

# Imaginaryity of quantum channels: Refinement and Alternative

Xiangyu Chen

School of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China  
e-mail: 23S012014@stu.hit.edu.cn

Qiang Lei

School of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China  
Corresponding author: leiqiang@hit.edu.cn

## Abstract

At present, quantum channels have been widely concerned, and many ways to quantify quantum channels have been proposed, which has led to the generation of many resource theories for quantum channels. We add strong monotonicity and convexity to the requirement of imaginaryity measure of quantum channels to make the measure proper. We also introduce an alternative framework to simplify the process of verifying whether a quantifier is a proper measure. We present three imaginaryity measures of quantum channels via on the robustness, the trace norm and entropy, respectively. Some properties are also given.

**Keywords:** quantum resource theories, quantum channels, imaginaryity measures, alternative framework

## 1 Introduction

Imaginary numbers provide a broad perspective and additional methods for modern scientific research. Quantum resource theory can establish new connections among various research directions. With the development of quantum resource theory, the imaginaryity resource theory [1] proposed in recent years has attracted increasing attention. Some examples of applications, the imaginaryity plays a crucial role in state discrimination [2], the imaginaryity operation is more advantageous to distinguish the quantum channel without the help of the auxiliary system [3]. Many imaginaryity measures have been found for quantum states, the fidelity [2], the convex roof [4], the geometric [3] and some imaginaryity measures induced by entropies [5, 6], some of which can establish relations due to their own mathematical properties [6, 7].

Just like quantum states, quantum channels also deserve attention. Some studies begin to investigate the properties of quantum channels [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Resource theories of quantum channels was established in [13], and many resource theories of quantum channels have been proposed, such as coherence of quantum channels [14], entanglement of quantum channels [15] and so on [16, 17]. Based on this, more measures for quantum channels have been found [18, 19, 20, 21].

In order to simplify the process of verifying whether a quantifier can be a coherence measure, alternative framework for quantifying coherence have been proposed [22] in the coherence resource theory. Similar alternative frameworks can be established in many other resource theories, such as imaginaryity resource theory [7], coherence of quantum channels [23].

The concept of the imaginaryity of quantum channels was first introduced in [24], and the definitions of free channels and free superchannels have been visited again recently [25]. It can be seen that the concept is worthing considering. Similar to the coherence of quantum channels, in this paper, we refine the imaginaryity of quantum channels and introduce alternative framework to facilitate the verification of whether a quantifier can be a suitable measure. We also show three imaginaryity measures of quantum channels. Some properties of the measure are discussed.

## 2 Refinement for the imaginaryity of quantum channels

Let  $H_A$  and  $H_B$  be two Hilbert space with dimensions  $|A|$  and  $|B|$ , and orthonormal basis  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{|A|-1} = \{|k\rangle\}_{k=0}^{|A|-1}$  and  $\{|\alpha\rangle\}_{\alpha=0}^{|B|-1} = \{|\beta\rangle\}_{\beta=0}^{|B|-1}$ . We assume  $|a\rangle\langle a| = E_{a,a}$  without loss of generality, that is, it happens to be the  $a$ -th diagonal. Denote  $\mathcal{D}_A$  and  $\mathcal{D}_B$  be the set of all density operators on  $H_A$  and  $H_B$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_{AB}$  be the set of all channels from  $\mathcal{D}_A$  to  $\mathcal{D}_B$ .

A quantum channel  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}$  can be represented by Choi matrix,

$$J_\phi = \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|) = \sum_{j,k,\alpha,\beta} \phi_{j,k,\alpha,\beta} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$$

with  $\phi_{j,k,\alpha,\beta} = \langle\alpha|\phi(|j\rangle\langle k|)|\beta\rangle$ .

The Choi state of  $\phi$  is  $\frac{J_\phi}{|A|} \triangleq \chi_\phi$ . We call channel  $\phi$  a real channel if  $\chi_\phi$  is a real matrix, and denote  $\mathcal{RC}_{AB}$  the set of all the real channels. The free channel is defined as the real channel. This is exactly the free operation in imaginarity resource theory, thus it is appropriate to define the free channel by Choi state.

Let  $\mathcal{SC}_{ABA'B'}$  be the sets of all superchannels from  $\mathcal{C}_{AB}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_{A'B'}$ . It can be known that [14, 26] superchannel  $\Theta$  also has the expression of Kraus operators  $\{M_m\}_m$  with  $\chi_{\Theta(\phi)} = \sum_m M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger \triangleq \tilde{\Theta}(\chi_\phi)$ , where  $\tilde{\Theta}$  is the channel corresponding to the superchannel. We will not distinguish the signs,  $\Theta$  or  $\tilde{\Theta}$ , since it can be judged by the context.

We call a superchannel  $\Theta : \mathcal{C}_{AB} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A'B'}$  a real superchannels if  $\Theta(\phi) \in \mathcal{RC}_{A'B'}$  for any channels  $\phi \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}$ . We denote the set of all the real superchannels by  $\mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'}$ . The free superchannel is defined as the real superchannel.

In [24], the author presented a framework for quantifying the imaginarity of quantum channels, they introduced the imaginarity measure  $C(\phi) \triangleq C(\chi_\phi)$  of quantum channels should satisfy the following conditions:

- (C<sub>1</sub>). Faithfulness:  $C(\phi) \geq 0$ , and  $C(\phi) = 0$  if and only if  $\phi \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}$ ;
- (C<sub>2</sub>). Monotonicity:  $C(\phi) \geq C(\Theta(\phi))$  for any real superchannel  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'}$ .

Strong monotonicity and convexity often have a great use and a proper quantum resource theory should include them. So we add these to the conditions above, and then the imaginarity measure  $C$  of quantum channels becomes the more familiar and complete.

(C<sub>3</sub>). Strong monotonicity: Let  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'}$  with  $\Theta(\cdot) = \sum_m M_m \cdot M_m^\dagger$ , then  $C(\phi) \geq \sum_m p_m C(\phi_m)$  where  $p_m = \text{Tr}(M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger)$  and  $\chi_{\phi_m} = M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger / p_m$

(C<sub>4</sub>). Convexity: For any  $\{\phi_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}_{AB}$  and any probability distribution  $\{p_m\}$ ,  $C(\sum_m p_m \phi_m) \leq \sum_m p_m C(\phi_m)$ .

We consider that a proper imaginarity measure of quantum channels should satisfy the condition (C<sub>1</sub>)-(C<sub>4</sub>). In this way, we can obtain some properties of the imaginarity measure  $C$ .

**Property 1.** *Monotonicity under composition with any real channels, that is,*

- (a). *Left composition: for any  $\psi \in \mathcal{RC}_{BD}$  and any  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}$ , we have:  $C(\psi \circ \phi) \leq C(\phi)$ .*
- (b). *Right composition: for any  $\psi \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}$  and any  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{BD}$ , we have:  $C(\phi \circ \psi) \leq C(\phi)$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove (a), because (b) is analogous.

For  $\psi \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{BD}$ , we consider a superchannel  $\Theta_\psi$  with  $\Theta_\psi = \psi \circ \phi$ . Due to the condition (C<sub>2</sub>), we try to explain  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABAD}$ . In fact, when superchannel  $\Theta_\psi$  acts on any real channels, it is impossible to generate imaginarity resource since the channel  $\psi$  is real. We can know that the superchannel  $\Theta_\psi$  is a real superchannel from the definition.  $\square$

We can also see that if  $\psi$  is invertible,  $C(\psi \circ \phi) = C(\phi)$  holds.

**Property 2.** *The combination of imaginarity measures is still an imaginarity measure, that is, for any  $\{C_j\}$  and any  $\{q_j\}$  with  $q_j \geq 0$ ,  $C \triangleq \sum_j q_j C_j$  is an imaginarity measure.*

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $C(\phi) \geq 0$  and due to  $q_j \geq 0$ ,  $C(\phi) = 0$  is equivalent to every  $C_j(\phi) = 0$ , certainly it indicate  $\phi \in \mathcal{RC}$ . For any real superchannel  $\Theta$ , we have  $C(\phi) = \sum_j q_j C_j(\phi) \geq \sum_j q_j C_j(\Theta(\phi)) = C(\Theta(\phi))$ .

For  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}$  with  $\Theta(\cdot) = \sum_m M_m \cdot M_m^\dagger$ , the strong monotonicity holds:

$$C(\phi) = \sum_j q_j C_j(\phi) \geq \sum_j q_j \sum_m p_m C_j(\phi_m) = \sum_m p_m \sum_j q_j C_j(\phi_m) = \sum_m p_m C(\phi_m).$$

For any  $\{\phi_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}_{AB}$  and any probability distribution  $\{p_m\}$ , the convexity holds:

$$C(\sum_m p_m \phi_m) = \sum_j q_j C_j(\sum_m p_m \phi_m) \leq \sum_j q_j \sum_m p_m C_j(\phi_m) = \sum_m p_m \sum_j q_j C_j(\phi_m) = \sum_m p_m C(\phi_m).$$

Therefore,  $C$  is an imaginarity measure.  $\square$

**Property 3.** If  $C$  is an imaginarity measure for channel  $\phi$ , then, quantifier  $\hat{C}$  as:

$$\hat{C}(\phi) \triangleq \min_{\{q_j, \phi_j\}} \sum_j q_j C(\phi_j),$$

is a proper measure for  $\phi$ , where  $\min_{\{q_j, \phi_j\}}$  represents the min of all possible channels decompositions as  $\phi = \sum_j q_j \phi_j$  with  $q_j \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* It can be seen that  $\hat{C}(\phi) \geq 0$ . When  $\hat{C}(\phi) = 0$ , we can take the best decomposition of  $\phi$ , expressed as  $\phi = \sum_k q_k \phi_k$ , so that  $\hat{C}(\phi) = \sum_k q_k C(\phi_k)$ . We can get  $C(\phi_k) = 0$  since  $q \geq 0$ , that is,  $\phi_k \in \mathcal{RC}$ , thus  $\phi \in \mathcal{RC}$ , the faithfulness holds.

We can notice that, for any  $\{q_j\}$ , let every  $\phi_j = \phi$ , we can get  $\hat{C}(\phi) = \min_{\{q_j, \phi_j\}} \sum_j q_j C(\phi_j) \leq C(\phi)$ . For any quantum channel  $\phi$ , we take the best decomposition of  $\phi$ , expressed as  $\phi = \sum_k q_k \phi_k$ , so that  $\hat{C}(\phi) = \sum_k q_k C(\phi_k)$ . For  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}$  with  $\Theta(\cdot) = \sum_m M_m \cdot M_m^\dagger$ , we denote  $a_{k,m} = \text{Tr}(M_m \chi_{\phi_k} M_m^\dagger)$ ,  $p_m = \text{Tr}(M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}(\phi) = \sum_k q_k C(\phi_k) &\geq \sum_k q_k \sum_m a_{k,m} C\left(\frac{M_m \chi_{\phi_k} M_m^\dagger}{a_{k,m}}\right) = \sum_m p_m \sum_k \frac{q_k a_{k,m}}{p_m} C\left(\frac{M_m \chi_{\phi_k} M_m^\dagger}{a_{k,m}}\right) \\ &\geq \sum_m p_m \sum_k C\left(\frac{q_k a_{k,m}}{p_m} \frac{M_m \chi_{\phi_k} M_m^\dagger}{a_{k,m}}\right) = \sum_m p_m C\left(\frac{\sum_k q_k M_m \chi_{\phi_k} M_m^\dagger}{p_m}\right) \\ &= \sum_m p_m C\left(\frac{M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger}{p_m}\right) \geq \sum_m p_m \hat{C}\left(\frac{M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger}{p_m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is due to the strong monotonicity of  $C$ , the second inequality is due to the convexity of  $C$  and  $\sum_k \frac{q_k a_{k,m}}{p_m} = \frac{\sum_k q_k \text{Tr}(M_m \chi_{\phi_k} M_m^\dagger)}{\text{Tr}(M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger)} = 1$ .

To verify convexity of  $\hat{C}$  for simplicity, we only consider two quantum channels  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , and define  $\psi = a\phi_1 + b\phi_2$  with  $a + b = 1$ . We take the best decomposition of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  respectively as  $\phi_1 = \sum_m q_{1,m} \phi_{1,m}$  and  $\phi_2 = \sum_n q_{2,n} \phi_{2,n}$  so that the equations hold:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{C}(\phi_1) = \sum_m q_{1,m} C(\phi_{1,m}), \\ \hat{C}(\phi_2) = \sum_n q_{2,n} C(\phi_{2,n}). \end{cases}$$

Then,  $a\hat{C}(\phi_1) + b\hat{C}(\phi_2) = \sum_m a q_{1,m} C(\phi_{1,m}) + \sum_n b q_{2,n} C(\phi_{2,n})$ .

For ease of writing, if  $m \neq n$ , we supplement  $\{0, \mathbf{0}\}$  for the less set so that both sets have the same quantity, that is,  $a\hat{C}(\phi_1) + b\hat{C}(\phi_2) = \sum_m a q_{1,m} C(\phi_{1,m}) + b q_{2,m} C(\phi_{2,m})$ . Substitute the new symbol  $\{q_{2m-1}, \psi_{2m-1}\}$  and  $\{q_{2m}, \psi_{2m}\}$  for  $\{a q_{1,m}, \phi_{1,m}\}$  and  $\{b q_{2,m}, \phi_{2,m}\}$  respectively. We have

$$a\hat{C}(\phi_1) + b\hat{C}(\phi_2) = \sum_m q_{2m-1} C(\psi_{2m-1}) + q_{2m} C(\psi_{2m}) \geq \min_{q_j, \psi_j} \sum_j q_j C(\psi_j) = \hat{C}(\psi) = \hat{C}(a\phi_1 + b\phi_2).$$

In this way, it can be known that  $\hat{C}$  is a proper imaginarity measure. □

### 3 Alternative framework for the imaginarity of quantum channels

In the previous section we refined the framework. However, the condition  $(\mathbf{C}_3)$  and  $(\mathbf{C}_4)$  are difficult to verify in some cases. Here, inspired by the similar alternative framework in many resource theory [22, 23], we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.**  $(\mathbf{C}_3) + (\mathbf{C}_4)$  is equivalent to  $(\mathbf{C}_5)$  when condition  $(\mathbf{C}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{C}_2)$  holds. The condition  $(\mathbf{C}_5)$  is:

$(\mathbf{C}_5)$ . Additivity: For any  $p_1, p_2$  satisfied  $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ , any  $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{AB_1}$  and  $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{AB_2}$ , let  $\phi = p_1 \phi_1 \oplus p_2 \phi_2$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}$  with  $|B| = |B_1| + |B_2|$ , then  $C(\phi) = p_1 C(\phi_1) + p_2 C(\phi_2)$  holds.

*Proof.* The proof is long and we divide it into steps.

$(\mathbf{C}_3) + (\mathbf{C}_4) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{C}_5)$ :

**(STEP 1).**

Let  $Q_1 = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \dots + ||B_1| - 1\rangle\langle |B_1| - 1| + ||B|\rangle\langle |B| + \dots + ||B| + |B_1| - 1\rangle\langle |B| + |B_1| - 1| + (|A| - 1)|B|\rangle\langle (|A| - 1)|B| + \dots + (|A| - 1)|B| + |B_1| - 1\rangle\langle (|A| - 1)|B| + |B_1| - 1|$ ,  
 $Q_2 = ||B_1|\rangle\langle |B_1| + \dots + ||B| - 1\rangle\langle |B| - 1| + ||B| + |B_1|\rangle\langle |B| + |B_1| + \dots + ||B| + |B| - 1\rangle\langle |B| + |B| - 1| + (|A| - 1)|B| + |B_1|\rangle\langle (|A| - 1)|B| + |B_1| + \dots + (|A| - 1)|B| + |B| - 1\rangle\langle (|A| - 1)|B| + |B| - 1|$ .

We can give an intuitive expression.

$$Q_1 = \overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} I_{|B_1|} & & & & \\ & O_{|B_2|} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & I_{|B_1|} & \\ & & & & O_{|B_2|} \end{pmatrix}}^{|A||B|} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|A|-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_{|B_1|} & \\ & O_{|B_2|} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_2 = \overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} O_{|B_1|} & & & & \\ & I_{|B_2|} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & O_{|B_1|} & \\ & & & & I_{|B_2|} \end{pmatrix}}^{|A||B|} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|A|-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_{|B_1|} & \\ & I_{|B_2|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_a$  and  $O_b$  denote identity matrix and zero matrix with dimensions  $a$  and  $b$  respectively.

Due to  $Q_1^\dagger Q_1 + Q_2^\dagger Q_2 = I_{|A||B|}$  and  $Q_1 Q_2 = O_{|A||B|}$ , let  $\Theta(\cdot) = Q_1 \cdot Q_1^\dagger + Q_2 \cdot Q_2^\dagger$ , we can know that  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'}$ . Under the requirement of  $(\mathbf{C}_5)$ , the Choi state of  $\phi$  is

$$\chi_\phi = \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|)/|A| = \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes [p_1 \phi_1(|j\rangle\langle k|) \oplus p_2 \phi_2(|j\rangle\langle k|)]/|A|.$$

Thus,

$$Q_1 \chi_\phi Q_1^\dagger = \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes [p_1 \phi_1(|j\rangle\langle k|) \oplus \mathbf{0}_2(|j\rangle\langle k|)]/|A|.$$

It's obvious that  $\text{Tr}(Q_1 \chi_\phi Q_1^\dagger)$  is exactly  $p_1$ . Let  $\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1} = Q_1 \chi_\phi Q_1^\dagger / p_1 = \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes [\phi_1(|j\rangle\langle k|) \oplus \mathbf{0}_2(|j\rangle\langle k|)]/|A|$ . Similarly we obtain  $\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_2}$ . At this point, the requirement of  $(\mathbf{C}_3)$  has been satisfied, that is

$$\begin{cases} \Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'} \text{ with } \Theta(\cdot) = Q_1 \cdot Q_1^\dagger + Q_2 \cdot Q_2^\dagger, \\ p_m = \text{Tr}(Q_m \chi_\phi Q_m^\dagger), \chi_{\tilde{\phi}_m} = Q_m \chi_\phi Q_m^\dagger / p_m, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$C(\chi_\phi) \geq p_1 C(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1}) + p_2 C(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_2}). \quad (1)$$

**(STEP 2).**

For any  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_A$ ,  $\rho = \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k|$ , any  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{AB}$ ,  $\phi(\rho)$  can be represented by  $J_\phi$ , in order to do this,  $\rho$  and  $J_\phi$  need to be tensor index realigned:

$$\begin{cases} J'_\phi = \sum_{j,k} |jk\rangle\langle jk| \otimes \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|), \\ \rho' = \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} |jk\rangle\langle jk|, \end{cases}$$

then  $\phi(\rho) = J'_\phi \rho' = \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|)$ . Under the requirement of  $(\mathbf{C}_5)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\rho) &= \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|) = \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} [p_1 \phi_1(|j\rangle\langle k|) \oplus p_2 \phi_2(|j\rangle\langle k|)] \\ &= p_1 \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} [\phi_1(|j\rangle\langle k|) \oplus \mathbf{0}_2(|j\rangle\langle k|)] + p_2 \sum_{j,k} \rho_{j,k} [\mathbf{0}_1(|j\rangle\langle k|) \oplus \phi_2(|j\rangle\langle k|)] \\ &= p_1 \tilde{\phi}_1(\rho) + p_2 \tilde{\phi}_2(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

that is  $\phi = p_1\tilde{\phi}_1 + p_2\tilde{\phi}_2$ . From **(C<sub>4</sub>)** We can know that

$$C(\phi) \leq p_1C(\tilde{\phi}_1) + p_2C(\tilde{\phi}_2). \quad (2)$$

**(STEP 3).**

From (1) in **(STEP 1)** and (2) in **(STEP 2)**, we obtain  $C(\phi) = p_1C(\tilde{\phi}_1) + p_2C(\tilde{\phi}_2)$ . Next we need to prove  $C(\phi_1) = C(\tilde{\phi}_1)$ , that is, we need to prove  $C(\chi_{\phi_1}) = C(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1})$ .

First, we specify the range of index  $i$  and  $j$ , let  $i = 0, 1, \dots, |B|-1, j = 0, 1, \dots, |B_1|-1$ . Let  $K_0^1$  satisfies  $\langle i|K_0^1|j\rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ . An intuitive expression of  $K_0^1$  is

$$K_0^1 = \left( I_{|B_1|} \right) \Big\} |B|,$$

where  $\hat{O}$  denotes zero matrix with dimension  $|B_2| \times |B_1|$ .

Let  $K_n^2$  satisfy  $\langle j|K_n^2|i\rangle = \delta_{i,j+n|B_1|}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, \lceil |B_2|/|B_1| \rceil$ .  $\lceil a \rceil$  is the ceil of  $a$ . We can give an example to make this easier to understand. See Example 1 after this proof.

Let

$$\mathcal{K}_0^1 = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|A|-1} K_0^1 = \begin{pmatrix} K_0^1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & K_0^1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{K}_n^2 = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|A|-1} K_n^2 = \begin{pmatrix} K_n^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & K_n^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can verify  $(\mathcal{K}_0^1)^\dagger \mathcal{K}_0^1 = I_{|B_1|}$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\lceil |B_2|/|B_1| \rceil} (\mathcal{K}_n^2)^\dagger \mathcal{K}_n^2 = I_{|B_1|}$ . Thus  $\Theta_1 \in \mathcal{RSC}_{AB_1AB}$  with  $\Theta_1(\cdot) = \mathcal{K}_0^1 \cdot (\mathcal{K}_0^1)^\dagger$ ,  $\Theta_2 \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABAB_1}$  with  $\Theta_2(\cdot) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil |B_2|/|B_1| \rceil} \mathcal{K}_n^2 \cdot (\mathcal{K}_n^2)^\dagger$ . We can also obtain  $\Theta_1(\chi_{\phi_1}) = \chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1}$  and  $\Theta_2(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1}) = \chi_{\phi_1}$ .

Combining with **(C<sub>2</sub>)**, the above implies that the following inequality holds:

$$C(\chi_{\phi_1}) = C(\Theta_2(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1})) \leq C(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1}) = C(\Theta_1(\chi_{\phi_1})) \leq C(\chi_{\phi_1}).$$

As a result,  $C(\chi_{\phi_1}) = C(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1})$ . It follows from a similar discussion that  $C(\chi_{\phi_2}) = C(\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_2})$ .

Up to now, we prove **(C<sub>5</sub>)** holds:  $C(\phi) = p_1C(\phi_1) + p_2C(\phi_2)$ .

**(C<sub>5</sub>)**  $\Rightarrow$  **(C<sub>3</sub>)** + **(C<sub>4</sub>)**:

**(STEP 4).**

For  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'}$  with  $\Theta(\cdot) = \sum_m M_m \cdot M_m^\dagger$ , we introduce an auxiliary system  $S$  with dimension  $N$  and orthonormal basis  $\{|n\rangle\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ . The system  $AB$  formed by Choi state and the auxiliary system  $S$  form a combined system  $ABS$ , of which the basis is  $\{|j\alpha\rangle \otimes |n\rangle\}$  and the whole system is initially in the state  $\chi_\phi \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| \triangleq \chi_\phi^{ABS}$ .

Let  $U_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |(k+n) \bmod N\rangle\langle k|$ , then  $\Theta^{ABS}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABSAB'S}$  with  $\Theta^{ABS}(\cdot) = \sum_n (M_n \otimes U_n) \cdot (M_n \otimes U_n)^\dagger$ . Thus

$$\Theta^{ABS}(\chi_\phi^{ABS}) = \sum_n (M_n \otimes U_n)(\chi_\phi \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|)(M_n \otimes U_n)^\dagger = \sum_n M_n \chi_\phi M_n^\dagger \otimes |n\rangle\langle n| \triangleq \sum_n p_n \chi_{\phi_n} \otimes |n\rangle\langle n|,$$

where  $p_n = \text{Tr}(M_n \chi_\phi M_n^\dagger)$  and  $\chi_{\phi_n} = M_n \chi_\phi M_n^\dagger / p_n$ .

We can know that  $\sum_n p_n = 1$ ,  $\phi_n \in \mathcal{C}_{AB_n}$  with  $|B| = \sum_n |B_n|$ . From **(C<sub>5</sub>)**, we get

$$\begin{cases} C(\chi_\phi^{ABS}) = C(\chi_\phi \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|) = C(\chi_\phi), \\ C(\Theta^{ABS}(\chi_\phi^{ABS})) = C(\sum_n p_n \chi_{\phi_n} \otimes |n\rangle\langle n|) = C(\bigoplus_n p_n \chi_{\phi_n}) = \sum_n p_n C(\chi_{\phi_n}). \end{cases}$$

From **(C<sub>2</sub>)**, we can obtain

$$C(\chi_\phi) = C(\chi_\phi^{ABS}) \geq C(\Theta^{ABS}(\chi_\phi^{ABS})) = \sum_n p_n C(\chi_{\phi_n}),$$

that is, condition **(C<sub>3</sub>)** holds.

**(STEP 5).**



Due to  $K_j^\dagger K_j = I$ , then the invertible  $\Theta_j \in \mathcal{RSC}$  with  $\Theta_j(\cdot) = K_j \cdot K_j^\dagger$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

Just like  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  in this example, we can construct multiple invertible channels such that

$$\Theta_1(\Theta_2(\cdots \Theta_k(p_1\chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2\chi_{\phi_2}) \cdots)) = p_1\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_1} + p_2\chi_{\tilde{\phi}_2}.$$

In this way, we can know that the equation (3) holds from the condition  $(\mathbf{C}_2)$ .

## 4 Imaginarity measures of quantum channels

The Choi theorem [27] tell us that the Choi matrix of the channel  $\phi$  is positive semidefinite, so that  $\chi_\phi \geq 0$ , we can see that, for any quantum channel  $\phi$  with  $\phi(\cdot) = \sum_n K_n \cdot K_n^\dagger$ , its Choi state  $\chi_\phi = \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|)/|A|$ , thus the trace:

$$\text{Tr}(\chi_\phi) = \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{j,k} |j\rangle\langle k| \otimes \phi(|j\rangle\langle k|)/|A| \right] = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_j \text{Tr} \left[ \phi(|j\rangle\langle j|) \right] = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_j 1 = 1.$$

In this way, we can embed Choi states into quantum states:

$$\mathcal{C}_{AB} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{AB}.$$

It seems then that many imaginarity measures for quantum states can be equally applied to quantum channels. Thus in this section, we introduce three imaginarity measures of quantum channels, that is, measures via on the robustness, the trace norm and the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy, respectively. We can exactly verify these three measures in two ways.

### 4.1 Measure via on the robustness

The Measure via on the robustness is appeared in many resource theories [1, 18]. Similar to these, we define the imaginarity measure of quantum channels as follow.

$$C_r(\phi) = \min_{\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}} \left\{ s \geq 0 : \frac{\chi_\phi + s\chi_{\tilde{\phi}}}{1+s} \triangleq \chi_\psi, \psi \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB} \right\}$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.**  $C_r(\phi)$  is a proper imaginarity measure of quantum channels.

Before our proof, we need to introduce pseudomixture [28, 29, 30, 7] of  $\chi_\phi$ , that is,

$$\chi_\phi = [1 + C_r(\chi_\phi)]\chi_{\phi_1} - C_r(\chi_\phi)\chi_{\phi_2},$$

where  $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}$ , and  $\phi_2$  is the optimal channel of the min in the definition of  $C_r(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* We only verify  $(\mathbf{C}_1)+(\mathbf{C}_3)+(\mathbf{C}_4)$  since  $(\mathbf{C}_2)$  can be derived.

$(\mathbf{C}_1)$ :

Obviously  $C_r(\phi) \geq 0$ . If  $C_r(\phi) = 0$ , that means  $\frac{\chi_\phi + 0}{1+0} = \chi_\psi$ , that is  $\phi = \psi \in \mathcal{RC}_{AB}$ . The converse is certainly true.

$(\mathbf{C}_3)$ :

Let  $\Theta \in \mathcal{RSC}_{ABA'B'}$  with  $\Theta(\cdot) = \sum_m M_m \cdot M_m^\dagger$ , for any quantum channel  $\phi$  with its pseudomixture, under the requirement of  $(\mathbf{C}_3)$ ,  $p_m = \text{Tr}(M_m\chi_\phi M_m^\dagger)$  and  $\chi_{\phi_m} = M_m\chi_\phi M_m^\dagger/p_m$ . Then we obtain

$$M_m\chi_\phi M_m^\dagger = [1 + C_r(\chi_\phi)]M_m\chi_{\phi_1} M_m^\dagger - C_r(\chi_\phi)M_m\chi_{\phi_2} M_m^\dagger.$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} \chi_{\phi_1}^m = \frac{1}{1+s_m} \frac{1}{p_m} [1 + C_r(\chi_\phi)] M_m \chi_{\phi_1} M_m^\dagger, \\ \chi_{\phi_2}^m = \frac{1}{s_m} \frac{1}{p_m} C_r(\chi_\phi) M_m \chi_{\phi_2} M_m^\dagger, \end{cases}$$

where  $s_m = \frac{1}{p_m} C(\chi_\phi) \text{Tr}(M_m\chi_{\phi_1} M_m^\dagger)$ .

We get  $\chi_{\phi_m} = (1 + s_m)\chi_{\phi_1}^m - s_m\chi_{\phi_2}^m$ , that is,  $\frac{\chi_{\phi_m} + s_m\chi_{\phi_2}^m}{1 + s_m} = \chi_{\phi_1}^m$ . Thus we get  $C_r(\chi_{\phi_m}) \leq s_m$  from definition, that is,  $p_m C_r(\chi_{\phi_m}) \leq C(\chi_\phi) \text{Tr}(M_m \chi_{\phi_1} M_m^\dagger)$ .

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m p_m C_r(\chi_{\phi_m}) &\leq \sum_m C(\chi_\phi) \text{Tr}(M_m \chi_{\phi_1} M_m^\dagger) \\ &= C(\chi_\phi) \sum_m \text{Tr}(M_m^\dagger M_m \chi_{\phi_1}) \\ &= C(\chi_\phi) \text{Tr}\left(\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m \chi_{\phi_1}\right) \\ &= C(\chi_\phi) \end{aligned}$$

This means the condition **(C<sub>3</sub>)** holds.

**(C<sub>4</sub>)**:

For simplicity, we only consider two quantum channels  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , and define  $\phi = p\phi_1 + (1-p)\phi_2$  with any  $p \in (0, 1)$ , that is,  $\chi_\phi = p\chi_{\phi_1} + (1-p)\chi_{\phi_2}$ . The measurements  $C_r$  for  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are  $C_r(\chi_{\phi_1})$  and  $C_r(\chi_{\phi_2})$ . Let  $s_p = pC_r(\chi_{\phi_1}) + (1-p)C_r(\chi_{\phi_2})$ .

Consider the pseudomixtures of  $\chi_{\phi_1}$  and  $\chi_{\phi_2}$ :

$$\chi_{\phi_1} = [1 + C_r(\chi_{\phi_1})]\chi_{\phi_{1,1}} - C_r(\chi_{\phi_1})\chi_{\phi_{1,2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\phi_2} = [1 + C_r(\chi_{\phi_2})]\chi_{\phi_{2,1}} - C_r(\chi_{\phi_2})\chi_{\phi_{2,2}},$$

then let

$$\begin{cases} \chi_\psi = \frac{p[1+C_r(\chi_{\phi_1})]\chi_{\phi_{1,1}} + (1-p)[1+C_r(\chi_{\phi_2})]\chi_{\phi_{2,1}}}{1+s_p}, \\ \chi_{\bar{\phi}} = \frac{pC_r(\chi_{\phi_1})\chi_{\phi_{1,2}} + (1-p)C_r(\chi_{\phi_2})\chi_{\phi_{2,2}}}{s_p}. \end{cases}$$

We have  $\chi_\phi = (1 + s_p)\chi_\psi - s_p\chi_{\bar{\phi}}$ , that is,  $\chi_\psi = \frac{\chi_\phi + s_p\chi_{\bar{\phi}}}{1+s_p}$ , thus we get  $s_p \geq C_r(\chi_\phi)$ .

Therefore,  $pC_r(\chi_{\phi_1}) + (1-p)C_r(\chi_{\phi_2}) = s_p \geq C_r(\chi_\phi) = C(p\chi_{\phi_1} + (1-p)\chi_{\phi_2})$ . The condition **(C<sub>4</sub>)** holds.  $\square$

## 4.2 Measure via on the trace norm

To obtain the channel is real, we can consider the trace norm:

$$C_t(\phi) = \|\chi_\phi - \chi_\phi^*\|_{\text{Tr}} = \text{Tr}|\chi_\phi - \chi_\phi^*|.$$

where  $\chi_\phi^*$  means the conjugate of  $\chi_\phi$  and  $|\chi_\phi - \chi_\phi^*| = \sqrt{(\chi_\phi - \chi_\phi^*)^\dagger (\chi_\phi - \chi_\phi^*)}$ .

**Theorem 3.**  $C_t(\phi)$  is a proper imaginarity measure of quantum channels.

*Proof.* The condition **(C<sub>1</sub>)** holds due to the definition of the trace norm.

There is  $\|\chi_\phi - \chi_\phi^*\|_{\text{Tr}} \geq \|\Theta(\chi_\phi) - \Theta(\chi_\phi^*)\|_{\text{Tr}}$  for any CPTP map [31], and it certainly holds for real superchannels. Since  $\Theta$  is a real superchannel, we can know that  $[\Theta(\chi_\phi)]^* = \Theta(\chi_\phi^*)$  due to

$$[\Theta(\chi_\phi)]^* = \left[ \sum_m M_m \chi_\phi M_m^\dagger \right]^* = \sum_m M_m \chi_\phi^* M_m^\dagger = \Theta(\chi_\phi^*).$$

Thus **(C<sub>2</sub>)** is satisfied.

Under the requirement of **(C<sub>5</sub>)**, from Property 4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} C_t(\chi_\phi) &= C_t(p_1\chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2\chi_{\phi_2}) = \|(p_1\chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2\chi_{\phi_2}) - (p_1\chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2\chi_{\phi_2})^*\|_{\text{Tr}} \\ &= \|(p_1\chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2\chi_{\phi_2}) - (p_1\chi_{\phi_1}^* \oplus p_2\chi_{\phi_2}^*)\|_{\text{Tr}} = \|p_1(\chi_{\phi_1} - \chi_{\phi_1}^*) \oplus p_2(\chi_{\phi_2} - \chi_{\phi_2}^*)\|_{\text{Tr}} \\ &= p_1\|(\chi_{\phi_1} - \chi_{\phi_1}^*)\|_{\text{Tr}} + p_2\|(\chi_{\phi_2} - \chi_{\phi_2}^*)\|_{\text{Tr}} = p_1C_t(\chi_{\phi_1}) + p_2C_t(\chi_{\phi_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $C_r(\phi)$  satisfies **(C<sub>1</sub>)**+**(C<sub>2</sub>)**+**(C<sub>5</sub>)**.  $\square$



### 4.3 Measure via on the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy

The sandwiched Rényi relative entropy [32] defined for quantum states with the parameter  $\alpha$  as follow:

$$D_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr}(\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha,$$

where Now, we will introduce the imaginarity measure of quantum channels via on the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy, that is,

$$C_\alpha(\phi) = 1 - \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_\phi (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha,$$

where  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$ . We have the following results.

**Theorem 4.**  $C_\alpha(\phi)$  is a proper imaginarity measure of quantum channels.

*Proof.* It is shown [32] that  $D_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0$  when  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$  and equation holds if and only if  $\rho = \sigma$ , that is,  $\text{Tr}(\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha \leq 1$ . Thus we consider Choi state as a quantum state and then we have,  $C_\alpha(\phi) \geq 0$ . The equation holds if and only if  $\chi_\phi = \chi_\phi^*$ . The condition  $(C_1)$  is satisfied.

From [32], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(\chi_\phi||\chi_\phi^*) &\geq D_\alpha[\Theta(\chi_\phi)||\Theta(\chi_\phi^*)] \\ \iff \log \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_\phi (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha &\leq \log \text{Tr} \left\{ [\Theta(\chi_\phi^*)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \Theta(\chi_\phi) [\Theta(\chi_\phi^*)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right\}^\alpha \\ \iff \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_\phi (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha &\leq \text{Tr} \left\{ [\Theta(\chi_\phi^*)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \Theta(\chi_\phi) [\Theta(\chi_\phi^*)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right\}^\alpha \\ \iff \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_\phi (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha &\leq \text{Tr} \left\{ ([\Theta(\chi_\phi)]^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \Theta(\chi_\phi) ([\Theta(\chi_\phi)]^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right\}^\alpha \\ \iff C_\alpha(\phi) &\geq C_\alpha[\Theta(\phi)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(C_2)$  holds.

Under the requirement of  $(C_5)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_\phi (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha &= \text{Tr} \left\{ [(p_1 \chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2 \chi_{\phi_2})^*]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} (p_1 \chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2 \chi_{\phi_2}) [(p_1 \chi_{\phi_1} \oplus p_2 \chi_{\phi_2})^*]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right\}^\alpha \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \bigoplus_{j=1}^2 p_j^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} p_j p_j^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} (\chi_{\phi_j}^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_{\phi_j} (\chi_{\phi_j}^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right\}^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 p_j \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_{\phi_j}^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_{\phi_j} (\chi_{\phi_j}^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we obtain:

$$C_\alpha(\phi) = 1 - \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_\phi (\chi_\phi^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^2 p_j \left\{ 1 - \text{Tr} \left[ (\chi_{\phi_j}^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \chi_{\phi_j} (\chi_{\phi_j}^*)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right]^\alpha \right\} = p_1 C_\alpha(\phi_1) + p_2 C_\alpha(\phi_2)$$

The condition  $(C_5)$  holds. □

## 5 Conclusion

We refine the framework of imaginarity of quantum channels which originally only has  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$  for its measure. We add two conditions, strong monotonicity  $(C_3)$  and convexity  $(C_4)$ . Besides, we give some properties of imaginarity measure of quantum channels. Sometimes it is not easy to verify strong monotonicity and convexity, so we introduce an alternative framework, that is,  $(C_3) + (C_4)$  is equivalent to  $(C_5)$  when  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_2)$  hold. This is analogous to imaginarity or coherence of quantum states. Since Choi states can embed quantum states, we also think that imaginarity measures of quantum states can also be used for quantum channels and present three imaginarity measures for quantum channels, they are  $C_r$ ,  $C_t$  and  $C_\alpha$  via on the robustness, the trace norm and the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy, respectively.

## Acknowledgement

We thank Jianwei Xu for helpful discussions and comments. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 12271474).

## References

- [1] A. Hickey and G. Gour. Quantifying the Imaginarity of Quantum Mechanics. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, **51**, 414009(2018).
- [2] K. D. Wu, T. V. Kondra, S. Rana, C. M. Scandolo, G. Y. Xiang, C. F. Li, G. C. Guo and A. Streltsov. Operational Resource Theory of Imaginarity. *Physical Review Letters*, **126**, 090401(2021).
- [3] K. D. Wu, T. V. Kondra, C. M. Scandolo, S. Rana, G. Y. Xiang, C. F. Li, G. C. Guo and A. Streltsov. Resource Theory of Imaginarity: New Distributed Scenarios. *arXiv:2301.04782*, (2023).
- [4] Q. Chen, T. Gao and F. L. Yan. Measures of imaginarity and quantum state order. *Science China Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy*, **66**, 1(2023).
- [5] J. W. Xu. Quantifying the imaginarity of quantum states via tsallis relative entropy. *arXiv:2311.12547*, (2023).
- [6] X. Y. Chen and Q. Lei. Imaginarity measure induced by relative entropy. *arXiv:2404.00637*, (2024).
- [7] S. N. Xue, J. Guo, P. Li, M. F. Ye and Y. M. Li. Quantification of resource theory of imaginarity. *Quantum Information Processing*, **20**, 383(2021).
- [8] A. Mani and V. Karimipour. Cohering and decohering power of quantum channels. *Physical Review A*, **92**, 032331(2015).
- [9] K. B. Dana, M. G. Díaz, M. Mejatty, and A. Winter. Resource theory of coherence: Beyond states. *Physical Review A*, **95**, 062327(2017).
- [10] F. Leditzky, E. Kaur, N. Datta and M. M. Wilde. Approaches for approximate additivity of the Holevo information of quantum channels. *Physical Review A*, **97**, 012332(2018).
- [11] X. Yuan. Hypothesis testing and entropies of quantum channels. *Physical Review A*, **99**, 032317(2019).
- [12] X. Wang, M. M. Wilde and Y Su. Quantifying the magic of quantum channels. *New Journal of Physical*, **21**, 103002(2019).
- [13] Z. W. Liu and A. Winter. Resource theories of quantum channels and the universal role of resource erasure. *arXiv:1904.04201*, (2019).
- [14] J. W. Xu. Coherence of quantum channels. *Physical Review A*, **100**, 052311(2019).
- [15] H. Q. Zhou, T. Gao and F. L. Yan. Quantifying the entanglement of quantum channel. *Physical Review A*, **4**, 013200(2022).
- [16] J. W. Xu. Coherence of quantum Gaussian channels. *Physical Letters A*, **387**, 127028(2021).
- [17] Y. Luo, M. F. Ye and Y. M. Li. Coherence weight of quantum channels. *Physica A*, **599**, 127510(2022).
- [18] Z. X. Jin, L. M. Yang, S. M. Fei, X. Li-Jost, Z. X. Wang, G. L. Long and C. F. Qiao. Maximum relative entropy of coherence for quantum channels. *Science China Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy*, **64**, 280311(2021).
- [19] Y. J. Fan, X. Guo and X. Y. Yang. Quantifying coherence of quantum channels via trace distance. *Quantum Information Processing*, **21**, 339(2022).
- [20] M. F. Ye, Y. Luo and Y. M. Li. Quantifying channel coherence via the norm distance. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, **57**, 015307(2024).

- [21] J. R. Fan, Z. Q. Wu and S. M. Fei. Quantifying coherence of quantum channels based on the generalized  $\alpha$ - $z$ -relative Rényi entropy. *Quantum Information Processing*, **23**, 100(2024).
- [22] X. D. Yu, D. J. Zhang, G. F. Xu and D. M. Tong. Alternative framework for quantifying coherence. *Physical Review A*, **94**, 060302(2016).
- [23] S. Y. Kong, Y. J. Wu, Q. Q. L, Z. X. Wang and S. M. Fei. An Alternative Framework For Quantifying Coherence Of Quantum Channels. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **61**, 113(2022).
- [24] Q. Chen. The research of measures of imaginarity under imaginarity resource theory. M.S. thesis. Hebei Normal University, Hebei, China, (2022).
- [25] E. Zanoni and C. M. Scandolo. Choi-Defined Resource Theories. *arXiv:2402.12569*, (2024).
- [26] G. Chiribella, G. M. D'Ariano and P. Perinotti. Transforming quantum operations: Quantum supermaps. *Europhysics Letters*, **83**, 30004(2008).
- [27] M. D. Choi. Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **10**, 285(1975).
- [28] A. Sanpera, R. Tarrach and G. Vidal. Local description of quantum inseparability. *Physical Review A*, **58**, 826(1998).
- [29] C. Napoli, T. R. Bromley, M. Cianciaruso, M. Piani, N. Johnston and G. Adesso. Robustness of Coherence: An Operational and Observable Measure of Quantum Coherence inseparability. *Physical Review Letters*, **116**, 150502(2016).
- [30] R. Takagi and B. Regula. General Resource Theories in Quantum Mechanics and Beyond: Operational Characterization via Discrimination Tasks. *Physical Review X*, **9**, 826(2019).
- [31] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang. Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. *Cambridge University Press*, (2000).
- [32] M. Müller-Lennert, F. Dupuis, O. Szehr, S. Fehr and M. Tomamichel. On quantum rényi entropies: a new definition, some properties and several conjectures. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **54**, 122203(2013).