

Perfect state transfer of Grover walks on unitary Cayley graphs

Koushik Bhakta and Bikash Bhattacharjya

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India

b.koushik@iitg.ac.in, b.bikash@iitg.ac.in

Abstract

The unitary Cayley graph has vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, where two vertices u and v are adjacent if $\gcd(u-v, n) = 1$. We focus on the periodicity and perfect state transfer of Grover walk on the unitary Cayley graphs. We completely characterize which unitary Cayley graphs are periodic. From our results, we find infinitely many new periodic graphs. We prove that periodicity is a necessary condition for perfect state transfer on an integral vertex-transitive graph, and we provide a simple criterion to characterize perfect state transfer on circulant graphs in terms of its adjacency spectrum. Using these, we prove only three graphs in a class of unitary Cayley graphs exhibit perfect state transfer. Also, we provide a spectral characterization of the periodicity of Grover walk on any integral regular graphs.

Keywords. Grover walk, unitary Cayley graphs, Ramanujan sum, periodicity, Perfect state transfer

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C50, 81Q99

1 Introduction

Quantum walk [2] on graphs is an important concept that lies at the intersection of quantum mechanics and graph theory. It serves as a fundamental building block for various quantum algorithms and has applications in fields such as computer science, physics, and even biology. Quantum walk [3] is a quantum analogue of the classical random walk, where a particle moves through space in discrete steps according to specific rules. In a classical random walk, the particle moves from one vertex to another in a graph with a probability determined by the edges connecting that vertex. However, in a quantum walk, the particle is governed by the principles of quantum mechanics, allowing it to exhibit unique behaviours such as superposition and interference. Quantum walk has been extensively studied in both physics and mathematics. One of the most exciting properties of quantum walks is their capability for perfect state transfer, which essentially involves transferring between specific quantum states with a probability of 1.

Periodicity is a case of state transfer in which any initial state returns to its original state at a particular time. There are two types of quantum walk: continuous-time quantum walk and discrete-time quantum walk. It has been explored in continuous-time quantum walk in mathematics for a relatively long time; see [5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 28]. We can also find studies of perfect state transfer in discrete-time quantum walks, though not as many as in continuous-time quantum walks, see [13, 14, 32].

This paper explores the concepts of periodicity and perfect state transfer in the Grover walk, a type of discrete-time quantum walk. We say that the graph is a k -periodic Grover walk if and only if the k -th power of the time evolution operator becomes the identity first, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The research on this topic has become very active in the past decade. Higuchi et al. [15] showed that the Grover walks on a complete graph K_n is periodic if and only if $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. Also, they proved that the Grover walks on a complete bipartite graph $K_{r,s}$ is periodic for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Ito et al. [17] proved that the Grover walk on complete graphs on n vertices with a self-loop at each vertex is periodic with period $2n$. In their study [23], Kubota et al. provide a comprehensive characterization of the periodicity of generalized Bethe trees. Yoshie [31] studied the periodicity of Grover walks on distance regular graphs. Kubota [20] explores the periodicity of Grover walks on the regular bipartite graph with at most five distinct eigenvalues. Also, he explores Grover walks on the regular mixed graph in [19].

Perfect state transfer is significant due to its application in quantum information processing. Zhan [32] established an infinite family of 4-regular circulant graphs where perfect state transfer takes place. In this paper, we consider perfect state transfer between states associated with vertices of a graph. Kubota et al. [21] established a necessary condition about the eigenvalues of a graph to enable perfect state transfer between states associated with vertices. Additionally, they provided necessary and sufficient conditions for perfect state transfer within complete multipartite graphs. This paper focuses on periodicity and perfect state transfer on unitary Cayley graphs. The following are our main theorems. For terminologies and proof, see later sections.

Theorem 1.1. *The unitary Cayley graphs $UC(n)$ are periodic if and only if $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where α and β are non-negative integers with $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$.*

Theorem 1.2. *The only unitary Cayley graphs $UC(n)$ have perfect state transfer are K_2 , C_4 , and C_6 .*

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 primarily serves as preparation. We define a few matrices that are required in this paper. We state the eigenvalues of unitary Cayley graphs in terms of Ramanujan sums. In section 3, we first provide a necessary and sufficient condition for an integral regular graph to be periodic. Then, we classify which unitary Cayley graphs are periodic using Ramanujan sum. In Section 4, we prove that periodicity is a necessary condition to occur perfect state transfer in an integral vertex-transitive graph. Also, we discuss a condition on the spectrum on the circulant graph for exhibiting perfect state transfer. Finally, we conclude that only three graphs in the

unitary Cayley graphs have perfect state transfer. In Section 5, we characterize integral regular graphs that are periodic. Section 6 is the conclusion.

2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite simple connected graph with vertex set V and edge set E , where $E \subset (V \times V) \setminus \{(v, v) : v \in V\}$. Note that G is a graph without a loop, parallel edge, and orientation. Also $(u, v) \in E$ if and only if $(v, u) \in E$. Let A be the *adjacency matrix* of G , given by

$$A_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (u, v) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We defined $\text{Spec}_A(G)$ be the set of all distinct eigenvalues of A to the graph G . For a graph G associated to different matrices, for example $U(G)$, $T(G)$, we defined $\text{Spec}_U(G)$, $\text{Spec}_T(G)$ in same way. A graph G is said to be *integral* if its adjacency spectrum is integer, that is, $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

2.1 Grover walk

We define $\mathcal{A} = \{(u, v), (v, u) : uv \in E\}$ to be the set of the symmetric arcs of G . The origin x and terminus y of $a = (x, y) \in \mathcal{A}$ are denoted by $o(a)$, $t(a)$, respectively. Let $a = (u, v)$ be an arc of the graph G . Then inverse arc of a is defined as $a^{-1} = (v, u)$.

We define a few matrices related to Grover walks. The *boundary matrix* $d = d(G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times \mathcal{A}}$ is defined by

$$d_{xa} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\deg x}} \delta_{x, t(a)},$$

where $\delta_{a,b}$ is the Kronecker delta. The *shift matrix* $S = S(G) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}$ is defined by

$$S_{ab} = \delta_{a, b^{-1}}.$$

Defined the *time evolution matrix* $U = U(G) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}$ by

$$U = S(2d^*d - I).$$

Quantum walks defined by U are called *Grover walks*. The *discriminant* $P = P(G) \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times V}$ is defined by

$$P = dSd^*.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If G is a k -regular graph, then we have $P = \frac{1}{k}A$. Therefore, the modulus of eigenvalues of P is less than equal to 1.*

Definition 1. We say that a graph G is *periodic* for Grover walk if $U^\tau = I$ for some positive integer τ and $U^j \neq I$ for every j with $0 < j < \tau$. In such a case, the number τ is called the period of the graph.

Lemma 2.2. *A graph G is a τ -periodic graph if and only if $\lambda_U^\tau = 1$ for every $\lambda_U \in \text{Spec}_U(G)$, and $\lambda_U^j \neq 1$ for every j with $0 < j < \tau$.*

The previous lemma says that from the eigenvalues of U , we can find the periodicity of G . Therefore, the following result.

Corollary 2.2.1. *Let G be a graph and U be the time evolution matrix. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ be the distinct eigenvalues of U , and k_1, \dots, k_t be the least positive integers such that $\lambda_1^{k_1} = 1, \dots, \lambda_t^{k_t} = 1$. Then the graph G is $\text{lcm}(k_1, \dots, k_t)$ periodic graph.*

Lemma 2.3. [14] *The spectrum of the Grover transition matrix U is decomposed by*

$$\text{Spec}_U(G) = \{e^{\pm i \arccos(\text{Spec}_P(G))}\} \cup \{1\}^{b_1} \cup \{-1\}^{b_1-1+1_B},$$

where $b_1 = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1$, and $1_B = 1$ if G is bipartite, $1_B = 0$ otherwise.

The above lemma is known as the spectral mapping theorem of the quantum walk for the Grover walk.

Let G be a graph and U be the time evolution matrix. A vector $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^A$ is a state if $\|\Phi\| = 1$. We say that perfect state transfer from a state Φ to another state Ψ at time τ if there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with norm one such that

$$U^\tau \Phi = \gamma \Psi.$$

Lemma 2.4. [7, 21] *Let G be a graph and U be the time evolution matrix. Perfect state transfer occurs from a state Φ to another state Ψ at time τ if and only if $|\langle U^\tau \Phi, \gamma \Psi \rangle| = 1$.*

In this paper, we deal only with vertex type state. Let G be a graph with vertex set V . A state Φ is said to be *vertex type* if there exists a vertex $u \in V$ such that $\Phi = d^* \mathbf{e}_u$, where \mathbf{e}_u is the unit vector defined by $(\mathbf{e}_u)_x = \delta_{u,x}$. We denote by χ the set of all vertex type states, that is, $\chi = \{d^* \mathbf{e}_u : u \in V\}$.

Definition 2. Let G be a graph and U be the time evolution matrix. We say the graph G admits *perfect state transfer* if perfect state transfer occurs between two distinct vertex type states.

From now onward, there is perfect state transfer from the vertex u to another vertex v , which means there is perfect state transfer from the state $d^* \mathbf{e}_u$ to state $d^* \mathbf{e}_v$. In Grover walks, there is a strong connection to achieving perfect state transfer between vertex type states and Chebyshev polynomials. The Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, denoted by $T_n(x)$, is the polynomial defined by $T_0(x) = 1$, $T_1(x) = x$ and

$$T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x) \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

It is well known that

$$T_n(\cos\theta) = \cos(n\theta). \tag{2.1}$$

This implies $|T_n(x)| \leq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. In [21], Kubota and Segawa studied perfect state transfer between vertex type states via Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. They gave a necessary condition on the eigenvalues of a graph for perfect state transfer between vertex-type states to occur.

Lemma 2.5. [21] *Let G be a graph with the time evolution matrix U and discriminant P . Then we have $dU^\tau d^* = T_\tau(P)$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*

Since P is a normal matrix, it has a spectral decomposition. Suppose P has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ and let E_r be the matrix that represents orthogonal projection on the eigenspace associated with λ_r . Then, the spectral decomposition of P is

$$P = \sum_{r=1}^d \lambda_r E_r. \quad (2.2)$$

The following properties are satisfied by these eigenprojectors.

- (i) $E_r^2 = E_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq d$,
- (ii) $E_r E_s = 0$ for $r \neq s$, $1 \leq r, s \leq d$,
- (iii) $E_r^T = E_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq d$, and
- (iv) $E_1 + \dots + E_d = I$.

If f is a complex valued function defined on the eigenvalues of P , then we have

$$f(P) = \sum_{r=1}^d f(\lambda_r) E_r. \quad (2.3)$$

For a vertex u in a graph G , we define $\Theta_P(u) = \{\lambda_i \in \text{Spec}(P) : E_i \mathbf{e}_u \neq 0\}$. This is called the *eigenvalue support* of the vertex u with respect to P .

Theorem 2.6. [21] *Let G be a graph with the discriminant P , and let u, v be vertices of G . If perfect state transfer occurs from $d^* \mathbf{e}_u$ to $d^* \mathbf{e}_v$ at time τ , then $T_\tau(\lambda) = \pm 1$ holds for any $\lambda \in \Theta_P(u)$.*

Corollary 2.6.1. [21] *Let G be a graph with discriminant P , and let u and v be two vertices of G . If perfect state transfer occurs from $d^* \mathbf{e}_u$ to $d^* \mathbf{e}_v$, then we have $\Theta_P(u) \cap \mathbb{Q} = \{\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}, 0\}$.*

2.2 Unitary Cayley graph

Let $(G, +)$ be a finite abelian group and S be an inverse closed subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$, where 0 is the identity element of G . A *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ over the group G with respect to S is an undirected graph whose vertex set is G and two vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if $u - v \in S$. If $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, the Cayley graph is called a *circulant graph*. The Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a regular graph of degree $|S|$. Its connected

components are the right cosets of the subgroup generated by S . So $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected if S generates G . Over the past two decades, researchers devoted considerable attention to studying integrality of the Cayley graphs; see [28] for more information. In this paper, we restricted ourselves to unitary Cayley graphs, which we define now.

For a positive integer $n \geq 2$, the *unitary Cayley graph* is a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U_n)$ which is defined by the additive group of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n of integers modulo n and the multiplicative group U_n of its units. If $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, then it is well known that $U_n = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \gcd(a, n) = 1\}$. We denote $\text{UC}(n)$ for the unitary Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, U_n)$. The graph $\text{UC}(n)$ is a connected and regular graph with degree $|U_n| = \phi(n)$, where $\phi(n)$ denotes the Euler function. Note that the unitary Cayley graph is a circulant graph. For more information about unitary Cayley graphs, see [18].

Theorem 2.7. [27] *A circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ is integral if and only if $S = \bigcup_{d \in D} G_n(d)$, for some set of divisors $D \subseteq D_n$. Where $G_n(d) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \gcd(a, n) = d\}$, and D_n is the set of all divisors of n less than n .*

Unitary Cayley graph $\text{UC}(n)$ is nothing but the circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, G_n(1))$. Therefore, $\text{UC}(n)$ is an integral regular connected graph. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors (see [27]) of the circulant graphs $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ are λ_j and v_j , $0 \leq j \leq (n-1)$ are given by

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{s \in S} \omega_n^{js}, \quad v_j = [1 \ \omega_n^j \ \omega_n^{2j} \ \dots \ \omega_n^{(n-1)j}], \quad (2.4)$$

where $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. Note that $\{\omega_n^t : 0 \leq t \leq n-1\}$ are all (complex) solutions of the Equation $x^n = 1$, and they are called the n -th root of unity.

In 1918, Ramanujan introduced a sum (now known as Ramanujan sum) in his published seminar paper [25], which is defined as, for each integer n, j , $n \geq 1$

$$R(j, n) = \sum_{r \in G_n(1)} \omega_n^{jr} = \sum_{\substack{r \in G_n(1) \\ r < \frac{n}{2}}} 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi rj}{n}\right)$$

Theorem 2.8. [25] *For integers n, j , $n \geq 1$,*

$$R(j, n) = \sum_{r | \gcd(j, n)} \mu\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) = \mu(c_{n,j}) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(c_{n,j})}, \quad \text{where } c_{n,j} = \frac{n}{\gcd(n, j)},$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

Theorem 2.9. [18] *Eigenvalues of unitary Cayley graph $\text{UC}(n)$ can be expressed as*

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n), \quad 0 \leq j \leq (n-1). \quad (2.5)$$

3 Periodicity on unitary Cayley graphs

A complex number ζ is said to be an *algebraic integer* if there exists a monic polynomial $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $q(\zeta) = 0$. Let Δ denote the set of all algebraic integers. Note that $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \Delta$ for any graph G , as $A(G)$ is an integral matrix, so the characteristic polynomial is monic and integral. It is well known that $\Delta \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$, and Δ is a subring of \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a k -regular integral graph. Then G is periodic if and only if $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \{\pm k, \pm \frac{k}{2}, 0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\mu \in \text{Spec}_U(G)$. Since G is a periodic graph by Lemma 2.2, $\mu^t = 1$ for some positive integer t . Therefore μ is a root of $x^t - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. So $\mu \in \Delta$. Let $\lambda \in \text{Spec}_A(G)$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $\frac{\lambda}{k}$ is an eigenvalue of T , and so $e^{\pm i \arccos(\frac{\lambda}{k})} \in \text{Spec}_U(G)$, using Lemma 2.3. Since Δ is a ring, therefore

$$2\frac{\lambda}{k} = e^{i \arccos(\frac{\lambda}{k})} + e^{-i \arccos(\frac{\lambda}{k})} \in \Delta.$$

Also note that $2\frac{\lambda}{k} \in \mathbb{Q}$, so $2\frac{\lambda}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.1, $-2 \leq 2\frac{\lambda}{k} \leq 2$. Since $2\frac{\lambda}{k}$ is an integer, $2\frac{\lambda}{k} \in \{\pm 2, \pm 1, 0\}$. Therefore $\lambda \in \{\pm k, \pm \frac{k}{2}, 0\}$.

Conversely, assume $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \{\pm k, \pm \frac{k}{2}, 0\}$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\text{Spec}_U(G) \subset \{\pm i, e^{\pm i \arccos(k)}, e^{\pm i \arccos(k/2)}\}.$$

Thus one can find there exists a positive integer p such that $\mu^p = 1$ for any $\mu \in \text{Spec}_U(G)$. Therefore, G is a periodic graph. \square

Corollary 3.1.1. *The unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic if and only if*

$$\text{Spec}_A(UC(n)) \subset \{\pm \phi(n), \pm \frac{\phi(n)}{2}, 0\}.$$

We consider the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ for $n \geq 2$, as for $n = 1$, the unitary Cayley graph is trivial.

Lemma 3.2. *If the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic, then the only prime factors of n are 2 and 3.*

Proof. Let p be a prime such that $p \mid n$. Let $n = pm$ for some positive integer m . Note that $0 \leq m \leq n-1$. We consider the eigenvalue λ_m of the unitary Cayley graph. So,

$$\lambda_m = R(m, n) = \mu(p) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(p)}, \text{ as } c_{n,m} = p.$$

Therefore $\lambda_{pm} = -\frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(p)}$. Corollary 3.1.1 allow us to conclude that $\phi(p) = 1$ or 2, because $UC(n)$ is periodic. Therefore, the only possible values for the prime p are 2 and 3. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If $n = 2^\alpha$, where α is a positive integer. Then, unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic.*

Proof. For $\alpha = 1$, $UC(2)$ has eigenvalues 1 and -1 . Therefore, by Corollary 3.1.1, $UC(2)$ is periodic.

Let $n = 2^\alpha$, where $\alpha \geq 2$ positive integer. For $j = 0$,

$$\lambda_0 = R(0, n) = \mu(1) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(1)} = \phi(n).$$

For $j = 2^{\alpha-1}$,

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = \mu(2) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(2)} = -\phi(n).$$

Let $j \neq 0, 2^{\alpha-1}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Now, we split two cases. First, If $\gcd(j, n) = 1$, then $c_{n,j} = n$, so

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = \mu(n) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(n)} = 0.$$

Second, If $\gcd(j, n) = d$, $d \geq 2$. Since $d \mid n$ and $d \mid j$, we can take $d = 2^t$ for some t such that $0 \leq t \leq \alpha-2$, as $j \neq 0, 2^{\alpha-1}$. Now $c_{n,j} = \frac{n}{d} = 2^{\alpha-t}$, where $(\alpha-t) \geq 2$. Therefore $\mu(c_{n,j}) = 0$. Hence $\lambda_j = 0$. So we prove that

$$\text{Spec}_A(UC(n)) = \{\pm\phi(n), 0\}.$$

Using corollary 2.9, we can conclude that for $n = 2^\alpha$ ($\alpha \geq 2$), the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If $n = 3^\beta$, where β is a positive integer. Then, the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic.*

Proof. For $\beta = 1$, $UC(3)$ has distinct eigenvalues 2, -1 . Therefore, by Corollary 3.1.1, $UC(3)$ is periodic.

Let $n = 3^\beta$, where $\beta \geq 2$ is a positive integer. For $j = 0$,

$$\lambda_0 = R(0, n) = \mu(1) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(1)} = \phi(n).$$

For $j = 3^{\beta-1}$,

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = \mu(3) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(3)} = -\frac{\phi(n)}{2}.$$

Let $j \neq 0, 3^{\beta-1}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Now, we split two cases. First, If $\gcd(j, n) = 1$, then $c_{n,j} = n$, so

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = \mu(n) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(n)} = 0.$$

Second, If $\gcd(j, n) = d$, $d \geq 2$. Since $d \mid n$ and $d \mid j$, we can take $d = 3^t$ for some t such that $1 \leq t \leq \beta-1$, as $j \neq 0, 3^{\beta-1}$. Now $c_{n,j} = \frac{n}{d} = 3^{\beta-t}$, where $(\beta-t) \geq 1$. If $(\beta-t) \geq 2$, $\mu(c_{n,j}) = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda_j = 0$. If $(\beta-t) = 1$, the only possible value of j is $3^{\beta-1}2$. In that case $\lambda_j = -\frac{\phi(n)}{2}$. So we prove that

$$\text{Spec}_A(UC(n)) = \{\phi(n), -\frac{\phi(n)}{2}, 0\}.$$

Using corollary 2.9, we can conclude that for $n = 3^\beta$ ($\beta \geq 2$), the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic. \square

Lemma 3.5. *If $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where α and β are two positive integers, then the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic.*

Proof. For $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, $n = 6$. In this case $UC(6)$ has spectrum $\{\pm 2, \pm 1\}$. Therefore, $UC(6)$ is periodic.

Let $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$ are two positive integers such that $\alpha\beta \neq 1$. For $j = 0$,

$$\lambda_0 = R(0, n) = \phi(n).$$

For $j = 2^\alpha 3^{\beta-1}$,

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = -\frac{\phi(n)}{2}.$$

For $j = 2^{\alpha-1} 3^\beta$,

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = -\phi(n).$$

For $j = 2^{\alpha-1} 3^{\beta-1}$,

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = \frac{\phi(n)}{2}.$$

Let $j \neq 0, 2^\alpha 3^{\beta-1}, 2^{\alpha-1} 3^\beta, 2^{\alpha-1} 3^{\beta-1}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Now there are two cases, first, if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then $c_{n,j} = n$, so

$$\lambda_j = R(j, n) = \mu(n) \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(n)} = 0.$$

Second, if $\gcd(j, n) = d$, $d \geq 2$. Since $d \mid n$, $d \mid j$, and $d \leq n-1$, we can take $d = 2^s 3^t$ for some non negative integers s and t such that $0 \leq s \leq \alpha$, and $0 \leq t \leq \beta$, but note that either $s \leq \alpha-2$ or $t \leq \beta-2$, as $j \neq 0, 2^\alpha 3^{\beta-1}, 2^{\alpha-1} 3^\beta, 2^{\alpha-1} 3^{\beta-1}$. Now $c_{n,j} = \frac{n}{d} = 2^{\alpha-s} 3^{\beta-t}$, where either $(\alpha-s) \geq 2$ or $(\beta-t) \geq 2$. Therefore $\mu(c_{n,j}) = 0$. Hence $\lambda_j = 0$. So we prove that

$$\text{Spec}_A(UC(n)) = \{\pm\phi(n), \pm\frac{\phi(n)}{2}, 0\}.$$

Using corollary 2.9, we can say that for $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$ are two positive integers such that $\alpha\beta \neq 1$, the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic. \square

Combining Lemma 3.2 through Lemma 3.5, we can formulate the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *The unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ is periodic if and only if $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where α and β are non-negative integers with $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$.*

From the Corollary [9], one can easily find the period number of the unitary Cayley graphs $UC(n)$ for $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, as we know explicitly form of the eigenvalues of the graph $UC(n)$ for $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$. For $n = 2^\alpha$, the period number of $UC(n)$ is 4. For other cases, the period number of $UC(n)$ is 12.

In Grover walks, few graphs have been characterized for the periodicity property. Examples include complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, strongly regular graphs, generalized Bethe trees, Hamming




Figure 1: Examples of periodic unitary Cayley graphs

graphs, and Johnson graphs. However, regarding Cayley graphs, there has not been much research done on Grover walk. This paper considers unitary Cayley graphs, which are a particular Cayley graph. We have discovered numerous new periodic graphs based on the outcome of Theorem 3.6. For example, periodic unitary Cayley graphs of vertex 12 and vertex 18 are shown in Figure 1.

4 Perfect state transfer on unitary Cayley graphs

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A bijective function $f : V \rightarrow V$ is said to be *automorphism* of the graph G if for any two vertices u and v such that $uv \in E$ if and only if $f(u)f(v) \in E$. A graph G is said to be *vertex transitive* if, for any two vertices u and v in G , there exist automorphisms f of the graph G such that $f(u) = v$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be an integral vertex-transitive graph. If G admits perfect state transfer, then it is periodic.*

Proof. Let G be an integral vertex-transitive graph. Suppose $E_r \mathbf{e}_u = 0$ for some vertex u of the graph G . Let v be any vertex of the graph G . Since G is vertex-transitive, an automorphism f of the graph G exists such that $f(u) = v$. Therefore, $E_r \mathbf{e}_v = 0$. Then for all vertices u , we have $E_r \mathbf{e}_u = 0$. This implies $E_r = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore for any vertex u of the graph, $\Theta_P(u) = \text{Spec}_P(G)$. From Corollary 2.6.1, if G has perfect state transfer then $\text{Spec}_P(G) \subset \{\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}, 0\}$. Therefore from Theorem 3.1, the graph G is periodic. \square

The Cayley graph is a well-known example of a vertex-transitive graph, and the unitary Cayley graph is integral. Therefore, we have the following result from Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.1.1. *If the unitary Cayley graph $UC(n)$ admits perfect state transfer, then $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where α and β are non-negative integers with $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $G = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ be a circulant graph with eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$, defined in Equation 2.4. If $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$, then perfect state transfer does not exist in G .*

Proof. Let $0 \leq u, v \leq (n-1)$ be two distinct vertices of the circulant graph G . Perfect state transfer exist from the vertex u to vertex v in G at the time τ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |\langle U^\tau d^* \mathbf{e}_u, d^* \mathbf{e}_v \rangle| \\ &= |\langle dU^\tau d^* \mathbf{e}_u, \mathbf{e}_v \rangle| \\ &= |\langle T_\tau(P) \mathbf{e}_u, \mathbf{e}_v \rangle| \\ &= |T_\tau(P)_{uv}| \end{aligned}$$

Let the regularity of the circulant graph be k . Then $P = \frac{1}{k}A$. Let $\mu_j = \frac{\lambda_j}{k}$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Then eigenvalues of P are μ_j and corresponding eigenvector $v_j = [1 \ \omega_n^j \ \omega_n^{2j} \ \dots \ \omega_n^{(n-1)j}]$, for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Thus by spectral decomposition of P we have,

$$P = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_j v_j v_j^*$$

By Equation 2.3,

$$T_\tau(P) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T_\tau(\mu_j) v_j v_j^*.$$

Therefore,

$$T_\tau(P)_{uv} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T_\tau(\mu_j) \omega_n^{j(u-v)}.$$

If perfect state transfer occurs from the vertex u to vertex v at time τ , then by Theorem 2.6, $T_\tau(\mu_j) = \pm 1$ for all μ_j . For $0 \leq j \leq (n-1)$, we define $k_j \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $T_\tau(\mu_j) = \mathbf{e}^{ik_j\pi}$. Therefore

$$T_\tau(P)_{uv} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}^{ik_j\pi} \omega_n^{j(u-v)}. \quad (4.1)$$

From the triangle inequality, the magnitude of the above expression is less than equal to 1. The equality holds if all summands in the equation 4.1 have the same argument. Therefore, they are equal. So perfect state transfer exists from the vertex u to vertex v if and only if

$$\mathbf{e}^{ik_o\pi} = \mathbf{e}^{ik_1\pi + i\frac{2\pi}{n}(u-v)} = \dots = \mathbf{e}^{ik_{n-1}\pi + i\frac{2(n-1)\pi}{n}(u-v)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Which is equivalent to,

$$k_0 \equiv_2 k_1 + \frac{2}{n}(u-v) \equiv_2 \cdots \equiv_2 k_{n-1} + \frac{2}{n}(u-v),$$

where \equiv_2 is defined by $C \equiv_2 D$ if $\frac{C-D}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, from the last expression, a perfect state transfer exists from the vertex u to vertex v if and only if

$$\frac{k_{j+1} - k_j}{2} + \frac{1}{n}(u-v) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-2. \quad (4.3)$$

If $\lambda_{j+1} = \lambda_j$ then, $\mu_{j+1} = \mu_j$. This implies, $T_\tau(\mu_{j+1}) = T_\tau(\mu_j)$. Therefore, $k_{j+1} = k_j$. Now if $\lambda_{j+1} = \lambda_j$ for some $i = 0, \dots, n-2$, then by Equation 4.3

$$\frac{1}{n}(u-v) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the result. □

Corollary 4.2.1. *If n is an odd positive integer, then perfect state transfer does not exist in $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq u < v \leq (n-1)$ be two distinct vertices. By Expression 4.2, if perfect state transfer occurs from the vertex u to vertex v then, $\omega_n^{j(u-v)} = \pm 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, (n-1)$. Now if n is odd then $u \equiv v \pmod{n}$. Thus, a perfect state transfer does not exist on circulant graphs when n is odd. □

A cycle on n vertices is a circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{\pm 1\})$. Therefore, for n odd, the cycle does not have perfect state transfer. For n even, by graphically representing the operation of the time evolution matrix U , it is easily confirmed that cycle on n vertices has perfect state transfer from the vertex u to $u + \frac{n}{2}$ with minimum time $\frac{n}{2}$.

Proposition 4.3. *A cycle on n vertices has perfect state transfer if and only if n is even.*

Theorem 4.4. *The only unitary Cayley graphs $\text{UC}(n)$ having perfect state transfer are K_2 , C_4 , and C_6 .*

Proof. If perfect state transfer exist in unitary Cayley graph $\text{UC}(n)$, then by Corollary 4.1.1, $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$, where α and β are non-negative integers with $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$. For $n = 2, 4$, and 6 , the $\text{UC}(n)$ graphs are K_2 , C_4 , and C_6 respectively. By direct calculation, these graphs have perfect state transfer. For visual proof to admit perfect state transfer on C_6 at time 3, see Figure 2.

For $n = 3^\beta$, there does not exist perfect state transfer on $\text{UC}(n)$, by Corollary 4.2.1.

For $n = 2^\alpha$ ($\alpha \geq 3$), or $n = 2^\alpha 3$ ($\alpha \geq 2$), or $n = 3^\beta 2$ ($\beta \geq 2$), or $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$ ($\alpha \geq 2$ and $\beta \geq 2$), we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, according to Theorem 2.9. Hence, as per Theorem 4.2, perfect state transfer is not possible in these cases either. By considering all these cases together, we have this conclusion. □




Figure 2: Perfect state transfer on C_6

5 Periodic integral regular graphs

Every path and cycle on n vertices are periodic; see [24]. Kubota proved that if a bipartite regular graph with four distinct adjacency spectra is periodic, it is only C_6 .

Theorem 5.1. [20] *Let G be a bipartite k -regular graph with four distinct A -spectra. Then G is periodic if and only if G is isomorphic to C_6 .*

Theorem 5.2. [16] *Let G be a connected k -regular graph with n vertices, and let $k > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 > \dots > \lambda_t$ be the distinct adjacency eigenvalues. Then we have $q(A) = \frac{q(k)}{n} J_n$, where $q(x) = \prod_{i=2}^t (x - \lambda_i)$.*

The previous theorem is due to Hoffman, which is used to study graphs with fewer distinct adjacency eigenvalues. Let G be a k -regular graph with n vertices that are neither complete nor empty. Then G is called *strongly regular* with parameters (n, k, a, c) if any two adjacent vertices of G have a common neighbours and any two nonadjacent vertices of G have c common neighbours. We denote these graphs by $\text{SRG}(n, k, a, c)$.

Lemma 5.3. [4] *Let G be a strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, a, c) . Then $A^2 = kI + aA + c(J - I - A)$.*

A graph G is a *walk-regular* if its vertex-deleted subgraphs $G \setminus u$ are cospectral for all vertex u . We have the following results, which tell us when a graph is a walk-regular graph, which you find from Theorem 4.1 in [12].

Theorem 5.4. *A graph G with adjacency matrix A is walk-regular if and only if the diagonal entries of A^t are constant for all non-negative integers t .*

Since the time evolution matrix depends on the underlying graph, whether the quantum walk is periodic depends on the graphs. Therefore, spectral characterization is one of the valuable tools for considering whether a graph is periodic. In this section, we give a spectral characterization of integral regular graphs, which are periodic.

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be an odd regular integral graph. Then G is periodic if and only if G is $K_{k,k}$, where k is the regularity of the graph.*

Proof. Let k be an odd positive integer and G be a k -regular periodic graph. Since here we specifically consider integral graphs, so $\pm \frac{k}{2} \notin \text{Spec}_A(G)$. Therefore for periodic graph G , $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \{\pm k, 0\}$, using Theorem 3.1. Since $\text{Trace}(A) = 0$, there are two potential cases, either $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm k\}$ or $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm k, 0\}$.

Case 1. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm k\}$. By Proposition 5.2,

$$A + kI_n = \frac{2k}{n}J_n.$$

Equating both side one can solve that $k = 1$ and $n = 2$. So, in this case, there is only one graph K_2 , i.e. $K_{1,1}$.

Case 2. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm k, 0\}$. It is known that a connected regular graph with three distinct eigenvalues is a strongly regular graph. By Proposition 5.2,

$$A^2 + kA = \frac{2k^2}{n}J_n.$$

Equating both side (i, i) -th entry of the equation one can find $n = 2k$, as $(A^2)_{ii} = d(i) = k$. So now it is easy to calculate the parameters of a strongly regular graph via Lemma 5.3, and those graphs are $\text{SRG}(2k, k, 0, k)$. Since $-k \in \text{Spec}(A)$, the graph is bipartite. Therefore bipartite $\text{SRG}(2k, k, 0, k)$ graphs nothing but $K_{k,k}$. □

Lemma 5.6. *The graphs C_3 , C_4 , and C_6 are the only graphs that induce 2-regular integral periodic graphs.*

Proof. It is known that connected 2-regular graphs are only cycle $C_n, n \geq 3$, and the only integral cycle graphs are C_3, C_4 , and C_6 . □

Lemma 5.7. *Let $k = 2m$ ($m \geq 2$) be an even positive integer. Then, all integral non-bipartite k -regular periodic graphs are either walk regular graphs or $K_{m,m,m}$.*

Proof. Let G be a k -regular graph, which is a non-bipartite integral and periodic graph, where $k = 2m$ ($m \geq 4$). So $-k \notin \text{Spec}_A(G)$. Therefore using Theorem 3.1, we can conclude that $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \{2m, \pm m, 0\}$. Note that $\text{Trace}(A) = 0$; therefore, four possible cases may happen, and we check each.

Case 1. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{2m, \pm m, 0\}$. From Proposition 5.2, we have

$$A^3 - mA = \frac{6m^3}{n}J_n. \tag{5.1}$$

Since the graph is regular, diagonal entries of A , A^2 , and J are constant, so from Equation (5.1), it is easy to say that diagonal entries of A^t are constant for any non-negative integer t . Therefore, the graph is walk-regular from the Theorem 5.4.

Case 2. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{2m, \pm m\}$. By Proposition 5.2,

$$A^2 - m^2I = \frac{3m^2}{n}J_n.$$

Calculating both side (i, i) -th entry we have $2 - m = \frac{3m}{n}$, which is not true for $m \geq 2$.

Case 3. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{2m, -m, 0\}$. Since the graph is strongly regular, let $G = \text{SRG}(n, 2m, a, c)$. By applying 5.2, we obtain

$$A^2 + mA = \frac{6m^2}{n}J_n.$$

With Lemma 5.3 and by equating both sides of the Equation, it is simple to determine that $n = 3m$, $a = m$, and $c = 2m$. therefore the the graph is $K_{m, m, m}$.

Case 4. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{2m, -m\}$. By Proposition 5.2,

$$A + mI_n = \frac{3m}{n}J_n.$$

By calculating this one can solve that $n = 3$, and $m = 1$, which is not possible because we assume $m \geq 2$. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $k = 2m$, where m is a positive integer such that $m \geq 2$. Let G be a k -regular integral graph, which is bipartite. Then G is periodic if and only if either $G = K_{2m, 2m}$ or $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm 2m, \pm m, 0\}$.*

Proof. Let G be a $k = 2m$ ($m \geq 2$) regular integral graph, which is periodic. Then by Theorem 3.1, $\text{Spec}_A(G) \subset \{\pm 2m, \pm m, 0\}$. Since $\text{trace}(A) = 0$ and the graph is bipartite, that is $-2m \in \text{Spec}_A(G)$, there will be four cases. Note that both multiplicities of the eigenvalue $2m$ and $-2m$ of $A(G)$ are one.

Case 1. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm 2m\}$. Using Proposition 5.2 one can find that

$$A + 2mI_n = \frac{4m}{n}J_n.$$

In this case the graph G is not complete, therefore there exists $i, j \in V(G)$ where $i \neq j$ such that $i \not\sim j$. if we equating (i, j) -th entry of both-side of the equation we have $m = 0$, which is contradiction.

Case 2. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm 2m, 0\}$. Since the graph is strongly regular in this case, let $G = \text{SRG}(n, 2m, a, c)$. By proposition 5.2,

$$A + 2mI_n = \frac{4m}{n}J_n.$$

Equating both side of the equation and using Lemma 5.3, one can easily find that $n = 4m$, $a = 0$, and $c = 2m$. A bipartite $\text{SRG}(4m, 2m, 0, 2m)$ graph nothing but $K_{2m, 2m}$, which is a periodic graph.

Case 3. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm 2m, \pm m\}$. By Theorem 5.1, it follows that the graph is a 2-regular graph. But in this theorem, we assume that G is a $k = 2m$ regular, where $m \geq 2$. Therefore, this case is not possible.

Case 4. $\text{Spec}_A(G) = \{\pm 2m, \pm m, 0\}$. By Theorem 3.1, the graph is periodic.

In summary, this is the proof of the conclusion. \square

6 Discussion and further questions

This paper characterizes periodicity and perfect state transfer on unitary Cayley graphs in Grover walk, a discrete-time quantum walk. We found that unitary Cayley graphs $UC(n)$ are periodic if and only if $n = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$. Further, we prove that only three graphs, such as P_2, C_4 , and C_6 , have perfect state transfer in the unitary Cayley graphs. Compared to continuous-time quantum walk, every unitary Cayley graph is periodic, and only two graphs, such as P_2 and C_4 , have perfect state transfer; for more information, see [6]. After finding these results, it is desirable to classify which circulant graph has perfect state transfer in Grover walk. More generally, it is preferable to characterize which Cayley graphs over the abelian group exhibit perfect state transfer of the Grover walk.

Acknowledgements

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi and E. Vatandoost. Which Cayley graphs are integral? *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16(1):R122, 2009.
- [2] D. Aharonov, A. Ambainis, J. Kempe, and U. Vazirani. Quantum walks on graphs. *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 50–59, 2001.
- [3] D. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury. Quantum random walks. *Physical Review A*, 48:1680–1690, 1993.
- [4] R. B. Bapat. *Graphs and Matrices*. Hindustan Book Agency, 2010.
- [5] M. Bašić and M. D. Petković. Perfect state transfer in integral circulant graphs of non-square-free order. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 433:149–163, 2010.
- [6] M. Bašić, M. D. Petković, and D. Stevanović. Perfect state transfer in integral circulant graphs. *Applied Mathematics Letter*, 22(7):1117–1121, 2009.

- [7] A. Chan and H. Zhan. Pretty good state transfer in discrete-time quantum walks. *Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical and Theoretical*, 56:165305, 2023.
- [8] E. R. van Dam. Regular graphs with four eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 226:139–162, 1995.
- [9] C. Godsil. Periodic graphs. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 18(1):P23, 2011.
- [10] C. Godsil. State transfer on graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(1):129-147, 2012.
- [11] C. Godsil. When can perfect state transfer occur? *Electron Journal of Linear Algebra*, 23:877–890, 2012.
- [12] C. Godsil and B. D. McKay. Feasibility conditions for the existence of walk-regular graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 30:51–61, 1980.
- [13] C. Godsil and H. Zhan. Discrete-time quantum walks and graph structures. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A*, 167:181–212, 2019.
- [14] Y. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato and E. Segawa. A note on the discrete-time evolutions of quantum walk on a graph. *Journal of Math-for-Industry*, 5:103–119, 2013.
- [15] Y. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato and E. Segawa. Periodicity of the discrete-time quantum walk on a finite graph. *Interdisciplinary Information Sciences*, 23:75–86, 2017.
- [16] A.J. Hoffman. On the polynomial of a graph. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 70(1):30–36, 1963.
- [17] N. Ito, T. Matsuyama, and T. Tsurii. Periodicity of Grover walks on complete graphs with self-loops. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 599:121–132, 2020.
- [18] W. Klotz and T. Sander. Some properties of unitary Cayley graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 14:R45, 2007.
- [19] S. Kubota. Combinatorial necessary conditions for regular graphs to induce periodic quantum walks. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 673:258–279, 2023.
- [20] S. Kubota. Periodicity of Grover walks on bipartite regular graphs with at most five distinct eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 654:125–142, 2022.
- [21] S. Kubota and E. Segawa. Perfect state transfer in Grover walks between states associated to vertices of a graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 646:238–251, 2022.
- [22] S. Kubota, E. Segawa, and T. Taniguchi. Quantum walks defined by digraphs and generalized Hermitian adjacency matrices. *Quantum Information Processing*, 20:95, 2021.

- [23] S. Kubota, E. Segawa, T. Taniguchi, and Y. Yoshie. Periodicity of Grover walks on generalized Bethe trees. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 554:371–391, 2018.
- [24] S. Kubota, H. Seikdo, and H. Yata. Periodicity of quantum walks defined by mixed paths and mixed cycles. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 630:15–38, 2021.
- [25] S. Ramanujan. On certain trigonometrical sums and their applications in the theory of numbers. *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 22(13):259–276, 1918.
- [26] K. Saito. Periodicity for the Fourier quantum walk on regular graphs. *Quantum Information Computation*, 19:23–34, 2019.
- [27] W. So. Integral circulant graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 306(1):153–158, 2006.
- [28] Y. Tan, K. Feng, and X. Cao. Perfect state transfer on abelian Cayley graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Application*, 563:331–352, 2019.
- [29] Y. Yoshie. Characterizations of graphs to induce periodic Grover walk. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, 63:9–23, 2017.
- [30] Y. Yoshie. Odd-periodic Grover Walks. *Quantum Information Processing*, 22:316, 2023.
- [31] Y. Yoshie. Periodicity of Grover walks on distance-regular graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 35:1305–1321, 2019.
- [32] H. Zhan. An infinite family of circulant graphs with perfect state transfer in discrete time quantum walks. *Quantum Information Processing*, 18(12):1–26, 2019.