Dynamics of McMillan mappings II. Axially symmetric map

T. Zolkin^{*} and B. Cathey[†] Fermilab, PO Box 500, Batavia, IL 60510

S. Nagaitsev

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973 and Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529 (Dated: May 10, 2024)

In this article, we investigate the transverse dynamics of a single particle in a model integrable accelerator lattice, based on a McMillan axially-symmetric electron lens. Although the McMillan e-lens has been considered as a device potentially capable of mitigating collective space charge forces, some of its fundamental properties have not been described yet. The main goal of our work is to close this gap and understand the limitations and potentials of this device. It is worth mentioning that the McMillan axially symmetric map provides the first-order approximations of dynamics for a general linear lattice plus an arbitrary thin lens with motion separable in polar coordinates. Therefore, advancements in its understanding should give us a better picture of more generic and not necessarily integrable round beams. In the first part of the article, we classify all possible regimes with stable trajectories and find the canonical action-angle variables. This provides an evaluation of the dynamical aperture, Poincaré rotation numbers as functions of amplitudes, and thus determines the spread in nonlinear tunes. Also, we provide a parameterization of invariant curves, allowing for the immediate determination of the map image forward and backward in time. The second part investigates the particle dynamics as a function of system parameters. We show that there are three fundamentally different configurations of the accelerator optics causing different regimes of nonlinear oscillations. Each regime is considered in great detail, including the limiting cases of large and small amplitudes. In addition, we analyze the dynamics in Cartesian coordinates and provide a description of observable variables and corresponding spectra.

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the behavior of physical systems is often a challenging task, requiring the use of numerical simulations and approximate methods. However, systems with exact analytical solutions hold a special place in science. These rare findings provide important insights into the underlying principles governing the dynamics of a system. They serve as benchmarks for testing numerical algorithms and approximation techniques, allowing us to validate our models and gain confidence in their predictions. Moreover, exact solutions offer a deeper understanding of the fundamental mechanisms at play, shedding light on the interplay of various factors and revealing hidden symmetries. While such problems are few and far between, their study paves the way for a more comprehensive understanding of more general situations.

Integrable systems, in particular, occupy a central role in the realm of exact solutions. They possess a rich mathematical structure that allows for the explicit determination of their behavior. The existence of conserved quantities, often referred to as the *integrals* or *constants of motion*, provides remarkable stability and predictability. This property is particularly valuable in accelerator physics, as it allows for long-term predictions of the system's dynamics. Such constants often correspond to physical quantities with significant importance, e.g. energy, momentum, or angular momentum and are intimately connected to symmetries. Exploration of integrability not only provides us with a wealth of exact solutions, but it also serves as a powerful tool for understanding the behavior of more complex and non-integrable cases involving chaotic behavior.

The classical central-force problem emerges as a natural extension of two fundamental and historically significant integrable systems: the Kepler problem and the isotropic harmonic oscillator. The Kepler problem, formulated by Johannes Kepler in the 17-th century, describes the motion of two bodies under the influence of gravitational forces and represents one of the earliest examples of an exactly solvable dynamical system. The solution by Isaac Newton, published in his monumental work "Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy" (1687), provided a physical basis for Kepler's laws and allowed for the derivation of closed form solutions. On the other hand, the isotropic oscillator is another cornerstone since it serves as a fundamental model in various fields of classical and quantum mechanics. It lays the foundation for studying harmonic motion and serves as a basis for various approximation methods.

Remarkably, while a general central-force problem is one of the most well-studied types of integrable systems, only a select few potentials yield exact solutions expressible in terms of well-known functions. Although numer-

^{*} zolkin@fnal.gov

[†] catheybl@ornl.gov

ical methods offer solutions for almost any central-force problem with arbitrary forces, the existence of closedform formulae remains a rarity. For instance, power-law forces yield analytical solutions in terms of circular and elliptic functions only when the exponent takes specific values, such as 1, -2, and -3 for circular functions, and -7, -5, -4, 0, 3, 5, -3/2, -5/2, -1/3, -5/3, and -7/3for elliptic functions, [1–3]. In this article, we present a novel exact solution to another central-force problem arising from a generalization of the famous McMillan integrable mapping [4] to higher dimensions by imposing axial symmetry. Notably, the resulting system features a biquadratic radial invariant and a more general Hamiltonian compared to traditional classical mechanics.

The axially symmetric McMillan map stands out as the only known exactly integrable nonlinear map in four dimensions that can be realized in accelerator physics by inserting a specialized nonlinear electron lens. This map, originally proposed by V. Danilov and E. Perevedentsev [5], emerged from their analytical investigation into the application of integrable systems to round colliding beams, with the aim of enhancing the beam-beam limit. The first practical but approximate concept to realize such a system was proposed in Ref. [6]. An electron lens is a device, which uses a low-energy electron beam to provide nonlinear focusing [7] for other beams, for example protons or high-energy electrons. At present, an experimental implementation of such a device is being developed for the Fermilab IOTA ring [8].

Other known approximate 4D implementations in accelerators of a 2D McMillan lens are described in Ref. [9]. The only known alternatives are systems based on continuous (opposite to discrete) dynamics proposed in [10–12].

More recently, it has been suggested that the axially symmetric electron lens of McMillan type can be used to mitigate the effects of space charge (SC) force [13– 15]. The linear component of SC force induces a betatron tune shift, particularly pronounced for particles at zero amplitude, while the nonlinear component gives rise to an amplitude-dependent betatron tune spread. These effects can lead to various undesirable phenomena in accelerators, such as emittance growth, particle losses, and beam halo formation.

Although the axially symmetric McMillan map has been known for some time, a complete analytical solution has remained elusive until now. The derived solution not only provides the dynamical variables, nonlinear tunes, and dynamical aperture but also sheds light on the possible operational options and different regimes of the lens. In addition, the system we present in this article holds importance as it serves as a first-order approximation of an accelerator lattice incorporating a general axially symmetric nonlinear lens and motion being separable in polar coordinates. By considering the expansion of the radial force as a series, it becomes possible to integrate out the first nonlinear term and obtain approximated invariant of motion and tunes. This approximation is particularly valuable in understanding the behavior of single particle dynamics in complex accelerator structures, where the inclusion of nonlinear lens elements plays a crucial role. By studying the properties and dynamics of this simplified integrable map, we gain insights into the general behavior of particles in accelerator lattices with round beams.

A. Article structure

The structure of our article is as follows: In Section II, we establish the mathematical framework and delve into the analysis of the intrinsic parameters and natural units of the system. In Section III, we focus on the analytical solution of the radial degree of freedom, while in Section IV, we address the angular motion. To provide further clarity and detailed derivations, Appendices A and B are included, offering additional insights into specific aspects of the analytical solutions. Moving forward, Section V examines the behavior of the system in limiting situations, specifically in the regimes of large and small amplitudes. Section VI presents a detailed analysis of different regimes of motion and includes several case studies. In Section VII we provide an approximated invariant for a general round beam lattice with thin nonlinear electron lens. Finally, Appendix C contains the definitions of special functions and a comprehensive list of integrals employed throughout our analysis. By structuring the article in this manner, we aim to provide a thorough and coherent exploration of the axially symmetric McMillan map and its implications in accelerator physics.

II. NATURAL UNITS

The most general lattice can be organized by combining a special linear insert followed by a thin axially symmetric kick, which represents a short electron McMillan lens. The linear insert should have equal horizontal and vertical betatron phase advances and Twiss parameters at the ends, but can otherwise be arbitrary. It can be represented by the following matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Phi + \alpha \sin \Phi & \beta \sin \Phi \\ \\ -\gamma \sin \Phi & \cos \Phi - \alpha \sin \Phi \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\gamma \beta - \alpha^2 = 1$ ensures the symplectic condition. Here, $q = \{x, y\}$ represents the set of transverse Cartesian coordinates and $\dot{q} = dq/ds$ represents the corresponding angular deviations of the particle, where s is the longitudinal coordinate along the accelerator. Throughout the rest of the article, the (') symbol will be used exclusively to denote the application of the map and not a derivative of any kind.

The nonlinear kick has three parameters, A, E, and Γ , but it needs to be "in tune" with the rest of the lattice through its dependence on Φ and β . With the use of polar coordinates (r, θ) , it can be written in the matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \delta \dot{r} \cos \theta \\ 0 \\ \delta \dot{r} \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

where

$$\delta \dot{r}(r) = -\frac{1}{\beta \sin \Phi} \frac{\mathbf{E} r}{\mathbf{A} r^2 + \Gamma} - \frac{2 r}{\beta} \cot \Phi$$

In experimental setups, this kick can be implemented by inserting an electron beam into the ring. Only two parameters remain independent:

$$r_m = \sqrt{|\Gamma/A|},$$
 and $k_m = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\Gamma},$

representing the characteristic transverse scale of nonlinearity (r_m) and the linear focusing strength of the lens (k_m) , respectively. The transverse current density of the beam should follow the expression:

$$j_e(r) = \frac{I_e}{\pi r_m^2} \left(1 + \operatorname{sgn} \left[\frac{\Gamma}{\Lambda} \right] \frac{r^2}{r_m^2} \right)^{-2},$$

that provides an integrated strength of the lens in a thin lens approximation:

$$k_m = \frac{2 e I_e L_m (1 - v_e v_p / c^2)}{\gamma_p m_p v_p^2 v_e r_m^2}.$$

Here, e represents the electron charge, L_m is the length of the electron beam insertion, I_m denotes the total electron beam current, $v_{e/p}$ are the velocities of electrons/protons, $\gamma_p = \sqrt{1 - v_p^2/c^2}$ is the Lorentz factor, and m_p stands for the mass of the proton.

The total map exhibits integrability with two functionally independent invariants, meaning they have a zero Poisson bracket. These invariants, denoted as \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 , can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_1[\dot{x},x,\dot{y},y] &= \mathrm{E}\,S(r,\dot{r}) + \mathrm{A}\,S^2(r,\dot{r}) + \\ &+ \Gamma\,\left[r^2 + \frac{S^2(r,\dot{r}) + (\mathcal{K}_2\,\alpha\sin\Phi)^2}{r^2}\right], \\ \mathcal{K}_2[\dot{x},x,\dot{y},y] &= x\,\dot{y} - \dot{x}\,y, \end{split}$$

where

$$S(r,\dot{r}) = r^2 \cos \Phi + r \, \dot{r} \, \alpha \, \sin \Phi$$

and r and \dot{r} represent the radial coordinate and velocity, respectively:

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \qquad \qquad \dot{r} = \frac{x \dot{x} + y \dot{y}}{r}.$$

Before proceeding with the solution, it is necessary to eliminate any dependent parameters and choose natural units in order to simplify the further analysis.

(I) As a first step, we can eliminate the parameters related to the linear lattice. This can be achieved by performing a transformation to a new set of coordinates and momenta:

$$\begin{bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} q \\ p_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \cos \Phi + \alpha \sin \Phi & \beta \sin \Phi \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2)

This transformation yields the most general "canonical form" of the axially symmetric McMillan map:

$$q' = p_q,$$

$$p'_q = -q - \frac{\mathbf{E} q'}{\mathbf{A} (r')^2 + \Gamma}.$$
(3)

In fact, this change of coordinates is equivalent to the original problem with $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ at the location of the nonlinear lens and $\Phi = \pi/2$. In polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x &= r \, \cos \theta, & r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \\ p_x &= p_r \, \cos \theta - \frac{p_\theta}{r} \, \sin \theta, & p_r &= (x \, p_x + y \, p_y)/r, \\ y &= r \, \sin \theta, & \theta &= \arctan(y/x), \\ p_y &= p_r \, \sin \theta + \frac{p_\theta}{r} \, \cos \theta, & p_\theta &= x \, p_x + y \, p_y, \end{aligned}$$

the equations of motion for a system can be written in a form such that the radial and angular degrees of freedom can be treated independently. Performing a change of variables provides equations of motion:

$$r' = \sqrt{p_r^2 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}}, \qquad \qquad \theta' = \theta + \tan\frac{p_\theta}{r p_r}, \qquad (4)$$
$$p'_r = -p_r \frac{r}{r'} - \frac{\mathrm{E} r'}{\mathrm{A} (r')^2 + \Gamma}, \qquad p'_\theta = p_\theta.$$

with corresponding radial

$$\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] = \mathbf{A} \, p_r^2 \, r^2 + \Gamma \left(p_r^2 + r^2 \right) + \mathbf{E} \, p_r \, r + \Gamma \, \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\theta}^2}{r^2} \quad (5)$$

and angular invariants

$$\mathcal{K}_{\theta}[p_{\theta}, \theta] = p_{\theta}$$

4

(II) The dynamics of a system is not affected by multiplying an invariant of motion by a constant value. After scaling the value of \mathcal{K} and readjusting the parameters of the map, the equations of motion and the form of the invariants remain unchanged. We can achieve this by introducing scaled quantities:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_r = \frac{\mathcal{K}_r}{A}, \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{E}} = \frac{\mathbf{E}}{A}, \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = \frac{\Gamma}{A},$$

With this scaling, the equations of motion (3, 4) and the invariants (5) can be expressed in the same form, but with A = 1:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_r[p_r, r] = p_r^2 r^2 + \widetilde{\Gamma} \left(p_r^2 + r^2 \right) + \widetilde{\mathrm{E}} \, p_r \, r + \widetilde{\Gamma} \, \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}.$$

(III) By measuring the Cartesian phase space coordinates in units of $\sqrt{|\tilde{\Gamma}|}$, we can introduce scaled variables:

$$\begin{split} (q,p_q) \, &\to \, (\overline{q},\overline{p}_q) = (q,p_q)/\sqrt{|\widetilde{\Gamma}|}, \\ (r,p_r) \, &\to \, (\overline{r},\overline{p}_r) = (r,p_r)/\sqrt{|\widetilde{\Gamma}|}, \\ p_\theta \, &\to \, \overline{p}_\theta = p_\theta/|\widetilde{\Gamma}|. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, by performing another rescaling:

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}}_r = \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_r}{\widetilde{\Gamma} |\widetilde{\Gamma}|}, \qquad \overline{\mathrm{E}} = \frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}}{\widetilde{\Gamma}},$$

we effectively eliminate the dependence on the absolute value of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Introducing a parameter

$$a \equiv -\overline{\mathbf{E}} = -\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\Gamma}$$

and removing all overlines, we arrive at the final form of the transformation that depends only on one parameter:

$$q' = p_q,$$

$$p'_q = -q + \frac{a q'}{1 + \operatorname{sgn}[\Gamma] r'^2},$$
(6)

or in polar coordinates

$$r' = \sqrt{p_r^2 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}}, \qquad \qquad \theta' = \theta + \arctan\frac{p_\theta}{p_r r},$$
$$p'_r = -p_r \frac{r}{r'} + \frac{a r'}{1 + \operatorname{sgn}[\Gamma] r'^2}, \qquad p'_\theta = p_\theta.$$
(7)

(IV) In the new coordinates, the radial invariant takes the form:

$$\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] = \underbrace{p_r^2 - a \, p_r \, r + r^2}_{\propto \text{Courant-Snyder}} + \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma) \, p_r^2 \, r^2}_{\text{nonlinearity}} + \underbrace{p_{\theta}^2 / r^2}_{\text{rotation}}.$$

This form of the invariant has a clear physical interpretation for each term. The first group of terms, with a combined power of r and p_r equal to 2, represents the linear part of the integral of motion and is proportional to the Courant-Snyder invariant. The parameter a corresponds to the trace of the Jacobian evaluated at the origin, providing the betatron tune:

$$\nu_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \arccos \frac{a}{2}.$$

It's worth noting that the betatron of the lattice is defined solely based on the parameters of the nonlinear lens and is independent of Φ .

The second group of terms is responsible for the nonlinearity in the system. The sign of Γ plays a crucial role and distinguishes two different types of electron lenses: axially symmetric focusing and defocusing McMillan octupoles for $\Gamma > 0$ and $\Gamma < 0$, respectively.

Finally, the last term can be interpreted as similar to the kinetic energy of rotation:

$$\frac{p_{\theta}^2}{2\,m\,r^2}$$

appearing in the Hamiltonian formulation of the central force problem.

III. RADIAL MOTION

In this section, we focus on the radial motion of the system. After separating the variables, we obtain a symplectic map of the plane, which corresponds to an oscillatory system with one degree of freedom. In this system, p_{θ} plays the role of a parameter, similar to *a*. The transformation is invertible and can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{r}^{\pm}: \qquad r' = \sqrt{p_{r}^{2} + \frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{r^{2}}}, \qquad r > 0,$$
$$p_{r}' = -p_{r} \frac{r}{r'} + f(r'), \qquad f(r) = \frac{a r}{1 \pm r^{2}},$$
$$(\mathcal{M}_{r}^{\pm})^{-1}: r' = \sqrt{(f(r) - p_{r})^{2} + \frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{r^{2}}},$$
$$p_{r}' = -(f(r) - p_{r}) \frac{r}{r'}.$$

The radial invariant takes the form:

$$\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] = p_r^2 - a \, p_r \, r + r^2 \pm p_r^2 r^2 + \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{r^2}.$$

Here, the plus/minus sign in teal represents one of the two possible configurations of the electron lens: the upper/lower sign will always corresponds to $\Gamma = 1$ or $\Gamma = -1$. Results specific to only one of the configurations will be labeled as $[\Gamma_+]$ or $[\Gamma_-]$ respectively. Since the derivations in both instances are highly similar, we will present the results concisely, using the colored sign to distinguish between the two. In the next subsections, we explore the fundamental properties of the mappings \mathcal{M}_r^{\pm} , while leaving the details of lengthy calculations for Appendix A.



FIG. 1. Symmetries of the radial part of the axially symmetric McMillan map. The black closed curve represents a constant level set of the radial invariant $\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] = 1/2$ for the map \mathcal{M}_r^+ with a = 1.9 and $p_\theta = 0.1$. The dashed and solid cyan curves correspond to constant level sets of $\mathcal{K}_1[p_r, r] = p_r r$ and $\mathcal{K}_2[p_r, r] = r$, respectively, while the dashed and solid green curves represent the first and second symmetry lines, $l_{1,2}$. The intersection of $l_1 \cap l_2$ is a fixed point of the map. The left two plots depict three different initial conditions (black dots) and their images (hollow dots) under $\mathbb{R}_{1,2}$. The right plot shows the same three points, chosen as consequent images $\xi_{-1} \to \xi_0 \to \xi_1$ under the map \mathcal{M}_r^+ . The hollow dots now represent the iterations of ξ_0 under $\mathbb{R}_{1,2}$.

A. Symmetry lines

The direct and inverse mappings of this system can be broken down into a composition of two nonlinear reflections, denoted by R_1 and R_2 , as follows

$$\mathcal{M}_r^{\pm} = \mathbf{R}_2 \circ \mathbf{R}_1, \qquad \qquad (\mathcal{M}_r^{\pm})^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_1 \circ \mathbf{R}_2,$$

where

R₁:
$$r' = \sqrt{p_r^2 + \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{r^2}}$$
, R₂: $r' = r$,
and
 $p'_r = -p_r \frac{r}{r'}$, $p'_r = -p_r + f(r)$.

This factorization was originally employed by G. D. Birkhoff and is made possible by the reversibility of the map, please consult [16, 17] for the comprehensive list of references and details. Both transformations are antiarea preserving involutions, which means they are their own inverses and their Jacobian determinants are equal to minus one:

$$R_{1,2} = R_{1,2}^{-1}, \qquad R_{1,2}^2 = I_2, \qquad \det J_{R_{1,2}} = -1,$$

where I_2 is the identity matrix. Each transformation is integrable and has a trivial invariant

$$\mathcal{K}_1[p_r, r] = p_r r, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{K}_2[p_r, r] = r,$$

satisfying the condition

$$\mathcal{K}_i[\mathbf{R}_i(p_r, r)] - \mathcal{K}_i[p_r, r] = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, each reflection, and thus their composition, preserves the radial invariant:

$$\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] - \mathcal{K}_r[\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(p_r, r)] = 0$$

The left two plots in Fig. 1 provide an illustration by showing three different points belonging to a constant level set of \mathcal{K}_r and their images under $\mathbf{R}_{1,2}$. Notice how the image of each point again belongs to \mathcal{K}_r and $\mathcal{K}_{1,2}$, respectively.

Therefore, for both reflections R_1 and R_2 , almost all initial conditions belong to period 2 orbits called 2-*cycles*, such that $(r'', p''_r) = (r, p_r)$. In addition, there are stationary initial conditions satisfying $(r', p'_r) = (r, p_r)$ and known as *fixed points*. These fixed points form a continuous line of equilibrium solutions. The transformation R_1 maps point in phase space with respect to the line

$$l_1: p_r^2 = r^2 - \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}$$

while R₂ reflects it vertically with respect to the line

$$l_2: \quad p_r = \frac{f(r)}{2}.$$

We refer to these lines as the *first* and *second symmetry lines*, respectively. In particular, it is clear that if \mathcal{M}_r^{\pm} has any fixed points, they should belong to the intersection of l_1 and l_2 , and vice versa (see Fig. 1).

The iteration of \mathcal{M}_r^{\pm} can be interpreted geometrically, as illustrated in the right plot of Fig. 1. Starting with any point $\xi_0 = (\{r\}_0, \{p_r\}_0)$ on the invariant curve $\mathcal{K}_r = \text{const}$, we can obtain its image $\xi_1 = \mathcal{M}_r^{\pm}\xi_0$ by first reflecting ξ_0 with respect to the first symmetry line, while ensuring that it remains on the hyperbola $p_r r = \text{const}$ and $\mathcal{K}_r = \text{const}$. Subsequently, we reflect it vertically (r = const) with respect to the second symmetry line. The inverse image of a point can be obtained by reversing the order of operations, i.e., $\xi_{-1} = (\mathcal{M}_r^{\pm})^{-1} \xi_0$. Additional examples that illustrate the symmetry lines for various system parameters are shown in Fig.2.



FIG. 2. Constant level sets of the radial invariant $\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r]$ for different values of parameters. All plots are provided on a square $r, p_r \in (-1.6, 1.6)$ with the nonphysical area of negative radius colored in gray. The regular level sets are shown with black curves, while the level sets associated with unstable fixed points are shown with thick black curves. Stable and unstable fixed points of the map are indicated with green and white respectively. The top and bottom rows are for configurations $[\Gamma_+]$ and $[\Gamma_-]$. Roman numerals are used to indicate regimes with possible stable trajectories: I and II for $[\Gamma_+]$ with |a| < 2 and |a| > 2, respectively, and III for $[\Gamma_-]$ with |a| < 2. Sub-cases I-, II-, III-0 represent situations with $p_{\theta} = 0$. I-, II-, III-1 are for typical situations with stable trajectories and $p_{\theta} \neq 0$. Sub-cases III-2,3 illustrate saddle-node bifurcation and the loss of global stability.

B. Fixed points

Next, let's examine the fixed points of the transformations, denoted as (r_*, p_*) . They can be found by either using the definition $(r', p'_r) = (r, p_r)$, examining symmetry lines

$$(r_*, p_*): (r_*, p_*) \in l_1 \cap l_2,$$

or by identifying the critical points of the invariant [18]:

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}_r}{\partial r} = 2r \left(1 \pm p_r^2\right) - a p_r, \\ 0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}_r}{\partial p_r} = 2p_r \left(1 \pm r^2\right) - ar - \frac{2p_{\theta}^2}{r^3}. \end{cases}$$

This leads to an equation for the roots of the even polynomial of degree 8:

$$\mathcal{P}_8(r_*) = r_*^8 \pm 2 \, r_*^6 + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{4} - p_\theta^2\right) r_*^4 \pm 2 \, p_\theta^2 \, r_*^2 - p_\theta^2 = 0.$$

The analysis of fixed points, particularly the examination of their number and stability, provides valuable insights into the occurrence of bifurcations and the characterization of different dynamical regimes. Depending on the configuration $[\Gamma_{\pm}]$ and the values of the parameters, the following scenarios should be considered.

• In the case $[\Gamma_+]$, for any values of a and almost all values of angular momentum (except $p_{\theta} = 0$), the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_8(r)$ has only one positive root. This root corresponds to the stable fixed point and is denoted by r_*^{st} . Refer to the top row of Fig. 2. We can distinguish two different regimes based on the absolute value of a, with corresponding Roman numerals I and II.

• In the case of $[\Gamma_{-}]$, there are two sub-cases to distinguish. For |a| < 2, we introduce the critical value of the angular momentum p_{θ}^{crit} , given by

$$p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}} = \left[1 - \left(|a|/2\right)^{2/3}\right]^{3/2} < 1.$$

When $|p_{\theta}| < p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}$, the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_8(r)$ has three positive roots:

$$0 < r_*^{\mathrm{st}} < r_*^{\mathrm{sep}} < 1 < r_*^{\mathrm{un}}.$$

Here, r_*^{sep} corresponds to the unstable fixed point with a

separatrix that isolates stable trajectories and $r_*^{\rm un}$ is the second unstable fixed point. When the absolute value of the angular momentum exceeds the critical value, the two equilibria $r_*^{\rm st}$ and $r_*^{\rm sep}$ collide and annihilate in a saddle-node bifurcation. See the last two plots in the bottom row of Fig. 2. This regime is denoted by the Roman numeral III. For |a| > 2, there is only one unstable equilibrium $r_*^{\rm unst} > 1$. However, since the global dynamics is unstable, we will omit any further consideration.

C. Stop points

We can solve for the radial momentum from the invariant of motion, which gives us the expression:

$$p_r = \frac{f(r)}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_6(r)}}{r\,(1\pm r^2)} \tag{8}$$

where the violet-colored upper/lower sign corresponds to the solution on the upper/lower half of the closed invariant curve, respectively. The polynomial under the square root is given by:

$$\mathcal{G}_{6}(r) = \mp r^{6} - \left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2} \mp \mathcal{K}_{r}\right] r^{4} + \left(\mathcal{K}_{r} \pm p_{\theta}^{2}\right) r^{2} - p_{\theta}^{2}$$

Alternatively, we can introduce a new variable $\zeta = r^2$ to obtain:

$$\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta) = \mp \zeta^3 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2 \mp \mathcal{K}_r\right] \zeta^2 + \left(\mathcal{K}_r \pm p_\theta^2\right) \zeta - p_\theta^2.$$

For a stable trajectory, the radius is bounded as $r_{-} \leq r \leq r_{+}$ with stopping points belonging to the second symmetry line. This means that they have to be solutions of $\mathcal{G}_6(r) = 0$. Depending on the configuration of the nonlinear lens, we have:

$$\begin{split} [\Gamma_{+}] : & \mathcal{G}_{3}(\zeta) = (\zeta_{3} - \zeta)(\zeta - \zeta_{2})(\zeta - \zeta_{1}), \text{ where} \\ & \zeta_{1} < 0 < \zeta_{2} \le \zeta \le \zeta_{3}, \qquad r_{\mp} = \sqrt{\zeta_{2,3}} = \sqrt{\zeta_{\mp}}, \\ [\Gamma_{-}] : & \mathcal{G}_{3}(\zeta) = (\zeta_{3} - \zeta)(\zeta_{2} - \zeta)(\zeta - \zeta_{1}), \text{ where} \\ & 0 < \zeta_{1} \le \zeta \le \zeta_{2} < \zeta_{3} < 1, \quad r_{\mp} = \sqrt{\zeta_{1,2}} = \sqrt{\zeta_{\mp}}. \end{split}$$

Using Vieta's formulas we can also express the map parameter a and invariants as functions of the roots of $\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)$:

$$(a/2)^{2} = \prod_{i=1}^{3} (1 \pm \zeta_{i}),$$

$$p_{\theta}^{2} = \mp \prod_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_{i},$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{r} = -\prod_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_{i} \mp \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}}^{3} \zeta_{i} \zeta_{j}.$$
(9)

D. Action-angle variables

The concept of action-angle variables is also can be applied to symplectic mappings of the plane [19, 20]. In the action-angle variables, the equations of motion take the form commonly known as a "twist map"

$$J' = J,$$

$$\psi' = \psi + 2\pi \nu(J)$$

Similar to Hamiltonian mechanics, the dynamics of the system can be decomposed into two parts: the action variables, which remain constant, and the angle variable, which changes linearly at a constant rate proportional to the rotation number ν :

$$\{\psi\}_n = \{\psi\}_0 + 2\pi\nu n.$$

For the radial degree of freedom, the action can be expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals of the third kind (see Appendix C for definitions of all special functions). Specifically, using Eq. (8) we have

$$J_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_r \, \mathrm{d}r = \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1} \frac{\kappa'^2}{\pi} \left\{ -\zeta_{\pm} \Pi \left[\kappa^2 \frac{\zeta_{2\mp 1}}{\zeta_2}, \kappa \right] \right.$$
$$\left. \pm \left(1 \pm \zeta_{\pm} \right) \Pi \left[\kappa^2 \frac{1 \pm \zeta_{2\mp 1}}{1 \pm \zeta_2}, \kappa \right] \mp \Pi \left[\kappa^2, \kappa \right] \right\},$$

where the integral is taken over a closed invariant curve with \mathcal{K}_r held constant. The *elliptic modulus* $\kappa = \kappa_{\pm}$ and *complementary modulus* $\kappa' \equiv \sqrt{1 - \kappa^2} = \kappa_{\mp}$ are given in terms of the roots of $\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)$

$$\kappa_{+} = \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{-} = \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1}{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}. \quad (10)$$

E. Parametrization of the map

The map can be parametrized using Jacobi elliptic functions. The expressions for the variables in terms of these functions are as follows:

$$\{r\}_{n} = \sqrt{r_{\pm}^{2} \mp (r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}) \operatorname{sn}^{2} [\delta \phi \, n \mp \phi_{0}, \kappa]},$$

$$\{\dot{r}\}_{n} = \mp \frac{2}{\kappa} \frac{(r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2})^{3/2}}{\{r\}_{n}} \operatorname{sn} [\delta \phi \, n \mp \phi_{0}, \kappa] \times$$

$$\times \operatorname{cn} [\delta \phi \, n \mp \phi_{0}, \kappa] \operatorname{dn} [\delta \phi \, n \mp \phi_{0}, \kappa],$$

$$\{p_r\}_n = \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{\{\dot{r}\}_n + a \, \{r\}_n}{1 \pm \{r\}_n^2},$$

where the phase advance $\delta\phi$ and the initial phase ϕ_0 can be expressed using complete and incomplete elliptic integrals of the first kind. For more information, please refer



FIG. 3. Parametrization of the radial map. The left plot shows the constant level sets of the radial invariant for the same set of parameters as in Fig. 1. The level set $\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] = 1/2$ (shown with a bold curve) contains 9 iterations ξ_i of the initial condition ξ_0 (marked with a red numerals *i*). The right plot shows continuous solutions r(t) and $p_r(t)$ of the corresponding Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r; t]$ for initial conditions $r, p_r(0) = \{r, p_r\}_0$. The discretization of r(t) and $p_r(t)$ at equidistant time intervals t = n T' (shown with blue and orange dots) is equivalent to the iterations of the map $\mathcal{M}_r^n \xi_0$.

to Appendix A. Specifically, we have

$$\delta \phi = 2 \nu_r \operatorname{K}[\kappa], \qquad \phi_0 = \pm \operatorname{F}\left[\operatorname{arcsin} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_{\pm} - \{r\}_0^2}{\zeta_{\pm} - \zeta_2}}, \kappa \right],$$

where ν_r is the radial rotation number of the map

 $\nu_r = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mu_r, & a \geq 0, \\ \\ 1-\mu_r, & a < 0, \end{array} \right. \label{eq:relation}$

and

$$\mu_r = \frac{1}{2 \operatorname{K}[\kappa]} \operatorname{F}\left[\operatorname{arcsin} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}{1 \pm \zeta_{\pm}}}, \kappa \right].$$

The elliptic modulus κ for all elliptic functions is determined by the same equation (10) as for the action integral.

Figure 3 provides an illustration of the equations mentioned above. It depicts the parametrization of a specific level set \mathcal{K}_r (left plot) using the continuous functions r(t)and $p_r(t)$ (right plot). This parametrization is obtained through the solutions of the corresponding Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H}[p_r, r; t]$ (see Appendix A). The discretization of r and p_r at constant time intervals $t = n \mathbf{T}'$ corresponds to the iteration of the map with initial conditions given by

$$\{r\}_0 = r(0),$$

$$\{p_r\}_0 = p_r(0).$$

In this case, the radial rotation number has a clear interpretation [21, 22] as the ratio

$$\nu_r = \frac{\mathbf{T}'}{\mathbf{T}_r}$$

where T_r is the time period of r(t).

IV. ANGULAR MOTION

In this section, we present analytical results for the angular part of the map, while the actual derivations are provided in Appendix B. The mapping equations are given by:

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\theta})^{\pm 1}: p'_{\theta} = p_{\theta},$$

 $\theta' = \theta \pm \arctan \frac{p_{\theta}}{r p_{r}}$

It is evident that p_{θ} is invariant, and hence, the study of dynamics is essentially reduced to a non-autonomous circle map that has explicit dependence on the iteration number through the radial variables. The angular variable can be parametrized with the help of Jacobi's amplitude, am, as follows:

$$\{\theta\}_n = \{\theta\}_0 + \{\delta\Theta\}_n - \{\delta\Theta\}_0 + (\Delta'_\theta - \Delta'_\Theta) n,$$

$$\{\delta\Theta\}_n = \frac{p_\theta}{\zeta_{\pm}\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \prod \left[\operatorname{am} \left[\delta\phi \, n \mp \phi_0, \kappa\right], 1 - \frac{\zeta_{\mp}}{\zeta_{\pm}}, \kappa \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\Theta}' &= \begin{cases} \Delta_{\mu}, & a \ge 0, \\ \Delta_{\Theta} - \Delta_{\mu}, & a < 0, \end{cases} \\ \Delta_{\theta}' &= \arctan\left(\frac{2\,p_{\theta}}{a}\,\frac{1\pm\zeta_{\pm}}{\zeta_{\pm}}\right) + \pi\,\mathrm{sgn}[p_{\theta}]\,\mathrm{H}[-a], \\ \Delta_{\Theta} &= \frac{2\,p_{\theta}}{\zeta_{\pm}\,\sqrt{\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}}}\,\Pi\left[1-\frac{\zeta_{\mp}}{\zeta_{\pm}},\kappa\right], \\ \Delta_{\mu} &= \frac{p_{\theta}}{\zeta_{\pm}\,\sqrt{\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}}}\,\Pi\left[\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}}{1\pm\zeta_{\pm}}},1-\frac{\zeta_{\mp}}{\zeta_{\pm}},\kappa\right]. \end{aligned}$$



FIG. 4. Parametrization of the angular map \mathcal{M}_{θ} . The left plot shows the constant level sets of the angular invariant. The level set $p_{\theta} = 0.1$ (shown with a bold curve) contains 9 iterations for the same set of initial conditions as in Fig. 3 and $\{\theta\}_0 = 0$. The right plot shows the continuous solution for the angular coordinate $\theta(t)$ and the canonical angle variable $\psi_{\theta}(t)$. The discretization of $\theta(t)$ at the same rate T' is equivalent to the iterations of the map \mathcal{M}_{θ} .

The solution is obtained by discretizing the arithmetic quasiperiodic function $\{\theta\}_n = \theta(n T')$ (see Fig. 4), which can be written as a sum of periodic and linear functions

$$\theta(t) = \theta_{\rm per}(t) + \frac{\nu_r \Delta_\Theta + \Delta_\theta' - \Delta_\Theta'}{{\rm T}'} \, t, \label{eq:theta_eq}$$

such that

$$\forall t: \ \theta_{\rm per}(t+{\rm T}_r) = \theta_{\rm per}(t).$$

The linear advancement of the angular coordinate is equal to that of the canonical angle variable, defining the angular rotation number, ν_{θ} . In terms of action-angle variables, the angular map can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{\theta} &= J_{\theta}, & J_{\theta} &= |p_{\theta}|, \\ \psi'_{\theta} &= \psi_{\theta} + 2 \pi \nu_{\theta}, & \nu_{\theta} &= \nu_{r} \frac{\Delta_{\Theta}}{2 \pi} + \frac{\Delta'_{\theta} - \Delta'_{\Theta}}{2 \pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where the action variable associated with the angular motion is equal to the absolute value of the angular momentum.

V. CRITICAL CASES

To understand all possible regimes and associated modes of oscillations, we begin the detailed investigation of dynamics by analyzing the critical cases that correspond to large and small values of the action variables.

A. Large radial amplitudes, $r \to \infty$

As we have seen, in the case $[\Gamma_+]$, the dynamical aperture is unbounded. Therefore, we can consider the limit of large radial amplitudes. In this situation, the force function tends to zero:

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} f(r) = 0.$$

Thus, the effect from nonlinearity vanishes, and the resulting 2D harmonic oscillator possesses two different types of degeneracy. First of all, the motion is decoupled in x and y, so the system is separable not only in polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= \sqrt{\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)} + p_\theta^2} / r, \\ p'_r &= -p_r \, r / r', \end{aligned}$$

but also in Cartesian coordinates (q = x, y):

$$q' = p_q,$$
$$p'_q = -q,$$

with two functionally independent sets of invariants:

$$\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)} = p_r^2 r^2, \quad p_\theta = \text{const}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_q = p_q^2 + q^2.$$

The solution to the Cartesian degrees of freedom is given by

$$\{q\}_n = \pm q_+ \sin(2\pi\nu_0^{(\infty)} n \pm \phi_0),$$

$$\{p_q\}_n = \{q\}_{n+1},$$

where

$$q_{+} = \sqrt{\mathcal{K}_{q}},$$

$$\phi_{0} = \arcsin(\{q\}_{0}/q_{+}),$$



FIG. 5. Dynamics for large radial amplitudes. The left two plots show the Cartesian invariant $\mathcal{K}_q = p_q^2 + q^2$ in (q, p_q) -planes, the third plot illustrates the projection onto the (x, y)-plane (Lissajous oval), and the last plot shows the radial phase space with the limiting invariant $\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)} = p_r^2 r^2$. Iterations of the map are marked with gray points (initial point with white core) connected by arrows.

and $\nu_0^{(\infty)} = 1/4$. While the first degeneracy is related to the fact that $\nu_x = \nu_y$, an additional super-degeneracy appears due to the fact that the Cartesian frequencies $\nu_{x,y} = \nu_0^{(\infty)}$ are rational. In fact, any function such that

$$\mathcal{K}[q, p_q] = \mathcal{K}[-q, p_q] = \mathcal{K}[q, -p_q]$$

is a Cartesian invariant of motion, for example $p_q^2 q^2$ in addition to $p_q^2 + q^2$. During the iterations, the particle visits four distinct

points in the (q, p)-planes

$$\begin{split} (\{q\}_0, \{p_q\}_0) &\to (\{p_q\}_0, -\{q\}_0) \to (-\{q\}_0, -\{p_q\}_0) \\ &\to (-\{p_q\}_0, \{q\}_0) \to (\{q\}_0, \{p_q\}_0) \to \dots, \end{split}$$

and two distinct points in the (r, p_r) -plane

$$(\{r\}_0, \{p_r\}_0) \to (\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)} + p_\theta^2}}{\{r\}_0}, -\{p_r\}_0 \frac{\{r\}_1}{\{r\}_0}) \to \dots$$

After 4 iterations, the particle advances in angular coordinate by 2π :

$$\{\theta\}_{0} \rightarrow \{\theta\}_{0} + \delta\theta \rightarrow \{\theta\}_{0} + \pi$$
$$\rightarrow \{\theta\}_{0} + \delta\theta + \pi \rightarrow \{\theta\}_{0} + 2\pi$$

where

$$\delta heta = \arctan rac{p_{ heta}}{\{r\}_0 \{p_r\}_0} = \arctan rac{p_{ heta}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)}}}.$$

Notably, we have the following relations between the fundamental frequencies:

$$\nu_{x,y} = \nu_{\theta} = \nu_r/2 = \nu_0^{(\infty)}$$

which have a clear geometrical interpretation. Points in the (x, y)-plane belong to a Lissajous ellipse:

$$\left(\frac{y}{\{y\}_0}\right)^2 - 2\frac{y}{\{y\}_0}\frac{x}{\{x\}_0}\cos\delta\phi + \left(\frac{x}{\{x\}_0}\right)^2 = \sin^2\delta\phi_0,$$

where

$$\delta\phi_0 = \phi_0^{(y)} - \phi_0^{(x)}$$

and for which the radius oscillates twice per complete revolution, as illustrated in Fig. 5.

It is worth mentioning that there are two different ways in which large radial amplitudes can be achieved: either $J_r \to \infty$ while p_{θ} is constant, or $J_{\theta} \to \infty$ for a fixed value of J_r . The corresponding approximations of the mapping equations are:

$$J_r \to \infty : r' \approx \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)}}}{r} = |p_r|,$$
$$p'_r \approx -r \operatorname{sgn} p_r,$$

and

$$J_{\theta} \to \infty : r' \approx \frac{|p_{\theta}|}{r} = \frac{(r_{*}^{st})^{2}}{r},$$
$$p'_{r} \approx -p_{r} \frac{r}{r'}.$$

When $J_r \to \infty$, the radial map is equivalent to a "fold" of the Cartesian linear map, where the absolute value function and sign guarantee that r stays positive. The area under the invariant curve must increase with J_r , causing it to occupy the entire space under the limiting invariant $\mathcal{K}_r^{(\infty)} = p_r^2 r^2$. The minimum possible radius $r_$ tends to zero, and the maximum radius r_+ goes to ∞ as J_r increases:

$$0 < r_{-} \ll 1 \ll r_{+}.$$

The shape of the invariant curve resembles a T-handle of a cane or wrench, as shown in the left plot of Fig. 6. In the second case, the fixed point exhibits the following limits:

$$\lim_{p_{\theta} \to \infty} r_*^{\rm st} = \sqrt{|p_{\theta}|}, \quad \lim_{p_{\theta} \to \infty} p_r^{\rm st} = 0, \quad \lim_{p_{\theta} \to \infty} \mathcal{K}_*^{\rm st} = 2 \, |p_{\theta}|.$$

As J_r remains fixed, the closed invariant curve is "pushed" towards the right as $p_{\theta} \to \infty$ (see the right plot in Fig. 6), resulting in the condition $1 \ll r_{\pm}$. Consequently, the radial motion becomes more uniform and resembles circular orbits.



FIG. 6. Deformation of closed invariant curves towards the limiting invariant $\mathcal{K}_r = p_r^2 r^2$ (dashed curves) as $J_r \to \infty$ with constant angular momentum and $J_\theta \to \infty$ with fixed J_r . Both plots are for the case $[\Gamma_+]$. Additional plots show limiting behavior of angular part of the map $\{\theta\}_n - \{\theta\}_0$ (purple dots) along with corresponding parametrization $\theta(t) - \theta_0$.

Another way to comprehend this difference is by examining the angular DOF. In the limit of the linear oscillator, we have:

$$\{\theta\}_n = \operatorname{sgn} p_{\theta} \arctan\left[\frac{r_+}{r_-} \tan\left(2\pi\nu_0^{(\infty)}n\pm\phi_0\right)\right]$$

or in terms of action-angle variables

$$\{\theta\}_n = \operatorname{sgn} p_{\theta} \times \\ \times \arctan\left[\sqrt{\frac{2J_r + J_{\theta} + 2\sqrt{J_r(J_r + J_{\theta})}}{2J_r + J_{\theta} - 2\sqrt{J_r(J_r + J_{\theta})}}} \tan\frac{\{\psi_r\}_n}{2}\right].$$

Applying the limits, we obtain:

$$\lim_{J_r \to \infty} \delta \theta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{J_\theta \to \infty} \delta \theta = \pi/2$$

Hence, we observe that in the former case, θ only jumps by π every second iteration, while in the latter case, it increases by $\pi/2$ each time, resulting in the uniform rotation discussed earlier. These solutions can be seen as the discretization of the floor and linear functions:

floor
$$[2 \pi \nu_0^{(\infty)} n, \pi]$$
 and $2 \pi \nu_0^{(\infty)} n$

respectively, see Fig. 6 for illustration.

B. Zero angular momentum, $J_{\theta} = 0$

Next, we consider the critical case of zero angular momentum, $p_{\theta} = 0$. The motion in the (x, y)-plane is constrained to a line defined by $\{\theta\}_0 = \text{const}$ and essentially becomes one-dimensional. Denoting the Cartesian coordinate along this line as q, we observe that the mapping equations for the radial DOF correspond to a folded (to keep r positive) one-dimensional octupole or canonical McMillan map. We can compare the equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= |p_r|, & q' &= p, \\ p'_r &= -r \, \operatorname{sgn} p_r + \frac{a \, r'}{1 \pm r'^2}, & \operatorname{vs.} & p' &= -q + \frac{a \, q'}{1 \pm q'^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the corresponding invariants are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_r[p_r,r] &= \pm \, p_r^2 \, r^2 + p_r^2 - a \, p_r \, r + r^2, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\rm oct}[p,q] &= \pm \, p^2 \, q^2 + q^2 - a \, p \, q + q^2. \end{aligned}$$

This dynamical system has been extensively studied in [4, 18, 23]. Here, we will mention only the qualitative features that are important for the discussion, and we encourage the reader to refer to the aforementioned references for more details.

• In the case $[\Gamma_+]$, when a = 2 (or a = -2), the system undergoes a supercritical pitchfork (supercritical period doubling) bifurcation. The fixed point at the origin $(q, p)^{(1)}_* = (0, 0)$ becomes unstable, and an additional pair of stable symmetric fixed points (or 2-cycle) emerges:

$$(q, p)^{(2,3)}_{*} = \pm \sqrt{(-2+a)/2} (1, 1).$$

Fig. 7 illustrates the control plot for the fixed points and the 2-cycle of the 1D octupole McMillan map, highlighting both bifurcations in the parameter space. The plots on the right show corresponding constant level sets of the invariant \mathcal{K}_{oct} for different values of a. For |a| > 2, there exist two distinct modes of oscillations, which are separated by a figure-eight shaped separatrix. Trajectories outside the separatrix encircle the unstable fixed point located at the origin, while trajectories inside the separatrix revolve solely around the symmetric fixed points or 2-cycle.



FIG. 7. Fixed points and 2-cycles of the 1D McMillan octupole map \mathcal{M}_{oct}^{1D} for the case $[\Gamma_+]$. The figure on the left shows the control plot illustrating the coordinate/momenta of critical points, scaled by $\sqrt{|\Gamma|}$. Stable fixed points (unstable fixed points) are represented by solid (dashed) lines. The fixed point at the origin is shown in red, symmetric fixed points in blue, and the 2-cycle is depicted in brown. Plots on the right show invariant level sets $\mathcal{K}_{oct}[p,q]$ for different values of the parameter a.



FIG. 8. Same as in Figure 7, but for the case $[\Gamma_{-}]$.



FIG. 9. Rotation number as a function of the action variable for the 1D McMillan octupole map. Different curves correspond to different values of a, indicated by the red labels. Adapted from [23].



FIG. 10. Parametrization of Cartesian (black dashed curve) and radial (red curve) coordinates, regime II with $p_{\theta} = 0$. Left and right plots illustrate trajectories outside and inside the separatrix.

• For $[\Gamma_{-}]$, the fixed point at the origin remains stable for |a| < 2, while the symmetric fixed points

$$(q, p)_*^{(2,3)} = \pm \sqrt{(2-a)/2} (1,1)$$

or 2-cycle

$$(q,p)^{2-\text{cycle}} = \pm \sqrt{(2+a)/2} (1,-1)$$

are always unstable and defined for a < 2 or a > -2 respectively. When a = 2 (a = -2), the system undergoes the subcritical pitchfork (subcritical period doubling) bifurcation, as depicted in Fig. 8. As a result, stable closed trajectories only exist when the fixed point at the origin is stable, and the dynamic aperture is defined by the separatrix associated with the symmetric fixed points (2-cycle) for a > 0 (a < 0).

Furthermore, Fig. 9 provides the rotation number as a function of the action variable $\nu_{oct}(J)$ (see [23] for details). The plots in the figure correspond to regimes I, II, and III from left to right. For all orbits in regimes I and III, as well as orbits that round the origin and the figureeight separatrix in regime II, the polar rotation numbers are given by:

$$\nu_r \Big|_{J_{\theta}=0} = 2 \nu_{\text{oct}}, \qquad \qquad \nu_{\theta} \Big|_{J_{\theta}=0} = \nu_{\text{oct}}.$$

This behavior is similar to the previously considered case of the linear oscillator. However, for orbits inside the separatrix in regime II, the limiting behavior is defined as:

$$\nu_r \Big|_{J_{\theta}=0} = \nu_{\text{oct}}, \qquad \nu_{\theta} \Big|_{J_{\theta}=0} = \begin{cases} 0, & a \ge 0, \\ 1/2, & a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Figure 10 provides an illustration to explain this difference. The left and right plots show the parametrization of the coordinate q(t) and the radius r(t) for trajectories outside and inside the separatrix in regime II with a > 2. In the first case, the coordinate q(t) can be negative, resulting in r = |q| oscillating at twice the frequency. In the second case, q(t) is strictly positive, leading to the radial coordinate matching the Cartesian one, i.e., r = q. For a > 0, the trajectory does not round the origin in the (x, y)-plane, and the motion is constrained by $\{\theta\}_n = \{\theta\}_0$. This causes ν_{θ} to vanish. For a < 2, the trajectory jumps between two line segments with $\{\theta\}_n = (-1)^n \{\theta\}_0$, resulting in $\nu_{\theta} = 1/2$.

Finally, considering the limit $J_r \to 0$, we can determine rotation number $\nu_0 \equiv \nu_{\text{oct}}(J = 0)$ by evaluating the Jacobian of the McMillan octupole map

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{M}_{oct}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial q'}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial p'}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial q'}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial p'}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \\ -1 & a \frac{1 \mp q^2}{(1 \pm q^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

at the appropriate fixed point. For cases I and III, we use the fixed point at the origin, $q_*^{(1)}$, while for case II, we consider one of the two stable symmetric fixed points, such as $q_*^{(2)}$, or the 2-cycle if a < -2. By computing the trace of the Jacobian

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{oct}}}[q_*^{(1)}] = a \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{oct}}}[q_*^{(2,3)}] = \frac{8}{a} - 2$$

we obtain:

$$\nu_0^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \arccos \frac{a}{2}, \qquad \nu_0^{(2)} = \begin{cases} \mu_0^{(2)}, & a \ge 2\\ 1 - \mu_0^{(2)}, & a \le -2 \end{cases}$$

where $\mu_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \arccos \left(\frac{4}{|a|} - 1\right).$



FIG. 11. The radial and angular rotation numbers as functions of map parameter a for $J_r = 0$. The top row corresponds to the case $[\Gamma_+]$, while the bottom row represents $[\Gamma_-]$. Each column of the figure displays a different quantity: ν_r^* , ν_{θ}^* , and their ratio, respectively, from left to right. Various curves in the figure correspond to different values of angular momentum, as indicated in the plot legends.

C. Stable circular orbits, $J_r = 0$

The last limiting case occurs when $J_r \to 0$ for a given value of angular momentum. This situation corresponds to a stable fixed point in the radial degree of freedom, resulting in circular orbits r = const, while only the angular coordinate undergoes changes:

$$\theta' = \theta + \arctan \frac{p_{\theta}}{r_*^{\rm st} \, p_r^{\rm st}}$$

The resulting circle map has a constant phase advance, and the corresponding angular rotation number is given by:

$$\nu_{\theta}^* \equiv \nu_{\theta} \Big|_{J_r=0} = \frac{\Delta_{\theta}^*}{2\pi},\tag{11}$$

with

$$\Delta_{\theta}^{*} = \arctan\left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{r_{*}^{\mathrm{st}} p_{r}^{\mathrm{st}}}\right) + \pi \operatorname{sgn}[p_{\theta}] \operatorname{H}[-a].$$

The radial rotation number can be determined from the Jacobian $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{M}_{r}^{\pm}}[r_{*},p_{*}]$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_{\theta}^2}{r_*^4} & -\frac{p_*}{r_*} - \frac{p_* p_{\theta}^2}{r_*^5} - 2\left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^2 (1 - r_*^4) \frac{p_{\theta}^2 p_*^3}{r_*^7} \\ \frac{p_*}{r_*} & -\frac{p_{\theta}^2}{r_*^4} + 2\left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^2 (1 - r_*^4) \frac{p_*^4}{r_*^4} \end{pmatrix},$$

by evaluating the trace for the circular orbit:

Tr
$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{M}_r}[r_*, p_*] = 2 \, \frac{(2/a)^2 (1 - r_*^4) \, p_*^4 - p_{\theta}^2}{r_*^4},$$

which gives

$$\nu_r^* \equiv \nu_r \Big|_{J_r=0} = \begin{cases} \mu_*, & a \ge 0, \\ 1 - \mu_*, & a < 0, \end{cases}$$
(12)

where

$$\mu_* = \frac{1}{2\pi} \arccos \frac{\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{M}_r}[r_*, p_*]}{2}.$$

In the case of $[\Gamma_+]$, equations (12) and (11) exhibit two important limits discussed in the previous subsections. On one side, as angular momentum approaches zero, we have:

$$\lim_{J_{\theta} \to 0} \nu_r^* = \begin{cases} 2 \nu_0^{(1)}, & |a| < 2, \\ \nu_0^{(2)}, & |a| \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{J_{\theta} \to 0} \nu_{\theta}^* = \begin{cases} \nu_0^{(1)}, & |a| < 2, \\ 0, & |a| \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

On the other side, for large amplitudes resulting from a large value of p_{θ} , we have:

$$\lim_{J_{\theta} \to \infty} \nu_r^* = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \qquad \lim_{J_{\theta} \to \infty} \nu_{\theta}^* = \frac{1}{4}.$$

The top row of Fig. 11 illustrates the behavior of the radial and angular rotation numbers, as well as their ratio, as functions of a for $J_r = 0$ and various values of p_{θ} . As



FIG. 12. Level sets of the radial invariant $\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r]$ for specific examples labeled (a.) to (i.). Each plot corresponds to one of the three regimes, I to III, respectively. In case III, level sets (h.) and (i.) are chosen to be very close to the separatrix and each other. Refer to Table I for the exact values of the map parameter and invariants for each example.

observed, while ν_{θ}^* is a monotonic function of a, ν_r^* is monotonic only for |a| < 2 (regime I). In regime II, when a > 2 (or < 2), ν_r^* decreases (increases) until it reaches its minimum (maximum), and then monotonically tends to 1/2. The last plot shows the ratio $2 \nu_{\theta}^* / \nu_r^*$. It is worth noting that for the first regime (I), we have $2 \nu_{\theta}^* \approx \nu_r^*$ for all values of p_{θ} , while in the second regime (II), the ratio can be approximately zero for small values of angular momentum or large values of a.

In the case $[\Gamma_{-}]$ (regime III), the first limiting situation is the same as for case I:

$$\lim_{J_{\theta} \to 0} \nu_r^* = 2 \, \nu_0^{(1)}, \qquad \qquad \lim_{J_{\theta} \to 0} \nu_{\theta}^* = \nu_0^{(1)}.$$

However, now we are not interested in the limit $J_{\theta} \to \infty$ since the motion is bounded only for $p_{\theta} < p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}$. Instead, we consider the limit $J_{\theta} \to |p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}|$. The radial rotation number can be expressed using Heaviside function and $\mu_* = 0$:

$$\nu_r^{\text{crit}} \equiv \lim_{J_\theta \to J_\theta^{\text{crit}}} \nu_r^* = \mathbf{H}[-a] = \begin{cases} 0, \ a \ge 0, \\ 1, \ a < 0. \end{cases}$$

For a given value of the map parameter, ν_{θ}^* is bounded by $\nu_0^{(1)}$ from above for $a \ge 0$ (below for a < 0) and by

$$\nu_{\theta}^{\text{crit}} \equiv \lim_{J_{\theta} \to J_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}} \nu_{\theta}^{*} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \begin{cases} \Delta_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}, & a \ge 0, \\ \pi - \Delta_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}, & a < 0. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Delta_{\theta}^{\text{crit}} = \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{(p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}})^{-2/3} - 1}} = \arctan \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{2/3} - 1}.$$

These limits are illustrated by black solid and dashed curves in the bottom row of Fig. 11.

VI. REGIMES OF MOTION

A. Action-angle variables

In the previous section, we examined the limiting cases of large and small amplitudes. Now, we need to bridge the gap and understand the dynamics for typical intermediate values of the action variables. To illustrate our results, we have selected three different values of J_r for each regime I to III, given a specific intermediate value of angular momentum p_{θ} . These selected examples are labeled as (a.) to (i.). Table I provides a summary of the map parameter a, the motion invariants \mathcal{K}_r and p_{θ} , as well as the action variables $J_{r,\theta}$ and rotation numbers $\nu_{r,\theta}$ for each case study. Additionally, Fig. 12 shows the corresponding invariant level sets \mathcal{K}_r in the radial phase space.

Before delving into the detailed analysis of each case study, let's first examine how rotation numbers depend on actions. Figure 13 illustrates the behavior of ν_r and ν_{θ} as functions of the radial action J_r for the $[\Gamma_+]$ configuration. Each plot displays curves corresponding to different values of angular momentum p_{θ} . The top row represents the typical situation for regime I (|a| < 2), where both rotation numbers monotonically increase with respect to the action variables. For an ensemble of particles within a finite radius, spreads of rotation numbers $\Delta \nu_{r,\theta}$ are limited. When J_{θ} is fixed, they satisfy inequalities:

$$J_{\theta} = \text{const}: \ \Delta \nu_r < \frac{1}{2} - \nu_r^* < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{\pi} < \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\Delta \nu_{\theta} < \frac{1}{4} - \nu_{\theta}^* < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{2\pi} < \frac{1}{4}$$

	[Γ_+]						[Γ_]		
	Ι			II			III		
	$a = 3/2, p_{\theta} = -0.1$			$a = 8, p_{\theta} = 0.1$			$a = 1, p_{\theta} = 0.5 p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}} = 0.1125$		
	(a.)	(b.)	(c.)	(d.)	(e.)	(f.)	(g.)	(h.)	(i.)
J_r	0.04	0.25	1	0.5	1.3695	2	0.01	0.033692	0.0344042
\mathcal{K}_r	0.28228	1.17187	5.54626	-5.54758	0	4.2368	0.217948	0.2746	0.275683
$ u_r$	0.310521	0.375294	0.440763	0.310913	0.244355	0.310346	0.269487	0.166497	0.0785715
$ u_{ heta}$	0.148603	0.184318	0.219254	0.007675	0.062641	0.146941	0.144787	0.102572	0.0680104

TABLE I. Angular and radial invariants, rotation numbers, and action variables for specific examples in regimes I – III. The angular action variable is given by $J_{\theta} = |p_{\theta}|$.

Similarly, when J_r is fixed, we have:

$$J_r = \text{const}: \ \Delta \nu_r < \frac{1}{2} - 2\nu_{\text{oct}} < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{\pi} < \frac{1}{2},$$
$$\Delta \nu_\theta < \frac{1}{4} - \nu_{\text{oct}} < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{2\pi} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

The largest spread occurs for the curve with zero angular momentum, which is smaller than 1/2 for the radial degree of freedom and 1/4 for the angular degree of freedom. The ratio of rotation numbers remains locked at 1/2 for both limits $|p_{\theta}| = 0$ and $|p_{\theta}| = \infty$, but it slightly varies for intermediate situations.

The middle row corresponds to the case a = 2, where the system undergoes a supercritical pitchfork bifurcation. In this case, the radial spread reaches its maximum value of 1/2 for stable circular orbits and orbits with $p_{\theta} = 0$, while the angular spread reaches its maximum value of 1/4.

Finally, the last row corresponds to regime II (case |a| > 2), where we specifically chose a = 8. Recall that for $p_{\theta} = 0$, we have trajectories both inside and outside the figure-eight separatrix. As a consequence, we observe that the ratio of rotation numbers is given by the Heaviside step function:

$$\left. \frac{\nu_{\theta}}{\nu_r} \right|_{p_{\theta}=0} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{H}(J_r - J_{\operatorname{sep}}).$$

When $p_{\theta} \neq 0$, the bifurcation is unexpectedly removed, and the dependencies $\nu_{r,\theta}(J_r, J_{\theta})$ become more intricate. The radial rotation number is monotonic only with respect to $|p_{\theta}|$, while the angular rotation number and ν_{θ}/ν_r are monotonic only as functions of J_r . In the case $|a| \leq 2$, the resonant condition

$$1/4 < \nu_{\theta}/\nu_{r} < 1/2$$

holds for all trajectories. However, in case II, we observe that for $J_r < J_{sep}$, the ratio of rotation numbers is monotonic with respect to both actions, and for a fixed value of J_r , we have

$$0 < \nu_{\theta}/\nu_r < 1/2.$$

The system now crosses the coupling resonance $\nu_{\theta}/\nu_r = 1/4$, resulting in two different types of motion: one with $J_r > J_{\text{sep}}$ similar to case I, and a new type with $J_r < J_{\text{sep}}$ for small to intermediate values of p_{θ} . Examples (d.) and (f.) were chosen to illustrate the difference, while case study (e.) is close to the boundary between different modes of oscillation, with

$$\nu_{\theta}/\nu_r \approx 1/4.$$

Figure 14 is similar to Figure 13, but for configuration $[\Gamma_{-}]$ and regime III. Again, different rows correspond to different settings of the parameter a, which is equal to 1/2, 1, and 3/2. Case studies (g.) – (i.) are chosen for a = 1 and $p_{\theta} = 0.5 p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}}$ (green curves in the middle row). In this case, the value of angular momentum is measured in units of $p_{\theta}^{\text{crit}} \approx 0.225$, and the ratio of rotation numbers is inverse compared to the previous examples in Figure 13. All functions are now monotonic with respect to both actions. Assuming that the entire radial phase space contained within the separatrix is occupied by particles, the spread of frequencies for fixed J_r is bounded between 0 and the frequencies defined by the 1D octupole limit:

$$J_r = \text{const}: \quad \Delta \nu_r < 2\,\nu_{\text{oct}} < \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{\pi} < \frac{1}{2};$$
$$\Delta \nu_\theta < \nu_{\text{oct}} < \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{2\,\pi} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

For fixed p_{θ} , the upper limit is given by circular orbits with $J_r = 0$:

$$J_{\theta} = \text{const}: \quad \Delta \nu_r < \nu_r^* < \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{\pi} < \frac{1}{2},$$
$$\Delta \nu_{\theta} < \nu_{\theta}^* < \frac{\arccos(a/2)}{2\pi} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

The largest variation of either of the rotation numbers as functions of J_r occurs close to the separatrix. For example, case studies (h.) and (i.) are chosen to have very close values of radial actions, approximately J_{sep} , while their rotation numbers vary by a significant amount around 0.1.



FIG. 13. Radial and angular rotation numbers $\nu_{r,\theta}$ and their ratio as functions of radial action J_r for the $[\Gamma_+]$ configuration. Different values of the angular momentum p_{θ} are depicted with colors according to the legend. Dashed lines represent the limit of large radial amplitudes, where $J_{r,\theta} \to \infty$. Rows corresponds to different values of a = 3/2, 2, 8. Black dots indicate points for further analysis, with (a.) to (c.) representing regime I and (d.) to (f.) representing regime II.



FIG. 14. Same as Fig. 13 but for the $[\Gamma_{-}]$ configuration and p_{θ} measured in units of p_{θ}^{ctir} . Rows corresponds to different values of a = 1/2, 1, 3/2. Black dots indicate points for further analysis, with (g.) to (i.) representing regime II.

B. Cartesian frequencies

So far, we have focused on describing our system in polar coordinates. However, it is also important to consider the frequencies observed in Cartesian coordinates. In Cartesian coordinates, the conventional horizontal and vertical tunes do not exist due to the axial symmetry of the system. The spectra of oscillations in both planes are identical. Each plane exhibits two families of overtones:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_r - \nu_\theta) + n \, \nu_r : & \nu_r - \nu_\theta, & 2 \, \nu_r - \nu_\theta, \\ \nu_\theta + n \, \nu_r : & \nu_\theta, & \nu_\theta + \nu_r, & \nu_\theta + 2 \, \nu_r, \end{aligned}$$

These overtones are based on the two fundamental tunes:

$$\nu_r - \nu_{\theta}$$
 and ν_{θ} .

It is important to note that Cartesian coordinates are obtained by discretizing a multiplication of two periodic functions:

$$x = r(t) \times \cos \theta(t)$$
 and $y = r(t) \times \sin \theta(t)$.

This results in amplitude modulated signals. Therefore, the fundamental tunes play the role of the sum and difference of the "carrier" and "modulating" frequencies, ν_1 and ν_2 respectively:

$$\nu_{\Sigma} = \nu_1 + \nu_2, \qquad \nu_1 = \frac{\nu_{\Sigma} + \nu_{\Delta}}{2},$$
$$\nu_{\Delta} = \nu_1 - \nu_2, \qquad \nu_2 = \frac{\nu_{\Sigma} - \nu_{\Delta}}{2}.$$

For configuration $[\Gamma_+]$, where $2\nu_{\theta} < \nu_r$, we define:

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\Sigma} &= \nu_r - \nu_{\theta}, \\ \nu_{\Delta} &= \nu_{\theta}, \end{split} \qquad \qquad \nu_1 &= \frac{\nu_r}{2}, \\ \nu_2 &= \frac{\nu_r}{2} - \nu_{\theta}. \end{split}$$

While for configuration $[\Gamma_{-}]$, where $2\nu_{\theta} > \nu_{r}$, we will use:

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\Sigma} &= \nu_{\theta}, & \nu_{1} = \frac{\nu_{r}}{2}, \\ \nu_{\Delta} &= \nu_{r} - \nu_{\theta}, & \nu_{2} = \nu_{\theta} - \frac{\nu_{r}}{2}. \end{split}$$

C. Case studies

In this section, we analyze the general dynamics of all three regimes, I to III. We present the results in three collated figures: Figs. 15, 16, and 17. Each figure consists of different columns corresponding to specific examples (a.) - (i), and various rows dedicated to different sets of variables. The first row of each figure shows the iterations and the corresponding parametrization of all polar coordinates, similar to Figs. 3 and 4. We represent the

radial coordinate r in orange, the radial momentum p_r in blue, and the angular coordinate θ in purple. The second row of figures illustrates the long-term behavior of the parametrizations for the Cartesian coordinates xand y. The third and fourth rows depict the projection of the orbit onto the Cartesian degrees of freedom: the physical space (x, y)-plane and the phase space plane (x, p_x) , respectively. The green points correspond to the iterations of the map (approximately 10^4), while the solid curve represents the continuous parametrization (approx-... imately 10 radial oscillations). To aid readers, the first two radial oscillations on Othe 2 continuous curve are col-•• ored in black, while the rest are shown in red. Finally, the bottom row presents the absolute value of the discrete Fourier transform for the Cartesian coordinates obtained through the iteration of the map. The plot is shown on a logarithmic scale. These visualizations will provide us with a comprehensive understanding of the system's dynamics and facilitate the analysis of different cases within each regime.

1. Regime I

Let's begin with regime I, where we examine the longterm behavior of the map's parametrization in Cartesian coordinates, x, y(t). In all cases (a.) through (c.), we observe wave packets with a distinct beat pattern (second row in Fig. 15). The presence of beats indicates that the sum and difference modes have nearly the same frequencies:

$$\nu_{\Sigma} \approx \nu_{\Delta}$$
 (or $\nu_r \approx 2 \nu_{\theta}$).

This implies that ν_1 is much larger than ν_2 , resulting in:

$$\nu_1 \approx \nu_{\Sigma,\Delta}$$
 and $\nu_2 \approx 0$,

as shown in the bottom row of Fig. 15 (Cartesian spectra). The presence of a beat pattern suggests that the coupling between the Cartesian coordinates is weak. As depicted in the third row of Fig. 15, the oscillations in the (x, y)-plane resemble elliptic orbits with a gradual rotation of the major axis such that a precession angle less than $\pi/2$. The transfer of energy between the Cartesian degrees of freedom occurs gradually in distinct stages, which we refer to as a "weak coupling mode."

2. Regime II

Next, let's consider regime II. Looking at the Cartesian phase space (fourth row in Fig. 16), we can observe similarities to motion for the 1D octupole map with $p_{\theta} = 0$. In case (f.), the trajectory rounds the origin, in case (e.), we observe a trajectory similar to a figure-eight pattern, and for small radial action, case (d.), the trajectory over the course of one radial oscillation resembles trajectories inside the figure-eight separatrix.



FIG. 15. Case studies (a.) – (c.), configuration $[\Gamma_+]$ regime I. Top row. Short term behavior in polar coordinates. Solid curves show parametrization of the radius r (orange), radial momentum p_r (blue) and angular coordinate θ (purple). Discretization $t = n \operatorname{T}'$ is equivalent to the iterates of the map. Second row. Long term behavior of the parametrization of the map in Cartesian coordinates, x, y. Third and fourth rows. Projection of orbit onto (x, y)- and (x, p_x) -planes. Green dots show 10^4 iterations under the map, while short-term behavior of its parametrization is shown in black (first two radial oscillations) and red (next 10 oscillations). Bottom row. Absolute value of the discrete Fourier transform for Cartesian iterates of the map, $\nu_{x,y}$.



FIG. 16. Same as in Fig. 15, but for regime II, case studies (d.) - (f.).

The third row in Fig. 16 shows the same orbits projected onto the configuration space. We can see that the main difference from 1D dynamics is the presence of orbital precession. Comparing these plots to the second row, which represents the long-term behavior of x and y, we notice that definite beat patterns are present only for the case (f.) with large radial amplitude. This situation somewhat resembles the larger amplitudes observed in regime I, where the particle approximately rounds the origin in the (x, y)-plane per two radial oscillations.

In contrast, for case (d.) where $\nu_r > \nu_{\theta} \approx 0$, we need many radial periods to complete one orbit around the origin. The horizontal and vertical oscillations follow the angular variable with a high-frequency jittery motion caused by the radial oscillations. The absence of a clear beat pattern indicates that this is a different mode of oscillations with $\nu_{\Sigma} \gg \nu_{\Delta}$. We will refer to this mode as the "strong coupling mode."

Case (e.) separates the two modes of oscillations. Although it is not a true separatrix, it is in the vicinity of a closed orbit with a resonant condition of $4\nu_{\theta} = \nu_r$, resembling a figure-eight separatrix (as discussed in the next section). Specifically, for the chosen examples, we have the following relations between the sets of frequencies:

(d.)
$$\nu_{\theta}, \frac{\nu_{\theta}}{\nu_{r}} \approx 0, \qquad \nu_{\Sigma} \gg \nu_{\Delta} \approx 0,$$

$$(e.) \qquad 0 < \frac{1}{\nu_r} < \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \nu_{\Sigma} > \nu_{\Delta},$$

(f.)
$$\frac{\nu_{\theta}}{\nu_r} \approx \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \nu_{\Sigma} \approx \nu_{\Delta},$$

or in terms of $\nu_{1,2}$:

(d.)
$$\nu_1 \approx \nu_2 \approx \nu_{\Sigma}/2,$$

(e.) $\nu_1 > \nu_2 \approx 0,$
(f.) $(\nu_1 \approx \nu_{\Sigma,\Delta}) \gg (\nu_2 \approx 0).$

3. Regime III

The last case we consider is configuration $[\Gamma_{-}]$ in regime III. Examining the relation between sets of frequencies

(g.)
$$\frac{\nu_{\theta}}{\nu_{r}} \approx \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \nu_{\Sigma} \approx \nu_{\Delta},$$

(h.)
$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{\nu_{\theta}}{\nu_r} < 1, \qquad \nu_{\Sigma} > \nu_{\Delta},$$

(i.)
$$\frac{\nu_{\theta}}{\nu_r} \approx 1, \qquad \nu_{\Sigma} \gg \nu_{\Delta} \approx 0,$$

and

(g.

(i.)

)
$$(\nu_1 \approx \nu_{\Sigma,\Delta}) \gg (\nu_2 \approx 0)$$

$$(h.) \nu_1 > \nu_2 \approx 0,$$

$$\nu_1 \approx \nu_2 \approx 0,$$

we observe a situation that is the reverse of regime II. For small radial actions (g.), we have weak coupling with clear beats and $\nu_{\Sigma} \approx \nu_{\Delta}$. However, for trajectories close to the separatrix (h.,i.), ν_{Σ} and ν_{Δ} separate, and the beats change to a jittery oscillations. In this case, the motion of x and y follows the slow mode of $\nu_r - \nu_{\theta}$, while the jitters are caused by the high frequency $\nu_{\theta} \approx \nu_r$. Refer to the second row of Fig. 17 for the long-term behavior of the Cartesian coordinates and the bottom row for their spectra. Once again, the two modes of oscillations are separated by small and large amplitudes. However, it is important to note that the strong coupling mode only occurs for particles very close to the separatrix and occupies a tiny fraction of the phase space.

D. Closed trajectories

It is well known that in the general central-force problem, most of the orbits in the (x, y)-plane are not closed and eventually pass arbitrarily close to every point within the annulus. All orbits are closed only for linear (2D isotropic harmonic oscillator) and inverse-square (Kepler problem) laws [24, 25]. To have a closed orbit, it must satisfy the resonant condition

$$m\,\nu_r - n\,\nu_\theta = 0,$$

where m and n are positive integers. In Fig. 18, we present a few examples of resonant trajectories for the same case studies in regimes II and III. For each example, we show the projection of the trajectory onto the horizontal phase space and the (x, y)-plane.



FIG. 17. Same as in Fig. 15, but for configuration $[\Gamma_{-}]$ regime III, case studies (g.) – (i.).



FIG. 18. The projection of closed 4D trajectories onto the (x, y) and (x, p_x) planes. The green dots represent 10^3 iterations of the map, while the black curve behind them represents the parametrization of the trajectory (example with $\nu_{\theta}/\nu_r = 9/19$). The top row of figures represents regime I, while the next two rows correspond to regime II. The last two rows depict regime III. The parameter *a* and angular momentum p_{θ} have the same values as the ones used in the case studies.

VII. APPROXIMATED RADIAL INVARIANT FOR ROUND BEAMS

Let us consider an accelerator lattice composed of a special linear insert (1) followed by an axially symmetric thin lens:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \\ y \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \delta \dot{R}(r) \cos \theta \\ 0 \\ \delta \dot{R}(r) \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, the radial kick $\delta \dot{R}(r)$ is assumed to be a differentiable odd function (otherwise, the system would have singularities at r = 0) with $\delta \dot{R}(0) = 0$, ensuring an equilibrium orbit at the origin for $p_{\theta} = 0$, but arbitrary otherwise.

By employing the transformation (2), we can express this map in the McMillan-Turaev form [4, 26]:

$$q' = p_q,$$

$$p'_q = -q + \delta \dot{r}(r') \frac{q'}{r'},$$

or in polar coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= \sqrt{p_r^2 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}}, \qquad \qquad \theta' &= \theta + \arctan \frac{p_\theta}{r p_r} \\ p'_r &= -p_r \frac{r}{r'} + \delta \dot{r}(r'), \qquad \qquad p'_\theta = p_\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where the new radial kick is given by

$$\delta \dot{r}(r) = 2r \cos \Phi + \beta \, \delta R(r) \sin \Phi.$$

Despite the separation of variables, for a general kick function $\delta \dot{r}(r)$, the map is known to exhibit chaotic behavior and only possesses partial integrability, with the axial symmetry giving rise to the exact invariant of motion

$$\mathcal{K}_{\theta}[p_{\theta}, \theta] = p_{\theta}.$$

However, in typical situations where $\delta \dot{R}(r)$ can be expanded around the origin, it can be shown that the system has an additional approximated radial invariant given by

$$\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r] \approx \text{C.S.}_r - \frac{c}{3!} \frac{\Pi_r^2}{a} + \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}$$

where the parameters a and c are defined as

 $a = 2 \cos \Phi + \beta \sin \Phi \partial_r \delta \dot{R}(0), \qquad c = \beta \sin \Phi \partial_{rrr} \delta \dot{R}(0).$

Here, $C.S._r = \Sigma_r^2 - (a+2) \Pi_r$ represents the radial Courant-Snyder term expressed in symmetric notations

$$\Sigma_r = p_r + r, \qquad \qquad \Pi_r = p_r r.$$

Therefore, for small radial displacements, we can approximate the dynamics in nonlinear round beam optics using the axially symmetric McMillan map.

VIII. SUMMARY

In this article, we considered the transverse dynamics of a single particle in an integrable accelerator lattice utilizing the McMillan axially symmetric electron lens. While McMillan e-lens has the potential to mitigate collective space charge forces, certain fundamental aspects of this device remained unexplored. Thus, our primary objective was to bridge this gap and gain a comprehensive understanding of its limitations and potential.

Additional significance of the McMillan axially symmetric map lies in its provision of first-order approximations for the dynamics of a general linear lattice combined with an arbitrary thin lens, where the motion can be separated in polar coordinates. Therefore, by deepening our comprehension of this map, we can obtain valuable insights into the behavior of round beams that are not necessarily integrable.

We performed a classification of all feasible regimes exhibiting stable trajectories and determined the corresponding canonical action-angle variables. This analysis enables us to evaluate essential quantities such as the dynamical aperture, Poincaré rotation numbers, and the spread in nonlinear tunes. Moreover, we established a parametrization of invariant curves, facilitating the direct determination of the map's image.

In the second part of the article, we explored the dynamics as a function of system parameters. Our investigation reveals three fundamentally different configurations of the accelerator optics, leading to distinct regimes of nonlinear oscillations. We provide a comprehensive analysis of each regime, including the examination of limiting cases for large and small amplitudes. Additionally, we consider the dynamics in Cartesian coordinates and provide a description of observable variables and corresponding spectra.

Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the transverse dynamics of particles in an integrable accelerator lattice with the McMillan axially symmetric electron lens. Our findings not only deepen our understanding of this specific device but also contribute to the broader understanding of round beam behavior in accelerator systems.

IX. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This manuscript has been authored by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics. This work was supported by Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DESC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Appendix A: Parametrization of the radial part of the map

To determine the rotation number and parametrization of the radial part of the map, we will use Danilov's theorem, as detailed in [21–23, 27]. The steps to obtain ν_r are as follows:

(1.) First, we introduce an auxiliary continuous system with Hamiltonian identically equal to the radial invariant of the map

$$\mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r; t] = p_r^2 - a \, p_r \, r + r^2 \pm p_r^2 \, r^2 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{r^2}$$

with the associated Hamilton's equations of motion:

$$\begin{split} \dot{r} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial p_r} = 2 \, p_r \, (1 \pm r^2) - a \, r, \\ -\dot{p_r} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial r} = 2 \, r \, (1 \pm p_r^2) - a \, p_r - \frac{2 \, p_\theta^2}{r^3} \end{split}$$

(2.) Using the first Hamilton's equation, along with the expression of momentum obtained from the invariant (8), we obtain:

$$dt = \frac{dr}{2 p_r (1 \pm r^2) - a r} = \frac{\pm r \, dr}{2 \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_6(r)}} = \frac{\pm d\zeta}{4 \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}}.$$

(3.) This allows us to write an expression for the period of radial oscillations in the continuous system

$$\mathbf{T}_r = \oint \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\zeta_-}^{\zeta_+} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = \frac{K[\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}$$

where the elliptic modulus κ and complementary modulus $\kappa' = \sqrt{1 - \kappa^2}$ are given in terms of the roots of $\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)$

$$\kappa = \kappa_{\pm}$$
 $\kappa' = \kappa_{\mp}$

with

$$\kappa_{+} = \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{2}}{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{-} = \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_{2} - \zeta_{1}}{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}}}.$$

(4.) To relate the Hamiltonian to the radial part of the mapping, we need to find the equivalent continuous-time interval for one discrete step of the map. This interval can be expressed as a one-step time integral, which is given by

$$T' = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{r'} \frac{\pm r \, dr}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{6}(r)}} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\zeta}^{\zeta'} \frac{\pm d\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{3}(\zeta)}}, \qquad (A1)$$

where the expression for ζ'

$$\zeta' = p_r^2 \mp \frac{\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_3}{\zeta},$$

is obtained from the mapping equation and (9). It is worth noting that the integral (A1) is independent of the initial value of ζ , as long as it belongs to the same level set of the invariant $\mathcal{K}_r = \text{const.}$ A convenient choice of the initial point is one of the two stop points, $r_{\pm} = \sqrt{\zeta_{\pm}}$. Using the relations

$$p_r [r = r_{\mp}] = \frac{f(r)}{2} = \frac{a}{2} \frac{r}{1 \pm r^2}$$

and

$$p_r^2[\zeta = \zeta_{\mp}] = \frac{\zeta}{(1\pm\zeta)^2} \prod_{i=1}^3 (1\pm\zeta_i),$$

we have

$$\zeta_{\mp} \rightarrow \zeta'_{\mp}: \qquad \zeta'_{\mp} = \frac{\zeta_{\mp}(1\pm\zeta_{\pm})\pm(\mp\zeta_{2\mp1})(\zeta_{+}-\zeta_{-})}{1\pm\zeta_{\mp}}$$

and then evaluate the integral (A1) as follows:

$$\mathbf{T}' = \begin{cases} \mathbf{T}_{\mu}, & a \ge 0, \\ \mathbf{T}_{r} - \mathbf{T}_{\mu}, & a < 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$T_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} F\left[\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}{1 \pm \zeta_{2\pm 1}}}, \kappa\right].$$

(5.) The previous two steps yield the expression for the radial rotation number of the map

$$\nu_r = \frac{\mathbf{T}'}{\mathbf{T}_r} = \begin{cases} \mu_r, & a \ge 0, \\ 1 - \mu_r, & a < 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mu_r = \frac{1}{2 \operatorname{K}[\kappa]} \operatorname{F}\left[\operatorname{arcsin} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}{1 \pm \zeta_{2\pm 1}}}, \kappa \right].$$

It should be noted that ν_r differs from the radial frequency of the Hamiltonian system, which is given by

$$\omega_r = \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma_r} = \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma'} \nu_r = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}{K[\kappa]}.$$

(6.) Finally, by taking the integral (A1) from $\zeta_0 = \zeta(0)$ to $\zeta = \zeta(t)$ and solving for ζ , we get two alternative forms of the solution:

$$\zeta(t) = \zeta_{\pm} \mp (\zeta_{+} - \zeta_{-}) \operatorname{sn}^{2} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}} t \mp \phi_{1}, \kappa \right]$$
$$= \zeta_{\mp} \pm (\zeta_{+} - \zeta_{-}) \operatorname{cn}^{2} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}} t \mp \phi_{1}, \kappa \right]$$
$$= \zeta_{2\mp 1} \pm (\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}) \operatorname{dn}^{2} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}} t \mp \phi_{1}, \kappa \right]$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) &= \zeta_{\pm} \mp \qquad (\zeta_{+} - \zeta_{-}) \, \operatorname{cd}^{2} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}} \, t \mp \phi_{2}, \kappa \right] \\ &= \zeta_{\mp} \pm \, \kappa'^{2}(\zeta_{+} - \zeta_{-}) \, \operatorname{sd}^{2} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}} \, t \mp \phi_{2}, \kappa \right] \\ &= \zeta_{2\mp 1} + (\zeta_{\mp} - \zeta_{2\mp 1}) \, \operatorname{nd}^{2} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_{3} - \zeta_{1}} \, t \mp \phi_{2}, \kappa \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_1 = \pm \operatorname{F}\left[\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_{2\pm 1} - \zeta_0}{\zeta_{2\pm 1} - \zeta_2}}, \kappa\right],$$
$$\phi_2 = \mp \operatorname{F}\left[\arcsin\frac{1}{\kappa}\sqrt{\frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_0}{\zeta_{2\pm 1} - \zeta_0}}, \kappa\right].$$

The map's parametrization is given by:

$$\{r\}_n = r (n \mathbf{T}'), \qquad r(t) = \sqrt{\zeta(t)},$$

$$\{p_r\}_n = p_r(n \mathbf{T}'), \qquad p_r(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{r}(t) + a r(t)}{1 \pm r^2(t)},$$

where

$$\dot{r}(t) = \mp 2 \kappa^2 \frac{(\zeta_3 - \zeta_1)^{3/2}}{r(t)} \operatorname{sn}_1 \operatorname{cn}_1 \operatorname{dn}_1$$
$$= \mp \frac{2}{\kappa} \frac{(\zeta_+ - \zeta_-)^{3/2}}{r(t)} \operatorname{sd}_2 \operatorname{cd}_2 \operatorname{nd}_2,$$
$$\dot{r}(t) = \pm 2 (\kappa \kappa')^2 \frac{(\zeta_3 - \zeta_1)^{3/2}}{r(t)} \operatorname{sn}_1 \operatorname{cn}_1 \operatorname{dn}_1$$
$$= \pm \frac{2 \kappa'^2}{\kappa} \frac{(\zeta_+ - \zeta_-)^{3/2}}{r(t)} \operatorname{sd}_2 \operatorname{cd}_2 \operatorname{nd}_2,$$

for the first or second form respectively. In both cases, all elliptic functions have the same argument and modulus as in the corresponding $\zeta(t)$.

(7.) The action for the radial degree of freedom is given by the same integral for both the mapping and the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{split} J_r &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_r \, \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r_-}^{r_+} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_6(r)}}{r \, (1 \pm r^2)} \, \mathrm{d}r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\zeta_-}^{\zeta_+} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}}{\zeta \, (1 \pm \zeta)} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\zeta_-}^{\zeta_+} \left[\frac{\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 \pm 1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} - \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} \pm \right. \\ &\pm \frac{\zeta_1 \, \zeta_2 \, \zeta_3}{\zeta \, \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} \mp \frac{(1 \pm \zeta_1)(1 \pm \zeta_2)(1 \pm \zeta_3)}{(1 \pm \zeta) \, \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} \right] \, \mathrm{d}\zeta \\ &= \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1} \, \frac{\kappa'^2}{\pi} \left\{ \pm (1 \pm \zeta_{2\pm 1}) \, \Pi \left[\kappa^2 \, \frac{1 \pm \zeta_{2\mp 1}}{1 \pm \zeta_2}, \kappa \right] + \left. - \zeta_{2\pm 1} \, \Pi \left[\kappa^2 \, \frac{\zeta_{2\mp 1}}{\zeta_2}, \kappa \right] \mp \Pi \left[\kappa^2, \kappa \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

Appendix B: Parametrization of the angular part of the map

In this section, we will discuss the steps required to obtain the rotation number and parametrization for the angular variable θ . (1.) In order to apply Danilov theorem for the angular part of the map we introduce a Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}[p_r, r, p_\theta, \theta; t] = \mathcal{K}_r[p_r, r, p_\theta, \theta; t] + h(p_\theta)$$

where we formally extend \mathcal{K}_r to four dimensions and h is an unknown yet function that depends only on the angular momentum. This Hamiltonian is separable in polar coordinates and has exactly the same radial dynamics as \mathcal{K}_r . The corresponding equations of motion for the angular variables are:

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\theta}} = \frac{2 p_{\theta}}{r^2} + \varkappa \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{p_{\theta}} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \theta} = 0,$$
 (B1)

where $\varkappa = \partial h(p_{\theta})/\partial t$ is a constant. The Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} preserves both \mathcal{K}_r and p_{θ} , and with an appropriate choice of h, it should match the mapping equation as:

$$\{\theta\}_n = \theta(n \mathbf{T}').$$

Here, $\theta(t)$ is given by the integration of (B1)

$$\theta(t) = \theta_0 + \Theta(t) + \varkappa t$$

where $\Theta(t)$ is the solution of (B1) for h = 0, and such that $\Theta(0) = 0$.

(2.) First, we solve for $\Theta(t)$ by setting $\varkappa = 0$ in (B1)

$$\mathrm{d}\Theta = \frac{2\,p_{\theta}}{r^2}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\,r}{2\,p_r\,(1\pm\,r^2) - a\,r} = \frac{p_{\theta}}{2}\,\frac{\pm\,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta\,\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}}.\tag{B2}$$

We notice that derivative $\dot{\Theta}$ never vanishes unless $p_{\theta} = 0$, which implies that $\Theta(t)$ is a monotonically increasing function of time for $p_{\theta} > 0$ (or decreasing for $p_{\theta} < 0$) without turn or stop points. Integrating (B2) from $r(0) = \{r\}_0$ to r(t) we obtain:

$$\Theta(t) = \delta\Theta(t) - \delta\Theta(0),$$

$$\delta\Theta(t) = p_{\theta} \frac{\Pi \left[1 - \frac{\zeta_{\mp}}{\zeta_{\pm}}, \operatorname{am} \left[2\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1} \, t \mp \phi_0, \kappa \right], \kappa \right]}{\zeta_{\pm} \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}.$$

(3.) The function $\Theta(t)$ is arithmetic quasiperiodic, which means that it satisfies the equation

$$t: \qquad \Theta(t+\mathbf{T}_r) = \Theta(t) + \Delta_{\Theta}.$$

A

In other words, it can be expressed as the sum of a periodic function and a linear function, given by

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta_{\text{per}}(t) + \frac{\Delta_{\Theta}}{T_r} t, \qquad \Theta_{\text{per}}(t + T_r) = \Theta_{\text{per}}(t),$$

where $\Theta_{\text{per}}(t)$ is the periodic component of the function and constant Δ_{Θ} is a phase advance over one radial oscillation

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\Theta} &= \oint \frac{2 p_{\theta}}{r^2} \,\mathrm{d}\,t \\ &= p_{\theta} \,\int_{\zeta_-}^{\zeta_+} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = \frac{2 \, p_{\theta}}{\zeta_{\pm} \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \,\Pi \left[1 - \frac{\zeta_{\mp}}{\zeta_{\pm}}, \kappa\right] \end{split}$$

(4.) To relate the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and angular map, we need to determine the value of \varkappa . We can do this by using the fact that the mapping equation relates $\theta(T')$ and $\theta(0)$, which gives us

$$\Delta'_{\theta} \equiv \theta(T') - \theta(0) = \{\theta\}'_0 - \{\theta\}_0 = \{\theta\}_1 - \{\theta\}_0.$$

We can then express \varkappa as

$$\varkappa = \frac{\Delta'_{\theta} - \Delta'_{\Theta}}{T'}$$

where Δ'_{Θ} is the angular advance of $\Theta(t)$ over one step of the map, T':

$$\Delta_{\Theta}' = \int_0^{T'} \frac{2 p_{\theta}}{r^2} dt = \int_{\zeta_0}^{\zeta_0'} \frac{p_{\theta}}{2} \frac{\pm d\zeta}{\zeta \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}}.$$

(5.) The value of \varkappa is independent of the initial conditions and is determined solely by the values of p_{θ} and \mathcal{K} . Both Δ'_{θ} and Δ'_{Θ} , should be evaluated from the same initial phase. By choosing $r(0) = \{r\}_0 = \sqrt{\zeta_{\pm}}$, and using the mapping equation, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\Theta}' &= \begin{cases} \Delta_{\mu}, & a \ge 0, \\ \Delta_{\Theta} - \Delta_{\mu}, & a < 0, \end{cases} \\ \Delta_{\mu} &= \frac{p_{\theta}}{\zeta_{\pm} \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \prod \left[\arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}{1 \pm \zeta_{\pm}}}, 1 - \frac{\zeta_{\mp}}{\zeta_{\pm}}, \kappa \right], \\ \Delta_{\theta}' &= \arctan \left(\frac{2p_{\theta}}{a} \frac{1 \pm \zeta_{\pm}}{\zeta_{\pm}} \right) + \pi \operatorname{sgn}[p_{\theta}] \operatorname{H}[-a]. \end{split}$$

(6.) We can now determine the action-angle variables. For the angular degree of freedom, the action is simply the absolute value of the angular momentum:

$$J_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_{\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\theta = |p_{\theta}|.$$

The angular rotation number is defined as

$$\nu_{\theta} \equiv \frac{\overline{\Delta_{\theta}'}}{2 \pi} = \frac{\mathrm{T}'}{\mathrm{T}_r} \, \frac{\overline{\Delta_{\theta}}}{2 \pi}$$

where $\overline{\Delta'_{\theta}}$ and $\overline{\Delta_{\theta}}$ are the averaged advances of the angular variable θ over times T' and T_r. Since over one radial period the oscillatory part of $\theta(t)$ is averaged out, we have

$$\overline{\Delta_{\theta}} = \Delta_{\theta} \equiv \theta(\mathbf{T}_r) - \theta(0)$$

and thus

$$\nu_{\theta} = \nu_r \, \frac{\Delta_{\Theta} + \varkappa \, \mathbf{T}_r}{2 \, \pi} = \nu_r \, \frac{\Delta_{\Theta}}{2 \, \pi} + \frac{\varkappa \, \mathbf{T}'}{2 \pi} = \nu_r \, \frac{\Delta_{\Theta}}{2 \, \pi} + \frac{\Delta_{\theta}' - \Delta_{\Theta}'}{2 \, \pi}$$

The angular frequency for the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is given by

$$\omega_{\theta} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial J_{\theta}} = \frac{2\pi}{\mathrm{T}'} \, \nu_{\theta} = \frac{\Delta_{\Theta}}{\mathrm{T}_{r}} + \varkappa.$$

Appendix C: Special functions and integrals.

1. Normal elliptic integrals

The set of three fundamental integrals

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{F}[\phi,k] &= \int_{0}^{\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}} \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t^{2})(1-k^{2}t^{2})}} \,\mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathbf{E}[\phi,k] &= \int_{0}^{\phi} \sqrt{1-k^{2}\sin^{2}\theta} \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{\frac{1-t^{2}}{1-k^{2}t^{2}}} \,\mathrm{d}t, \\ \Pi[\phi,\alpha^{2},k] &= \int_{0}^{\phi} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha^{2}\sin^{2}\theta)\sqrt{1-k^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}} \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha^{2}t^{2})\sqrt{(1-t^{2})(1-k^{2}t^{2})}} \,\mathrm{d}t, \end{split}$$

are called *incomplete elliptic integrals of the first, the sec*ond and the third kind respectively. They are functions of two arguments: the amplitude ϕ and elliptic modulus, or simply the modulus, k. In addition, the third integral depends on argument α called the *characteristic* with $-\infty < \alpha^2 < \infty$. The first and the second forms of integrals are related through the change of variables

$$x = \sin \phi$$
 and $t = \sin \theta$.

They are known as Legendre's and Jacobi's canonical forms. When the amplitude $\phi = \pi/2$ (x = 1), the integrals are said to be complete and denoted as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}[k] &= \mathbf{F}[\pi/2,k],\\ \mathbf{E}[k] &= \mathbf{E}[\pi/2,k],\\ \mathbf{\Pi}[\alpha^2,k] &= \mathbf{\Pi}[\pi/2,\alpha^2,k]. \end{split}$$

2. Jacobi's amplitude function

The *Jacobi's amplitude* or simply *amplitude* function can be defined as the inverse of the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind

$$\operatorname{am}(t,k) = \phi$$
 where $t = \operatorname{F}[\phi,k]$

 $\operatorname{am}(t,k)$ is a monotonic infinitely differentiable function of t with special values

$$am(0,k) = 0,$$
 $am(K[k],k) = \pi/2,$

and arithmetic quasiperiodicity

$$\forall t: \qquad \operatorname{am}(t+2\operatorname{K}[k],k) = \operatorname{am}(t,k) + \pi.$$

3. Jacobi elliptic functions

In general there are 12 Jacobi elliptic functions which are related in the following way. Let p, q and r be any three of the letters s, c, d and n. Then, with the convention pp = qq = rr = 1, *Glaisher's notation* holds

$$pq(t,k) = \frac{pr(t,k)}{qr(t,k)} = \frac{1}{qp(t,k)}.$$

Three primary functions, *elliptic sine* sn, *elliptic cosine* cn and *delta amplitude* dn, can be defined using Jacobi's amplitude am

$$sn(t, k) = \sin \phi = \sin[am(t, k)],$$
$$cn(t, k) = \cos \phi = \cos[am(t, k)]$$

and relation between squares of the functions

$$cn^{2}(t,k) + sn^{2}(t,k) = 1,$$

$$cn^{2}(t,k) + k'^{2} sn^{2}(t,k) = dn^{2}(t,k).$$

All three functions a periodic with periods equal to 4 K[k] for sn and cn, and 2 K[k] for the delta amplitude dn.

4. List of integrals involving elliptic functions

Below, for the convenience of the readers, we provide a list of integrals used in this article. Introducing

$$\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa' = \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1}{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}$$

along with

$$\phi_1 = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta}{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}},$$

$$\phi_2 = \arcsin \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta - \zeta_2}{\zeta - \zeta_1}},$$

$$\phi_3 = \arcsin \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta}{\zeta_3 - \zeta}},$$

$$\phi_4 = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\zeta - \zeta_1}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1}},$$

if $\zeta_1 < \zeta_2 \leq \zeta \leq \zeta_3$:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta}^{\zeta_3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \frac{\mathrm{F}[\phi_1,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta_2}^{\zeta} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \frac{\mathrm{F}[\phi_2,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta}^{\zeta_3} \frac{\zeta \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \frac{\zeta_1 \,\mathrm{F}[\phi_1,\kappa] + \frac{\zeta_3}{\zeta_2} \,(\zeta_3 - \zeta_1) \,\mathrm{E}[\phi_1,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}} \\ &\int_{\zeta_2}^{\zeta} \frac{\zeta \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \frac{\zeta_1 \,\mathrm{F}[\phi_2,\kappa] + (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1) \,\mathrm{II}[\phi_2,\kappa^2,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta}^{\zeta_3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{(\zeta - p) \,\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \frac{\mathrm{II} \left[\phi_1, \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_3 - p}, \kappa\right]}{(\zeta_3 - p) \,\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta_2}^{\zeta} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{(\zeta - p) \,\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \times \\ &\frac{\mathrm{F}[\phi_2,\kappa] - \frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1}{\zeta_2 - p} \,\mathrm{II} \left[\phi_2,\kappa^2 \,\frac{\zeta_1 - p}{\zeta_2 - p},\kappa\right]}{(\zeta_1 - p) \,\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \end{split}$$

and if $\zeta_1 \leq \zeta \leq \zeta_2 < \zeta_3$:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\zeta}^{\zeta_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \, \frac{\mathrm{F}[\phi_1,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \, \frac{\mathrm{F}[\phi_2,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta}^{\zeta_2} \frac{\zeta \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \, \frac{\zeta_3 \, \mathrm{F}[\phi_1,\kappa] - (\zeta_3 - \zeta_2) \, \Pi[\phi_1,\kappa^2,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta} \frac{\zeta \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \, \frac{\zeta_3 \, \mathrm{F}[\phi_2,\kappa] - (\zeta_3 - \zeta_1) \, \mathrm{E}[\phi_2,\kappa]}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta}^{\zeta_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{(p - \zeta) \, \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \times \\ & \frac{\mathrm{F}[\phi_1,\kappa] - \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_2}{p - \zeta_2} \, \Pi\left[\phi_1,\kappa^2 \, \frac{p - \zeta_3}{p - \zeta_2},\kappa\right]}{(p - \zeta_3) \, \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}, \\ &\int_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{(p - \zeta) \, \sqrt{\mathcal{G}_3(\zeta)}} = 2 \, \frac{\Pi\left[\phi_2, \frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1}{p - \zeta_1},\kappa\right]}{(p - \zeta_1) \, \sqrt{\zeta_3 - \zeta_1}}. \end{split}$$

- E. T. Whittaker, A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies (CUP Archive, 1964).
- [2] R. Broucke, Astrophysics and Space Science 72, 33 (1980).
- [3] F. Mahomed and F. Vawda, Nonlinear Dynamics 21, 307 (2000).
- [4] E. M. McMillan, in *Topics in modern physics, a tribute to* E. V. Condon, edited by E. Brittin and H. Odabasi (Colorado Associated University Press, Boulder, CO, 1971) pp. 219–244.
- [5] V. Danilov and E. Perevedentsev, in Proceedings of the 1997 Particle Accelerator Conference (Cat. No.97CH36167), Vol. 2 (1997) pp. 1759–1761 vol.2.
- [6] V. Danilov, J. Galambos, D. Jeon, J. Holmes, D. Olsen, D. Fitzgerald, R. Macek, and M. Plum, in *Proceed*ings of the 1999 Particle Accelerator Conference (Cat. No.99CH36366), Vol. 2 (1999) pp. 1198–1200 vol.2.
- [7] V. Shiltsev, V. Danilov, D. Finley, and A. Sery, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 2, 071001 (1999).
- [8] S. Antipov, D. Broemmelsiek, D. Bruhwiler, D. Edstrom, E. Harms, V. Lebedev, J. Leibfritz, S. Nagaitsev, C. Park, H. Piekarz, P. Piot, E. Prebys, A. Romanov, J. Ruan, T. Sen, G. Stancari, C. Thangaraj, R. Thurman-Keup, A. Valishev, and V. Shiltsev, Journal of Instrumentation 12, T03002 (2017).
- [9] V. Danilov, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 11, 114001 (2008).
- [10] V. Danilov and S. Nagaitsev, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 084002 (2010).
- [11] T. Zolkin, Y. Kharkov, I. Morozov, and S. Nagaitsev, in *IPAC 2012-International Particle Accelerator Conference* 2012 (2012) pp. 1116–1118.
- [12] T. Zolkin, Y. Kharkov, I. Morozov, and S. Nagaitsev, A Model Ring With Exactly Solvable Nonlinear Motion, Tech. Rep. (Fermi National Accelerator Lab.(FNAL), Batavia, IL (United States), 2013).

- [13] S. Nagaitsev, I. Lobach, E. Stern, and T. Zolkin, Journal of Instrumentation 16, P03047 (2021).
- [14] B. Cathey, G. Stancari, A. Valishev, and T. Zolkin, Journal of Instrumentation 16, P03041 (2021).
- [15] G. Stancari, R. Agustsson, N. Banerjee, C. Boffo, A. Burov, K. Carlson, B. Cathey, Y.-C. Chen, M. Chung, D. Crawford, *et al.*, Journal of Instrumentation **16**, P05002 (2021).
- [16] D. C. Lewis Jr, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 11, 1077 (1961).
- [17] R. De Vogelaere, Ann Math Studies 4, 53 (1958).
- [18] A. Iatrou and J. A. Roberts, Nonlinearity 15, 459 (2002).
- [19] V. Arnold, "Problemes ergodiques de la mécanique classique,(french) gauthier-villars, paris 1967. english translation: Vi arnold, a. avez, ergodic problems of classical mechanics," (1968).
- [20] A. P. Veselov, Russian Mathematical Surveys 46, 1 (1991).
- [21] T. Zolkin, S. Nagaitsev, and V. Danilov, arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.03077 (2017).
- [22] S. Nagaitsev and T. Zolkin, Physical Review Accelerators and Beams 23, 054001 (2020).
- [23] T. Zolkin, S. Nagaitsev, and I. Morozov, arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.12691 (2022).
- [24] V. I. Arnold, Huygens and Barrow, Newton and Hooke: pioneers in mathematical analysis and catastrophe theory from evolvents to quasicrystals (Springer Science & Business Media, 1990).
- [25] F. C. Santos, V. Soares, and A. C. Tort, "An english translation o bertrand's theorem," (2007), arXiv:0704.2396 [physics.class-ph].
- [26] D. Turaev, Nonlinearity 16, 123 (2002).
- [27] C. E. Mitchell, R. D. Ryne, K. Hwang, S. Nagaitsev, and T. Zolkin, Physical Review E 103, 062216 (2021).