

# THE DENSITY OF GABOR SYSTEMS IN EXPANSIBLE LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

E. KING, R. NORES, AND V. PATERNOSTRO

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate the reproducing properties of Gabor systems within the context of expansible groups. These properties are established in terms of density conditions. The concept of density that we employ mirrors the well-known Beurling density defined in Euclidean space, which is made possible due to the expansive structure. Along the way, for groups with a compact open subgroup, we demonstrate that modulation spaces are continuously embedded in Wiener spaces. Utilizing this result, we derive the Bessel condition of Gabor systems. We also provide a straightforward proof of the density result for Gabor frames, utilizing a comparison theorem for coherent frames.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Gabor system is a set of functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  that are obtained by translating a single window in time and frequency along a set  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Their structure make them of particular importance in many applications such as wireless communication, analysis and description of speech or music signals, and more (see, e.g., [14, 15, 28]). Because of this, it is important to study their reproducing properties, that is, to understand which properties of the generating window and the set  $\Lambda$  of time-frequency shifts guarantee the Gabor system to be an orthonormal basis, a Riesz basis, a frame, or a Bessel sequence. When the set  $\Lambda$  does not have an algebraic structure, these reproducing properties are stated in terms of its Beurling density.

Consider a set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . The upper and lower Beurling density of  $\Lambda$ , denoted by  $D^+(\Lambda)$  and  $D^-(\Lambda)$ , respectively, captures its asymptotic behavior within balls of varying radii. In mathematical terms, they are given by:

$$D^+(\Lambda) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap B_r(x))}{r^d}, \quad \text{and} \quad D^-(\Lambda) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap B_r(x))}{r^d},$$

where  $B_r(x)$  denotes the ball centered in  $x$  with radius  $r$  and  $\#$  indicates the cardinality of a set. A thorough survey on the results about density of Gabor systems is [19].

Beurling density is a concept that is also related to conditions for sampling and interpolation. See, for example, Landau's result in [24]. In order to explore the validity of Landau's result in the context of locally compact abelian groups that are compactly generated, in [16] Gröchenig, Kutyniok, and Seip provide a definition of Beurling density by means of a comparison with a canonical lattice of reference. We refer to [1] for related results on the existence of sampling and interpolation sets near to the critical density in LCA groups.

In this paper we focus on studying Gabor systems in terms of density within the framework of expansible locally compact abelian groups, that is, locally compact abelian (LCA) groups which have a compact open subgroup and an expansive automorphism. (See Definition 2.2.1 for more details). One example of a such an expansible LCA group is the space of  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

This type of LCA group does not possess any lattices, and thus, the notion of density given in [16] needs to be reformulated. However, the presence of the expansive automorphism allows us

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47A15, 43A70, 42C15, 42C40.

*Keywords*: Gabor systems, LCA groups, density, expansive automorphism, Bessel sequence, frames.

to generalize the concept of density in the spirit of Beurling density in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A similar approach was given in [27].

Given an expansible LCA group  $G$ , a window  $\varphi \in L^2(G)$  and a set  $\Lambda \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$ , where  $\widehat{G}$  denotes the Pontryagin dual group of  $G$ , we explore whether or not the Gabor system generated by  $\varphi$  with time-frequency shifts along  $\Lambda$ ,  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$ , is a Bessel sequence or a frame of  $L^2(G)$  depending on the density of  $\Lambda$ .

We first move to a more abstract setting where we deal with unitary and projective representations of a locally compact group having a compact open subgroup. We based our analysis in the notion of modulation spaces introduced in [12] (see also [9, 10, 13]). We prove that the generalized wavelet transform (voice transform) induced by the (unitary or projective) representation continuously maps the modulation space of order  $p$  into the Wiener space  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$ . We then apply these results to the case where the projective representation is the one given by time-frequency translations, resulting in Gabor systems.

Returning to Gabor systems in expansive groups, we demonstrate that if  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a Bessel sequence in  $L^2(G)$ , then  $\Lambda$  must have finite density. Conversely, when  $\Lambda$  has finite density and  $\varphi$  exhibits some decay,  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a Bessel sequence. This latter result leverages the continuous embedding of modulation spaces into Wiener spaces.

Our findings serve as analogues for expansive LCA groups to [6, Theorem 3.1] and [19, Theorem 12], which are set in the Euclidean context.

Finally, we derive a density result for Gabor systems, providing a straightforward proof based on the comparison theorem [16, Theorem 4].

The article is structured as follows: In Section 2, we recall certain definitions about LCA groups and expansive automorphisms as well as what we will need about frame theory. We investigate in Section 3 the concept of density. We establish that it is (essentially) independent of the chosen automorphism used to define the density and show an equivalent condition for a set to have finite upper density. Moving to Section 4, we use the theory of modulation spaces introduced by Feichtinger [12] and developed further by Feichtinger and Gröchenig in [9, 10]. When the underlying locally compact group has a compact open subgroup, we establish one of the pivotal results of this work, namely, the continuous inclusion of modulation spaces into Wiener spaces through the generalized wavelet transform. Finally, in Section 5 we prove that the classical properties of Gabor systems hold true in our context.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we fix the context where we will work in. We will also recall some aspects of frame theory that we will need.

**2.1. LCA groups.** A *locally compact abelian group (LCA group)*  $G$  is an abelian group which is also a locally compact topological space such that both multiplication and inversion are homeomorphisms of the space. We will always assume that the topology is Hausdorff. See, e.g., [22] for a general reference

Given an LCA group  $G$  written additively, we denote by  $\widehat{G}$  its Pontryagin dual group. By  $m_G$  we denote a Haar measure associated to  $G$  (with the desired normalization defined below). Since the dual of the dual group is topologically isomorphic to the original group, for  $\xi \in \widehat{G}$  and  $x \in G$  we write  $\langle x, \xi \rangle$  to indicate the character  $\xi$  applied to  $x$  (i.e.  $\xi(x)$ ) or the character  $x$  applied to  $\xi$ . For a subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ , its annihilator is denoted by  $H^\perp$  and is defined as  $H^\perp = \{\xi \in \widehat{G} : \langle h, \xi \rangle = 1, \forall h \in H\}$ . It is well known that if  $H$  is closed,  $H^\perp$  is a closed subgroup of  $\widehat{G}$ .

For a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the dual of the quotient group  $G/H$ ,  $\widehat{G/H}$  is algebraically and topologically isomorphic to  $H^\perp$  and  $\widehat{H}$  is algebraically and topologically isomorphic to  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$ .

When  $H \subseteq G$  is a compact open subgroup, then so is  $H^\perp \subseteq \widehat{G}$ . As a consequence, the quotients  $G/H$  and  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$  are discrete abelian groups.

For an LCA group  $G$  with a compact open subgroup  $H$ , we consider the following normalization of the Haar measures involved: we fix  $m_G$  such that  $m_G(H) = 1$  and  $m_{\widehat{G}}(H^\perp) = 1$ . As explained in [22, Comment (31.1)], this choice guarantees that the Fourier transform between  $L^2(G)$  and  $L^2(\widehat{G})$  is an isometry. We take  $m_H = m_G|_H$ ,  $m_{\widehat{H}} = m_{\widehat{G}}|_{H^\perp}$  and  $m_{G/H}$  and  $m_{\widehat{G}/H^\perp}$  to be the counting measures. Then, the Fourier transforms between  $L^2(H)$  and  $L^2(\widehat{G}/H^\perp)$  and between  $L^2(G/H)$  and  $L^2(H^\perp)$  are isometries.

**2.2. Expansive automorphisms.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group. The group of homeomorphic automorphisms of  $G$  into itself is denoted by  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . For a given  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , the measure  $\mu_A$  defined by  $\mu_A(U) = m_G(AU)$ , where  $U$  is a Borel set of  $G$ , is a non-zero Haar measure on  $G$ . Therefore, there is a unique positive number  $|A|$ , the so-called *modulus* of  $A$ , such that  $\mu_A = |A|m_G$ .

For  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , there is an adjoint  $A^* \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{G})$  defined as  $\langle Ax, \gamma \rangle = \langle x, A^*\gamma \rangle$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ . It holds that  $|A^*| = |A|$ .

We next present the definition of expansive automorphisms as given in [2], where they were used to define a wavelet theory over local fields. See also [27].

**Definition 2.2.1.** [2, Definition 2.5] *Let  $G$  be an LCA group and  $H \subseteq G$  a compact open subgroup, and let  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . We say that  $A$  is expansive with respect to  $H$  if the following two conditions hold:*

- (1)  $H \subsetneq AH$ ;
- (2)  $\bigcap_{n \leq 0} A^n H = \{0\}$ .

When  $H$  is fixed or clear from the context, we will simply say that  $A$  is expansive.

There exist many groups  $G$  with expansive automorphism  $A$ . We now give several examples.

**Example 2.2.2.** [2, Example 2.10] *Let  $p$  be a prime number. Define the  $p$ -adic valuation over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as  $|p^r x|_p = p^{-r}$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that the numerator and denominator of  $x$  are both relatively prime to  $p$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic valuation. Each element of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  may be represented as a Laurent series*

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n p^n : n_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \right\},$$

where addition “carries” rather than is modular. That is,

$$(p-1)p + 1p = p^2 \neq 0p.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a locally compact abelian group with group operation addition and topology defined via the  $p$ -adic valuation. The Laurent series are not formal as they converge in the topology.

Then the  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , defined as the set of power series

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n p^n : a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \right\},$$

is a compact open subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Further characterizations of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the unit ball (with respect to  $p$ -adic valuation) of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and the closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

The  $p$ -adic numbers are self-dual. Let  $\{\cdot\} : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  be defined as

$$\left\{ \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n p^n \right\} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\max\{0, n_0\}} a_n p^n.$$

Then each  $y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  defines an element of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  as  $\langle \cdot, y \rangle = \exp(2\pi i \{\cdot y\})$ .

If we consider  $A : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  to be the morphism given by  $Ax = p^{-1}x$ , then  $A$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and it is easy to see that is expansive with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Example 2.2.3.** [2, Example 2.11] Let  $p$  be a prime number, where  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is the field of order  $p$ . The additive group of the field  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$  of formal Laurent series in variable  $t$ :

$$\mathbb{F}_p((t)) = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n t^n : n_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a_n \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\},$$

where addition is modular rather than “carries”:

$$(p-1)t + 1t = 0t = 0$$

is an LCA group with respect to the topology defined from an analog of the  $p$ -adic valuation. The set of formal power series

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[t]] = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n : a_n \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}$$

is a compact open subgroup. One possible expansive automorphism is multiplying by  $t^{-1}$ . However, the structure of  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$  yields a richer collection of automorphisms than for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Both classes of the LCA groups above are also fields. Further examples may be formed by considering the additive groups of finite field extensions or vector spaces over the above examples.

**Example 2.2.4.** [2, Example 2.14] Let  $G_1$  be an LCA group with a compact open subgroup  $H_1$  and  $G_2$  be a nontrivial discrete abelian group. If we consider  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ , then  $G$  is an LCA group with a compact open subgroup  $H = H_1 \times \{0\}$ . Further, the annihilator  $H^\perp$  is  $H_1 \times \widehat{G_2}$  and if  $A_1$  is an automorphism of  $G_1$  which is expansive with respect to  $H_1$ , then  $A = A_1 \times id_{G_2}$  is an automorphism of  $G$  which is expansive with respect to  $H$ . However, in this case, the union of all positive iterates  $A^n H$  can not cover  $G$  since  $AH = A_1 H_1 \times \{0\}$ .

Following [27], we shall call an LCA group which admits an expansive automorphism an *expansive* group. Expansiveness can also be characterized by the action of the adjoint automorphism as the next lemma shows, whose proof can be found in [2, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 2.2.5.** Let  $G$  be an LCA with a compact open subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ , and let  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ .

- (i)  $H \subseteq AH$  if and only if  $H^\perp \subseteq A^* H^\perp$ .
- (ii)  $H \subsetneq AH$  if and only if  $H^\perp \subsetneq A^* H^\perp$ .
- (iii) If  $H \subseteq AH$ , then

$$(1) \quad \bigcap_{n \leq 0} A^n H = \{0\} \iff \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A^{*n} H^\perp = \widehat{G}.$$

Given an LCA group  $G$  with a compact open subgroup  $H$  and expansive automorphism  $A : G \rightarrow G$ , we define for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in G$ ,

$$Q_n(x) = x + A^n H.$$

One may think of this as the “ball” with “center”  $x$  and “radius”  $|A|^n$ , keeping in mind that if  $y \in Q_n(x)$  then  $Q_n(x) = Q_n(y)$ , so the choice of “center” is not unique. In the case that  $G = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $H = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and  $A$  is multiplication by  $1/p$ , the  $Q_n(x)$  are precisely the balls in the metric induced by the  $p$ -adic valuation. Note that each  $Q_n(x)$  is a compact open subset of  $G$  and also a coset of  $A^n H$  in  $G$ . Moreover, by [21, Theorem 4.5],  $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in G}$  is a basis for the topology of  $G$ .

In this paper, we will be dealing with the specific scenario of forming “balls” in  $G \times \widehat{G}$ , where  $G$  satisfies the hypothesis above. In that case, we write for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(x, \gamma) \in G \times \widehat{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x, \gamma) &= (x, \gamma) + (A^n \otimes (A^*)^n) (H \times H^\perp) \\ (2) \quad &= (x + A^n H) \times (\gamma + (A^*)^n H^\perp) = Q_n(x) \times Q_n(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this case, the “radius” of  $Q_n(x, \gamma)$  is  $(|A||A^*|)^n = |A|^{2n}$ .

When  $A$  is expansive with respect to  $H$  and  $A^*$  is expansive with respect to  $H^\perp$ , we have that  $\{Q_n(x, \gamma)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in G, \gamma \in \widehat{G}}$  is a basis for the topology of  $G \times \widehat{G}$ . This is because for  $n \leq m$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ ,  $Q_n(x) \times Q_m(\gamma) \subseteq Q_m(x, \gamma)$ .

**2.3. Frames and Riesz bases.** Let  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of elements in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is said that  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a *frame* for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The constants  $A, B$  are called *frame bounds*. The *frame operator* defined as  $Sf = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle \varphi_i$  for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded, invertible, and positive operator from  $\mathcal{H}$  onto itself. This provides the well known frame decomposition

$$f = S^{-1}Sf = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle \phi_i \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H},$$

where  $\phi_i = S^{-1}\varphi_i$ . The family  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is also a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is called the *canonical dual frame*, and has frame bounds  $B^{-1}, A^{-1}$ . Any other frame  $\{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i \in I}$  satisfying  $f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle \tilde{\phi}_i \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}$ , is called a *dual frame*, and it is well known that a frame can have dual frames besides the canonical one (typically infinitely many). For a general reference on frame theory, see, e.g., [5] and the references therein.

Riesz bases are special cases of frames and can be characterized as those frames which are biorthogonal to their canonical dual frame, i.e., such that  $\langle \varphi_i, \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ .

A family  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  which satisfies the right inequality in (3) (but possibly not the left) is called a *Bessel sequence* for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

### 3. DENSITY WITH RESPECT TO EXPANSIVE AUTOMORPHISMS

In this section, using the balls defined above, we will consider the concept of density, which will extend that of the well known Beurling density for Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . This concept has been considered before in LCA groups that are compactly generated in [1, 16], however, with another approach. In [27] the authors work with the same density as here, but they consider a slightly different notion of expansive automorphism. In fact, their automorphism only satisfies condition (2) of Definition 2.2.1.

**Definition 3.0.1.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group,  $H \subseteq G$  a compact open subgroup and  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$  expansive with respect to  $H$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a set (countable or uncountable)  $\Lambda \subseteq G$  is said to be  $(A, n)$ -uniformly separated if  $\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x)\} \leq 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . We say that  $\Lambda$  is simply uniformly separated if it is  $(A, n)$ -uniformly separated for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Additionally,  $\Lambda$  is said to be  $A$ -separated if it is a finite union of uniformly separated sequences.

Recall that if  $G$  is an LCA group and  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup, a *section* of a quotient group  $G/H$  is a measurable set of representatives, and it contains exactly one element of each coset. As stated above, if  $H \subseteq G$  is a compact open subgroup, since  $H^\perp$  is also compact, then  $G/H$  is a discrete group. Thus, every section  $C \subseteq G$  for the quotient  $G/H$  must be discrete as well. This is because for  $x \in C$ ,  $x = C \cap (x + H)$  and then, since  $x + H$  is an open set in  $G$ ,  $C$  is discrete with respect to the topology of  $G$ .

With this in mind, we can say that  $\Lambda$  is  $(A, n)$ -uniformly separated if and only if  $\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(A^n c)\} \leq 1$  for all  $c \in C$ . This is a direct consequence of the fact that for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\{Q_n(A^n c)\}_{c \in C}$  is a partition of  $G$ , that is,  $G = \bigcup_{c \in C} Q_n(A^n c)$  where the union is disjoint, and that  $Q_n(A^n c) = Q_n(x)$  for every  $x \in Q_n(A^n c)$ .

**Definition 3.0.2.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group,  $H \subseteq G$  a compact open subgroup and  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$  expansive with respect to  $H$ . For a sequence  $\Lambda \subseteq G$ , the upper and lower Beurling density of  $\Lambda$  are defined by

$$D_A^+(\Lambda) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^n} \max_{x \in G} \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x)\},$$

and

$$D_A^-(\Lambda) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^n} \min_{x \in G} \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x)\},$$

respectively. If  $D_A^+(\Lambda) = D_A^-(\Lambda)$  we say that  $\Lambda$  has uniform density  $D_A(\Lambda) = D_A^+(\Lambda) = D_A^-(\Lambda)$ .

The analogy with the Beurling density defined in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  [6, 19, 27] is clear from the definition noting that, since  $m_G$  is invariant under translations and  $m_G(H) = 1$ , we have that  $|A|^n = m_G(A^n H) = m_G(Q_n(x))$  for any  $x \in G$ .

At first glance, the Beurling density seems to depend on the automorphism. However, if both the automorphism and their adjoint are expansive, the density becomes independent of the automorphism choice, as we show next. First, we observe some properties about sections that will be useful.

**Remark 3.0.3.** Given  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$  expansive with respect to a compact open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the quotient  $AH/H$  must be finite. This is because  $AH$  is compact and then, it may be covered by finite (disjoint) cosets  $x + H$ . Let  $C_0 \subseteq AH$  be a finite section for  $AH/H$ . Therefore,  $AH = \bigcup_{c_0 \in C_0} H + c_0$ , and then we have that  $m_G(AH) = \#C_0$  which implies  $|A| = \#C_0$ . Moreover, since  $AH \subseteq G$  is also a compact open subgroup, we can take  $C_1$  a discrete section for  $G/AH$ . An easy computation shows that the set  $C := C_0 + C_1$  must be a (discrete) section for  $G/H$ . From now on, we will consider sections for  $G/H$  of this form.

**Lemma 3.0.4.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group,  $H \subseteq G$  a compact open subgroup and  $A, B \in \text{Aut}(G)$  be expansive with respect to  $H$  such that  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  are expansive with respect to  $H^\perp$  as well. Then, for every sequence  $\Lambda \subseteq G$ ,

$$D_A^+(\Lambda) = D_B^+(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad D_A^-(\Lambda) = D_B^-(\Lambda).$$

*Proof.* Because of the expansiveness of  $A^*$  we know by (1) that  $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A^n H$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. Then there exists  $k_0 = k_0(n) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B^{k_0} H \subseteq A^{k_0} H$  because  $B^{k_0} H$  is compact and  $\{A^k H\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an open cover of nested sets.

Let  $X = \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq A^{k_0}H$  be a section of  $A^{k_0}H/B^nH$ . Then

$$A^{k_0}H = \bigcup_{i \in I} (B^nH + x_i),$$

and for  $x \in G$ ,

$$Q_{k_0}^A(x) = A^{k_0}H + x = \bigcup_{i \in I} (B^nH + x_i) + x = \bigcup_{i \in I} Q_n^B(x_i + x).$$

By taking intersections with  $\Lambda$  and taking the maximum cardinality over  $G$ , we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_{k_0}^A(x) \cap \Lambda\} &= \max_{x \in G} \# \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in I} Q_n^B(x_i + x) \cap \Lambda \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_n^B(x_i + x) \cap \Lambda\} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_n^B(x) \cap \Lambda\} = (\#I) \max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_n^B(x) \cap \Lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $\#(A^{k_0}H/H) = \#(A^{k_0}H/B^nH) \#(B^nH/H)$  and then

$$\#I = \#(A^{k_0}H/B^nH) = \frac{|A|^{k_0}}{|B|^n}.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\frac{\max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_{k_0}^A(x) \cap \Lambda\}}{|A|^{k_0}} \leq \frac{|A|^{k_0}}{|B|^n} \frac{\max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_n^B(x) \cap \Lambda\}}{|A|^{k_0}} = \frac{\max_{x \in G} \# \{Q_n^B(x) \cap \Lambda\}}{|B|^n}.$$

If we take  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  in the last inequality we have that  $D_A^+(\Lambda) \leq D_B^+(\Lambda)$ . By using the expansiveness of  $B^*$  and using the same reasoning, we obtain that  $D_A^+(\Lambda) = D_B^+(\Lambda)$ . A similar argument proves that  $D_A^-(\Lambda) = D_B^-(\Lambda)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of the above result and in order to keep the exposition as clear as possible, we choose to omit the subscript  $A$  in the density and simply write  $D^+(\Lambda)$ ,  $D^-(\Lambda)$  and  $D(\Lambda)$ .

The next lemma shows a characterization of the sequences  $\Lambda$  whose upper density is finite and provides a valid version of [6, Lemma 2.3] in this context. Our proof is based on the group structure. In [27, Theorem 3.7], the authors proved the same result based on [27, Lemma 2.3], which is not satisfied in our case. (See Example 2.2.4 and [27, Lemma 2.3, item (ii)]).

**Lemma 3.0.5.** *Let  $G$  be an LCA group,  $H \subseteq G$  a compact open subgroup and  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$  expansive with respect to  $H$ . If  $\Lambda \subset G$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $D^+(\Lambda) < \infty$ ;
- (ii) For some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists  $N_n > 0$  such that  $\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(A^n c)\} \leq N_n$  for all  $c \in C$ , where  $C$  is a section for  $G/H$ .

Additionally, if (ii) holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). It is obvious from the definition of  $D^+(\Lambda)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N_n > 0$  be such that  $\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(A^n c)\} \leq N_n$  for all  $c \in C$  and label for every  $c \in C$ ,  $\Lambda \cap Q_n(A^n c) = \{\lambda_{1,c}, \dots, \lambda_{r,c}\}$  with  $r = r(c) \leq N_n$ . Now, for  $j \in \{1, \dots, N_n\}$

and  $c_0 \in C_0$  set  $\Lambda_{j,c_0} := \{\lambda_{j,c_0+c_1} : c_1 \in C_1\}$ . By construction, each element of  $\Lambda_{j,c_0}$  lies in a different coset of  $G/A^n H$ . Then, since there are at most  $|A|N_n$  many  $\Lambda_{j,c_0}$  sets, we have that any coset of  $G/A^n H$  contains at most  $|A|N_n$  elements of  $\Lambda$ .

Now, since

$$A^{n+1}H = A^n(AH) = \bigcup_{c_0 \in C_0} (A^n H + A^n c_0)$$

and

$$G = A^n G = \bigcup_{c_0 \in C_0, c_1 \in C_1} (A^n H + A^n c_0 + A^n c_1) = \bigcup_{c_1 \in C_1} \left( \bigcup_{c_0 \in C_0} (A^n H + A^n c_0) + A^n c_1 \right),$$

each coset of  $G/(A^{n+1}H)$  has at most  $|A|^2 N_n$  elements of  $\Lambda$ . Continuing by induction, we see that if  $m > n$ , then each coset of  $G/(A^m H)$  has at most  $|A|^{m-n+1} N_n$  elements of  $\Lambda$ .

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} D^+(\Lambda) &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^m} \max_{x \in G} \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_m(x)\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^m} |A|^{m-n+1} N_n \\ &= \frac{N_n}{|A|^{n-1}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that (ii) fails for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\max_{x \in G} \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_m(x)\} = +\infty$  for every  $m \geq n$ . As a consequence,  $D^+(\Lambda) = +\infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.0.6.** Note that if  $\Lambda \subset G$  and  $D^+(\Lambda) < \infty$ , we can deduce from the proof of Lemma 3.0.5 that for every fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{j \in \{1, \dots, N_n\}, c_0 \in C_0} \Lambda_{j,c_0}$  where the union is disjoint. Moreover, every set  $\Lambda_{j,c_0}$  is a uniformly separated sequence because we saw that each element of  $\Lambda_{j,c_0}$  lies in a different coset of  $G/A^n H$  and then  $\#\{\Lambda_{j,c_0} \cap [A^n H + A^n c]\} \leq 1$  for every  $c \in C$ . Therefore, when  $D^+(\Lambda) < \infty$  we have that  $\Lambda$  must be a finite union of uniformly separated sequences; that is,  $\Lambda$  must be  $A$ -separated. Since uniformly separated sequences must be countable,  $\Lambda$  must be countable as well.

**Example 3.0.7.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group,  $H \subseteq G$  a compact open subgroup and  $C$  section of the quotient  $G/H$ . Consider  $\Lambda := C$ . Then, we have that for all  $c \in C$ ,  $\#\{C \cap Q_0(c)\} = 1$  and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.0.5 we get  $\#\{C \cap Q_n(A^n c)\} = |A|^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $D^+(C) = D^-(C) = 1 = D(C)$ .

#### 4. MODULATION SPACES ON LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

In this section, we consider  $G$  a locally compact group (non necessarily abelian), with a compact open subgroup  $H$ . Using definitions and lemmas from the seminal papers [9, 10, 11, 12, 13], we will prove that the generalized wavelet transform continuously maps modulation spaces into Wiener spaces.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with right Haar measure  $m_G$ . To emphasize that  $G$  needs not be abelian, in this section we will use multiplicative notation for the operation. Given  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space, a unitary representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a continuous homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ . For  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$  we consider  $\mathcal{V}_g f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the *generalized wavelet transform* (also called *voice transform* or *representation coefficients*) of  $f$  with respect to the window  $g$  defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_g f(x) := \langle f, \pi(x)g \rangle, \quad x \in G.$$



The set of *analyzing vectors* on  $G$  is given by

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{A} := \{g \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{V}_g g \in L^1(G)\}.$$

Note that for  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in G$ , since  $\pi$  is a representation,  $\mathcal{V}_{\pi(x)g}(\pi(x)g)(y) = \mathcal{V}_g g(x^{-1}yx)$  for all  $y \in G$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}$  is invariant under  $\pi$ . Then, when  $\pi$  is an irreducible unitary representation, that is, without proper invariant subspaces,  $\mathcal{A}$  must be a dense linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In the remainder of this section, we assume that  $H \subseteq G$  is a compact open subgroup. Examples of non-abelian groups with that property follows.

**Example 4.0.1.** Fix  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 2$ . Then the general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is a non-abelian locally compact group with well-understood representation theory, and  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a compact open subgroup [3].

We denote the space of continuous functions on  $G$  as  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(G)$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$  and every  $x \in G$  we see that  $\|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{y \in Hx} |\varphi(y)| < \infty$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of  $A$  that takes the value 1 for  $x \in A$  and 0 otherwise.

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Wiener space  $W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)$  is defined as

$$W(\mathcal{C}, L^p) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C} : \int_G \|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p dm_G(x) < \infty\}.$$

It turns out that  $W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)$  equipped with the norm  $\|\varphi\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)} := (\int_G \|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p dm_G(x))^{1/p}$  is a Banach space.

Additionally, if  $C$  is a section of  $G/H$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let us denote by  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$  the space

$$W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C} : \sum_{x \in C} \|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p < \infty\}.$$

We note that  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$  does not depend on the choice of the section  $C$ . Furthermore, it holds that  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$  endowed with the norm given by  $\|\varphi\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} := (\sum_{x \in C} \|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p)^{1/p}$  is a Banach space.

Moreover, it can be seen that actually  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p) = W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)$  and the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)}$  coincide as we show in the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.0.2.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a compact open subgroup. Then,  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p) = W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)$ . Moreover, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\|\varphi\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} = \|\varphi\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $G = \bigcup_{c \in C} Hc$  where the union is disjoint,  $C$  is a section of  $G/H$  and  $m_G(H) = 1$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)}^p &= \int_G \|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p dm_G(x) = \sum_{c \in C} \int_{Hc} \|\chi_{Hx} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p dm_G(x) \\ &= \sum_{c \in C} \int_{Hc} \|\chi_{Hc} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p dm_G(x) = \sum_{c \in C} \|\chi_{Hc} \cdot \varphi\|_\infty^p \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\varphi \in W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$  if and only if  $\varphi \in W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)$ . □

We now consider the following subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{B} = \{g \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{V}_g g \in W(\mathcal{C}, L^1)\},$$

which turns out to be also invariant under  $\pi$ . As before, when  $\pi$  is irreducible,  $\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, when additionally  $G$  is abelian, as a consequence of [10, Lemma 7.2],  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ .

Fixing an arbitrary non-zero element  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , the space  $\mathcal{M}^1(G)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M}^1(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{V}_g f \in L^1(G)\},$$

and it is called a *modulation space*. It is a Banach space with the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^1} := \|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{L^1}$ . The set  $\mathcal{M}^1$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ ; i.e., different vectors in  $\mathcal{A}$  give the same space with equivalent norms (see, for instance, [9, Theorem 4.2]). Considering the topological dual of  $\mathcal{M}^1$ , denoted as  $(\mathcal{M}^1(G))'$ , and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , it is said that  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ , the *modulation space of order  $p$* , if  $f \in (\mathcal{M}^1(G))'$  and  $\|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{L^p(G)} < +\infty$ . These spaces are Banach spaces with the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p} = \|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{L^p}$ , and they are also independent of the choice of the window  $g$ . For more details on these spaces we refer to [9, Section 4].

It is known [10, Theorem 8.1] that for general locally compact groups, when  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_g$  maps the space  $\mathcal{M}^p$  into  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$ . We will prove now that, when  $G$  has a compact open subgroup,  $\mathcal{V}_g$  maps  $\mathcal{M}^p$  into  $W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$  continuously.

**Proposition 4.0.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a compact open subgroup  $H$ ,  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ , then  $\mathcal{V}_g f \in W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$ . Furthermore, there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$(6) \quad \|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} \leq K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p}$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ .

*Proof.* By [10, Theorem 8.1] we know that for  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$  we have  $\mathcal{V}_g f \in W(\mathcal{C}, L^p)$ , and that for each set  $X = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  which is a section of  $G/H$ , the linear operator given by

$$R_X : f \mapsto (\mathcal{V}_g f(x_i))_{i \in I}$$

maps  $\mathcal{M}^p(G)$  to  $\ell^p(I)$  continuously; i.e., there exists  $K_X > 0$  such that

$$\|(\mathcal{V}_g f(x_i))_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^p} \leq K_X \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G).$$

Consider  $\mathcal{F} = \{R_X : X \text{ is a section of } G/H\}$  and fix  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{V}_g f$  is continuous, we have that

$$\sup_{R_X \in \mathcal{F}} \|R_X(f)\|_{\ell^p} = \sup_{X = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}} \|(\mathcal{V}_g f(x_i))_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^p} = \|(\mathcal{V}_g f(\tilde{x}_i))_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^p}$$

where  $X$  is a section of  $G/H$  and, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\tilde{x}_i \in H + x_i$  is a point that maximizes  $|\mathcal{V}_g f|$  on  $H + x_i$ . Then, by the uniform boundedness principle there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{R_X \in \mathcal{F}} \|R_X\| = \sup_{R_X \in \mathcal{F}} K_X \leq K.$$

Consequently, we have for all sections  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $G/H$  that the following inequality holds

$$\|(\mathcal{V}_g f(x_i))_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^p} \leq K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p},$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ . Now, note that, for each  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ ,  $\|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} = \|(\mathcal{V}_g f(\tilde{x}_i))_{i \in I}\|_{\ell^p}$  for a proper section  $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} \leq K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G).$$

□

We shall see now that there is a valid version of Proposition 4.0.3 for projective representations.

For this, let  $G$  be a locally compact group and recall that a *projective representation* is a continuous mapping  $\Pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  for which there exists a continuous function  $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , called a *2-cocycle*, such that  $\Pi(x)\Pi(y) = \alpha(x, y)\Pi(xy)$ . It is usual to call  $\Pi$  an  $\alpha$ -*projective representation* to emphasize the dependence of  $\Pi$  on  $\alpha$ . As we did for unitary representations, we define the *generalized wavelet transform* corresponding to a projective representation as

$$\mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f(x) := \langle f, \Pi(x)g \rangle,$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Every projective representation of  $G$  induces a unitary representation on the *Mackey group* associated to  $G$ . The last is defined as follows: if  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $\alpha$  is a 2-cocycle, as a topological space, the Mackey group is  $G \times \mathbb{T}$  with the product is given by

$$(x_1, \tau_1)(x_2, \tau_2) = (x_1x_2, \tau_1\tau_2\alpha(x_1, x_2)),$$

for  $x_1, x_2 \in G$  and  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ . The Mackey group associated to  $G$  is a locally compact group and its Haar measure is given by the product of the Haar measures on  $G$  and  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then, for a  $\alpha$ -projective representation  $\Pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , define  $\pi : G \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  as

$$(7) \quad \pi(x, \tau) := \tau\Pi(x),$$

for  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}, x \in G$ . This mapping  $\pi$  turns out to be a unitary representation, and it is irreducible when  $\Pi$  is. Note that for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}, x \in G$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  we have

$$\mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f(x) = \tau \langle f, \tau\Pi(x)g \rangle = \tau \langle f, \pi(x, \tau)g \rangle = \tau \mathcal{V}_g f(x, \tau),$$

with  $\mathcal{V}_g f$  being the generalized wavelet transform associated to  $\pi$ . As a consequence, the sets  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  given in (4) and (5) respectively, remain equal if we use the generalized wavelet transform induced by  $\Pi$  instead of the one induced by the unitary representation given by (7). Then, the same holds for modulation spaces.

Therefore, we obtain the corresponding version of Proposition 4.0.3 for projective representations. This result is a particular case of [17, Theorem 2.5], with a significant distinction being our demonstration that the inclusion given by the wavelet transform is continuous.

**Theorem 4.0.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a compact open subgroup,  $\Pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  a irreducible projective representation of  $G$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$  then  $\mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f \in W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)$ . Furthermore, there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$(8) \quad \|\mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} \leq K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p}$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G)$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $H \subseteq G$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H \times \mathbb{T}$  is a compact open subgroup of the Mackey group of  $G$ . Also note that if  $C$  is a section of  $G/H$ , then  $C \times \{1\}$  is a section of  $(G \times \mathbb{T})/(H \times \mathbb{T})$ . Therefore, for each  $c \in C$  we have

$$\|\chi_{Hc} \cdot \mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f\|_\infty = \|\chi_{Hc \times \mathbb{T}} \cdot \mathcal{V}_g f\|_\infty,$$

and then

$$\|\mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f\|_{W(\mathcal{C}, \ell^p)} = \|\mathcal{V}_g f\|_{W(\mathcal{C}(G \times \mathbb{T}), \ell^p)}.$$

The result follows by applying Proposition 4.0.3.  $\square$

## 5. REPRODUCING PROPERTIES OF GABOR SYSTEMS

In this section we study Bessel and frame conditions on Gabor systems in terms of density. To be precise, let us fix  $G$ , an LCA group. For every  $x \in G$ , the *translation operator* by  $x$  of a function  $f \in L^2(G)$  is given by

$$T_x f(y) = f(y - x), \quad \text{for } m_G\text{-a.e. } y \in G.$$

For  $\xi \in \widehat{G}$ , the *modulation operator* by  $\xi$  of a function  $f \in L^2(G)$  is defined by

$$M_\xi f(y) = \langle y, \xi \rangle f(y), \quad \text{for } m_G\text{-a.e. } y \in G..$$

Now, given a function  $\varphi \in L^2(G)$  and a set  $\Lambda \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$ , we define the *Gabor system generated by  $\varphi$  and  $\Lambda$*  as

$$S(\varphi, \Lambda) = \{M_\xi T_x \varphi\}_{(x, \xi) \in \Lambda}.$$

In order to establish frame conditions on  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  in terms of density of  $\Lambda$ , we assume that  $G$  has a compact open subgroup  $H$ , and we fix  $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$  expansive with respect to  $H$  such that  $A^*$  is expansive with respect to  $H^\perp$ . Then, the densities of  $\Lambda \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  are

$$D^+(\Lambda) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^{2n}} \max_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x, \xi)\},$$

and

$$D^-(\Lambda) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^{2n}} \min_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x, \xi)\},$$

where  $Q_n(x, \xi)$  is defined as in (2).

On the other hand, note that translation and modulation operators are unitary in  $L^2(G)$ , and they satisfy the intertwining relationship  $M_\xi T_x f = \langle x, \xi \rangle T_x M_\xi f$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $\xi \in \widehat{G}$  and for all  $f \in L^2(G)$ . Thus, the Gabor representation  $\Pi : G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$  given by

$$(9) \quad \Pi(x, \xi) := M_\xi T_x$$

is an irreducible projective representation with 2-cocycle given by  $\alpha((x_1, \xi_1), (x_2, \xi_2)) = \langle x_1, \xi_2 \rangle$ . Then, we can make use of the tools described in the previous section. In particular, we have defined the well-know *short-time Fourier transform*

$$V_g f(x, \xi) := \langle f, M_\xi T_x g \rangle = \mathcal{V}_g^\Pi f(x, \xi),$$

where,  $f, g \in L^2(G)$ , and  $(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$ . For fixed  $f, g \in L^2(G)$ ,  $V_g f$  is well-defined and continuous on  $G \times \widehat{G}$ .

When we consider translations along a section of  $G/H$  and modulations along a section of  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$  of the function  $\chi_H$ , it turns out that the obtained Gabor system is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ . See [17, Theorem 2.7, Case II] for a proof of this fact.

**Lemma 5.0.1.** *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be sets of coset representatives of  $G/H$  and  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$  respectively, and consider  $\Lambda = C \times D$ . Then,  $S(\chi_H, \Lambda)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ .*

**5.1. Bessel sequences.** In this section we show one necessary and one sufficient condition for a Gabor system to be a Bessel sequence of  $L^2(G)$ .

We begin by proving that if a Gabor system is a Bessel sequence, then  $\Lambda$  must have finite upper density. This was proved before for  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in [6, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 5.1.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in L^2(G)$  and let  $\Lambda \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$ . If  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a Bessel sequence in  $L^2(G)$ , then  $D^+(\Lambda) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^2(G)$  with  $\|f\|_2 = 1$  such that  $\langle \varphi, f \rangle \neq 0$  and define  $A_\varphi f : G \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

$$A_\varphi f(x, \xi) := |V_\varphi f(x, \xi)|.$$

Then,  $A_\varphi f$  is continuous on  $G \times \widehat{G}$ .

As  $A_\varphi f \neq 0$ , there exists  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in G \times \widehat{G}$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\eta := \inf\{A_\varphi f(x, \xi) : (x, \xi) \in Q_{n_0}(x_0, \xi_0)\} > 0$ .

If we had  $D^+(\Lambda) = \infty$ , by Lemma 3.0.5 for each  $N > 0$  there should exist some  $(x_N, \xi_N)$  such that  $\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_{n_0}(x_N, \xi_N)\} \geq N$ .

Now, note that if  $(x, \xi) \in Q_{n_0}(x_N, \xi_N)$ , then  $(x, \xi) - (x_N, \xi_N) + (x_0, \xi_0) \in Q_{n_0}(x_0, \xi_0)$  and thus

$$|\langle f, M_{\xi - \xi_N + \xi_0} T_{x - x_N + x_0} \varphi \rangle| \geq \eta.$$

Since  $|\langle f, M_{\xi - \xi_N + \xi_0} T_{x - x_N + x_0} \varphi \rangle| = |\langle M_{\xi_0 - \xi_N} T_{x_0 - x_N} f, M_\xi T_x \varphi \rangle|$  we have that

$$\sum_{(x, \xi) \in \Lambda \cap Q_{n_0}(x_N, \xi_N)} |\langle M_{\xi_0 - \xi_N} T_{x_0 - x_N} f, M_\xi T_x \varphi \rangle|^2 \geq \eta^2 N,$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  can not be a Bessel sequence because  $\|M_{\xi_0 - \xi_N} T_{x_0 - x_N} f\|_2 = \|f\|_2 = 1$ .  $\square$

The above theorem extends to a finite union of Gabor systems. More precisely, let  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  be sequences each indexed in  $I_1, \dots, I_r$ , respectively. That is,  $\Lambda_k = \{(x_{i,k}, \xi_{i,k})\}_{i \in I_k}$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . Define  $I = \{(i, k) : i \in I_k, 1 \leq k \leq r\}$  and  $\Lambda$  as the sequence  $\{(x_{i,k}, \xi_{i,k}) : (i, k) \in I\}$ . By abuse of notation, we will simply write  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^r \Lambda_k$  and say that  $\Lambda$  is the *disjoint union* of  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r$ . Once this is clear, we can state the desired result.

**Theorem 5.1.2.** *For  $1 \leq k \leq r$ , let  $\varphi_k \in L^2(G)$  and  $\Lambda_k \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  a sequence. Consider  $\Lambda$  the disjoint union of  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r$ . If  $\bigcup_{k=1}^r S(\varphi_k, \Lambda_k)$  is a Bessel sequence, then  $D^+(\Lambda) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Note that since  $\bigcup_{k=1}^r S(\varphi_k, \Lambda_k)$  is a Bessel sequence, so is  $S(\varphi_k, \Lambda_k)$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . Then, by Theorem 5.1.1 we have that  $D^+(\Lambda_k) < \infty$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq r$ .

Now, since  $\Lambda$  is the disjoint union of  $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$ ,

$$\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x, \xi)\} = \sum_{k=1}^r \#\{\Lambda_k \cap Q_n(x, \xi)\},$$

and as a consequence

$$\sum_{k=1}^r D^-(\Lambda_k) \leq D^-(\Lambda) \leq D^+(\Lambda) \leq \sum_{k=1}^r D^+(\Lambda_k).$$

From here the conclusion follows.  $\square$

In what follows we shall prove a weaker converse of Theorem 5.1.1. For this to be true, we have to assume that the generating function of the Gabor system must have a particular decay; that is, it must be a function of  $\mathcal{M}^1(G)$ .

As a consequence of [23, Theorem 4.7] (see also [11, Theorem 11]) we have that, when the representation involved is the Gabor representation (9),  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M}^1(G)$ , and then, Theorem 4.0.4 holds for  $g \in \mathcal{M}^1(G)$ .

Then, we have the following result, which is a generalization of [19, Theorem 12] to our setting.

**Theorem 5.1.3.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}^1(G)$ ,  $\varphi \neq 0$  and  $\Lambda \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  any sequence with  $D^+(\Lambda) < +\infty$ . Then  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a Bessel sequence.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.0.5, since  $D^+(\Lambda) < +\infty$  there exists  $N_0 > 0$  such that  $\#\{\Lambda \cap Q_0(c, d)\} \leq N_0$  for all  $(c, d) \in C \times D$ . Then for  $f \in L^2(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x, \xi) \in \Lambda} |\langle f, M_\xi T_x \varphi \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{(x, \xi) \in \Lambda} |V_\varphi f(x, \xi)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{(c, d) \in C \times D} \sum_{(x, \xi) \in \Lambda \cap Q_0(c, d)} |V_\varphi f(x, \xi)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{(c, d) \in C \times D} N_0 \sup_{(x, \xi) \in Q_0(c, d)} |V_\varphi f(x, \xi)|^2 \\ &= N_0 \|V_\varphi f\|_{W(C, \ell^2)}^2 \leq N_0 K \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is the result of Theorem 4.0.4. Finally, since  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^2} = \|V_\varphi f\|_2$  and by the well-known orthogonality relationship of the short-time Fourier transform (see [9, Section 2.2]),  $\|V_\varphi f\|_2 = \|f\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2$ , we conclude the result.  $\square$

It is known that every locally compact abelian group  $G$  is algebraically and topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0$ , where  $G_0$  is an LCA group with an open compact subgroup (see, e.g., [7]). For the case where  $G_0$  is an expansible group we can combine Theorem 5.1.3 with [19, Theorem 12] to prove a similar statement for the product group  $\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0$ .

**Proposition 5.1.4.** *Let  $G_0$  be an expansible LCA group. Take  $g_1 \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g_2 \in M^1(G_0)$  and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ , where  $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and  $\Lambda_2 \subseteq G_0 \times \widehat{G_0}$ . Suppose that  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  have finite upper density, where the density of  $\Lambda_1$  is the usual Beurling density defined in the introduction, and the density of  $\Lambda_2$  is as in Definition 3.0.2. If we consider  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0)$  given by  $g = g_1 \otimes g_2$ , then  $S(g, \Lambda)$  is a Bessel sequence for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0)$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that for  $(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{G_0})$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_g f(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{G_0} f(y_1, y_2) \overline{g(y_1 - x_1, y_2 - x_2) \langle y_1, \xi_1 \rangle \langle y_2, \xi_2 \rangle} dm_{G_0}(y_2) dy_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{G_0} f(y_1, y_2) \overline{g_1(y_1 - x_1) g_2(y_2 - x_2) \langle y_1, \xi_1 \rangle \langle y_2, \xi_2 \rangle} dm_{G_0}(y_2) dy_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{g_1(y_1 - x_1) \langle y_1, \xi_1 \rangle} \left( \int_{G_0} f(y_1, y_2) \overline{g_2(y_2) \langle y_2, \xi_2 \rangle} dm_{G_0}(y_2) \right) dy_1 \\ &= V_{g_1} (V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2)) (x_1, \xi_1), \end{aligned}$$

where by  $f_\bullet$  we denote the function the is obtained when the first variable of  $f$  is fixed. On the other hand, since both upper densities are finite, we know from Lemma 3.0.5 and [6, Lemma 2.3] that there exist  $N_0$  and  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\Lambda_2 \cap Q_0(x, \xi)) &\leq N_0 \text{ for all } (x, \xi) \in G_0 \times \widehat{G_0} \text{ and} \\ \#(\Lambda_1 \cap B_1(t)) &\leq N_1 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_1(0) = [0, 1]^{2d}$  and  $B_1(t) = B_1(0) + t$ .

Putting this together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \in \Lambda} |V_g f(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)|^2 &= \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \sum_{(x_1, \xi_1) \in \Lambda_1} |V_{g_1}(V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2))(x_1, \xi_1)|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2d}} \sum_{(x_1, \xi_1) \in \Lambda_1 \cap B_1(j)} |V_{g_1}(V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2))(x_1, \xi_1)|^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2d}} N_1 \sup_{(x_1, \xi_1) \in \Lambda_1 \cap B_1(j)} |V_{g_1}(V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2))(x_1, \xi_1)|^2 \\
 &= N_1 \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \|V_{g_1}(V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2))\|_{W(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\
 &\leq N_1 \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \|V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 K_1 \|g_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used [19, Theorem 12] and the fact that  $V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for a.e.  $(x_2, \xi_2) \in G_0 \times \widehat{G}_0$ . Now, using Fubini and Theorem 4.0.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1 K_1 \|g_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \|V_{g_2} f_\bullet(x_2, \xi_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= K_0 \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_{g_2} f_{y_1}(x_2, \xi_2)|^2 dy_1 \\
 &= K_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{(c, d) \in C \times D} \sum_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2 \cap Q_0(c, d)} |V_{g_2} f_{y_1}(x_2, \xi_2)|^2 dy_1 \\
 &\leq K_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{(c, d) \in C \times D} N_0 \sup_{(x_2, \xi_2) \in \Lambda_2 \cap Q_0(c, d)} |V_{g_2} f_{y_1}(x_2, \xi_2)|^2 dy_1 \\
 &= K_0 N_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|V_{g_2} f_{y_1}\|_{W(G_0)}^2 dy_1 \\
 &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f_{y_1}\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 dy_1 \\
 &= K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{G_0} |f(y_1, y_2)|^2 dy_2 dy_1 = K \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times G_0)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \in \Lambda} |\langle f, M_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)} T_{(x_1, x_2)} g \rangle|^2 = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) \in \Lambda} |V_g f(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)|^2$ , the above computation shows that  $S(g, \Lambda)$  is a Bessel sequence.  $\square$

As in the Euclidean case (see for instance [6, Theorem 1.1] and [20, Theorem 5.7]), it is possible to prove a Density Theorem for Gabor frames in this context as well. This is a consequence of [4, Corollary 3.4] which has a very sophisticated proof. However, in our setting, we can easily deduce it from [18, Theorem 4]. We include the reasoning for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 5.1.5.** *Let  $\Lambda, \Delta \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  be uniformly separated sets and  $\varphi, h \in L^2(G)$  such that  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  and  $S(h, \Delta)$  are a frame and a Riesz basis for  $L^2(G)$  respectively. Then*

$$D^-(\Delta) \leq D^-(\Lambda) \text{ and } D^+(\Delta) \leq D^+(\Lambda).$$

*Proof.* First, we will modify  $h \in L^2(G)$  to be the scalar multiple  $\tilde{h}$  such that  $\|\tilde{h}\|_2^2 = B$ , where  $B$  is the upper frame bound of  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$ . With this replacement  $S(\tilde{h}, \Delta)$  is still a Riesz basis for  $L^2(G)$ . By [18, Theorem 4] for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $L \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  such that

$$(10) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) \#\{\Delta \cap (K + (x, \xi))\} \leq \#\{\Lambda \cap (K + L + (x, \xi))\},$$

for every compact set  $K \subseteq G \times \widehat{G}$  and for every  $(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$ .

Since both  $G$  and  $\widehat{G}$  are expansive and  $L$  is compact, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Q_n(0, 0) + L = Q_n(0, 0)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Then, (10) implies that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \#\{\Delta \cap Q_n(x, \xi)\} \leq \#\{\Lambda \cap Q_n(x, \xi)\}$$

for all  $(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}$  and  $n \geq n_0$ . Dividing by  $|A|^{2n}$  and taking  $\limsup$  (or respectively  $\liminf$ ) we obtain

$$(1 - \varepsilon)D^\pm(\Delta) \leq D^\pm(\Lambda)$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From here the result follows.  $\square$

With the above comparison result, it is possible to deduce a density result.

**Corollary 5.1.6.** *Let  $\Lambda \subset G \times \widehat{G}$  be a uniformly separated set and  $\varphi \in L^2(G)$ .*

- (i) *If  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a frame for  $L^2(G)$  then  $D^-(\Lambda) \geq 1$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(G)$  then  $D(\Lambda) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.0.1, the system  $S(\chi_H, \Delta)$  with  $\Delta$  being a section of  $G/H \times \widehat{G}/H^\perp$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$  and, as we calculate in Example 3.0.7,  $D(\Delta) = 1$ . Let us now consider  $\Lambda$  and  $\varphi$  as in the statement. If  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a frame for  $L^2(G)$  then by Theorem 5.1.5 we have  $D^-(\Lambda) \geq D^-(\Delta) = 1$ . If, on the other hand,  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(G)$  then we apply Theorem 5.1.5 twice interchanging the role of each system to obtain  $D(\Lambda) = 1$ .  $\square$

We finish by showing that in our setting, we can construct Gabor frames generated by sets with density  $|A|^{2k}$  for every  $k \geq 0$ .

**Example 5.1.7.** *Let  $G$  be an expansive group and  $A$  an expansive automorphism with respect to  $H$ , an open compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $k \geq 0$  and consider  $\varphi := |A|^{k/2} \chi_{A^{-k}H}$  and  $\Lambda = \Delta \times \Gamma$  with  $\Delta$  a section of  $G/A^{-k}H$  and  $\Gamma$  a section of  $\widehat{G}/A^{*-k}H^\perp$ . Then we can write  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$  where  $\Lambda_1$  is a section of  $G/H \times \widehat{G}/H^\perp$  and  $\Lambda_2$  is a section of  $H/A^{-k}H \times H^\perp/A^{*-k}H^\perp$ .*

*We want to calculate  $D(\Lambda)$ . In that sense, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{Q_n(x, \xi) \cap \Lambda\} &\leq \sum_{\lambda_2 \in \Lambda_2} \max_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{Q_n(x, \xi) \cap (\Lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_2 \in \Lambda_2} \max_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{Q_n(x, \xi) \cap \Lambda_1\} \\ &= \#\Lambda_2 \max_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{Q_n(x, \xi) \cap \Lambda_1\} \\ &= |A|^{2k} \max_{(x, \xi) \in G \times \widehat{G}} \#\{Q_n(x, \xi) \cap \Lambda_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Dividing by  $|A|^{2n}$ , taking  $\limsup$  and applying Lemma 3.0.7 we obtain*

$$D^+(\Lambda) \leq |A|^{2k} D^+(\Lambda_1) = |A|^{2k}.$$

*By doing an analogous calculation with the minimum, we obtain  $D^-(\Lambda) \geq |A|^{2k} D^-(\Lambda_1) = |A|^{2k}$  and therefore  $D(\Lambda) = |A|^{2k}$ .*

*On the other hand, we can also write  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$  where  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are sections of  $\widehat{G}/A^{*k}H^\perp$  and  $A^{*k}H^\perp/A^{*-k}H^\perp$  respectively. As a consequence, by Lemma 5.0.1, for every  $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ , the system  $S(\varphi, \Delta \times (\Gamma_1 + \gamma_2))$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ . Then,  $S(\varphi, \Lambda)$  is a tight frame with bound  $\#\Gamma_2 = |A|^{2k}$ .*

**Lemma 5.1.8.** *Let  $G$ ,  $A$  and  $H$  as in the prior example. Consider  $\varphi = \chi_H$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $\Lambda_k$  be a section of  $G/H \times \widehat{G}/A^{*-k}H^\perp$ . Then the following holds*



- (i) if  $k = 0$  then  $S(\varphi, \Lambda_0)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ ,
- (ii) if  $k > 0$  then  $S(\varphi, \Lambda_k)$  is a tight frame for  $L^2(G)$  with constant  $|A|^k$ ,
- (iii) and if  $k < 0$  then  $S(\varphi, \Lambda_k)$  is an incomplete system for  $L^2(G)$ .

*Proof.* When  $k = 0$  the set  $\Lambda_0$  is a section of  $G/H \times \widehat{G}/H^\perp$  and by Lemma 5.0.1 is an orthonormal basis. If  $k > 0$  the same procedure as in the prior example can be used to prove that  $S(\varphi, \Lambda_k)$  is a tight frame with constant  $|A|^k$ . For the remaining case, let us remember  $H^\perp \subseteq A^{*-k}H^\perp$  and choose  $\Gamma$  to be a section of  $G/H \times \widehat{G}/H^\perp$  containing  $\Lambda_k$  properly. Since by Lemma 5.0.1 the system  $S(\varphi, \Gamma)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$  and  $S(\varphi, \Lambda) \subsetneq S(\varphi, \Gamma)$ , the statement is proven.  $\square$

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by grants: V.P. and R.N. were supported by PICT 2018-3399 (ANPCyT), PICT 2019-03968 (ANPCyT) and CONICET PIP 11220210100087.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Agora, J. Antezana, and C. Cabrelli. Multi-tiling sets, Riesz bases, and sampling near the critical density in LCA groups. *Adv. Math.*, 285:454–477, 2015.
- [2] J. Benedetto and R. Benedetto. A wavelet theory for local fields and related groups. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 14(3):423–456, 2004.
- [3] C. Bushnell and G. Henniart. *The local Langlands conjecture for  $GL(2)$* , volume 335 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [4] Martijn Caspers and Jordy Timo van Velthoven. Overcompleteness of coherent frames for unimodular amenable groups. *Ark. Mat.*, 61(2):277–299, 2023.
- [5] O. Christensen. *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], second edition, 2016.
- [6] O. Christensen, B. Deng, and C. Heil. Density of Gabor frames. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 7(3):292–304, 1999.
- [7] A. Deitmar and S. Echterhoff. *Principles of harmonic analysis*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2014.
- [8] H. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. A unified approach to atomic decompositions via integrable group representations. In *Function spaces and applications (Lund, 1986)*, volume 1302 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 52–73. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [9] H. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. I. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 86(2):307–340, 1989.
- [10] H. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. II. *Monatsh. Math.*, 108(2-3):129–148, 1989.
- [11] Hans G. Feichtinger. On a new Segal algebra. *Monatsh. Math.*, 92:269–289, 1981.
- [12] Hans G. Feichtinger. Modulation spaces on locally compact Abelian groups. Technical report, University of Vienna, January 1983.
- [13] Hans G. Feichtinger. Modulation spaces on locally compact Abelian groups. In R. Radha, M. Krishna, and S. Thangavelu, editors, *Proc. Internat. Conf. on Wavelets and Applications*, pages 1–56. NuHAG, New Delhi Allied Publishers, 2003.
- [14] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [15] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil. Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 34(4):439–457, 1999.
- [16] K. Gröchenig, G. Kutyniok, and K. Seip. Landau’s necessary density conditions for LCA groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(7):1831–1850, 2008.
- [17] K. Gröchenig and T. Strohmer. Pseudodifferential operators on locally compact abelian groups and Sjöstrand’s symbol class. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 613:121–146, 2007.
- [18] Karlheinz Gröchenig. The homogeneous approximation property and the comparison theorem for coherent frames. *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.*, 7(3):271–279, 2008.

- [19] C. Heil. History and evolution of the density theorem for Gabor frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 13(2):113–166, 2007.
- [20] Christopher Heil. The density theorem and the homogeneous approximation property for Gabor frames. In *Representations, wavelets, and frames*, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., pages 71–102. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2008.
- [21] E. Hewitt and K. Ross. *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I: Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 115. Academic Press, Inc., Publishers, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
- [22] E. Hewitt and K. Ross. *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II: Structure and analysis for compact groups. Analysis on locally compact Abelian groups*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 152. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.
- [23] M. Jakobsen. On a (no longer) new Segal algebra: a review of the Feichtinger algebra. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 24(6):1579–1660, 2018.
- [24] H. J. Landau. Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions. *Acta Math.*, 117:37–52, 1967.
- [25] J. Ramanathan and T. Steger. Incompleteness of sparse coherent states. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 2(2):148–153, 1995.
- [26] W. Rudin. *Fourier analysis on groups*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990. Reprint of the 1962 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [27] N. S. Seyedi and R. A. Kamyabi Gol. Beurling density on expansible locally compact groups and systems of translations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 490(2):124319, 13, 2020.
- [28] T. Strohmer and R. Heath, Jr. Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 14(3):257–275, 2003.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, CO, USA

*Email address:* `emily.king@colostate.edu`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA "LUIS SANTALÓ" (IMAS-CONICET-UBA), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

*Email address:* `rnores@dm.uba.ar`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA "LUIS SANTALÓ" (IMAS-CONICET-UBA), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

*Email address:* `vpater@dm.uba.ar`