}) for any eigenvalue μ less that the seventh Neumann eigenvalue of the domain. In [17], Liu proved that the Shiffer conjecture is valid for domains where additionally, the third order interior normal derivative of the corresponding Neumann eigenfunction is constant on the boundary. This result was extended to overdetermined problems with fully nonlinear operator in [18]. Although Schiffer's conjecture is valid in some specific cases, negative results exist as well. For instance, when one considers the problem (1.1) on periodic domains, Fall, Tobias and Minlend [14] obtained bifurcations of straight cylinders in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ admitting solutions to (N_{μ}) . Also in the very recent work [13], Enciso, Fernandez, Ruiz and Sicbaldi considered a weaker analog of Schiffer's conjecture which claims that among domains with disconnected boundary, balls and annulus are the only smooth bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ admitting solutions to (N_{μ}) which are locally constant on $\partial \Omega$. They obtained a negative answer to this conjecture by constructing a family of doubly connected domains Ω with the above property. We also refer the reader to [12, 15, 26] for further negative results related to problem (N_{μ}) .

An interesting question in the literature of overdetermined Neumann problems is to know what happens when one replaces the linear function $w \mapsto \mu w$ in (N_{μ}) with a general nonlinearity g. This question remains less studied and to our level of information, we could only find a result by Kawohl and Lucia in [16], where they proved provided $g(c) \neq 0$, the boundary of a solution domain Ω to problem (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^2 is a circle if and only if the problem admits a solution having a constant third or fourth normal derivative along the boundary $\partial \Omega$.

We emphasize that both problem (N_{μ}) and the nonlinear version (1.1) can be considered in general Riemannian manifold. In [25], Shklover proposed a generalization of Schiffer's conjecture to an arbitrary Riemannian manifold with the possibility of replacing the condition on the domain to be a ball by the more general assumption that the domain has homogeneous boundary (i.e boundary admitting transitive group of isometries). He then disproved this conjecture in manifolds M of constant sectional curvature by providing examples of solution domains to (N_{μ}) whose boundaries are isoparametric (see e.g. [25, Definition 3]) but not homogenous. It is clear by Cartan's theorem [5] that the isoparametricity property of these hypersurfaces implies that their principal curvatures are constant. It was then tempting to guess that the boundary of a solution domain to (N_{μ}) in any Riemennian manifold is an isoparametric hypersurface. In the recent paper [14], Fall, Weth and the first named author showed that this is not the case by providing counterexamples in the manifold $\mathcal{M} := \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. In this paper, we use bifurcation theory and extend this result to the nonlinear problem (1.1), by considering the nonlinearity $g(w) := \mu |w|^{p-2}w$ for some parameter $\mu > 0$ and $2 if <math>N \ge 3$ or p > 2 if N = 2. We underline that solving overdetermined Neumann boundary problem via bifurcation approach comes with a loss of derivatives. A strategy to overcome this drawback was developed in [14] at least in the context of overdetermined problems and was successfully applied in [13]. The argument we use in this work has the potential to apply for general nonlinearities qsatisfying q(0) = 0 and for which the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = g(w) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

admits a radial solution with at least one critical point. We postpone this investigation to a future project.

To state our main result, we fix $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and define by $C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ the space of 2π periodic and even $C^{2,\alpha}$ -functions on \mathbb{R} , and we let $\mathcal{P}_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the open subset of strictly positive functions in $C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$. For a function $h \in \mathcal{P}_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$, we define the domain

$$\Omega_h := \left\{ (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} : |t| < \frac{1}{h(x)} \right\}.$$
(1.2)

Our main result reads

Theorem 1.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer and $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2 for <math>N \ge 3$ and p > 2 if N = 2. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \ge 3$, there exists a strictly

increasing sequence of real numbers $(\mu_m)_{1 \leq m \leq k-2}$ in (0,1) and for each $1 \leq m \leq k-2$, there exist some constants $\varepsilon_m, \lambda_m, c_m > 0, \beta_m, \delta_m \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, depending only on N and m, and a smooth curve

 $(-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m) \to (0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{P}_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad s \mapsto (\lambda_m(s), h_s^m)$

with $\lambda_m(s)\big|_{s=0} = \lambda_m$,

$$h_s^m(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_m(s)}} + s\beta_m \cos(x) + o(s) \qquad \text{as } s \to 0 \text{ uniformly on } \mathbb{R},$$

and the property that the overdetermined boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w_s = \mu_m^2 |w_s|^{p-2} w_s & \text{in } \Omega_{h_s^m}, \\ \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{h_s^m} \\ w_s = c_m & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{h_s^m}, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

admits a classical solution w_s for every $s \in (-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m)$, which is radial in t, even and 2π -periodic in x. Moreover, we have

$$\left(\lambda_m(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} w_s\left(\frac{t}{h_s^m(x)}, x\right) = U_m(|t|) + s\left\{U_m(|t|) + \delta_m |t| U_m'(|t|)\right\} \cos(x) + o(s) \quad as \ s \to 0$$

uniformly on $B_1 \times \mathbb{R}$, where $t \mapsto U_m(|t|)$ is a suitable radial function defined on the unit ball B_1 of \mathbb{R}^N .

Remark 1.2. We emphasize (see Section 2 below) that the sequence $(\mu_m)_{1 \le m \le k-2}$ in Theorem 1.1 is made of critical points of the unique solution u to the ODE

$$\begin{cases} (r^{N-1}u')' + r^{N-1}|u|^{p-2}u = 0 & in \ (0,1), \\ u(0) = 1, \ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

and we have

$$U_m(r) = u(\mu_m r), \quad c_m = U_m(1).$$

Moreover, the parameter λ_m is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the operator

$$\mathcal{K}_m : C^{2,\alpha}_{rad}(B_1) \to C^{0,\alpha}_{rad}(B_1), \qquad \mathcal{K}_m(u) := \Delta_t u + \mu_m^2 (p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} u$$

with corresponding eigenfunction V_m ,

$$\delta_m = \frac{V'_m(1)}{U''_m(1)} \quad and \quad \beta_m = \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{\lambda_m}}$$

Here $C_{rad}^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$ denotes the space of radial functions in $C^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$ with vanishing values at the boundary of B_1 .

It is obvious that solutions to (1.3) change sign. It is important to note that the study of overdetermined is often related to the existence of sign-changing solutions, but only few results are known for overdetermined boundary value problems in unbounded domains. A part from the current work, we can only cite the references [11, 14, 19],

where different families of sign-changing solutions were obtained in the context of overdetermined problems and in unbounded domains. Interested reader may find existence results for sign-changing solutions in bounded domains in [7, 8, 12, 21, 23].

Related to this paper are Dirichlet counterparts of problem (1.3). In [10], the authors studied problem

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta w = f(w) & \text{in } \Omega, \\
w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(1.5)

for the Allen-Cahn nonlinearity $f(u) = u - u^3$, but in domains that are perturbations of a dilated straight cylinder, i.e. perturbations of $(\varepsilon^{-1} B_1) \times \mathbb{R}$ for ε small, or more in general domains that are perturbations of a dilation of the region contained in an onduloid. Recently, Ruiz, Sicbaldi and Wu proved that there exist nontrivial unbounded domains, bifurcating from the straight cylinder, where the overdetermined elliptic problem (1.5) admits a positive bounded solution for a very general class of functions f, see [24]. Another type of construction has been given in [22], where Ros, Ruiz and Sicbaldi show that (1.5) admits a solution for some nonradial exterior domains for $f(u) = u^p - u$, 1 .

We now explain the proof of Theorem 1.1 while presenting the organization of the paper. Theorem 1.1 is proved applying the Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem, [9]. Following Section 2, we have to solve the problem (2.1) on domains of the form Ω_h defined by (1.2). This is equivalent to solving the λ -dependent problem (2.2) which we rephrase to problem problem (2.14) on the fixed domain $\Omega_* = B_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ with a second order nonlinear operator L^h_{λ} given by (2.13). Under the functional setting in Section 3, we are led to considering the functional equation $F_{\lambda}(u_m + u, 1 + h) = 0$ with unknown functions $u \in C^{2,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega_*})$ and $h \in C^{2,\alpha}_p(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, where $C^{2,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega_*})$ denotes the space of $C^{2,\alpha}$ -functions u = u(t,x) which are radial in t and 2π periodic and even in x and u_m is defined by (2.7). As already explained in [14], the overdetermined Neumann boundary problem comes with a loss of derivatives which prevents the linearization of F_{λ} at (0,0) to be of Fredholm type when defined between classical Hölder spaces. To bypass this challenge, we had to produce a more accurate solution form to the equation $F_{\lambda}(u_m + u, 1 + h) = 0$, which allowed us to express the unknown h as a function of u, see Remark 3.2. By substituting $h = h_u$ in $F_{\lambda}(u_m +$ (u, 1+h), we reduce our problem to an equation of the type $G_{\lambda}(u) = 0$ for some function $(\lambda, u) \mapsto G_{\lambda}(u)$, see (3.8). Note that the unknown $h = h_u$ in this involving first order derivative of u, see (3.6). Since we need $h \in C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$, we therefore have to consider both F and G_{λ} as maps between (open subsets) of new tailor made Banach spaces X_2^D and Y, see Section 3 below. In Proposition 3.3, we compute the linearised operator $D_u G_\lambda(0) : X_2^D \to Y$ and show in Proposition 3.5 that it is a Fredholm operator of

index zero. In Section 4, we show that the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{K}_m: C^{2,\alpha}_{rad}(B_1) \to C^{0,\alpha}_{rad}(B_1), \quad \mathcal{K}_m(u) := \Delta_t u + \mu_m^2 (p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} u$ yields the bifurcation parameter λ_m for which $D_u G_{\lambda_m}(0) : X_2^D \to Y$ has a one dimensional kernel and the transversality condition in the Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem [9] holds.

Acknowledgements: I.A.M. is supported by the return fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and J.W. is supported by Proyecto de Consolidación Investigadora 2022, CNS2022-135640, MICINN. Part of this work was carried out when the authors were visiting the Goethe University Frankfurt am Main. They are grateful to the Mathematics department for the hospitality and wish to thank Prof. Tobias Weth for valuable comments throughout the writing of this paper.

2. The pull back problem

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Recall that we are looking for a nonconstant function $h \in \mathcal{P}_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ with the property that the overdetermined problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = \mu |w|^{p-2} w & \text{in } \Omega_h, \\ w = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_h, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_h \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

admits a solution for 2 <math>(p > 2 if N = 2), with $\mu > 0$. For a parameter $\lambda > 0$, we defined the operator

$$L_{\lambda,\mu}w := \Delta_t w + \lambda \partial_{xx} w + \mu |w|^{p-2} w.$$

Then it is straightforward to check that a function $u \in C^2(\Omega_h)$ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_{\lambda,\mu}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_h, \\ u = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_h, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_h. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

if and only if the function

$$w^{\lambda} \in C^{2}(\Omega_{h}), \qquad w^{\lambda}(t,x) := \lambda^{\frac{-1}{p-2}} u\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{\lambda}},x\right)$$

$$(2.3)$$

solves (2.2) with h replaced by $\frac{h}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

We also observe that in the special case $h \equiv 1$ in (2.2), i.e., the case of the straight cylinder $\Omega_h = \Omega_1 = B_1 \times \mathbb{R}$, a solution of (2.2) is given by u(t,x) = U(|t|) if $U \in C^2([0,1])$ solves the (overdetermined) ODE eigenvalue problem

$$(r^{N-1}U')' + \mu r^{N-1}|U|^{p-2}U = 0$$
 in $(0,1), \quad U'(0) = U'(1) = 0, \quad U(1) \neq 0.$ (2.4)

$$N \ge 3 \text{ and } p \in \left(2, \frac{2N}{N-2}\right) \text{ or } (N=2 \text{ and } p>2),$$

$$(2.5)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 3$, there exists a unique solution $u = u_k$ to the ODE (1.4) and u has exactly (k-1) zeroes $0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_{k-1}$ in the interval (0, 1). Hence, there exists a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers $(\mu_m)_{1\leq m\leq k-2}$, with $0 < r_m < \mu_m < r_{m+1}$ for $m = 1, \cdots, k-2$, such that $u'(\mu_m) = 0$ and $u(\mu_m) \neq 0$. From this, it follows that the function

$$U_m(r) := u(\mu_m r)$$

solves (2.4) with

$$\mu = \mu_m^2$$
 and $c = c_m := U_m(1).$ (2.6)

Consequently, we have a solution

$$u_m(t,x) := U_m(|t|)$$
 (2.7)

of (2.2) (with the constants in (2.6)) in the fixed domain

$$\Omega_* := \Omega_1 = B_1 \times \mathbb{R}$$

In the following, we fix $m \ge 1$, put

$$L_{\lambda} := L_{\lambda,\mu_m^2} = \Delta_t u + \lambda \partial_{xx} u + \mu_m^2 |u|^{p-2} u.$$

Observe that, for a function $h \in \mathcal{P}_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$, the domain Ω_h is parameterized by the mapping

$$\Psi_h: \Omega_* \to \Omega_h, \quad (t, x) \mapsto (\tau, x) = \left(\frac{t}{h(x)}, x\right),$$

with inverse

$$\Psi_h^{-1}:\Omega_h\to\Omega_*,\quad (\tau,x)\mapsto (h(x)\tau,x).$$

Hence (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}
L_{\lambda}^{h} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{*}, \\
u = c_{m} & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{*}, \\
|\nabla u| = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{*},
\end{cases}$$
(2.8)

where the operator

$$L^{h}_{\lambda}: C^{2}(\overline{\Omega_{*}}) \to C^{0}(\overline{\Omega_{*}})$$
 is defined by $L^{h}_{\lambda}u = \left(L_{\lambda}(u \circ \Psi_{h}^{-1})\right) \circ \Psi_{h}.$ (2.9)

Indeed, $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_*})$ solves (2.8) if and only if $u \circ \Psi_h^{-1}$ solves (2.2). To calculate an explicit expression for L^h_{λ} , we fix $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_*})$ and note that

$$[L_{\lambda}^{h}u](h(x)t,x) = [L_{\lambda}v_{h}](t,x) \text{ for } (t,x) \in \Omega_{h}$$

with

$$v_h \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_h}), \qquad v_h(t,x) = u(h(x)t,x).$$
 (2.10)

A direct computation yields

$$L_{\lambda}v_{h}(t,x) = \lambda \partial_{xx}u(h(x)t,x) + h^{2}(x)\Delta_{t}u(h(x)t,x) + \lambda h'(x)^{2}\nabla_{t}^{2}u(h(x)t,x)[t,t] + 2\lambda h'(x)\nabla_{t}\partial_{x}u(h(x)t,x) \cdot t + \lambda h''(x)\nabla_{t}u(h(x)t,x) \cdot t + \mu_{m}^{2}|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{for } (t,x) \in \Omega_{h}$$

Replacing t by $\frac{t}{h(x)}$ therefore gives

$$L^{h}_{\lambda}u(t,x) = \tilde{L}^{h}_{\lambda}u(t,x) + \mu^{2}_{m}|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{for } (t,x) \in \Omega_{*},$$
(2.11)

where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{L}^{h}_{\lambda}u(t,x) &= \lambda \partial_{xx}u(t,x) + h^{2}(x)\Delta_{t}u(t,x) \\ &+ \lambda \frac{h'(x)^{2}}{h^{2}} \nabla_{t}^{2}u(t,x)[t,t] + 2\lambda \frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} \nabla_{t}\partial_{x}u(t,x) \cdot t \\ &+ \lambda \frac{h''(x)}{h(x)} \nabla_{t}u(t,x) \cdot t \quad \text{ for } (t,x) \in \Omega_{*}. \end{split}$$

Here ∇_t and Δ_t denote the gradient and Laplacian with respect to the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and we have set

$$[D_t v](t, x) = \nabla_t v(t, x) \cdot t \quad \text{for functions } v \in C^1(\overline{\Omega_*}).$$
(2.12)

We also note that

$$[D_t D_t v](t, x) = D_t v(t, x) + \nabla_t^2 v(t, x)[t, t] \qquad \text{for } v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_*}).$$

Hence (2.11) reads shortly

$$L_{\lambda}^{h}u = \mu_{m}^{2}|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda\partial_{xx}u + h^{2}\Delta_{t}u + \lambda\frac{(h')^{2}}{h^{2}}D_{t}D_{t}u + 2\lambda\frac{h'}{h}D_{t}\partial_{x}u + \lambda\left(\frac{h''}{h} - \frac{h'^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)D_{t}u \quad \text{in } \Omega_{*}, \qquad (2.13)$$

where we identify the function $h \in \mathcal{P}_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ with the function $(t,x) \mapsto h(x)$ defined on $\overline{\Omega_*}$, and we do the same with h' and h''.

Hence (2.8) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} L_{\lambda}^{h} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{*} \\ u = c_{m} & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{*} \\ D_{t} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{*}, \end{cases}$$
(2.14)

where L^h_{λ} is given by (2.13).

3. Functional setting

In this section, we introduce the spaces where problem (2.14) will be solved. We also derive important results related to the linearised operator of L_{λ}^{h} in (2.13). For fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we sets

$$C_{rad}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_*) := \{ u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_*) : u \text{ is radial in } t \},\$$

 $C^{k,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega}_*) := \{ u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_*) : u \text{ is radial in } t, 2\pi \text{ periodic and even in } x \},\$

endowed with the norm $u \mapsto ||u||_{C^{k,\alpha}} := ||u||_{C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_*})}$. Next, we define

$$X_k := \{ u \in C^{k,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega}_*) : D_t u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_*) \},\$$

endowed with the norm

$$u \mapsto ||u||_k := ||u||_{C^{k,\alpha}} + ||D_t u||_{C^{k,\alpha}}$$

where $D_t u := \nabla_t u \cdot t$. We also consider the closed subspaces

$$X_k^D := \{ u \in X_k : u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_* \},\$$

and

$$X_k^{DN} := \{ u \in X_k : u = D_t u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_* \},\$$

both also endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_k$ and define the space

$$Y := C_{p,rad}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_*) + X_0^D \subset C_{p,rad}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_*),$$

which is endowed with the norm

$$||f||_Y := \inf \left\{ ||f_1||_{C^{1,\alpha}} + ||f_2||_0 : f_1 \in C^{1,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega}_*), f_2 \in X^D_0, f = f_1 + f_2 \right\}.$$

Under this setting, we consider the open set

$$\mathcal{U}_0 := \{h \in C^{2,\alpha}_p(\mathbb{R}) : h > -1\}$$

and define the operator

$$F_{\lambda}: X_2^{DN} \times \mathcal{U}_0 \to Y, \qquad F_{\lambda}(u,h) = L_{\lambda}^{1+h}(u+u_m),$$
(3.1)

where $u_m(t, x) = U_m(|t|)$ is given by (2.7). From (2.13), we can write $F_{\lambda} = F_{\lambda}^1 + F_{\lambda}^2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\lambda}^{1}(u,h) &= \mu_{m}^{2} |u+u_{m}|^{p-2} (u+u_{m}) + (1+h)^{2} |t|^{2} \Delta_{t}(u+u_{m}) \\ &+ \lambda \frac{(h')^{2}}{(1+h)^{2}} D_{t} D_{t}(u+u_{m}) + 2\lambda \frac{h'}{1+h} D_{t} \partial_{x} u, \\ F_{\lambda}^{2}(u,h) &= \lambda \partial_{xx} u + (1+h)^{2} (1-|t|^{2}) \Delta_{t}(u+u_{m}) + \lambda \Big(\frac{h''}{1+h} - \frac{h'^{2}}{(1+h)^{2}} \Big) D_{t}(u+u_{m}). \end{aligned}$$

With this splitting, we obtain as in [14, Lemma 3.3] the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. The map

$$(u,h) \mapsto F_{\lambda}(u,h) = L_{\lambda}^{1+h}(u+u_m)$$

maps $X_2^{DN} \times \mathcal{U}_0$ into Y.

We observe with (2.14) and (3.1) that, if $F_{\lambda}(u,h) = 0$, then the function $\tilde{u} = u_m + u$ solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} L_{\lambda}^{1+h}\widetilde{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{*}, \\ \widetilde{u} = c_{m} & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{*}, \\ D_{t}\widetilde{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{*}. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

We wish to further reduce problem (3.2) to the search of a single unknown variable u. This is achieved from the following remark which provides the solution form to (3.2) by eliminating the parameter h.

Remark 3.2. Extending the function $u_m(t,x) = U_m(|t|)$ to all of $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $L_{\lambda}u_m = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ and therefore, for fixed $h \in C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from (2.9) that

$$L^{1+h}_{\lambda}(u^h_m) = 0 \qquad \text{with } u^h_m \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_*}), \quad u^h_m(t,x) = u_m\left(\frac{t}{1+h(x)}, x\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover,

$$u_m^h = u_m - w_h + O(||h||_{C^{2,\alpha}}^2), (3.4)$$

where

$$w_h(t,x) := D_t u_m(t,x) h(x) = |t| U'_m(|t|) h(x) = g(|t|) h(x),$$

where the function g can be defined by

$$g \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty)), \qquad g(r) = rU'_m(r). \tag{3.5}$$

We have

$$g'(0) = 0,$$
 $g(1) = 0$ and $g'(1) = U''_m(1)$

From (3.4), we can then look for a solution to (3.2) of the form $\tilde{u} := u_m - w_h + u$, with u and h small. Then $\tilde{u} = c_m = U_m(1)$ on $\partial\Omega_*$ if and only if u = 0 on $\partial\Omega_*$, by the definition of u_m and since g(1) = 0. Moreover, since $D_t u_m \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega_*$, the condition $D_t \tilde{u} \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega_*$ enforces

$$D_t u(e_1, x) = D_t w_h(e_1, x) = g'(1)h(x)$$

and therefore

$$h_u(x) := h(x) = \frac{D_t u(e_1, x)}{g'(1)}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (3.6)

From the Remark 3.2, we introduce the linear map

$$M: X_2^D \to X_2^{DN} \times C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad Mu = (M_1 u, h_u)$$
(3.7)

with h_u in (3.6) and

$$[M_1 u](t, x) = u(t, x) - g(|t|)h_u(x), \qquad (t, x) \in \Omega_*.$$

Clearly $M_1 u \in X_2^{DN}$ for $u \in X_2^D$ and moreover, $h_u \in C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ for $u \in X_2^D$ by definition of X_2^D . Hence the linear map M is well defined by (3.7) and furthermore $M: X_2^D \to X_2^{DN} \times C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ is a topological isomorphism, see [14, Lemma 3.4].

We now define the map

$$G_{\lambda}: \mathcal{U} \to Y, \qquad G_{\lambda} = F_{\lambda} \circ M$$

$$(3.8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{ u \in X_2^D : h_u(x) > -1 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then we have the equivalence

$$G_{\lambda}(u) = 0 \quad \iff \quad F_{\lambda}(M_1 u, h_u) = 0$$

$$\iff \quad u_m + M_1 u \text{ solves (3.2) with } h = h_u. \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.3. The map $G_{\lambda} : \mathcal{U} \subset X_2^D \to Y$ defined by (3.8) is of class C^{∞} and for all $v \in X_2^D$,

$$DG_{\lambda}(0)v = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}v := \Delta_t v + \lambda \partial_{xx}v + \mu_m^2(p-1)|u_m|^{p-2}v.$$
(3.10)

Proof. That fact that G_{λ} is of class C^{∞} follows similarly as in [14, Proposition 3.6]. To see (3.10), we first note that by the chain rule,

$$DG_{\lambda}(0)v = \partial_{u}F_{\lambda}(0,0)M_{1}v + \partial_{h}F_{\lambda}(0,0)h_{v} \quad \text{for } v \in X_{2}^{D}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\partial_u F_{\lambda}(0,0) = \partial_u L_{\lambda}^1(u+u_m) \Big|_{u=0} = \Delta_t + \lambda \partial_{xx} + \mu_m^2(p-1) |u_m|^{p-2} \mathrm{id}_x$$

Since by definition $M_1 v = v - w_{h_v}$ with $w_{h_v}(t, x) = g(|t|)h_v(x)$, we get

$$\partial_u F_{\lambda}(0,0) M_1 v = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} M_1 v = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} v - \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} w_{h_v}$$
(3.11)

Next using (2.11)

$$L_{\lambda}^{1+sh}(u_m) = \tilde{L}_{\lambda}^{1+sh}(u_m) + \mu_m^2 |u_m|^{p-2} u_m$$

Differentiating (3.3) and using (3.4), we get for fixed $h \in C_p^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$

$$0 = \frac{d}{d_s} \Big|_{s=0} \left(L_{\lambda}^{1+sh}(u_m^{sh}) \right) = \left(\frac{d}{d_s} \Big|_{s=0} \tilde{L}_{\lambda}^{1+sh} \right) (u_m) + \tilde{L}_{\lambda}^1 \left(\frac{d}{d_s} \Big|_{s=0} u_m^{sh} \right) - \mu_m^2 (p-1) |u_m|^{p-2} w_h$$
$$= \left(\frac{d}{d_s} \Big|_{s=0} \tilde{L}_{\lambda}^{1+sh} \right) (u_m) - \tilde{L}_{\lambda}^1 w_h - \mu_m^2 (p-1) |u_m|^{p-2} w_h,$$
$$= \left(\frac{d}{d_s} \Big|_{s=0} L_{\lambda}^{1+sh} \right) (u_m) - \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} w_h,$$

with $w_h(t,x) = g(|t|)h(x)$. This implies that

$$\partial_h F_{\lambda}(0,0)h_v = \left(\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} L_{\lambda}^{1+sh_v}\right)u_m = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}w_{h_v}.$$
(3.12)

Combining (3.11) and (3.12) gives $DG_{\lambda}(0)v = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}v$ for $v \in X_2^D$, and we obtain (3.10).

In the next section, we will analyse the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = DG_{\lambda} : X_2^D \to Y$ given by (3.10) and provide the required assumptions for applying the Crandall-Rabinowitz Bifurcation theorem [9]. Before, we need some intermediate results.

Lemma 3.4. Let
$$f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega_*})$$
 and let $u \in C^{2,\alpha}_{p,rad}(\overline{\Omega_*})$ satisfy
 $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}u = f \quad in \ \Omega_*, \qquad u = 0 \quad on \ \partial\Omega_*.$
(3.13)

If $f \in Y$, then $u \in X_2^D$.

Proof. We first observe from the properties of the solution of the ODE (1.4) that the function u_m defined in (2.7) is bounded and by standard regularity theory $u_m \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_*)$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_m: Y \to Y, v \mapsto \mu_m^2(p-1)|u_m|^{p-2}v$ is well defined and is a bounded linear operator. Let now $f \in C_{p,rad}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_*})$ and let $u \in C_{p,rad}^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_*})$ such that (3.13) holds. Then

$$\Delta_t u + \lambda \partial_{xx} u = f - \mathcal{M}_m(u) \in Y \quad \text{in } \Omega_*, \qquad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_*.$$

Consequently, applying [14, Lemma 3.7] we deduce that $u \in X_2^D$.

Proposition 3.5. For every $\lambda > 0$, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = DG_{\lambda} : X_2^D \to Y$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

Proof. We observe that $\mathcal{M}_m: Y \to Y, v \mapsto \mu_m^2(p-1)|u_m|^{p-2}v$ defines a bounded linear operator. Since furthermore the embedding $i: X_2^D \hookrightarrow Y$ is compact, defining $\widetilde{L}: X_2^D \to Y$, with $\widetilde{L}v = \lambda v_{xx} + \Delta_t v$, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} - \widetilde{L} = \mathcal{M}_m \circ i : X_2^D \to Y$$

is compact. On the other hand, the operator $\tilde{L} : X_2^D \to Y$, $\tilde{L}v = \lambda v_{xx} + \Delta_t v$ is a topological isomorphism, (see [14, (3.9)]) and since the Fredholm property and the Fredholm index are stable under compact perturbations, the proof is complete.

4. Study of the linearised operator $DG_{\lambda}(0)$

In this section, we further analyse the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = DG_{\lambda} : X_2^D \to Y$ in Proposition 3.3 and study its spectral properties.

In the following we define for $k \ge 0$, the spaces

$$H^k_{p,rad}(\Omega_*) := \{ u \in H^k(\Omega_*) : u \text{ is radial in } t, 2\pi \text{ periodic and even in } x \}.$$

and let $C_{0,rad}^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$ be the space of functions in $C_{rad}^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$ with vanishing values at the boundary of B_1 . We define

$$\mathcal{L}_{m,D}: C^{2,\alpha}_{0,rad}(B_1) \to C^{0,\alpha}_{rad}(B_1), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{m,D}(u):= -\Delta_t u - \mu_m^2 (p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} u$$

12

and denote $V_{j,m} \in C^{2,\alpha}_{0,rad}(B_1)$ the (radial) eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}_{m,D}$ with the corresponding eigenvalues $\gamma_{j,m}$ so that

$$\begin{cases} V_{j,m}'' + \frac{N-1}{r} V_{j,m}' + (p-1)\mu_m^2 (p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} V_{j,m} + \gamma_{j,m} V_{j,m} = 0 & \text{in } (0,1), \\ V_{j,m}'(0) = 0, \quad V_{j,m}(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

The quadratic form associated to the operator $\mathcal{L}_{m,D}: C^{2,\alpha}_{0,rad}(B_1) \to C^{0,\alpha}_{rad}(B_1)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{m,D}: H^1_{0,rad}(B_1) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathcal{Q}_{m,D}(u) = \int_{B_1} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \mu_m^2(p-1)|U_m|^{p-2}u^2 \right).$$

Moreover,

$$\gamma_{1,m} = \inf \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{m,D}(u)}{\|u\|_{L^2(B_1)}^2}, \quad u \in H^1_{0,rad}(B_1) \right\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_{m,D}$ is considered among radially symmetric functions, we can write

$$\gamma_{1,m} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}(0,1)} \frac{\int_0^1 r^{N-1} [u'(r)^2 - (p-1)\mu_m^2(p-1)|U_m|^{p-2}u^2(r)]dr}{\int_0^1 r^{N-1}u^2(r)dr}, \qquad (4.2)$$

with $\mathcal{H}(0,1) := \{ u \in H^1(0,1) : u(1) = 0 \text{ in trace sense} \}.$

In the sequel, we will often use \mathcal{Q}_D for $\mathcal{Q}_{m,D}$ if there is no confusion. With this, we have

Lemma 4.1. There holds: $\gamma_{1,m} < 0$.

Proof. It suffices to find $\psi \in H^1_{0,rad}(B_1)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_D < 0$. Since \mathcal{Q}_D is considered among radially symmetric functions, we can write the quadratic form as

$$\mathcal{Q}_D(\psi) = \int_{B_1} \left[|\nabla \psi|^2 - \mu_m^2(p-1)|U_m|^{p-2}\psi^2 \right]$$
$$= \omega_N \int_0^1 r^{N-1} \left[\psi'(r)^2 - \mu_m^2(p-1)|U_m|^{p-2}\psi(r)^2 \right] dr.$$

Recall that the function U_m solves (2.4). Hence differentiating the equation

$$-U_m'' - \frac{N-1}{r}U_m' - \mu_m^2 |U_m|^{p-2}U_m = 0$$

we obtain

$$-U_m''(r) - \frac{N-1}{r}U_m''(r) + \frac{N-1}{r^2}U_m'(r) - \mu_m^2(p-1)|U_m|^{p-2}U_m'(r) = 0.$$
(4.3)

We also have

$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} U_m''(r) U_m'(r) dr = \int_0^1 r^{N-1} U_m'(r) dU_m''(r) = -\int_0^1 U_m''(r) d\left(r^{N-1} U_m'(r)\right)$$
$$= -\int_0^1 r^{N-1} U_m''(r)^2 dr - (N-1) \int_0^1 r^{N-2} U_m'(r) U_m''(r) dr.$$

Therefore multiplying (4.3) by $r^{N-1}U'_m(r)$ and integrate, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} \left[U_m''(r)^2 - \mu_m^2(p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} U_m'(r)^2 \right] dr = -(N-1) \int_0^1 r^{N-3} U_m'(r)^2 dr.$$

We can take the test function $U'_m(r) \in H^1_{0,rad}(B_1)$ obtaining:

$$\mathcal{Q}_D(U'_m(r)) = \omega_N \int_0^1 r^{N-1} \left[U''_m(r)^2 - \mu_m^2(p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} U'_m(r)^2 \right] dr$$
$$= -(N-1)\omega_N \int_0^1 r^{N-3} U'_m(r)^2 dr.$$

Recalling (2.5) where $N \ge 2$, the proof is complete.

From Lemma 4.1, there exists a number $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma_{1,m} < \gamma_{2,m} < \cdots < \gamma_{\ell_0,m} < 0 < \gamma_{\ell_0+1,m} < \cdots$$

In the following, we denote by $V_m := V_{1,m}$, the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\gamma_{1,m}$ defined by (4.2) and consider the function

$$v_m(t,x) := V_m(t)\cos(x).$$

We also set

$$\lambda_m := -\gamma_{1,m}.$$

Proposition 4.2. We have the following properties.

- (i) The kernel $N(\mathcal{L}_m)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_m := DG_{\lambda_m}(0)$ in Proposition 3.3 is spanned by $v_m(t, x) = V_m(|t|) \cos(x)$, where V_m is minimizer of (4.2).
- (ii) The range of \mathcal{L}_m is given by

$$R(\mathcal{L}_m) = \left\{ w \in Y : \int_{\Omega_*} v_m(t, x) w(t, x) \, dx \, dt = 0 \right\}.$$

(iii) Moreover,

$$\partial_{\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_m} G_{\lambda}(0)(v_m) \notin R(\mathcal{L}_m).$$

Proof. (i) Let $v \in X_2^D$ such that $\mathcal{L}_m v = 0$ in Ω_* . We expand v as a uniformly convergent Fourier series in the *x*-variable of the form $v(t, x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} v_{\ell}(|t|) \cos(\ell x)$. Then for every $\ell \geq 0$, the coefficient function $v_{\ell}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{2\pi} v(t, x) \cos(\ell x) dx$ is an eigenfunction of the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} v'' + \frac{N-1}{r}v' + (p-1)\mu_m^2(p-1)|U_m|^{p-2}v = \lambda_m \ell^2 v & \text{in } (0,1), \\ v'(0) = v(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain from (4.1),

$$\lambda_m \ell^2 = -\gamma_{j,m}, \quad \text{for some } j \in \{1, \cdots, \ell_0\}.$$
(4.4)

14

Obviously (4.4) does not hold for $\ell = 0$. In addition if (4.4) is valid for some j > 1, then $\ell^2 = \frac{\gamma_{j,m}}{\gamma_{1,m}} < 1$ after recalling that $\gamma_{1,m}$ and $\gamma_{j,m}$ are both negative. Hence $\ell = 0$ which is impossible. The equality (4.4) therefore only holds for j = 1 and we obtain $\ell = 1$.

To prove (ii), we let $w \in R(\mathcal{L}_m) \subseteq Y$. Then there exists $u \in X_2^D$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_m u = w$$

That is

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_t u + \lambda_m \partial_{xx} u + \mu_m^2 (p-1) |U_m|^{p-2} u = w & \text{in } \Omega_* \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_* \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

We define $\mathcal{B}: H^1_0(\Omega_*) \times H^1_0(\Omega_*) \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{B}(u,v) = \int_{\Omega_*} \left[\nabla_t u \cdot \nabla_t v + \lambda_m \partial_x u \partial_x v \right] - \mu_m^2 (p-1) \int_{\Omega_*} |U_m|^{p-2} u v.$$

Multiply (4.5) by $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}_*)$ and integrate by parts to have

$$\mathcal{B}(u,\varphi) = \int_{\Omega_*} w\varphi$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{B}(u, v_m) = 0$ and we deduce $\int_{\Omega_m} w v_m = 0$, so that

$$R(\mathcal{L}_m) \subseteq \left\{ w \in Y : \int_{\Omega_*} v_m(t, x) w(t, x) \, dx \, dt = 0 \right\}$$
(4.6)

Finally, we note that $R(\mathcal{L}_m)$ has codimension one by (i) and since \mathcal{L}_m is Fredholm of index zero by Proposition 3.5. This together with (4.6) gives (ii).

Finally, it is clear from (3.10) that

$$\partial_{\lambda}\big|_{\lambda=\lambda_m} DG_{\lambda}(0)v_m = \partial_{xx}v_m = -v_m$$

The proof is complete.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is achieved by applying the Crandall-Rabinowitz Bifurcation theorem to solve the equation

$$G_{\lambda}(u) = 0,$$

where $G_{\lambda} : \mathcal{U} \to Y$ is defined by (3.8).

We consider the smooth map $G_{\lambda_m} : \mathcal{U} \to Y$ and set

$$\mathcal{X}_m := \left\{ v \in X_2^D : \int_{\Omega_*} v(t, x) v_m(t, x) \, dx \, dt = 0 \right\}.$$

By Proposition 4.2 and the Crandall-Rabinowitz Theorem (see [9, Theorem 1.7]), we then find $\varepsilon_m > 0$ and a smooth curve

$$(-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m) \to (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times X_2^D, \qquad s \mapsto (\lambda_m(s), \varphi_s^m)$$

such that

- (i) $G_{\lambda_m(s)}(\varphi_s^m) = 0$ for $s \in (-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m)$, (ii) $\lambda_m(0) = \lambda_m$, and (iii) $\varphi_s^m = sv_m + s\omega_m(s)$ for $s \in (-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m)$ with a smooth curve

$$(-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m) \to \mathcal{X}^\perp, \qquad s \mapsto \omega_m(s)$$

satisfying $\omega_m(0) = 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega_*} \omega_m(s)(t,x) v_m(t,x) \, dx dt = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (completed). Recalling (3.9), we see that, since $G_{\lambda_m(s)}(\varphi_s^m) = 0$ for every $s \in (-\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m)$, the function

$$\widetilde{u}_s(t,x) := u_m(t,x) + [M_1\varphi_s^m](t,x)$$

= $u_m(t,x) + \varphi_s^m(t,x) - g(|t|)h_{\varphi_s^m}(x)$ (5.1)

solves (3.2) with

$$h_{\varphi_s^m}(x) = \frac{1}{U_m''(1)} D_t \varphi_s^m(e_1, x)$$
(5.2)

and $g(r) = rU'_m(r)$ as defined in (3.6) and (3.5). Hence by (2.10) and (2.3), the function

$$(t,x) \mapsto w_s(t,x) = \left(\lambda_m(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-2}} \widetilde{u}_s(h_s^m(x)t,x)$$

solves (2.1) with $\mu = \mu_m^2$ and

$$h_s^m(x) = \frac{1 + h_{\varphi_s^m}(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda_m(s)}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(5.3)

Moreover, by (iii),

$$\varphi_s(t,x) = sv_m(t,x) + o(s) = sV_m(|t|)\cos(x) + o(s),$$
(5.4)

where $o(s) \to 0$ in C^2 -sense in $\overline{\Omega_*}$ as $s \to 0$. Hence using (2.12),

$$D_t\varphi_s(e_1, x) = sV'_m(1)\cos(x) + o(s),$$

where $o(s) \to 0$ in C^1 -sense in $\overline{\Omega_*}$ as $s \to 0$. Using this, we find with (5.2) that

$$h_{\varphi_s^m}(x) = s \frac{V'_m(1)}{U''_m(1)} \cos(x) + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \to 0$$
 (5.5)

and therefore, using (5.3) it follows that

$$h_{s}^{m}(x) = \frac{1 + h_{\varphi_{s}}^{m}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{m}(s)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{m}(s)}} + s \frac{V_{m}'(1)}{U_{m}''(1)\sqrt{\lambda_{m}(s)}} \cos(x) + o(s)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{m}(s)}} + s \frac{V_{m}'(1)}{U_{m}''(1)\sqrt{\lambda_{m}}} \cos(x) + o(s)$$

as $s \to 0$.

Finally, by (5.1), (5.4) and (5.5),

$$\left(\lambda_m(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} w_s\left(\frac{t}{h^m(s)}, x\right) = \tilde{u}_s(t, x) = u_m(t, x) + \varphi_s^m(t, x) - g(|t|)h_{\varphi_s^m}(x)$$

= $U_m(|t|) + s\left(V_m(|t|) - \frac{V'_m(1)}{U''_m(1)}|t|U'_m(|t|)\right)\cos(x) + o(s),$

where $o(s) \to 0$ in C^1 -sense on Ω_* . Then one deduces the desired constant in Theorem 1.1, which completes the proof.

References

- P. Aviles. Symmetry theorems related to Pompeiu's problem. Amer. J. Math. 108, (1986) 1023– 1036.
- [2] C.A. Berenstein. An inverse spectral theorem and its relation to the Pompeiu problem. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, 37(1), (1980) 128–144.
- [3] C. Berenstein and P. Yang. An inverse Neumann problem. J. Reine Angew. Math. 382, (1987) 1-21.
- [4] L. Brown, B.M. Schreiber and B.A. Taylor. Spectral synthesis and the Pompeiu problem. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 23, (1973) 125–154.
- [5] E. Cartan. Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à curbure constante. Ann. di Mat. 17, (1938) 177–191.
- [6] B. Canuto. Stability results for the N-dimensional Schiffer conjecture via a perturbation method. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 50, (2014) 305–334.
- [7] B. Canuto. A local symmetry result for linear elliptic problems with solutions changing sign. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire, 28(4), (2011) 551–564.
- [8] B. Canuto and D. Rial. Local overdetermined linear elliptic problems in Lipschitz domains with solutions changing sign. *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ.* Trieste XL (2009) 1–27.
- M.G. Crandall and P.H. Rabinowitz. Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues. Journal of Functional Analysis, 8(2), (1971) 321–340.
- [10] M. Del Pino, F. Pacard and J.C. Wei. Serrin's overdetermined problem and constant mean curvature surfaces. Duke Math. J. 164, (2015) 2643–2722.
- [11] G. Dai and Y. Zhang. Sign-changing solution for an overdetermined elliptic problem on unbounded domain. Journal f
 ür die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), 2023(803), (2023) 267–293.
- [12] J. Deng. Some results on the Schiffer conjecture in ℝ². Journal of Differential Equations, 253(8), (2012) 2515-2526.
- [13] A. Enciso, A.J. Fernández, D. Ruiz and P. Sicbaldi. A Schiffer-type problem for annuli with applications to stationary planar Euler flows. arXiv-preprint arXiv:2309.07977, (2023).

- [14] M.M. Fall, I.A. Minlend and T. Weth. The Schiffer problem on the cylinder and the 2-sphere. arXiv-preprint arXiv:2303.17036, (2023). To appear in J. Europ. Math. Society.
- [15] L. Friedlander. Some inequalities between Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 116, (1991) 153–160.
- [16] B. Kawohl and M. Lucia. Some results related to Schiffer's problem. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, 142(2), (2020) 667–696.
- [17] G. Liu. Symmetry theorems for the overdetermined eigenvalue problems. Journal of Differential Equations, 233(2), (2007) 585–600.
- [18] G. Liu. Symmetry results for overdetermined boundary value problems of nonlinear elliptic equations. Nonlinear Anal. 72, (2010) 3943–3952.
- [19] I.A. Minlend. An overdetermined problem for sign-changing eigenfunctions in unbounded domains. arXiv-preprint arXiv:2203.15492, (2022).
- [20] K. Nagasaki. Radial solutions for $\Delta u + |x|^l |u|^{p-1}u = 0$ on the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Journal of the Faculty of Science, the University of Tokyo. Sect. 1 A, 36(2), (1989) 211–232.
- [21] A.G. Ramm. Symmetry problems for the Helmholtz equation. Applied Mathematics Letters, 96, (2019) 122–125.
- [22] A. Ros, D. Ruiz and P. Sicbaldi. Solutions to overdetermined elliptic problems in nontrivial exterior domains. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 22(1), (2019) 253–281.
- [23] D. Ruiz. Nonsymmetric sign-changing solutions to overdetermined elliptic problems in bounded domains. arXiv-Preprint arXiv:2211.14014v2, (2023). To appear in J. Europ. Math. Society.
- [24] D. Ruiz, P. Sicbaldi and J. Wu. Overdetermined elliptic problems in onduloid-type domains with general nonlinearities. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 283(12), (2022).
- [25] V. Shklover. Schiffer problem and isoparametric hypersurfaces. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 16(3), (2000) 529–669.
- [26] N.B. Willms and G.M.L. Gladwell. Saddle points and overdetermined problems for the Helmholtz equation. Z. angew. Math. Phys. 45, (1994) 1–26.
- [27] S.A. Williams. A partial solution to the Pompeiu problem. Math. Ann. 223(2), (1976) 183-190.
- [28] S.T. Yau. Seminars on Differential Geometry. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton University Press, (1992).
- [29] L. Zalcman. Analyticity and the Pompeiu problem. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 47, (1972) 237–254.

I.A. M.: FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND APPLIED MANAGEMENT, UNIVERSITY OF DOUALA, BP 2701, DOUALA, LITTORAL PROVINCE, CAMEROON.

Email address: ignace.a.minlend@aims-senegal.org

J. W.: Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

Email address: jing.wu@uam.es