NON-LINEAR TRACES ON SEMIFINITE FACTORS AND GENERALIZED SINGULAR VALUES

MASARU NAGISA AND YASUO WATATANI

ABSTRACT. We introduce non-linear traces of the Choquet type and Sugeno type on a semifinite factor \mathcal{M} as a non-commutative analog of the Choquet integral and Sugeno integral for non-additive measures. We need weighted dimension function $p \mapsto \alpha(\tau(p))$ for projections $p \in \mathcal{M}$, which is an analog of a monotone measure. They have certain partial additivities. We show that these partial additivities characterize non-linear traces of both the Choquet type and Sugeno type respectively. Based on the notion of generalized eigenvalues and singular values, we show that non-linear traces of the Choquet type are closely related to the Lorentz function spaces and the Lorentz operator spaces if the weight functions α are concave. For the algebras of compact operators and factors of type II, we completely determine the condition that the associated weighted L^p -spaces for the non-linear traces become quasi-normed spaces in terms of the weight functions α for any 0 .We also show that any non-linear trace of the Sugeno type gives a certain metric on the factor. This is an attempt at non-linear and non-commutative integration theory on semifinite factors.

AMS subject classification: Primary 47B10. Secondary 46L51, 47B06.

Key words: non-linear trace, semifinite factor, monotone map, generalized singular value, quasi-norm.

1. INTRODUCTION

We studied several classes of general non-linear positive maps between C^* -algebras in [33]. We introduced non-linear traces of the Choquet type and the Sugeno type on matrix algebras in [34] and on the algebra K(H) of compact operators in [35].

Ando-Choi [2] and Arveson [4] originated the study of non-linear completely positive maps and extended the Stinespring dilation theorem. Hiai-Nakamura [22] studied a non-linear counterpart of Arveson's Hahn-Banach type extension theorem [3] for completely positive linear maps. Belţită-Neeb [5] considered non-linear completely positive maps and dilation theorems for real involutive algebras. Dadkhah-Moslehian [11] studied some properties of non-linear positive maps like Lieb maps and the multiplicative domain for 3-positive maps. Dadkhah-Moslehian-Kian [12] considered the continuity of non-linear positive maps between C^* -algebras.

The functional calculus by a continuous positive function is an important example of non-linear positive maps as in [6] and [7]. In particular, the functional calculus by operator monotone functions is crucial to study operator means in Kubo-Ando theory [29]. Another important motivation for the study of non-linear positive maps on C^* -algebras is non-additive measure theory, which was initiated by Sugeno [43] and Dobrakov [16]. Choquet integrals [10] and Sugeno integrals [43] are studied as non-linear integrals in non-additive measure theory. The differences between them are two operations used: sum and product for Choquet integrals and maximum and minimum for Sugeno integrals. They have partial additivities. Choquet integrals have comonotonic additivity and Sugeno integrals have comonotonic F-additivity (fuzzy additivity). Conversely, it is known that Choquet integrals and Sugeno integrals are characterized by these partial additivities, see, for example, [14], [39], [13], [9], [15]. More precisely, comonotonic additivity, positive homogeneity, and monotony characterize Choquet integrals. Similarly, comonotonic F-additivity, F-homogeneity, and monotony characterize Sugeno integrals. We also note that the inclusion-exclusion integral by Honda-Okazaki [25] for non-additive monotone measures is a general notion of non-linear integrals.

In this paper, we introduce non-linear traces of the Choquet type and Sugeno type on a semifinite factor M as a non-commutative analog of the Choquet integral and Sugeno integral for non-additive measures. We need weighted dimension function $p \mapsto \alpha(\tau(p))$ for projections $p \in M$, which is an analog of a monotone measure. They have certain partial additivities. We show that these partial additivities characterize non-linear traces of both the Choquet type and Sugeno type respectively.

Lorentz function spaces are typical examples of symmetric function spaces and Lorentz operator spaces are typical examples of symmetric operator spaces. In [30] using uniform submajorization, Kalton and Sukochev established a one-to-one correspondence between the symmetric function spaces and symmetric operator spaces. Based on the notion of generalized eigenvalues and singular values, we show that non-linear traces of the Choquet type are closely related to the Lorentz function spaces and Lorentz operator spaces if the weight functions α are concave.

If M is a factor of type I_{∞} , then this corresponds non-linear traces of the Choquet type on the algebra K(H) of compact operators introduced in [35]. In this article, we broaden it more, and we completely determine the condition that the associated weighted L^p -spaces for the non-linear traces on the algebra K(H) of compact operators become quasi-normed spaces in terms of the weight functions α for any 0 . We note that Jor-Ting Chan, Chi-Kwong Li, and CharliesC. N. Tu studied some classes of these unitarily invariant norms on B(H) from a different view point in [8]. For factors of type II, we also completely determine the condition that the associated weighted L^{p} spaces for the non-linear traces become quasi-normed spaces in terms of the weight functions α for any 0 .

We also show that any non-linear trace of the Sugeno type gives a certain metric on the factor.

This is an attempt at non-linear and non-commutative integration theory on semifinite factors.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K18739 and JP23K03151 and also supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

2. Non-linear traces of the Choquet type

In this section, we introduce non-linear traces of the Choquet type on a semifinite factor \mathcal{M} , which is a non-commutative analog of the Choquet integrals for non-additive measures. We need weighted dimension function $p \mapsto \alpha(\tau(p))$ for projections $p \in \mathcal{M}$, which is an analog of a monotone measure. If \mathcal{M} is a factor of type I_{∞} , then this corresponds non-linear traces of the Choquet type on the algebra K(H) of compact operators introduced in [35]. See [6], [21], [24], [26], and [41] on basic facts of eigenvalues and singular values for matrix algebras and the algebras of compact operators.

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a set and \mathcal{B} a ring of sets on Ω , that is, \mathcal{B} is a family of subsets of Ω which is closed under the operations union \cup and set theoretical difference \setminus . Hence \mathcal{B} is also closed under intersection \cap . A function $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *monotone measure* if μ satisfies

- (1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, and
- (2) For any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, if $A \subset B$, then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$.

Definition 2.2. (Choquet integral) Let Ω be a set and \mathcal{B} a ring of sets on Ω . Let $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$ be a monotone measure on Ω . Let f be a non-negative measurable function on Ω . Then the Choquet integral of f is defined by

$$(\mathbf{C}) \int f d\mu := \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \ge s\}) ds$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) > s\}) ds.$$

Remark 2.3. If f is a simple function with

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A_i}, \quad A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \ (i \neq j)$$

then the Choquet integral of f is given by

(C)
$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_{\sigma(i)} - a_{\sigma(i+1)}) \mu(A_i) + a_{\sigma(n)} \mu(A_n),$$

where σ is a permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots n\}$ such that $a_{\sigma(1)} \ge a_{\sigma(2)} \ge \dots \ge a_{\sigma(n)}$ and $A_i := \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(i)\}.$

Choquet integral has the following properties:

(1) (monotonicity) For any non-negative measurable functions f and g on Ω ,

$$0 \le f \le g \Rightarrow 0 \le (C) \int f d\mu \le (C) \int g d\mu.$$

(2) (comonotone additivity) For any non-negative measurable functions f and g on Ω , if f and g are comonotone, then

(C)
$$\int (f+g)d\mu = (C) \int fd\mu + (C) \int gd\mu$$

where f and g are *comonotone* if for any $s, t \in \Omega$ we have that

$$(f(s) - f(t))(g(s) - g(t)) \ge 0,$$

that is,

$$f(s) < f(t) \Rightarrow g(s) \le g(t).$$

(3) (positive homogeneity) For any non-negative measurable function f on Ω and any scalar $k \geq 0$,

(C)
$$\int kfd\mu = k(C) \int fd\mu$$
,

where $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Remark 2.4. It is important to note that the Choquet integral can be *essentially* characterized as a non-linear monotone positive functional which is positively homogeneous and comonotonic additive.

Remark 2.5. When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, if f and g are monotone increasing, then f and g are commonotone.

For a topological space X, let C(X) be the set of all continuous functions on X and $C(X)^+$ be the set of all non-negative continuous functions on X. More generally, for a C^* -algebra A, we denote by A^+ the set of positive operators of A.

Definition 2.6. Let A be a C^* -algebra. A non-linear positive map $\varphi : A^+ \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *trace* if φ is unitarily invariant, that is, $\varphi(uau^*) = \varphi(a)$ for any $a \in A^+$ and any unitary u in the multiplier algebra M of A.

- φ is monotone if $a \leq b$ implies $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ for any $a, b \in A^+$.
- φ is positively homogeneous if $\varphi(ka) = k\varphi(a)$ for any $a \in A^+$ and any scalar $k \ge 0$, where we regard $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

• φ is comonotonic additive on the spectrum if

$$\varphi(f(a) + g(a)) = \varphi(f(a)) + \varphi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in A^+$ and any comonotonic functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))^+$ (with f(0) = g(0) = 0 if a is not invertible), where f(a) is a functional calculus of a by f and $C(\sigma(a))$ is the set of continuous functions on the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of a.

• φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum if

$$\varphi(f(a) + g(a)) = \varphi(f(a)) + \varphi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in A^+$ and any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))^+$ (with f(0) = g(0) = 0 if a is not invertible). We may replace $C(\sigma(a))^+$ by $C([0,\infty))^+$, since any monotone increasing function in $C(\sigma(a))^+$ can be extended to a monotone increasing function in $C([0,\infty))^+$. Then by induction, we also have

$$\varphi(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(f_i(a))$$

for any monotone increasing functions f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n in $C(\sigma(a))^+$ (with $f_i(0) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ if a is not invertible).

Now we recall the notions of the generalized singular values (also called generalized s-numbers) on semifinite von Neumann algebras. Murray-von Neumann [32] started to study the generalized singular values for finite factors. The general case of semifinite von Neumann algebras was studied by M. Sonis [42], V. I. Ovchinnikov [37], F. J. Yeadon [47], and Fack-Kosaki [18]. For the generalized singular values and the majorization, see also Murray-von Neumann [32], Kamei [27], Fack [17], Hiai-Nakamura [23], Harada-Kosaki [20], and we also recommend a book [31] by Lord-Sukochev-Zanin on the Calkin correspondence for semi-finite von Neumann algebras. Let \mathcal{M} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . A densely defined closed operator a affiliated with \mathcal{M} is said to be τ measurable if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a projection p in \mathcal{M} such that $p(H) \subset D(a)$ and $\tau(I-p) < \epsilon$. Let a = u|a| be the polar decomposition of a and $|a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$ be the spectral decomposition of |a|. Then a is τ -measurable if and only if $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(I-e_\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(e_{(\lambda,\infty)}) = 0$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ the set of τ -measurable operators. For a positive self-adjoint operator $a = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$ affiliated with \mathcal{M} , we set

$$\tau(a) = \int_0^\infty \lambda d\tau(e_\lambda).$$

For p with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the non-commutative L^p -space $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \tau)$ is the Banach space consisting of all $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with $||a||_p := \tau(|a|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$, see, for example, [36], [40], [44], and [18]. Here $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}; \tau) = \mathcal{M}$. We denote by \tilde{S} the closure of $L^1(\mathcal{M})$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ in the measure topology, where the measure topology on \mathcal{M} is the topology whose fundamental system of neighborhoods around 0 is given by

 $V(\epsilon, \delta) = \{a \in \mathcal{M} | \exists p \in \mathcal{M} : \text{projection s.t.} \|ap\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \tau(I-p) < \delta \}$ Then \mathcal{M} is dense in $\mathcal{\tilde{M}}$ in the measure topology.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{M} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . Let a be a positive self-adjoint operator affiliated with \mathcal{M} with the spectral decomposition $a = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda(a)$, where $e_t = e_{(-\infty,t]}$ is a spectral projection. For $t \ge 0$, the "generalized t-th eigenvalue" $\lambda_t(a)$ of a is defined by

$$\lambda_t(a) := \inf\{s \ge 0 \mid \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) \le t\},\$$

where we put $\inf A = +\infty$ if A is an empty set, by convention. If a is in M^+ , then $\lambda_0(a) = ||a||$. Furthermore, if a is a τ -measurable operator and t > 0, then $\lambda_t(a) < \infty$ and it is known that $\lambda_t(a)$ is also expressed by

$$\lambda_t(a) = \inf\{ \|ap\| \mid p \in \mathcal{M} : \text{ projection s.t. } \tau(I-p) \le t \}$$

We also have a min-max type expression

$$\lambda_t(a) = \inf\{\sup_{\xi \in pH, \|\xi\|=1} \langle a\xi, \xi \rangle \mid p \in \mathcal{M} : \text{ projection s.t. } \tau(I-p) \le t\}$$

For a general operator a which is affiliated with \mathcal{M} , the "generalized t-th singular value" (i.e. generalized s-number) $\mu_t(a)$ of a is defined by $\mu_t(a) := \lambda_t(|a|)$. The map $\mu(a) := [0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \mu_t(a) \in [0, \infty]$ is sometimes called the generalized singular value function of a and satisfies the following properties:

- (1) The generalized singular value function $\mu(a) : t \mapsto \mu_t(a)$ is decreasing and right-continuous.
- (2) If $0 \le a \le b$, then $\mu_t(a) \le \mu_t(b)$.
- (3) $\mu_{s+t}(a+b) \le \mu_s(a) + \mu_t(b)$.
- (4) $\mu_{s+t}(ab) \leq \mu_s(a)\mu_t(b).$
- (5) $\mu_t(abc) \le ||a||\mu_t(b)||c||.$
- (6) $\mu_t(a^*) = \mu_t(a).$
- (7) For any unitary $u \in M$, $\mu_t(uau^*) = \mu_t(a)$.
- (8) $|\mu_t(a) \mu_t(b)| \le ||a b||.$
- (9) If $a \ge 0$ and $f: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous and increasing function, then $\mu_t(f(a)) = f(\mu_t(a))$.
- (10) If $\tau(I) = 1$, then $\mu_t(a) = 0$ for all t > 1.

See, for example, [18], [19], [31], and [37].

For a self-adjont operator $a \in \mathcal{M}$ with a finite trace τ , "generalized *t*-th eigenvalue" $\lambda_t(a)$ of *a* can be defined by

 $\lambda_t(a) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) \le t\}, \ (t \in [0, \tau(I)).$

See [38] for example. But we do not use it in this paper. For a positive operator $a \in M$, $\lambda_t(a) = \mu_t(a)$. So this does not cause any confusion.

Example 2.8. If $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ is a factor of type I_{∞} , then $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = B(H)$ and $\tilde{S} = K(H)$.

Example 2.9. Let $\mathcal{M} = L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ for some semifinite measure space (Ω, μ) . Then \tilde{M} consists of measurable functions f on Ω such that f is bounded except on a set of finite measure. In this case, "generalized t-th eigenvalue " $\lambda_t(f)$ of positive function f corresponds to the decreasing rearrangement f^* of f:

$$f^*(t) := \inf\{s \ge 0 \mid \mu(\{x \in \Omega \mid |f(x)| > s\}) \le t\},\$$

Now we shall consider non-linear traces of the Choquet type on a semifinite factor \mathcal{M} . First, we assume that \mathcal{M} is a factor of type I. When $\mathcal{M} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we introduced non-linear traces of the Choquet type in [34]. When $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ for a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H, all proper ideals of B(H) are contained in the ideal K(H) of the compact operators. Therefore we studied non-linear traces of the Choquet type on K(H) in [35]. Here we broaden it more and we completely determine the condition that the associated weighted L^p spaces for the non-linear traces on K(H) become quasi-normed spaces in terms of the weight functions α for any 0 . We recall somenotations on non-linear traces of the Choquet type on <math>K(H).

Definition 2.10. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \to [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Any $a \in K(H)^+$ can be written as $a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(a) p_n$, where each p_n is a one dimensional spectral projection of a with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \leq I$, and

$$\lambda(a) := (\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a), \dots)$$

is the list of the eigenvalues of a in decreasing order : $\lambda_1(a) \geq \lambda_2(a) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(a) \geq \cdots$ with counting multiplicities, where the sequence $(\lambda_n(a))_n$ converges to zero. In order to make the sequence uniquely determined, we use the following convention: If $a \in K(H)^+$ is not a finite-rank operator, then each $\lambda_n(a) \neq 0$. If $a \in K(H)^+$ is a finite-rank operator, $\lambda(a)$ is given by adding zeroes to the list of eigenvalues of a. In both cases, the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of a is given by $\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n(a)\} \cup \{0\}$. We call such $\lambda(a)$ the eigenvalue sequence of $a \in K(H)^+$.

Remark 2.11. In this paper, for a compact positive operator $a \in K(H)^+$, we denote by $\lambda_1(a) = ||a||$ the largest first eigenvalue of a. But for a positive operator a in a factor of Type II, we denote by $\lambda_0(a) = \mu_0(a) = ||a||$ the largest generalized 0-th eigenvalue of a. Be careful.

We define the non-linear trace $\varphi_{\alpha} : K(H)^+ \to [0, \infty]$ of the Choquet type associated with α by

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i(a) - \lambda_{i+1}(a))\alpha(i).$$

Note that φ_{α} is lower semi-continuous on $K(H)^+$ in the norm.

Put $c_n = \alpha(n) - \alpha(n-1) \ge 0$ and $c_1 = \alpha(1) - \alpha(0) = \alpha(1)$. Then $\alpha(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i$ and the non-linear trace φ_{α} of the Choquet type associated with α is also described as follows: For $a \in K(H)^+$,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(a)(\alpha(i) - \alpha(i-1)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(a)c_i.$$

Conversely for any sequence $c = (c_n)_n$ with each $c_i \in [0, \infty)$, the nonlinear trace φ defined by

$$\varphi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i(a) c_i$$

is a trace of the Choquet type associated with some α such that $\alpha(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i$.

For $p \geq 1$, we have studied the condition that the weighted Schattenvon Neumann *p*-class $C_p^{\alpha}(H)$ for non-linear traces φ_{α} of the Choquet type becomes normed spaces in terms of α in [35].

Theorem 2.12. (N-W [35]) Let $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$ be a non-linear trace of the Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \mathbb{N}_0 \to [0,\infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) > 0$. Fix $p \ge 1$. Define $|||a|||_{\alpha,p} := \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p}$ for $a \in K(H)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) α is concave in the sense that $\frac{\alpha(i+1) + \alpha(i-1)}{2} \leq \alpha(i), \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots).$
- (2) $(c_i)_i$ is a decreasing sequence: $c_1 \ge c_2 \ge \cdots \ge c_n \ge \cdots$.
- (3) $||| |||_{\alpha,p}$ satisfies the triangle inequality: for any $a, b \in K(H)$, $|||a+b|||_{\alpha,p} \leq |||a|||_{\alpha,p} + |||b|||_{\alpha,p}$ admitting $+\infty$.

Remark 2.13. An operator $a \in K(H)$ is said to be a weighted Schattenvon Neumann p-class operator for a non-linear trace φ_{α} of the Choquet type if $|||a|||_{\alpha,p} = \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$. The weighted Schatten-von Neumann p-class $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ is defined as the set of all weighted Schatten-von Neumann p-class operators for a non-linear trace φ_{α} . We also call $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ the weighted L^p -space for φ_{α} . Assume that α is concave. Then the weighted L^p -space $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ for a non-linear trace φ_{α} is a Banach space with respect to the norm $||| |||_{\alpha,p}$. Moreover $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ is a *-ideal of B(H).

Now we shall completely determine the condition that the associated weighted L^p -spaces for the non-linear traces on K(H) become quasinormed spaces in terms of the weight functions α for any 0 .We recall the definition of quasi-norms (see, for example, [46]).

Definition 2.14. Let X be a complex vector space. A function φ on X is called a quasi-norm if there exists some constant c > 1 such that for any $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, φ satisfies the following:

(1)
$$\varphi(x) \ge 0.$$

(2) $\varphi(\alpha x) = |\alpha|\varphi(x).$
(3) $\varphi(x+y) \le c(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)).$

A typical example of quasi-norms is the function $\varphi(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ on $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. When $0 , <math>\varphi$ is not a norm on X and satisfies the following relation:

$$\varphi(x+y) \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)).$$

Lemma 2.15 (Weyl's inequality, see [6] for matrices and [19] for compact operators). For any positive compact operators $a, b \in K(H)^+$, we have

$$\lambda_{i+j-1}(a+b) \le \lambda_i(a) + \lambda_j(b)$$

For $a \in K(H)$, we use the notation $s_i(a)$ which means the *i*-th singular value of a and $s_i(a) = \lambda_i(|a|)$. Since $s_{i+j-1}(a+b) \leq s_i(a) + s_j(b)$, we remark that

 $s_{2i}(a+b) \le s_i(a) + s_{i+1}(b) \le s_i(a) + s_i(b)$, and $s_{2i-1}(a+b) \le s_i(a) + s_i(b)$.

In order to determine the condition on α when $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p}$ becomes a quasi-norm, it is sufficient to consider it on the set F(H) of finite rank operators.

Theorem 2.16. Let $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$ be a non-linear trace of the Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \mathbb{N}_0 \to [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) > 0$. For p with $0 . Define <math>|||a|||_{\alpha,p} := \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p}$ for $a \in F(H)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) There exists a positive number M such that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|) \leq M(\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|) + \varphi(|b|)) \text{ for any } a, b \in F(H).$$

- (2) The sequence $(\frac{\alpha(2n)}{\alpha(n)})_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded.
- (3) $||| \cdot |||_{\alpha,p}$ is a quasi-norm on F(H) for any $p \ge 1$.
- (4) $||| \cdot |||_{\alpha,p}$ is a quasi-norm on F(H) for any 0 .
- (5) $||| \cdot |||_{\alpha,p}$ is a quasi-norm on F(H) for some p > 0.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (5) The condition (1) means that $||| \cdot |||_{\alpha,1}$ is a quasi-norm on F(H).

 $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ Let p > 0 and

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|^p)^{1/p} \le L(\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p} + \varphi_{\alpha}(|b|^p)^{1/p}).$$

Choose e and f are relatively orthogonal projections with dimension n and put a = e and b = f. Then $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a + b|^p) = \varphi_{\alpha}(e + f) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(i) = \alpha(2n)$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p) = \varphi_{\alpha}(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c(i) = \alpha(n)$. Similarly $\varphi_{\alpha}(|b|^p) = \alpha(n)$. By the assumption we have $\alpha(2n)^{1/p} \leq 2L\alpha(n)^{1/p}$ for any n. So $\frac{\alpha(2n)}{\alpha(n)} \leq (2L)^p$. $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ By the assumption, we may choose a positive number L such that $\alpha(2n) \leq L\alpha(n)$ for all n. This means that $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(i) \leq L \sum_{i=1}^{n} c(i)$ for all n. We remark that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p\right)^{1/p} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

for $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{C}$, since $p \ge 1$.

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|^{p})^{1/p} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c(i)s_{i}(a+b)^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c(2i-1)s_{2i-1}(a+b)^{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c(2i)s_{2i}(a+b)^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c(2i-1)(s_{i}(a)+s_{i}(b))^{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c(2i)(s_{i}(a)+s_{i}(b))^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (c(2i-1)+c(2i))(s_{i}(a)+s_{i}(b))^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}(s_{i}(a)+s_{i}(b))\right)^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_{i}(a)\right)^{p}\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_{i}(b)\right)^{p}\right)^{1/p}, \end{split}$$

where we apply the ℓ^p -subadditivity for elements $((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_i(a))_i$ and $((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_i(b))_i$ in ℓ^p . Then the first term can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p} s_i(a) \right)^p \right)^{1/p} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (c(2i-1)+c(2i))(s_i(a))^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left((c(1)+c(2)) s_1(a)^p + (c(3)+c(4)) s_2(a)^p + (c(5)+c(6)) s_3(a)^p + \cdots \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left((c(1)+c(2))(s_1(a)^p - s_2(a)^p) \right. \\ &\quad + (c(1)+c(2)+c(3)+c(4))(s_2(a)^p - s_3(a)^p) \\ &\quad + (c(1)+c(2)+c(3)+c(4)+c(5)+c(6))(s_3(a)^p - s_4(a)^p) + \cdots \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(Lc(1)(s_1(a)^p - s_2(a)^p) + L(c(1)+c(2))(s_2(a)^p - s_3(a)^p) \right. \\ &\quad + L(c(1)+c(2)+c(3))(s_3(a)-s_4(a))^p + \cdots \right)^{1/p} \\ &= L^{1/p} \left(c(1)s_1(a)^p + c(2)s_2(a)^p + c(3)s_3(a)^p + \cdots \right)^{1/p} \\ &= L^{1/p} \varphi_\alpha(|a|^p)^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

By a similar calculation, we can get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1) + c(2i))^{1/p} s_i(b) \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \le L^{1/p} \varphi_\alpha(|b|^p)^{1/p}.$$

So we have $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|^p)^{1/p} \leq L^{1/p} \Big(\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p} + \varphi_{\alpha}(|b|^p)^{1/p} \Big).$

In particular, we remark that the implication $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ is also proved. So we have $(1) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ We also assume that $\alpha(2n) \leq L\alpha(n)$ for all n. This means that $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} c(i) \leq L \sum_{i=1}^{n} c(i)$ for all n. We also remark that

$$s_{2i-1}(a+b)^p \le s_i(a)^p + s_i(b)^p$$
 and $s_{2i}(a+b)^p \le s_i(a)^p + s_i(b)^p$

since $x^p \le y^p + z^p$ for any $x, y, z \ge 0$ with $x \le y + z$ and 0 .

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|^{p})^{1/p} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c(i)s_{i}(a+b)^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ \leq &\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (c(2i-1)+c(2i))(s_{i}(a)^{p}+s_{i}(b)^{p})\right)^{1/p} \\ &= &\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_{i}(a)\right)^{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_{i}(b)\right)^{p} \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq & 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_{i}(a))^{p}\right)^{1/p} \\ &+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ((c(2i-1)+c(2i))^{1/p}s_{i}(b))^{p}\right)^{1/p} \right) \end{split}$$

(where we use the property of quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ for 0 .)

$$=2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(c(2i-1)+c(2i))s_i(a)^p\right)^{1/p}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(c(2i-1)+c(2i))s_i(b)^p\right)^{1/p}\right).$$

Using the same argument in $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (c(2i-1)+c(2i))s_i(a)^p\right)^{1/p} \le L^{1/p}\varphi_\alpha(|a|^p)^{1/p}$$
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (c(2i-1)+c(2i))s_i(b)^p\right)^{1/p} \le L^{1/p}\varphi_\alpha(|b|^p)^{1/p}.$$

So we have $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|^p)^{1/p} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}L^{1/p}\Big(\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p} + \varphi_{\alpha}(|b|^p)^{1/p}\Big).$ (3) \Rightarrow (5) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) are clear. **Remark 2.17.** Assume that the sequence $(\frac{\alpha(2n)}{\alpha(n)})_n$ is bounded. For any $0 , we can extend <math>|||a|||_{\alpha,p}$ from $a \in F(H)$ to $a \in K(H)$ as follows: Since $\lambda_i : K(H)^+ \ni a \mapsto \lambda_i(a) \in [0, \infty)$ is operator norm continuous, for a fixed N, $K(H)^+ \ni a \mapsto (\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i(a^p)c_i)^{1/p}$ is also norm continuous. Hence we can extend $|||a|||_{\alpha,p}$ from $a \in F(H)^+$ to $a \in K(H)^+$ admitting $+\infty$ by

$$K(H)^{+} \ni a \mapsto |||a|||_{\alpha,p} := (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}(a^{p})c_{i})^{1/p} = \sup_{N} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(a^{p})c_{i})^{1/p}$$

as a lower semi-continuous function with respect to the operator norm. Since a continuous function $[0, \infty) \ni x \mapsto x^p$ is monotone increasing,

$$\lambda_i(a^p) = \lambda_i(a)^p.$$

For any projections e and f with $0 \le e \le f$,

$$\lambda_i(eae) = \lambda_i(efafe) \le ||e||\lambda_i(faf)||e|| \le \lambda_i(faf).$$

Hence $|||eae|||_{\alpha,p} \leq |||faf||_{\alpha,p}$. Take $a \in K(H)^+$. Let $(e_n)_n$ be an increasing sequence of projections with dim $e_n = n$. Since a is a compact operator, $e_n a e_n$ converges to a in the operator norm. Since $K(H)^+ \ni a \mapsto |||a|||_{\alpha,p}$ is lower semi-continuous function with respect to the operator norm, $|||e_n a e_n|||_{\alpha,p}$ converges to $|||a|||_{\alpha,p}$. By the above Theorem, there exists a constant M > 0 such that for any $a, b \in K(H)^+$ and for any n

$$|||e_n(a+b)e_n|||_{\alpha,p} \le M(|||e_nae_n|||_{\alpha,p} + |||e_nbe_n|||_{\alpha,p}).$$

Taking $n \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$|||a + b|||_{\alpha, p} \le M(|||a|||_{\alpha, p} + |||b|||_{\alpha, p})$$

Next, we consider the general case such that $a \in K(H)$. Define

$$|||a|||_{\alpha,p} := |||(|a|)|||_{\alpha,p} = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (|a|^p) c_i)^{1/p}$$

For not necessarily positive $a, b \in K(H)$, use a known technique. By a theorem of Akemann-Anderson-Pedersen [1], which generalizes Thompson's Theorem [45], there exist isometries $u, v \in B(H)$ such that

$$|a+b| \le u|a|u^* + v|b|v^*.$$

Since $\lambda_i((u|a|u^*)^p) = \lambda_i(|a|^p)$, we have that

$$|||a + b|||_{\alpha, p} \le M(|||a|||_{\alpha, p} + |||b|||_{\alpha, p}).$$

Example 2.18. Put $\alpha(0) = 0, \alpha(1) = \alpha(2) = 1$, and $\alpha(n) = 3$ for $n \ge 3$. Then for a positive $a \in K(H)$,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \lambda_1(a) + 2\lambda_3(a).$$

Since α is not concave and $\frac{\alpha(2n)}{\alpha(n)} \leq 3$, $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|)$ does not give a norm but give a quasi-norm.

Definition 2.19. Consider any p with $0 . An operator <math>a \in K(H)$ is said to be a weighted Schatten-von Neumann p-class operator for a non-linear trace φ_{α} of the Choquet type if $|||a|||_{\alpha,p} = \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$. The weighted Schatten-von Neumann p-class $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ is defined as the set of all weighted Schatten-von Neumann p-class operators for a non-linear trace φ_{α} . We also call $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ the weighted L^p -space for φ_{α} . Assume that the sequence $(\frac{\alpha(2n)}{\alpha(n)})_n$ is bounded. Then the weighted L^p -space $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ for a non-linear trace φ_{α} is a quasi-normed space with respect to the quasi-norm $||| |||_{\alpha,p}$. Therefore by Aoki-Rolewiz Theorem, $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ can be a metric space. Moreover $\mathcal{C}_p^{\alpha}(H)$ is a *-ideal of B(H).

In the rest of this section, we assume that \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II_{∞} with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ or a factor of type II_1 with a faithful normal finite trace τ with $\tau(I) = 1$.

Definition 2.20. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Assume that α is left continuous. We think a weighted dimension function $p \mapsto \alpha(\tau(p))$ for projections $p \in \mathcal{M}$ is an analog of a monotone measure. Define a non-linear trace $\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, \infty]$ of the Choquet type associated with α as follows: For $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with the spectral decomposition $a = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_{\lambda}(a)$, let

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) := \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(\operatorname{supp}((a-sI)_+))) ds,$$

where $(a - sI)_+ := ((a - sI) + |a - sI|)/2$ is the positive part of a - sIand $\operatorname{supp}((a - sI)_+)$ is the support projection of $(a - sI)_+$, which is also the range projection of it. Here we use the following convention $\alpha(\infty) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \alpha(x)$ to allow ∞ . Then for any unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(uau^*) = \varphi_{\alpha}(a),$$

because $\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(uau^*))) = \tau(ue_{(s,\infty)}(a))u^*) = \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))$. Hence φ_{α} is unitarily invariant.

If \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II₁ with a faithful normal finite trace τ with $\tau(I) = 1$, then we consider a monotone increasing function $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Assume that α is left continuous. Then we can define a non-linear trace $\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of the Choquet type associated with α similarly.

For example, if a has a finite spectrum and $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p_i$ is the spectral decomposition with $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n > 0$, then

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - a_{i+1}) \alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_i)) + a_n \alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_n)).$$

Remark 2.21. For $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) := \int_0^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))) ds.$$

Since one point set $\{0\}$ is a null set, it is enough to show that

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_{(0,\infty)} \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))) ds.$$

Put

$$A := \int_{(0,\infty)} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds, \ A_n := \int_{(\frac{1}{n},\infty)} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds,$$

and

$$B := \int_{(0,\infty)} \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))) ds, \ B_n := \int_{(\frac{1}{n},\infty)} \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))) ds.$$

Since $A_n \leq B_n$ and $A_{n+1} \geq B_n$, taking their limits as $n \to \infty$, we have that A = B by monotone convergence theorem.

Lemma 2.22. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing left continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Choquet type associated with α . Then φ_{α} is a monotone map and sequentially normal in the sense that for any increasing sequence $(a_n)_n$ in \mathcal{M}^+ if $a_n \nearrow a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ in strong operator topology then $\varphi_{\alpha}(a_n) \nearrow \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$.

If \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II₁ with a faithful normal finite trace τ with $\tau(I) = 1$, let $\alpha : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ be a monotone increasing left continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Then similar statements hold.

Proof. Let $0 \le a \le b$ in \mathcal{M} . For $s \ge 0$ and a natural number n, define functions h_s and h_s^n on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$h_s(x) = \chi_{(s,\infty)}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & (0 \le x \le s), \\ 1, & (s < x), \end{cases}$$
$$h_s^n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & (0 \le x \le s), \\ nx - ns, & (s \le x \le s + 1/n), \\ 1, & (s + 1/n \le x), \end{cases}$$

Then increasing sequence $(h_s^n)_n$ converges to h_s pointwisely. Hence Borel functional calculus $(h_s^n(a))_n$ converges to $h_s(a)$ in the strong operator topology. Since τ is normal, $(\tau(h_s^n(a))_n$ converges to $\tau(h_s(a))$. Although $0 \le a \le b$ does not imply that $h_s(a) \le h_s(b)$, it holds that $\tau(f(a)) \le \tau(f(b))$ for any continuous increasing function f on $[0, \infty)$ with f(0) = 0 as in [18, Corollary 2.9]. Therefore $\tau(h_s^n(a)) \le \tau(h_s^n(b))$. This implies that $\tau(h_s(a)) \le \tau(h_s(b))$. Since

$$h_s(a) = \operatorname{supp}((a - sI)_+) = e_{(s,\infty)}(a),$$

14

we should note that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(\operatorname{supp}((a - sI)_{+})))ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(h_{s}(a)))) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)))ds.$$

Because α is increasing,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau((h_{s}(a))))ds$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau((h_{s}(b))))ds = \varphi_{\alpha}(b)s$$

Thus φ_{α} is a monotone map.

Assume that an increasing sequence $(a_n)_n$ in M^+ converges to $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ in strong operator topology. Then by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_{\alpha}(a_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(h_s(a_n))) ds = \int_0^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha(\tau(h_s(a_n))) ds$$
$$= \int_0^{\infty} \sup_n \sup_m \alpha(\tau(h_s^m(a_n))) ds = \int_0^{\infty} \sup_m \sup_n \alpha(\tau(h_s^m(a_n))) ds$$
$$= \int_0^{\infty} \sup_m \alpha(\tau(h_s^m(a))) ds = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(h_s(a))) ds = \varphi_{\alpha}(a),$$

since α is left continuous. Thus φ_{α} is sequentially normal.

Definition 2.23. We say that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is of τ -finite rank in M if a has a finite spectrum and $\tau(a) < \infty$. It is easy to see that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is of τ -finite rank in \mathcal{M} if and only if a has the spectral decomposition $a = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k p_k$ such that each $\tau(p_k) < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We denote by $M_{\tau-fr}^+$ the set of all $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ which is of τ -finite rank in \mathcal{M} .

We can express non-linear traces of the Choquet type using the "generalized t-th eigenvalues".

Proposition 2.24. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing left continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Choquet type associated with α . Then the non-linear trace φ_{α} is also described as follows: Let ν_{α} be the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated with α such that $\nu_{\alpha}([a, b)) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a)$. For any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ we have that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda_{t}(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(t).$$

where we admit $+\infty$.

If \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II₁ with a faithful normal finite trace τ with $\tau(I) = 1$, let $\alpha : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be a monotone increasing left

continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Then a similar fact holds:

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) := \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_0^1 \lambda_t(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(t).$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. If a = 0, then it is clear. So we assume that $a \neq 0$. First, consider the case that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is of τ -finite rank in \mathcal{M} . Let $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k p_k$ be the spectral decomposition of a with $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_n > 0$ and each $\tau(p_k) < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$\alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) = \begin{cases} 0, & (a_1 \le s), \\ \alpha(\tau(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k)), & (a_{k+1} \le s < a_k, 1 \le k \le n-1), \\ \alpha(\tau(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n)), & (0 \le s < a_n). \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)))ds$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k+1})\alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_k)) + a_n\alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_n)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\lambda_t(a) = \inf\{s \ge 0 | \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) \le t\}$$

=
$$\begin{cases} a_1, & (0 \le t < \tau(p_1), \\ a_k & (\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_{k-1}) \le t < \tau(p_1 + \dots + p_k), 2 \le k \le n), \\ 0 & (\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_n) \le t). \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda_{t}(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(t)$$

= $a_{1}(\alpha(\tau(p_{1})) - \alpha(0)) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_{k}(\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{k})) - \alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{k-1})))$
= $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_{k} - a_{k+1})(\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{k}))) + a_{n}(\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{n}))) = \varphi_{\alpha}(a).$

Secondly, consider the case that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ has a finite spectrum. Let $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k p_k$ be the spectral decomposition of a with $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_n > 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(b_k)))ds = \infty$$

if and only if

$$\alpha(\tau(p_i)) = \infty$$
 for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

if and only if

$$\tau(p_i) = \infty$$
 and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(t) = \infty$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda_t(b_k) d\nu_\alpha(t) = \infty.$$

Next, consider the general case that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(b_n)_n$ in \mathcal{M}^+ of a finite spectrum such that $||b_n - a|| \to 0$. By [18, page 277], for any $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$

$$|\mu_t(x) - \mu_t(y)| \le ||x - y||.$$

Therefore $\lambda_t(b_n)$ converges to $\lambda_t(a)$ pointwise in t. By the monotone convergence theorem, we have that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda_t(b_n) d\nu_\alpha(t) \nearrow \int_0^\infty \lambda_t(a) d\nu_\alpha(t).$$

Since φ_{α} is sequentially normal. Thus $\varphi_{\alpha}(b_n) \nearrow \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$. If all b_n are of τ -finite rank, then the equation holds. If some b_k is not of τ -finite rank, Then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(b_k)))ds = \infty = \int_0^\infty \lambda_t(b_k)d\nu_\alpha(t).$$

This implies the conclusion in the general case. The rest is clear. $\hfill\square$

Example 2.25. Let $\alpha(x) = x$, then we can recover the trace τ , and for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_0^\infty \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) ds = \int_0^\infty \lambda_t(a) dt = \tau(a).$$

Example 2.26. Let

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 < x), \\ 0 & (x = 0), \end{cases}$$

/

then we can recover the operator norm and for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, and we have that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_0^\infty \lambda_t(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(t) = ||a||.$$

Example 2.27. Fix t > 0 and let

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x & (0 \le x \le t), \\ t & (t < x), \end{cases}$$

then we obtain a continuous analog of the Ky Fan norm and for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we have that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) ds = \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{t}(a) dt.$$

Example 2.28. Let

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x=0), \\ 1 & (0 < x \le 2), \\ 4 & (2 < x), \end{cases}$$

then for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, and we have that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \lambda_0(a) + 3\lambda_2(a) = ||a|| + 3\lambda_2(a).$$

Then $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|)$ does not give a norm but gives a quasi-norm. In fact, take orthogonal projections p and q such that $\tau(p) = \tau(q) = 4/3$. Then $\varphi_{\alpha}(p) = \varphi_{\alpha}(q) = 1 + 3 \times 0 = 1$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}(p+q) = 1 + 3 \times 1 = 4$. Hence φ_{α} does not give a norm. Since for positive $a, b \in \mathcal{M}, \lambda_0(a+b) \leq \lambda_0(a) + \lambda_0(b), \lambda_2(a+b) \leq \lambda_1(a) + \lambda_1(b), \lambda_2(a+b) \leq \lambda_0(a) + \lambda_2(b)$, and $\lambda_2(a+b) \leq \lambda_2(a) + \lambda_0(b)$ by Weyl's inequality (the property (3) of μ_t), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\alpha}(a+b) &= \lambda_0(a+b) + 3\lambda_2(a+b) \\ &\leq \lambda_0(a) + \lambda_0(b) + \frac{3}{2}(\lambda_0(a) + \lambda_2(b) + \lambda_2(a) + \lambda_0(b)) \\ &\leq \frac{5}{2}(\varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \varphi_{\alpha}(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|)$ gives a quasi-norm.

We characterize non-linear traces of the Choquet type on semifinite factors.

Theorem 2.29. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . Let $\varphi : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear positive map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) φ is a non-linear trace $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$ of the Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing and left continuous function α : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.
- (2) φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone, positively homogeneous, and sequentially normal on \mathcal{M}^+ . Moreover, $\varphi(a) < \infty$ for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\tau-fr}$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II₁ with a faithful normal finite trace with $\tau(1) = 1$. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear positive map. Then similarly, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) φ is a non-linear trace $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$ of the Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing and left continuous function α : $[0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.
- (2) φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone, and positively homogeneous. Moreover, $\varphi(a) < \infty$ for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\tau-fr}$. (We do not need that φ is sequentially normal on \mathcal{M}^+ .)

Proof. First, consider the cast that \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II_{∞} .

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Assume that φ is a non-linear trace $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$ of the Choquet type associated with a monotone increasing function α . Then we have already proved that φ_{α} is unitarily invariant, monotone, and sequentially normal on \mathcal{M}^+ . It is obvious that φ_{α} is positively homogeneous by the definition using the change of variable. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ be of τ finite rank in \mathcal{M} and $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k p_k$ be the spectral decomposition of a with $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_n > 0$ and each $\tau(p_k) < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$\alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \alpha(\tau(0)), & (s \ge a_1) \\ \alpha(\tau(p_1)), & (a_1 > s \ge a_2) \\ \alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_k)), & (a_k > s \ge a_{k+1}, \ 2 \le k \le n-1) \\ \alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_n)), & (a_n > s \ge 0) \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k+1})\alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_k)) + a_n\alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_n)) < \infty.$$

We should note that this formula also holds in the case that $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n \ge 0$, because some terms just become 0. We show that φ_{α} is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum.

First, assume that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ has a finite spectrum and $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k p_k$ is the spectral decomposition of a with $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n > 0$. For any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))^+$,

$$f(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(a_k) p_k, \ g(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g(a_k) p_k,$$

$$(f+g)(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (f(a_k) + g(a_k)) p_k,$$

$$f(a_1) \ge f(a_2) \ge \dots \ge f(a_n) \ge 0,$$

$$g(a_1) \ge g(a_2) \ge \dots \ge g(a_n) \ge 0,$$

and $f(a_1) + g(a_1) \ge f(a_2) + g(a_2) \ge \dots \ge f(a_n) + g(a_n) \ge 0.$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\alpha}((f+g)(a)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f(a_{k}) + g(a_{k}) - f(a_{k+1}) - g(a_{k+1}))\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{k})) \\ &+ (f(a_{n}) + g(a_{n}))\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{n})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f(a_{k}) - f(a_{k+1}))\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{k})) + f(a_{n})\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{n})) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (g(a_{k}) - g(a_{k+1}))\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{k})) + g(a_{n})\alpha(\tau(p_{1} + \dots + p_{n})) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \varphi_{\alpha}(f(a)) + \varphi_{\alpha}(g(a)).$$

Next, consider general $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(b_n)_n$ in \mathcal{M}^+ such that each b_n has a finite spectrum and $||b_n-a||$ converges to 0. Moreover, there exists a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $n, \sigma(b_n) \subset K$ and $\sigma(a) \subset K$. For any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C([0, \infty))^+$, we have that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}((f+g)(b_n)) = \varphi_{\alpha}(f(b_n)) + \varphi_{\alpha}(g(b_n)).$$

We note that $f(b_n) \nearrow f(a)$, $g(b_n) \nearrow g(a)$ and $(f+g)(b_n) \nearrow (f+g)(a)$. Since φ_{α} is sequentially normal,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}((f+g)(a)) = \varphi_{\alpha}(f(a)) + \varphi_{\alpha}(g(a)).$$

Thus φ_{α} is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Assume that φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone, and positively homogeneous. We also assume that φ is sequentially normal and $\varphi(a) < \infty$ for any τ -finite rank operator $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\tau-fr}^+$. Define a function $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ by $\alpha(x) := \varphi(p_x)$ for some projection p_x in \mathcal{M} with $\tau(p_x) = x$ ($x \in [0, \infty)$). Since such a projection p_x is of τ -finite rank, $\alpha(x) = \varphi(p_x) < \infty$. Since φ is unitarily invariant, α does not depend on the choice of such projections. Since φ is monotone, α is monotone increasing. Since φ is sequentially normal, α is left continuous.

We show that $\varphi = \varphi_{\alpha}$. We only consider the case that \mathcal{M} is an infinite factor. If \mathcal{M} is a finite factor, its proof is a little bit simpler. First assume that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ has a finite spectrum $\sigma(a) = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k p_k$ be the spectral decomposition of a with $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n > 0$. Suppose that $\tau(p_k) = \infty$ for some k. Since $0 \le \frac{1}{a_k} p_k \le a$, monotonicity and positive homogeneity imply that $\varphi(a) = \infty = \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$. Therefore we may and do assume that $\tau(p_k) < \infty$ for any k, that is, a

20

is of τ -finite rank. Now define functions $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \in C(\sigma(a))^+$ by

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} a_i - a_{i+1}, & \text{if } x \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, 0\} \end{cases}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1 and

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} a_n & \text{if } x \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Then f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n are monotone increasing functions in $C(\sigma(a))^+$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ such that

$$f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \dots + f_n(x) = x$$
 for $x \in \sigma(a)$.

Therefore

$$f_1(a) + f_2(a) + \dots + f_n(a) = a.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$f_i(a) = (a_i - a_{i+1})(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_i)$$
 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

and $f_n(a) = a_n(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n)$.

Since φ is monotonic increasing additive on the spectrum and positively homogeneous, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) &= \varphi(f_1(a) + f_2(a) + \dots + f_n(a)) \\ &= \varphi(f_1(a)) + \varphi(f_2(a)) + \dots + \varphi(f_n(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi((a_i - a_{i+1})(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_i)) + \varphi(a_n(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - a_{i+1})\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_i) + a_n\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - a_{i+1})(\alpha(\tau(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_i))) + a_n\alpha(\tau(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n)) \\ &= \varphi_\alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider general $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(b_n)_n$ in \mathcal{M}^+ such that each b_n has a finite spectrum and $||b_n - a||$ converges to 0. We may assume that each b_n is of τ -finite rank. Otherwise, $\varphi(a) = \infty = \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$. Both φ and φ_{α} are sequentially normal and $\varphi(b_n) = \varphi_{\alpha}(b_n)$. Therefore $\varphi(a) = \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$.

The case of a factor of type II₁ is similarly proved. But we do not need the condition that φ_{α} is sequentially normal on \mathcal{M}^+ of (2). In fact, take $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with the spectral decomposition $a = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda(a)$. Define $b_n \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $c_n \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with

$$b_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k-1}{n} \|a\| e_{\left[\frac{k-1}{n}\|a\|,\frac{k}{n}\|a\|\right)} + \frac{n-1}{n} \|a\| e_{\left[\frac{n-1}{n}\|a\|,\frac{n}{n}\|a\|\right]},$$

$$c_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k}{n} ||a|| e_{\left[\frac{k-1}{n} ||a||, \frac{k}{n} ||a||\right)} + \frac{n}{n} ||a|| e_{\left[\frac{n-1}{n} ||a||, \frac{n}{n} ||a||\right]}$$

Then $0 \leq b_n \leq a \leq c_n$ and $0 \leq \varphi(b_n) \leq \varphi(a) \leq \varphi(c_n) < \infty$ by monotonicity. Since

$$\varphi(c_n) - \varphi(b_n) = \frac{1}{n} ||a|| \alpha(\tau(I)) \to 0$$

We have that $\varphi(b_n) \to \varphi(a)$. Similarly $\varphi_{\alpha}(b_n) \to \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$. Since $\varphi(b_n) = \varphi_{\alpha}(b_n)$, this implies that $\varphi(a) = \varphi_{\alpha}(a)$.

We shall consider a similar statement on quasi-norms like as Theorem 2.16 for a factor \mathcal{M} of type II₁. We assume that α is continuous for a while.

Lemma 2.30. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_1 and $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Assume that α is continuous. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer M such that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{(i-1)/M}(a)(\alpha(i/M) - \alpha((i-1)/M)) < \varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_0^1 \lambda_s(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(s)$, we can choose a partition of [0, ||a||] as follows:

$$||a|| = a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_N = 0$$

and

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda_{s}(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(s)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i-1}\nu_{\alpha}(\{t : a_{i} < \lambda_{t}(a) \le a_{i-1}\}) < \varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon.$$

Since the function $\lambda_{-}(a) : [0,1] \ni t \mapsto \lambda_{t}(a)$ is decreasing and rightcontinuous, there exists a partition $\Delta : 0 = t_{0} < t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \cdots \leq t_{N} \leq t_{N+1} = 1$ of [0,1] such that

$$\{t : a_i < \lambda_t(a) \le a_{i-1}\} = [t_{i-1}, t_i)$$

and $\nu_{\alpha}([t_{i-1}, t_i)) = \alpha(t_i) - \alpha(t_{i-1}), (i = 1, 2, ..., N+1)$. So we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} a_{i-1}(\alpha(t_i) - \alpha(t_{i-1})) < \varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon.$$

Put t'_i (i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N + 1) as follows:

$$t'_{i} = \begin{cases} t_{i} & t_{i} \in \mathrm{Im}\lambda_{-}(a) \\ \inf\{t : \lambda_{t}(a) \leq a_{i}\} & t_{i} \notin \mathrm{Im}\lambda_{-}(a) \end{cases}$$

22

Then $0 = t'_0 < t'_1 \le t'_2 \le \dots \le t'_N \le t'_{N+1} = 1$,

$$\lambda_s(a) \le \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \lambda_{t'_{i_1}}(a) \chi_{[t'_{i-1},t'_i)}(s) \le \sum_{i=1}^N a_{i-1} \chi_{[t'_{i-1},t'_i)}(s),$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \lambda_s(a) d\nu_\alpha(s) \le \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \lambda_{t'_{i-1}}(\alpha(t'_i) - \alpha(t'_{i-1})) \le \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} a_{i-1}(\alpha(t_i) - \alpha(t_{i-1})).$$

We remark that, for any refinement $\tilde{\Delta} : \tilde{t_0} \leq \tilde{t_1} \leq \cdots \leq \tilde{t_L}$ of the partition $\Delta' : t'_0 < t'_1 \leq t'_2 \leq \cdots \leq t'_N \leq t'_{N+1}$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \lambda_{s}(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(s) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_{\tilde{t_{i-1}}}(\alpha(\tilde{t_{i}}) - \alpha(\tilde{t_{i-1}})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \lambda_{t_{i-1}}(\alpha(t_{i}') - \alpha(t_{i-1}')),$$

because $\lambda_{-}(s)$ is decreasing.

Using the right-continuity of $\lambda_{-}(a)$ and α , there exists $\delta > 0$ and a partition $\Delta' : t''_{0} \leq t''_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t''_{N+1}$ such that $t''_{i} - t'_{i} < \delta$ and

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda_{s}(a) d\nu_{\alpha}(s) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \lambda_{t_{i_{1}}''}(a) (\alpha(\tilde{t_{i}}'') - \alpha(\tilde{t_{i-1}}'')) < \varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon.$$

So we can choose $\{t''_0, t''_1, \ldots, t''_{N+1}\} \subset \{i/M \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M\}$ for some positive integer M. This means that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{(i-1)/M}(a) (\alpha(i/M) - \alpha((i-1)/M)) < \varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon.$$

Theorem 2.31. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_1 , $\alpha : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$, and $\varphi_{\alpha} :$ $\mathcal{M}^+ \to [0,\infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Choquet type associated with α . Then the following are equivalent:

(1) There exists a positive number β such that

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|) \leq \beta(\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|) + \varphi_{\alpha}(|b|)) \text{ for any } a, b \in \mathcal{M}.$$

- (2) $\sup\{\frac{\alpha(2s)}{\alpha(s)} \mid s \in [0,1]\}$ is bounded, where we set $\alpha(s) = \alpha(1)$ if s > 1.
- (3) $\mathcal{M} \ni a \mapsto |||a|||_{\alpha,p}(a) = \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p}$ is a quasi-norm for any p > 0.
- (4) $\mathcal{M} \ni a \mapsto |||a|||_{\alpha,p}(a) = \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p}$ is a quasi-norm for some p > 0.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It suffices to show that $\{\frac{\alpha(2s)}{\alpha(s)} \mid s \in [0, 1/2]\}$ is bounded. Since \mathcal{M} is a factor of type II₁, for $s \in [0, 1/2]$, there exist orthogonal projections $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\tau(p) = \tau(q) = s$$
 and $\tau(p+q) = 2s$.

Then the relation

$$\alpha(2s) = \varphi_{\alpha}(p+q) \le \beta(\varphi_{\alpha}(p) + \varphi_{\alpha}(q)) = 2\beta\alpha(s)$$

implies $\frac{\alpha(2s)}{\alpha(s)} \leq 2\beta$ for all $s \in [0, 1/2]$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) We may assume that $a, b \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and put $\beta = \sup\{\frac{\alpha(2s)}{\alpha(s)} | s \in [0,1]\}$. By Proposition 2.24,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda_{t}(a) d\nu(t), \ \varphi_{\alpha}(b) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda_{t}(b) d\nu(t),$$
$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a+b) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda_{t}(a+b) d\nu(t)$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{M}^+$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose a positive integer L such that

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_{(i-1)/L}(a)(\alpha(i/L) - \alpha((i-1)/L) - \varphi_{\alpha}(a) < \epsilon$$
$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_{(i-1)/L}(b)(\alpha(i/L) - \alpha((i-1)/L) - \varphi_{\alpha}(b) < \epsilon$$
$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_{(i-1)/L}(a+b)(\alpha(i/L) - \alpha((i-1)/L) - \varphi_{\alpha}(a+b) < \epsilon$$

by Lemma 2.30. Using Weyl's inequality (the property (3) of μ_t), we have

$$\lambda_{2i/L}(a+b) \le \lambda_{i/L}(a) + \lambda_{i/L}(b), \lambda_{(2i+1)/L}(a+b) \le \lambda_{i/L}(a) + \lambda_{i/L}(b)$$

for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., L. We define c(t), $s_t(a)$, $s_t(b)$, and $s_t(a+b)$ for $t \ge 1$ as follows:

$$c(t) = \alpha(t/L) - \alpha((t-1)/L), \ s_t(x) = \lambda_{(t-1)/L}(x),$$

where x = a, b, or a + b, then

$$s_i(x) = 0 \ (i \ge L+1), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n c(i) = \alpha(n/L) \ (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and $\max\{s_{2i-1}(a+b), s_{2i}(a+b)\} \le s_i(a) + s_i(b).$

By the similar argument in the Theorem 2.16,

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\alpha}(a+b) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_{(i-1)/L}(a+b)(\alpha(i/L) - \alpha((i-1)/L) + \epsilon \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} s_i(a+b)c(i) + \epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{2L} s_i(a+b)c(i) + \epsilon \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} s_{2i-1}(a+b)c(2i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{L} s_{2i}(a+b)c(2i) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{L} (s_i(a) + s_i(b))(c(2i-1) + c(2i)) + \epsilon \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} ((\sum_{j=1}^{2i} c(j))(s_i(a) - s_{i+1}(a)) + (\sum_{j=1}^{2i} c(j))(s_i(b) - s_{i+1}(b))) + \epsilon \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} (\alpha(2i/L)(s_i(a) - s_{i+1}(a)) + \alpha(2i/L)(s_i(b) - s_{i+1}(b))) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \beta \sum_{i=1}^{L} (\alpha(i/L)(s_i(a) - s_{i+1}(a)) + \alpha(i/L)(s_i(b) - s_{i+1}(b))) + \epsilon \\ &= \beta \sum_{i=1}^{L} ((\sum_{j=1}^{i} c(j))(s_i(a) - s_{i+1}(a)) + (\sum_{j=1}^{i} c(j))(s_i(b) - s_{i+1}(b))) + \epsilon \\ &= \beta (\sum_{i=1}^{L} s_i(a)c(i) + \sum_{i=1}^{L} s_i(b)c(i)) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \beta (\varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \varphi_{\alpha}(b) + 2\epsilon) + \epsilon. \end{split}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(a+b) \leq \beta(\varphi_{\alpha}(a) + \varphi_{\alpha}(b)).$$

Using Lemma 2.30, we can prove $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$ by the similar method to Theorem 2.16 as above. For any $a \in \mathcal{M}$, p > 0, and $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose a positive integer M such that

$$\varphi(|a|^p) \le \sum_{i=1}^M \mu_{(i-1)/M}(a)^p (\alpha(i/M) - \alpha((i-1)/M)) < \varphi_\alpha(|a|^p) + \epsilon.$$

Apply the argument of Theorem 2.16 for

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \mu_{(i-1)/M}(a)^{p} (\alpha(i/M) - \alpha((i-1)/M)),$$

we can also prove $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$.

Remark 2.32. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Fix p > 0. For $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(a)) < \infty$. By a similar argument in Lemma 2.30, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose positive integers L and M such that $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(a)) \leq M/L$ and

$$(\varphi(|a|^p))^{1/p} \le (\sum_{i=1}^M \mu_{(i-1)/L}(a)^p (\alpha(i/L) - \alpha((i-1)/L)))^{1/p} < (\varphi_\alpha(|a|^p))^{1/p} + \epsilon.$$

So we can show that the following are equivalent:

(1) There exists a positive number β such that

$$(\varphi_{\alpha}(|a+b|^{p}))^{1/p} \leq \beta((\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^{p}))^{1/p} + (\varphi_{\alpha}(|b|^{p}))^{1/p})$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(a)), \tau(\operatorname{supp}(b)) < \infty$.
(2) $\operatorname{sup}\{\frac{\alpha(2s)}{\alpha(s)} \mid s \in [0,\infty)\}$ is bounded,.

Moreover, for a fixed p with $p \ge 1$, applying Theorm 2.12 to the estimation of $\varphi_{\alpha}(|a|^p)^{1/p}$, we can also show that the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) α is concave.

(b) $|||a + b|||_{\alpha,p} \le |||a|||_{\alpha,p} + |||b|||_{\alpha,p}$,

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(a)), \tau(\operatorname{supp}(b)) < \infty$.

Example 2.33. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} and fix p > 0. Put $\alpha(s) = s^2$ for $s \in [0, \infty)$. Since $\sup\{\frac{\alpha(2s)}{\alpha(s)} \mid s \in [0, \infty)\} = 4$ is bounded, there exists a positive number β such that

$$|||a+b|||_{\alpha,p} \le \beta(|||a|||_{\alpha,p} + |||b|||_{\alpha,p}).$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(a)), \tau(\operatorname{supp}(b)) < \infty$. Since α is not concave, $|||.|||_{\alpha,p}$ is not a norm for any $p \ge 1$.

Example 2.34. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} and fix $p \geq 1$. Put $\alpha(s) = s^{1/2}$ for $s \in [0, \infty)$. Since α is concave,

$$|||a + b|||_{\alpha, p} \le |||a|||_{\alpha, p} + |||b|||_{\alpha, p}.$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(a)), \tau(\operatorname{supp}(b)) < \infty$.

Under these considerations, we shall show that non-linear traces of the Choquet type are closely related to Lorentz spaces if α is *concave*. We recall the definition of Lorentz function spaces as in [28, p.107] and Lorentz operator spaces as in [31, p.65].

Definition 2.35. Let $\psi \neq 0$ be an increasing concave function on $[0,\infty)$ with $\psi(0) = 0$, and b be a non-negative decreasing function on $(0,\infty)$. We consider the integral

$$\int_0^\infty b(t) \ d\psi(t) := \psi(+0)b(+0) + \int_{+0}^\infty b(t) \ d\psi(t),$$

where the second term is an improper Stieltjies integral or which is the same,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} b(t) \, d\psi(t) = \psi(+0)b(+0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} b(t)\psi'(t)dt,$$

where the second term is a Lebesgue integral. The set Λ_{ψ} of all measurable functions f on $(0, \infty)$ for which

$$||f||_{\psi} := \int_0^\infty f^*(t) \ d\psi(t) < \infty$$

is called a Lorentz function space and a Banach space with the norm $||f||_{\psi}$, where f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of f.

Let M be a semifinite factor with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . The set $\mathcal{M}_{\psi}^{\times}$ of all τ -measurable operators $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ for which

$$\|a\|_{\psi} := \int_0^\infty \mu_t(a) d\nu_{\psi}(t) < \infty$$

is called a Lorentz operator space and it is a Banach space with the norm $||a||_{\psi}$, where $\mu_t(a)$ is the *t*-th generalized singular value of *a*.

Lorentz function spaces are typical examples of symmetric function spaces and Lorentz operator spaces are typical examples of symmetric operator spaces. In [30] using uniform submajorization, Kalton and Sukochev established a one-to-one correspondence between the symmetric function spaces and symmetric operator spaces. We show that non-linear traces of the Choquet type are closely related to Lorentz operator spaces if monotone-increasing left continuous functions α are concave. But we need to extend the equation in Proposition 2.24 from bounded operators to τ -measurable operators.

Proposition 2.36. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing left continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Choquet type associated with α . Assume that α is concave. Then for any general τ -measurable operator $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, we have that

$$||a||_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\alpha}(|a|) := \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|)))ds = \int_0^\infty \mu_t(a)d\nu_{\alpha}(t).$$

where we admit $+\infty$. Moreover, the set of all τ -measurable operators $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ for which

$$||a||_{\alpha} := \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|))) ds < \infty$$

coincides with the Lorentz operator space $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\times}$ and a Banach space with the norm $||a||_{\alpha}$.

Proof. For any τ -measurable operator $a \in \mathcal{M}$, put $e_n = e_{[0,n]}(|a|)$. Then $|a|e_n$ is bounded and

$$\mu_t(|a|e_n) \nearrow \mu_t(|a|),$$

as in Fack-Kosaki [18, p.278] and

$$\int_0^\infty \mu_t(|a|e_n)d\nu_\alpha(t) \nearrow \int_0^\infty \mu_t(|a|)d\nu_\alpha(t)$$

as $n \to \infty$ by the monotone convergence theorem. Since

$$e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|e_n) = \begin{cases} e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|) & \text{if } s < n\\ 0 & \text{if } n \le s \end{cases}$$

and $\alpha(\tau(0)) = 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|e_n)))ds = \int_0^n \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|)))ds \nearrow \int_0^\infty \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(|a|)))ds$$

as $n \to \infty$. Therefore the general case is reduced to the bounded case. Now the rest is clear.

3. Non-linear traces of the Sugeno type

In this section, we study non-linear traces of the Sugeno type on semifinite factors. We recall the Sugeno integral with respect to a monotone measure.

Definition 3.1. (Sugeno integral) Let Ω be a set and \mathcal{B} a ring of sets on Ω . Let $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$ be a monotone measure on Ω . Let f be a non-negative measurable function on Ω . Then the Sugeno integral of f is defined by

$$(S) \int f d\mu := \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \mu(\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \ge s\})$$
$$= \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \mu(\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) > s\}).$$

Remark 3.2. If f is a simple function with

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A_i} \quad (A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \ (i \neq j)),$$

then the Sugeno integral of f is given by

(S)
$$\int f d\mu = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} (a_{\sigma(i)} \wedge \mu(A_{\sigma(1)} \cup A_{\sigma(2)} \cup \cdots \cup A_{\sigma(i)})),$$

where σ is a permutation on $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $a_{\sigma(1)} \ge a_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge a_{\sigma(n)}$.

The Sugeno integral has the following properties:

(1) (monotonicity) For any non-negative measurable functions f and g on Ω ,

$$0 \le f \le g \Rightarrow 0 \le (S) \int f d\mu \le (S) \int g d\mu.$$

(2) (comonotonic F-additivity) For any non-negative measurable functions f and g on Ω , if f and g are comonotone, then

(S)
$$\int (f \lor g) d\mu = (S) \int f d\mu \lor (S) \int g d\mu$$
.

(3) (positive F-homogeneity) For any non-negative measurable function f on Ω and any scalar $k \geq 0$,

(S)
$$\int k \wedge f d\mu = k \wedge$$
 (S) $\int f d\mu$,

where $0 \wedge \infty = 0$.

Remark 3.3. It is important to note that the Sugeno integral can be *essentially* characterized as a non-linear monotone positive functional which is positively F-homogeneous and comonotone F-additive.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Put $\alpha(\infty) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha(t)$ by convention. Define a non-linear trace $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of the Sugeno type associated with α as follows: For $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with the spectral decomposition $a = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda(a)$, let

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) := \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \land \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))).$$

We usually assume that α is continuous.

Similarly we define a non-linear trace ψ_{α} of the Sugeno type for a factor of type II_1 with $\alpha : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ without saying any more.

We should note that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) = \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))).$$

In fact, since τ and α are monotone increasing,

$$A := \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) \le \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a)))$$

Conversely, we show that for any $s \in [0, \infty)$

$$s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))) \leq A.$$

It is trivial if s = 0. Take any s > 0. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ with $0 < \varepsilon < s$, there exists s' > 0 such that $s - \varepsilon < s' < s$. Then

$$s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{[s,\infty)}(a))) \leq (s' + \varepsilon) \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s',\infty)}(a)))$$
$$\leq (s' \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s',\infty)}(a)))) + \varepsilon \leq A + \varepsilon.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get the desired fact.

Moreover, for any unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\psi_{\alpha}(uau^*) = \psi_{\alpha}(a),$$

that is, ψ_{α} is unitarily invariant.

For example, if a has a finite spectrum and $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p_i$ is the spectral decomposition with $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n > 0$, then

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} (a_i \wedge \alpha(\tau(p_1 + \dots + p_i))).$$

In particular, for any projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and any positive scalar c, we have that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(cp) = c \wedge \alpha(\tau(p)).$$

We can express non-linear traces of the Sugeno type using the "generalized *t*-th eigenvalues" $\lambda_t(a)$. We remark that for any projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and a scalar $c \geq 0$, we have that $\lambda_t(cp) = c\chi_{[0,\tau(p))}(t)$ for $t \in [0,\infty)$. Hence

$$\sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(cp) \wedge \alpha(t) = c \wedge \alpha(\tau(p))$$

if α is continuous.

Proposition 3.5. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of Type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a non-linear trace of the Sugeno type associated with α . Then the non-linear trace ψ_{α} is also described as follows: For any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we have that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) := \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) = \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t) \le \lambda_0(a) = ||a||.$$

Moreover, ψ_{α} is lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{M}^+ in the operator norm.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Recall that

$$\lambda_t(a) := \inf\{s \ge 0 | \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) \le t\} = \min\{s \ge 0 | \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) \le t\}.$$

Let $A = \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)))$ and $B = \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t)$. $(A \ge B)$: Take any $t \in [0,\infty)$. If $\lambda_t(a) = 0$, then $A \ge 0 = \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t)$. So we may assume that $\lambda_t(a) \ne 0$. For any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_t(a)$, there exists s' such that

$$\lambda_t(a) - \varepsilon < s' < \lambda_t(a)$$

By the definition of $\lambda_t(a)$, we have that

$$\tau(e_{(s',\infty)}(a)) > t.$$

Since α is increasing, $\alpha(\tau(e_{(s',\infty)}(a))) \geq \alpha(t)$. Hence

$$A \ge s' \land \alpha(\tau(e_{(s',\infty)}(a))) \ge (\lambda_t(a) - \varepsilon) \land \alpha(t) \ge \lambda_t(a) \land \alpha(t) - \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have that $A \ge \lambda_t(a) \land \alpha(t)$. This implies that $A \ge B$. $(A \le B)$: Take any $s \in [0, \infty)$. If s = 0 or $\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) = 0$, Then $s \land \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) = 0 \le B$. So we may assume that $s \ne 0$ and $\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) \ne 0$. For any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))$, put $t := \tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) - \varepsilon > 0$. Since $\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)) = t + \varepsilon > t$, by the definition of $\lambda_t(a)$, we have that $s < \lambda_t(a)$. Therefore

$$s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) \leq \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t+\varepsilon).$$

Since α is continuous on $[0,\infty)$, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have that

$$s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) \leq \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t) \leq B.$$

This implies that $A \leq B$.

Moreover, since $\mathcal{M}^+ \ni a \to \lambda_t(a)$ is operator norm continuous, $\psi_\alpha : a \mapsto \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(a) \land \alpha(t)$ is lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{M}^+ in the operator norm.

Definition 3.6. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} with a trace τ or a factor of type II_1 with a normalized trace τ . Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$ be a non-linear positive map.

- ψ is positively *F*-homogeneous if $\psi(kI \wedge a) = k \wedge \psi(a)$ for any $a \in M^+$ and any scalar $k \ge 0$.
- ψ is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum if

$$\psi((f \lor g)(a)) = \psi(f(a)) \lor \psi(g(a))$$

for any $a \in M^+$ and any monotone increasing functions f and g in $C(\sigma(a))^+$, where f(a) is a functional calculus of a by f. Then by induction, we also have

$$\psi((\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} f_i)(a)) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \psi(f_i(a))$$

for any monotone increasing functions f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n in $C(\sigma(a))^+$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

We characterize non-linear traces of the Sugeno type on \mathcal{M}^+ .

Theorem 3.7. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$ be a non-linear positive map. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) ψ is a non-linear trace $\psi = \psi_{\alpha}$ of the Sugeno type associated with a monotone increasing continuous function $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$.
- (2) ψ is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum, unitarily invariant, monotone, positively F-homogeneous, lower semicontinuous on \mathcal{M}^+ in the operator norm and for any finite projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(cp) < +\infty.$$

Moreover if we put $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by $\alpha(t) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \psi(cp)$ for $t = \tau(p)$ with some finite projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$, then α is continuous.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that ψ is a non-linear trace $\psi = \psi_{\alpha}$ of the Sugeno type associated with a monotone increasing continuous function α as in (1). Let a be in \mathcal{M}^+ . For any monotone increasing functions

f and g in $C(\sigma(a))^+$, $f \vee g$ is also monotone increasing. Therefore $\lambda_t(f(a)) = f(\lambda_t(a)), \ \lambda_t(g(a)) = g(\lambda_t(a))$ and

$$\lambda_t((f \lor g)(a)) = (f \lor g)(\lambda_t(a)) = f(\lambda_t(a)) \lor g(\lambda_t(a)).$$

Hence

$$\psi_{\alpha}((f \lor g)(a)) = \bigvee_{t \in [0,\infty)} (\lambda_t((f \lor g)(a)) \land \alpha(t))$$

= $\bigvee_{t \in [0,\infty)} ((f(\lambda_t(a)) \lor g(\lambda_t(a))) \land \alpha(t))$
= $(\bigvee_{t \in [0,\infty)} f(\lambda_t(a)) \land \alpha(t)) \lor (\bigvee_{t \in [0,\infty)} g(\lambda_t(a)) \land \alpha(t))$
= $\psi_{\alpha}(f(a)) \lor \psi_{\alpha}(g(a)).$

Thus ψ_{α} is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum.

For $a, b \in M^+$, assume that $a \leq b$. Then $\lambda_t(a) \leq \lambda_t(b)$. Hence

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) = \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t)$$
$$\leq \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(b) \wedge \alpha(t) = \psi_{\alpha}(b)$$

Thus ψ_{α} is a monotone map.

For a positive scalar k and a function f on $[0, \infty)$ such that f(t) = t, we have that $kI \wedge f$ is a monotonic increasing continuous function on $[0, \infty)$. Hence for $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\lambda_t(kI \wedge a) = \lambda_t(kI \wedge f)(a) = (kI \wedge f)(\lambda_t(a)) = k \wedge (\lambda_t(a)).$$

Therefore

$$\psi_{\alpha}(k \wedge a) = \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(kI \wedge a) \wedge \alpha(t)$$

= $\vee_{t \in [0,\infty)}((k \wedge \lambda_t(a)) \wedge \alpha(t))$
= $k \wedge (\vee_{t \in [0,\infty)}(\lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t))) = k \wedge \psi_{\alpha}(a).$

Thus ψ_{α} is positively F-homogeneous. It is clear that ψ_{α} is unitarily invariant by definition. We already showed that ψ_{α} is lower semicontinuous on \mathcal{M}^+ in the operator norm.

Let p be a finite projection in \mathcal{M} . Then

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \psi_{\alpha}(cp) = \lim_{c \to \infty} c \wedge \alpha(\tau(p)) = \alpha(\tau(p)) < +\infty.$$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Assume that ψ satisfies the condition (2). Since ψ is unitarily invariant, α does not depend on the choice of such a projection. Since ψ is monotone, α is monotone increasing. Since ψ is positively F-homogeneous, putting c = 0 and a = 0, we have that $\psi(0 \land 0) = 0 \land \psi(0) = 0$. This means that $\psi(0) = 0$, so that $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Suppose that $b \in \mathcal{M}^+$ has a finite spectrum and $b = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i q_i$ is the spectral decomposition with $b_1 \ge b_2 \ge \cdots \ge b_n > 0$. Define functions $f, f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \in C(\sigma(b))^+$ by f(x) = x and for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$

$$f_i = b_i \chi_{\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_i\}} = b_i I \wedge c \chi_{\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_i\}}$$

for $c \geq b_1 = ||b||$, which does not depend on such c. Each f_i is a monotone increasing function on $\sigma(b)$. Since $f = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i$, we have that $b = (\bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i)(b)$. Since ψ is monotonic increasing F-additive on the spectrum and positively F-homogeneous, we have that

$$\psi(b) = \psi((\vee_{i=1}^{n} f_{i})(b)) = \vee_{i=1}^{n} \psi(f_{i}(b))$$

= $\vee_{i=1}^{n} \psi(b_{i}I \wedge c\chi_{\{b_{1},b_{2},...,b_{i}\}})(b))$
= $\vee_{i=1}^{n} (b_{i} \wedge \psi((c\chi_{\{b_{1},b_{2},...,b_{i}\}})(b)))$
= $\vee_{i=1}^{n} (b_{i} \wedge \psi(c(q_{1} + q_{2} + \dots + q_{i}))))$
= $\vee_{i=1}^{n} (b_{i} \wedge (\lim_{c \to \infty} \psi(c(q_{1} + q_{2} + \dots + q_{i})))))$
= $\vee_{i=1}^{n} (b_{i} \wedge \alpha(\tau(q_{1} + \dots + q_{i})))) = \psi_{\alpha}(b).$

Next, for any positive operator $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, there exists an increasing sequence $(b_n)_n$ in \mathcal{M} with $0 \leq b_n \leq a$ such that $(b_n)_n$ converges to a in the operator norm topology and each b_n has a finite spectrum. Since ψ and ψ_{α} are lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{M}^+ in the operator norm and $\psi(b_n) = \psi_{\alpha}(b_n)$, we conclude that $\psi(a) = \psi_{\alpha}(a)$ by taking their limits. Therefore ψ is a non-linear trace ψ_{α} of the Sugeno type associated with a monotone increasing continuous function α .

4. TRIANGLE INEQUALITY FOR NON-LINEAR TRACES OF THE SUGENO TYPE

In this section, we discuss the triangle inequality and a metric for non-linear traces of the Sugeno type. The non-linear trace ψ_{α} has the following max type expression:

Proposition 4.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Sugeno type associated with α . Then for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we have that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a)$$

 $= \sup\{\lambda \ge 0 \mid \exists \ a \ projection \ p \in \mathcal{M} \ s.t. \ \lambda \le \alpha(\tau(p)) \ and \ pap \ge \lambda p\}.$

Proof. Take and fix any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Let B be the right-hand side:

 $B := \sup\{\lambda \ge 0 \mid \exists \text{ a projection } p \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } \lambda \le \alpha(\tau(p)) \text{ and } pap \ge \lambda p\}.$ Recall that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) := \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) = \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(a) \wedge \alpha(t).$$

For any $s \in [0, \infty)$, put $\lambda = s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)))$ and $p = e_{(s,\infty)}(a)$. Then $\lambda \leq \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))) = \alpha(\tau(p))$ and $pap \geq sp \geq \lambda p$. Hence $\lambda \leq B$. Since s is arbitrary, $\psi_{\alpha}(a) \leq B$.

Conversely, we show that $\psi_{\alpha}(a) \geq B$. Take any $\lambda \geq 0$ such that there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\lambda \leq \alpha(\tau(p))$ and $pap \geq \lambda p$. If $\lambda = 0$, then it is trivial that $\psi_{\alpha}(a) \geq \lambda$. We may and do assume that $\lambda > 0$. Take an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tau(p) > \epsilon > 0$. Put $t = \tau(p) - \epsilon > 0$. Then $\lambda_t(p) = 1$, and

$$\lambda_t(a) \ge \lambda_t(pap) \ge \lambda_t(\lambda p) = \lambda \lambda_t(p) = \lambda$$

Since $\lambda \leq \alpha(\tau(p)) = \alpha(t+\epsilon)$ and α is continuous, we have that $\lambda \leq \alpha(t)$. Combining this with that $\lambda \leq \lambda_t(a)$, we get that $\lambda \leq \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \lambda_t(a) \land \alpha(t) = \psi_{\alpha}(a)$. Therefore $B \leq \psi_{\alpha}(a)$.

The following proposition is similarly proved.

Proposition 4.2. Let M be a factor of type II_1 with a faithful normal finite trace with $\tau(1) = 1$. Let $\alpha : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0,\infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Sugeno type associated with α . Then for any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$, we have that

 $\psi_{\alpha}(a)$

 $= \sup\{\lambda \ge 0 \mid \exists \ a \ projection \ p \in M \ s.t. \ \lambda \le \alpha(\tau(p)) \ and \ pap \ge \lambda p\}.$

In order to prove a triangle inequality for non-linear traces of the Sugeno type, we need a lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$. Let $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Sugeno type associated with α . Take any $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a projection $q \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $q \leq \operatorname{supp}(a), \psi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon > \alpha(\tau(q))$ and $(I-q)a(I-q) \leq (\psi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon)(I-q)$.

Proof. Put $\mu = \psi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon > 0$. Define $q = e_{(\mu,\infty)}(a)$. Then

1

$$(I-q)a(I-q) \le (\psi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon)(I-q).$$

Recall that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) = \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} s \wedge \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a))),$$

 $s \mapsto \alpha(\tau(e_{(s,\infty)}(a)))$ is decreasing and $s \mapsto s$ is increasing to the infinite. Since $\mu > \psi_{\alpha}(a)$,

$$\alpha(\tau(q)) = \alpha(\tau(e_{(\mu,\infty)}(a))) \le \psi_{\alpha}(a) < \psi_{\alpha}(a) + \epsilon.$$

Since the support projection $\operatorname{supp}(a) = e_{(0,\infty)}(a)$, we have that $q \leq \operatorname{supp}(a)$. \Box

We show that if α is concave, then the triangle inequality holds.

Theorem 4.4. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type II_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(t) > 0$ for any t > 0. Let $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \to [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Sugeno type associated with α . Define $||a||_{\alpha} := \psi_{\alpha}(|a|)$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that α is concave. Then $|| ||_{\alpha}$ satisfies the triangle inequality: For any $b, c \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$||b + c||_{\alpha} \le ||b||_{\alpha} + ||c||_{\alpha}.$$

A similar fact holds for any factor of type II_1 .

Proof. First, we assume that $b, c \in \mathcal{M}$ are positive. Put a = b + c. We may assume that $\psi_{\alpha}(a) > 0$. Take arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ with $\psi_{\alpha}(a) > \epsilon > 0$. Let $\lambda = \psi_{\alpha}(a) - \epsilon > 0$. By the max type theorem, Proposition 4.1, there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\lambda \leq \alpha(\tau(p))$ and $pap \geq \lambda p$. Therefore

$$\lambda + \epsilon = \psi_{\alpha}(a) \ge \psi_{\alpha}(pap) \ge \psi_{\alpha}(\lambda p) = \lambda \land \alpha(\tau(p)) = \lambda.$$

Put $\mu = \psi_{\alpha}(pbp) + \epsilon > 0$. Then by Lemma 4.3 there exists a projection $q \in M$ such that $q \leq \operatorname{supp}(pbp) \leq p$, $\mu = \psi_{\alpha}(pbp) + \epsilon > \alpha(\tau(q))$ and

$$(I-q)pbp(I-q) \le (\psi_{\alpha}(pbp) + \epsilon)(I-q).$$

Therefore

 $(p-q)pbp(p-q) = p((I-q)pbp(I-q))p \leq (\psi_{\alpha}(pbp)+\epsilon)(p-q) = \mu(p-q).$ Since α is concave on $[0, \infty)$, for any $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$, we have that $\alpha(s+t) \leq \alpha(s) + \alpha(t)$. Hence

$$\alpha(\tau(p)) = \alpha(\tau(p-q) + \tau(q)) \le \alpha(\tau(p-q)) + \alpha(\tau(q)).$$

Then

$$\lambda - \mu \le \alpha(\tau(p)) - \alpha(\tau(q)) \le \alpha(\tau(p-q)).$$

Moreover,

$$(p-q)pcp(p-q) = (p-q)pap(p-q) - (p-q)pbp(p-q)$$

$$\geq \lambda(p-q)p(p-q) - \mu(p-q) = (\lambda - \mu)(p-q)$$

By the max type theorem, we have that $(\lambda - \mu) \leq \psi_{\alpha}(pcp)$, that is,

 $\psi_{\alpha}(a) - \epsilon - (\psi_{\alpha}(pbp) + \epsilon) \le \psi_{\alpha}(pcp).$

This implies that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) - 2\epsilon \le \psi_{\alpha}(pbp) + \psi_{\alpha}(pcp) \le \psi_{\alpha}(b) + \psi_{\alpha}(c).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, we conclude that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) \le \psi_{\alpha}(b) + \psi_{\alpha}(c).$$

Next, we consider the general case such that $b, c \in M$ are not necessarily positive. By a theorem of Akemann-Anderson-Pedersen [1], which generalizes Thompson's Theorem [45], there exist partial isometries $u, v \in M$ such that

 $|b+c| \le u|b|u^* + v|c|v^*.$

Therefore we have that

$$\psi_{\alpha}(|b+c|) \leq \psi_{\alpha}(u|b|u^* + v|c|v^*)$$

$$\leq \psi_{\alpha}(u|b|u^*) + \psi_{\alpha}(v|c|v^*) = \psi_{\alpha}(|b|) + \psi_{\alpha}(|c|).$$

This implies the desired triangle inequality.

Remark 4.5. Let \mathcal{M} be a factor of type Π_{∞} . Let $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing continuous function with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(t) > 0$ for any t > 0. Let $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a non-linear trace of the Sugeno type associated with α . Define $||a||_{\alpha} := \psi_{\alpha}(|a|)$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $||a||_{\alpha} = 0$ if and only if a = 0. Assume that α is concave. Although $||\lambda a||_{\alpha} = |\lambda|||a||_{\alpha}$ does not hold in general, the triangle inequality above implies that \mathcal{M} with $d(a, b) := ||a - b||_{\alpha}$ is a metric space. Therefore \mathcal{M} becomes a paranormed space, for example, see [46]. We extend ψ_{α} to \mathcal{M} as follows: Let $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Consider the decomposition

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(a + a^*) + i \frac{1}{2i}(a - a^*) = a_1 - a_2 + i(a_3 - a_4)$$

with $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $a_1a_2 = a_3a_4 = 0$. We define a non-linear trace $\psi_{\alpha} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi_{\alpha}(a) := \psi_{\alpha}(a_1) - \psi_{\alpha}(a_2) + i(\psi_{\alpha}(a_3) - \psi_{\alpha}(a_4)),$$

where we use the same symbol ψ_{α} for the extended non-linear trace.

The case of a factor of type II_1 is more easily proved.

References

- C. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. Pedersen, *Triangle inequalities in operator algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 11(1982), 167-178.
- [2] T. Ando and M. Choi, Non-linear completely positive maps, in Aspects of Positivity in Functional Analysis, R. Nagel et al. eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986, 3-13.
- [3] W. B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C*-algebras, Acta Math., 123 (1969), 141-224.
- W. B. Arveson, Nonlinear states on C*-algebras, Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Contemporary Math., Vol 62, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 283-343.
- [5] D. Belţită and K-H. Neeb, Nonlinear completely positive maps and dilation theory for real involutive algebras, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 83 (2015), 517-562.
- [6] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 169, Springer-Verlag NewYork, 1997.
- [7] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton University Press, 2007.
- [8] J. Chan, Chi-Kwong Li, and C. C. N. Tu, A class of unitarily invariant norms on B(H), Proc. AMS. 129 (2000), 1065-1076.
- [9] A. Chateauneuf, M. Grabisch and A. Rico, Modeling attitudes toward uncertainty through the use of the Sugeno integral, J. Math. Econom., 44 (2008), 1084–1099.
- [10] G. Choquet, Theory of capacities, Ann. Inst. Fourier 5 (1953), 131–295.
- [11] A. Dadkhah and M. Moslehian, Non-linear positive maps between C^{*}-algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 68(2020), 1501–1517.
- [12] A. Dadkhah, M. Moslehian, and N. Kian, Continuity of positive non-linear positive maps between C^{*}-algebras, Studia Math. 263 (2022), no. 3, 241-266.
- [13] L. M. de Campos and M. J. Bolaños, Characterization and comparison of Sugeno and Choquet integrals, Fuzzy Set and Systems 52 (1992), 61-67.

- [14] C. Dellacherie, Quelques commentaires sur les prolongenments de capacités, In: Séminaire de probabilités. V, Strasbourg, 1969-1970, Lecture Notes in Math., 191, Springer, 1971, pp.77-81.
- [15] D. Denneberg and M. Grabisch, Measure and integral with purely ordinal scales, J. Math. Psych., 48 (2004), 15-27.
- [16] I. Dobrakov, On submeasuresS I, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.), 112 (1974), 1-35.
- [17] T. Fack, Sur la notion de valeur caract'eristique, J. Oper. Theory, 7(1982), 307–333.
- [18] T. Fack and H. Kosaki, Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators, Pacific J. Math., 123(1986), 269–300.
- [19] K. Fan, Maximum properties and inequalities for the eigenvalues of completely continuous operators, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 37(1951), 760-766.
- [20] T. Harada and H. Kosaki, Trace Jensen inequality and related weak majorization in semi-finite von Neumann algebras, J. Operator Theory 63(2010), 129– 150.
- [21] F. Hiai, Matrix Analysis: Matrix Monotone Functions, Matrix Means, and Majorization, Interdisciplinary Information Sci., 16(2010), 139–248.
- [22] F. Hiai and Y. Nakamura, Extensions of nonlinear completely positive maps, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 39 (1987), 367–384.
- [23] F. Hiai and Y. Nakamura, Majorizations for generalized s-numbers in semifinite von Neumann algebras, Math. Z, 195 (1987), 17–27.
- [24] F. Hiai and D. Petz, Introduction to matrix analysis and applications, Universitext, Springer, 2014.
- [25] A. Honda and Y. Okazaki, Theory of inclusion-exclusion integral, Information Sciences 376 (2017), 136–147.
- [26] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [27] E. Kamei, Majorization in finite factors, Math. Japon. 28 (1983), 495–499.
- [28] S. G. Krein, Ju. I. Petunin and E. M. Semenov, Interpolation of Linear Operators, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 54, AMS, 1982.
- [29] F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math Ann. 246(1979/80), 205–224.
- [30] N. Kalton and F. Sukochev, Symmetric norms and spaces of operators, J. Reine Angew. Math. 621(2008), 81–121.
- [31] S. Lord, F. Sukochev, and D. Zanin, *Singular Traces (first edition)*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, De Gruyter, 2012.
- [32] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, Ann Math. 37(1936), 116-229.
- [33] M. Nagisa and Y. Watatani, Non-linear monotone positive maps, J. Operator Theory, 87(2022), 203-228.
- [34] M. Nagisa and Y. Watatani, Non-linear traces on matrix algebras, majorization, unitarily invariant norms and 2-positivity, Anal. Math. 48(2022), no. 4, 1105-1126.
- [35] M. Nagisa and Y. Watatani, Non-linear traces on the algebras of compact operators and majorization, Indagationes Mathematicae 34(2023), 724-751.
- [36] E. Nelson, Notes on non-commutative integration, J. Funct. Anal. 15(1974), 103-116.
- [37] V. I. Ovchinnikov, The s-numbers of measurable operators, Funkt. Anal. Appl., 4(1970), 236-242.
- [38] D. Petz, Spectral scale of self-adjoint operators and trace inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 109(1985), 74-82.

- [39] D. Schmeidler, Integral representation without additivity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (1986), 255-261.
- [40] I. Segal, A non-commutative extension of abstract integration, Ann. Math. 57(1953), 401-457.
- [41] B. Simon, Trace ideals and Their Applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vo. 120, Amer. Math. Soc, 2005.
- [42] M. Sonis, A certain class of operators in von Neumann algebras with Segel's measure on the projectors, Mat. Sb.(N.S.) 84(126),(1971), 353-368.
- [43] M. Sugeno, Fuzzy measures and Fuzzy integral, a survey in M. Gupta, G. Saridis and B. Gaines (eds), Fuzzy automata and decision processes, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, 89-102.
- [44] M. Terp, L^p-spaces associated with von Neumann algebras, Notes, Copenhagen Univ. 1981.
- [45] R. C. Thompson, Convex and Concave functions of singular values of matrix sums, Pac. J. Math.66 (1976), 285-290.
- [46] A. Wilansky, Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces, McGraw-Hill, 1978.
- [47] F. J. Yeadon, Non-commutative L^p-spaces, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 77 (1975), 91-102.

(Masaru Nagisa) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, CHIBA, 263-8522, JAPAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY, KUSATSU, SHIGA, 525-8577, JAPAN

Email address: nagisa@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

(Yasuo Watatani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYUSHU UNI-VERSITY, MOTOOKA, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN

Email address: watatani@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

38