

CORNERING ROBOTS TO SYNCHRONIZE A DFA

PETER BRADSHAW, ALEXANDER CLOW, AND LADISLAV STACHO

ABSTRACT. This paper considers the existence of short synchronizing words in deterministic finite automata (DFAs). In particular, we define a general strategy, which we call the *cornering strategy*, for generating short synchronizing words in well-structured DFAs. We show that a DFA is synchronizable if and only if this strategy can be applied.

Using the cornering strategy, we prove that all DFAs consisting of n points in \mathbb{R}^d with bidirectional connected edge sets in which each edge (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) is labeled $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$ are synchronizable. We also give sufficient conditions for such DFAs to have synchronizing words of length at most $(n - 1)^2$ and thereby satisfy Černý’s conjecture. Using similar ideas, we generalise a result of Ananichev and Volkov [1] from monotonic automata to a wider class of DFAs admitting well-behaved partial orders. Finally, we consider how the cornering strategy can be applied to the problem of simultaneously synchronizing a DFA G to an initial state u and a DFA H to an initial state v . We do not assume that DFAs G and H or states u and v are related beyond sharing the same edge labels.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *deterministic finite automaton* (or DFA) $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is a finite directed multigraph (V, E) , possibly with loops, and an edge labeling $\psi : E \rightarrow \Psi$ using some alphabet Ψ , such that for all $\alpha \in \Psi$ and $v \in V$, there exists a unique edge $(v, w) \in E$ satisfying $\psi(v, w) = \alpha$. It is sometimes convenient to suppose our DFA has a current state, that is, a specific vertex $u \in V$ which we identify as the current state. When u is the current state of G , we write $G = (V, E, \psi, u)$ or G_u . We let Ψ^* be the set of all words generated by the alphabet Ψ .

Given a state $u \in V$ and an input word $\sigma = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Psi$, the state resulting from the input σ is the unique vertex $u(\sigma) =: v$ for which there is a directed walk $W = u, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, v$ satisfying $\psi(u, w_1) = \alpha_1, \dots, \psi(w_i, w_{i+1}) = \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \psi(w_{k-1}, v) = \alpha_k$. For example, given the DFA depicted in Figure 1, if the current state is u and the input is the word $\sigma =$ “green (lightly dotted), green (lightly dotted)”, then $u(\sigma) = v$. When G has current state u , we let $G(\sigma) = (V, E, \psi, u(\sigma))$. Given a walk W with an edge sequence (e_1, \dots, e_t) , we often write $\psi(W) = \psi(e_1) \dots \psi(e_t)$.

DFAs give a framework for representing a given machine, along with possible transitions between the machine’s states. In this framework, input words using the alphabet Ψ correspond to inputs for the given machine, while each vertex in the DFA corresponds to a possible state of the machine. For convenience, one can represent a machine with current state u as a DFA with a robot standing on the vertex u , so that inputting the word σ to the machine commands the robot at u to follow the walk W beginning at u with $\psi(W) = \sigma$.

Date: May 3, 2024.

We thank Tom Shermer and Jan Manuch for insightful initial discussions on this problem, particularly as they pertain to disjoint DFAs and difference DFAs in \mathbb{R}^2 . This project was partially funded by NSF RTG grant DMS-1937241, and by NSERC through Discovery Grant R611368.




FIGURE 1. An example of a DFA with no loops drawn and edges with the same label receiving the same colour.

When using a machine with multiple possible states, it is often convenient to have a command that resets the machine from any state to some initial state. For instance, a machine’s current state may become unknown to a user, say after the machine receives accidental or random inputs. In these cases, a reset command that updates the machine to a prescribed state allows a user to regain control over the machine state and execute meaningful commands. Given a DFA $G = (V, E, \psi)$ and a vertex $z \in Z$, if there exists a word σ such that $v(\sigma) = z$ for all $v \in V$, then we say that σ is a z -synchronizing word. If G has a z -synchronizing word, then we say G is z -synchronizable. If the choice of z is clear from context or is not relevant to a specific application, then we say that σ is a *synchronizing word* in G and that G is *synchronizable*.

This formulation of synchronizing words was first considered in the early 1960s, most notably by Černý in [3], with the notion first appearing slightly earlier in [9, 10, 11]. In [3] Černý constructed, for each n , a DFA of order n whose shortest synchronizing word has length exactly $(n - 1)^2$. This construction led Černý to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Černý’s conjecture [3]). *If G is a synchronizable DFA of order n , then G has a synchronizing word σ of length $|\sigma| \leq (n - 1)^2$.*

Since initially being proposed, Černý’s conjecture has become a central open question in automata theory. Until recently, the most notable progress on Černý’s conjecture came from work by Pin [14] and Frankl [7], who showed that each synchronizable DFA of order n has a synchronizing word of length at most $(n^3 - n)/6$. The current best upper bound on the length of a shortest synchronizing word in a synchronizable DFA of order n is $(\frac{7}{48} + \frac{15625}{798768})n^3 + o(n^3)$, as shown by Shitov [15]. Shitov’s improvement builds off two earlier papers by Szykuła [16] and Trahtman [17]. Thus, for a general synchronizable DFA, the best upper bound remains far from Černý’s conjecture, despite significant effort.

Notably, Černý’s conjecture has also been proven for some specific classes of DFAs. These include Eulerian DFAs [8], DFAs which admit a Hamiltonian cycle in which every edge has the same label [4], and DFAs which admit particular orderings on vertices that are preserved under the action of any word [1, 2, 5]. For more on Černý’s conjecture and synchronizing DFAs more broadly, we recommend the 2022 survey by Volkov [18].

Our paper contributes to the literature by defining an intuitive strategy for generating short synchronizing words in well-structured DFAs, as well as exploring examples of how this strategy can be applied. We call our strategy the *cornering strategy*.

In Section 2 we establish the preliminaries necessary for the rest of the paper, including definitions and examples. The cornering strategy is outlined in Section 3 and is proven using elementary means. Next, in Section 4, we demonstrate the power of the cornering strategy by using it to prove that a large class of DFAs, which appear naturally in video games and as models for robotic movement, contain short synchronizing words. Moreover, we give conditions for these DFAs to satisfy Černý’s conjecture. In Section 5, we explore a related, although distinct, class of DFAs which we call partially ordered DFAs. A particularly nice class of these DFAs appears in prior work by Ananichev and Volkov [1] by the name of *monotonic automata* and is related to star-free languages [2]. The main result of Section 5 is a generalization of Theorem 1 of [1] from monotonic automata to partially ordered DFAs. Finally, Section 6 focuses on generating a word σ which is u -synchronizing in a DFA G and v -synchronizing in a DFA H . This problem is natural given situations where two different models of machines receive radio commands over the same channel.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section establishes definitions and notation which are vital to the remainder of the paper.

We say that a DFA is *connected* if and only if its underlying directed multigraph is strongly connected. For an example of a DFA that is not connected, see Figure 1. For an example of a connected DFA, see Figure 2.

It is sometimes useful to have an even stronger notion of connectivity in a DFA. To this end, we say a DFA $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is *bidirectional connected* if and only if G is connected and for every edge $(u, v) \in E$, there exists an edge $(v, u) \in E$. For an example of a bidirectional connected DFA, consider a DFA with only loop edges.

Given a DFA $G = (V, E, \psi)$ and a vertex pair $u, v \in V$, we write $\text{dist}(u, v)$ for the number of edges in a shortest directed path from u to v . That is, $\text{dist}(u, v)$ is the ordinary directed graph distance. Notice that if G is a bidirectional connected DFA, then for all u, v in V , $\text{dist}(u, v) = \text{dist}(v, u)$.

A *difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d* is defined as a DFA (V, E, ψ) satisfying the following properties:

- (1) V is a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^d ;
- (2) The alphabet Ψ is defined by $\Psi = \{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$;
- (3) For each distinct pair $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$, if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in E$, then $\psi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$;
- (4) For each distinct pair $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$, if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \notin E$, then there is a loop $e = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \in E$ such that $\psi(e) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$.

We note that condition (4) is required for G to be a DFA, given that for each symbol α in the alphabet and each vertex $v \in V(G)$, there is an edge leaving v with label α . Notice that the edge set of a difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d need not be bidirectional. For an example of a difference DFA, see Figure 2.

Difference DFAs in \mathbb{R}^d are often natural models for movement in real life and simulated spaces, and difference DFAs in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 can be used to model the movement of robots and simple machines. For example, if we imagine a delivery robot in a warehouse, a universal command that returns the robot from any location to the loading dock or charging port corresponds to a synchronizing word in a difference DFAs in \mathbb{R}^2 . This kind of application is particularly useful in the context of simple machines, which may not be able to relay any kind of data to the controller about their current location or state.




FIGURE 2. A difference DFA G with a vertex set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with no loops drawn and edges with the same label receiving the same colour. In this example, the vertex set V is equal to the set of integer points in the rectangle $[0, 4] \times [0, 2]$.

By considering a group X rather than a vector space \mathbb{R}^d , we can analogously define a difference DFA in X . A DFA whose vertices are group elements can model movement in more kinds of geometry than just real space. For example a difference DFA in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ can act as a model for movement on the surface of a cylinder while a difference DFA in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ can act as a model for movement on the surface of a torus. Many classical two-dimensional video games employ one of these two movement models, which are colloquially called wraparound. For example, PacMan and Asteroids model their movement on a torus, while the popular Civilization series model its game world as the surface of a finite cylinder. However, for the purposes of this paper we restrict ourselves to considering difference DFAs in \mathbb{R}^d .

Given a function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ and a DFA $G = (V, E, \psi)$, we extend the definition of f so that $f(W) := f(\psi(W))$ for each directed walk W in G . Then, we say that a walk W in G is f -positive if $f(W) = 1$ and f -negative if $f(W) = -1$. When the choice of f is clear from context, we simply say that W is positive or negative. Similarly, we say a word σ is f -positive if $f(\sigma) = 1$ and f -negative if $f(\sigma) = -1$.

We write $\text{dist}_f^+(u, v)$ for the length of a shortest f -positive walk from u to v in G . Similarly, we write $\text{dist}_f^-(u, v)$ for the length of a shortest f -negative walk from u to v in G . Note that $\text{dist}_f^+(u, u) = \text{dist}_f^-(u, u) = 0$ for each $u \in V$. Furthermore, if there is no f -positive walk from u to v , then we write $\text{dist}_f^+(u, v) = \infty$, and if there is no f -negative walk from u to v , then we write $\text{dist}_f^-(u, v) = \infty$.

Next, we say that $z \in V$ is an f -corner in G if $\text{dist}_f^+(u, z) < \text{dist}_f^+(z, u)$ for all $u \in V \setminus \{z\}$. When f is clear from context, we say that z is a corner. For some examples of corners, see Figure 3. In particular, notice that v is an f -corner, because for all vertices there is a shortest path to v which is f -positive, whereas no path leaving v is f -positive. Furthermore, while not every $x \in V \setminus \{u\}$ has a shortest path to u that is f -positive, one can still verify that $\text{dist}_g^+(x, u) < \text{dist}_g^+(u, x)$ for all $x \in V \setminus \{u\}$. Therefore, u is a g -corner. Also notice that u is not an f -corner, and v is not a g -corner.




FIGURE 3. A connected DFA with an f -corner v and a g -corner u . Here, $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is a function in which a word is positive if and only if it begins with red (dashed) or blue (solid), and $g : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is a function in which a word is positive if and only if it does not begin with red (dashed).

3. CORNERING ROBOTS

This section focuses on the cornering strategy, which is described in the proof of Theorem 3.1. As a result, Theorem 3.1 can be viewed as a summary of the necessary conditions for applying the cornering strategy, as well as an upper bound on the length of the resulting synchronizing word. Afterward, in Theorem 3.2, we prove that a DFA is x -synchronizable if and only if there exists a function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that x is an f -corner.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a DFA of order n , and let $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. If $x \in V$ is f -corner of G , then G has an x -synchronizing word of length at most $\frac{(n-1)d(d+1)}{2}$, where $d = \max_{u \in V} \text{dist}_f^+(u, x)$*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a connected DFA of order n with an alphabet Ψ , and let $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. Suppose there exists an f -corner x in G such that $d = \max_{u \in V} \text{dist}_f^+(u, x)$. For all $u \in V$, we let $r_u = (V, E, \psi, u)$, and we imagine that r_u is a robot on vertex u . Throughout the proof, we pass commands to each robot r_u , and as a result, the state of r_u changes. We construct an x -synchronizing word of length at most $\frac{(n-1)d(d+1)}{2}$ by passing commands from Ψ^* to the robots on G with the goal of moving every robot to x .

First, given a fixed vertex $u \in V$, we describe a procedure for constructing a word τ_u for which $x(\tau_u) = u(\tau_u) = x$. In order to construct the word τ_u , we imagine that a robot r_1 occupies u and that a second robot r_2 occupies x . Our goal is to construct a word τ_u so that when τ_u is passed to r_1 and r_2 , both robots move to x . We construct τ_u over many iterations, as follows.

Iterating through $i = 1, \dots, d$ in order, we define a word σ_i that we pass to r_1 and r_2 as follows. If i is odd, then we let W_i be a shortest f -positive walk from the current vertex occupied by r_1 to the vertex x . If i is even, then we let W_i be a shortest f -positive walk

from the current vertex occupied by r_2 to the vertex x . In both cases, we let $\sigma_i = \psi(W_i)$. Notice that if r_1 and r_2 both occupy vertex x after iteration i , then for all $j > i$, W_j is an empty walk, implying that σ_j is the empty word. After iterating through $i = 1, \dots, d$ we stop. For technical reasons, we also imagine that $i = 0$ before we define σ_1 , and that we have an iteration corresponding to $i = 0$ in which the empty word $\sigma_0 = \emptyset$ is passed to the robots. Finally, we write $\tau_u = \sigma_0\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_d$, so that τ_u is the entire word that is passed to r_1 and r_2 over all iterations.

We claim that after receiving τ_u , robots r_1 and r_2 both occupy x . To prove this claim, we show by induction that after iteration i , the following holds:

- If i is even, then after $\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_i$ is passed, the shortest f -positive walk from the vertex currently occupied by r_1 to the vertex x has a length of at most $d - i$, and r_2 occupies x .
- If i is odd, then after $\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_i$ is passed, r_1 occupies x , and a shortest f -positive walk from the vertex currently occupied by r_2 to the vertex x has a length of at most $d - i$.

When $i = d$, the statement implies that both r_1 and r_2 occupy x .

To prove the statement, consider a value $0 \leq i \leq d$. For our base case, when $i = 0$, we observe that r_2 occupies x , and we write u for the vertex occupied by r_1 . Then, our theorem's hypothesis implies that $\text{dist}_f^+(u, x) \leq d$, completing the base case. Next, suppose that $i \geq 1$ and that i is odd. By our induction hypothesis, at the beginning of iteration i , r_2 occupies x , and a shortest f -positive walk from the vertex occupied by r_1 to x has length at most $d - (i - 1) = d - i + 1$. Hence, the word σ_i has length $|W_i| \leq d - i + 1$. Finally, we write u' for the vertex that r_2 occupies after receiving the word σ_i , and we observe that $\text{dist}_f^+(x, u') \leq |W_i| \leq d - i + 1$. Since x is an f -corner, $\text{dist}_f^+(u', x) < \text{dist}_f^+(x, u') \leq d - i + 1$. Hence, $\text{dist}_f^+(u', x) \leq d - i$. Furthermore, by definition of σ_i , r_1 moves to x after receiving the word σ_i . Therefore, at the end of iteration i , r_1 occupies x , and a shortest f -positive walk from the vertex occupied by r_2 to the vertex x has length at most $d - i$, as claimed. When i is even, the proof is similar, with r_1 and r_2 switched. This completes induction.

We observe that the length of the word $\tau_u = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_d$ passed to the robots r_1 and r_2 throughout all iterations is at most $d + (d - 1) + \dots + 1 = \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$.

Now, we construct an x -synchronizing word τ in G . First, we write r_x for the robot that occupies x . We say that a robot r in G is *synchronized* if r and r_x both occupy x . Initially, exactly $n - 1$ robots on G are not synchronized.

We construct τ as follows. We initialize $\tau = \emptyset$. Then, while there exists a robot r that occupies a vertex $u \in V \setminus \{x\}$, we define a word τ_u of the length at most $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ that moves both r and r_x to x , as described above. We pass τ_u to all robots and update $\tau \leftarrow \tau\tau_u$. We observe that after passing τ_u , the number of robots which are not synchronized decreases by at least 1. Since $n - 1$ robots are initially not synchronized, it follows that we can construct an x -synchronizing word τ as a concatenation of at most $n - 1$ words τ_u , each of length at most $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$. Therefore, we find an x -synchronizing word of length at most $\frac{(n-1)d(d+1)}{2}$, completing the proof. \square

Thus, we have shown that the existence of an f -corner is a sufficient condition for a DFA to be synchronizable. Now, we show that the existence of an f -corner is also a necessary condition for a DFA to be synchronizable.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a DFA. For each $x \in V$, G is x -synchronizable if and only if there exists an $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that x is an f -corner.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a DFA and $x \in V$ a vertex. We begin by noting that if there exists a $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that x is an f -corner, then Theorem 3.1 implies that G is x -synchronizable.

Suppose, on the other hand, that G is x -synchronizable. Let τ be an x -synchronizing word. Let $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ be the function defined by $f(\sigma) = 1$ if and only if σ is an x -synchronizing word. Then, $f(\tau) = 1$. As τ is x -synchronizing, $u(\tau) = x$ for all $u \in V$; thus, $\text{dist}_f^+(u, x)$ is finite. On the other hand, for each x -synchronizing word σ and each $u \in V \setminus \{x\}$, $x(\sigma) = x \neq u$, implying that $\text{dist}_f^+(x, u) = \infty$. Thus, $\text{dist}_f^+(u, x) < \text{dist}_f^+(x, u)$ for all $u \neq x$, implying x is an f -corner. This completes the proof. \square

4. DIFFERENCE DFAS IN \mathbb{R}^d

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.5. That is, we show that each bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d is synchronizable. Furthermore, we prove that if every vertex in a bidirectional connected difference DFA G on n elements has $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ out-neighbours, then the synchronizing word for which results from our construction has the length $O(n^2)$. In doing so, we show that if every vertex of G in \mathbb{R}^d has at least $3\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} - 1$ out-neighbours, then G satisfies Černý's conjecture.

First, we establish several properties about finite sets of points in \mathbb{R}^d . Our goal is to show that for every bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d , G , there exists a function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, such that G has an f -corner.

The following lemma is often called the Obtuse Angle Criterion.

Lemma 4.1 ([13, Theorem 5.1.1]). *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex set, and let $\mathbf{y} \notin C$. Then, $\mathbf{x}^* \in C$ is the closest point in C to \mathbf{y} if and only if $(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^*) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \leq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in C$.*

Lemma 4.2. *If $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a non-empty finite set, then there exists a point $\mathbf{z} \in S$ and a nonzero vector \mathbf{a} such that*

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{z}$ in the convex hull of S .

Proof. We induct on S . If $|S| = 1$, so that $S = \{\mathbf{z}\}$, then we let \mathbf{a} be any nonzero vector, and we are done.

Now, suppose that $|S| > 1$. Let $S' = S \setminus \{\mathbf{s}\}$ for some $\mathbf{s} \in S$. Let \mathbf{z}', \mathbf{a}' be given for S' by the induction hypothesis. If \mathbf{s} is in the convex hull of S' , then we let $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}'$, $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}'$, and we are done. Otherwise, suppose that \mathbf{s} does not belong to the convex hull of S' .

We let \mathbf{x}^* be the point in the convex hull of S' which is closest to \mathbf{s} (by the Euclidean norm), which exists as the convex hull of S' is closed. We claim that if $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^*$, then \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{z} satisfy the theorem.

We first consider a point \mathbf{x} in the convex hull of S' , and we show that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$. As \mathbf{x}^* is closest to \mathbf{z} , Lemma 4.1 tells us that

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) = (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^*) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \leq 0.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$, so it is enough to show that $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}^* < \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$. For this, we observe that since \mathbf{z} is not in the convex hull of S' , $\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^* \neq \mathbf{0}$, and thus

$$0 < \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 = \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^* - 2\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{x}^*.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^* < \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{z},$$

which simplifies to

$$(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^*) \cdot \mathbf{x}^* < (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^*) \cdot \mathbf{z},$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}^* < \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}.$$

Now, consider a point $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z}$ in the convex hull of S which is not in the convex hull of S' . As \mathbf{y} is in the convex hull of $S = S' \cup \{\mathbf{z}\}$, there exist points $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{k-1} \in S'$ and nonnegative values $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ summing to 1 so that

$$\mathbf{y} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{s}_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} \mathbf{s}_{k-1} + \lambda_k \mathbf{z}.$$

As \mathbf{y} is not in the convex hull of S' , $\lambda_k > 0$. We write $t = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1}$, and as $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z}$, $0 < t < 1$. We write

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{\lambda_1}{t} \mathbf{s}_1 + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{t} \mathbf{s}_{k-1}$$

and observe that \mathbf{w} is in the convex hull of S' . We also observe that $\mathbf{y} = t\mathbf{w} + (1-t)\mathbf{z}$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a} \cdot (t\mathbf{w} + (1-t)\mathbf{z}) = t\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{w} + (1-t)\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} < t\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} + (1-t)\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}.$$

This completes the proof. □




FIGURE 4. A bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^2 with no loops drawn.

Theorem 4.3. *If $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is a bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d , then G is synchronizable.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d . By definition, $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists $\mathbf{z} \in V$ and a non-zero vector \mathbf{a} such that

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}) > 0$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{z}\}$.

We observe that if $P = (\mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{v})$ is a directed path in G , then as G is a difference DFA, $\psi(P) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$. We let T be the set of all words σ for which there exists a directed path $P = (\mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{v})$ in G such that $\psi(P) = \sigma$ and $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) < 0$. Then, we let $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ satisfy $f(\sigma) = -1$ if $\sigma \in T$ and $f(\sigma) = 1$ otherwise. We observe that for every directed path $P = (\mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{v})$ in G of length at least one, $f(\psi(P)) = 1$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \geq 0$. In particular, every directed path $P = (\mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{z})$ of length at least one is f -positive, and every directed path $P = (\mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{v})$ of length at least one is f -negative.

By Theorem 3.1 it is sufficient to show there is an f -corner in G . We claim \mathbf{z} is an f -corner. For all $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{z}$, $\text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$, as every path from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{z} is f -positive and $\text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) > \text{dist}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$ as every path from \mathbf{z} to \mathbf{x} is f -negative.

As G is bidirectional connected, $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \text{dist}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$, so we conclude that

$$\text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \text{dist}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) < \text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$$

so $\text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) < \text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$ as desired. Given that $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{z}$ was chosen without loss of generality, we conclude that \mathbf{z} is an f -corner. This completes the proof. \square

For an example of applying Theorem 4.3 to a fixed DFA, consider the difference DFA G in Figure 4. Let $\mathbf{z} = (0, 0)$ (the bottommost and leftmost vertex) and let $\mathbf{a} = (-1, -1)$. Then, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} = 0$, but for any $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ where $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$. Hence, for each vertex $\mathbf{x} \in V(G) \setminus \{\mathbf{z}\}$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} > \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

With this example in mind, we observe that for a general difference DFA G , whenever \mathbf{z} is a point on the boundary of the convex hull of V for which there exists hyperplane H intersecting the convex hull of V exactly at \mathbf{z} , we can define f so that \mathbf{z} is an f -corner of G . For this, we let \mathbf{a} be a vector orthogonal to H and pointing away from the closed affine-half space defined by H and containing V , and then we define f as in Theorem 4.3. In this case, the convex hull of V is a polytope, and \mathbf{z} is a vertex (or *corner*) of this polytope. This idea motivates our name for the cornering strategy.

Lemma 4.4 ([6, Theorem.1]). *If $G = (V, E)$ is a simple graph of order n and minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$, then*

$$\text{diam}(G) \leq \frac{3n}{\delta + 1} - 1$$

We note that Lemma 4.4 has been improved in [12] to $\text{diam}(G) \leq \frac{3(n-t)}{\delta+1} - 1 + \frac{3}{\delta+1}$ for $\delta, \text{diam}(G) \geq 5$, where t is the number of distinct terms in the degree sequence of G . In some cases this might give a large improvement over Lemma 4.4. However for general graphs, the classical result of Erdős, Pach, Pollack, and Tuza [6] given in Lemma 4.4 is sufficient.

Theorem 4.5. *If $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is a bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d of order n satisfying $|N^+(\mathbf{x})| \geq k \geq 2$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in V$, then G has a synchronizing word of length at most*

$$\frac{9n^3 - 9n^2}{2(k+1)^2} + \frac{3n - 3n^2}{2(k+1)}$$

Thus, if $n \geq 5$ and $k \geq 3\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} - 1$, then G has a synchronizing word of length strictly less than $(n-1)^2$.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a bidirectional connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d of order n , and suppose that $|N^+(\mathbf{x})| \geq k \geq 2$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in V$. In the proof of Theorem 4.3, we showed that

there exists a function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ and an f -corner $\mathbf{z} \in V$ such that $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in V$. Then, Theorem 3.1 implies that there is a \mathbf{z} -synchronizing word of length at most $\frac{(n-1)D(D+1)}{2}$, where $D = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \text{dist}_f^+(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \leq \text{diam}(G)$, and $\text{diam}(G)$ is the directed diameter of G .

We note that as G is a bidirectional connected DFA, the directed diameter of G is equal to the diameter of the underlying simple graph of G , which we call H . Furthermore, we note that for all $\mathbf{x} \in V$, $\deg_H(\mathbf{x}) - 1 \leq |N_G^+(\mathbf{x})| \leq \deg_H(\mathbf{x})$. Hence, $\delta(H) \geq k \geq 2$ for all $n \geq 5$. Then, Lemma 4.4 implies that

$$D \leq \text{diam}(G) = \text{diam}(H) \leq \frac{3n}{\delta(H) + 1} - 1 \leq \frac{3n}{k + 1} - 1.$$

Thus, $D(D + 1) \leq \frac{9n^2}{(k+1)^2} - \frac{3n}{k+1}$ implying that

$$\frac{(n-1)D(D+1)}{2} \leq \frac{9n^3 - 9n^2}{2(k+1)^2} + \frac{3n - 3n^2}{2(k+1)},$$

as desired. Letting $k \geq 3\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} - 1$, we note that $\frac{3n}{k+1} - 1 \leq \sqrt{2n} - 1$. Thus, for $n \geq 5$,

$$D(D + 1) \leq (2n - 2\sqrt{2n} + 1) + (\sqrt{2n} - 1) = 2n - \sqrt{2n} < 2(n - 1),$$

which implies that $\frac{(n-1)D(D+1)}{2} < (n-1)^2$. This concludes the proof. \square

5. ORDERED DFAS

The goal of this section is to generalize a criterion from [1] for a DFA to be synchronizable. The criterion from [1] involves the existence of a total ordering of the vertices of a DFA which is preserved by the action of any word in Ψ^* . In this section, we show that any DFA admitting a partial order of the vertices with at least one universally comparable element satisfying a weaker assumption is also synchronizable. The rest of the section explores the consequences of this result, largely by considering for which n -element DFAs our method implies a synchronizing word of length at most $(n-1)^2$.

Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a connected DFA of order n . For a function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ we say that G is an f -partially ordered DFA if the following properties are satisfied. First, for all $u, v \in V$, there is an f -positive walk from u to v . Second, there exists a partial order $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ such that

- (1) for all f -positive words $\sigma \in \Psi^*$, $v \preceq w$ implies $v(\sigma) \preceq w(\sigma)$, and
- (2) there exists an element $z \in V$ such that for all $v \in V$, either $z \preceq v$ or $v \preceq z$.

For an example of a partially ordered DFA, see Figure 5. In this example, f is the function which maps all words to 1. Notice that the DFA in Figure 5 is not a difference DFA.

Our proof employs the same approach as that of Theorem 4.3 but is somewhat simpler, although not shorter. As such, we do not require the use of Theorem 3.1. Despite this, we note that the same principles underlying Theorem 3.1 are applied here.

Theorem 5.1. *If $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is an f -partially ordered DFA of order n , then G has a synchronizing word of length at most $d(2n - 2)$, where $d = \max_{u, v \in V} \text{dist}_f^+(u, v)$.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be an f -partially ordered DFA of order n whose partial order is given by the binary relation \preceq . Let m be a maximal element of (V, \preceq) , and let $z \in V$ be a vertex such that for all $v \in V$, either $z \preceq v$ or $v \preceq z$. Such a vertex z exists by (2). Consider

$u, v \in V$ such that $u \prec v$. By our definition of an f -partially ordered DFA, there exists an f -positive walk from u to m . Hence, we let σ be an f -positive word satisfying $u(\sigma) = m$. As σ is f -positive, it follows from (1) that $u(\sigma) = m \preceq v(\sigma)$. As m is maximal, this implies that $v(\sigma) = m = u(\sigma)$. For each $u \in V$, let σ_u be a shortest f -positive word such that $u(\sigma_u) = m$. Also, let τ be a shortest f -positive word such that $m(\tau) = z$.

Initialize a robot on each vertex of G , and let R be the robot initialized at m . We say a robot r is *synchronized* if it occupies the same element as R . Note that by this definition, R is synchronized at all points throughout our process. We write π_0 for the empty word. For $i \geq 1$, whenever we define a word π_i , we assume that the word π_i is passed to all robots.

We proceed in iterations. For $i \geq 1$, we carry out iteration i as follows. If all robots are synchronized, then halt. Otherwise, we assume that the words $\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{i-1}$ have already been defined and passed to our robots. We proceed in cases based on the positions of our robots, as follows.

Case 1: If R occupies m and there exists a robot r with current position $u \prec m$, then:

Pass the word $\pi_i = \sigma_u$ to all robots. As $u(\pi_i) = m = m(\pi_i)$, r is synchronized after receiving π_i . As R had current state m prior to π_i being defined, and as $m(\pi_i) = m$, R remains at m . Then, we proceed to iteration $i + 1$, in which the process halts or follows Case 1 or 2.

Case 2: If R occupies m and there exists no robot r with current state $u \prec m$, then:

Let $\pi_i = \tau$. As R had current position m prior to π_i being defined, R now has current position $m(\pi_i) = m(\tau) = z$. Then, we proceed to iteration $i + 1$, in which the process halts or follows Case 3.

Case 3: If R occupies z , then:

There exists a robot r with current position $u \neq z$. By assumption, either $u \prec z$ or $z \prec u$. If $u \prec z$, then let $\pi_i = \sigma_u$; otherwise $z \prec u$, and we let $\pi_i = \sigma_z$. In both cases, $u(\pi_i) = m = z(\pi_i)$, implying that r is synchronized and that R occupies m . Then, we proceed to iteration $i + 1$, in which our process halts or follows Case 1 or 2.

Let π_k be the last word defined by our procedure. We observe that for each $i \in [0, k]$, after π_0, \dots, π_i are passed, at least $1 + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$ robots are synchronized. Indeed, each time we follow Case 1 or 3, at least one unsynchronized robot becomes synchronized. Furthermore, each time we follow Case 2 in some iteration i , the following iteration $i + 1$ follows Case 3. As the first iteration follows Case 1, it thus follows that at least $1 + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil$ robots are synchronized after iterations $1, \dots, i$. As all n robots are synchronized after passing π_1, \dots, π_k , it follows that $k \leq 2(n - 1)$. Thus, $\pi_1 \dots \pi_k$ is a synchronizing word, and as each π_i corresponds to a shortest f -positive walk, $|\pi_1 \dots \pi_k| \leq kd \leq 2d(n - 1) = d(2n - 2)$. This completes the proof. \square

Observe that if \mathcal{P} or f satisfies additional assumptions, then we may improve the upper bound on the length of a shortest synchronizing word. Both of the following corollaries demonstrate this fact for specific classes of partially ordered DFAs.

Given a partially ordered set $\mathcal{P} = (X, \preceq)$ we say that an element $z \in X$ is *maximum* if $x \leq z$ for all $x \in X$.




FIGURE 5. The DFA in the figure is an example of a partially ordered DFA whose vertex set is a subset of \mathbb{Z}^2 , equipped with the partial order $(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2)$ if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$. Loops are not drawn in the figure.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a connected DFA of order n . If there exists a partial order $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ such that*

- (1) *for all words $\sigma \in \Psi^*$, $v \preceq w$ implies $v(\sigma) \preceq w(\sigma)$, and*
- (2) *\mathcal{P} has a maximum element $z \in V$,*

then G has a synchronizing word of length at most $(n - 1)^2$.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a connected DFA of order n with a partial order $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ satisfying the assumptions of the corollary. Define a function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ that maps all words to 1. We observe that G is f -partially ordered.

Now, we execute the algorithm in the proof of Theorem 5.1. As z is maximum, the algorithm halts before ever reaching Case 2. Therefore, each iteration of the algorithm follows Case 1 or Case 3. Hence, the algorithm in the proof of Theorem 5.1 produces a synchronizing word of length at most $d(n - 1)$, where $d = \text{diam}(G)$. As $d \leq n - 1$, G has a synchronizing word of length at most $(n - 1)^2$, completing the proof. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a connected DFA of order n . If there exists a total order $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ such that $v \preceq w$ implies $v(\sigma) \preceq w(\sigma)$ for all words $\sigma \in \Psi^*$, then G has a synchronizing word of length at most $n - 1$.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E, \psi)$ be a connected DFA of order n , and suppose that there exists a total order $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ such that for all words $\sigma \in \Psi^*$, $v \preceq w$ implies $v(\sigma) \preceq w(\sigma)$. Let u be the minimum element of \mathcal{P} and v the maximum element of \mathcal{P} . Then, let P be a shortest path from u to v in G . We observe that for all $w \in V$, $v = u(\psi(P)) \preceq w(\psi(P))$, implying that $w(\psi(P)) = v$. Thus, $\psi(P)$ is a v -synchronizing word. As $\psi(P)$ is a shortest path, $|\psi(P)| \leq \text{diam}(G) \leq n - 1$. This concludes the proof. \square

In [1] Ananichev and Volkov consider the problem of synchronizing DFAs which admit a total ordering $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ such that for all words $\sigma \in \Psi^*$, $v \preceq w$ implies $v(\sigma) \preceq w(\sigma)$. The primary result of this paper (Theorem 1) proves that if G is such a DFA and $k \geq 1$ is an integer, then there exists a word σ_k such that $|\sigma_k| \leq n - k$ and $|\{u(\sigma_k) : u \in V\}| \leq k$.

Letting $k = 1$, σ_k is a synchronizing word. Thus, Corollary 5.3 implies Theorem 1 of [1] in the case where $k = 1$. Hence, Theorem 5.1 is a generalization of the work in [1].

Notably Theorem 1 from [1] was generalized in Theorem 1 from [2]. In the light of this, it seems natural to ask whether Theorem 5.1 can be similarly extended. Furthermore, it is natural to ask if our assumption that there exists a vertex $z \in V$ such that for all $v \in V$, $v \preceq z$ or $z \preceq v$ is indeed required. That is, does there exist a DFA G admitting a non-trivial partial order \mathcal{P} with no universally comparable element, where \mathcal{P} is preserved by the action of every word, but G is not synchronizable?

The answer, at least to the second question, is yes. For example, consider the following DFA G with vertex set $V = \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We let G have an associated alphabet $\Psi = \{\text{up}, \text{down}, \text{turn}\}$. We describe the edge set E of G and the labelling function $\psi : E \rightarrow \Psi$ by defining $v(\sigma)$ for each $v \in V$ and $\sigma \in \Psi$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we let $(k, 0)(\text{up}) = (k, 1)(\text{up}) = (k, 1)$, and we let $(k, 0)(\text{down}) = (k, 1)(\text{down}) = (k, 0)$. Furthermore, for each $(k, i) \in V$, we let $(k, i)(\text{turn}) = (k + 1, i)$. We also define a partial order $\mathcal{P} = (V, \preceq)$ so that reflexivity holds, $(k, 0) \preceq (k, 1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, and so that every other pair of elements in V is incomparable.

We observe that G admits a non-trivial partial order \mathcal{P} with no universally comparable element, where \mathcal{P} is preserved by the action of every word. However, G is not synchronizable, as for all distinct $k, t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and all words $\sigma \in \{\text{up}, \text{down}, \text{turn}\}^*$, $(k, i)(\sigma) = (k + x, i') \neq (t + x, i') = (t, i)(\sigma)$, where $i' \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and x is the number of times **turn** appears in σ .

6. SYNCHRONIZING DISJOINT DFAS

In this section we consider the problem of synchronizing a DFA G to a prescribed state u and a second DFA H to a prescribed state v using a common word σ . We do not assume that G and H are related beyond having the same alphabet Ψ . This is a natural problem to consider for some applications. For example, if we take $G = (V_1, E_1, \psi_1, x)$ and $H = (V_2, E_2, \psi_2, y)$ to be distinct difference DFAs in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $u = v$, then this setting models the problem of having two delivery robots receiving the same commands moving through different parts of a warehouse so that at some time t , we require both robots to arrive at the front door to drop off their respective packages. Here, we assume that both robots receive the same commands. This is reasonable when dealing with groups of simple machines given that distinguishing different types of commands might be beyond the capabilities of such machines.

To this end, given DFAs G and H , we define the DFA $G + H = (V, E, \psi, (x, y))$ as the disjoint sum of G and H , as follows:

- (1) $V = V_1 \times V_2$, and
- (2) $((a, b), (u, v)) \in E$ if and only if $(a, u) \in E_1$, and $(b, v) \in E_2$, and $\psi_1(a, u) = \psi_2(b, v)$, and
- (3) $\psi((a, b), (u, v)) = \psi_1(a, u) = \psi_2(b, v)$ for all $((a, b), (u, v)) \in E$.

We note that the current state of $G + H$ captures the current states of G and H . In particular, for all $u \in V_1$, $v \in V_2$, and $\sigma \in \Psi^*$, $(u, v)(\sigma) = (u(\sigma), v(\sigma))$.

For an example about how this operation is of natural interest, suppose that we have a difference DFA G that models a location of a simple machine and a DFA H which models the current state of the same machine. As the machine is simple, suppose that the commands it receives to change location and state are the same. Constructing such machines may be




FIGURE 6. An example of disjoint sum of DFAs with no loops drawn. In the drawing of $G + H$, the three vertices on the left have the form (u, \cdot) while the three vertices on the right have the form (v, \cdot) .

cheaper, or in some contexts such as for microscopic machines, necessary. As the controller, we are interested in the state and location of the machine. Thus, the DFA $G + H$ is required, as we want the machine to be in the correct location with the correct state to accomplish its task.

The question now is, for which $u \in V_1$ and $v \in V_2$ does there exist a synchronizing word which results in a current state of (u, v) in $G + H$? We note that if $G + H$ is connected and synchronizable, then any current state of $G + H$ is achievable by a synchronizing word. That is to reach the desired current state a , simply apply a synchronizing word σ to reach some state b , then apply a word τ for which $b(\tau) = a$. However, even if G and H are connected, it may not hold that $G + H$ is connected. See Figure 6 for an example of how the disjoint sum of two connected DFAs G and H can be disconnected. Hence, such a word τ need not exist for every $(u, v) \in V$. Hence, it is worth asking for which states (u, v) in $G + H$ there exists a (u, v) -synchronizing word.

We are able to prove that if DFAs G and H have f -corners u and v , respectively, then $G + H$ is (u, v) -synchronizable. It is unclear if (u, v) is always an f -corner in $G + H$. Thus, our proof employs a slightly more complicated approach than the cornering strategy; however the fundamentals of the proof are the same.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $G = (V_1, E_1, \psi_1)$ and $H = (V_2, E_2, \psi_2)$ be DFAs over the same alphabet Ψ . If $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ and G and H have f -corners u and v , respectively, then $G + H$ is (u, v) -synchronizable.*

Proof. Let $G = (V_1, E_1, \psi_1)$ and $H = (V_2, E_2, \psi_2)$ be DFAs over the same alphabet Ψ such that for some function $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, G and H have f -corners u and v , respectively. We note that by Theorem 3.1, G is u -synchronizable and H is v -synchronizable.

Let σ be a u -synchronizing word for G , and let τ be a v -synchronizing word for H . Then, for any two vertices (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) in $G + H$, we have $(x_1, y_1)(\sigma\tau) = (x_2, y_2)(\sigma\tau) = (z, v)$,

where $z = u(\tau)$. It remains to show that there exists a directed walk in $G + H$ from (z, v) to (u, v) . To this end, we imagine that a robot r occupies (z, v) , and we aim to construct a word σ that moves r to (u, v) .

To construct our word σ , we iterate $i = 0, 1, \dots, \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(z, u)$. We write $(x_0, y_0) = (z, v)$, and we write (x_i, y_i) for the position of r at the end of each iteration i . For each i , we let $D_i = \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(x_i, u) + \text{dist}_{H,f}^+(y_i, v)$. We note that $D_0 = \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(z, u)$. Note that if $D_i = 0$, then r occupies (u, v) at the end of iteration i .

At the beginning of each iteration i , the robot r has current position (x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) . For $i \geq 1$, if i is odd, let W_i be a shortest f -positive walk in G from x_{i-1} to u , and if i is even, let W_i be a shortest f -positive walk in H from y_{i-1} to v . Let $\sigma_i = \psi(W_i)$, and pass σ_i to r ; then, $x_i = x_{i-1}(\sigma_i)$ and $y_i = y_{i-1}(\sigma_i)$. This completes iteration i .

Observe that if i is odd then $x_i = u$, and if i is even then $y_i = v$. So, if $i \geq 1$ is odd, then

$$D_i = \text{dist}_{H,f}^+(y_i, v) < \text{dist}_{H,f}^+(v, y_i) \leq |\sigma_i| = D_{i-1},$$

as v is an f -corner and $y_i = y_{i-1}(\sigma_i) = v(\sigma_i)$ for an f -positive word σ_i . Similarly if $i \geq 1$ is even, then

$$D_i = \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(x_i, u) < \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(u, x_i) \leq |\sigma_i| = D_{i-1},$$

as u is an f -corner and $x_i = x_{i-1}(\sigma_i) = u(\sigma_i)$ for an f -positive word σ_i .

Thus, for all $1 \leq i \leq \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(z, u)$, $D_i < D_{i-1}$, implying that when $k = \text{dist}_{G,f}^+(z, u)$, $D_k = 0$. Hence, there exists a directed walk W from (z, v) to (u, v) in $G + H$ such that $\psi(W) = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k = \psi(W_1)\psi(W_2) \dots \psi(W_k)$. Therefore, $\sigma\tau\psi(W)$ is a (u, v) -synchronizing word. This completes the proof. \square

7. FUTURE WORK

While we have resolved several questions regarding the synchronizability of DFAs, many more questions remain open. Of course, chief among these is Černý's conjecture; however we will focus our attention here on more manageable, or at least more novel open problems.

The first of these problems is whether or not the bound given in Theorem 3.1 for the length of a shortest synchronizing word is tight? That is, do there exist DFAs whose shortest synchronizing words have length approximately $\frac{(n-1)d(d+1)}{2}$ where $d = \max_{u \in V} \text{dist}_f^+(z, u)$ for an f -corner z ? Moreover, if the answer is yes, then does this remain true if we force $d \geq N$ for some large integer N ?

Conjecture 7.1. *Let Ψ be an alphabet, $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a constant c independent of d , and DFA $G = (V, E, \psi)$ of some order n such that G has an f -corner x , where $d = \max_{u \in V} \text{dist}_f^+(u, x)$ and each synchronizing word for G has length at least cmd^2 .*

From here, we ask how far can our results for difference DFAs be expanded and generalized. The first natural conjecture here is that the assumption of connected bidirectionality made in Theorem 4.3 can be relaxed to connectivity.

Conjecture 7.2. *If $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is a connected difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d , then G is synchronizable.*

Alternatively we can ask if the assumption of each vertex having a large out-neighbourhood in Theorem 4.5 is required.

Conjecture 7.3. *If $G = (V, E, \psi)$ is a difference DFA in \mathbb{R}^d on n vertices, then G has a synchronizing sequence of length at most $(n - 1)^2$.*

Finally, as with any good question involving the real numbers, we ask if our results hold in more general groups.

Question 7.4. For which groups X can every connected or bidirectional connected difference DFA in X be synchronized?

To conclude, we ask questions regarding the disjoint sum of two or more DFAs. Out of all of the questions we give here, this question seems most applicable, especially as one of our primary motivations for considering the disjoint sum is simple machines, which in modern contexts are often deployed at scale.

Conjecture 7.5. *Let $k \geq 3$, Ψ be an alphabet, and $f : \Psi^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. If G_1, \dots, G_k are distinct DFAs over Ψ and for all $i \in [k]$ there exists an f -corner x_i in G_i , then $G = G_1 + \dots + G_k$ is (x_1, \dots, x_k) -synchronizable.*

Question 7.6. For which DFAs G and H over the same alphabet is $G+H$ (u, v) -synchronizable for all $u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V(H)$?

REFERENCES

- [1] Dmitry S Ananichev and Mikhail V Volkov. Synchronizing monotonic automata. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 327(3):225–239, 2004.
- [2] Dmitry S Ananichev and Mikhail V Volkov. Synchronizing generalized monotonic automata. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 330(1):3–13, 2005.
- [3] Ján Černý. Poznámka k homogénnym experimentom s konečnými automatmi. *Matematicko-fyzikálny časopis*, 14(3):208–216, 1964.
- [4] Louis Dubuc. Sur les automates circulaires et la conjecture de černý. *RAIRO-Theoretical Informatics and Applications*, 32(1-3):21–34, 1998.
- [5] David Eppstein. Reset sequences for monotonic automata. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 19(3):500–510, 1990.
- [6] Paul Erdős, János Pach, Richard Pollack, and Zsolt Tuza. Radius, diameter, and minimum degree. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 47(1):73–79, 1989.
- [7] Peter Frankl. An extremal problem for two families of sets. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 3(2):125–127, 1982.
- [8] Jarkko Kari. Synchronizing finite automata on Eulerian digraphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 295(1-3):223–232, 2003.
- [9] AE Laemmel. A general class of discrete codes and certain of their properties. *Res. rep.*, 1956.
- [10] Arthur E Laemmel and Beulah Rudner. Study on application of coding theory. *Polytechnic Inst. of Brooklyn*, 1963.
- [11] Chung Laung Liu. *Some memory aspects of finite automata*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering, 1962.
- [12] Simon Mukwembi. A note on diameter and the degree sequence of a graph. *Applied mathematics letters*, 25(2):175–178, 2012.
- [13] Anthony L. Peressini, Francis E. Sullivan, and J. J. Uhl, Jr. *The mathematics of nonlinear programming*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [14] Jean-Eric Pin. On two combinatorial problems arising from automata theory. In *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, volume 75, pages 535–548. Elsevier, 1983.
- [15] Yaroslav Shitov. An improvement to a recent upper bound for synchronizing words of finite automata. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.06542*, 2019.
- [16] Marek Szykula. Improving the upper bound on the length of the shortest reset words. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.05455*, 2017.

- [17] Avraham N Trahtman. Modifying the upper bound on the length of minimal synchronizing word. In *Fundamentals of Computation Theory: 18th International Symposium, FCT 2011, Oslo, Norway, August 22-25, 2011. Proceedings 18*, pages 173–180. Springer, 2011.
- [18] Mikhail Vladimirovich Volkov. Synchronization of finite automata. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 77(5):53–130, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
Email address: pb38@illinois.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
Email address: alexander_clow@sfu.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
Email address: ladislav_stacho@sfu.ca