

A bijection proof of Andrews–Merca integer partition theorem

Ji-Cai Liu

Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, PR China
jcliu2016@gmail.com

Abstract. Andrews and Merca [J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 203 (2024), Art. 105849] recently obtained two interesting results on the sum of the parts with the same parity in the partitions of n (the modulo 2 case), the proof of which relies on generating functions. Motivated by Andrews and Merca’s results, we define six statistics related to the partitions of n and show that the two triples of the six statistics are equidistributed. From this equidistributed result, we derive modulo m extensions of Andrews and Merca’s results for all integers $m \geq 2$. The proof of the main result is based on a general bijection on the set of partitions of n .

Keywords: partition; bijection; Euler’s identity; integer partition statistic

MR Subject Classifications: 05A17, 05A19

1 Introduction

A partition of a positive integer n is a finite nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. A partition of n can be rewritten as

$$n = t_1 + 2t_2 + \dots + nt_n,$$

where each positive integer i appears t_i times in the partition.

Recently, Andrews and Merca [2, Theorems 1.1 and 1.4] established the following two interesting results:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{t_2+t_4+t_6+\dots} (t_2 + 2t_4 + 3t_6 + \dots) \\ &= \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{\lfloor t_1/2 \rfloor + \lfloor t_2/2 \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor t_n/2 \rfloor} (\lfloor t_1/2 \rfloor + 2 \lfloor t_2/2 \rfloor + \dots + n \lfloor t_n/2 \rfloor), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{t_2+t_4+t_6+\dots} (t_1 + 3t_3 + 5t_5 + \dots) \\ &= \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{\lfloor t_1/2 \rfloor + \lfloor t_2/2 \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor t_n/2 \rfloor} (\widehat{t}_1 + 2\widehat{t}_2 + \dots + n\widehat{t}_n), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of real x and \widehat{t} denotes the residue of t modulo 2.

The proof of the results (1.1) and (1.2) relies on generating functions. “Combinatorial proofs of these results would be very interesting” as described by Andrews and Merca [2].

Let m and n be positive integers with $m \geq 2$. For a partition $\lambda: n = t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + nt_n$, we define the following six integer partition statistics:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{es}_m(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{m} \times \text{the sum of the parts in } \lambda \text{ which are multiples of } m \\ &= t_m + 2t_{2m} + 3t_{3m} + \cdots, \\ \text{hs}_m(\lambda) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} k \left\lfloor \frac{1}{m} \times \text{the multiplicity of the part } k \text{ in } \lambda \right\rfloor \\ &= \lfloor t_1/m \rfloor + 2 \lfloor t_2/m \rfloor + \cdots + n \lfloor t_n/m \rfloor, \\ \text{os}_m(\lambda) &= \text{the sum of the parts in } \lambda \text{ which are not multiples of } m \\ &= t_1 + \cdots + (m-1)t_{m-1} + (m+1)t_{m+1} + \cdots + (2m-1)t_{2m-1} + \cdots, \\ \text{op}_m(\lambda) &= \langle t_1 \rangle_m + 2 \langle t_2 \rangle_m + \cdots + n \langle t_n \rangle_m, \\ \text{ep}_m(\lambda) &= \text{the number of the parts in } \lambda \text{ which are multiples of } m \\ &= t_m + t_{2m} + t_{3m} + \cdots, \\ \text{hp}_m(\lambda) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{m} \times \text{the multiplicity of the part } k \text{ in } \lambda \right\rfloor \\ &= \lfloor t_1/m \rfloor + \lfloor t_2/m \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor t_n/m \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle x \rangle_m$ denotes the least non-negative integer r with $x \equiv r \pmod{m}$.

The aim of the note is to provide a combinatorial approach to (1.1) and (1.2). We show that the two triples $(\text{ep}_m, \text{es}_m, \text{os}_m)$ and $(\text{hp}_m, \text{hs}_m, \text{op}_m)$ are equidistributed on the set of partitions of n .

Theorem 1.1 *For all positive integers m and n with $m \geq 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{ep}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{es}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{os}_m(\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{hp}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{hs}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{op}_m(\lambda)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where \mathcal{P}_n denotes the set of partitions of n .

By (1.3), we have

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{ep}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{es}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{os}_m(\lambda)} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{x=\pm 1 \\ y=z=1}} = \frac{d}{dy} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{hp}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{hs}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{op}_m(\lambda)} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{x=\pm 1 \\ y=z=1}}, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{ep}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{es}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{os}_m(\lambda)} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{x=\pm 1 \\ y=z=1}} = \frac{d}{dz} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{hp}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{hs}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{op}_m(\lambda)} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{x=\pm 1 \\ y=z=1}}. \quad (1.5)$$

From (1.4) and (1.5), we deduce that

Corollary 1.2 *For all positive integers m and n with $m \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{t_m+t_{2m}+t_{3m}+\dots} (t_m + 2t_{2m} + 3t_{3m} + \dots) \\ &= \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{\lfloor t_1/m \rfloor + \lfloor t_2/m \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor t_n/m \rfloor} (\lfloor t_1/m \rfloor + 2 \lfloor t_2/m \rfloor + \dots + n \lfloor t_n/m \rfloor), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{t_m+t_{2m}+t_{3m}+\dots} \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (im + j) t_{im+j} \\ &= \sum_{t_1+2t_2+\dots+nt_n=n} (\pm 1)^{\lfloor t_1/m \rfloor + \lfloor t_2/m \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor t_n/m \rfloor} (\langle t_1 \rangle_m + 2 \langle t_2 \rangle_m + \dots + n \langle t_n \rangle_m). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Note that (1.1) and (1.2) are the special cases $m = 2$ of (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.

We shall present a bijection proof of (1.3) in the next section.

2 A bijection

There is a classic bijection for Euler's identity (see [1, page 8]):

$$p(n \mid \text{the parts are odd}) = p(n \mid \text{the parts are distinct}), \quad (2.1)$$

where $p(n \mid [\text{condition}])$ denotes the number of partitions of n which satisfy some condition.

For an integer $m \geq 2$, let $O_m(n)$ denote the set of partitions of n in which no part is a multiple of m , and $D_m(n)$ denote the set of partitions of n in which no part appears more than $m - 1$ times. There exists a bijection between $O_m(n)$ and $D_m(n)$, which extends Euler's identity (2.1).

The map φ_m^{od} from $O_m(n)$ to $D_m(n)$: If the partition contains m copies of the same part, then we merge the m parts into one part of m -fold size. We repeat this procedure until no part appears more than $m - 1$ times.

The map φ_m^{do} from $D_m(n)$ to $O_m(n)$: If the partition contains a part which is a multiple of m , then we split this part into m equal parts. We repeat this procedure until no part is a multiple of m .

For an integer $m \geq 2$, let $E_m(n)$ denote the set of partitions of n in which all parts are multiples of m , and $N_m(n)$ denote the set of partitions of n in which the multiplicity of each part is a multiple of m . There also exists a bijection between $E_m(n)$ and $N_m(n)$.

The map ψ_m^{en} from $E_m(n)$ to $N_m(n)$: We split a part which is a multiple of m into m equal parts.

The map ψ_m^{ne} from $N_m(n)$ to $E_m(n)$: We merge m equal parts into one part of m -fold size.

A partition of a positive integer n : $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ can be represented as the multiset $M = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r\}$. The multiset M possesses a unique decomposition into two multisets:

$$M = M_m^o \cup M_m^e$$

such that no element in M_m^o is a multiple of m and all elements in M_m^e are multiples of m . For example,

$$\{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 5\} \cup \{3, 3, 6\},$$

where $m = 3$.

The multiset M also possesses a unique decomposition into two multisets:

$$M = M_m^d \cup M_m^n$$

such that no element in M_m^d appears more than $m - 1$ times and the multiplicity of each element in M_m^n is a multiple of m . For example,

$$\{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} = \{3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} \cup \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2\},$$

where $m = 3$.

Now we are ready to establish a bijection on \mathcal{P}_n for positive integers m and n with $m \geq 2$. For any $M \in \mathcal{P}_n$, the map σ_m is defined by

$$\sigma_m(M) = \varphi_m^{od}(M_m^o) \cup \psi_m^{en}(M_m^e).$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3 : \quad \{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} &= \{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 5\} \cup \{3, 3, 6\} \\ &\rightarrow \{3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} \cup \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2\} = \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that no element in $\varphi_m^{od}(M_m^o)$ appears more than $m - 1$ times and the multiplicity of each element in $\psi_m^{en}(M_m^e)$ is a multiple of m .

The inverse of the map σ_m is given by

$$\sigma_m^{-1}(M) = \varphi_m^{do}(M_m^d) \cup \psi_m^{ne}(M_m^n).$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3^{-1} : \quad \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} &= \{3, 4, 4, 5, 6\} \cup \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2\} \\ &\rightarrow \{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 5\} \cup \{3, 3, 6\} = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{hs}_m(\sigma_m(M)) = \text{es}_m(M)$, $\text{hp}_m(\sigma_m(M)) = \text{ep}_m(M)$ and $\text{op}_m(\sigma_m(M)) = \text{os}_m(M)$ for any $M \in \mathcal{P}_n$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{hp}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{hs}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{op}_m(\lambda)} &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{hp}_m(\sigma_m(\lambda))} y^{\text{hs}_m(\sigma_m(\lambda))} z^{\text{op}_m(\sigma_m(\lambda))} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} x^{\text{ep}_m(\lambda)} y^{\text{es}_m(\lambda)} z^{\text{os}_m(\lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 12171370).

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews and K. Eriksson, *Integer Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [2] G.E. Andrews and M. Merca, A further look at the sum of the parts with the same parity in the partitions of n , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 203 (2024), Art. 105849.