

# Maximum spread of $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs

William Linz, \*

Linyuan Lu, †

Zhiyu Wang ‡

May 1, 2024

## Abstract

The spread of a graph  $G$  is the difference between the largest and smallest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . In this paper, we consider the family of graphs which contain no  $K_{s,t}$ -minor. We show that for any  $t \geq s \geq 2$ , there is an integer  $\xi_t$  such that the extremal  $n$ -vertex  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph attaining the maximum spread is the graph obtained by joining a graph  $L$  on  $(s-1)$  vertices to the disjoint union of  $\lfloor \frac{2n+\xi_t}{3t} \rfloor$  copies of  $K_t$  and  $n-s+1-t\lfloor \frac{2n+\xi_t}{3t} \rfloor$  isolated vertices. Furthermore, we give an explicit formula for  $\xi_t$  and an explicit description for the graph  $L$  for  $t \geq \frac{3}{2}(s-3) + \frac{4}{s-1}$ .

## 1 Introduction

Given a square matrix  $M$ , the *spread* of  $M$ , denoted by  $S(M)$ , is defined as  $S(M) := \max_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|$ , where the maximum is taken over all pairs of eigenvalues of  $M$ , so that  $S(M)$  is the diameter of the spectrum of  $M$ . Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  on  $n$  vertices, the *spread* of  $G$ , denoted by  $S(G)$ , is defined as the spread of the adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  of  $G$ . The adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix with rows and columns indexed by the vertices of  $G$  such that for every pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ ,  $(A(G))_{uv} = 1$  if  $uv \in E(G)$  and  $(A(G))_{uv} = 0$  otherwise. Since  $A(G)$  is a real symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues are all real numbers. Let  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$  be the eigenvalues of  $A(G)$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is called the *spectral radius* of  $G$ . Then  $S(G) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_n$ .

The systematic study of the spread of graphs was initiated by Gregory, Hershkowitz, and Kirkland [13]. One of the central focuses of this area is to find the maximum or minimum spread over a fixed family of graphs and characterize the extremal graphs. The maximum-spread graph over the family of all  $n$ -vertex graphs was recently determined for sufficiently large  $n$  by Breen, Riasanovsky, Tait and Urschel [3], building on much prior work [2, 24, 26, 27, 31]. Other problems of such extremal flavor have been investigated for trees [1], graphs with few cycles [11, 22, 33], the family of bipartite graphs [3], graphs with a given matching number [16], girth [32], or size [15], outerplanar graphs [12, 17] and planar graphs [17]. We note that the spreads of other matrices associated with a graph have also been extensively studied (see e.g. references in [12, 6, 8]).

Given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the *join* of  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted by  $G \vee H$ , is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $H$  by connecting every vertex of  $G$  with every vertex of  $H$ . Let  $P_k$  denote the path on  $k$  vertices. Given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , let  $G \cup H$  denote the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $H$ . Given a graph  $G$  and a positive integer  $k$ , we use  $kG$  to denote the disjoint union of  $k$  copies of  $G$ . Given  $v \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $N_G(v)$  denote the set of neighbors of  $v$  in  $G$ , and let  $d_G(v)$  denote the degree of  $v$  in  $G$ , i.e.,  $d_G(v) = |N(v)|$ . Given  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , define  $N_G(S)$  as  $N_G(S) = \cup_{v \in S} (N_G(v) \setminus S)$ . We may ignore the subscript  $G$  when there is no ambiguity. A graph  $H$  is called a *minor* of a graph  $G$  if a graph isomorphic to  $H$  can be obtained from a subgraph of  $G$  by contracting edges. A graph  $G$  is called  *$H$ -minor-free* if  $H$  is not a minor of  $G$ .

\*University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC. (wlinz@mailbox.sc.edu). The author is partially supported by NSF DMS 2038080 grant.

†University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC. (lu@math.sc.edu). The author is partially supported by NSF DMS 2038080 grant.

‡Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA. (zhiyuw@lsu.edu).

There has been extensive work on finding the maximum spectral radius of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs. Let  $\mathcal{G}_{s,t}(n)$  denote the family of all  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs on  $n$  vertices. Nikiforov [21] proved an upper bound for the maximum spectral radius of a  $K_{2,t}$ -minor-free graph. Nikiforov showed that this bound is tight for graphs with a sufficiently large number of vertices  $n$  with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$  and determined the extremal graph in these cases. Tait [28] extended Nikiforov's result by proving an upper bound on the maximum spectral radius of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs, and determined the extremal graphs when  $n \equiv s-1 \pmod{t}$  and  $n$  is sufficiently large. Recently, Zhai and Lin [36] completely determined the  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph with maximum spectral radius for a sufficiently large number of vertices  $n$  and all  $t \geq s \geq 2$ .

In [18], the authors determined the maximum-spread  $K_{2,t}$ -minor-free graph for sufficiently large  $n$  for all  $t \geq 2$ . In this follow-up paper, we determine the structure of the maximum-spread  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph on  $n$  vertices for sufficiently large  $n$  and for all  $t \geq s \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For  $t \geq s \geq 2$  and  $n$  sufficiently large, the graph(s) that maximizes the spread over the family of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs on  $n$  vertices has the following form*

$$L_{max} \vee (\ell_0 K_t \cup (n - s + 1 - t\ell_0) P_1)$$

where

1.  $L_{max}$  is a graph on  $s-1$  vertices which maximizes a function  $\psi(L)$  (over all graphs  $L$  on  $s-1$  vertices) as follows:

$$\psi(L) = 3 \sum_{v \in V(L)} d_L^2(v) - \frac{2}{s-1} \left( \sum_{v \in V(L)} d_L(v) \right)^2 - (t-1) \sum_{v \in V(L)} d_L(v). \quad (1)$$

2.  $\ell_0 = \left( \frac{2}{3t} - \frac{2|E(L_{max})|}{3t(t-1)(s-1)} \right) (n - s + 1) + O(n^\epsilon)$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

In particular, we have

$$\max_{G \in \mathcal{G}_{s,t}(n)} S(G) = 2\sqrt{(s-1)(n-s+1)} + \frac{(t-1)^2 + \psi(L_{max})/(s-1)}{3\sqrt{(s-1)(n-s+1)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right). \quad (2)$$

We call a pair  $(s, t)$  *admissible* if  $L_{max} = (s-1)K_1$ , i.e.,  $\psi(L) \leq 0$  and  $\psi(L) = 0$  only if  $L = (s-1)K_1$ . We determine the value of  $\ell_0$  when  $(s, t)$  is admissible and thus determine the precise extremal graph(s) for these cases.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $(s, t)$  is an admissible pair of integers and  $t \geq s \geq 2$ . For  $n$  sufficiently large, the maximum spread over the family of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs on  $n$  vertices is achieved by*

$$(s-1)K_1 \vee (\ell_0 K_t \cup (n - s + 1 - t\ell_0) P_1).$$

Here  $\ell_0$  is the nearest integer(s) of  $\ell_1 := \frac{2}{3t} \left( n - s + 1 - \frac{(t-1)^2}{9(s-1)} \right)$ . In particular, the extremal graph is unique when  $\ell_1$  is not a half-integer. Otherwise, there are two extremal graphs.

Furthermore, we determine all of the admissible pairs  $(s, t)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *A pair  $(s, t)$  with  $s \leq t$  is admissible if and only if  $t \geq \frac{3}{2}(s-3) + \frac{4}{s-1}$ .*

The smallest non-admissible pair is  $(s, t) = (8, 8)$ .

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some useful lemmas and prove that in any maximum-spread  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph  $G$ , there are  $(s-1)$  vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}$  which are adjacent to all other vertices in  $G$ . In Section 3, we show that  $G - \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$  is a disjoint union of cliques on  $t$  vertices and isolated vertices and complete the proofs of Theorems 1, 2, and 3. The non-admissible cases are more complicated and will be handled in a sequel.

## 2 Notation and Lemmas

Let  $G$  be a graph which attains the maximum spread among all  $n$ -vertex  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs, and  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $A(G)$ . We first recall the following result by Mader [19].

**Theorem 4** ([19]). *For every positive integer  $t$ , there exists a constant  $C_t$  such that every graph with average degree at least  $C_t$  contains a  $K_t$  minor.*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $s$  and  $t$  be positive integers with  $s \leq t$ . There exists a constant  $C_0$  such that for any  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph  $G$  on  $n > 0$  vertices,*

$$|E(G)| \leq C_0 n.$$

Kostochka and Prince [14] gave a better upper bound on the maximum number of edges in a  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph when  $t$  is sufficiently large compared to  $s$ .

**Theorem 5.** [14] *Let  $t \geq (180s \log_2 s)^{1+6s \log_2 s}$  be a positive integer, and  $G$  be a graph on  $n \geq s + t$  vertices with no  $K_{s,t}$  minor. Then*

$$|E(G)| \leq \frac{t + 3s}{2}(n - s + 1).$$

We mention here that in the case of  $s = 2$ , Chudnovsky, Reed and Seymour [7] showed a tight upper bound  $|E(G)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(t + 1)(n - 1)$  for the number of edges in a  $K_{2,t}$ -minor-free graph  $G$  for any  $t \geq 2$ , which extends an earlier result of Myers [20].

We also need the following theorem by Thomason [30] on the number of edges of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free bipartite graphs.

**Theorem 6.** [30] *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with at least  $(s - 1)n + 4^{s+1}stm$  edges, where  $n, m > 0$  are the sizes of the two parts of  $G$ . Then  $G$  has a  $K_{s,t}$ -minor.*

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose  $G$  is a bipartite graph on  $n$  vertices such that one part has at most  $c\sqrt{n}$  vertices for some fixed constant  $c > 0$ . If  $G$  is  $K_{1,t}$ -minor-free, then  $|E(G)| < 16tc\sqrt{n}$ .*

As a first step towards proving Theorem 1, we want to show that  $G$  must contain  $K_{s-1, n-s+1}$  as a subgraph. We recall the result of Tait [28] on the maximum spectral radius of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs.

**Theorem 7.** [28] *Let  $t \geq s \geq 2$  and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with no  $K_{s,t}$  minor. For sufficiently large  $n$ , the spectral radius  $\lambda_1(G)$  satisfies*

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq \frac{s + t - 3 + \sqrt{(t - s + 1)^2 + 4(s - 1)(n - s + 1)}}{2},$$

with equality if and only if  $n \equiv s - 1 \pmod{t}$  and  $G = K_{s-1} \vee \lfloor n/t \rfloor K_t$ .

We first give some upper and lower bounds on  $\lambda_1(G)$  and  $|\lambda_n(G)|$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large. We use known expressions for the eigenvalues of a join of two regular graphs [4, pg.19].

**Lemma 1.** [4] *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be regular graphs with degrees  $k$  and  $\ell$  respectively. Suppose that  $|V(G)| = m$  and  $|V(H)| = n$ . Then, the characteristic polynomial of  $G \vee H$  is  $p_{G \vee H}(t) = ((t - k)(t - \ell) - mn) \frac{p_G(t)p_H(t)}{(t - k)(t - \ell)}$ . In particular, if the eigenvalues of  $G$  are  $k = \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$  and the eigenvalues of  $H$  are  $\ell = \mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$ , then the eigenvalues of  $G \vee H$  are  $\{\lambda_i : 2 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{\mu_j : 2 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \{x : (x - k)(x - \ell) - mn = 0\}$ .*

We will apply Lemma 1 to the graph  $(s - 1)K_1 \vee qK_t$  where  $q = \lfloor (n - s + 1)/t \rfloor$ . Let  $a_0 = (s - 1)(n - s + 1)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *We have*

$$\sqrt{a_0} - \frac{s + t - 3}{2} - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq |\lambda_n| \leq \lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{a_0} + \frac{s + t - 3}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* The upper bound of  $\lambda_1$  is due to Theorem 7. Now let us prove the lower bound.

By Lemma 1, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\lambda_1((s-1)K_1 \vee qK_t)$  and  $\lambda_n((s-1)K_1 \vee qK_t)$  are the roots of the equation

$$\lambda(\lambda - (t-1)) - (s-1)qt = 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1((s-1)K_1 \vee qK_t) &= \frac{t-1 + \sqrt{(t-1)^2 + 4(s-1)qt}}{2}, \\ \lambda_n((s-1)K_1 \vee qK_t) &= \frac{t-1 - \sqrt{(t-1)^2 + 4(s-1)qt}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S((s-1)K_1 \vee qK_t) = \sqrt{(t-1)^2 + 4(s-1)qt}$ . Let  $q = \lfloor (n-s+1)/t \rfloor$ . By the eigenvalue interlacing theorem, we then have

$$S(G) \geq \sqrt{(t-1)^2 + 4(s-1)qt} \geq \sqrt{4(s-1)(n-s+1) + (t-1)^2 - 4(s-1)(t-1)} = 2\sqrt{a_0} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda_n(G)| &= S(G) - \lambda_1(G) \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{a_0} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \left(\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{s+t-3}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \\ &= \sqrt{a_0} - \frac{s+t-3}{2} - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

For the rest of this paper, let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  be the eigenvectors of  $A(G)$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  respectively. For convenience, let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  be indexed by the vertices of  $G$ . By the Perron-Frobenius theorem, we may assume that all entries of  $\mathbf{x}$  are positive. We also assume that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are normalized so that the maximum absolute values of the entries of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are equal to 1, and so there are vertices  $u_0$  and  $w_0$  with  $\mathbf{x}_{u_0} = |\mathbf{z}_{w_0}| = 1$ .

Let  $V_+ = \{v: \mathbf{z}_v > 0\}$ ,  $V_0 = \{v: \mathbf{z}_v = 0\}$ , and  $V_- = \{v: \mathbf{z}_v < 0\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{z}$  is a non-zero vector, at least one of  $V_+$  and  $V_-$  is non-empty. By considering the eigen-equations of  $\lambda_n \sum_{v \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_v$  or  $\lambda_n \sum_{v \in V_-} \mathbf{z}_v$ , we obtain that both  $V_+$  and  $V_-$  are non-empty. For any vertex subset  $S$ , we define the *volume* of  $S$ , denoted by  $\text{Vol}(S)$ , as  $\text{Vol}(S) = \sum_{v \in S} |\mathbf{z}_v|$ . In the following lemmas, we use the bounds of  $\lambda_n$  to deduce some information on  $V_+$ ,  $V_-$  and  $V_0$ .

**Lemma 3.** *We have*

$$\text{Vol}(V(G)) = O(\sqrt{n}).$$

*Proof.* For any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we have

$$d(v) \geq \left| \sum_{y \in N(v)} \mathbf{z}_y \right| = |\lambda_n| |\mathbf{z}_v|.$$

Applying Theorem 4 and Corollary 1, we have

$$|\lambda_n| \text{Vol}(V) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} |\lambda_n| |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = O(n).$$

By Lemma 2,  $|\lambda_n| \geq \sqrt{(n-s+1)} - \frac{s+t-3}{2} - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . We thus have  $\text{Vol}(V) = O(\sqrt{n})$ . □

Without loss of generality, we assume  $|V_+| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *We have*

$$\text{Vol}(V_+) = O(1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a small constant depending on  $s$  and  $t$  to be chosen later. Define two sets  $L$  and  $S$  as follows:

$$L = \{v \in V_+ : |N(v) \cap V_-| \geq \epsilon n\},$$

and  $S = V_+ \setminus L$ . Let  $C = 4^{s+1} s! t$ . By Theorem 6, we have

$$|L| \leq \frac{E(L, V_-)}{\epsilon n} \leq \frac{Cn}{\epsilon n} = \frac{C}{\epsilon}. \quad (4)$$

We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(S) &= \lambda_n^2 \sum_{v \in S} \mathbf{z}_v \\ &= \lambda_n \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbf{z}_u \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_-} \lambda_n \mathbf{z}_u \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_-} \sum_{y \in V_+ \cap N(u)} \mathbf{z}_y \\ &= \sum_{y \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_y |E(S, N(y) \cap V_-)| \\ &= \sum_{y \in L} \mathbf{z}_y |E(S, N(y) \cap V_-)| + \sum_{y \in S} \mathbf{z}_y |E(S, N(y) \cap V_-)|. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We apply the following estimation. For  $y \in L$ , we have

$$|E(S, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(S, V_-)| \leq Cn. \quad (6)$$

For  $y \in S$ , by Theorem 6, we have

$$|E(S, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(S, N(y))| \leq (s-1)|S| + C\epsilon n. \quad (7)$$

Now we apply the assumption that  $|V_+| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . We have

$$|E(S, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(S, N(y))| \leq (s-1)\frac{n}{2} + C\epsilon n. \quad (8)$$

Plugging Equations (6) and (8) into Equation (5), we get

$$\lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(S) \leq \text{Vol}(L)Cn + \text{Vol}(S) \left( (s-1)\frac{n}{2} + C\epsilon n \right). \quad (9)$$

By Lemma 2,  $|\lambda_n| \geq \sqrt{(s-1)(n-s+1)} - \frac{s+t-3}{2} - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . Set  $\epsilon = \frac{s-1}{6C}$ . We have that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\lambda_n^2 - \left( (s-1)\frac{n}{2} + C\epsilon n \right) > \frac{(s-1)n}{4}. \quad (10)$$

Combining Equations (9) and (10) and solving  $\text{Vol}(S)$ , we get

$$\text{Vol}(S) \leq \frac{4C}{s-1} \text{Vol}(L). \quad (11)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(V_+) &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{4C}{s-1} \right) \text{Vol}(L) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{4C}{s-1} \right) |L| \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{4C}{s-1} \right) \frac{C}{\epsilon} \\ &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

At the last step, we apply Inequality (4). The proof of this lemma is thus finished.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** For any  $v \in V_-$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{z}_v| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

In particular,  $w_0 \in V_+$  and  $|N(w_0) \cap V_-| = \Omega(n)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $v \in V_-$ , we have

$$|\lambda_n| |\mathbf{z}_v| = \lambda_n \mathbf{z}_v \leq \sum_{y \in N(v) \cap V_+} \mathbf{z}_y \leq \text{Vol}(V_+) = O(1).$$

This implies  $\mathbf{z}_v = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . In particular, we have  $w_0 \in V_+$ . Thus  $\mathbf{z}_{w_0} = 1$ . We then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^2 &= \lambda_n^2 \mathbf{z}_{w_0} \\ &\leq \lambda_n \sum_{v \in N(w_0) \cap V_-} \mathbf{z}_v \\ &\leq |N(w_0) \cap V_-| \cdot \mathbf{z}_{w_0} + \sum_{y \in V_+ \setminus \{w_0\}} \mathbf{z}_y |N(y) \cap N(w_0) \cap V_-| \\ &\leq |N(w_0) \cap V_-| \text{Vol}(V_+). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Vol}(V_+) = O(1)$  and  $\lambda_n^2 \geq (s-1-o(1))n$ , we have  $|N(w_0) \cap V_-| = \Omega(n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** We have  $|V_-| \geq n - O(\sqrt{n})$  and  $|V_+| = O(\sqrt{n})$ .

*Proof.* We define  $L$  now as follows. Let

$$L = \{v \in V_+ : |N(v) \cap V_-| \geq C_1 \sqrt{n}\},$$

where  $C_1$  is some big constant chosen later. Let  $S = V_+ \setminus L$ . We have

$$|L| \leq \frac{E(L, V_-)}{C_1 \sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{Cn}{C_1 \sqrt{n}} = \frac{C}{C_1} \sqrt{n}. \quad (12)$$

By Corollary 3, we have  $w_0 \in L$ . In particular,  $\text{Vol}(L) \geq 1$ .

Similar to Inequality (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(L) &\leq \sum_{y \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_y |E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| \\ &= \sum_{y \in L} \mathbf{z}_y |E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| + \sum_{y \in S} \mathbf{z}_y |E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We apply the following estimation. For  $y \in L$ , we have

$$|E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(L, V_-)| \leq (s-1)|V_-| + C|L|. \quad (14)$$

For  $y \in S$ , we have

$$|E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(L, N(y))| \leq (s-1)|L| + CC_1 \sqrt{n}. \quad (15)$$

Combining Equations (13), (14), and (15), we get

$$\lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(L) \leq \text{Vol}(L) ((s-1)|V_-| + C|L|) + \text{Vol}(S) ((s-1)|L| + CC_1 \sqrt{n}). \quad (16)$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |V_-| &\geq \frac{\lambda_n^2}{s-1} - C|L| - \frac{\text{Vol}(S)}{\text{Vol}(L)} ((s-1)|L| + CC_1 \sqrt{n}) \\ &\geq n - C' \sqrt{n} \end{aligned}$$

for some sufficiently large constant  $C'$ . Here we apply Inequality (12) that  $\text{Vol}(S) \leq \text{Vol}(V_+) = O(1)$  and  $\text{Vol}(L) \geq 1$ . Thus, we have  $|V_+| = O(\sqrt{n})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *There exist some constant  $C_2$  and  $s - 1$  vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}$  satisfying*

(i) *For any  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ , we have  $d(u_i) \geq n - C_2\sqrt{n}$ .*

(ii) *For any vertex  $v \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$ , we have  $d(v) \leq sC_2\sqrt{n}$ .*

*Proof.* This time we define  $L$  as follows:

$$L = \{v \in V_+ : |N(v) \cap V_-| \geq n - C_2\sqrt{n}\},$$

where  $C_2$  is some big constant chosen later, and let  $S = V_+ \setminus L$ .

We first claim that  $|L| \leq s - 1$ . Otherwise, there exist  $s$  vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in L$ . We have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^s (N(u_i) \cap V_-) \geq |V_-| - sC_2\sqrt{n} > t,$$

when  $n$  is sufficiently large. Therefore,  $G$  contains a subgraph  $K_{s,t}$ , giving a contradiction. Hence  $|L| \leq s - 1$ .

Now let us consider  $\lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(V_+)$ . By Lemma 5, we know that  $|V_+| \leq C'\sqrt{n}$  for some constant  $C'$ . As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(V_+) &\leq \sum_{y \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_y |E(V_+, N(y) \cap V_-)| \\ &= \sum_{y \in L} \mathbf{z}_y |E(V_+, N(y) \cap V_-)| + \sum_{y \in S} \mathbf{z}_y |E(V_+, N(y) \cap V_-)|. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We apply the following estimation. We let  $C = 4^{s+1}s!t$ . For  $y \in S$ , we have

$$|E(V_+, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq (s - 1)|N(y) \cap V_-| + C|V_+| \leq (s - 1)(n - C_2\sqrt{n}) + CC'\sqrt{n}. \quad (18)$$

For  $y \in L$ , we have

$$|E(V_+, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(V_+, V_-)| \leq (s - 1)n + CC'\sqrt{n}. \quad (19)$$

Plugging Equations (23) and (24) into Equation (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(V_+) &\leq \text{Vol}(S) ((s - 1)(n - C_2\sqrt{n}) + CC'\sqrt{n}) + \text{Vol}(L) ((s - 1)n + CC'\sqrt{n}) \\ &= \text{Vol}(V_+) ((s - 1)n + CC'\sqrt{n}) - \text{Vol}(S)C_2\sqrt{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Applying the lower bound of  $|\lambda_n|$  in Lemma 2, we conclude

$$\text{Vol}(S) \leq \frac{CC' + (s - 1)(s + t - 3) + O(1)}{C_2} \text{Vol}(V_+). \quad (21)$$

Choose  $C_2$  large enough such that  $\frac{CC' + (s - 1)(s + t - 3) + O(1)}{C_2} \leq \frac{1}{s^2}$  and  $C_2\sqrt{n} - |V_+| \geq t$  (recall that  $|V_+| = O(\sqrt{n})$  by Lemma 5). We then have that

$$\text{Vol}(S) \leq \frac{1}{s^2} \text{Vol}(V_+).$$

This implies

$$\text{Vol}(S) \leq \frac{1}{s^2 - 1} \text{Vol}(L).$$

Since  $\text{Vol}(L) \leq |L| \leq s - 1$ , we get

$$\text{Vol}(S) \leq \frac{s - 1}{s^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{s + 1}.$$

Now we do the similar calculation for  $\text{Vol}(L)$ . We have

$$\lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(L) \leq \sum_{y \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_y |E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)|$$

$$= \sum_{y \in L} \mathbf{z}_y |E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| + \sum_{y \in S} \mathbf{z}_y |E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)|. \quad (22)$$

We apply the following estimation. For  $y \in S$ , we have

$$|E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq (s-1)|N(y) \cap V_-| + C|L| \leq (s-1)(n - C_2\sqrt{n}) + C(s-1). \quad (23)$$

For  $y \in L$ , we have

$$|E(L, N(y) \cap V_-)| \leq |E(L, V_-)| \leq |L|n. \quad (24)$$

Plugging Equations (23) and (24) into Equation (22), we get

$$\lambda_n^2 \text{Vol}(L) \leq \text{Vol}(S) ((s-1)(n - C_2\sqrt{n}) + C(s-1)) + \text{Vol}(L)|L|n. \quad (25)$$

Since  $w_0 \in L$ , we have  $\text{Vol}(L) \geq 1$ . We then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |L| &\geq \frac{\lambda_n^2}{n} - \frac{1}{(s^2-1)n} ((s-1)(n - C_2\sqrt{n}) + C(s-1)) \\ &\geq s-1 - \frac{1}{s+1} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|L|$  is an integer, we have

$$|L| \geq s-1.$$

Together with the upper bound in Inequality (12), we get  $|L| = s-1$ .

Now we could write  $L = \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$ . We then have that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (N(u_i) \cap V_-) \geq |V_-| - (s-1)C_2\sqrt{n}. \quad (26)$$

Now we claim that for any vertex  $v \notin L$ ,  $d(v) \leq sC_2\sqrt{n}$ . Otherwise, since  $C_2$  is chosen such that  $C_2\sqrt{n} - |V_+| \geq t$ , we then have

$$\left| N(v) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{s-1} (N(u_i) \cap V_-) \right) \right| \geq sC_2\sqrt{n} - |V_+| - (s-1)C_2\sqrt{n} \geq C_2\sqrt{n} - |V_+| \geq t,$$

which implies that  $L \cup \{v\}$  and  $t$  of their common neighbors form a  $K_{s,t}$  in  $G$ , giving a contradiction. Thus,  $d_v \leq sC_2\sqrt{n}$  for any  $v \notin L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *We have*

$$(i) \text{ For any } 1 \leq i \leq s-1, \mathbf{z}_{u_i} = 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

$$(ii) \text{ For any vertex } v \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}, \text{ we have } |\mathbf{z}_v| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

*Proof.* We will prove (ii) first. Let  $C_2$  be the same constant obtained from Lemma 6. Let  $L = \{v \in V_+ : |N(v) \cap V_-| \geq n - C_2\sqrt{n}\}$ , and  $S = V_+ \setminus L$ . By Corollary 3, we know that for every  $v \in V^-$ ,  $|\mathbf{z}_v| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . Thus it suffices to show that for every  $v \in S$ ,  $|\mathbf{z}_v| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . Indeed, for every  $v \in S$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n|^2 \mathbf{z}_v &\leq |\lambda_n| \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_-} |\mathbf{z}_u| \\ &\leq \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V_-} \sum_{y \in N(u) \cap V_+} \mathbf{z}_y \\ &= \sum_{y \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_y \cdot |N(v) \cap N(y) \cap V_-| \\ &\leq sC_2 \cdot \sum_{y \in V_+} \mathbf{z}_y \\ &\leq sC_2 \cdot O(1). \end{aligned}$$



Thus,  $\mathbf{z}_v = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . This completes the proof of (ii).

Finally, we estimate  $\mathbf{z}_{u_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ . By previous lemmas, we know that  $w_0 \in \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$ . From the eigen-equations, we obtain that for each  $u_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ ),

$$|\lambda_n|(\mathbf{z}_{w_0} - \mathbf{z}_{u_i}) = - \sum_{u \in N(w_0) \setminus N(u_i)} \mathbf{z}_u + \sum_{u \in N(u_i) \setminus N(w_0)} \mathbf{z}_u \quad (27)$$

$$\leq \sum_{u \in (N(w_0) \setminus N(u_i)) \cap V_-} |\mathbf{z}_u| + \sum_{u \in (N(u_i) \setminus N(w_0)) \cap V_+} \mathbf{z}_u \quad (28)$$

$$\leq \sum_{u \in (N(w_0) \setminus N(u_i)) \cap V_-} |\mathbf{z}_u| + O(1) \quad (29)$$

$$\leq C_2 \sqrt{n} \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + O(1) \quad (30)$$

$$= O(1). \quad (31)$$

Therefore, we have  $\mathbf{z}_{u_i} \geq 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  since  $\mathbf{z}_{w_0} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_{w_0} - \mathbf{z}_{u_i} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ .  $\square$

Recall that we let  $L := \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$ . Let  $V' = \{v \in V(G) \setminus L : |N(v) \cap L| = s-1\}$  and let  $V'' = V(G) \setminus (L \cup V')$ . We have the following lemma on the structure of  $G$ .

**Lemma 8.** *We have the following properties.*

(i)  $|V'| \geq n - (s-1)C_2\sqrt{n}$ .

(ii) For any  $v \in V(G) \setminus L$ ,  $|N(v) \cap V'| \leq t-1$ .

(iii) In  $H = G[V(G) \setminus L]$ , for any vertex  $v \in V(H)$ ,  $|N_H(N_H(v)) \cap V'| \leq t^2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6,  $\min_{u \in L} d(u) \geq n - C_2\sqrt{n}$ . It follows that  $|V'| \geq n - (s-1)C_2\sqrt{n} \geq t$ . For any  $v \in V(G) \setminus L$ ,  $v$  has at most  $t-1$  neighbors in  $V'$ , otherwise,  $L \cup \{v\}$  and  $t$  of their common neighbors in  $V'$  would form a  $K_{s,t}$  in  $G$ .

Now for any  $v \in V(G) \setminus L$ , we claim that  $|N_H(N_H(v)) \cap V'| \leq t^2$ . Indeed, suppose not, then by (ii) and the Pigeonhole principle, there exist  $t$  vertex-disjoint 2-vertex paths from  $v$  to  $t$  distinct vertices in  $V'$ . But then it is not hard to see that  $L \cup \{v\}$  and these  $t$  distinct vertices would form a  $K_{s,t}$  minor, giving a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *We have*

(i) For any  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{u_i} = 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ .

(ii) For any vertex  $v \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$ , we have  $\mathbf{x}_v = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove (ii) first. For any vertex  $v \notin \{u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$ , by the eigen-equations, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^2 \mathbf{x}_v &= \lambda_1 \sum_{u \in N(v)} \mathbf{x}_u \\ &= \lambda_1 \left( \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V'} \mathbf{x}_u + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap L} \mathbf{x}_u + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V''} \mathbf{x}_u \right) \\ &= \lambda_1 \left( (t-1) + (s-1) + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V''} \mathbf{x}_u \right) \\ &= (t+s-2)\lambda_1 + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V''} \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_u \\ &= (t+s-2)\lambda_1 + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V''} \sum_{w \in N(u)} \mathbf{x}_w \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (t+s-2)\lambda_1 + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V''} \left( \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap L} \mathbf{x}_w + \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap V'} \mathbf{x}_w + \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap V''} \mathbf{x}_w \right) \\
&\leq (t+s-2)\lambda_1 + (s-1)|V''| + t^2 + \sum_{u \in N(v) \cap V''} \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap V''} \mathbf{x}_w \\
&\leq (t+s-2)\lambda_1 + (s-1)|V''| + t^2 + 2|E(G[V''])| \\
&\leq (t+s-2)\lambda_1 + (s-1)(sC_2\sqrt{n}) + t^2 + O(\sqrt{n}) \\
&= O(\sqrt{n}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{x}_v = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ .

Now we will prove (i). Recall that  $u_0$  is a vertex such that  $\mathbf{x}_{u_0} = 1$ . Thus  $u_0 \in L$ . Let  $u_i$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $L \setminus \{u_0\}$ .

If  $u_0u_i$  is not an edge of  $G$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 |\mathbf{x}_{u_0} - \mathbf{x}_{u_i}| &\leq \sum_{v \in V''} \mathbf{x}_v + \sum_{v \in L} \mathbf{x}_v \\
&\leq |V''| \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + (s-1) \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $u_0u_i$  is an edge of  $G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_1 - 1) |\mathbf{x}_{u_0} - \mathbf{x}_{u_i}| &\leq \sum_{v \in V''} \mathbf{x}_v + \sum_{v \in L} \mathbf{x}_v \\
&\leq |V''| \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + (s-1) \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

In both cases, we have

$$|\mathbf{x}_{u_0} - \mathbf{x}_{u_i}| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{x}_{u_i} = 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  for any  $i \in [s-1]$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to show that  $G$  has  $s-1$  vertices that are connected to each of the rest of the  $n-s+1$  vertices.

**Lemma 10.**  $G$  contains the subgraph  $K_{s-1, n-s+1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  be the eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  respectively. Assume that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are both normalized such that the largest entries of them in absolute value are 1. By Lemma 6, there exist  $s-1$  vertices  $L = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s-1}\}$  such that for every  $v \in L$ ,  $d(v) \geq n - C_2\sqrt{n}$  and for every  $v \notin L$ ,  $d(v) \leq sC_2\sqrt{n}$ . Recall that  $V' = \{v \in V(G) \setminus L : N(v) \cap L = s-1\}$  and  $V'' = V(G) \setminus (L \cup V')$ .

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that  $V''$  is empty. Suppose otherwise that  $V''$  is not empty. Note that  $V''$  induces a  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph, and by Theorem 4 and its corollary, we know that there exists some constant  $C_0$  and some vertex  $v_0 \in V''$  such that  $d_{G[V'']}(v_0) \leq C_0$ . Moreover, observe that  $v_0$  has at most  $(t-1)$  neighbors in  $V'$ , as otherwise  $L \cup \{v_0\}$  and  $t$  of their common neighbors would form a  $K_{s,t}$  in  $G$ .

Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $G$  by removing all the edges of  $G$  incident with  $v_0$  and adding an edge from  $v_0$  to every vertex of  $L$ , so that  $E(G') = E(G - v_0) \cup \{v_0u_1, v_0u_2, \dots, v_0u_{s-1}\}$ . Observe  $G'$  is still  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free.

We claim that  $\lambda_n(G') < \lambda_n(G)$ . Indeed, consider the vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_u = \mathbf{z}_u$  for  $u \neq v_0$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{v_0} = -|\mathbf{z}_{v_0}|$ . Then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}' A(G') \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \leq \mathbf{z}' A(G) \mathbf{z} + 2 \sum_{y \sim v_0} |\mathbf{z}_y \mathbf{z}_{v_0}| - 2|\mathbf{z}_{v_0}| \cdot \text{Vol}(L)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbf{z}'A(G)\mathbf{z} + 2 \cdot (t + C_0) \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \cdot |\mathbf{z}_{v_0}| - 2 \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) |\mathbf{z}_{v_0}| \\
&< \mathbf{z}'A(G)\mathbf{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we claim that  $\lambda_1(G') > \lambda_1(G)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}\lambda_n(G') &= \mathbf{x}'A(G')\mathbf{x} \\
&= \mathbf{x}'A(G)\mathbf{x} - 2 \sum_{y \sim v_0} \mathbf{x}_y \mathbf{x}_{v_0} + 2\mathbf{x}_{v_0} \text{Vol}(L) \\
&\geq \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}\lambda_n(G) - 2 \cdot (t + C_0) \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{v_0} + 2 \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \mathbf{x}_{v_0} \\
&> \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}\lambda_n(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $S(G') = \lambda_1(G') - \lambda_n(G') > \lambda_1(G) - \lambda_n(G) = S(G)$ , giving a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 10, a maximum-spread  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graph  $G$  contains a complete bipartite subgraph  $K_{s-1, n-s+1}$ . We denote the part of  $s-1$  vertices by  $L$  and the other part of  $n-s+1$  vertices by  $R$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a normalized eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . Let  $A_L$  (or  $A_R$ ) be the adjacency matrix of the induced subgraph  $G[L]$  (or  $G[R]$ ) respectively.

Let  $\alpha_L$  (respectively,  $\alpha_R$ ) denote the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $L$  (respectively,  $R$ ). The following lemma computes the vectors  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_R$ .

**Lemma 11.** *If  $|\lambda| > t-1$ , then*

$$\alpha_R = (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A_R^k \mathbf{1}, \quad (32)$$

$$\alpha_L = (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A_L^k \mathbf{1}. \quad (33)$$

*Proof.* Note that since  $G$  is  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free and every vertex in  $L$  is adjacent to every vertex in  $R$ , it follows that  $G[R]$  is  $K_{1,t}$ -minor-free, and thus the maximum degree of  $G[R]$  is at most  $t-1$ . For  $n$  sufficiently large, both  $\lambda_1(G)$  and  $|\lambda_n(G)|$  are greater than  $t-1$ . Hence when restricting the coordinates of  $A(G)\alpha$  to  $R$ , we have that

$$A_R \alpha_R + (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L) \mathbf{1} = \lambda \alpha_R. \quad (34)$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_R &= (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L)(\lambda I - A_R)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \\
&= (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L) \lambda^{-1} (I - \lambda^{-1} A_R)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \\
&= (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L) \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-1} A_R)^k \mathbf{1} \\
&= (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A_R^k \mathbf{1}.
\end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Here we use the assumption that  $|\lambda| > t-1 \geq \lambda_1(A_R)$  so that the infinite series converges. Similarly, we have

$$\alpha_L = (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} A_L^k \mathbf{1}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  satisfy the following equation.*

$$\lambda^2 = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \mathbf{1}' A_L^k \mathbf{1} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \mathbf{1}' A_R^k \mathbf{1} \right). \quad (36)$$

*Proof.* From Equations (32) and (33), we have

$$\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R = (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}'\lambda^{-(k+1)} A_R^k \mathbf{1}, \quad (37)$$

$$\mathbf{1}'\alpha_L = (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}'\lambda^{-(k+1)} A_L^k \mathbf{1}. \quad (38)$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R = (\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}'\lambda^{-(k+1)} A_L^k \mathbf{1} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(k+1)} \mathbf{1}' A_R^k \mathbf{1} \right). \quad (39)$$

Since  $\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R > 0$ , equation (36) is obtained by canceling  $\mathbf{1}'\alpha_R$ .  $\square$

For  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , let  $l_k = \mathbf{1}' A_L^k \mathbf{1}$ ,  $r_k = \mathbf{1}' A_R^k \mathbf{1}$ , and  $a_k = \sum_{j=0}^k l_j r_{k-j}$ . Then Equation (36) can be written as:

$$\lambda^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \lambda^{-k}. \quad (40)$$

In particular, we have

$$l_0 = s - 1; \quad (41)$$

$$l_1 = 2|E(G[L])|; \quad (42)$$

$$r_0 = n - s + 1; \quad (43)$$

$$r_1 = 2|E(G[R])|; \quad (44)$$

$$a_0 = l_0 r_0 = (s - 1)(n - s + 1), \quad (45)$$

$$a_1 = l_0 r_1 + l_1 r_0. \quad (46)$$

**Lemma 13.** *We have the following estimation on the spread of  $G$ :*

$$S(G) = 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{2c_2}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \frac{2c_4}{a_0^{3/2}} + \frac{2c_6}{a_0^{5/2}} + O\left(a_0^{-7/2}\right). \quad (47)$$

Here

$$a_0 = (s - 1)(n - s + 1) \quad (48)$$

$$c_2 = -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_2}{a_0}, \quad (49)$$

$$c_4 = -\frac{105}{128} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^4 + \frac{35}{16} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 \frac{a_2}{a_0} - \frac{5}{8} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)^2 - \frac{5}{4} \frac{a_1 a_3}{a_0 a_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_4}{a_0} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_6 = & -\frac{3003}{1024} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^6 + \frac{3003}{256} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^4 \frac{a_2}{a_0} - \frac{693}{64} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)^2 + \frac{21}{16} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)^3 \\ & - \frac{21}{32} \left( 11 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^3 - 12 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right) \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right) \right) \left(\frac{a_3}{a_0}\right) - \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{a_3}{a_0}\right)^2 \\ & + \frac{7}{16} \left( 9 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 - 4 \frac{a_2}{a_0} \right) \frac{a_4}{a_0} - \frac{7}{4} \frac{a_1 a_5}{a_0 a_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_6}{a_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

*Proof.* Recall that by (40), we have that for  $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \lambda_n\}$ ,

$$\lambda^2 = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \lambda^{-k}.$$

By the main lemma in the appendix of [17],  $\lambda$  has the following series expansion:

$$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{a_0} + c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \frac{c_3}{a_0} + \frac{c_4}{a_0^{3/2}} + \frac{c_5}{a_0^2} + \frac{c_6}{a_0^{5/2}} + O\left(a_0^{-7/2}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\lambda_n = -\sqrt{a_0} + c_1 - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \frac{c_3}{a_0} - \frac{c_4}{a_0^{3/2}} + \frac{c_5}{a_0^2} - \frac{c_6}{a_0^{5/2}} + O\left(a_0^{-7/2}\right).$$

Using SageMath, we get that  $c_2, c_4, c_6$  are the values in Equations (49), (50), (51) respectively. It follows that

$$S(G) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_n = 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{2c_2}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \frac{2c_4}{a_0^{3/2}} + \frac{2c_6}{a_0^{5/2}} + O\left(a_0^{-7/2}\right).$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Recall that by Lemma 13, we have the following estimation of the spread of  $G$ :

$$S(G) = 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{2c_2}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \frac{2c_4}{(n-1)^{3/2}} + \frac{2c_6}{(n-1)^{5/2}} + O\left(n^{-7/2}\right). \quad (52)$$

Here

$$c_2 = -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_2}{a_0}, \quad (53)$$

$$c_4 = -\frac{105}{128} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^4 + \frac{35}{16} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 \frac{a_2}{a_0} - \frac{5}{8} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)^2 - \frac{5}{4} \frac{a_1 a_3}{a_0 a_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_4}{a_0} \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_6 = & -\frac{3003}{1024} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^6 + \frac{3003}{256} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^4 \frac{a_2}{a_0} - \frac{693}{64} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)^2 + \frac{21}{16} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)^3 \\ & - \frac{21}{32} \left(11 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^3 - 12 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right) \left(\frac{a_2}{a_0}\right)\right) \left(\frac{a_3}{a_0}\right) - \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{a_3}{a_0}\right)^2 \\ & + \frac{7}{16} \left(9 \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 - 4 \frac{a_2}{a_0}\right) \frac{a_4}{a_0} - \frac{7}{4} \frac{a_1 a_5}{a_0 a_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_6}{a_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Since  $G$  is  $K_{s,t}$ -minor free,  $G[R]$  is  $K_{1,t}$ -minor free. Thus the maximum degree of  $G[R]$  is at most  $t-1$ . In particular,  $r_2 \leq (t-1)r_1$ . All  $c_i$ 's are bounded by constants depending on  $t$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 = & -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_2}{a_0} \\ = & -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{l_1 r_0 + l_0 r_1}{l_0 r_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{l_2 r_0 + l_1 r_1 + l_0 r_2}{r_0 l_0} \\ = & -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{l_1}{l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{l_2}{l_0} + \frac{l_1 r_1}{l_0 r_0} + \frac{r_2}{r_0}\right) \\ = & -\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{l_1}{3l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0}\right)^2 + \frac{l_2}{2l_0} - \frac{l_1^2}{3l_0^2} + \frac{r_2}{2r_0} \\ = & \frac{(t-1)^2}{6} - \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{l_1}{3l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} - \frac{2}{3}(t-1)\right)^2 + \frac{l_2}{2l_0} - \frac{(t-1)l_1}{6l_0} - \frac{l_1^2}{3l_0^2} + \frac{r_2 - (t-1)r_1}{2r_0} \\ = & \frac{(t-1)^2}{6} - \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{l_1}{3l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} - \frac{2}{3}(t-1)\right)^2 + \frac{\psi(G[L])}{6l_0} + \frac{r_2 - (t-1)r_1}{2r_0} \\ \leq & \frac{(t-1)^2}{6} + \frac{\psi(L_{max})}{6l_0}. \end{aligned}$$

At the last step, the equality holds only if

1.  $\psi(L) = \psi(L_{max})$ ,
2.  $r_2 = (t-1)r_1$ ,
3.  $\frac{l_1}{3l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} - \frac{2}{3}(t-1) = 0$ .

Thus, we have

$$S(G) \leq 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{(t-1)^2 + \psi(L_{max})/(s-1)}{3\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right).$$

This upper bound is asymptotically tight. Consider  $G_0 = L_{max} \vee (\ell_0 K_t \cup (n-s+1-t\ell_0) P_1)$  where  $\ell_0$  is an integer such that  $\frac{l_1}{3\ell_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} - \frac{2}{3}(t-1)$  is close to zero. Thus

$$S(G_0) = 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{(t-1)^2 + \psi(L_{max})/(s-1)}{3\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right).$$

**Claim 1.**  $G[L] = L_{max}$ .

*Proof.* Otherwise, we have  $\psi(G[L]) < \psi(L_{max})$ . Also, by the definition of  $\psi$ , we have that  $\psi(G[L]) \leq \psi(L_{max}) - \frac{1}{s-1}$ . It then follows that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(G) &\leq 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{(t-1)^2 + \psi(G[L])/(s-1)}{3\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &< 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{(t-1)^2 + \psi(L_{max})/(s-1)}{3\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= S(G_0), \end{aligned}$$

giving a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 2.** *There is a constant  $C$  such that the value of  $\frac{l_1}{3\ell_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0}$  that maximizes  $S(G)$  lies in the interval  $(\frac{2}{3}(t-1) - Cn^{-1/2}, \frac{2}{3}(t-1) + Cn^{-1/2})$ .*

*Proof.* Otherwise, for any  $\frac{l_1}{3\ell_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0}$  not in this interval (where  $C$  is chosen later), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &\leq \frac{(t-1)^2}{6} - \frac{3}{8}C^2n^{-1} + \frac{\psi(L_{max})}{6\ell_0} + \frac{r_2 - (t-1)r_1}{2r_0} \\ &\leq \frac{(t-1)^2}{6} - \frac{3}{8}C^2n^{-1} + \frac{\psi(L_{max})}{6\ell_0}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$S(G) - S(G_0) \leq -\frac{\frac{3}{4}C^2n^{-1}}{\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) < 0,$$

giving a contradiction when  $C$  is chosen to be large enough such that  $-\frac{\frac{3}{4}C^2n^{-1}}{\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) < 0$ .  $\square$

Hence from now on, we assume that  $\frac{l_1}{3\ell_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} \in (\frac{2}{3}(t-1) - Cn^{1/2}, \frac{2}{3}(t-1) + Cn^{1/2})$ .

**Claim 3.** *There is a constant  $C_2$  such that the value of  $r_2$  lies in the interval  $[(t-1)r_1 - C_2, (t-1)r_1]$ .*

*Proof.* Otherwise, we assume  $r_2 < (t-1)r_1 - C_2$  for some  $C_2$  chosen later. We then have

$$S(G) \leq 2\sqrt{a_0} + \frac{(t-1)^2 + \psi(L_{max})/(s-1)}{3\sqrt{a_0}} - \frac{C_2}{r_0\sqrt{a_0}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) < S(G_0),$$

when  $C_2$  is chosen to be sufficiently large, giving a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 4.** *For  $i \geq 3$ , we have  $r_i \in [(t-1)^{i-1}(r_1 - (i-1)C_2), r_1(t-1)^{i-1}]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R'$  be the set of vertices in  $R$  such that its degree is in the interval  $[1, t-2]$ . We have

$$C_2 \geq (t-1)r_1 - r_2 = \sum_{v \in R'} (t-1 - d(v))d(v) \geq (t-2)|R'|.$$

This implies

$$|R'| \leq \frac{C_2}{t-2}.$$

We have

$$(t-1)r_{i-1} - r_i \leq (t-2)|R'| (t-1)^{i-1} \leq C_2(t-1)^{i-1}. \quad (56)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &\geq (t-1)r_{i-1} - C_2(t-1)^{i-1} \\ &\geq (t-1)((t-1)r_{i-2} - C_2(t-1)^{i-2}) - C_2(t-1)^{i-1} && \text{by induction} \\ &= (t-1)^2 r_{i-2} - 2C_2(t-1)^{i-1} \\ &\geq \dots \\ &\geq (t-1)^{i-1} r_1 - (i-1)C_2(t-1)^{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim 5.**  $r_2 = (t-1)r_1$  and  $\frac{l_1}{3l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} - \frac{2}{3}(t-1) = O(n^{-(1-\epsilon)})$  for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\frac{l_1}{3l_0} + \frac{r_1}{r_0} - \frac{2}{3}(t-1) = A$ , and  $r_2 = (t-1)r_1 - B$ , where  $A \in [-Cn^{-1/2}, Cn^{-1/2}]$  and  $0 \leq B \leq C_2$ . It follows that

$$c_2(G) - c_2(G_0) = O(n^{-2}) - \frac{3A^2}{8} - \frac{B}{2r_0},$$

and

$$c_4(G) - c_4(G_0) = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S(G) - S(G_0) &= 2 \frac{c_2(G) - c_2(G_0)}{\sqrt{a_0}} + 2 \frac{c_4(G) - c_4(G_0)}{a_0^{3/2}} + \left(a_0^{-5/2}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \frac{O(n^{-2}) - \frac{3A^2}{8} - \frac{B}{2r_0}}{\sqrt{a_0}} + 2 \frac{O(n^{-1/2})}{a_0^{3/2}} + \left(a_0^{-5/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $B = 0$  and  $A = O(n^{-3/4})$ .

Notice that  $A = O(n^{-3/4})$  implies  $c_4(G) - c_4(G_0) = O(n^{-1/4})$ , which implies  $A = O(n^{-7/8})$ . Iterate this process finitely many times. We get  $A = O(n^{-(1-\epsilon)})$  for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ . □

**Claim 6.**  $G[R]$  is the union of vertex disjoint  $K_t$ s and isolated vertices.

*Proof.* Recall that  $r_1 = \mathbf{1}' A_R \mathbf{1} = \sum_{i \in R} d_{G[R]}(i) = 2|E(G[R])|$ , and  $r_2 = \mathbf{1}' A_R^2 \mathbf{1} = \sum_{i \in R} d_{G[R]}(i)^2$ . By Claim 5, we have that

$$\sum_{i \in R} d_{G[R]}(i)^2 = (t-1) \sum_{i \in R} d_{G[R]}(i).$$

Since  $d_{G[R]}(v) \leq t-1$  for every  $v \in R$ , it follows that  $G[R]$  is the disjoint union of  $(t-1)$ -regular graphs and isolated vertices. Let  $K$  be an arbitrary non-trivial component of  $G[R]$ . We will show that  $K$  is a clique on  $t$  vertices.

For any  $u, v \in V(K)$  with  $uv \notin E(K)$ , we claim that  $|N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)| \geq t-2$ . Otherwise,  $|N_K(u) \setminus N_K(v)| \geq 2$  and  $|N_K(v) \setminus N_K(u)| \geq 2$ . Pick an arbitrary vertex  $w \in N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)$  and contract  $uw$  and  $wv$ , we then obtain a  $K_{1,t}$ -minor in  $K$ , and thus a  $K_{s,t}$ -minor in  $G$ . Similarly, for any  $u, v \in V(K)$  with  $uv \in E(K)$ , we have  $|N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)| \geq t-3$ .

We claim now that for any  $u, v \in V(K)$ ,  $|N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)| \leq t-2$ . Suppose otherwise that there exist vertices  $u, v \in V(K)$  such that  $|N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)| = t-1$ . Let  $w$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $L$ . Note that when  $n$  is sufficiently large, we could find a length-two path from  $w$  to each vertex in  $L \setminus \{w\}$  using distinct vertices in  $R \setminus V(K)$  as the internal vertices of these paths. It follows that  $(L \setminus \{w\}) \cup \{u, v\}$  and  $(N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)) \cup \{w\}$  would form a  $K_{s,t}$ -minor in  $G$ .

Hence, we have that for any  $u, v \in V(K)$  with  $uv \notin E(K)$ ,  $|N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)| = t-2$ . It then follows that there exist  $u', v' \in V(K)$  such that  $u' \in N_K(u) \setminus N_K(v)$  and  $v' \in N_K(v) \setminus N_K(u)$ . We claim that

$u'v' \notin E(K)$ . Indeed, if  $u'v' \in E(K)$ , we could contract  $v'u'$  into  $w'$  and obtain a  $K_{s,t}$  minor the same way as above.

Now note that since  $u'v \notin E(K)$ , we have  $|N_K(u') \cap N_K(v)| = t-2$ . It follows that  $N_K(u') \cap N_K(v) = N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)$ . Similarly,  $N_K(v') \cap N_K(u) = N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)$ . We will now analyze  $N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)$ .

Let  $G_1 = G[N_K(u) \cap N_K(v)]$ . Note that for each vertex  $w \in V(G_1)$ ,  $w$  must have at most two non-neighbors in  $G_1$ , otherwise  $|N_K(u) \cap N_K(w)| \leq t-4$ , giving a contradiction. Moreover, each vertex  $w \in V(G_1)$  has at least two non-neighbors in  $G_1$ , otherwise  $d_K(w) \geq t-4+4 = t$ , giving a contradiction. It follows that each vertex in  $G_1$  has exactly two non-neighbors in  $G_1$ .

Now, if  $G_1$  is a clique, we could easily find a  $K_{1,t}$  in  $K$  (by identifying one of the vertices in  $N(u) \cap N(v)$  as the center). Hence together with  $L$ , we have a  $K_{s,t}$ -minor in  $G$ . Otherwise, we find  $a, b \in G_1$  such that  $ab \notin E(K)$ . Since  $|N_K(a) \cap N_K(b)| = t-2$  and each of  $a$  and  $b$  has exactly one non-neighbor in  $G_1$ , we then obtain that  $|N_{G_1}(a) \cap N_{G_1}(b)| = t-6$ , and there exist  $a', b' \in V(G_1)$  such that  $a' \in N_{G_1}(a) \setminus N_{G_1}(b)$  and  $b' \in N_{G_1}(b) \setminus N_{G_1}(a)$ . Similar to before, we have  $a'b' \notin E(K)$ , and  $a', b'$  is each adjacent to  $N_{G_1}(a) \cap N_{G_1}(b)$ . Repeat this process, eventually, this process has to terminate, and we will have a  $K_{1,t}$ -minor in  $K$ , thus a  $K_{s,t}$  minor in  $G$ .  $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

We now determine the maximum spread  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs for all admissible pairs  $(s, t)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since  $(s, t)$  is admissible, we have  $G[L] = (s-1)K_1$ . We only need to consider the graph  $G_\ell = (s-1)K_1 \vee (\ell K_t \cup (n-s+1-\ell t)P_1)$ . We have  $l_0 = (s-1)$  and  $l_i = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . We have  $r_0 = (n-s+1)$ , and  $r_i = \ell t(t-1)^i$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .

Now we apply Lemma 12 to simplify the equation satisfied by both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$ . Equation (36) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \mathbf{1}' A_L^k \mathbf{1} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \mathbf{1}' A_R^k \mathbf{1} \right) \\ &= (s-1) \left( n-s+1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} \ell t (t-1)^k \right) \\ &= (s-1) \left( n-s+1 + \frac{\ell t \frac{t-1}{\lambda}}{1 - \frac{t-1}{\lambda}} \right) \\ &= \frac{(s-1) \left( (n-s+1)\lambda - (n-s+1-\ell t)(t-1) \right)}{\lambda - (t-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying it, we get the following cubic equation:

$$\lambda^3 - (t-1)\lambda^2 - (s-1)(n-s+1)\lambda + (s-1)(t-1)(n-s+1-\ell t) = 0. \quad (57)$$

Now let  $\lambda = x + \frac{t-1}{3}$ . We get the following reduced cubic equation  $\phi(x) = 0$ .

$$x^3 - \left( (s-1)(n-s+1) + \frac{1}{3}(t-1)^2 \right) x + (s-1)(t-1) \left( \frac{2}{3}(n-s+1) - \ell t \right) - \frac{2}{27}(t-1)^3 = 0. \quad (58)$$

Let  $p = (s-1)(n-s+1) + \frac{1}{3}(t-1)^2$  and  $q = (s-1)(t-1) \left( \frac{2}{3}(n-s+1) - \ell t \right) - \frac{2}{27}(t-1)^3$ . Since  $\phi(x)$  has at least two real roots, we know from number theory that  $p^3 \geq \frac{27}{4}q^2$ .

We now need a lemma on the spread of a cubic polynomial. If  $f$  is a cubic polynomial with three real roots, then the *spread*  $S(f)$  is defined to be the difference between the largest and smallest roots of  $f$ .

**Lemma 14.** *Assume  $p^3 > \frac{27}{4}q^2$ . Let  $S(q)$  (with  $p$  fixed) be the spread of the cubic equation*

$$x^3 - px + q = 0. \quad (59)$$

*If  $2\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{3/2} > |q_1| > |q_2|$ , then*

$$S(q_1) < S(q_2).$$



Before we give the proof of Lemma 14, we complete the proof of Theorem 2 using Lemma 14.

Applying Lemma 14, we conclude that  $S(G_\ell) = S(\phi)$  reaches the maximum if and only if  $|q|$  reaches the minimum. Let  $\ell_1$  be the real root of the equation  $q = 0$ . We have

$$\ell_1 = \frac{2}{3t} \left( n - s + 1 - \frac{(t-1)^2}{9(s-1)} \right).$$

Since  $q$  is a linear function on  $\ell$ , the function  $|q|$  reaches the minimum at the nearest integer of  $\ell_1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

We now give the proof of Lemma 14.

*Proof of Lemma 14.* Since  $p^3 > \frac{27}{4}q^2$ , the equation  $x^3 - px + q = 0$  has three distinct real roots, say  $x_1 > x_2 > x_3$ . Observe that  $-x_1, -x_2, -x_3$  are the roots of  $x^3 - px - q = 0$ . Thus, these two cubic polynomials have the same spread. Without loss of generality, we can assume  $q \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \arccos\left(-\frac{q/2}{(p/3)^{3/2}}\right) \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ . We have

$$\cos(3\alpha) = -\frac{q/2}{(p/3)^{3/2}}.$$

Applying the triple angle cosine formula, we have

$$4 \cos^3(\alpha) - 3 \cos(\alpha) = -\frac{q/2}{(p/3)^{3/2}}.$$

Plugging  $\cos(\alpha) = \frac{x}{2(p/3)^{1/2}}$  and simplifying it, we get

$$x^3 - px + q = 0.$$

Thus  $x_1 = 2(p/3)^{1/2} \cos(\alpha)$  is a root of Equation (59). Similarly,  $x_2 = 2(p/3)^{1/2} \cos(\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{3})$ , and  $x_3 = 2(p/3)^{1/2} \cos(\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{3})$  are also the roots of Equation (59). Since  $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{5\pi}{6} &\leq \alpha + \frac{2\pi}{3} < \pi. \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} &\leq \alpha - \frac{2\pi}{3} < -\frac{\pi}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$x_1 > x_2 > 0 > x_3.$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(q) &= x_1 - x_3 \\ &= 2(p/3)^{1/2} \left( \cos(\alpha) - \cos\left(\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \right) \\ &= 2(p/3)^{1/2} \cdot 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \sin\left(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{p} \sin\left(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is an increasing function on  $q$  and  $S(q)$  is a decreasing function on  $\alpha$ , we conclude  $S(q)$  is a decreasing function on  $q$ .  $\square$

We now determine all admissible pairs  $(s, t)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We will first show the ‘only if’ direction of Theorem 3. Recall that by definition, the pair  $(s, t)$  is admissible if  $\psi(L) \leq 0$  for all graphs  $L$  on  $s-1$  vertices, and  $\psi(L) = 0$  only if  $L = (s-1)K_1$ . For  $L = K_{1, s-2}$ , we have that

$$\psi(K_{1, s-2}) = 3((s-2)^2 + s - 2) - \frac{2}{s-1}(2(s-2))^2 - (t-1)2(s-2)$$

$$= 3(s-2)(s-1) - \frac{8}{s-1}(s-2)^2 - 2(t-1)(s-2),$$

from which it easily follows that  $\psi(K_{1,s-2}) > 0$  if and only if

$$t-1 < \frac{3}{2}(s-1) - \frac{4(s-2)}{s-1} \implies t < \frac{3}{2}(s-3) + \frac{4}{s-1}.$$

Thus we can conclude that if  $(s, t)$  is admissible, then  $t \geq \frac{3}{2}(s-3) + \frac{4}{s-1}$ .

Before we show the ‘if’ direction of Theorem 3, we need two upper bounds on the sum of the squared degrees of a graph due to de Caen [5] and Das [9], respectively.

**Theorem 8** (de Caen [5]). *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices,  $e$  edges and degrees  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ . Then,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \leq e \left( \frac{2e}{n-1} + n - 2 \right).$$

**Theorem 9** (Das [9]). *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $e$  edges. Let  $d_1$  and  $d_n$  be, respectively, the highest and lowest degrees of  $G$ . Then,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \leq 2e(d_1 + d_n) - nd_1d_n.$$

We first prove a lemma that almost covers the entire range of  $t$  using only Theorem 8.

**Lemma 15.** *If  $t \geq s$  and  $t \geq \frac{3}{2}(s-3) + 1$ , then the pair  $(s, t)$  is admissible.*

*Proof of Lemma 15.* We may assume  $s \geq 3$ . Let  $L$  be a graph on  $s-1$  vertices with at least one edge. By Theorem 8,

$$3 \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i^2 \leq 3 \frac{\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i}{s-2} + s-3 \right) = \frac{3 \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2}{2(s-2)} + \frac{3(s-3) \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(L) \leq \left( \frac{3}{2(s-2)} - \frac{2}{s-1} \right) \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2 + \left( \frac{3(s-3)}{2} - (t-1) \right) \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i.$$

It follows that  $\psi(L) < 0$ , as  $\frac{3}{2(s-2)} - \frac{2}{s-1} < 0$  for  $s \geq 6$ , and by assumption  $\frac{3(s-3)}{2} - (t-1) \leq 0$ . For  $s \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ , it could be easily checked by hand that  $\psi(L) < 0$  for all  $L$  on  $s-1$  vertices with at least one edge (by computing  $\psi(L)$  for all two-vertex, three-vertex and four-vertex graphs  $L$ ).

This implies that the pair  $(s, t)$  is admissible for all  $t \geq s$  and  $t \geq \frac{3}{2}(s-3) + 1$ .  $\square$

The only cases missed by Lemma 15 are the following:  $s \geq 10$  is even and  $t = \frac{3}{2}s - 4$ . To take care of these cases, we use both Theorem 8 and Theorem 9.

Assume  $t = \frac{3}{2}s - 4$ , where  $s \geq 10$  and  $s$  is even. As in the proof of Lemma 15, we can use Theorem 8 to bound  $\psi(L)$  by

$$\psi(L) \leq \left( \frac{3}{2(s-2)} - \frac{2}{s-1} \right) \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i, \quad (60)$$

where  $L$  is any graph on  $s-1$  vertices with at least one edge. Viewing the right-hand side of (60) as a quadratic polynomial in the variable  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i$ , we see that the quadratic polynomial has two solutions: one with  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i = 0$ , and one with

$$\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{s-1} - \frac{3}{2(s-2)}} = \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s-5}.$$

Since  $\frac{3}{2(s-2)} - \frac{2}{s-1} < 0$ , it follows that if

$$\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i > \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s-5},$$

then  $\psi(L) < 0$ . Thus, assume that  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \leq \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s-5}$ , *i.e.*, that the number of edges  $e$  in  $L$  is bounded as  $e < \frac{1}{2} \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s-5}$ .

We distinguish two cases: (1) the graph  $L$  has at least two isolated vertices; (2) the graph  $L$  has no isolated vertices or one isolated vertex. We assume  $s \geq 12$  as the case  $s = 10$  can be directly checked by computer.

Suppose  $L$  has at least two isolated vertices. Let  $L_{-2}$  be the graph obtained by deleting two of the isolated vertices. Then,  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i^2 = \sum_{i \in V(L_{-2})} d_i^2$  and  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i = \sum_{i \in V(L_{-2})} d_i$ . We use induction on  $s$ . So, we assume  $s \geq 12$ , whence by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\psi(L_{-2}) = 3 \sum_{i \in V(L_{-2})} d_i^2 - \frac{2}{(s-2)-1} \left( \sum_{i \in V(L_{-2})} d_i \right)^2 - \left( \left( \frac{3}{2}(s-2) - 4 \right) - 1 \right) \sum_{i \in V(L_{-2})} d_i < 0.$$

We show  $\psi(L_{-2}) > \psi(L)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(L_{-2}) - \psi(L) &= \left( \frac{2}{s-1} - \frac{2}{s-3} \right) \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2 + 3 \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \\ &= \frac{-4}{(s-1)(s-3)} \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2 + 3 \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i. \end{aligned}$$

Viewing  $\psi(L_{-2}) - \psi(L)$  as a quadratic polynomial in  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i$ , it follows that  $\psi(L_{-2}) - \psi(L) > 0$  if  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i < \frac{3}{4}(s-1)(s-3)$ . Indeed we have that  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \leq \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s-5} < \frac{3}{4}(s-1)(s-3)$  if  $s \geq 10$ . Therefore,  $\psi(L) < \psi(L_{-2}) < 0$ .

Now, assume that instead  $L$  has at most one isolated vertex. Recall that by our assumption,

$$\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \leq \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s-5} = s+2 + \frac{12}{s-5}.$$

Without loss of generality, let  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{s-1}$  be the degree sequence of  $L$ . We could easily check by hand that  $\psi(L) < 0$  for all  $L$  with degree sequence of the form  $(d_1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$ ,  $(d_1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$ ,  $(d_1, 2, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$ , or  $(d_1, 2, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$ .

Otherwise, we have that  $d_1 \leq s+2 + \frac{12}{s-5} - (s-1) = 3 + \frac{12}{s-5}$  if  $d_{s-1} = 0$  and similarly  $d_1 \leq 2 + \frac{12}{s-5}$  if  $d_{s-1} = 1$ . In either case,  $d_1 + d_{s-1} \leq 3 + \frac{12}{s-5}$  if  $s \geq 12$ . Since  $d_1 + d_{s-1}$  is an integer, we have that  $d_1 + d_{s-1} \leq 4$  for  $s \geq 12$ . Therefore, by Theorem 9,  $\sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i^2 \leq 4 \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(L) &= 3 \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i^2 - \frac{2}{s-1} \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2 - \left( \frac{3}{2}s - 5 \right) \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \\ &\leq \left( 12 - \left( \frac{3}{2}s - 5 \right) \right) \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i - \frac{2}{s-1} \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

But now we see that  $\psi(L) < 0$ , since  $-\frac{2}{s-1} \left( \sum_{i \in V(L)} d_i \right)^2 < 0$  and  $17 - \frac{3}{2}s < 0$  if  $s \geq 12$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. M. Aleksić and M. Petrović, Cacti whose spread is maximal, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **31**(1) (2015), 23–34.
- [2] Mustapha Aouchiche, Francis K Bell, Dragiša Cvetković, Pierre Hansen, Peter Rowlinson, Slobodan K Simić, and Dragan Stevanović, Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. 16. some conjectures related to the largest eigenvalue of a graph, *European Journal of Operational Research*, **191**(3) (2008), 661–676.
- [3] J. Breen, A. WN Riasanovsky, M. Tait, and J. Urschel. Maximum spread of graphs and bipartite graphs, *Communications of the AMS*, **2** (2022), 417–480.
- [4] A. Brouwer and W. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs, *Springer*, 2012.
- [5] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph, *Discrete Math.* **185** (1998), 245–248.
- [6] D. Cao and A. Vince, Spectral radius of a planar graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **187** (1993), 251–257.
- [7] M. Chudnovsky, B. Reed and P. Seymour, The edge-density for  $K_{2,t}$ -minors, *J. Combin Theory, Series B*, **101** (2011), 18–46.
- [8] D. Cvetković and P. Rowlinson, The largest eigenvalue of a graph: A survey, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **28** (1990), 3–33.
- [9] K.C. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math.*, **285** (2004), 57–66.
- [10] M. Doob, D. Cvetković, On spectral characterizations and embeddings of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **27** (1979), 17–26.
- [11] Y. Fan, Y. Wang, and Y. Gao, Minimizing the least eigenvalues of unicyclic graphs with application to spectral spread, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, **429**(2-3), (2008) 577–588.
- [12] D. Gotshall, M. O’Brien and M. Tait, On the spread of outerplanar graphs, *Special Matrices*, **10**(1) (2022), 299-307.
- [13] D. A. Gregory, D. Hershkowitz, and S. J Kirkland. The spread of the spectrum of a graph, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **332** (2001), 23–35.
- [14] A.V. Kostochka and N. Prince, On  $K_{s,t}$ -minors in graphs with given average degree, *Discrete Math.*, **308**, (2008), 4435–4445.
- [15] B. Liu and M. Liu, On the spread of the spectrum of a graph, *Discrete mathematics*, **309**(9) (2009), 2727–2732.
- [16] X. Li, J. Zhang, and B. Zhou, The spread of unicyclic graphs with given size of maximum matchings, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, **42**(4) (2007), 775–788.
- [17] Z. Li, W. Linz, L. Lu, and Z. Wang, On the maximum spread of planar and outerplanar graphs, available at arXiv:2209.13776.
- [18] W. Linz, L. Lu and Z. Wang, Maximum spread of  $K_{2,t}$ -minor-free graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **676**, (2023), 352–373.
- [19] W. Mader, Homomorphieeigenschaften und mittlere Kantendichte von Graphen, *Math. Ann.*, **174** (1967), 265–268.
- [20] J.S. Myers, The extremal function for unbalanced bipartite minors, *Discrete Math.* **271** (1–3) (2003) 209-222.
- [21] V. Nikiforov, The spectral radius of graphs with no  $K_{2,t}$ -minor, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **531** (2017), 510–515
- [22] M. Petrović, B. Borovićanin, and T. Aleksić, Bicyclic graphs for which the least eigenvalue is minimum, *Linear algebra and its applications*, **430**(4) (2009), 1328–1335.
- [23] AJ RF and RJ Schwenk, On the eigenvalues of a graph, *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, pages 307–336, 1978.
- [24] A. WN Riasanovsky. Two Problems in Extremal Combinatorics. PhD thesis, Iowa State University, 2021.

- [25] P. Rowlinson, On the index of certain outerplanar graphs, *Ars Combin.* **29C** (1990), 221–225.
- [26] Z. Stanić, Inequalities for graph eigenvalues, volume 423, *Cambridge University Press*, 2015.
- [27] D. Stevanovic, Spectral radius of graphs, *Academic Press*, 2014.
- [28] M. Tait, The Colin de Verdière parameter, excluded minors, and the spectral radius, *J. Combin Theory Series A* **166** (2019), 142–158.
- [29] M. Tait, J. Tobin, Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory, *J. Combin Theory Series B*, **126** (2017), 137–161.
- [30] A. Thomason, Disjoint complete minors and bipartite minors, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **28(6)** (2007), 1779–1783.
- [31] John C Urschel, Graphs, Principal Minors, and Eigenvalue Problems, PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2021.
- [32] B. Wang, M. Zhai, and J. Shu, On the spectral spread of bicyclic graphs with given girth, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, **29(3)** (2013), 517–528.
- [33] Y. Wu and J. Shu, The spread of the unicyclic graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **31(1)** (2010), 411–418.
- [34] Z. You and B. Liu, The Laplacian spread of graphs, *Czechoslovak mathematical journal*, **62(1)** (2012), 155–168.
- [35] H. Yuan and J. Shu, Sharp lower bounds of the least eigenvalue of planar graphs, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, **296(1-3)** (1999), 227–232.
- [36] M. Zhai and H. Lin, Spectral extrema of  $K_{s,t}$ -minor-free graphs - On a conjecture of M. Tait, *J. Combin Theory Ser. B*, **157** (2022), 184–215.