
Verco: Learning Coordinated Verbal Communication for Multi-agent Reinforcement Learning

Dapeng Li^{1,2}, Hang Dong³, Lu Wang³, Bo Qiao³, Si Qin³, Qingwei Lin³,
Dongmei Zhang³, Qi Zhang³, Zhiwei Xu^{1,2}, Bin Zhang^{1,2}, Guoliang Fan^{1,2}

¹Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences

²School of Artificial Intelligence, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

³Microsoft

lidapeng2020@ia.ac.cn

Abstract

In recent years, multi-agent reinforcement learning algorithms have made significant advancements in diverse gaming environments, leading to increased interest in the broader application of such techniques. To address the prevalent challenge of partial observability, communication-based algorithms have improved cooperative performance through the sharing of numerical embedding between agents. However, the understanding of the formation of collaborative mechanisms is still very limited, making designing a human-understandable communication mechanism a valuable problem to address. In this paper, we propose a novel multi-agent reinforcement learning algorithm that embeds large language models into agents, endowing them with the ability to generate human-understandable verbal communication. The entire framework has a message module and an action module. The message module is responsible for generating and sending verbal messages to other agents, effectively enhancing information sharing among agents. To further enhance the message module, we employ a teacher model to generate message labels from the global view and update the student model through Supervised Fine-Tuning (SFT). The action module receives messages from other agents and selects actions based on current local observations and received messages. Experiments conducted on the Overcooked game demonstrate our method significantly enhances the learning efficiency and performance of existing methods, while also providing an interpretable tool for humans to understand the process of multi-agent cooperation.

1 Introduction

Cooperative multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) has received widespread research attention due to its extensive practical applications in fields such as traffic control[1], multi-robot control[2], and sensor networks[3]. However, key issues such as sample efficiency[4, 5], non-stationarity[6], and interpretability[7, 8] of cooperation have become obstacles to further advancing these practical applications. In order to address these challenges, significant progress has been made in cooperative MARL. Among them, the centralized training and decentralized execution paradigm[9–14] alleviate the non-stationary problem during multi-agent training by introducing additional information during the training period. However, due to partial observability, the strategies learned by agents may be fragile since the uncertainty of other agents during execution may lead to catastrophic incoordination and sub-optimality [15, 16]. Inspired by human cooperation, a series of works achieve communication between individuals by exchanging their observations or hidden embedding[17–19], thereby stabilizing the learning process and promoting more efficient cooperation among agents. These methods usually treat messages as black boxes, send numerical messages, and assume that the policy network

can adaptively extract content that is helpful for learning during the learning period. Therefore, the communication content is usually presented in a form that humans cannot understand, making it hard to interpret the communication mechanism.

One natural and interpretable way of communication is to directly generate verbal language as communication messages, which also means the policy network needs to have the ability to understand verbal text. Recent work has shown that using large language models for understanding knowledge texts can effectively improve sample efficiency in complex decision-making tasks[20, 21]. By aligning prior knowledge of large language models (LLMs) with the functional requirements of the environment with only a small amount of environmental interaction data, the LLM can achieve good performance. Meanwhile, as LLMs use verbal text as model input, it is natural to use LLMs for verbal communication.

However, there are key difficulties in directly generating verbal messages: i) The candidate space for generating messages is too large to explore. ii) The text output lacks gradients and cannot be optimized end-to-end with environmental rewards. iii) The messages generated by agents based on local observations are prone to conflicts (as shown in Figure 1).




Figure 1: Incorrect messages can easily lead to conflicts, while coordinated messages can promote efficient cooperation among agents.

To address the aforementioned challenges, this paper proposes a novel multi-agent algorithm for learning coordinated **VER**bal **CO**munication (**Verco**) that is understandable to humans. We first use a powerful LLM (e.g., GPT-4) as the teacher model and perform Supervised Fine-tuning (SFT) on the student model equipped with low-rank adapters (LoRA) [22] based on the output of the teacher model. The policy learning module is then fine-tuned online through interaction with the environment, aligning the model’s prior knowledge with the environment. We train different LoRA parameters for the communication module and the policy learning module respectively, avoiding mutual interference between the two modules and the cost problem of managing and training multiple large models. Overall, the communication module generates and sends text messages based on the local observations of the agents to promote cooperation between them, while the action module receives local observations and messages sent by other agents and selects the action to be taken from the candidate action set. We have conducted experiments in different scenarios on the popular multi-agent decision-making environment Overcooked and compared Verco with existing baseline methods. We find that introducing verbal communication can significantly improve the performance of agents, and also improve the interpretability of cooperation.

2 Related Work

In this section, we will introduce some of the work related to our paper.

2.1 LLMs for Decision Making

LLMs trained on large datasets have shown remarkable abilities in various downstream tasks. Recent works have gradually applied LLMs to complex tasks such as robot control [23, 24], planning generation [25], embodied agent [26–29], etc. Among them, SayCan [26] and LLM+P [25] use the LLM as a high-level planner to generate long-term plans for agents based on task goals but often do not directly interact with the environment. Voyager [29] uses GPT-4 to accomplish highly complex tasks in the Minecraft game and continuously generates new skills during the learning process. ReAct [30] combines reasoning and action to enhance LLMs’ reasoning ability for high-level goals, while improving the interpretability and credibility of LLMs’ decisions. As a supplement, [31] added additional reporters to provide useful information for the planner. However, these methods cannot be improved based on environmental feedback, making it difficult to adapt to different environments.

In recent works, GLAM [21] and TWOSOME [20] both use reinforcement learning (RL) to enable LLMs to interact and learn in a single-agent environment, and the rich prior experience of LLMs itself can significantly reduce the learning cost of agents.

2.2 Finetuning LLMs

In recent works, finetuning has been employed to improve the performance of LLMs in specific tasks across different domains. Among these approaches, the use of RL to enhance the consistency between the LLM and human preferences is a common practice [32]. Many reinforcement learning with human feedback (RLHF) methods utilize PPO [33] to learn a reward function from human datasets and fine-tune LLMs according to the learned reward function. A significant challenge in fine-tuning LLMs is how to reduce training costs. Parameter-efficient finetuning (PEFT)¹ [34, 35] can significantly reduce the number of LLM parameters while avoiding excessive performance loss. As a recent technology in PEFT, low-rank adapters (LoRA) indirectly train the dense layers of neural networks by learning low-rank matrices. TWOSOME [20] fine-tuned a LoRA as the actor model, thus enabling efficient fine-tuning while avoiding mutual interference between actor and critic.

2.3 MARL with Communication

Existing multi-agent cooperation algorithms have made significant progress in many complex scenarios, but the realistic situation of partial observability greatly limits the degree of cooperation among agents. To address this issue, researchers have proposed a series of communication-based cooperation paradigms [15, 18, 19, 36, 17] to facilitate agents’ understanding of the environment and teammates by exchanging local observations or intentions. However, most of the communication messages generated by existing communication algorithms are difficult for humans to understand, thus not interpretable and cannot be improved explicitly. To make the communication messages understandable and ineterpretable, using verbal text as a communication message naturally becomes a solution. The FAMA [37] algorithm extends GLAM to multi-agent settings and introduces a verbal communication module. However, the communication module in the FAMA method cannot be learned through interactions with the environment and can only select from a pre-defined set of message candidates, significantly reducing the degree of message generation freedom and relying on the quality of the pre-specified message candidates.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Problem Formulation

We assume a textual MARL setting here with language vocabulary \mathcal{V} . The multi-agent problem here can be described as a partially observable Markov game (POMG), which is defined by the following tuple $G = \langle S, U, \mathcal{N}, \Omega, \mathcal{T}, O, r, \gamma, \mathcal{V} \rangle$, with S the state space, $U \subset \mathcal{V}$ the action space. At each discrete time step t , each agent $i \in \mathcal{N} := \{1, \dots, n\}$ will select an action $u_i \in U_i \subset \mathcal{V}$. $\mathcal{T}(s'|s, \mathbf{u}) : S \times U \times S \rightarrow P(S)$ is the state transition function, where $\mathbf{u} = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \in U \equiv U^n$ is the joint action. Each agent i can get its textual partial observation $o_i \in \Omega$ by the observation function $O(s, i) : S \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \Omega$. $r_i(s, u_i) : S \times U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the reward function for each agent i . The γ is the discount factor. The goal for each agent is to maximize the expected return. In order to meet the demands of communication and decision-making, we designed a dual-LLM structure for agents, enabling them to send text messages based on their local observations while making decisions based on the received messages from other agents. By considering both local observations and teammates’ messages, the agents can select corresponding coordinated actions. Our entire framework consists of three main components: the teacher policy π^{tch} , the message policy π_η , and the action policy π_θ .

3.2 LLM and Finetuning

We consider using LLMs as interactive agents in embodied environments, the LLMs are trained on massive amounts of text data and can generate human-like responses to questions or prompts. In order to improve the performance of LLMs in various specific tasks, fine-tuning is commonly employed. Among these, LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) [22] serves as a popular fine-tuning method, effectively

¹<https://github.com/huggingface/peft>.




Figure 2: VercO framework: We first finetune the LoRA weight of the communication module with the global message label. Then we load the LoRA weight so the agent can directly generate verbal messages with its local observation. Meanwhile, the action policy takes the current local observation and text messages from other agents as input and outputs the decision. The action policy fine-tunes the weights using PPO based on the rewards returned by the environment.

reducing computational costs while maintaining satisfactory performance. Specifically, LoRA training the dense layers with weights matrix, $W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ and injects trainable rank decomposition matrices into the Transformer by $W_0 + \Delta W = W_0 + BA$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times k}$, since the rank r is much smaller than d and k , it can significantly reduce the scale of trainable parameters.

4 Method

We introduce the LLM, capable of text generation, into agents as a communication module and action module to enhance the collaborative capabilities and interpretability of multi-agent systems. To accelerate training and improve the quality of communication messages, we first generate communication labels for supervised training of the communication module. Subsequently, the fine-tuned communication module weights are loaded into the agents, allowing them to generate highly flexible text messages to facilitate coordination among agents. The entire flow is shown in Figure 2. The action modules will interact and improve within the environment using RL. In this section, we will provide a detailed introduction to each part of the entire algorithmic.

4.1 Cooperation with verbal communication

In the context of human collaborative problems, the diversity in the information received by individuals and their distinct modes of thinking often render simple independent actions insufficient for achieving coordinated consensus. Consequently, the use of natural language has become a prevalent and significant method for facilitating coordination and cooperation among humans. From another perspective, the domain of large language models is precisely dedicated to and adept at enhancing communication and interaction in a human-like manner. Therefore, it is a natural progression to integrate large language models into agents as communication modules. These agents can employ the communication module to convey information or intentions observed by themselves to others, thereby enabling more effective collaboration.




Figure 3: Message module SFT stage: We employ a large model (GPT-4) as the teacher model to generate message samples based on global observations, and distill the learning for a smaller language model (LLaMA-7B) as the communication model π_η .

4.2 Coordination Message Policy Pre-training

Relying solely on environment interaction to train a communication model that automatically generates reasonable messages from scratch is highly inefficient, while utilizing a large-scale model (e.g., GPT-4, LLaMA-65B) for communication is both costly and difficult to fine-tune. To facilitate the generation of coordinated and consistent messages in the communication module, we employ GPT-4 as a coordinated message generator, taking the local observations of all agents as input and generating customized message labels for each agent $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim \pi^{tch}(p^{tch})$. The corresponding prompt $p^{tch} = \rho_{tch}(\{o_i\}_{i=1}^n, n)$ is obtained through the teacher LLM’s prompt function ρ_{tch} :

Teacher LLM prompt

You are a message design assistant who needs to design messages for $\langle n \rangle$ agents, $\langle \text{Brief environment description} \rangle$.
 The observation of Agent 1: $\langle o_1 \rangle$,
 ...
 The observation of Agent n : $\langle o_n \rangle$.
 To complete $\langle \text{Task Goal} \rangle$, design concise messages (within 10 words) for each agent to their teammate: $\langle \text{LLM response here} \rangle$

Supervised learning training is conducted on the message samples using LLaMA-7B, which is updated by LoRA. The message prediction of agent i is generated by message policy $\hat{m}_i \sim \pi_\eta(\cdot | \rho_m(o_i))$. The SFT loss can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SFT} = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{CROSS_ENTROPY}(\hat{m}_i, m_i), \quad (1)$$

where CROSS_ENTROPY represents the cross entropy loss function. The entire workflow of SFT is shown in Figure 3(a). To control the training scale, we let all agents share the action policy and message module. Besides, we use initialized agents to interact in the environment for several rounds to collect trajectory data. Empirically, with only a small amount of data and training steps, the communication policy π_η can already generate a reasonably coherent verbal message.

4.3 Action Policy Alignment

The action module also employs LLMs, which brings two distinct advantages: i) LLMs can directly and effectively comprehend verbal messages from teammates without the need for further training.

ii) LLMs have been demonstrated to have learned a substantial amount of physical rules present in the real world [38], and this knowledge can significantly reduce the number of interactions required between the policy and the environment, thereby enhancing sample efficiency. To align general purpose LLMs with the specific environment, feedback from the environment is utilized to adapt to the environment better and yield better performance.

Inspired by previous works [21, 20], we do not directly prompt the LLM to generate the actions, instead, we query the LLM for the probabilities of all available actions. Assume the k -th action of i -th agent $u_{i,k} \in U_i$ is a sequence of tokens $u_{i,k} = \{w_{i,k}^1, \dots, w_{i,k}^{N_{i,k}}\}$, where $N_{i,k}$ is the token number of the k -th action. So the token-level probability of $u_{i,k}$ can be calculated by:

$$P_{token}(u_{i,k}|\rho_u(o_i), \hat{m}_{-i}) = \prod_{j=0}^{N_{i,k}} P(w_{i,k}^j|\rho_u(o_i), \hat{m}_{-i}, w_{i,k}^{<j}), \quad (2)$$

where ρ_u is the action prompt function. Therefore, the action policy π can be got by the softmax over the token-level probabilities over actions:

$$P(u_{i,k}|\rho_u(o_i), \hat{m}_{-i}) = \frac{\exp(\log P_{token}(u_{i,k}|\rho_u(o_i), \hat{m}_{-i}))}{\sum_{u_i \in U_i} \exp(\log P_{token}(u_i|\rho_u(o_i), \hat{m}_{-i}))}. \quad (3)$$

In our work, we employ PPO [39] to optimize the action policy. PPO is a state-of-the-art actor-critic RL method, where each agent learns an actor parameterized by θ and a critic parameterized by ϕ .

For each agent i , the policy loss can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_i(\theta) = & \mathbb{E}_{o_i^t, u_i^t} [\min(\frac{\pi_\theta(u_i^t|\rho_u(o_i^t), \hat{m}_{-i}^t)}{\pi_{\theta_{old}}(u_i^t|\rho_u(o_i^t), \hat{m}_{-i}^t)} A_i^t, \\ & \text{clip}(\frac{\pi_\theta(u_i^t|\rho_u(o_i^t), \hat{m}_{-i}^t)}{\pi_{\theta_{old}}(u_i^t|\rho_u(o_i^t), \hat{m}_{-i}^t)}, 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) A_i^t)], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where A_i^t is the Generalized Advantage Estimation (GAE) [40]. The critic network is composed of an additional MLP added to the last transformer block of the LLaMA model.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_i(\phi) = & \mathbb{E}_{o_i^t} [\min\{(V_\phi(\rho_u(o_i^t)) - \hat{V}_i^t)^2, (V_{\phi_{old}}(\rho_u(o_i^t)) + \\ & \text{clip}(V_\phi(\rho_u(o_i^t)) - V_{\phi_{old}}(\rho_u(o_i^t)), -\epsilon, +\epsilon) - \hat{V}_i^t)^2\}], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{V}_i^t = A_i^t + V_{\phi_{old}}(\rho_u(o_i^t))$.

The overall learning loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{RL} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i(\theta) + \lambda_{critic} \mathcal{L}_i(\phi) + \lambda_{entropy} \mathcal{H}(\pi_{i,\theta}), \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\pi_{i,\theta})$ denotes the entropy of agent i 's action policy $\pi_{i,\theta}$.

Given that the goals of the action policy and message policy are distinct, we load two independent LoRA weights separately to ensure that they do not interfere with each other. During the entire action policy alignment stage, the message policy will be frozen to stabilize the training of the action policy. The actor, critic, and message networks share the same LLaMA model and the gradients do not affect each other, therefore greatly reducing our training costs and memory requirements. The entire algorithm can be described as shown in Algorithm 1.

5 Experiments

In this section, we first introduce the experimental scenario. Then, we provide a detailed introduction to all baselines. We demonstrate the performance of baseline algorithms in different experimental scenarios. We also visualize the communication content of the Verco algorithm.

Algorithm 1: Training Procedure for Verco

Input : initialise action policy parameters θ , value function parameters ϕ , and message policy parameters η . Set the data buffer for SFT $D_{SFT} = \emptyset$ and for RL training $D_{RL} = \emptyset$

Output : θ^* , ϕ^* , and η^*

- 1: **for** $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ **do**
- 2: #Collect trajectory with initial action policy π_θ .
- 3: **for** each time step t **do**
- 4: Generate message label given global state: $\{m_i^t\}_{i=1}^n \sim \pi^{tch}(p^{tch})$.
- 5: **for** $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ **do**
- 6: Generate action from initial action policy $u_i^t \sim \pi_\theta(\rho_u(o_i^t))$.
- 7: Add message label and observation to buffer: $D_{SFT} = D_{SFT} \cup (m_i^t, o_i^t)$
- 8: **end for**
- 9: Take joint actions \mathbf{u}^t and obtain the next observation \mathbf{o}^{t+1} .
- 10: **end for**
- 11: **end for**
- 12: **for** each batch numbers **do**
- 13: Sample a batch of data from data buffer D_{SFT}
- 14: Update the message policy π_η following Eq. 1.
- 15: **end for**
- 16: **for** each episode **do**
- 17: #RL training stage with frozen message policy π_η .
- 18: **for** each t **do**
- 19: **for** $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ **do**
- 20: Generate messages for each agent from the message policy $\hat{m}_i^t \sim \pi_\eta(\cdot | \rho_m(o_i^t))$.
- 21: **end for**
- 22: **for** $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ **do**
- 23: Generate actions for each agent from action policy with received message $u_i^t \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | \rho_u(o_i^t), m_{-i}^t)$.
- 24: **end for**
- 25: Take joint actions \mathbf{u}^t and obtain the next observation \mathbf{o}^{t+1} .
- 26: Store the transition to buffer: $D_{RL} = D_{RL} \cup (\mathbf{o}^t, \mathbf{u}^t, \mathbf{r}^t, \mathbf{o}^{t+1}, \mathbf{m}^t)$.
- 27: **end for**
- 28: Update the policy parameter θ and value function parameter ϕ by minimize the overall learning loss in Eq. (6).
- 29: **end for**

5.1 Environment description

The Overcooked environment is a popular complex environment for decision-making problems. The goal for agents is to make different types of salads with the provided raw materials and tools in a 7x7 grid-size kitchen. Our work extends the single-agent textual version of Overcooked in Tan et al. to multi-agent systems. In our setting, two agents need to collaborate to complete tasks in the environment. As shown in the figure, there are two types of maps A and B. In Map A, two agents are in the same space, so there may be collisions. In Map B, the two agents are separated and need to complete the task by passing items through the table. The environment is partially observable, and each agent can only observe the objects within 5x5 square centered of itself. As to the reward, chopping the correct item will be +0.2, providing the correct dish will be +1, -0.1 for delivering any wrong item, -0.01 for each collision between agents, and -0.001 for each time step.

5.2 Baselines

We compare our method with two trainable baselines and a baseline for direct decision-making by GPT-4. The description for each baseline is as follows:

TWOSOME [20]. TWOSOME proposes an efficient single-agent LLM decision fine-tuning framework, and it balances the joint probability of candidate actions by several regularizing methods.




Figure 4: Experimental environments. Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(b) show two different maps in Overcooked. Figure 4(c) shows the production process of tomato salad.

Symbolic PPO [33, 39]. The symbolic PPO takes the raw numerical observation as its input and uses MLPs as the backbone network.

CommNet [19]. CommNet, as a commonly used multi-agent communication algorithm, takes the average of numerical observations from all agents and sends it as a message to each agent.

GPT-4 [41]. GPT-4 has shown great potential in decision-making problems, but a significant drawback is that GPT-4 is difficult to improve from the environment’s feedback. We directly input the text context and candidate actions into GPT-4, allowing GPT-4 to select the currently appropriate action from the candidate actions.

Verco. Our method uses two LoRA weights for communication and action policy, respectively. The communication policy is obtained by SFT with message labels generated by GPT-4, and the action policy is learned by RL through interaction with the environment.


All curves are presented with average performance and 25~75% deviation over four random seeds, with the solid lines representing the median win rates. Due to our efficient design, our algorithm and all experiments can be completed on a single NVIDIA Tesla V100 32GB GPU.

5.3 Performance on Overcooked


The results on Overcooked are shown in Figure 5. In the method based on raw symbolic input, CommNet showed better performance than the Symbolic-PPO. However, it is difficult to observe the communication patterns between agents in a way that humans can understand. The results also indicate that the LLM-based methods have significantly higher sample efficiency. Moreover, Our Verco further achieves higher episode returns. We believe this improvement benefits from the communication information that can effectively coordinate the actions among agents. In addition, verbal messages can also promote our understanding of the cooperation mechanisms between agents. Moreover, the results show that Verco has significantly lower episode length and entropy compared to other algorithms. This indicates that the introduction of verbal communication can also encourage agents to complete tasks more efficiently and reduce the uncertainty of action policy. Although GPT-4 has rich prior knowledge, there are still biases in making complex decisions in the environment, which can easily lead to task failure.

5.4 Verbal communication visualization on Overcooked

To further analyze the differences brought about by the introduction of verbal communication, we visualize the replay of Verco and non-communication algorithms (TWOSOME) in detail. In the Single Room scenario as shown in Figure 6(a,d), communication is crucial to coordinate and perform different tasks, as there may be conflicting actions between agents. Specifically, Alice suggested that Bob should pick up the tomatoes and Bob suggested that Alice should pick up the bowl. After receiving the message from another agent, the two agents choose different actions which avoid conflicts. In the non-communication situation, both agents choose to directly pick up the tomato which will collide and waste time. In Separate Rooms, agents are separated by table and can only transfer items through the middle table. Therefore, communication is also important for agent



(a) Comparison of performance on Single Room



(b) Comparison of performance on Separate Rooms

Figure 5: Results for different maps in Overcooked environment. The first column shows the return curve in each episode (higher is better), the second column shows the length of each episode (lower is better), and the third column shows the curve of policy entropy, demonstrating the uncertainty of the policy in action selection.




Figure 6: Communication display in different scenarios.

cooperation. As shown in Figure 6(b), after Alice reminds Bob, Bob will put the tomatoes on the middle table for Alice to chop. Otherwise, Bob may not be aware of the need to pass the tomato to Alice without communication as shown in Figure 6(e). Overall, by directly sending verbal messages, we can have a more intuitive understanding of the cooperative motivations between agents.

6 Closing Remarks

In this paper, we propose a novel multi-agent communication algorithm, called VercO. VercO endows agents with the ability to send human-understandable verbal messages and make decisions based on teammates' messages by incorporating multiple LoRA parameters. To generate coordinated and consistent messages for the message module, we employ a Teacher LLM with global observation to produce message labels and train the message module with local observation as input. After the process of SFT, agents load well-trained communication module weights, and the action policy is

trained through reinforcement learning by continuously interacting within the environment. Evaluations conducted in the Overcooked environment demonstrate that our algorithm outperforms existing baselines in terms of performance and exhibits stronger interpretability, which contributes to a deeper understanding of the formation mechanism of cooperation among agents.

References

- [1] Itamar Arel, Cong Liu, Tom Urbanik, and Airtion G Kohls. Reinforcement learning-based multi-agent system for network traffic signal control. *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*, 4(2):128–135, 2010.
- [2] Laëtitia Matignon, Laurent Jeanpierre, and Abdel-illah Mouaddib. Coordinated multi-robot exploration under communication constraints using decentralized markov decision processes. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 26, pages 2017–2023, 2012.
- [3] Chongjie Zhang and Victor Lesser. Coordinated multi-agent reinforcement learning in networked distributed pomdps. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 25, pages 764–770, 2011.
- [4] Denis Yarats, Amy Zhang, Ilya Kostrikov, Brandon Amos, Joelle Pineau, and Rob Fergus. Improving sample efficiency in model-free reinforcement learning from images. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 10674–10681, 2021.
- [5] Dapeng Li, Na Lou, Bin Zhang, Zhiwei Xu, and Guoliang Fan. Adaptive parameter sharing for multi-agent reinforcement learning. In *ICASSP 2024 - 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6035–6039, 2024. doi: 10.1109/ICASSP48485.2024.10447262.
- [6] Georgios Papoudakis, Filippos Christianos, Arrasy Rahman, and Stefano V Albrecht. Dealing with non-stationarity in multi-agent deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.04737*, 2019.
- [7] Stephanie Milani, Zhicheng Zhang, Nicholay Topin, Zheyuan Ryan Shi, Charles Kamhoua, Evangelos E Papalexakis, and Fei Fang. Maviper: Learning decision tree policies for interpretable multi-agent reinforcement learning. In *Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, pages 251–266. Springer, 2022.
- [8] Claire Glanois, Paul Weng, Matthieu Zimmer, Dong Li, Tianpei Yang, Jianye Hao, and Wulong Liu. A survey on interpretable reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/2112.13112, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.13112>.
- [9] Peter Sunehag, Guy Lever, Audrunas Gruslys, Wojciech Marian Czarnecki, Vinícius Flores Zambaldi, Max Jaderberg, Marc Lanctot, Nicolas Sonnerat, Joel Z. Leibo, Karl Tuyls, and Thore Graepel. Value-decomposition networks for cooperative multi-agent learning. *CoRR*, abs/1706.05296, 2017.
- [10] Tabish Rashid, Mikayel Samvelyan, Christian Schröder de Witt, Gregory Farquhar, Jakob N. Foerster, and Shimon Whiteson. QMIX: monotonic value function factorisation for deep multi-agent reinforcement learning. In Jennifer G. Dy and Andreas Krause, editors, *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2018, Stockholmsmässan, Stockholm, Sweden, July 10-15, 2018*, volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 4292–4301. PMLR, 2018.
- [11] Jakob N. Foerster, Gregory Farquhar, Triantafyllos Afouras, Nantas Nardelli, and Shimon Whiteson. Counterfactual multi-agent policy gradients. In Sheila A. McIlraith and Kilian Q. Weinberger, editors, *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-18), the 30th innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence (IAAI-18), and the 8th AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence (EAAI-18), New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, February 2-7, 2018*, pages 2974–2982. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [12] Chao Yu, Akash Velu, Eugene Vinitzky, Yu Wang, Alexandre M. Bayen, and Yi Wu. The surprising effectiveness of MAPPO in cooperative, multi-agent games. *CoRR*, abs/2103.01955, 2021.
- [13] Ryan Lowe, Yi Wu, Aviv Tamar, Jean Harb, Pieter Abbeel, and Igor Mordatch. Multi-agent actor-critic for mixed cooperative-competitive environments. In Isabelle Guyon, Ulrike von Luxburg, Samy Bengio, Hanna M. Wallach, Rob Fergus, S. V. N. Vishwanathan, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, December 4-9, 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA*, pages 6379–6390, 2017.
- [14] Dapeng Li, Zhiwei Xu, Bin Zhang, and Guoliang Fan. Sea: A spatially explicit architecture for multi-agent reinforcement learning. In *2023 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–8, 2023. doi: 10.1109/IJCNN54540.2023.10191819.

- [15] John H Miller, Carter T Butts, and David Rode. Communication and cooperation. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 47(2):179–195, 2002.
- [16] Yaodong Yang and Jun Wang. An overview of multi-agent reinforcement learning from game theoretical perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.00583*, 2020.
- [17] Dapeng Li, Zhiwei Xu, Bin Zhang, and Guoliang Fan. From explicit communication to tacit cooperation: A novel paradigm for cooperative marl. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14656*, 2023.
- [18] Peng Peng, Quan Yuan, Ying Wen, Yaodong Yang, Zhenkun Tang, Haitao Long, and Jun Wang. Multiagent bidirectionally-coordinated nets for learning to play starcraft combat games. *CoRR*, abs/1703.10069, 2017. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10069>.
- [19] Sainbayar Sukhbaatar, Arthur Szlam, and Rob Fergus. Learning multiagent communication with backpropagation. In Daniel D. Lee, Masashi Sugiyama, Ulrike von Luxburg, Isabelle Guyon, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2016, December 5-10, 2016, Barcelona, Spain*, pages 2244–2252, 2016. URL <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2016/hash/55b1927fdafef39c48e5b73b5d61ea60-Abstract.html>.
- [20] Weihao Tan, Wentao Zhang, Shanqi Liu, Longtao Zheng, Xinrun Wang, and Bo An. True knowledge comes from practice: Aligning large language models with embodied environments via reinforcement learning. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=hILVmJ4Uvu>.
- [21] Thomas Carta, Clément Romac, Thomas Wolf, Sylvain Lamprier, Olivier Sigaud, and Pierre-Yves Oudeyer. Grounding large language models in interactive environments with online reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.02662*, 2023.
- [22] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- [23] Zhao Mandi, Shreeya Jain, and Shuran Song. Roco: Dialectic multi-robot collaboration with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04738*, 2023.
- [24] Yunfan Jiang, Agrim Gupta, Zichen Zhang, Guanzhi Wang, Yongqiang Dou, Yanjun Chen, Li Fei-Fei, Anima Anandkumar, Yuke Zhu, and Linxi Fan. Vima: General robot manipulation with multimodal prompts, 2023.
- [25] Bo Liu, Yuqian Jiang, Xiaohan Zhang, Qiang Liu, Shiqi Zhang, Joydeep Biswas, and Peter Stone. Llm+ p: Empowering large language models with optimal planning proficiency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11477*, 2023.
- [26] Michael Ahn, Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Yevgen Chebotar, Omar Cortes, Byron David, Chelsea Finn, Chuyuan Fu, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, Daniel Ho, Jasmine Hsu, Julian Ibarz, Brian Ichter, Alex Irpan, Eric Jang, Rosario Jauregui Ruano, Kyle Jeffrey, Sally Jesmonth, Nikhil J Joshi, Ryan Julian, Dmitry Kalashnikov, Yuheng Kuang, Kuang-Huei Lee, Sergey Levine, Yao Lu, Linda Luu, Carolina Parada, Peter Pastor, Jornell Quiambao, Kanishka Rao, Jarek Rettinghouse, Diego Reyes, Pierre Sermanet, Nicolas Sievers, Clayton Tan, Alexander Toshev, Vincent Vanhoucke, Fei Xia, Ted Xiao, Peng Xu, Sichun Xu, Mengyuan Yan, and Andy Zeng. Do as i can, not as i say: Grounding language in robotic affordances, 2022.
- [27] Ceyao Zhang, Kaijie Yang, Siyi Hu, Zihao Wang, Guanghe Li, Yihang Sun, Cheng Zhang, Zhaowei Zhang, Anji Liu, Song-Chun Zhu, et al. Proagent: Building proactive cooperative ai with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11339*, 2023.
- [28] Bin Zhang, Hangyu Mao, Jingqing Ruan, Ying Wen, Yang Li, Shao Zhang, Zhiwei Xu, Dapeng Li, Ziyue Li, Rui Zhao, et al. Controlling large language model-based agents for large-scale decision-making: An actor-critic approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.13884*, 2023.
- [29] Guanzhi Wang, Yuqi Xie, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Chaowei Xiao, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. Voyager: An open-ended embodied agent with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16291*, 2023.
- [30] Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629*, 2022.

- [31] Ishita Dasgupta, Christine Kaeser-Chen, Kenneth Marino, Arun Ahuja, Sheila Babayan, Felix Hill, and Rob Fergus. Collaborating with language models for embodied reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00763*, 2023.
- [32] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [33] John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*, 2017.
- [34] Sourab Mangrulkar, Sylvain Gugger, Lysandre Debut, Younes Belkada, Sayak Paul, and Benjamin Bossan. Pft: State-of-the-art parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods. <https://github.com/huggingface/pft>, 2022.
- [35] Ning Ding, Yujia Qin, Guang Yang, Fuchao Wei, Zonghan Yang, Yusheng Su, Shengding Hu, Yulin Chen, Chi-Min Chan, Weize Chen, et al. Parameter-efficient fine-tuning of large-scale pre-trained language models. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(3):220–235, 2023.
- [36] Lei Yuan, Jianhao Wang, Fuxiang Zhang, Chenghe Wang, Zongzhang Zhang, Yang Yu, and Chongjie Zhang. Multi-agent incentive communication via decentralized teammate modeling. In *Thirty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2022, Thirty-Fourth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2022, The Twelfth Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2022 Virtual Event, February 22 - March 1, 2022*, pages 9466–9474. AAAI Press, 2022. URL <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/21179>.
- [37] Oliver Slumbers, David Henry Mguni, Kun Shao, and Jun Wang. Leveraging large language models for optimised coordination in textual multi-agent reinforcement learning. 2023.
- [38] Roma Patel and Ellie Pavlick. Mapping language models to grounded conceptual spaces. In *International conference on learning representations*, 2021.
- [39] Christian Schroeder De Witt, Tarun Gupta, Denys Makoviichuk, Viktor Makoviychuk, Philip HS Torr, Mingfei Sun, and Shimon Whiteson. Is independent learning all you need in the starcraft multi-agent challenge? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.09533*, 2020.
- [40] John Schulman, Philipp Moritz, Sergey Levine, Michael Jordan, and Pieter Abbeel. High-dimensional continuous control using generalized advantage estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.02438*, 2015.
- [41] OpenAI, Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, Red Avila, Igor Babuschkin, Suchir Balaji, Valerie Balcom, Paul Baltescu, Haiming Bao, Mohammad Bavarian, and et al. Gpt-4 technical report, 2024.