

ON HODGE STRUCTURES OF COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLDS WITH SEMISTABLE DEGENERATIONS

TARO SANO

ABSTRACT. Compact Kähler manifolds satisfy several nice Hodge-theoretic properties such as the Hodge symmetry, the Hard Lefschetz property and the Hodge–Riemann bilinear relations, etc. In this note, we investigate when such nice properties hold on compact complex manifolds with semistable degenerations.

For compact complex manifolds which can be obtained as smoothings of SNC varieties without triple intersection locus, we show the Hodge symmetry when the monodromy logarithm induces isomorphisms on the associated graded. We also show the Hodge–Riemann relations on H^3 of compact complex 3-folds with such semistable degenerations under some conditions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Proof of Theorems	8
3.1. Positive definiteness of bilinear forms	12
4. Examples	19
4.1. Remarks on Hodge–Riemann line bundles	19
Acknowledgement	24
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

Smooth projective varieties (or more generally Kähler manifolds) satisfy several nice Hodge theoretic properties. For example, their de Rham cohomology admits pure Hodge structures and ample (Kähler) classes on them define positive definite bilinear form on their primitive cohomology groups.

There are plenty of non-Kähler compact complex manifolds whose cohomology groups has nice properties as Kähler manifolds. Clemens and Friedman [Fri91] constructed non-Kähler Calabi–Yau 3-folds with $b_2 = 0$ and arbitrarily large b_3 (so called Clemens manifolds). Hashimoto and the author ([HS23], [San21]) constructed non-Kähler Calabi–Yau manifolds in dimension ≥ 3 with arbitrarily large b_2 by smoothing algebraic SNC varieties. They are expected to have nice cohomological properties since they are

constructed from algebraic objects. The motivation of this note is to show that those examples satisfy nice Hodge theoretic properties and investigate to what extent one can extend.

Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ be a *proper SNC variety*, that is, a proper simple normal crossing \mathbb{C} -scheme which is a union of smooth proper varieties X_i 's. We consider its *semistable smoothing* $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$, that is, ϕ is a proper surjective holomorphic map from a complex manifold \mathcal{X} to a 1-dimensional disk Δ such that $\phi^{-1}(0) \simeq X$. We often assume that X is *without triple intersection*, that is, $X_i \cap X_j \cap X_k = \emptyset$ for all $i < j < k$ for simplicity, but it is still quite general setting.

The following is a main result of this note.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ be a proper SNC variety without triple intersection and let $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of X . Let $X_t := \phi^{-1}(t)$ be the smooth fiber for small $t \neq 0$ and $n := \dim X_t$. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *Assume that the cup product is non-degenerate on $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1} \subset H^{n-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{C})$, where ρ_{n-1} is the restriction map as in Definition 2.5. Then the Hodge filtration F^\bullet induces a pure Hodge structure on $H^n(X_t)$ (see Proposition 2.1).*
- (ii) *Assume that the monodromy nilpotent operator N on $H^k = H^k(X, \Lambda_X^\bullet) \simeq H^k(X_t)$ as in Remark 2.4 induces an isomorphism $N: W_{k+1}/W_k \rightarrow W_{k-1}$, where W_\bullet is the weight filtration of the limit mixed Hodge structure on H^k as in Theorem 2.2. Then H^k admits a pure Hodge structure.*

This is a generalization of the results of Friedman [Fri19] and Li [Li23] on the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma on Clemens manifolds. The examples constructed in [HS23] are also covered in Theorem 1.1 (i) (See Corollary 3.2). Theorem 1.1(ii) is proved without the assumption on triple intersection in [Che24].

Moreover, we obtain the Hodge–Riemann relation on H^3 of a smoothing of a proper SNC 3-fold with some conditions as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of a proper SNC variety $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^m Y_j$ without triple intersection such that $\dim Y = 3$. Let $\rho_l: H^l(Y^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^l(Y^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})$ be the restriction map and $\gamma_l: H^{l-2}(Y^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})(-1) \rightarrow H^l(Y^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q})$ be the Gysin map as in Definition 2.5. Assume the following condition:*

- (*) *The pairings Q_{W_3} and Q_{W_2} on $(\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3)_{\mathbb{C}}^{2,1}$ and $(\text{Ker } \gamma_4)_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}$ are positive definite (see Remark 3.8 for the detail).*

Let $Y_s = \phi^{-1}(s)$ be a fiber of ϕ over a small $s \neq 0$. For the cup product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ on $H^3 := H^3(Y_s, \mathbb{C})$, let $Q(\bullet, \bullet) := -\sqrt{-1} \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$.

Then $H^3(Y_s)$ admits a pure Hodge structure and we have $Q(\eta, \bar{\eta}) > 0$ for $0 \neq \eta \in H_s^{2,1} := H^{2,1}(Y_s, \mathbb{C})$.

As a consequence, the Hodge–Riemann bilinear relation holds on H^3 of Y_s in Theorem 1.2 since the relation is well-known on $H^{3,0}$. This generalizes the result of Li [Li23]. In particular, we have the Hodge–Riemann relation on H^3 of the non-Kähler Calabi–Yau 3-folds constructed in [HS23] (see [Che24] and [Lee24] for more results.). The strategy of the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow those in [Li23].

In Section 2, we summarize the necessary materials on Hodge theory. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 4, we exhibit some examples and investigate the Lefschetz line bundles and the Hodge–Riemann line bundles (cf. [DN13], [RT23]) on non-Kähler Calabi–Yau manifolds. In particular, we show that the examples in [HS23] satisfy the Hard Lefschetz property by exhibiting Lefschetz line bundles on them (Example 4.7).

Remark 1.3. When the author was finishing the manuscript, the preprints [Che24] and [Lee24] appeared on arXiv. The results are obtained independently.

2. PRELIMINARIES

As is well-known, the existence of a pure Hodge structure is equivalent to the k -opposed property of the Hodge filtration (cf. [PS08, pp.34, the bottom]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold on which the spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{C})$$

degenerates at E_1 . Let

$$F^p := F^p H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) := \text{Im}(\mathbb{H}^k(\sigma^{\geq p} \Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow H^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

be the putative Hodge filtration as in [PS08, Definition 2.21] and let $H^{p,q} := F^p \cap \overline{F^q}$.

Then the subspaces $\{H^{p,k-p} \mid 0 \leq p \leq k\}$ define a pure Hodge structure on the cohomology group $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^k H^{p,k-p}, \quad \overline{H^{p,k-p}} = H^{k-p,p}$$

if and only if the filtration F^\bullet is “ k -opposed”, that is, $F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p+1}} = \{0\}$ for all p .

Proof. Suppose that the subspaces $\{H^{p,k-p}\}$ define a Hodge structure. Then we have

$$F^p = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} H^{r,k-r}$$

and obtain the k -opposed condition.

Conversely, suppose that F^\bullet is k -opposed. Then we see that, for $p = 0, \dots, k-1$,

$$H^{p,k-p} \cap \sum_{r \geq p+1} H^{r,k-r} \subset (F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}) \cap F^{p+1} = \overline{F^{k-p}} \cap F^{p+1} = \{0\}$$

by the k -opposedness. Hence we see that $H^k = \bigoplus_{p=0}^k H^{p,k-p}$. We have $\overline{H^{p,k-p}} = H^{k-p,p}$ by the definition of $H^{p,k-p}$. \square

We have the following description of limiting mixed Hodge structures on the central fiber of a semistable degeneration.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of a proper SNC variety X over a 1-dimensional unit disk. Let $\Lambda_X^\bullet := \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^\bullet(\log X)|_X$. Let $\Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathcal{X}^* := \phi^{-1}(\Delta^*)$ and $\phi': \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ be the smooth family induced by ϕ .*

- (i) *The hypercohomology $H^k := H_{\text{lim}}^k := \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Lambda_X^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to $H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C})$, where $X_t := \phi^{-1}(t)$ ($t \neq 0$) is the general fiber of ϕ . The sheaf*

$$\mathcal{H}^k := \mathbb{R}^k \phi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^\bullet(\log X)$$

is locally free and coincides with Deligne's canonical extension of $\mathcal{H}^k|_{\Delta^} \simeq R^k \phi'_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}^*}$ for the Gauss–Manin connection.*

- (ii) *There is a \mathbb{Q} -mixed Hodge structure $(H^k, H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k, W_{\bullet}^{\text{lim}}, F_{\text{lim}}^\bullet)$ on H^k . The spectral sequence*

$${}_F E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Lambda_X^p) \Rightarrow H^{p+q} = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(\Lambda_X^\bullet)$$

degenerates at E_1 and induces the Hodge filtration F_{lim}^\bullet .

- (iii) *There exists the monodromy weight spectral sequence*

$${}_{W(M)} E_1^{-r,k+r} = \bigoplus_{l \geq \max\{0, -r\}} H^{k-r-2l}(X^{(r+2l+1)}, \mathbb{Q})(-r-l) \Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k$$

which degenerates at E_2 and induces the weight filtration on $H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k$, where $X^{(m)}$ is the m -fold intersection locus of X for $m \geq 1$. (For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, (i) means the Tate twist of degree i .)

- (iv) *Possibly after shrinking Δ , the spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^q \phi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^p(\log X) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \phi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^\bullet(\log X)$$

degenerates at E_1 and the sheaf $R^q \phi_ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^p(\log X)$ is locally free. The spectral sequence*

$$H^q(X_t, \Omega_{X_t}^p) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_t, \mathbb{C})$$

for $X_t = \phi^{-1}(t)$ degenerates at E_1 . Moreover, the Hodge filtration on H^k induces a filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \subset \mathcal{H}^k$ by holomorphic subbundles.

Proof. (i) This follows from the arguments in [PS08, Corollary 11.18] (cf. [Fri19, Theorem 2.6], [Li23, Theorem 2.1(i)]).

(ii) This follows from the arguments in [PS08, Theorem 11.22, Corollary 11.23(ii)].

(iii) follows from [PS08, Corollary 11.23(i)]

(iv) The E_1 -degeneration on X_t is in [PS08, Corollary 11.24]. Then the E_1 -degeneration for the sheaves follows from the argument to show [PS08, Proposition 10.29]. \square

Remark 2.3. Let $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing as in Theorem 2.2 such that $\mathcal{X} := \phi^{-1}(0)$ is an SNC divisor with $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Then $\phi_*\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}$ is locally free and the dimension $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \omega_{\mathcal{X}_t})$ is constant on $\mathcal{X}_t = \phi^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \Delta$. Hence we see that $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_t}$.

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing as in Theorem 2.2. Then we have a monodromy transformation T of $H^k = H^k(X, \Lambda_X^\bullet)$ which is a natural extension of the monodromy transformation on smooth fibers. Then let

$$N := \log T = - \sum_{l=0}^{\dim X} \frac{(\text{id} - T)^l}{l}$$

be the monodromy logarithm. Then it is known that

$$N' := \frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}: H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k(-1)$$

is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures (cf. [PS08, Theorem 11.28]).

Definition 2.5. (cf. [GNA90, (1.2), (1.3)]) Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ be a proper SNC variety with irreducible components X_1, \dots, X_m . Let $X^{(1)} := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ and $X^{(2)} := \prod_{i < j} X_{ij}$, where $X_{ij} := X_i \cap X_j$. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2: X^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(1)}$ be the morphism induced by $X_{ij} \hookrightarrow X_j, X_{ij} \hookrightarrow X_i$ respectively.

We define the restriction map ρ_l by

$$\rho_l := \delta_1^* - \delta_2^*: H^l(X^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^l(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We also define $\gamma_l := (\delta_1)_! - (\delta_2)_!: H^{l-2}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})(-1) \rightarrow H^l(X^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q})$ to be the Gysin map for ρ_l as in [GNA90, (1.3)] with the formula

$$(1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^{n-1} \int_{X^{(2)}} \alpha \cup \rho_{2n-l}(\beta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^n \int_{X^{(1)}} \gamma_l(\alpha) \cup \beta$$

for $n := \dim X$, $\alpha \in H^{l-2}(X^{(2)})(-1)$ and $\beta \in H^{2n-l}(X^{(1)})$.

Note that ρ_l and γ_l are morphism of Hodge structures, thus their kernels, images and cokernels admit Hodge structures.

When the central fiber is an SNC variety without triple intersection, we have the following description.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be a proper SNC variety without triple intersection and $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of X . Let $X^{(1)} = \coprod_{i=1}^m X_i$ and $X^{(2)} = \coprod_{i < j} (X_i \cap X_j)$ be as in Definition 2.5. Let $H^k := \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Lambda_X^\bullet)$ be as in Theorem 2.2(i) and $H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k \subset H^k$ be the \mathbb{Q} -structure with the weight filtration W_\bullet . Then we have the following.*

- (i) *The term $E_1^{-r, k+r}$ in the weight spectral sequence is non-zero only if $r = -1, 0, 1$ and we have*

$$E_1^{1, k-1} = H^{k-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q}), \quad E_1^{0, k} = H^k(X^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q}), \quad E_1^{-1, k+1} = H^{k-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})(-1).$$

- (ii) *As a consequence of (i), we have $W_{k-2} = 0$, $W_{k+1} = H_{\mathbb{Q}}^k$ and*

$$W_{k-1} \simeq \text{Coker}(E_1^{0, k-1} \rightarrow E_1^{1, k-1}) = \text{Coker } \rho_{k-1},$$

$$W_k/W_{k-1} \simeq \text{Ker } \rho_k / \text{Im } \gamma_k,$$

$$W_{k+1}/W_k \simeq \text{Ker } \gamma_{k+1},$$

where $\rho_l: H^l(X^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^l(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the restriction map and $\gamma_l: H^{l-2}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})(-1) \rightarrow H^l(X^{(1)}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the Gysin map as in Definition 2.5.

- (iii) *Assume that $k = n := \dim X$. Then the monodromy nilpotent operator $N': H_{\mathbb{Q}}^n \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Q}}^n(-1)$ as in Remark 2.4 induces an isomorphism*

$$N': W_{n+1}/W_n \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{n-1}(-1)$$

if and only if the cup product is non-degenerate on $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1} \subset H^{n-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. (i) follows from Theorem 2.2(iii) since we only need to care $r+2l+1 = 1, 2$.

(ii) is a consequence of (i) and the weight spectral sequence.

(iii) By (ii), we see that the homomorphism $N': W_{n+1}/W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1}(-1)$ can be regarded as a homomorphism

$$N': \text{Ker } \gamma_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \rho_{n-1}(-1)$$

induced by the identity homomorphism on $H^{n-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})(-1)$. Let

$$(\text{Im } \rho_{n-1})^\perp \subset H^{n-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})$$

be the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1}$ for the cup product. Then we see that

$$\text{Ker } \gamma_{n+1} = (\text{Im } \rho_{n-1})^\perp(-1)$$

by the adjoint property of ρ_{n-1} and γ_{n-1} as in (1) and the non-degeneracy of the cup product on $H^{n-1}(X^{(2)})$.

Assume that the cup product is non-degenerate on $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1}$. Then the natural homomorphism

$$\pi': (\text{Im } \rho_{n-1})^\perp \rightarrow \text{Coker } \rho_{n-1}$$

is an isomorphism. (If (V, \langle, \rangle) is a finite dimensional vector space with a non-degenerate bilinear form and $W \subset V$ is a subspace such that $\langle, \rangle|_W$ is non-degenerate, then the composition $W^\perp \hookrightarrow V \rightarrow V/W$ is an isomorphism.) Hence N' is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, if the cup product is degenerate on $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1}$, then the homomorphism π' is not injective. Hence we obtain the converse. \square

Remark 2.7. Let X be a smooth projective variety of pure dimension n with an ample class $L \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then, for $\alpha, \beta \in H^n(X, \mathbb{C})$, we have the non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

Let $W \subset H^n(X, \mathbb{C})$ be a sub-Hodge structure which is “compatible with the Lefschetz decomposition”, that is,

$$W = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \left(L^r H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2r}(X) \cap W \right),$$

where $H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2r}(X) := \text{Ker}(L^{2r+1}: H^{n-2r}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{n+2r+2}(X, \mathbb{C}))$ is the primitive cohomology for L . Then we see that $\langle, \rangle|_W$ is also non-degenerate.

Proof. Note that we have the Hodge decomposition

$$L^r H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2r}(X) \cap W = \bigoplus_{p=r}^{n-r} \left(L^r H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2r}(X) \cap W^{p, n-p} \right)$$

and the decomposition is orthogonal for the pairing. We have the polarization on each summand $L^r H_{\text{prim}}^{n-2r}(X) \cap W^{p, n-p}$ defined by

$$Q(L^r(\gamma), L^r(\delta)) = (-1)^{\frac{(n-2r)(n-2r-1)}{2}} \sqrt{-1}^{2p-n} \int_X \gamma \wedge \bar{\delta} \wedge L^{2r}.$$

This implies the non-degeneracy of the pairing on W . \square

By the above, if $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1}$ is compatible with the Lefschetz decomposition, then the cup product is non-degenerate on $\text{Im } \rho_{n-1}$.

We shall use the Deligne’s splitting for the mixed Hodge structure.

Definition-Proposition 2.8. Let $(H, W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$ be a mixed Hodge structure. Let

$$I^{p,q} := F^p \cap W_{p+q} \cap (\overline{F^q} \cap W_{p+q} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \overline{F^{q-j+1}} \cap W_{p+q-j}).$$

Then we have

$$W_k = \bigoplus_{p+q \leq k} I^{p,q}, \quad F^p = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} I^{r,q}.$$

For a smoothing as in Proposition 2.6, we have the following information on $I^{p,q}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a proper SNC variety and $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ as in Proposition 2.6. Let $(H^k, W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$ be the limiting mixed Hodge structure on H^k as in Theorem 2.2(ii). Then the following holds.*

(i) *We have*

$$W_{k-1} = I^{k-1,0} \oplus I^{k-2,1} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{0,k-1}, \quad \overline{I^{i,k-1-i}} = I^{k-1-i,i} \quad (i = 0, \dots, k-1),$$

$$W_k = W_{k-1} \oplus I^{k,0} \oplus I^{k-1,1} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{0,k}, \quad \overline{I^{i,k-i}} = I^{k-i,i} \quad (i = 0, \dots, k),$$

$$W_{k+1} = W_k \oplus I^{k,1} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{1,k},$$

(ii) *Moreover, for all p , we have*

$$(2) \quad F^p = \left(I^{p,k-1-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k-1,0} \right) \oplus \left(I^{p,k-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k,0} \right) \oplus \left(I^{p,k+1-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k,1} \right).$$

(iii) *Let $\pi: W_{k+1} \rightarrow W_{k+1}/W_k$ be the projection and $V^{i,k+1-i} := \pi(I^{i,k+1-i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we have the decomposition*

$$W_{k+1}/W_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V^{i,k+1-i}$$

such that $\overline{V^{i,k+1-i}} = V^{k+1-i,i}$.

Proof. (i),(ii): The decompositions of W_{k-1} , W_k and F^p follow from Definition-Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.6(ii). We see that

$$I^{i,k-1-i} = F^i \cap \overline{F^{k-1-i}} \cap W_{k-1}, \quad I^{i,k-i} = F^i \cap \overline{F^{k-i}} \cap W_k$$

by $\overline{W_{k-1-j}} = 0$ and $W_{k-j} = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Hence we obtain $\overline{I^{i,k-1-i}} = I^{k-1-i,i}$ and $\overline{I^{i,k-i}} = I^{k-i,i}$. Since $W_{k+1}/W_k \simeq \text{Ker } \gamma_k$ is a sub-Hodge structure of $H^{k-1}(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{Q})(-1)$ by Proposition 2.6 (ii), we see that $I^{k+1,0} = I^{0,k+1} = 0$. (iii) follows since W_\bullet induces a mixed Hodge structure. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS

By using the above ingredients, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.6(iii) and the hypothesis, in both (i) and (ii), the monodromy logarithm induces an isomorphism

$$N: W_{k+1}/W_k \simeq W_{k-1}.$$

By [Fri19, Theorem 1.2] and Theorem 2.2(ii), it is enough to show that the Hodge filtration F_t^\bullet on $H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies

$$(3) \quad F_t^p \oplus \overline{F_t^{k-p+1}} = H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C})$$

for all p on the smooth fiber $X_t = \phi^{-1}(t)$ of the semistable smoothing $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$.

First, let us choose a \mathbb{C} -basis of $F_0^p = F_{\lim}^p \subset H^k$ of the limit Hodge filtration. Let $h^{i,j} := \dim I^{i,j}$. We may take a basis $\{u_l^{i,j} \in I^{i,j} \mid l = 1, \dots, h^{i,j}\}$ of $I^{i,j}$ for $i+j = k-1, k, k+1$ so that

$$\overline{u_l^{i,k-1-i}} = u_l^{k-1-i,i} \quad (i = 0, \dots, k-1),$$

$$\overline{u_l^{i,k-i}} = u_l^{k-i,i} \quad (i = 0, \dots, k),$$

$$N(u_l^{i,k+1-i}) = u_l^{i-1,k-i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k, l = 1, \dots, h^{i,k+1-i})$$

by Proposition 2.9(i) and the induced isomorphism $N: I^{i,k+1-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} I^{i-1,k-i}$.

We may also assume that

$$(4) \quad \overline{u_l^{i,k+1-i}} = u_l^{k+1-i,i} + w_l$$

for some $w_l = w_{l,i} \in W_k$ since $\pi(\overline{I^{i,k+1-i}}) = \pi(I^{k+1-i,i})$ for $\pi: W_{k+1} \rightarrow W_{k+1}/W_k$ as in Proposition 2.9(iii).

Remark 3.1. For any basis $v_1, \dots, v_r \in H^k = \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Lambda_X^\bullet)$, we have a local holomorphic frame $v_1(\zeta), \dots, v_r(\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}^k = \mathbb{R}^k \phi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^\bullet(\log X)$ with the variable $\zeta \in \Delta$ since \mathcal{H}^k is a trivial bundle. Let $\tau: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ be the universal cover defined by $\tau(z) := e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$. These $v_i(\zeta)$ correspond to the H^k -valued functions $v_i(z): \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow H^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ defined by $v_i(z) := e^{zN} \cdot v_i$ with the invariance property $v_i(z+1) = T v_i(z)$ for the monodromy transformation T of H^k . The functions $v_1(z), \dots, v_r(z)$ form a local holomorphic frame of $\tau^* \mathcal{H}^k|_{\Delta^*}$ with the invariance property.

Since $\mathcal{F}^p \subset \mathcal{H}^k$ is a holomorphic subbundle, we can extend $u \in F^p \subset H^k$ to a holomorphic section $U(\zeta) \in \mathcal{F}^p$ over Δ which corresponds to the function $U(z): \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow H^k$ with the property $U(z+1) = T \cdot U(z)$. Note that $U(\zeta)$ can be written as a \mathcal{O}_Δ -linear combination of $v_1(\zeta), \dots, v_r(\zeta)$. This also induces a smooth (real analytic) section $\overline{U(\zeta)}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{F}^p} \subset \mathcal{H}^k$ which corresponds to the real analytic function $\overline{U(z)}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow H^k$ for all p .

Note that the decomposition (2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{F^{k+1-p}} \\ &= \overline{(I^{k+1-p,p-2} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k-1,0}) \oplus (I^{k+1-p,p-1} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k,0}) \oplus (I^{k+1-p,p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k,1})} \\ &= \left(I^{p-2,k+1-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{0,k-1} \right) \oplus \left(I^{p-1,k+1-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{0,k} \right) \oplus \left(\overline{I^{k+1-p,p}} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{I^{k,1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $U_l^{i,j}(z): \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow H^k$ be the function corresponding to the section of \mathcal{F}^p which is an extension of $u_l^{i,j} \in F^p$ and let $u_l^{i,j}(z) := e^{zN} \cdot u_l^{i,j}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow H^k$ be the function determined by $u_l^{i,j} \in H^k$. Note that the corresponding sections $\{u_l^{i,j}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}^k\}$ forms a local holomorphic frame of \mathcal{H}^k and we may write

$$(5) \quad U_l^{i,j}(z) = \sum A_{l'}^{i',j'}(\zeta) \cdot u_{l'}^{i',j'}(z)$$

for some holomorphic functions $A_{l'}^{i',j'}(\zeta) = A_{l'}^{i',j'}[l, i, j] \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta$ (which depend on l, i, j). Since we have

$$u_l^{i,j}(z) = \begin{cases} u_l^{i,j} & (i+j \leq k) \\ u_l^{i,j} + zu_l^{i-1,j-1} & (i+j = k+1), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$U_l^{i,j}(z) = \sum (A_{l'}^{i',k-1-i'} + zA_{l'}^{i'+1,k-i'}) \cdot u_{l'}^{i',k-1-i'} + \sum A_{l'}^{i',k-i'} \cdot u_{l'}^{i',k-i'} + \sum A_{l'}^{i',k+1-i'} \cdot u_{l'}^{i',k+1-i'}.$$

Let $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$. By the above description, we see that

$$(6) \quad U_l^{i,k-1-i}(z) = u_l^{i,k-1-i} + O(y^{-1}).$$

Indeed, since $A_l^{i,j}$ is holomorphic, we have $A_l^{i,k-1-i}(\zeta) = 1 + O(y^{-1})$, $A_{l'}^{i',j'}(\zeta) = O(y^{-1})$ for $(i',j',l') \neq (i,k-1-i,l)$ and $|z\zeta| = \left| \frac{y}{ey} \right|$. Similarly, we have

$$U_l^{i,k-i}(z) = u_l^{i,k-i} + O(y^{-1}), \quad U_l^{i,k+1-i}(z) = u_l^{i,k+1-i} + zu_l^{i-1,k-i} + O(y^{-1}).$$

We also see that

$$\overline{U_l^{i,k-1-i}(z)} = u_l^{k-1-i,i} + O(y^{-1}), \quad \overline{U_l^{i,k-i}(z)} = u_l^{k-i,i} + O(y^{-1}),$$

$$\overline{U_l^{i,k+1-i}(z)} = \overline{u_l^{i,k+1-i}} + \bar{z} \cdot u_l^{k-i,i-1} + O(y^{-1}).$$

In order to show (3), it is enough to check

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &:= \left(\bigwedge_{i=p}^{k-1} \bigwedge_{l=1}^{h^{i,k-1-i}} U_l^{i,k-1-i}(z) \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=p}^k \bigwedge_{l=1}^{h^{i,k-i}} U_l^{i,k-i}(z) \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=p}^k \bigwedge_{l=1}^{h^{i,k+1-i}} U_l^{i,k+1-i}(z) \right) \\ &\wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=k+1-p}^{k-1} \bigwedge_{l=1}^{h^{i,k-1-i}} \overline{U_l^{i,k-1-i}(z)} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=k+1-p}^k \bigwedge_{l=1}^{h^{i,k-i}} \overline{U_l^{i,k-i}(z)} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=k+1-p}^k \bigwedge_{l=1}^{h^{i,k+1-i}} \overline{U_l^{i,k+1-i}(z)} \right) \\ &\neq 0 \in \bigwedge_{b_k}^{b_k} H^k \end{aligned}$$

for $y = \text{Im } z \gg 0$, where $b_k := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k$.

By the above approximations as (6), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad \Phi(z) &= \bigwedge_{i=p}^{k-1} \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{i,k-1-i} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=p}^k \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{i,k-i} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \\
&\quad \wedge \bigwedge_{i=p}^k \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{i,k+1-i} + z \cdot u_l^{i-1,k-i} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \\
&\quad \wedge \bigwedge_{i=k+1-p}^{k-1} \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{k-1-i,i} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=k+1-p}^k \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{k-i,i} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \\
&\quad \wedge \bigwedge_{i=k+1-p}^k \bigwedge_l \left(\overline{u_l^{i,k+1-i}} + \bar{z} \cdot u_l^{k-i,i-1} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \\
&= \pm \left(\bigwedge_{i=0, i \neq p-1}^{k-1} \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-1-i} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=0}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-i} \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=p+1}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k+1-i} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=k+2-p}^k \bigwedge_l \overline{u_l^{i,k+1-i}} \\
&\quad \wedge \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{p,k+1-p} + z \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) \wedge \left(\overline{u_l^{k+1-p,p}} + \bar{z} \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) + O(y^{-1}) \\
&= \pm \left(\bigwedge_{i=0, i \neq p-1}^{k-1} \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-1-i} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=0}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-i} \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=p+1}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k+1-i} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=k+2-p}^k \bigwedge_l (u_l^{k+1-i,i} + w_{l,i}) \\
&\quad \wedge \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{p,k+1-p} + z \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) \wedge \left(\overline{u_l^{k+1-p,p}} + \bar{z} \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) + O(y^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we can ignore the term $w_{l,i}$ in the last line since the wedge products from these do not contain elements from $I^{i,k+1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ and vanish.

By (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(u_l^{p,k+1-p} + z \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) \wedge \left(\overline{u_l^{k+1-p,p}} + \bar{z} \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) \\
&= \left(u_l^{p,k+1-p} + z \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) \wedge \left(u_l^{p,k+1-p} + w_l + \bar{z} \cdot u_l^{p-1,k-p} \right) \\
&= (z - \bar{z}) u_l^{p-1,k-p} \wedge u_l^{p,k+1-p} + (u_l^{p,k+1-p} + z u_l^{p-1,k-p}) \wedge w_l \\
&= (z - \bar{z}) \left(u_l^{p-1,k-p} \wedge u_l^{p,k+1-p} + O(y^{-1}) \right) + z u_l^{p-1,k-p} \wedge w_l
\end{aligned}$$

and we can also ignore the term with $w_l = w_{l,k+1-p}$ by the same reason as before (the wedge product misses an element of $I^{p,k+1-p}$). By this and (7),

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(z) &= \pm(z-\bar{z})^{h^{p-1,k-p}} (1 + O(y^{-1})) \left(\bigwedge_{i=0, i \neq p-1}^{k-1} \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-1-i} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=0}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-i} \right) \\
&\wedge \bigwedge_{i=p+1}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k+1-i} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=k+2-p}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{k+1-i,i} \wedge \bigwedge_l \left(u_l^{p-1,k-p} \wedge u_l^{p,k+1-p} \right) + O(y^{-1}) \\
&= \pm(z-\bar{z})^{h^{p-1,k-p}} (1 + O(y^{-1})) \left(\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-1-i} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=0}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k-i} \right) \\
&\quad \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \bigwedge_l u_l^{i,k+1-i} \right) + O(y^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

thus this is nonzero when $y = \text{Im } z \gg 0$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ be a proper SNC 3-fold such that X_1 and X_2 are smooth projective and $D := X_1 \cap X_2$ is irreducible. Assume that there is a semistable smoothing $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ of X and let $X_t := \phi^{-1}(t)$ be its general fiber. Then the following holds.*

- (i) *The cohomology group $H^3(X_t, \mathbb{C})$ admits a pure Hodge structure.*
- (ii) *In particular, the non-Kähler Calabi–Yau 3-folds constructed in [HS23] and [San22] satisfy the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma.*

Proof. (i) By Remark 2.7, it is enough to check that $\text{Im } \rho_2 \subset H^2(D, \mathbb{C})$ is compatible with the Lefschetz decomposition for some ample class L on D .

Let L_1 be an ample class on X_1 and $L := L_1|_D$ be its restriction to D . Then we see that $L \in \text{Im } \rho_2$ and can check that $\text{Im } \rho_2 = \mathbb{C} \cdot L \oplus (L^\perp \cap \text{Im } \rho_2)$. Hence $\text{Im } \rho_2$ is compatible with the Lefschetz decomposition and obtain the claim.

(ii) By (i), we see that H^3 of the examples in [HS23] and [San22] admits a pure Hodge structure since they are constructed as a semistable smoothing of an SNC variety as in the hypothesis. Then the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma holds by [Fri19, Corollary 1.6] since we have $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 = H^0(X, \Omega_X^i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ on the non-Kähler Calabi–Yau 3-folds X as in [HS23] and [San22]. \square

Remark 3.3. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of a proper SNC variety X of dimension n . When $n = 2$, then the Hodge filtration induces a pure Hodge structure on $H^2(\mathcal{X}_t)$ by Theorem 2.2 (iv) and [Fri19, Lemma 1.5].

Hence the problem of the Hodge symmetry on $H^n(X_t, \mathbb{C})$ makes sense when $n \geq 3$. It would be interesting to find an example of a semistable smoothing of a proper SNC variety on which the Hodge symmetry does not hold on the middle cohomology H^n .

3.1. Positive definiteness of bilinear forms. We need the following proposition on the dimension of the Hodge components.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let X be a proper SNC variety and $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ as in Proposition 2.6. Let $(H^k, W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$ be the limiting mixed Hodge structure on H^k as in Theorem 2.2(ii) and let $I^{p,q}$ as in Proposition 2.9. Assume that the monodromy logarithm $N: W_{k+1}/W_k \rightarrow W_{k-1}$ is an isomorphism. Let $H_s^{p,k-p} := F_s^p \cap \overline{F_s^{k-p}} \subset H^k$ for $s \in \Delta$.*

Then we have

$$(8) \quad H_0^{p,k-p} = I^{p,k-p} \oplus I^{p,k+1-p} \oplus \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}}.$$

In particular, the dimension $\dim H_s^{p,k-p}$ is independent of $s \in \Delta$ and the subspaces $\{H_s^{p,k-p} \mid s \in \Delta\}$ forms a real analytic sub-vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{p,k-p} \subset \mathcal{H}^k$.

Proof. Let $q := k - p$. For $s \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, we see that $H_s^{p,q} \simeq H^q(X_s, \Omega_{X_s}^p)$ and

$$\dim H_s^{p,q} = \dim F_s^p - \dim F_s^{p+1} = h^{p,k-1-p} + h^{p,k-p} + h^{p,k+1-p},$$

where $h^{i,j} = \dim I^{i,j}$. Note that N induces an isomorphism $I^{p+1,k-p} \xrightarrow{\sim} I^{p,k-p-1}$, thus we have $h^{p+1,k-p} = h^{p,k-p-1}$.

We need to check that $\dim H_0^{p,q} = \dim H_s^{p,q}$. To do this, we shall show the equality (8). First, we have the following claim on the subspaces on the R.H.S. of (8).

Claim 3.5. We have $I^{p,k-p}, I^{p,k+1-p}, \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}} \subset F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}$.

Proof. These follow from the definition of $I^{p,q}$ in Definition-Proposition 2.8. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I^{p,k-p} &= F^p \cap W_k \cap (\overline{F^{k-p}} \cap W_k) \subset F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}, \\ I^{p,k+1-p} &\subset F^p \cap (\overline{F^{k+1-p}} + \overline{F^{k-p}} \cap W_{k-1}) \subset F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}, \\ \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}} &\subset \overline{F^{k-p} \cap (\overline{F^{p+1}} + \overline{F^p} \cap W_{k-1})} \subset \overline{F^{k-p} \cap \overline{F^p}} = F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that, by Proposition 2.9, we have

$$(9) \quad F_0^p = I^{p,k-1-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k-1,0} \oplus I^{p,k-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k,0} \oplus I^{p,k-p+1} \oplus I^{p+1,k-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{k,1},$$

$$(10) \quad \overline{F_0^{k-p}} = I^{p-1,k-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{0,k-1} \oplus I^{p,k-p} \oplus \dots \oplus I^{0,k} \oplus \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}} \oplus \overline{I^{k-p+1,p}} \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{I^{k,1}}.$$

As in Proposition 2.9(iii), we have the decomposition

$$W_{k+1}/W_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V^{i,k+1-i}$$

such that $\overline{V^{i,k+1-i}} = V^{k+1-i,i}$. By (9) and (10), we see that

$$(11) \quad \pi(H_0^{p,k-p}) = V^{p,k+1-p} \oplus V^{p+1,k-p}.$$

Claim 3.6. We have $I^{p,k-p} + I^{p,k+1-p} + \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}} = F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}$.

Proof of Claim. Let $u \in F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}$. By $u \in F^p$ and (9), we may write

$$u = \sum_{i=p}^{k-1} u^{i,k-1-i} + \sum_{i=p}^k u^{i,k-i} + \sum_{i=p}^k u^{i,k+1-i}$$

for some unique $u^{i,j} \in I^{i,j}$. Moreover, by $u \in \overline{F^{k-p}}$ and (10), we may write

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} v^{i,k-1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^p v^{i,k-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \overline{v^{k+1-i,i}}$$

for some unique $v^{i,j} \in I^{i,j}$. By (11), we see that $u^{i,k+1-i} = 0$ except for $i = p, p+1$ and $\overline{v^{k+1-i,i}} = 0$ except for $i = p, p+1$ since π induces an isomorphism $I^{i,k+1-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{i,k+1-i}$ for all i . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sum_{i=p}^{k-1} u^{i,k-1-i} + \sum_{i=p}^k u^{i,k-i} + u^{p,k+1-p} + u^{p+1,k-p} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} v^{i,k-1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^p v^{i,k-i} + \overline{v^{k+1-p,p}} + \overline{v^{k-p,p+1}} \end{aligned}$$

such that $\pi(u^{p,k+1-p}) = \pi(\overline{v^{k+1-p,p}})$ and $\pi(u^{p+1,k-p}) = \pi(\overline{v^{k-p,p+1}})$. Let

$$\tilde{u} := u - u^{p,k+1-p} - \overline{v^{k-p,p+1}} = u' + u'',$$

where $u' := \sum_{i=p}^{k-1} u^{i,k-1-i} + \sum_{i=p}^k u^{i,k-i}$ and $u'' := u^{p+1,k-p} - \overline{v^{k-p,p+1}}$. Since we have

$$u^{p,k+1-p}, \overline{v^{k-p,p+1}} \in F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}$$

by Claim 3.5, we see that $\tilde{u} \in F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}$. Moreover, by $u', u'' \in W_k$, we see that $\tilde{u} \in W_k$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{u} \in F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}} \cap W_k = I^{p,k-p}$$

and obtain the claim. \square

Since we have $I^{p,k-p} \subset W_k$ and π induces an isomorphism

$$I^{p,k+1-p} \oplus \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{p,k+1-p} \oplus V^{p+1,k-p},$$

we obtain $I^{p,k-p} \oplus I^{p,k+1-p} \oplus \overline{I^{k-p,p+1}} = F^p \cap \overline{F^{k-p}}$ as required. \square

The following should be well-known.

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a real analytic manifold. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be an analytic vector bundle over X of rank r so that its fiber E_x at $x \in X$ is an r -dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector space. Let $F_1, F_2 \subset E$ be sub-bundles of E . Assume that the rank of $(F_1)_x \cap (F_2)_x$ is constant over $x \in X$.*

Then the intersection $F_1 \cap F_2$ is also an analytic vector bundle over X .

Proof. Note that $F_1 \cap F_2$ is the kernel of the vector bundle homomorphism $i: F_1 \oplus F_2 \rightarrow E$ over X defined by $i(v_1, v_2) = v_1 - v_2$ for $v_i \in (F_i)_x$ ($i = 1, 2$). Since the homomorphism i has constant rank over $x \in X$ by the assumption, we can check that the kernel is an analytic sub-bundle by a well-known method (e.g. modify the proof of [Lee13, Theorem 10.34.] to real analytic setting.). \square

Remark 3.8. Let us explain the condition (*) in Theorem 1.2. Let

$$(\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3)_{\mathbb{C}} := (\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Since ρ_3 and γ_3 are morphism of Hodge structures, this admits a pure Hodge structure of weight 3 and its $(2, 1)$ -part admits a pairing Q_{W_3} defined by

$$Q_{W_3}(\eta, \bar{\eta}) := -\sqrt{-1} \langle \eta, \bar{\eta} \rangle$$

for $\eta \in (\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3)_{\mathbb{C}}^{2,1}$ induced by the cup product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ on $H^3(X^{(1)}, \mathbb{C})$. The pairing descends to $\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3$ by the adjoint property of ρ and γ .

Similarly, let

$$(\text{Ker } \gamma_4)_{\mathbb{C}} := \text{Ker } \gamma_4 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \subset H^2(Y^{(2)}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Then $(\text{Ker } \gamma_4)_{\mathbb{C}}$ admits a pure Hodge structure of weight 2 and its $(1, 1)$ -part admits a pairing Q_{W_2} defined by

$$Q_{W_2}(\xi, \bar{\xi}) := -\langle \xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle$$

for $\xi \in (\text{Ker } \gamma_4)_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}$ induced by the cup product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ on $H^2(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{C})$.

Hence the condition (*) in Theorem 1.2 makes sense.

Remark 3.9. Let us discuss when the above condition (*) holds. It holds when $(\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3)_{\mathbb{C}}^{2,1}$ and $(\text{Ker } \gamma_4)_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}$ are primitive.

The pairing Q_{W_3} on $(\text{Ker } \rho_3 / \text{Im } \gamma_3)_{\mathbb{C}}^{2,1}$ is positive definite if $(\text{Im } \gamma_3)^{2,1}$ contains some subspace V_1 such that $\dim V_1 = h^1(X^{(1)}, \mathcal{O})$ and $-\sqrt{-1} \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ is negative definite on V_1 . This holds if $H^1(X^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$, for example.

Since we have $\text{Ker } \gamma_4 = (\text{Im } \rho_2)^{\perp}(-1)$, we see that Q_{W_2} is positive definite if $(\text{Im } \rho_2)^{1,1}$ contains a subspace V_2 such that $\dim V_2 = h^0(X^{(2)}, \mathbb{C})$ and the cup product is positive definite on V_2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the cup product is definite on $((\text{Im } \rho_2)^{\perp})^{1,1}$, we see that it is non-degenerate on $\text{Im } \rho_2$. Hence we see that $H^3(Y_s, \mathbb{C})$ admits a pure Hodge structure by Theorem 1.1(i).

Let $\{u_{\alpha}^{i,j} \in I^{i,j} \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, h^{i,j}\}$ be the basis of $I^{i,j}$ for $i + j = 2, 3, 4$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 3.4, we see that $u_{\alpha}^{2,1}, u_{\alpha}^{2,2}, \overline{u_{\alpha}^{1,3}}$'s form a basis of $H_0^{2,1} = I^{2,1} \oplus I^{2,2} \oplus \overline{I^{1,3}}$. We choose $u_{\alpha}^{i,j}$'s so that the following holds.

Claim 3.10. (i) We may take $u_{\alpha}^{2,1}$'s so that

$$Q(u_{\alpha}^{2,1}, \overline{u_{\beta}^{2,1}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta.

(ii) We may also take $u_\alpha^{2,2}$'s and $u_\alpha^{1,3}$'s so that

$$Q(N(u_\alpha^{2,2}), \overline{u_\beta^{2,2}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q(N(\overline{u_\alpha^{1,3}}), u_\beta^{1,3}) = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Proof of Claim. We use the notations and results in [Fuj14]. Let $((A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W), (A_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F), \alpha)$ be the cohomological mixed Hodge complex as in [Fuj14, Definition 5.18] such that $H^k(Y, \Lambda_Y^\bullet) \simeq H^k(A_{\mathbb{C}})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(i) By Proposition 2.6(ii), we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}_3^W H^3(Y, \Lambda_Y^\bullet) \simeq (\mathrm{Ker} \rho_3 / \mathrm{Im} \gamma_3) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

Note that the pairing on this is induced by the pairing on $H^3(Y, \mathrm{Gr}_0^W A_{\mathbb{C}})$, where

$$\mathrm{Gr}_0^W A_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \Omega_{Y_i}^\bullet$$

by [Fuj14, (5.22.2)]. By [Fuj14, Lemma 6.13 and the proof of Theorem 8.11], we see that the pairing is induced by the cup product on $H^3(Y_i, \mathbb{C})$, thus we obtain the claim by the positive definiteness of the pairing Q_{W_3} .

(ii) By [Fuj14, (5.22.2)], we obtain

$$\mathrm{Gr}_1^W A_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} \Omega_{Y_{ij}}^\bullet[-1] \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^W A_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $Y_{ij} = Y_i \cap Y_j$. Recall that, by Proposition 2.6(ii), we have

$$W_4/W_3 \simeq \mathrm{Ker} \gamma_4, \quad W_2 \simeq \mathrm{Coker} \rho_2.$$

We see that the pairing on $W_4/W_3 \times W_2$ is induced by the pairing on $H^3(\mathrm{Gr}_1^W A_{\mathbb{C}}) \times H^3(\mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^W A_{\mathbb{C}})$ which is induced by the cup products on $H^2(Y_{ij}, \mathbb{C})$ by [Fuj14, Lemma 6.13 and the proof of Theorem 8.11]. Note that the monodromy logarithm $N: H^3(\mathrm{Gr}_1^W A_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow H^3(\mathrm{Gr}_{-1}^W A_{\mathbb{C}})$ is induced by $2\pi\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{id}$ on $H^2(Y_{ij})$ since N_A in [Fuj14, 5.23] is induced by $2\pi\sqrt{-1}\pi_r$, where $\pi_r: \omega_Y^{p+1}/W_r \rightarrow \omega_Y^{p+1}/W_{r+1}$ is the projection for the log de Rham complex ω_Y^\bullet and the weight filtration W_\bullet on it. By this and the definiteness of Q_{W_2} on $(\mathrm{Ker} \gamma_4)^{1,1}$, we obtain the former claim. The latter claim follows since $(\mathrm{Ker} \gamma_4)^{2,0}$ is primitive. □

Since $\mathcal{H}^{2,1}$ is an analytic vector bundle, we can extend the above elements $u_\alpha^{2,1}$, $u_\alpha^{2,2}$ and $\overline{u_\alpha^{1,3}}$ to analytic sections $U_\alpha^{2,1}(\zeta), U_\alpha^{2,2}(\zeta), \overline{U_\alpha^{1,3}}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{H}^{2,1}$ over Δ with the variable $\zeta \in \Delta$ which form a local frame of $\mathcal{H}^{2,1}$. Let $U_\alpha^{i,j}(z)$ be the pull-back of $U_\alpha^{i,j}(\zeta)$ by the universal covering map $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ from the upper half plane \mathfrak{H} .

Let $u_\alpha^{i,j}(z) = e^{zN} \cdot u_\alpha^{i,j} \in \varphi^* \mathcal{H}|_{\Delta^*}$ be the H^3 -valued function determined by $u_\alpha^{i,j} \in H^3$. Then we have

$$u_\alpha^{2,1}(z) = u_\alpha^{2,1}, \quad u_\alpha^{2,2}(z) = u_\alpha^{2,2} + zu_\alpha^{1,1}, \quad u_\alpha^{1,3}(z) = u_\alpha^{1,3} + zu_\alpha^{0,2},$$

where $u_\alpha^{1,1} = N(u_\alpha^{2,2})$ and $u_\alpha^{0,2} = N(u_\alpha^{1,3})$, respectively. Then we may write

$$U_\alpha^{2,1}(z) = \sum A_\beta^{i,j} u_\beta^{i,j}(z), \quad U_\alpha^{2,2}(z) = \sum B_\beta^{i,j} u_\beta^{i,j}(z), \quad \overline{U_\alpha^{1,3}}(z) = \sum C_\beta^{i,j} u_\beta^{i,j}(z),$$

for some analytic functions $A_\beta^{i,j}, B_\beta^{i,j}, C_\beta^{i,j}$ on Δ and we have

$$(12) \quad U_\alpha^{2,1}(z) = u_\alpha^{2,1} + O(y^{-1}),$$

$$(13) \quad U_\alpha^{2,2}(z) = u_\alpha^{2,2} + z u_\alpha^{1,1} + O(y^{-1}), \quad \overline{U_\alpha^{1,3}}(z) = \overline{u_\alpha^{1,3}} + \bar{z} u_\alpha^{2,0} + O(y^{-1}),$$

where $y := \text{Im } z$.

We consider the intersection matrix of $Q(\bullet, \bullet)$ for the basis

$$B(z) = \{U_\alpha^{2,1}(z) \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, h^{2,1}\} \cup \{U_\alpha^{2,2}(z) \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, h^{2,2}\} \cup \{\overline{U_\alpha^{1,3}}(z) \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, h^{1,3}\}.$$

Let

$$Q_{11} := \left(Q \left(U_\alpha^{2,1}(z), \overline{U_\beta^{2,1}}(z) \right) \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq h^{2,1}},$$

$$Q_{22} := \left(Q \left(U_\alpha^{2,2}(z), \overline{U_\beta^{2,2}}(z) \right) \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq h^{2,2}},$$

$$Q_{33} := \left(Q \left(\overline{U_\alpha^{1,3}}(z), U_\beta^{1,3}(z) \right) \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq h^{1,3}},$$

$$Q_{12} := \left(Q \left(U_\alpha^{2,1}(z), \overline{U_\beta^{2,2}}(z) \right) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq h^{2,1}, \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq h^{2,2}}},$$

$$Q_{13} := \left(Q \left(U_\alpha^{2,1}(z), U_\beta^{1,3}(z) \right) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq h^{2,1}, \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq h^{1,3}}},$$

$$Q_{23} := \left(Q \left(U_\alpha^{2,2}(z), U_\beta^{1,3}(z) \right) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq h^{2,2}, \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq h^{1,3}}}.$$

Then the associated matrix Q for the quadratic form $Q(\bullet, \bullet)$ is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & Q_{23} \\ Q_{31} & Q_{32} & Q_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $Q_{ji} := Q_{ij}^*$ is the adjoint matrix for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

By the equation (13), we see that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} Q \left(U_\alpha^{2,2}(z), \overline{U_\beta^{2,2}}(z) \right) &= Q \left(u_\alpha^{2,2} + z u_\alpha^{1,1} + O(y^{-1}), \overline{u_\beta^{2,2}} + \bar{z} \overline{u_\beta^{1,1}} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \\ &= z Q \left(u_\alpha^{1,1}, \overline{u_\beta^{2,2}} \right) + \bar{z} Q \left(u_\alpha^{2,2}, \overline{u_\beta^{1,1}} \right) + \text{Im}(z) \cdot O(y^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 2 \text{Im}(z) (1 + O(y^{-1})) & (\alpha = \beta) \\ \text{Im}(z) \cdot O(y^{-1}) & (\alpha \neq \beta) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$Q_{22} = 2 \text{Im}(z) \cdot (E_2 + O(y^{-1})),$$

where E_2 is the identity matrix of size $h^{2,2}$.

Similarly, by the equation (13), we see that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} Q \left(\overline{U_\alpha^{1,3}(z)}, U_\beta^{1,3}(z) \right) &= Q \left(\overline{u_\alpha^{1,3}} + \bar{z}u_\alpha^{2,0} + O(y^{-1}), u_\beta^{1,3} + zu_\beta^{0,2} + O(y^{-1}) \right) \\ &= zQ \left(\overline{u_\alpha^{1,3}}, u_\beta^{0,2} \right) + \bar{z}Q \left(u_\alpha^{2,0}, u_\beta^{1,3} \right) + \text{Im}(z) \cdot O(y^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 2 \text{Im}(z) (1 + O(y^{-1})) & (\alpha = \beta) \\ \text{Im}(z) \cdot O(y^{-1}) & (\alpha \neq \beta) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

thus we obtain

$$Q_{33} = 2 \text{Im}(z) \cdot (E_3 + O(y^{-1})),$$

where E_3 is the identity matrix of size $h^{1,3}$.

We also see that the entries of $y^{-1}Q_{23}$ is $O(y^{-1})$ by similar computation, thus we see that

$$Q' := \begin{pmatrix} Q_{22} & Q_{23} \\ Q_{32} & Q_{33} \end{pmatrix} = 2y \cdot (E_{23} + O(y^{-1}))$$

for the identity matrix $E_{23} := E_2 \oplus E_3$ and Q' is positive definite when $y = \text{Im}(z) \gg 0$.

Let $R := (Q_{12} \quad Q_{13})$ so that $Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & R \\ R^* & Q' \end{pmatrix}$. Note that, since $U_\alpha^{2,1}(z) = u_\alpha^{2,1} + O(y^{-1})$ and the coefficients are real analytic by Proposition 3.7, we see that

$$R = O(1)$$

by a similar computation as in (14).

Now let $T := \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & -R \cdot (Q')^{-1} \\ O & E_{23} \end{pmatrix}$, where E_1 is the identity matrix of size $h^{2,1}$ and O is the zero matrix. Then we have

$$T \cdot Q \cdot T^* = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} - R(Q')^{-1}R^* & O \\ O & Q' \end{pmatrix}$$

By the equation (12) and a computation as in (14), we have

$$Q_{11}(z) = E_1 + O(y^{-1}).$$

We also have

$$(Q')^{-1} = y^{-1} (E_{23} + O(y^{-1})).$$

By these and $R = O(1)$, we see that $Q_{11} - R(Q')^{-1}R^*$ is positive definite when $y = \text{Im}(z) \gg 0$. This implies that Q is positive definite when $y = \text{Im}(z) \gg 0$. \square

By Theorem 1.2 and Remark 3.9, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ be a proper SNC variety such that $\dim Y = 3$, Y_j is projective with $H^1(Y_j, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is irreducible. Let $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of Y and Y_s be its general fiber.*

Then $H^3(Y_s, \mathbb{C})$ admits a polarized Hodge structure.

Proof. The definiteness of Q_{W_3} follows from $H^1(Y_j, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ by Remark 3.9.

Since $\text{Im } \rho_2$ contains images of ample classes on Y_j , we obtain the definiteness of Q_{W_2} by Remark 3.9 as well. \square

Remark 3.12. In Corollary 3.11, the examples in [HS23] are covered. We can check that the examples in [San22] satisfies the conditions on the pairings which was already written in [Che24]. We can also check that the degeneration of Clemens manifolds as in Example 4.10 satisfies the condition (*).

4. EXAMPLES

4.1. Remarks on Hodge–Riemann line bundles. Ample classes (or Kähler classes) satisfy the Hard Lefschetz property and the Hodge–Riemann bilinear relations. However, not only these are the classes with such properties.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n with a pure Hodge structures on $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in Proposition 2.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a holomorphic line bundle on X .

- (i) We say that \mathcal{L} is *Lefschetz* if the operator

$$\cup_{c_1(\mathcal{L})}^i: H^{n-i}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

- (ii) We say that \mathcal{L} is *Hodge–Riemann* if \mathcal{L} is Lefschetz and the Hodge–Riemann relation holds for \mathcal{L} , that is, on the primitive cohomology $P^k := P_{\mathcal{L}}^k := \text{Ker}(\cup_{c_1(\mathcal{L})}^{n-k+1}) \subset H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ with the decomposition $P^k = \bigoplus P^{p,k-p}$, the pairing determined by

$$(\alpha, \beta) := (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \sqrt{-1}^{2p-k} \int \alpha \cup \bar{\beta} \cup c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-k}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in P^{p,k-p}$ is positive definite for $k \leq n$.

As in the following examples, there are Lefschetz or Hodge–Riemann line bundles which are not ample.

Example 4.2. (i) Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on a smooth projective variety X . Then it is well known that \mathcal{L} is Lefschetz by the hard Lefschetz theorem. On the other hand, its dual \mathcal{L}^{-1} is also Lefschetz.

- (ii) Let $X := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{L} := p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$ for the projections p_1, p_2 . Then we can easily check that \mathcal{L} is Lefschetz if and only if $ab \neq 0$ since it is equivalent to $c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 \neq 0$. We can also check that \mathcal{L} is Hodge–Riemann if and only if $ab > 0$.
- (iii) Let $\mu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a blow-up along a smooth subvariety $Z \subset X$ of codimension 2 and \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X . Then it is known that $\mu^* \mathcal{L}$ is a Hodge–Riemann line bundle (cf. [dCM02, Theorem 2.3.1]).

- (iv) Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(a_1F_1 + a_2F_2 + a_3F_3)$, where $F_i := p_i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is the fiber class of the projection p_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We can compute that \mathcal{L} is Hodge–Riemann if and only if $a_1a_2a_3 > 0$. For example, $-F_1 - F_2 + F_3$ is not ample, but Hodge–Riemann.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of a proper SNC variety $X = \bigcup X_i$ and $H^k = H^k(X, \Lambda_X^\bullet)$ be as in Proposition 2.6. Suppose that there exists a line bundle \mathcal{L}_0 on X such that $\mathcal{L}_i := \mathcal{L}_0|_{X_i}$ for all i and $\mathcal{L}_0|_D$ for all irreducible components of $X^{(2)} = \bigsqcup_{i < j} X_i \cap X_j$ are Hodge Riemann.*

Then the monodromy nilpotent operator $N: H^k \rightarrow H^k$ satisfies

$$N^2 = 0, \quad \text{Ker } N = W_k, \quad \text{Im } N = W_{k-1},$$

and it induces an isomorphism $N: W_{k+1}/W_k \rightarrow W_{k-1}$.

Proof. By [PS08, Theorem 11.28], we see that N induces a linear map $W_{k+1}/W_k \rightarrow W_{k-1}$, thus we have $N^2 = 0$. Then the proof of the isomorphism is parallel to that of [PS08, Theorem 11.40] or [GNA90, (5.2) Théorème] in which only the positive definiteness of the pairings is used. \square

Remark 4.4. It should be possible to generalize this proposition without the assumption about the triple intersection.

Example 4.5. Clemens [Cle77, pp.229] exhibited an example of a semistable degeneration $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta$ of a Hopf surface such that \mathcal{S}_0 is a normal crossing surface $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_1$ which is constructed by identifying negative section σ_0 and a positive section σ_∞ of the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_1 . Let $\bar{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{S}_0$ be the singular locus.

To make the computation easier, we make a birational modification so that the semistable degeneration has a SNC central fiber. Let $\beta: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be a double cover defined by $\beta(t) = t^2$, $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{S} \times_\Delta \Delta$ be the fiber product by β and $\mu: \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be the blow-up along $\beta_\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}) \subset \mathcal{T}$, where $\beta_\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the base change of β . Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathcal{T}} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\beta_\mathcal{T}} & \mathcal{S} \\ & \searrow \phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}} & \downarrow \phi_\mathcal{T} & & \downarrow \phi_\mathcal{S} \\ & & \Delta & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Delta \end{array} .$$

We see that $\phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}}: \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \Delta$ is semistable and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cup E$ is an SNC surface such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \simeq E \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$. (Indeed, \mathcal{T} has A_1 -singularities along $\beta_\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\bar{\sigma})$, thus its blow-up induces a semistable degeneration $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \Delta$.) We also see that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cap E = C_1 \cup C_2$ and, if $C_1 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a negative section with $C_1^2 = -1$ on \mathcal{T} , then $C_1 \subset E$ is a positive section with $C_1^2 = 1$ on E . (C_2 is the positive section on $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and the negative section on E .)

Claim 4.6. There exists $\mathcal{L}_0 \in \text{Pic } \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_0$ such that $\mathcal{L}_1 := \mathcal{L}_0|_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}} \in \text{Pic } \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 := \mathcal{L}_0|_E \in \text{Pic } E$ are Lefschetz and $\mathcal{L}_1|_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cap E} = \mathcal{L}_2|_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cap E}$ is ample.

Proof of Claim. Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = a_1h_1 + a_2f_1 \in \text{Pic } T$, where $h_1, f_1 \in \text{Pic } T \simeq \text{Pic } \mathbb{F}_1$ are the negative section and the fiber of \mathbb{F}_1 . Let $\mathcal{L}_2 = b_1h_2 + b_2f_2 \in \text{Pic } E$, where $h_2, f_2 \in \text{Pic } E \simeq \text{Pic } \mathbb{F}_1$ are the negative section and the fiber of \mathbb{F}_1 . Note that \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 can be glued to $\mathcal{L}_0 \in \tilde{T}_0$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot C_i = \mathcal{L}_2 \cdot C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 \cdot C_1 &= (a_1h_1 + a_2f_1) \cdot h_1 = a_2 - a_1, & \mathcal{L}_2 \cdot C_1 &= (b_1h_2 + b_2f_2) \cdot (h_2 + f_2) = b_2, \\ \mathcal{L}_1 \cdot C_2 &= (a_1h_1 + a_2f_1) \cdot (h_1 + f_1) = a_2, & \mathcal{L}_2 \cdot C_2 &= (b_1h_2 + b_2f_2) \cdot (h_2) = b_2 - b_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}_1 = a_1h_1 + a_2f_1$ can be glued with $\mathcal{L}_2 = (-a_1)h_2 + (a_2 - a_1)f_2$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We see that

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{L}_1^2 = a_1(-a_1 + 2a_2), \quad \mathcal{L}_2^2 = a_1(a_1 - 2a_2).$$

Note that \mathcal{L}_i is Lefschetz if and only if $\mathcal{L}_i^2 \neq 0$. Note also that $\mathcal{L}_i|_{C_j}$ is ample for $j = 1, 2$ if and only if $a_2 - a_1 > 0$ and $a_2 > 0$ by the above equation (16). Hence, if we let $(a_1, a_2) = (a, 2a)$ for $a > 0$ for example, then the induced line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1 = a(h_1 + 2f_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = a(-h_2 + f_2)$ satisfy the Lefschetz property and induce an ample line bundle on $\tilde{T} \cap E$. \square

Claim 4.6 shows that, in Proposition 2.6, requiring the Lefschetz assumption and the ampleness on the intersection are not sufficient. Indeed, we can check that, if there exists \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 as in Claim 4.6, then \mathcal{L}_1 or \mathcal{L}_2 is not Hodge-Riemann since $\mathcal{L}_1^2 = -\mathcal{L}_2^2$ by (17).

Moreover, since $b_2(\mathcal{S}_t) = 0$ on a Hopf surface \mathcal{S}_t , we see that $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$ does not induce a Lefschetz line bundle on the smoothing.

Example 4.7. It would be nice if we can exhibit a non-projective SNC Calabi-Yau variety $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with irreducible components X_1, X_2 and a line bundle \mathcal{L}_0 such that $\mathcal{L}_i := \mathcal{L}_0|_{X_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{12} := \mathcal{L}_0|_{X_{12}}$ on $X_{12} := X_1 \cap X_2$ are all Hodge-Riemann. Although we could not find such line bundles so far, we can give an example of a Lefschetz line bundle \mathcal{L}_t on a non-Kähler Calabi-Yau 3-fold X_t constructed in [HS23] as follows.

Let $D \in |-K_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}|$ be a general member and $\iota \in \text{Aut } D$ an automorphism of infinite order as in [HS23]. Let $f_j \subset D$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ be the fiber of the j -th projection $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\mu: X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the blow-up along smooth curves

$$C_1, \dots, C_a, C_{a+1} \subset D,$$

where $C_1, \dots, C_a \in |f_1|$ are the disjoint smooth curves and

$$C_{a+1} \in |2(f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + (\iota^a)^*(f_1 + f_2 + f_3)) - af_1|$$

is a smooth curve as in [HS23] and $X_2 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $X := X_1 \cup X_2$ be a d-semistable SNC Calabi-Yau variety which is determined by the isomorphism $\iota^a \circ \mu|_{\tilde{D}_1}: \tilde{D}_1 \rightarrow D_2$ from the strict transform $\tilde{D}_1 \subset X_1$ of D to $D = D_2 \subset X_2$. Let $\mathcal{L}_0 \in \text{Pic } X$ be the line bundle induced by

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \mu^* \mathcal{O}(F_1 + F_2 + F_3), \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{O}((8a^2 + 1)F_1 + (1 + 4a)F_2 + (1 - 4a)F_3),$$

where $F_j \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ be the fiber of the j -th projection $\pi_j: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable smoothing of X and X_t be its general smooth fiber. Let $\mathcal{L}_t \in \text{Pic } X_t$ be the induced line bundle by the isomorphism $\text{Pic } \mathcal{X} \simeq \text{Pic } X$ and the restriction $\text{Pic } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Pic } X_t$. By the weight spectral sequence, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(D) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 H^2(X_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & H^2(D) \\ \downarrow \cup \mathcal{L}_D & & \downarrow (\cup \mathcal{L}_1, \cup \mathcal{L}_2) = \phi_{\mathcal{L}} & & \downarrow \cup \mathcal{L}_D \\ H^2(D) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_4} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 H^4(X_i) & \xrightarrow{\rho_4} & H^4(D), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are complexes and its cohomology groups in the middle are $H^2(X_t)$ and $H^4(X_t)$.

Claim 4.8. The homomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{L}_t} := \cup \mathcal{L}_t: H^2(X_t) \rightarrow H^4(X_t)$ is an isomorphism. Hence we see that $\mathcal{L}_t \in \text{Pic } X_t$ is Lefschetz.

Proof of Claim. Note that we have

$$H^2(X_t) \simeq \text{Ker } \rho_2 / \text{Im } \gamma_2, \quad H^4(X_t) \simeq \text{Ker } \rho_4 / \text{Im } \gamma_4.$$

We shall show that the homomorphism

$$\psi_{\mathcal{L}}: \text{Ker } \rho_2 / \text{Im } \gamma_2 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \rho_4 / \text{Im } \gamma_4$$

induced by $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is injective, thus isomorphism by the dimension count.

Let $E_i \subset X_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, a+1$ be the μ -exceptional divisors over C_i . We can take the basis of $\text{Ker } \rho_2 / \text{Im } \gamma_2$ induced by the $(a+3)$ elements $\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \tilde{F}_3, \tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_a \in \text{Ker } \rho_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_1 &= (\mu^* F_1, F_1), \\ \tilde{F}_2 &= (\mu^* ((4a^2 - 2a)F_1 + (1 - 2a)F_2 + 2aF_3), F_2), \\ \tilde{F}_3 &= (\mu^* ((4a^2 + 2a)F_1 - 2aF_2 + (1 + 2a)F_3), F_3), \\ \tilde{E}_i &= (\mathcal{O}(E_i), \mathcal{O}(F_1)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, a). \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, let $\pi_{ij} = \pi_i \times \pi_j: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $f_{ij} := [\pi_{ij}^{-1}(p)] \in H^4(X_2)$ for $p \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ be the fiber class. Let $e_i := [\mu^{-1}(q_i)] \in H^4(X_1)$ be the class of the fibers of μ over $q_i \in C_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, a+1$. We know that $H^4(X_1)$ are generated by $\mu^*(f_{ij})$'s and e_i 's and $H^4(X_2)$ is generated by f_{ij} 's.

We can check the injectivity of $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}$ as follows. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_1) &= (\mu^*(f_{12} + f_{13}), (1 + 4a)f_{12} + (1 - 4a)f_{13}), \\ \phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_2) &= ((4a^2 - 4a + 1)f_{12} + 4a^2 f_{13} + f_{23}, (8a^2 + 1)f_{12} + (1 - 4a)f_{23}), \\ \phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_3) &= (4a^2 f_{12} + (4a^2 + 4a + 1)f_{13} + f_{23}, (8a^2 + 1)f_{13} + (1 + 4a)f_{23}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the image of γ_4 is generated by $(\mu^* f_{ij}, -f_{ij})$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, we see that

$$\tau: H^4(X_1) \oplus H^4(X_2) \rightarrow H^4(X_1); (\mu^*(\alpha) + \sum a_i e_i, \beta) \mapsto \mu^*(\alpha + \beta) + \sum a_i e_i$$

induces an isomorphism $\bar{\tau}: \text{Coker } \gamma_4 \rightarrow H^4(X_1)$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_1)) &= \mu^*((2+4a)f_{12} + (2-4a)f_{13}), \\ \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_2)) &= \mu^*((12a^2 - 4a + 2)f_{12} + 4a^2f_{13} + (2-4a)f_{23}), \\ \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_3)) &= \mu^*((4a^2)f_{12} + (12a^2 + 4a + 2)f_{13} + (2+4a)f_{23}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2+4a & 12a^2 - 4a + 2 & 4a^2 \\ 2-4a & 4a^2 & 12a^2 + 4a + 2 \\ 0 & 2-4a & 2+4a \end{vmatrix} = 8(64a^4 - 2) \neq 0,$$

we see that $\tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_1)), \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_2)), \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_3))$ are linearly independent. By this and the description

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{E}_j) = (4e_j, (1+4a)f_{12} + (1-4a)f_{13}) \quad (j = 1, \dots, a),$$

we also see that $\tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_1)), \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_2)), \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{F}_3)), \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{E}_1)), \dots, \tau(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{E}_a))$ are linearly independent. This implies the injectivity of $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}$. \square

Hence we see that \mathcal{L}_t is Lefschetz. However, we also have the following.

Claim 4.9. \mathcal{L}_t and \mathcal{L}_t^{-1} are not Hodge–Riemann.

Proof. We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}(18) \quad \mathcal{L}_t^3 &= \mathcal{L}_1^3 + \mathcal{L}_2^3 = (F_1 + F_2 + F_3)^3 + ((8a^2 + 1)F_1 + (1+4a)F_2 + (1-4a)F_3)^3 \\ &= 6(1 + (8a^2 + 1)(1+4a)(1-4a)) < 0,\end{aligned}$$

thus \mathcal{L}_t is not Hodge–Riemann. We can also check that \mathcal{L}_t^{-1} is not Hodge–Riemann by calculating $(\mathcal{L}_t^2)^\perp \subset H^2(X_t)$. Since we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_1^2, \mathcal{L}_2^2) = (2(F_{12} + F_{23} + F_{13}), 2((8a^2 + 1)(1+4a)F_{12} + (8a^2 + 1)(1-4a)F_{13} + (1-16a^2)F_{23})),$$

the element

$$\Delta_{21} := (\mu^*(F_2 - F_1), (4a^2 + 2a - 1)F_1 + (1+2a)F_2 - 2aF_3) \in H^2(X)$$

defines an element $[\Delta_{21}] \in H^2(X_t)$. Then we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}(19) \quad \Delta_{21}^2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_t &= \mu^*(F_2 - F_1)^2 \cdot \mu^*(F_1 + F_2 + F_3) \\ &+ ((4a^2 + 2a - 1)F_1 + (1+2a)F_2 - 2aF_3)^2 \cdot ((8a^2 + 1)F_1 + (1+4a)F_2 + (1-4a)F_3) \\ &= -2 + 2(-32a^4 - 32a^3 + 8a - 1) < 0\end{aligned}$$

for $a > 0$. This shows that \mathcal{L}_t^{-1} is not Hodge–Riemann as well. \square

It might be interesting to find an example of a non-Kähler Calabi–Yau manifold with a Hodge–Riemann line bundle.

Example 4.10. For a semistable degeneration $\phi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \Delta$ of Clemens manifolds as in [Fri19], we can check that there is no line bundle \mathcal{L}_0 on the central fiber $\mathcal{Y}_0 = \bigcup Y_i$ such that all $\mathcal{L}_0|_{Y_i}$ are Hodge–Riemann as follows.

We recall that the central fiber \mathcal{Y}_0 is

$$\mathcal{Y}_0 = \tilde{X} \cup Q_1 \cup \cdots \cup Q_l,$$

where \tilde{X} has $\nu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ which is the blow-up along disjoint $(-1, -1)$ -curves $C_1, \dots, C_l \subset X$ on a projective Calabi–Yau 3-fold X . Let us take $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quintic 3-fold with infinitely many disjoint $(-1, -1)$ -curves constructed by Clemens and Friedman (cf. [Fri91]). Let $E_i := \nu^{-1}(C_i)$ be the exceptional divisor for $i = 1, \dots, l$. We know that Q_1, \dots, Q_l are isomorphic to a smooth quadric hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Let $\mathcal{L}_0 \in \text{Pic } Y_0$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} := \mathcal{L}_0|_{\tilde{X}}$, $\mathcal{L}_i := \mathcal{L}_0|_{Q_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Let $d_i := \mathcal{O}_X(1) \cdot C_i$ be the degree of the curve C_i . Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}} = a \left(\nu^* \mathcal{O}_X(1) - \sum_{i=1}^l d_i E_i \right), \quad \mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}(ad_i)$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $a > 0$, we can check that \mathcal{L}_X and $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_l$ are Lefschetz by elementary calculation. However, we can also check that $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}$ is not Hodge–Riemann. (Indeed, since $b_2(\mathcal{Y}_t) = 0$, we see that the induced line bundle $\mathcal{L}_t \in \text{Pic } \mathcal{Y}_t$ is trivial and not Lefschetz, thus $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}}$ can not be Hodge–Riemann.)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Taro Fujisawa, Kenji Hashimoto and Hisashi Kasuya for valuable communications. The author would like to thank Kuan-Wen Chen for valuable communications and pointing out mistakes on Proposition 2.6(iii) in the previous version. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17H06127, JP19K14509, JP23K03032.

REFERENCES

- [Che24] Kuan-Wen Chen, *On the Hodge Structures of Global Smoothings of Normal Crossing Varieties*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.19229.pdf> (2024).
- [Cle77] C. H. Clemens, *Degeneration of Kähler manifolds*, *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), no. 2, 215–290. MR 444662
- [dCM02] Mark Andrea A. de Cataldo and Luca Migliorini, *The hard Lefschetz theorem and the topology of semismall maps*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **35** (2002), no. 5, 759–772. MR 1951443
- [DN13] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Viêt-Anh Nguyễn, *On the Lefschetz and Hodge-Riemann theorems*, *Illinois J. Math.* **57** (2013), no. 1, 121–144. MR 3224564
- [Fri91] Robert Friedman, *On threefolds with trivial canonical bundle*, *Complex geometry and Lie theory* (Sundance, UT, 1989), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 103–134. MR 1141199
- [Fri19] ———, *The $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma for general Clemens manifolds*, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **15** (2019), no. 4, 1001–1028. MR 4085665
- [Fuj14] Taro Fujisawa, *Polarizations on limiting mixed Hodge structures*, *J. Singul.* **8** (2014), 146–193. MR 3395244

- [GNA90] F. Guillén and V. Navarro Aznar, *Sur le théorème local des cycles invariants*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), no. 1, 133–155. MR 1068383
- [HS23] Kenji Hashimoto and Taro Sano, *Examples of non-Kähler Calabi–Yau 3-folds with arbitrarily large b_2* , Geom. Topol. **27** (2023), no. 1, 131–152. MR 4584262
- [Lee13] John M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 218, Springer, New York, 2013. MR 2954043
- [Lee24] Tsung-Ju Lee, *Finite distance problem on the moduli of non-Kähler Calabi–Yau $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -threefolds*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.19125.pdf> (2024).
- [Li23] Chi Li, *Polarized Hodge Structures for Clemens Manifolds*, Mathematische Annalen (2023).
- [PS08] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. MR 2393625 (2009c:14018)
- [RT23] Julius Ross and Matei Toma, *Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for Schur classes of ample vector bundles*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **56** (2023), no. 1, 197–241. MR 4563867
- [San21] Taro Sano, *Examples of non-Kähler Calabi–Yau manifolds with arbitrarily large b_2* , J. Topol. **14** (2021), no. 4, 1448–1460. MR 4406696
- [San22] Taro Sano, *Construction of non-Kähler Calabi–Yau manifolds by log deformations*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.02455.pdf> (2022).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, KOBE,
657-8501, JAPAN

Email address: tarosano@math.kobe-u.ac.jp