

HECKE ALGEBRAS FOR TAME GENUINE PRINCIPAL SERIES AND LOCAL SHIMURA CORRESPONDENCE

RUNZE WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend the local Shimura correspondence building upon the groundwork laid by Gordan Savin. As preparations, we review part of the type theory of Bushnell and Kutzko which equally applies to covering groups. By adapting the method of linear algebraic groups, we describe the structure of Hecke algebras associated with genuine principal series components and construct the types. In particular, we show each of them shares the same affine Hecke algebra part as one corresponding Hecke algebra of the principal endoscopy group. However, we give a counter example to show they are not isomorphic in general.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Review of Bushnell–Kutzko type theory	6
4. The structure of Hecke algebras	9
5. Local Shimura correspondence	14
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number and F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with discrete valuation $|\cdot|_F$. Let $O_F \subset F$ be the ring of algebraic integers and \mathfrak{p}_F be its prime ideal. Let $\kappa_F = O_F/\mathfrak{p}_F$ be the residue field with cardinality q . Let $\varpi \in O_F$ be a fixed uniformizer with $|\varpi|_F = q^{-1}$.

Let $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ be the group of F -rational points of a connected split reductive group \mathbf{G} over F . Let $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a fixed maximal torus and \mathbf{B} be a Borel subgroup containing \mathbf{T} . Write T and B for the corresponding groups of F -rational points. Denote by $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ the category of smooth complex representations of G . Consider the Bernstein decomposition

$$\mathfrak{R}(G) = \prod_{s \in \mathfrak{B}(G)} \mathfrak{R}_s(G),$$

where $\mathfrak{B}(G)$ is an indexing set consisting of irreducible supercuspidal representations of Levi subgroups of G up to equivalence.

In [Roc98], Roche constructs a type (J_χ, ρ_χ) for G in the sense of Bushnell and Kutzko [BK98] for a principal series component of $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ determined by the character $\chi : \mathbf{T}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Precisely speaking, this means that a smooth irreducible representation (π, V_π) , when restricted to J_χ , contains ρ_χ if and only if π is equivalent to a subquotient of the normalized parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_B^G(\tilde{\chi})$ where $\tilde{\chi}$ is a character of T extending χ . Denote by $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\chi)$ the convolution algebra of compactly supported, ρ_χ^{-1} -spherical functions on G . When χ is a depth zero character, i.e., χ factors through

Key words and phrases. covering groups, types, Hecke algebras, local Shimura correspondence.

$\mathbf{T}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(\kappa_F)$, the structure of $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\chi)$ is described in [Gol90, Mor93]. Roche extends the results to depth positive cases with mild restrictions on p .

Now we shift our focus on the covering groups. Assume that F contains the full group μ_n of n -th roots of unity. Consider a Brylinski–Deligne central extension

$$\mu_n \hookrightarrow \overline{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$$

of G by μ_n which is incarnated by the pair $(D, \eta = \mathbb{1})$, see [BD01, GG18, Wei18] for details. We are interested in the ϵ -genuine representations of \overline{G} , where μ_n always acts via a fixed embedding $\epsilon : \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

It is natural to explore the type theory and the corresponding Hecke algebras for the genuine Bernstein components of \overline{G} . Savin initiated a study on the genuine unramified principal series component in [Sav88]. Assume that $p \nmid n$ and thus fix a splitting s of \overline{G} over $\mathbf{G}(O_F)$. This gives a splitting of \overline{G} over the standard Iwahori subgroup $I \subset \mathbf{G}(O_F)$. Let $\rho : \overline{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the genuine character of \overline{I} such that $\rho(\zeta i) = \epsilon(\zeta)$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_n$ and $i \in s(I)$. There is an isomorphism known as “local Shimura correspondence” between the genuine Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho)$ and the Iwahori Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \mathbb{1}_{I_{Q,n}})$, where $G_{Q,n}$ is a linear algebraic group with the same dual group as \overline{G} , see [Sav04, GG18]. Using a pivotal theorem attributed to [BK98, Theorem 8.3], it is immediate that (\overline{I}, ρ) is a type for the genuine unramified principal series component of \overline{G} .

As suggested in [GG18, §17], there should perhaps be analogous results for other genuine Bernstein components of \overline{G} as well. This is the motivating point of this paper.

We briefly outline the structure of this article below.

In Section 2, we introduce the basic set-up about Brylinski–Deligne covering groups. We recall some properties of the Weyl group and root system. We also review the structure of genuine pro- p Iwahori Hecke algebra studied in [GGKa].

In Section 3, we review the Bushnell–Kutzko type theory, which is applicable to covering groups. We discuss the construction of types for covering torus.

The fourth section and the fifth section contain the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem 4.9, Theorem 5.3). *Apply the restrictions to p as outlined in §4.2 and assume $p \nmid n$. Fix a splitting s of \overline{G} over $\mathbf{G}(O_F)$. Let χ be a genuine character of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ and π_χ be a genuine representation of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ such that $\pi_\chi|_{\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$ contains χ . Let $T_{Q,n}$ be the maximal torus of $G_{Q,n}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{Q,n}(O_F)$ be the maximal compact subgroup in $T_{Q,n}$. Let $\chi_{Q,n} : \mathbf{T}_{Q,n}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be given by $\chi_{Q,n}(y(a)) = \chi(s(y(a)))$ for $y \in Y_{Q,n}$ and $a \in O_F^\times$. Let $\pi_{\chi_{Q,n}}$ be an extension of $\chi_{Q,n}$ to $T_{Q,n}$.*

(i) *There is an explicitly constructed pair $(\overline{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ that is a $[\overline{\mathbf{T}}, \pi_\chi]_{\overline{G}}$ -type of \overline{G} .*

(ii) *Let $(J_{\chi_{Q,n}}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$ be the type constructed with respect to $[T_{Q,n}, \pi_{\chi_{Q,n}}]_{G_{Q,n}}$. There is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism*

$$\Upsilon : \mathcal{H}(W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(W_{\chi, \text{ex}}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}})$ is the affine Hecke algebra part in $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$ and $\mathcal{H}(W_{\chi, \text{ex}})$ is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{H}(W_{\chi, \text{ex}})$.

In Section 4, we define the pair $(\overline{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ for a genuine character χ of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ following [Roc98]. We describe the structure of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$ in Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.8, first considering the case of depth zero and then handling the general case with mild restrictions on p by induction. We show that $(\overline{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ is a $[\overline{\mathbf{T}}, \pi_\chi]_{\overline{G}}$ -type, where π_χ is a genuine representation of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ such that $\pi_\chi|_{\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$ contains χ .

In Section 5, we discuss the relation between $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$ and a Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$ of the linear algebraic group $G_{Q,n}$, which generalizes the relevant results in [Sav04]. We

show the algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$ shares the same affine Hecke algebra part as $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$. However, they are not isomorphic in general and we give a counter example to show that.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Fan Gao for his advice and suggestions. This work would not be possible without his careful guidance. Thanks are also due to Edmund Karasiewicz for helpful comments and informative discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Brylinski–Deligne central extension. Let \mathbf{G} be a split connected linear reductive group over F with root datum

$$(X, \Phi, \Delta; Y, \Phi^\vee, \Delta^\vee),$$

where X is the character lattice and Y is the cocharacter lattice of a fixed maximal split torus $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$. Here Δ is a choice of simple roots from the set Φ of roots.

Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}$ be the Borel subgroup associated with Δ . Let $W = N(\mathbf{T})/\mathbf{T}$ be the Weyl group of (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) . We fix a Chevalley–Steinberg system $\{e_\alpha : \mathbf{G}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ of pinnings for (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) , where $\mathbf{U}_\alpha \subset \mathbf{G}$ is the root subgroup associated with α .

Let

$$D : Y \times Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

be a bilinear form such that $Q(y) := D(y, y)$ is a Weyl-invariant quadratic form. Let

$$B_Q(y, z) := Q(y + z) - Q(y) - Q(z)$$

be the Weyl-invariant bilinear form associated with Q . For $\alpha \in \Phi$, one has

$$(2.1) \quad B_Q(y, \alpha^\vee) = \langle \alpha, y \rangle Q(\alpha^\vee).$$

Let

$$\eta : Y^{\text{sc}} \longrightarrow F^\times$$

be a homomorphism of the coroot lattice $Y^{\text{sc}} \subset Y$ into F^\times .

Let $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ be the F -rational points of \mathbf{G} and we use such notations for other algebraic groups. Assume that F^\times contains the full group μ_n of n -th roots of unity. Then the pair (D, η) gives a Brylinski–Deligne central extension

$$\mu_n \hookrightarrow \overline{G} \twoheadrightarrow G,$$

of G by μ_n . In this paper, we assume $\eta = \mathbb{1}$.

Consider the sublattice $Y_{Q,n}$ given by

$$Y_{Q,n} = \{y \in Y : B_Q(y, z) \in n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } z \in Y\}$$

and $X_{Q,n} := \text{Hom}(Y_{Q,n}, \mathbb{Z})$. For every $\alpha \in \Phi$ we set

$$\alpha_{Q,n}^\vee = n_\alpha \alpha^\vee \text{ and } \alpha_{Q,n} = n_\alpha^{-1} \alpha$$

where $n_\alpha = n/\text{gcd}(n, Q(\alpha^\vee))$. Denote

$$\Phi_{Q,n}^\vee = \{\alpha_{Q,n}^\vee : \alpha \in \Phi^\vee\} \text{ and } \Phi_{Q,n} = \{\alpha_{Q,n} : \alpha \in \Phi\};$$

similarly for $\Delta_{Q,n}^\vee$ and $\Delta_{Q,n}$.

Define the complex group \overline{G}^\vee associated with the root datum

$$(Y_{Q,n}, \Phi_{Q,n}^\vee, \Delta_{Q,n}^\vee; X_{Q,n}, \Phi_{Q,n}, \Delta_{Q,n})$$

to be the dual group of \overline{G} . Let $\mathbf{G}_{Q,n}$ be the split connected linear algebraic over F whose dual group is isomorphic to \overline{G}^\vee . It is usually regarded as the principal endoscopy group of \overline{G} . Let $\mathbf{T}_{Q,n}$ be a fixed maximal split torus of $\mathbf{G}_{Q,n}$.

The covering group \overline{G} splits over unipotent subgroups canonically and G -equivariantly. Denote by $\overline{e}_\alpha(F)$ the splitting of $e_\alpha(F)$ in \overline{G} . With this, define

$$\overline{w}_\alpha(x) := \overline{e}_\alpha(x)\overline{e}_{-\alpha}(-x^{-1})\overline{e}_\alpha(x)$$

and

$$\overline{h}_\alpha(x) := \overline{w}_\alpha(x)\overline{w}_\alpha(-1).$$

There exists a section \mathbf{s} of \overline{T} over T such that for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $a, b \in F^\times$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{s}(y_1(a)) \cdot \mathbf{s}(y_2(b)) = \mathbf{s}(y_1(a) \cdot y_2(b)) \cdot (a, b)_n^{D(y_1, y_2)},$$

where $(-, -)_n$ is the n -th Hilbert symbol.

By (2.2), the commutator $[-, -] : T \times T \rightarrow \mu_n$ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad [y_1(a), y_2(b)] = (a, b)_n^{B_Q(y_1, y_2)}.$$

In addition, we list some relations used in computation. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, $y \in Y$, $u \in F$, $x, x_1, x_2 \in F^\times$. Then one has:

$$(2.4) \quad \overline{w}_\alpha(1) \cdot \mathbf{s}(y(x)) \cdot \overline{w}_\alpha(1)^{-1} = \mathbf{s}(y(x)) \cdot \overline{h}_\alpha(x^{-\langle \alpha, y \rangle})$$

$$(2.5) \quad \overline{w}_{-\alpha}(x) = \overline{w}_\alpha(-x^{-1})$$

$$(2.6) \quad \overline{w}_\alpha(x_1)\overline{w}_\alpha(x_2) = (-x_1, -x_2)_n^{Q(\alpha^\vee)} \cdot \overline{h}_\alpha(-x_1x_2^{-1})$$

$$(2.7) \quad \overline{w}_\alpha(1) \cdot \overline{e}_\beta(u) \cdot \overline{w}_\alpha(1)^{-1} = \overline{e}_{\beta - \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha}(c(\alpha, \beta)u) \text{ with } c(\alpha, \beta) \in \{\pm 1\}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \overline{h}_\alpha(x) \cdot \overline{e}_\beta(u) \cdot \overline{h}_\alpha(x)^{-1} = \overline{e}_\beta(x^{\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} u)$$

2.2. Affine roots and the length function. Let $V = Y \otimes \mathbb{R}$. For each $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an affine linear function $\alpha + k$ defined on V , given by $x \mapsto \alpha(x) + k$. Let $\Phi_{\text{af}} = \{\alpha + k : \alpha \in \Phi, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For each $a \in \Phi_{\text{af}}$, let H_a be the hyperplane on which a vanishes. Let $s_{\alpha+k}$ be the corresponding reflection given by

$$s_{\alpha+k}(x) = x - (\alpha + k)(x)\alpha^\vee.$$

To each $v \in V$, we associate the translation \mathbf{t}_v which sends $v' \in V$ to $v' + v$. Let $\text{Aff}(V)$ be the semidirect product of $GL(V)$ and the group of translations by elements of V . For $r \in \text{Aff}(V)$, we define its action on affine linear functions by

$$(rf)(v) := f(r^{-1}v),$$

where $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an affine linear function and $v \in V$.

An alcove is a connected component of $V - \bigcup_{a \in \Phi_{\text{af}}} H_a$. Let $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ be the set of positive roots with respect to Δ . Then the fundamental alcove A_0 is given by

$$A_0 := \{x \in V : 0 < \alpha(x) < 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi^+\}.$$

The alcove A_0 determines an ordering on Φ_{af} via $a > 0$ if and only if $a(x) > 0$ for all $x \in A_0$. Denote by Φ_{af}^+ the set of positive affine roots and Φ_{af}^- the set of negative affine roots. Let Φ_{max} be the set of highest roots in Φ . Then

$$\Delta_{\text{af}} = \Delta \cup \{-\beta + 1 : \beta \in \Phi_{\text{max}}\}$$

is the unique set of simple roots associated with A_0 . Let W_{af} be the affine Weyl group generated by s_a for all $a \in \Phi_{\text{af}}$. The set of reflections

$$S_{\text{af}} := \{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_{\text{af}}\}$$

realizes W_{af} as a Coxeter group. We know from [Hum90] that the length function l of $(W_{\text{af}}, S_{\text{af}})$ satisfies

$$l(w) = \text{number of hyperplanes } H_a \text{ that separate } w(A_0) \text{ from } A_0.$$

Let $W_{\text{ex}} := Y \rtimes W$ be the extended affine Weyl group associated with G . We extend l to a function $W_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ using the geometric description of length function above.

Denote by $N(w) = \{a \in \Phi_{\text{af}}^+ : wa \in \Phi_{\text{af}}^-\}$ for $w \in W_{\text{ex}}$. It is shown in [Mor93, §1.6] that

$$\text{Card}(N(w)) = l(w)$$

where $\text{Card}(N(w))$ is the number of the elements in $N(w)$, and for $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\text{ex}}$

$$(2.9) \quad l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2) \text{ iff } N(w_2) \subset N(w_1 w_2).$$

In particular for $a \in \Delta_{\text{af}}$, the formula for $l(s_a w)$ is given by

$$(2.10) \quad l(s_a w) = \begin{cases} l(w) + 1, & \text{if } w^{-1}a \in \Phi_{\text{af}}^+, \\ l(w) - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.3. Genuine pro- p Iwahori Hecke algebra. In the following sections, we always assume $p \nmid n$ and fix a splitting s of \overline{G} over $\mathbf{G}(O_F)$. If there is no ambiguity, we write H instead of $s(H)$ for a subgroup $H \subset \mathbf{G}(O_F)$ to simplify notation and we always write \overline{H} for $\mu_n \times H$.

Let $I \subset \mathbf{G}(O_F)$ be the Iwahori subgroup associated with $\mathbf{B}(\kappa_F)$ and I_1 be the unique maximal pro- p normal subgroup in I . We fix the Haar measure $\delta_{\overline{G}}$ on \overline{G} such that $\delta_{\overline{G}}(\mu_n \times I_1) = 1$

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\epsilon}}(\overline{G}, I_1) := C_{\bar{\epsilon}, c}^\infty(I_1 \backslash \overline{G} / I_1)$ the genuine pro- p Iwahori-Hecke algebra consisting of $\bar{\epsilon}$ -genuine locally constant compactly supported functions f on \overline{G} which are I_1 -biinvariant, i.e, $f(\zeta \gamma_1 g \gamma_2) = \epsilon(\zeta)^{-1} f(g)$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_n$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in I_1$. The algebra multiplication on $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\epsilon}}(\overline{G}, I_1)$ is given by the convolution $f_1 * f_2$.

For $g \in \overline{G}$, let $T_g \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\epsilon}}(\overline{G}, I_1)$ be the unique element such that $\text{supp}(T_g) = \mu_n I_1 g I_1$ and $T_g(g) = 1$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\kappa_F^\times, \mu_n)$ we define

$$c_\alpha(\chi) := \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{u \in \kappa_F^\times} \epsilon(\chi(u)) T_{\overline{h}_\alpha(u)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\epsilon}}(\overline{G}, I_1).$$

Let $N(\overline{T})$ be the normalizer of \overline{T} in \overline{G} . Then $\overline{w}_\alpha(1) \rightarrow s_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{s}(y(\varpi^{-1})) \rightarrow y$ give rise to an isomorphism

$$\text{pr} : N(\overline{T}) / \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F) \rightarrow W_{\text{ex}}.$$

We say $\dot{w} \in N(\overline{T})$ is a representative of $w \in W_{\text{ex}}$ if $\text{pr}(\dot{w}) = w$. We can lift the length function l to \overline{G} by setting $l(g) := l(w)$ if $g \in \overline{T} \dot{w} \overline{T}$.

It is shown in [GGKa, §3.2] that the following braid relations and quadratic relations hold for $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\epsilon}}(\overline{G}, I_1)$.

Proposition 2.1. (Braid relations). *Let $g, g' \in \overline{G}$. If $l(g) + l(g') = l(gg')$, then*

$$(2.11) \quad T_g * T_{g'} = T_{gg'}.$$

Proposition 2.2. (Quadratic relations).

(i) For every $\alpha \in \Delta$

$$(2.12) \quad T_{\overline{w}_\alpha(1)}^2 = q T_{\overline{h}_\alpha(-1)} + (q-1) c_\alpha(\mathbf{1}) T_{\overline{w}_\alpha(1)}.$$

(ii) For every $\beta \in \Phi_{\text{max}}$

$$(2.13) \quad T_{\overline{w}_\beta(\varpi^{-1})}^2 = q \epsilon((\varpi, \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)}) T_{\overline{h}_\beta(-1)} + (q-1) c_\beta((-, \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)}) T_{\overline{w}_\beta(\varpi^{-1})}.$$

Remark 2.3. Here $\epsilon((\varpi, \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)}) T_{\overline{h}_\beta(-1)} = T_{\overline{w}_\beta^2(\varpi^{-1})}$ by (2.6).

3. REVIEW OF BUSHNELL–KUTZKO TYPE THEORY

In this section, we discuss briefly the theory of Bushnell–Kutzko types. Although in [BK98] the type theory is studied in the setting of linear algebraic groups, it works almost word for word for the covering groups.

3.1. Bernstein decomposition for covering groups. Let $\overline{G}^\circ \subset \overline{G}$ be the subgroup generated by all compact subgroups of \overline{G} . The set of unramified characters of \overline{G} is defined to be

$$\mathcal{X}(\overline{G}) := \text{Hom}(\overline{G}/\overline{G}^\circ, \mathbb{C}^\times).$$

We consider pairs (\overline{L}, π) consisting of a Levi subgroup $\overline{L} \subset \overline{G}$ and an irreducible supercuspidal representation π of \overline{L} . Two such pairs (\overline{L}_j, π_j) , $j = 1, 2$ are called inertially equivalent if there exist $g \in \overline{G}$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}(\overline{L}_2)$ such that

$$\overline{L}_2 = \overline{L}_1^g \text{ and } \pi_1^g \simeq \pi_2 \otimes w,$$

where $\overline{L}_1^g := g^{-1}\overline{L}_1g$ and $\pi_1^g(x) := \pi_1(gxg^{-1})$ for every $x \in \overline{L}_1^g$. Denote by $[\overline{L}, \pi]_{\overline{G}}$ the inertial equivalence class of the pair (\overline{L}, π) . Let $\mathfrak{B}(\overline{G})$ be the set of all inertial equivalence classes in \overline{G} .

Denote by $\mathfrak{R}(\overline{G})$ the category of smooth representation of \overline{G} . Let $\Pi \in \mathfrak{R}(\overline{G})$ be an irreducible representation. We define its support to be the pair (\overline{L}, π) up to conjugation such that Π is isomorphic to a subquotient in the normalized parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{G}}(\pi)$, where \overline{P} is a parabolic subgroup of \overline{G} with Levi component \overline{L} . The inertial equivalence class

$$\mathfrak{I}(\pi) := [\overline{L}, \pi]_{\overline{G}} \in \mathfrak{B}(\overline{G})$$

is then called the inertial support of Π . For any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(\overline{G})$, we have the subcategory

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\overline{G}) := \{\Pi' \in \mathfrak{R}(\overline{G}) : \text{every irreducible subquotient } \Pi \text{ of } \Pi' \text{ satisfies } \mathfrak{I}(\Pi) = \mathfrak{s}\}$$

of $\mathfrak{R}(\overline{G})$. As in the linear case, we have the Bernstein decomposition for covering groups

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{R}(\overline{G}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(\overline{G})} \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\overline{G}),$$

see [FP22, Theorem 7.14].

3.2. Bushnell–Kutzko types for covering groups. Let $H \subset \overline{G}$ be a open compact subgroup, with measure inherited from a Haar measure $\delta_{\overline{G}}$ of \overline{G} . Let (ρ, W) be a smooth irreducible representation of H with character denoted by χ_ρ . For $(\pi, V) \in \mathfrak{R}(\overline{G})$, we denote by V^ρ the ρ -isotypic subspace of V .

Let $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}) := C_c^\infty(\overline{G})$ be the convolution algebra of locally constant compactly supported functions on \overline{G} . We have $V^\rho = e_\rho V$, where $e_\rho \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{G})$ is the idempotent given by

$$e_\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\overline{G}}(H)^{-1} \cdot \dim(\rho) \cdot \chi_\rho(x^{-1}) & \text{if } x \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the full subcategory

$$\mathfrak{R}_\rho(\overline{G}) = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathfrak{R}(\overline{G}) : V \text{ is generated by } V^\rho\}$$

of $\mathfrak{R}(\overline{G})$. One has a functor

$$\mathfrak{R}_\rho(\overline{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(e_\rho \mathcal{H}(\overline{G}) e_\rho) \text{ given by } V \mapsto V^\rho,$$

where $\mathcal{M}(A)$ denotes the category of modules over an algebra A . However, this functor does not give an equivalence of categories in general.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathfrak{B}(\overline{G})$ be a finite subset. A pair (H, ρ) is called an \mathfrak{S} -type of \overline{G} if it satisfies the following property: an irreducible representation Π of \overline{G} contains ρ if and only if $\mathfrak{I}(\Pi) \in \mathfrak{S}$.

If $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{s}\}$ is a singleton set, then we simply use the term \mathfrak{s} -type. The following result is from [Roc98, Theorem 7.5]. The essential ingredient used in the proof of loc. cit. is the Bernstein decomposition, which also holds for covering groups as in (3.1).

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{B}(\overline{G})$ and (H, ρ) be an \mathfrak{s} -type. Then the following hold:

- (i) As subcategories of $\mathfrak{R}(\overline{G})$, one has

$$\mathfrak{R}_\rho(\overline{G}) = \mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{s}(\overline{G}).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{R}_\rho(\overline{G})$ is closed under taking subquotients.

- (ii) The functor

$$\mathfrak{R}_\rho(\overline{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(e_\rho \mathcal{H}(\overline{G}) e_\rho), \quad V \mapsto V^\rho$$

gives an equivalence of categories.

3.3. Construction of types. We briefly review [BK98, §6-8], which gives a procedure for constructing types in \overline{G} from types in a proper Levi subgroup \overline{L} .

Let $\overline{P}_u = \overline{L}N_u \subset \overline{G}$ be a parabolic subgroup with Levi component \overline{L} , where N_u is the unipotent part arising from the canonical splitting. Let N_l be the opposite of N_u relative to \overline{L} . Let $J \subset \overline{G}$ be an open compact subgroup satisfying

$$J = (J \cap N_l) \cdot (J \cap \overline{L}) \cdot (J \cap N_u).$$

An element $\zeta \in Z(\overline{L})$ is called strongly positive relative to J and \overline{P}_u if it satisfies the following:

- (i) $\zeta J_u \zeta^{-1} \subset J_u$ and $\zeta^{-1} J_l \zeta \subset J_l$;
- (ii) for any open compact subgroups $H_1, H_2 \subset N_u$, there exists an $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\zeta^m H_1 \zeta^{-m} \subset H_2$;
- (iii) for any open compact subgroups $K_1, K_2 \subset N_l$, there exists an $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\zeta^{-m} K_1 \zeta^m \subset K_2$;

It is shown in [GSS21, Lemma 2.7] that $Z(\overline{L}) = \overline{Z(L)} \cap Z(\overline{T})$, where $\overline{Z(L)}$ is the preimage of $Z(L)$. It is easy to see that $\overline{Z(L)}^n \subset Z(\overline{L})$ and thus the existence of strongly positive elements is ensured by [BK98, Proposition 6.14].

To proceed, let $J_{\overline{L}} \subset \overline{L}$ be an open compact subgroup and $(\rho_{\overline{L}}, W)$ be an irreducible representation of $J_{\overline{L}}$. Let (ρ, V) be an irreducible representation of J and (ρ^\vee, V^\vee) be the contragredient representation of ρ . We denote by $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho)$ the convolution algebra of compactly functions

$$f : \overline{G} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^\vee)$$

satisfying $f(agb) = \rho^\vee(a)f(g)\rho^\vee(b)$ for $a, b \in J$ and $g \in \overline{G}$.

Definition 3.3. [BK98, §8] The pair (J, ρ) is called a \overline{G} -cover of $(J_{\overline{L}}, \rho_{\overline{L}})$ if the following hold:

- (i) For every parabolic subgroup $\overline{P} = \overline{L}N_u \subset \overline{G}$ with Levi component \overline{L} , one has

$$J = (J \cap N_l) \cdot (J \cap \overline{L}) \cdot (J \cap N_u),$$

and the groups $J \cap N_l, J \cap N_u$ are both contained in the kernel of ρ .

- (ii) $J \cap \overline{L} = J_{\overline{L}}$ and $\rho|_{J_{\overline{L}}} \cong \rho_{\overline{L}}$.
- (iii) For every parabolic subgroup $\overline{P} \subset \overline{G}$ with Levi component \overline{L} , there exists a strongly positive element $z_{\overline{P}} \in Z(\overline{L})$ and an invertible element of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho)$ supported on the double coset $Jz_{\overline{P}}J$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\bar{L})$ be a finite subset. Since each $[\bar{M}, \pi]_{\bar{L}} \in \mathfrak{B}(\bar{L})$ determines an element $[\bar{M}, \pi]_{\bar{G}} \in \mathfrak{B}(\bar{G})$, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{G}} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\bar{G})$ the subset associated with $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}}$ in this way.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $(J_{\bar{L}}, \rho_{\bar{L}})$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}}$ -type of \bar{L} and (J, ρ) is a \bar{G} -cover of $(J_{\bar{L}}, \rho_{\bar{L}})$. Then (J, ρ) is an $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{G}}$ -type of \bar{G} .*

Proof. We sketch the proof following closely [BK98]. For an irreducible representation (Π, V) of \bar{G} , we consider its Jacquet module (Π_N, V_N) with respect to the parabolic subgroups $\bar{P} = \bar{L}N$. One has

$$(3.2) \quad V^\rho \simeq V_N^{\rho_{\bar{L}}}$$

which follows from the same computation as in [BK98, Theorem 7.9]. We need to show that $V^\rho \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{I}(\Pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{G}}$.

Suppose that $V^\rho \neq 0$. By the above isomorphism, we have $V_N^{\rho_{\bar{L}}} \neq 0$. Since $(J_{\bar{L}}, \rho_{\bar{L}})$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}}$ -type, we see that Π_N has an irreducible subquotient π with $\mathfrak{I}(\pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}}$. By Frobenius reciprocity, Π embeds in the parabolic induction of π and thus $\mathfrak{I}(\Pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{G}}$.

On the other hand, assume now that $\mathfrak{I}(\Pi) = [\bar{M}, \pi]_{\bar{G}} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{G}}$ with $[\bar{M}, \pi]_{\bar{L}} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}}$. By the definition of types,

$$\Pi \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_{\bar{Q}}^{\bar{G}}(\pi \otimes \omega),$$

where $\bar{Q} \subset \bar{G}$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi component \bar{M} and $\omega \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{M})$. Set

$$\tau = \text{Ind}_{\bar{Q} \cap \bar{L}}^{\bar{L}}(\pi \otimes \omega)$$

and let $\bar{P} = \bar{L}N$ be a parabolic subgroup containing \bar{Q} . By transitivity of parabolic induction, Π embeds in $\text{Ind}_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{G}}(\tau)$. Then by the Frobenius reciprocity, Π_N and τ have a composition factor in common. Because $(J_{\bar{L}}, \rho_{\bar{L}})$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{L}}$ -type, we have Π_N contains $\rho_{\bar{L}}$ and it follows from (3.2) that Π contains ρ . \square

3.4. Types for covering torus. Consider the covering torus

$$\mu_n \hookrightarrow \bar{T} \twoheadrightarrow T,$$

which is a Heisenberg type group. There is a natural bijection

$$(3.3) \quad \{\text{genuine characters of } Z(\bar{T})\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{irreducible genuine representations of } \bar{T}\},$$

where $Z(\bar{T})$ is the center of \bar{T} .

More precisely, let $\chi : Z(\bar{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a genuine central character. We can choose a maximal abelian group $A \subset \bar{T}$ and an extension $\tilde{\chi} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of χ . Then the induced representation $\pi_\chi = \text{ind}_A^{\bar{T}} \tilde{\chi}$ is irreducible and independent of the choice of A and $\tilde{\chi}$. It is the unique irreducible genuine representation of \bar{T} which has central character χ .

Since we assume that $p \nmid n$, we can take $A = Z(\bar{T})\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$.

Lemma 3.5. *The pair $(\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F), \tilde{\chi}|_{\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)})$ is a $[\bar{T}, \pi_\chi]_{\bar{T}}$ -type of \bar{T} .*

Proof. We check this directly using the definition of types. On the one hand, for every $\omega \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{T})$ it is clear that $(\pi_\chi \otimes \omega)|_{\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$ contains $\tilde{\chi}|_{\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$. On the other hand, if an irreducible representation π of \bar{T} contains $\tilde{\chi}|_{\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$, then $\pi|_A$ contains $\tilde{\chi} \otimes \omega_0$, where ω_0 is a character of $A/\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$. Note that ω_0 extends to a character $\omega \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{T})$. We have

$$\pi \simeq \text{ind}_A^{\bar{T}}(\tilde{\chi} \otimes \omega_0) = \pi_\chi \otimes \omega,$$

by (3.3). This completes the proof. \square

4. THE STRUCTURE OF HECKE ALGEBRAS

In this section we study the structure of Hecke algebras associated with genuine principal series components of \overline{G} .

4.1. Depth zero case. Let $\chi : \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a depth zero genuine character of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$, i.e., $\chi|_{\mathbf{T}(O_F)}$ factors through $\mathbf{T}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(\kappa_F)$.

The normalizer $N(\overline{\mathbf{T}}) \subset \overline{G}$ acts on characters of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ by $(g \cdot \chi)(t) = \chi(g^{-1}tg)$ for $g \in N(\overline{\mathbf{T}})$ and $t \in \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$. Since $Z(\overline{\mathbf{T}})\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ acts trivially, the action factors to an action of $W_{\text{ex}}/Y_{Q,n} \simeq N(\overline{\mathbf{T}})/Z(\overline{\mathbf{T}})\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ on the characters of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$.

Let $\overline{B} = \overline{\mathbf{T}}U$ and U' be the opposite of U relative to $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$. Consider the Iwahori decomposition

$$\overline{I} = (\overline{I} \cap U') \cdot \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F) \cdot (\overline{I} \cap U)$$

of \overline{I} . We can extend χ to a character ρ_χ of \overline{I} , i.e., $\rho_\chi(atb) = \chi(t)$ for $a \in \overline{I} \cap U'$, $t \in \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ and $b \in \overline{I} \cap U$. Let $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$ be the ρ_χ^{-1} -spherical Hecke algebra consisting of $\bar{\epsilon}$ -genuine locally constant compactly supported functions on \overline{G} such that

$$f(\gamma_1 g \gamma_2) = \rho_\chi(\gamma_1)^{-1} f(g) \rho_\chi(\gamma_2)^{-1}$$

for all $g \in \overline{G}$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \overline{I}$. Let \mathcal{I}_χ be the identity in $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$. Indeed $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$ is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\epsilon}}(\overline{G}, I_1)$.

For $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\chi_{\alpha+k} : O_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \chi_{\alpha+k}(x) := \epsilon((\varpi, x)_n^{kQ(\alpha^\vee)}) \chi(\overline{h}_\alpha(x)).$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $a = \alpha + k \in \Phi_{\text{af}}$ and $w \in W_{\text{ex}}$. Then $\chi_a = (w \cdot \chi)_{wa}$.*

Proof. Write $w = w_0 y_0$, where $w_0 \in W$ and $y_0 \in Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w(\alpha + k) &= (w_0 y_0)(\alpha + k) \\ &= w_0(\alpha + k - \langle \alpha, y_0 \rangle) \\ &= w_0 \alpha + k - \langle \alpha, y_0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $x \in O_F^\times$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (w \cdot \chi)_{wa}(x) &= \epsilon((\varpi, x)_n^{(k - \langle \alpha, y_0 \rangle)Q((w_0 \alpha)^\vee)}) (w_0 y_0 \cdot \chi)(\overline{h}_{w_0 \alpha}(x)) \\ &= \epsilon((\varpi, x)_n^{(k - \langle \alpha, y_0 \rangle)Q((w_0 \alpha)^\vee)}) (y_0 \cdot \chi)(\overline{h}_\alpha(x)) \\ &= \epsilon((\varpi, x)_n^{(k - \langle \alpha, y_0 \rangle)Q((w_0 \alpha)^\vee)}) \chi((\varpi, x)_n^{\langle \alpha, y_0 \rangle Q(\alpha^\vee)} \overline{h}_\alpha(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since Q is Weyl-invariant, we acquire $\chi_a = (w \cdot \chi)_{wa}$. □

Let

$$\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}} = \{a \in \Phi_{\text{af}} : \chi_a = \mathbb{1}\} \text{ and } W_\chi^0 = \langle s_a : a \in \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}} \rangle.$$

By Proposition 4.1, reflections in W_χ^0 preserve $\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$. In fact, let

$$\Phi_\chi^\diamond = \{\alpha \in \Phi : \chi \circ (\overline{h}_\alpha)^{n_\alpha}|_{O_F^\times} = \mathbb{1}\} \text{ and } \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}^\diamond = \{\alpha + kn_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi_\chi^\diamond, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We can show the affine Weyl groups associated with $\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$ and $\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}^\diamond$ are isomorphic.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists $v \in V$ such that $\mathfrak{t}_v(\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}) = \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}^\diamond$.*

Proof. Since $\epsilon((\varpi, -)_n^{Q(\alpha^\vee)})$ has order n_α , we observe that $\alpha \in \Phi_\chi^\diamond$ if and only if there exists a constant $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\chi(\overline{h}_\alpha(x)) = \epsilon((\varpi, x)_n^{-c_\alpha Q(\alpha^\vee)})$ for $x \in O_F^\times$. Then

$$\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}} = \{\alpha + c_\alpha + kn_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi_\chi^\diamond, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Choose a set of roots $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ in Φ_χ^\diamond such that $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_m^\vee\}$ is a set of simple roots in $(\Phi_\chi^\diamond)^\vee$. Without loss of generality, we assume Φ_χ^\diamond is irreducible. Then there are at most two root lengths and we denote by l^2 the ratio of square lengths between one long root and one short root. If $l^2 \nmid n$, we can choose c_{α_i} such that $c_{\alpha_i}/l^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Take $v \in V$ such that $\langle \alpha_i, v \rangle = c_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. If $\alpha^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \alpha_i^\vee$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_\chi^\diamond$, then

$$n \mid \sum_{i=1}^m k_i c_{\alpha_i} Q(\alpha_i^\vee) - c_\alpha Q(\alpha^\vee).$$

Let $l^2(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha^\vee)$ be the ratio of square lengths between α_i^\vee and α^\vee . Since Q is a Weyl-invariant quadratic form, we have $\frac{Q(\alpha_i^\vee)}{Q(\alpha^\vee)} = l^2(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha^\vee)$. If $l^2 \mid n$, then clearly $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i c_{\alpha_i} Q(\alpha_i^\vee)}{Q(\alpha^\vee)}$ must be an integer and c_α is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i c_{\alpha_i} Q(\alpha_i^\vee)}{Q(\alpha^\vee)} + kn_\alpha$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $l^2 \nmid n$, we have the same argument due to the choice of c_{α_i} .

Since $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i l^2(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha^\vee) \alpha_i$, we have

$$\langle \alpha, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i c_{\alpha_i} Q(\alpha_i^\vee)}{Q(\alpha^\vee)}.$$

Thus $t_v(\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}) = \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}^\diamond$. □

Let $A_{\chi, 0}$ be the connected component of $V - \cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}} H_a$ containing A_0 . Let Δ_χ be the set of simple roots with respect to $A_{\chi, 0}$ and $S_\chi^0 = \{s_a : a \in \Delta_\chi\}$ be the set of corresponding reflections.

Let

$$W_\chi = \{w \in W_{\text{ex}} : w \cdot \chi = \chi\} \text{ and } \Omega_\chi = \{w \in W_\chi : w A_{\chi, 0} = A_{\chi, 0}\}.$$

We note that for $a = \alpha + k \in \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$ and $x \in O_F^\times$

$$(s_a \chi)(\mathbf{s}(y(x))) = \chi(\mathbf{s}(y(x))) \chi_a(x^{-\langle \alpha, y \rangle})$$

by (2.3) and (2.4), so W_χ^0 is a subgroup of W_χ . Since W_χ acts on the connected components of $V - \cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}} H_a$ and W_χ^0 acts on them transitively, we have $W_\chi = W_\chi^0 \rtimes \Omega_\chi$.

Denote $\Lambda(w) := q^{l(w)}$ for $w \in W_{\text{ex}}$. Define $C_{w_1, w_2} := \Lambda(w_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(w_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(w_1 w_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\text{ex}}$.

The following three lemmas are adaptations of the results in [Mor93].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $w \in W_{\text{ex}}$ and $a \in \Delta_{\text{af}}$. Suppose either $w^{-1}a > 0$, or $w^{-1}a \notin \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$. Let $\dot{v} \in N(\overline{T})$ be a representative of s_a and $\dot{w} \in N(\overline{T})$ be a representative of w . Let $\chi' = (s_a w) \cdot \chi$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'}$ be the identity in $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_{\chi'})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = C_{s_a, w} \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v} \dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi.$$

Proof. If $w^{-1}a > 0$, then by (2.10) we have $l(s_a w) = l(s_a) + l(w)$ and $\Lambda(s_a w) = \Lambda(s_a) \Lambda(w)$. Thus $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = C_{s_a, w} \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v} \dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi$ follows directly from Proposition 2.1.

Now suppose $w^{-1}a < 0$, we can write $w = s_a w'$ such that $l(w) = l(s_a) + l(w')$ and we take the representative \dot{w}' such that $\dot{w} = \dot{v} \cdot \dot{w}'$. If $a \in \Delta$, by (2.12)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}'} \mathcal{I}_\chi = \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} (q T_{\dot{v}^2} + (q-1)c_a(\mathbf{1}) T_{\dot{v} t_1}) T_{\dot{w}'} \mathcal{I}_\chi,$$

where t_1 is some element in $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$. But $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} c_a(\mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ if and only if $(\chi')_a^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$. Since $\chi' = (s_a w) \cdot \chi$, then $(\chi')_a^{-1} = \chi_{w^{-1}a}$ by Proposition 4.1. Thus if $w^{-1}a \notin \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$, we have $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = q \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v} \dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi$.

If $a = -\beta + 1$ for some $\beta \in \Phi_{\text{max}}$. Then by (2.13),

$$\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}'} \mathcal{I}_\chi = \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} (q T_{\dot{v}^2} + (q-1)c_\beta((-, \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)}) T_{\dot{v} t_2}) T_{\dot{w}'} \mathcal{I}_\chi,$$

where t_2 is some element in $\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$. Similarly, we see $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} c_\beta((-, \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)}) \neq 0$ if and only if $(\chi')_\beta^{-1} = \epsilon((- , \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)})$. This is equivalent to $\chi'_{-\beta+1} = \mathbb{1}$. By the same argument, we conclude that $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} c_\beta((-, \varpi)_n^{Q(\beta^\vee)}) = 0$ if $w^{-1}a \notin \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$.

By the definition of $C_{s_a, w}$, it is easy to obtain $C_{s_a, w} = q$ if $w^{-1}a < 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $w \in W_\chi$ and $v \in W_{\text{ex}}$. Let \dot{w} and \dot{v} be corresponding representatives in $N(\overline{\mathbf{T}})$. Suppose that $N(w^{-1}) \cap N(v) \cap \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}} = \emptyset$. Let $\chi' = (vw) \cdot \chi$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\chi'}$ be the identity in $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_{\chi'})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = C_{v, w} \mathcal{I}_{\chi'} T_{\dot{v} \dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi.$$

Proof. Use induction on $l(v)$. If $l(v) = 0$ then $l(vw) = l(v) + l(w)$ and the result follows from the braid relations of pro- p Iwahori Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} .

Suppose $l(v) > 0$, we can find $a \in \Delta_{\text{af}}$ such that $v = s_a u$ and $l(v) = l(s_a) + l(u)$. So by (2.9), $N(u) \subseteq N(v)$. Let \dot{v}_1 be a representative for s_a and \dot{u} be a representative for u such that $\dot{v} = \dot{v}_1 \dot{u}$. We can use induction to get

$$\mathcal{I}_{(uw) \cdot \chi} T_{\dot{u}} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi = C_{u, w} \mathcal{I}_{(uw) \cdot \chi} T_{\dot{u} \dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi.$$

Now we need to check either $w^{-1}u^{-1}a > 0$ or $w^{-1}u^{-1}a \notin \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$. Suppose $w^{-1}u^{-1}a < 0$, then $u^{-1}a \notin N(v) \cap \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$. But $v(u^{-1}a) = -a < 0$. Then $u^{-1}a \notin \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$ and $w^{-1}u^{-1}a \notin \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$ since w preserves $\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$.

We can verify directly that $C_{v, w} = C_{s_a, uw} \cdot C_{u, w}$. Then using Lemma 4.3, we obtain the lemma. \square

Define $\overline{w}_a := \overline{w}_\alpha(\varpi^k)$ for $a = \alpha + k \in \Phi_{\text{af}}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $a = \alpha + k \in \Delta_\chi$ and $v = s_a$. Let $E_{\overline{w}_a} := \Lambda(v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{I}_\chi T_{\overline{w}_a} \mathcal{I}_\chi$. Then*

$$E_{\overline{w}_a}^2 = \mathcal{I}_\chi + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q-1)E_{\overline{w}_a}.$$

Proof. As shown in [Mor93, §2], there exists an element $w \in W_{\text{af}}$ and $b \in \Delta_{\text{af}}$ such that $w^{-1}s_b w = v$ and $l(v) = l(s_b) + 2l(w)$.

Since $A_{\chi, 0}$ contains A_0 by definition, we observe that $a'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in A_{\chi, 0}$ if and only if $a'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in A_0$, where a' is an affine root in $\Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$. Then we see $N(v) \cap \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}} = \{a\}$ since $a \in \Delta_\chi$. But $N(w) \subset N(v)$ by (2.9) and $wa = b \in \Delta_{\text{af}}$. Thus the set $N(w) \cap \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}$ is empty.

Assume $w = s_{a_1} \dots s_{a_k}$ is a reduced expression for w . We take $\dot{w} = \overline{w}_{a_1} \dots \overline{w}_{a_k}$. Then $\dot{w}^{-1} \overline{w}_b \dot{w} = \overline{w}_\alpha(\varpi^k)$ or $\overline{w}_\alpha(-\varpi^k)$ by (2.7) and (2.8). However, by computation

$$\chi(\overline{w}_\alpha(\varpi^k) \overline{w}_\alpha^{-1}(-\varpi^k)) = \chi((\varpi, -1)_n^{kQ(\alpha^\vee)} \overline{h}_\alpha(-1)) = 1.$$

Thus $\mathcal{I}_\chi T_{\overline{w}_\alpha(\varpi^k)} \mathcal{I}_\chi = \mathcal{I}_\chi T_{\overline{w}_\alpha(-\varpi^k)} \mathcal{I}_\chi$. Then by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\dot{w}} T_{\overline{w}_a} \mathcal{I}_\chi = C_{w, v} \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\overline{w}_b} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\dot{w}} T_{\overline{w}_a} T_{\overline{w}_a} \mathcal{I}_\chi &= C_{w, v} \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\overline{w}_b} T_{\dot{w}} T_{\overline{w}_a} \mathcal{I}_\chi \\ &= C_{w, v}^2 \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\overline{w}_b} T_{\overline{w}_b} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(w\chi)_b = \chi_{w^{-1}b} = \chi_a = \mathbb{1}$, by Proposition 2.2

$$\mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\overline{w}_b} T_{\overline{w}_b} = q \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} + (q-1) \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\overline{w}_b}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\dot{w}} T_{\overline{w}_a} T_{\overline{w}_a} \mathcal{I}_\chi = q C_{w, v}^2 \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi + (q-1) C_{w, v} \mathcal{I}_{w\chi} T_{\dot{w}} T_{\overline{w}_a} \mathcal{I}_\chi$.

Indeed since $\Lambda(v) = q \cdot \Lambda(w)^2$, we can compute that $C_{w, v} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda(v)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which completes the proof. \square

Now we can summarize the structure of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\dot{w} \in N(\overline{T})$ be a representative of $w \in W_\chi$. Let*

$$(4.2) \quad E_{\dot{w}} := \Lambda(w)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{I}_\chi T_{\dot{w}} \mathcal{I}_\chi.$$

- (i) *For each $w \in W_\chi$, choose a representative $\dot{w} \in N(\overline{T})$. Then these $E_{\dot{w}}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi)$.*
- (ii) *For $a \in \Delta_\chi$, $E_{\overline{w}_a}^2 = \mathcal{I}_\chi + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q-1)E_{\overline{w}_a}$.*
- (iii) *For $a \in \Delta_\chi$ and $w \in W_\chi^0$, $E_{\overline{w}_a} E_{\dot{w}} = E_{\overline{w}_a \dot{w}}$ if $w^{-1}a > 0$.*
- (iv) *For $v \in W_\chi$ and $w \in \Omega_\chi$, $E_{\dot{v}} E_{\dot{w}} = E_{\dot{v}\dot{w}}$.*

Proof. The proof of (i) is the same as [Roc98, Proposition 4.1]. The assertions (ii)-(iv) are direct consequences of Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5. \square

4.2. General case. Now we consider the general case in which χ may not be depth zero. The construction of the types and the technique of induction essentially follow from [Roc98].

To use the arguments in loc. cit., we restrict p as follows if Φ is irreducible :

- for type A_m $p > m + 1$
- for types B_m, C_m, D_m $p \neq 2$
- for type F_4 $p \neq 2, 3$
- for types G_2, E_6 $p \neq 2, 3, 5$
- for types E_7, E_8 $p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7$

If Φ is not irreducible, we exclude the “bad” primes appearing in each of its irreducible factors.

For $\alpha \in \Phi$, we define c_α to be the least integer $k \geq 1$ such that $1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^k \subset \text{Ker}(\chi \circ \overline{h}_\alpha)$. We define a function $f_\chi : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ as follows:

$$f_\chi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \lfloor c_\alpha/2 \rfloor & \text{for } \alpha \in \Phi^+ \\ \lfloor (c_\alpha + 1)/2 \rfloor & \text{for } \alpha \in \Phi^- \end{cases}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer $\leq x$.

Let

$$(4.3) \quad U_\chi = \langle \overline{e}_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{f_\chi(\alpha)}) : \alpha \in \Phi \rangle \text{ and } \overline{J}_\chi = \langle \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F), U_\chi \rangle.$$

By [Roc98, §3],

$$\overline{J}_\chi/U_\chi \simeq \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)/(U_\chi \cap \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F))$$

and $(U_\chi \cap \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)) \subset \text{Ker}(\chi)$, we can lift χ to a genuine character ρ_χ of \overline{J}_χ .

First we review a lemma in [Roc98, §5].

Let $\overline{P}_u = \overline{L}N_u \subset \overline{G}$ be a parabolic subgroup with Levi component \overline{L} , where N_u is the unipotent part arising from the canonical splitting. Let N_l be the opposite of N_u relative to \overline{L} . Let (J, τ) be a pair consisting of a compact open subgroup J of \overline{G} and a smooth character τ of J .

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that (J, τ) satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *$J = (J \cap N_l) \cdot (J \cap \overline{L}) \cdot (J \cap N_u)$, and the groups $J \cap N_l, J \cap N_u$ are both contained in the kernel of ρ .*
- (ii) *The support of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \tau)$ is contained in $J\overline{L}J$.*

Let $J_{\overline{L}} = J \cap \overline{L}$ and $\tau_{\overline{L}} = \tau|_{J_{\overline{L}}}$. Suppose $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \tau)$ is supported on JxJ for $x \in \overline{L}$. Define

$$t(\Phi) = \frac{\delta_{\overline{G}}(JxJ)^{1/2}}{\delta_{\overline{L}}(J_{\overline{L}}xJ_{\overline{L}})^{1/2}} \phi,$$

where $\delta_{\bar{L}}$ is a fixed Haar measure of \bar{L} and ϕ is the unique element supported on $J_{\bar{L}}xJ_{\bar{L}}$ such that $\phi(x) = \Phi(x)$. Then $t : \mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\bar{L}, \tau_{\bar{L}})$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism.

Proof. See [Roc98, §5]. The proof could be carried out word for word. \square

Theorem 4.8. *There is Levi subgroup \bar{L} of \bar{G} containing \bar{T} and a character of χ_1 of \bar{L} such that $\chi \otimes \chi_1$ is a depth zero genuine character of $\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)$ and*

$$\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi) \simeq \mathcal{H}(\bar{L}, \rho_{\chi \otimes \chi_1}^{\bar{L}}),$$

where $\rho_{\chi \otimes \chi_1}^{\bar{L}}$ is constructed with respect to \bar{L} and the depth zero character $\chi \otimes \chi_1$.

Proof. First we claim that either the support of $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$ has \bar{J}_χ -double coset representatives contained in a proper Levi subgroup of \bar{G} containing \bar{T} or there exists a character χ_1 of \bar{G} such that $\chi_1(\zeta) = 1$ for $\zeta \in \mu_n$ and $\chi\chi_1$ has level zero.

View χ as a character of $\mathbf{T}(O_F) \subset G$ by the restriction $\chi|_{s(\mathbf{T}(O_F))}$ and ρ_χ as a character of $J_\chi \subset G$ by the restriction $\rho_\chi|_{s(J_\chi)}$. It is shown in [Roc98] that there is a pro- p subgroup $K \subset J_\chi$ such that either the support of $\mathcal{H}(G, \rho_\chi|_K)$ has K -double coset representatives contained in a proper Levi subgroup of G containing T or there exists a character χ_1 of G such that $\chi\chi_1$ has level zero, for details see [Roc98, §4 and Theorem 6.3].

The key observation in the setting of covering group is that for $g \in G$ and one of its preimage $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$,

$$s(K \cap gKg^{-1}) = s(K) \cap \bar{g}s(K)\bar{g}^{-1}$$

since the splitting of pro- p subgroup is unique. Since $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ lies in the support of $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi|_{\bar{K}})$ if and only if

$$\rho_\chi|_{\bar{K} \cap \bar{g}\bar{K}\bar{g}^{-1}} = (\bar{g} \cdot \rho_\chi)|_{\bar{K} \cap \bar{g}\bar{K}\bar{g}^{-1}},$$

we see the claim just follows from the situation of linear algebraic groups.

The following proof is the same as that given in [Roc98, Theorem 6.3]. We repeat it for completeness.

On the one hand, if there exists a character χ_1 of \bar{G} such that $\chi_1(\zeta) = 1$ for $\zeta \in \mu_n$ and $\chi\chi_1$ has level zero. Then the map $f \mapsto f\chi_1^{-1} : \mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi \otimes \chi_1)$ is a support-preserving isomorphism. In fact using the construction in [Roc98, Theorem 4.15], we see $J_\chi = J_{\chi\chi_1}$ and $\rho_\chi \otimes \chi_1 = \rho_{\chi \otimes \chi_1}$.

On the other hand, if the support of $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$ is contained in $\bar{J}_\chi \bar{L} \bar{J}_\chi$ for a proper Levi subgroup \bar{L} . It is shown in [Roc98, §3] that $(\bar{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 4.7. Then there exists a support-preserving $t : \mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\bar{L}, \rho_{\chi}^{\bar{L}})$ by Lemma 4.7. Since $\bar{J}_\chi \cap \bar{L}$ is the corresponding \bar{J}_χ for \bar{L} and the semisimple rank of \bar{L} is strictly smaller than that of \bar{G} , we can reduce to the case of semisimple rank zero.

But clearly there exists a character χ_1 of \bar{T} such that $\chi_1(\zeta) = 1$ for $\zeta \in \mu_n$ and $\chi\chi_1$ has depth zero. Thus by induction we can construct the required isomorphism. \square

Let $\dot{w} \in N(\bar{T})$ be a representative of $w \in W_\chi$. Denote by $E_{\dot{w}} \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$ the preimage of $E_{\dot{w}}^{\bar{L}}$ defined in (4.2) for $\mathcal{H}(\bar{L}, \rho_{\chi \otimes \chi_1}^{\bar{L}})$.

4.3. Types for genuine principal series representations. Let π_χ be a genuine representation of \bar{T} such that $\pi_\chi|_{\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$ contains χ .

Theorem 4.9. *The pair $(\bar{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ is a $[\bar{T}, \pi_\chi]_{\bar{G}}$ -type of \bar{G} .*

Proof. We need to verify that that $(\bar{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ is a \bar{G} -cover of $(\bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F), \chi)$. The conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.1 can be easily checked, for details see [Roc98, Proposition 3.6].

By Theorem 4.8, it suffices to check the condition (iii) in Definition 3.1 for depth zero case. Let $n \in \text{pr}^{-1}(W_\chi)$, then the non-zero element supported on InI can be written as a scale of $E_{\bar{w}_{a_1}} \cdots E_{\bar{w}_{a_k}} E_{n'}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \Delta_\chi$ and $n' \in \text{pr}^{-1}(\Omega_\chi)$. Since by Theorem 4.6 each $E_{\bar{w}_{a_i}}$ is invertible and $E_{n'}$ is invertible, we see the non-zero element supported on InI is invertible.

Thus by Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, the pair $(\bar{J}_\chi, \rho_\chi)$ is a $[\bar{T}, \pi_\chi]_{\bar{G}}$ -type of \bar{G} . \square

5. LOCAL SHIMURA CORRESPONDENCE

In this section, we discuss the relation between the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$ and a Hecke algebra of the linear algebraic group $G_{Q,n}$.

5.1. A character extension. Let $W_\chi^\diamond = \langle s_a : a \in \Phi_\chi^\diamond \rangle$ and $W_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond = \langle s_a : a \in \Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond \rangle$. By Proposition 4.2, there exists $v \in V$ such that $\mathfrak{t}_v(\Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}) = \Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond$. Let $A_{\chi,0}^\diamond$ be the connected component of $V - \cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond} H_a$ containing A_0 . Since $W_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond$ acts transitively on the components of $V - \cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond} H_a$, we can take $w_0 \in W_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond$ such that $w_0 \mathfrak{t}_v(A_{\chi,0}) = A_{\chi,0}^\diamond$.

Example 5.1. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a fixed set of simple roots of $G = SL_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $p \neq 2$. Let $\bar{G} = \overline{SL}_3^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the extension of $SL_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by quadratic form $Q(\alpha^\vee) = Q(\beta^\vee) = 1$.

Let $\chi : \bar{\mathbf{T}}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be given by $\chi \circ \bar{h}_\alpha|_{O_F^\times} = \chi \circ \bar{h}_\beta|_{O_F^\times} = \epsilon((\varpi, -)_2)$. Then as shown in Figure 5.1, $A_{\chi,0}$ is the triangle surrounded by thick yellow lines and $A_{\chi,0}^\diamond$ is the triangle surrounded by thick green lines. We have

$$\mathfrak{t}_{-\alpha^\vee - \beta^\vee}(\Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}) = \Phi_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond \text{ and } s_{\alpha+\beta} \mathfrak{t}_{-\alpha^\vee - \beta^\vee}(A_{\chi,0}) = A_{\chi,0}^\diamond.$$




FIGURE 5.1. Affine apartment of SL_3 . The red part is the fundamental alcove A_0 . The part surrounded by thick yellow lines is $A_{\chi,0}$ and the part surrounded by thick green lines is $A_{\chi,0}^\diamond$.

Let $W_{\chi,\text{ex}} := \mathfrak{t}_v^{-1}(W_\chi^\diamond \rtimes Y_{Q,n}) \mathfrak{t}_v = (\mathfrak{t}_v^{-1} W_\chi^\diamond \mathfrak{t}_v) \rtimes Y_{Q,n}$. Since

$$W_\chi^0 = \mathfrak{t}_v^{-1} W_{\chi,\text{af}}^\diamond \mathfrak{t}_v \text{ and } \mathfrak{t}_v^{-1} W_\chi^\diamond \mathfrak{t}_v \subset W_\chi^0,$$

we see $W_{\chi,\text{ex}}$ is a subgroup of W_χ containing W_χ^0 .

Lemma 5.2. *The character $\chi : \overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ has an extension*

$$\tilde{\chi} : \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

such that $\tilde{\chi}(\overline{w}_a) = 1$ for $a \in \Delta_\chi$.

Proof. First, we show there exists a genuine character $\tilde{\chi}_1$ of $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}})$.

Consider one covering group \overline{L}_χ with root datum $(X, \Phi_\chi^\diamond; Y, (\Phi_\chi^\diamond)^\vee)$ and the same Brylinski-Deligne pair (D, η) as \overline{G} . Then $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}})$ in \overline{L}_χ is isomorphic to that in \overline{G} and clearly $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}((w_0\mathfrak{t}_v)^{-1}W_\chi^\diamond(w_0\mathfrak{t}_v))$ lies in a hyperspecial subgroup \overline{K} of \overline{L}_χ .

Let s' be a splitting over K . By [GG18], there is a $(w_0\mathfrak{t}_v)^{-1}W_\chi^\diamond(w_0\mathfrak{t}_v)$ -invariant genuine character $\chi_1 : Z(\overline{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which is trivial on the intersection of $s'(\mathbf{T}(O_F))$. We can extend χ_1 to get $\tilde{\chi}_1 : \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\tilde{\chi}_1(k) = 1$ for $k \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}) \cap s'(K)$.

Then consider $\chi_2 = \chi \tilde{\chi}_1^{-1}|_{\overline{\mathbf{T}}(O_F)}$, which is preserved by elements in $W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}$. Since χ_2 is trivial on μ_n , this is essentially equivalent to the linear case. By [HL80] we can extend it to a character $\tilde{\chi}_2 : \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Notice now $\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2$ is an extension of χ to $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}})$. Then for $a = \alpha + k \in \Delta_\chi$

$$(\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2)(\overline{w}_a^2) = (\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2)((-1, \varpi)_n^{kQ(\alpha^\vee)} \overline{h}_\alpha(-1)) = 1 \text{ and } (\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2)(\overline{w}_a) = \pm 1.$$

Now we define a character $\chi_3 : W_\chi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ given by

$$\chi_3(w) = 1 \text{ for } w \in \Omega_\chi \text{ and } \chi_3(s_a) = (\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2)(\overline{w}_a) \text{ for } a \in \Delta_\chi.$$

We observe that if $(s_{a_1} \dots s_{a_k}) s_a (s_{a_1} \dots s_{a_k})^{-1} = s_b$, where $a, b, a_1, \dots, a_k \in \Delta_\chi$, then by the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.5

$$(\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2)((\overline{w}_{a_1} \dots \overline{w}_{a_k}) \overline{w}_a (\overline{w}_{a_1} \dots \overline{w}_{a_k})^{-1}) = (\tilde{\chi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_2)(\overline{w}_b).$$

Thus χ_3 is a well-defined character by the generating relations of W_χ .

We lift χ_3 to a character $\tilde{\chi}_3 : \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_\chi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and define

$$\tilde{\chi}(n) = \tilde{\chi}_1(n) \tilde{\chi}_2(n) \tilde{\chi}_3(n) \text{ for } n \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}).$$

Then the character $\tilde{\chi}$ of $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}})$ is exactly an eligible extension. \square

5.2. Local Shimura correspondence. Let

$$\mathcal{H}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}) := \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{G}, \rho_\chi) : \mathrm{supp}(f) \subset \overline{J}_\chi \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}) \overline{J}_\chi\}.$$

For $w \in W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}}$ and a representative $\dot{w} \in N(\overline{T})$ of w , define

$$(5.1) \quad e_w := \tilde{\chi}^{-1}(\dot{w}) E_{\dot{w}}$$

which is independent of the choice of \dot{w} . Using this basis, it is clear that $\mathcal{H}(W_{\chi,\mathrm{ex}})$ is an affine Hecke algebra with parameter q by Theorem 4.6 and 4.8.

Recall that the root datum of $G_{Q,n}$ is given by

$$(X_{Q,n}, \Phi_{Q,n}, \Delta_{Q,n}; Y_{Q,n}, \Phi_{Q,n}^\vee, \Delta_{Q,n}^\vee).$$

Let $T_{Q,n}$ be the maximal torus of $G_{Q,n}$ with respect to $Y_{Q,n}$. Let $\mathbf{T}_{Q,n}(O_F)$ be the maximal compact subgroup in $T_{Q,n}$. There is a homomorphism

$$h : \mathbf{T}_{Q,n}(O_F) \rightarrow Z(\overline{T}) \cap s(\mathbf{T}(O_F))$$

given by $h(y(a)) = s(y(a))$ for $y \in Y_{Q,n}$ and $a \in O_F^\times$. Then we can lift the character $\chi : \overline{T}(O_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ to a character $\chi_{Q,n}$ of $\mathbf{T}_{Q,n}(O_F)$.

Since linear algebraic groups are special cases of covering groups with $n = 1$, we can transfer the previous notations for $G_{Q,n}$ with respect to $\chi_{Q,n}$.

Let $\Phi_{\chi_{Q,n}} = \{\alpha \in \Phi_{Q,n} : \chi_{Q,n} \circ \alpha^\vee|_{O_F^\times} = \mathbb{1}\}$. Then $\Phi_{\chi_{Q,n},\mathrm{af}} = \{\alpha + k : \alpha \in \Phi_{\chi_{Q,n}}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $A_{\chi_{Q,n},0}$ be the connected component of $V - \cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi_{Q,n},\mathrm{af}}} H_a$ determined by $\Phi_{\chi_{Q,n}} \cap \Phi_{Q,n}^+$.

It can be easily observed that $\cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{af}}} H_a = \cup_{a \in \Phi_{\chi, \text{af}}^\diamond} H_a$ and $A_{\chi_{Q,n}, 0} = A_{\chi, 0}^\diamond$. Thus there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Psi_1 : W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}} \rightarrow W_\chi^\diamond \rtimes Y_{Q,n}$$

determined by $\Psi_1(s_{\alpha/n_\alpha}) = s_\alpha$ for $\alpha/n_\alpha \in \Phi_{\chi_{Q,n}}$ and $\Psi_1(y) = y$ for $y \in Y_{Q,n}$.

Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Psi : W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}} \rightarrow W_{\chi, \text{ex}}$$

given by $\Psi(w) = (w_0 \mathbf{t}_v)^{-1}(\Psi_1(w))(w_0 \mathbf{t}_v)$.

We write $e_w^{Q,n}$ for the elements defined in (5.1) with respect to $\mathcal{H}(W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}})$.

Theorem 5.3. *There is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism*

$$\Upsilon : \mathcal{H}(W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(W_{\chi, \text{ex}})$$

given by $\Upsilon(e_w^{Q,n}) = e_{\Psi(w)}$.

Proof. Since $w_0 \mathbf{t}_v(A_{\chi, 0}) = A_{\chi_{Q,n}, 0}$, the isomorphism Ψ induces a bijection between $S_{\chi_{Q,n}}^0$ and S_χ^0 . At the same time, it induces an isomorphism between $W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}} \cap \Omega_{\chi_{Q,n}}$ and $W_{\chi, \text{ex}} \cap \Omega_\chi$. Since

$$W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}} = W_{\chi_{Q,n}}^0 \rtimes (W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}} \cap \Omega_{\chi_{Q,n}}) \text{ and } W_{\chi, \text{ex}} = W_\chi^0 \rtimes (W_{\chi, \text{ex}} \cap \Omega_\chi),$$

it is obvious that Υ is an isomorphism by Theorem 4.6 and 4.8. \square

Remark 5.4. If $W_{\chi_{Q,n}} = W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}}$, this implies the stabilizer of $\chi|_{Z(\bar{\Gamma}) \cap \bar{\Gamma}(O_F)}$ in W_{ex} is equal to $W_\chi^\diamond \rtimes Y$ and thus $W_\chi = W_{\chi, \text{ex}}$. Then $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi) = \mathcal{H}(W_{\chi, \text{ex}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}}) = \mathcal{H}(W_{\chi_{Q,n}, \text{ex}})$ by Theorem 5.3.

Example 5.5. However, in general the structure of $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$ may be different from $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$. Consider $G = GL_2$ with cocharacter lattice $Y = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$ and the set of simple coroot $\Delta^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee := e_1 - e_2\}$. Take the Weyl-invariant bilinear form B_Q determined by

$$B_Q(e_1, e_1) = B_Q(e_2, e_2) = 2, B_Q(e_1, e_2) = -2.$$

Let $\overline{GL}_2^{(4)}(\mathbb{Q}_5)$ be a 4-fold covering group of GL_2 associated with the bilinear form. Take the character χ such that $\chi \circ \bar{h}_\alpha|_{O_F^\times}$ has order 2. By computation, we obtain

$$W_\chi = Y_{Q,n} \cup (s_\alpha e_1 Y_{Q,n}) \text{ and } W_{\chi_{Q,n}} = \langle s_\alpha \rangle \rtimes Y_{Q,n},$$

where $Y_{Q,n} = \mathbb{Z}(e_1 - e_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(e_1 + e_2)$. Then W_χ is free and it is not isomorphic to $W_{\chi_{Q,n}}$. In this case, $\Omega_\chi = W_\chi$ and $\Omega_{\chi_{Q,n}} = W_{\chi_{Q,n}}$. By Theorem 4.6, it is clear that there is an element of order 2 in $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$ but no such element in $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}(\bar{G}, \rho_\chi)$ and $\mathcal{H}(G_{Q,n}, \rho_{\chi_{Q,n}})$ are not isomorphic.

REFERENCES

- [ABPS17] Anne-Marie Aubert, Paul Baum, Roger Plymen, and Maarten Solleveld, *The principal series of p -adic groups with disconnected center*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **114** (2017), no. 5, 798–854, DOI 10.1112/plms.12023. MR3653247
- [Bor76] Armand Borel, *Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 233–259, DOI 10.1007/BF01390139. MR0444849
- [BD01] Jean-Luc Brylinski and Pierre Deligne, *Central extensions of reductive groups by \mathbf{K}_2* , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **94** (2001), 5–85, DOI 10.1007/s10240-001-8192-2. MR1896177
- [BK98] Colin J. Bushnell and Philip C. Kutzko, *Smooth representations of reductive p -adic groups: structure theory via types*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **77** (1998), no. 3, 582–634, DOI 10.1112/S0024611598000574. MR1643417

- [DGW23] Ping Dong, Fan Gao, and Runze Wang, *Formal degrees of genuine Iwahori-spherical representations*, Q. J. Math. **74** (2023), no. 4, 1597–1618, DOI 10.1093/qmath/haad036. MR4676560
- [FOS22] Yongqi Feng, Eric Opdam, and Maarten Solleveld, *On formal degrees of unipotent representations*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **21** (2022), no. 6, 1947–1999, DOI 10.1017/S1474748021000062. MR4515286
- [FP22] Dragoş Frăţilă and Dipendra Prasad, *Homological duality for covering groups of reductive p -adic groups*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **18** (2022), no. 5, 1867–1950. MR4538041
- [GG18] Wee Teck Gan and Fan Gao, *The Langlands-Weissman program for Brylinski-Deligne extensions*, Astérisque **398** (2018), 187–275 (English, with English and French summaries). L-groups and the Langlands program for covering groups. MR3802419
- [GI14] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino, *Formal degrees and local theta correspondence*, Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 3, 509–672, DOI 10.1007/s00222-013-0460-5. MR3166215
- [GGKa] Fan Gao, Nadya Gurevich, and Edmund Karasiewicz, *Genuine pro- p Iwahori–Hecke algebras, Gelfand–Graev representations, and some applications*, (accepted to Journal of the European Mathematical Society), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.13053>.
- [GGKb] ———, *Genuine Whittaker functor and the quantum affine Schur–Weyl duality*, preprint (2022), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.16138>.
- [GSS21] Fan Gao, Freydoon Shahidi, and Dani Szpruch, *Restrictions, L -parameters, and local coefficients for genuine representations* (2021), (accepted to Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France, 2023), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.08859>.
- [Gol90] Daniel John Goldstein, *Hecke algebra isomorphisms for tamely ramified characters* (1990). Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Chicago. MR2611915
- [HII08a] Kaoru Hiraga, Atsushi Ichino, and Tamotsu Ikeda, *Formal degrees and adjoint γ -factors*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 1, 283–304, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-07-00567-X. MR2350057
- [HII08b] ———, *Correction to: “Formal degrees and adjoint γ -factors” [J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 1, 283–304; MR2350057]*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 4, 1211–1213, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-08-00605-X. MR2425185
- [HL80] R. B. Howlett and G. I. Lehrer, *Induced cuspidal representations and generalised Hecke rings*, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), no. 1, 37–64, DOI 10.1007/BF01402273. MR0570873
- [Hum90] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR1066460
- [Kar21] Edmund Karasiewicz, *A Hecke algebra on the double cover of a Chevalley group over \mathbb{Q}_2* , Algebra Number Theory **15** (2021), no. 7, 1729–1753, DOI 10.2140/ant.2021.15.1729. MR4333663
- [KL87] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 1, 153–215. MR862716
- [Mor93] Lawrence Morris, *Tamely ramified intertwining algebras*, Invent. Math. **114** (1993), no. 1, 1–54, DOI 10.1007/BF01232662. MR1235019
- [Ree02] Mark Reeder, *Isogenies of Hecke algebras and a Langlands correspondence for ramified principal series representations*, Represent. Theory **6** (2002), 101–126, DOI 10.1090/S1088-4165-02-00167-X. MR1915088
- [Roc98] Alan Roche, *Types and Hecke algebras for principal series representations of split reductive p -adic groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **31** (1998), no. 3, 361–413, DOI 10.1016/S0012-9593(98)80139-0 (English, with English and French summaries). MR1621409
- [Sav88] Gordan Savin, *Local Shimura correspondence*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), no. 2, 185–190, DOI 10.1007/BF01456050. MR0929534
- [Sav04] ———, *On unramified representations of covering groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **566** (2004), 111–134. MR2039325
- [Sol21] Maarten Solleveld, *Affine Hecke algebras and their representations*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **32** (2021), no. 5, 1005–1082, DOI 10.1016/j.indag.2021.01.005. MR4310011
- [SS70] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes*, Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. Vol. 131, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1970, pp. 167–266. MR0268192
- [Ste16] Robert Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, corrected edition, University Lecture Series, vol. 66, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson; With a foreword by Robert R. Snapp. MR3616493
- [Vig16] Marie-France Vigneras, *The pro- p -Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive p -adic group I* , Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 4, 693–753, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X15007666. MR3484112

- [Wei18] Martin H. Weissman, *L-groups and parameters for covering groups*, *Astérisque* **398** (2018), 33–186 (English, with English and French summaries). L-groups and the Langlands program for covering groups. MR3802418
- [JZ23] Jiandi Zou, *Simple type theory for metaplectic covers of $GL(r)$ over a non-archimedean local field* (2023), available at 2308.16143.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, 866 YUANGTANG ROAD, HANGZHOU, CHINA 310058
Email address: wang_runze@zju.edu.cn