

# On some relationships between the center and the derived subalgebra in Poisson (2-3)-algebras

P. Ye. Minaiev, O. O. Pypka

Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine

e-mail: minaiev9595@gmail.com, sasha.pypka@gmail.com

I. V. Shyshenko

Sumy State Pedagogical University named

after A. S. Makarenko, Sumy, Ukraine

e-mail: shiinna@ukr.net

## Abstract

One of the classic results of group theory is the so-called Schur theorem. It states that if the central factor-group  $G/\zeta(G)$  of a group  $G$  is finite, then its derived subgroup  $[G, G]$  is also finite. This result has numerous generalizations and modifications in group theory. At the same time, similar investigations were conducted in other algebraic structures, namely in modules, linear groups, topological groups,  $n$ -groups, associative algebras, Lie algebras, Lie  $n$ -algebras, Lie rings, Leibniz algebras. In 2021, L.A. Kurdachenko, O.O. Pypka and I.Ya. Subbotin proved an analogue of Schur theorem for Poisson algebras: if the center of the Poisson algebra  $P$  has finite codimension, then  $P$  includes an ideal  $K$  of finite dimension such that  $P/K$  is abelian. In this paper, we continue similar studies for another algebraic structure. An analogue of Schur theorem for Poisson (2-3)-algebras is proved.

**Key Words:** Poisson algebra, Poisson (2-3)-algebra, Schur theorem.

**2020 MSC:** 17B63, 17A42.

## 1 Introduction.

We start with a classical result of group theory. In 1951, B.H. Neumann proved the so-called [12] Schur theorem. This theorem states that if the central factor-group  $G/\zeta(G)$  of a group  $G$  is finite, then its derived subgroup  $[G, G]$  is also finite [14]. In other words, if  $G/\zeta(G)$  is finite, then  $G$  includes a finite normal subgroup  $H = [G, G]$  such that  $G/H$  is abelian. Moreover, there exists a function  $w$  such that  $|[G, G]| \leq w(t)$ , where  $t = |G/\zeta(G)|$ . This theorem has numerous generalizations and modifications in group theory (see, for, example, [2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 15]). Furthermore, similar investigations were conducted in other algebraic structures of a different nature. Thus, analogues of Schur theorem were obtained for modules (see, for example,

[13]), linear groups [1], topological groups [19],  $n$ -groups [4], associative algebras [16], Lie algebras [9, 17], Lie  $n$ -algebras [18], Lie rings [10], Leibniz algebras [6].

A few years ago, L.A. Kurdachenko, O.O. Pypka and I.Ya. Subbotin proved an analogue of Schur theorem for Poisson algebras [11]. More precisely, it was proved that if the center  $\zeta(P)$  of the Poisson algebra  $P$  has finite codimension, then  $P$  includes an ideal  $K$  of finite dimension such that  $P/K$  is abelian. Moreover, they proved that  $\dim_F(K) \leq \frac{d(d^2-1)}{2}$ .

Consider another algebraic structure that arises from Poisson algebras by replacing the binary operation of Lie bracket  $[-, -]$  with the ternary operation  $[-, -, -]$ .

Let  $P$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ . Then  $P$  is called a *Poisson (2-3)-algebra*, if  $P$  has a binary operation  $\cdot$  and ternary operation  $[-, -, -]$  such that the product  $\cdot$  forms a commutative associative algebra, the bracket  $[-, -, -]$  forms a Lie 3-algebra, and  $[-, -, -]$  acts as a derivation of the product  $\cdot$ , that is  $\cdot$  and  $[-, -, -]$  satisfy the Leibniz (2-3)-identity. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= ba, (ab)c = a(bc), \\ a(b+c) &= ab+ac, (\lambda a)b = a(\lambda b) = \lambda(ab), \\ [a_1+a_2, b, c] &= [a_1, b, c] + [a_2, b, c], \\ [a, b_1+b_2, c] &= [a, b_1, c] + [a, b_2, c], \\ [a, b, c_1+c_2] &= [a, b, c_1] + [a, b, c_2], \\ [\lambda a, b, c] &= [a, \lambda b, c] = [a, b, \lambda c] = \lambda[a, b, c], \\ [a_1, a_2, a_3] &= 0 \text{ whenever } a_i = a_j \text{ for some } i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \\ [[a_1, a_2, a_3], b, c] &= [[a_1, b, c], a_2, a_3] + [a_1, [a_2, b, c], a_3] + [a_1, a_2, [a_3, b, c]], \\ [a_1 a_2, b, c] &= a_2[a_1, b, c] + a_1[a_2, b, c] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, a_1, a_2, a_3, b, b_1, b_2, c, c_1, c_2 \in P, \lambda \in F$ . If we will consider  $P$  as an associative and commutative algebra by multiplication  $\cdot$ , then we will denote it by  $P(+, \cdot)$ . If we will consider  $P$  as a Lie 3-algebra by Lie 3-bracket  $[-, -, -]$ , then we will denote it by  $P(+, [-, -, -])$ .

This article is devoted to the extension of the statement, similar to Schur theorem, to Poisson (2-3)-algebras.

## 2 Preliminary results.

We begin with a series of important basic results that illustrate the properties of Poisson (2-3)-algebras.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . Then for all elements of  $P$*

- (i)  $[a, b, c] = [b, c, a] = [c, a, b] = -[a, c, b] = -[b, a, c] = -[c, b, a];$
- (ii)  $[a, b_1 b_2, c] = b_2[a, b_1, c] + b_1[a, b_2, c];$
- (iii)  $[a, b, c_1 c_2] = c_2[a, b, c_1] + c_1[a, b, c_2].$

**Proof.** (i) By definition,  $[a_1, a_2, a_3] = 0$  whenever  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ . Since  $[a, a, c] = 0$  for all  $a, c \in P$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [a + b, a + b, c] &= 0, \\ [a, a, c] + [a, b, c] + [b, a, c] + [b, b, c] &= 0, \\ [a, b, c] &= -[b, a, c]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[a, b, a] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in P$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [a + c, b, a + c] &= 0, \\ [a, b, a] + [a, b, c] + [c, b, a] + [c, b, c] &= 0, \\ [a, b, c] &= -[c, b, a]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[a, b, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in P$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b + c, b + c] &= 0, \\ [a, b, b] + [a, b, c] + [a, c, b] + [a, c, c] &= 0, \\ [a, b, c] &= -[a, c, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$-[c, b, a] = -(-[b, c, a]) = [b, c, a]$$

and

$$-[a, c, b] = -(-[c, a, b]) = [c, a, b].$$

(ii) Since by (i)  $[a, b, c] = [b, c, a]$ , then

$$[a, b_1 b_2, c] = [b_1 b_2, c, a] = b_2 [b_1, c, a] + b_1 [b_2, c, a] = b_2 [a, b_1, c] + b_1 [a, b_2, c].$$

(iii) Since by (i)  $[a, b, c] = [c, a, b]$ , then

$$[a, b, c_1 c_2] = [c_1 c_2, a, b] = c_2 [c_1, a, b] + c_1 [c_2, a, b] = c_2 [a, b, c_1] + c_1 [a, b, c_2].$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . Then for all elements of  $P$*

$$(i) \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i, b, c \right] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq k \\ \tau \neq i}} a_\tau [a_i, b, c];$$

$$(ii) \left[ a, \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} b_j, c \right] = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \mu \leq s \\ \mu \neq j}} b_\mu [a, b_j, c];$$

$$(iii) \left[ a, b, \prod_{1 \leq r \leq t} c_r \right] = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq t} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq t \\ \nu \neq r}} c_\nu [a, b, c_r];$$

$$(iv) \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i, \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} b_j, \prod_{1 \leq r \leq t} c_r \right] = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq s \\ 1 \leq r \leq t}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq k \\ 1 \leq \mu \leq s \\ 1 \leq \nu \leq t \\ \tau \neq i, \mu \neq j, \nu \neq r}} a_\tau b_\mu c_\nu [a_i, b_j, c_r];$$

$$(v) [a^k, b^s, c^t] = k s t a^{k-1} b^{s-1} c^{t-1} [a, b, c].$$

**Proof.** (i) Consider the product  $\left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 3} a_i, b, c \right]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 3} a_i, b, c \right] &= [a_1 a_2 a_3, b, c] = [(a_1 a_2) a_3, b, c] = \\ &= a_3 [a_1 a_2, b, c] + a_1 a_2 [a_3, b, c] = a_3 (a_2 [a_1, b, c] + a_1 [a_2, b, c]) + a_1 a_2 [a_3, b, c] = \\ &= a_2 a_3 [a_1, b, c] + a_1 a_3 [a_2, b, c] + a_1 a_2 [a_3, b, c] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq 3 \\ \tau \neq i}} a_\tau [a_i, b, c]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we have already proved that

$$\left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i, b, c \right] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq d \\ \tau \neq i}} a_\tau [a_i, b, c].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d+1} a_i, b, c \right] &= \left[ \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i \right) a_{d+1}, b, c \right] = \\ &= a_{d+1} \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i, b, c \right] + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i [a_{d+1}, b, c] = \\ &= a_{d+1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq d \\ \tau \neq i}} a_\tau [a_i, b, c] + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i [a_{d+1}, b, c] = \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq d \\ \tau \neq i}} (a_\tau a_{d+1}) [a_i, b, c] + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i [a_{d+1}, b, c] = \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq d+1 \\ \tau \neq i}} a_\tau [a_i, b, c]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every positive integer  $k$  we proved that

$$\left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i, b, c \right] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq k \\ \tau \neq i}} a_\tau [a_i, b, c]$$

Similarly, using (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.1, we can prove that for all positive integers  $s$  and  $t$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad \left[ a, \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} b_j, c \right] &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \mu \leq s \\ \mu \neq j}} b_\mu [a, b_j, c]; \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \left[ a, b, \prod_{1 \leq r \leq t} c_r \right] &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq t} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \nu \leq t \\ \nu \neq r}} c_\nu [a, b, c_r]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (i), (ii) and (iii), we obtain that

$$\text{(iv)} \quad \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_i, \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} b_j, \prod_{1 \leq r \leq t} c_r \right] = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq s \\ 1 \leq r \leq t}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \tau \leq k \\ 1 \leq \mu \leq s \\ 1 \leq \nu \leq t \\ \tau \neq i, \mu \neq j, \nu \neq r}} a_\tau b_\mu c_\nu [a_i, b_j, c_r].$$

Finally, using (iv), we obtain that

$$\text{(v)} \quad [a^k, b^s, c^t] = k s t a^{k-1} b^{s-1} c^{t-1} [a, b, c].$$

□

Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . A subset  $S$  of  $P$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $P$  if  $S$  is a subspace of  $P$  and  $ab, [a, b, c] \in S$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$ . A subset  $I$  of  $P$  is called an *ideal* of  $P$  if  $I$  is a subspace of  $P$  and  $ab, [a, b, c] \in I$  for all  $a \in I$  and  $b, c \in P$ .

We will say that a Poisson (2-3)-algebra  $P$  is *simple*, if it has only two ideals,  $\langle 0 \rangle$  and  $P$ . As usual,  $P$  is *abelian*, if  $[a, b, c] = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \in P$ .

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be Poisson (2-3)-algebras over a field  $F$ . Then a mapping  $f : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$  is called a *homomorphism*, if

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda a) &= \lambda f(a), f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b), \\ f(ab) &= f(a)f(b), f([a, b, c]) = [f(a), f(b), f(c)] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in P_1$ ,  $\lambda \in F$ .

As usual, an injective homomorphism is called a *monomorphism*, a surjective homomorphism is called an *epimorphism*, and bijective homomorphism is called an *isomorphism*.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be an arbitrary Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . Then*

- (i) *there exists a Poisson (2-3)-algebra  $P$  over a field  $F$  having a multiplicative identity element;*
- (ii) *there exists a monomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow P$  such that  $\text{Im}(f)$  is an ideal of  $P$ .*

**Proof.** (i) If  $A$  has an identity element by multiplication  $\cdot$ , then all is proved. Therefore, suppose that  $A$  has no an identity element by multiplication  $\cdot$ . Put  $P = A \times F$  and define on  $P$  the following operations:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(a, \alpha) &= (\lambda a, \lambda \alpha), \\ (a, \alpha) + (b, \beta) &= (a + b, \alpha + \beta), \\ (a, \alpha)(b, \beta) &= (ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha\beta), \\ [(a, \alpha), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] &= ([a, b, c], 0_F)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in A$  and  $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in F$ .

Let us show first that  $P$  is an algebra. If  $a, b, c \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in F$ , then

$$(a, \alpha) + (b, \beta) = (a + b, \alpha + \beta) = (b + a, \beta + \alpha) = (b, \beta) + (a, \alpha);$$

$$\begin{aligned}((a, \alpha) + (b, \beta)) + (c, \delta) &= (a + b, \alpha + \beta) + (c, \delta) = \\ &= ((a + b) + c, (\alpha + \beta) + \delta) = (a + (b + c), \alpha + (\beta + \delta)) = \\ &= (a, \alpha) + (b + c, \beta + \delta) = (a, \alpha) + ((b, \beta) + (c, \delta));\end{aligned}$$

$$(a, \alpha) + (0_A, 0_F) = (a + 0_A, \alpha + 0_F) = (a, \alpha);$$

$$(a, \alpha) + (-a, -\alpha) = (a + (-a), \alpha + (-\alpha)) = (0_A, 0_F);$$

$$\begin{aligned}(a, \alpha)((b, \beta) + (c, \delta)) &= (a, \alpha)(b + c, \beta + \delta) = \\ &= (a(b + c) + \alpha(b + c) + (\beta + \delta)a, \alpha(\beta + \delta)) = \\ &= ((ab + \alpha b + \beta a) + (ac + \alpha c + \delta a), \alpha\beta + \alpha\delta) = \\ &= (ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha\beta) + (ac + \alpha c + \delta a, \alpha\delta) = \\ &= (a, \alpha)(b, \beta) + (a, \alpha)(c, \delta);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}((a, \alpha) + (b, \beta))(c, \delta) &= (a + b, \alpha + \beta)(c, \delta) = \\ &= ((a + b)c + (\alpha + \beta)c + \delta(a + b), (\alpha + \beta)\delta) = \\ &= ((ac + \alpha c + \delta a) + (bc + \beta c + \delta b), \alpha\delta + \beta\delta) = \\ &= (ac + \alpha c + \delta a, \alpha\delta) + (bc + \beta c + \delta b, \beta\delta) = \\ &= (a, \alpha)(c, \delta) + (b, \beta)(c, \delta).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lambda, \kappa \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda((a, \alpha) + (b, \beta)) &= \lambda(a + b, \alpha + \beta) = \\ &= (\lambda(a + b), \lambda(\alpha + \beta)) = (\lambda a + \lambda b, \lambda\alpha + \lambda\beta) = \\ &= (\lambda a, \lambda\alpha) + (\lambda b, \lambda\beta) = \lambda(a, \alpha) + \lambda(b, \beta);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda + \kappa)(a, \alpha) &= ((\lambda + \kappa)a, (\lambda + \kappa)\alpha) = \\
&= (\lambda a + \kappa a, \lambda \alpha + \kappa \alpha) = (\lambda a, \lambda \alpha) + (\kappa a, \kappa \alpha) = \\
&= \lambda(a, \alpha) + \kappa(a, \alpha);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda \kappa)(a, \alpha) &= ((\lambda \kappa)a, (\lambda \kappa)\alpha) = \\
&= (\lambda(\kappa a), \lambda(\kappa \alpha)) = \lambda(\kappa a, \kappa \alpha) = \alpha(\kappa(a, \alpha));
\end{aligned}$$

$$1_F(a, \alpha) = (1_F a, 1_F \alpha) = (a, \alpha).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda((a, \alpha)(b, \beta)) &= \lambda(ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha \beta) = \\
&= (\lambda ab + \lambda \alpha b + \lambda \beta a, \lambda \alpha \beta), \\
(\lambda(a, \alpha))(b, \beta) &= (\lambda a, \lambda \alpha)(b, \beta) = \\
&= (\lambda ab + \lambda \alpha b + \lambda \beta a, \lambda \alpha \beta), \\
(a, \alpha)(\lambda(b, \beta)) &= (a, \alpha)(\lambda b, \lambda \beta) = \\
&= (\lambda ab + \lambda \alpha b + \lambda \beta a, \lambda \alpha \beta),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda((a, \alpha)(b, \beta)) = (\lambda(a, \alpha))(b, \beta) = (a, \alpha)(\lambda(b, \beta)).$$

Therefore,  $P$  is an algebra over a field  $F$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(a, \alpha)(b, \beta) &= (ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha \beta) = \\
&= (ba + \beta a + \alpha b, \beta \alpha) = (b, \beta)(a, \alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

$P$  is commutative. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
((a, \alpha)(b, \beta))(c, \delta) &= (ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha \beta)(c, \delta) = \\
&= (abc + \alpha bc + \beta ac + \alpha \beta c + \delta ab + \alpha \delta b + \beta \delta a, \alpha \beta \delta)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(a, \alpha)((b, \beta)(c, \delta)) &= (a, \alpha)(bc + \beta c + \delta b, \beta \delta) = \\
&= (abc + \beta ac + \delta ab + \alpha bc + \alpha \beta c + \alpha \delta b + \beta \delta a, \alpha \beta \delta),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$((a, \alpha)(b, \beta))(c, \delta) = (a, \alpha)((b, \beta)(c, \delta)).$$

Thus,  $P$  is an associative algebra. Since

$$[(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)] = ([a_1, a_2, a_3], 0_F),$$

then

$$[(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)] = (0_A, 0_F) = 0_P$$

whenever  $(a_i, \alpha_i) = (a_j, \alpha_j)$  for some  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ .

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & [[(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)], (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = \\ & = [[(a_1, a_2, a_3], 0_F), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = ([a_1, a_2, a_3], b, c], 0_F), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [[(a_1, \alpha_1), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)], (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)] + \\ & + [(a_1, \alpha_1), [(a_2, \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)], (a_3, \alpha_3)] + \\ & + [(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), [(a_3, \alpha_3), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)]] = \\ & = [[(a_1, b, c], 0_F), (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)] + \\ & + [(a_1, \alpha_1), [(a_2, b, c], 0_F), (a_3, \alpha_3)] + \\ & + [(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), [(a_3, b, c], 0_F)] = \\ & = ([a_1, b, c], a_2, a_3], 0_F) + ([a_1, [a_2, b, c], a_3], 0_F) + ([a_1, a_2, [a_3, b, c]], 0_F) = \\ & = ([a_1, b, c], a_2, a_3] + [a_1, [a_2, b, c], a_3] + [a_1, a_2, [a_3, b, c]], 0_F), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & [[(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)], (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = \\ & = [[(a_1, \alpha_1), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)], (a_2, \alpha_2), (a_3, \alpha_3)] + \\ & + [(a_1, \alpha_1), [(a_2, \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)], (a_3, \alpha_3)] + \\ & + [(a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), [(a_3, \alpha_3), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)]]]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Leibniz (2-3)-identity for  $P$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & [(a_1, \alpha_1)(a_2, \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = \\ & = [(a_1 a_2 + \alpha_1 a_2 + \alpha_2 a_1, \alpha_1 \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = \\ & = ([a_1 a_2 + \alpha_1 a_2 + \alpha_2 a_1, b, c], 0_F) = \\ & = ([a_1 a_2, b, c] + \alpha_1 [a_2, b, c] + \alpha_2 [a_1, b, c], 0_F). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_2, \alpha_2)[(a_1, \alpha_1), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] + (a_1, \alpha_1)[(a_2, \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = \\ & = (a_2, \alpha_2)([a_1, b, c], 0_F) + (a_1, \alpha_1)([a_2, b, c], 0_F) = \\ & = (a_2[a_1, b, c] + \alpha_2[a_1, b, c] + 0_F a_2, \alpha_2 0_F) + (a_1[a_2, b, c] \\ & \quad + \alpha_1[a_2, b, c] + 0_F a_1, \alpha_1 0_F) = \\ & = (a_2[a_1, b, c] + \alpha_2[a_1, b, c], 0_F) + (a_1[a_2, b, c] + \alpha_1[a_2, b, c], 0_F) = \\ & = (a_2[a_1, b, c] + a_1[a_2, b, c] + \alpha_1[a_2, b, c] + \alpha_2[a_1, b, c], 0_F). \end{aligned}$$



Since  $[a_1 a_2, b, c] = a_2[a_1, b, c] + a_1[a_2, b, c]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(a_1, \alpha_1)(a_2, \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] = \\ & = (a_2, \alpha_2)[(a_1, \alpha_1), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] + (a_1, \alpha_1)[(a_2, \alpha_2), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the above, we can say that  $P$  is a Poisson (2-3)-algebra.

Finally,  $(0_A, 1_F)$  is an identity element by multiplication  $\cdot$ :

$$(a, \alpha)(0_A, 1_F) = (a0_A + \alpha0_A + 1_F a, \alpha1_F) = (a, \alpha).$$

(ii) Consider the mapping  $f : A \rightarrow P$ , which defined by the rule  $f(a) = (a, 0_F)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda a) &= (\lambda a, 0_F) = (\lambda a, \lambda 0_F) = \lambda(a, 0_F) = \lambda f(a); \\ f(a + b) &= (a + b, 0_F) = (a + b, 0_F + 0_F) = (a, 0_F) + (b, 0_F) = f(a) + f(b); \\ f(ab) &= (ab, 0_F) = (ab + 0_F b + 0_F a, 0_F 0_F) = (a, 0_F)(b, 0_F) = f(a)f(b); \\ f([a, b, c]) &= ([a, b, c], 0_F) = [(a, 0_F), (b, 0_F), (c, 0_F)] = [f(a), f(b), f(c)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is a homomorphism. Clearly  $f$  is injective, so that  $f$  is a monomorphism. Let

$$(a, 0_F), (b, 0_F) \in \text{Im}(f) = \{(x, 0_F) \mid x \in A\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (a, 0_F) - (b, 0_F) &= (a - b, 0_F) \in \text{Im}(f), \\ \lambda(a, 0_F) &= (\lambda a, 0_F) \in \text{Im}(f), \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Im}(f)$  is a subspace of  $P$ . Finally, if  $(a, 0_F) \in \text{Im}(f)$ ,  $(b, \beta), (c, \delta) \in P$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (a, 0_F)(b, \beta) &= (ab + 0_F b + \beta a, 0_F \beta) = (ab + \beta a, 0_F) \in \text{Im}(f), \\ [(a, 0_F), (b, \beta), (c, \delta)] &= ([a, b, c], 0_F) \in \text{Im}(f), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\text{Im}(f)$  is an ideal of  $P$ . □

Proposition 2.3 shows that we can consider only Poisson (2-3)-algebras with an identity element  $1_P$  by multiplication  $\cdot$ .

Let  $A, B, C$  be subspaces of a Poisson (2-3)-algebra  $P$ . Denote by

- $A + B$  the subspace of  $P$  with elements of the form  $a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ;
- $AB$  the subspace of  $P$ , which generated by the subset  $\{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ ;
- $[A, B, C]$  the subspace of  $P$ , which generated by the subset  $\{[a, b, c] \mid a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\}$ .

Clearly, every element of  $AB$  has a following form

$$a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ .

Similarly, every element of  $[A, B, C]$  has a following form

$$[a_1, b_1, c_1] + \dots + [a_n, b_n, c_n]$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in C$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ .*

- (i) *If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $P$  and  $B$  is an ideal of  $P$ , then  $A + B$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ .*
- (ii) *If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $P$  and  $B$  is an ideal of  $P$ , then  $AB$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ .*
- (iii) *If  $A, B$  are ideals of  $P$ , then  $A + B$  is an ideal of  $P$ .*
- (iv) *If  $A, B$  are ideals of  $P$ , then  $AB$  is an ideal of  $P$ .*
- (v) *If  $P$  is non-simple, then  $P$  has a proper non-zero maximal ideal.*
- (vi) *If  $a \in P$ , then  $aP = \{ab \mid b \in P\}$  is a subalgebra of  $P$  and an ideal of  $P(+, \cdot)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) If  $x, y, z \in A + B$ , then  $x = a_1 + b_1$ ,  $y = a_2 + b_2$ ,  $z = a_3 + b_3$  where  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ ,  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in B$ . If  $\lambda \in F$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda x &= \lambda(a_1 + b_1) = \lambda a_1 + \lambda b_1 \in A + B; \\
 x - y &= (a_1 + b_1) - (a_2 + b_2) = (a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2) \in A + B; \\
 xy &= (a_1 + b_1)(a_2 + b_2) = a_1 a_2 + (a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2) \in A + B; \\
 [x, y, z] &= [a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3] = \\
 &= [a_1, a_2, a_3] + ([a_1, a_2, b_3] + [a_1, b_2, a_3] + [a_1, b_2, b_3] + \\
 &\quad + [b_1, a_2, a_3] + [b_1, a_2, b_3] + [b_1, b_2, a_3] + [b_1, b_2, b_3]) \in A + B.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A + B$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ .

(ii) If  $x, y, z \in AB$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i b_i, \\
 y &= c_1 d_1 + \dots + c_s d_s = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j d_j, \\
 z &= h_1 k_1 + \dots + h_t k_t = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq t} h_r k_r
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i, c_j, h_r \in A$ ,  $b_i, d_j, k_r \in B$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq t$ . If  $\lambda \in F$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda x &= \lambda(a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n) = (\lambda a_1) b_1 + \dots + (\lambda a_n) b_n \in AB; \\
 x - y &= (a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n) - (c_1 d_1 + \dots + c_s d_s) \in AB; \\
 xy &= \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i b_i \right) \left( \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j d_j \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq s}} (a_i b_i)(c_j d_j) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq s}} (a_i c_j)(b_i d_j) \in AB.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the product  $[x, y, z]$ :

$$[x, y, z] = \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i b_i, \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j d_j, \sum_{1 \leq r \leq t} h_r k_r \right] = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq s \\ 1 \leq r \leq t}} [a_i b_i, c_j d_j, h_r k_r].$$

Taking into account Lemma 2.2 (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_i b_i, c_j d_j, h_r k_r] = \\ & = b_i d_j k_r [a_i, c_j, h_r] + b_i d_j h_r [a_i, c_j, k_r] + b_i c_j k_r [a_i, d_j, h_r] + b_i c_j h_r [a_i, d_j, k_r] + \\ & + a_i d_j k_r [b_i, c_j, h_r] + a_i d_j h_r [b_i, c_j, k_r] + a_i c_j k_r [b_i, d_j, h_r] + a_i c_j h_r [b_i, d_j, k_r]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ , then  $[a_i, c_j, h_r], c_j h_r, a_i h_r, a_i c_j, a_i c_j h_r \in A$ . On the other hand, since  $B$  is an ideal of  $P$ , then  $b_i d_j k_r, b_i d_j [a_i, c_j, k_r], b_i k_r [a_i, d_j, h_r], b_i [a_i, d_j, k_r], d_j k_r [b_i, c_j, h_r], d_j [b_i, c_j, k_r], k_r [b_i, d_j, h_r], [b_i, d_j, k_r] \in B$ . Thus each term in the expansion of  $[a_i b_i, c_j d_j, h_r k_r]$  belongs to  $AB$ . Obviously, this is true for all  $i, j, r$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq t$ , which implies that  $[x, y, z] \in AB$ . Therefore,  $AB$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ .

(iii) As above we can show that  $A + B$  is a subspace of  $P$ . If  $x \in A + B$ , then  $x = a + b$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Let  $y, z \in P$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= (a + b)y = ay + by \in A + B; \\ [x, y, z] &= [a + b, y, z] = [a, y, z] + [b, y, z] \in A + B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A + B$  is an ideal of  $P$ .

(iv) As above we can show that  $AB$  is a subspace of  $P$ . If  $x \in AB$ , then

$$x = a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i b_i,$$

$a_i \in A$ ,  $b_i \in B$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $y, z \in P$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i b_i \right) y = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i (b_i y) \in AB; \\ [x, y, z] &= \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i b_i, y, z \right] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} [a_i b_i, y, z] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (b_i [a_i, y, z] + a_i [b_i, y, z]) \in AB. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $AB$  is an ideal of  $P$ .

(v) If  $P$  is not simple, then  $P$  includes a proper non-zero ideal. Put

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{A \mid A \text{ is a proper non-zero ideal of } P\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is non-empty. Since  $1_P \notin A$  for each  $A \in \mathfrak{S}$ , an union of every linearly ordered (by inclusion) subset of  $\mathfrak{S}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{S}$ . By Zorn's Lemma, a family  $\mathfrak{S}$  has a maximal element.

(vi) If  $x, y, z \in aP = \{ab \mid b \in P\}$ , then  $x = ab_1$ ,  $y = ab_2$  and  $z = ab_3$ . Let  $\lambda \in F$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda x &= \lambda ab_1 = a(\lambda b_1) \in aP; \\ x - y &= ab_1 - ab_2 = a(b_1 - b_2) \in aP; \\ xy &= (ab_1)(ab_2) = a(b_1 ab_2) \in aP.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, since for any  $c \in P$  we have  $xc = (ab_1)c = a(b_1 c) \in P$ , then  $aP$  is an ideal of  $P(+, \cdot)$ .

Finally, using Lemma 2.2 (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned}[ab_1, ab_2, ab_3] &= \\ &= b_1 b_2 b_3 [a, a, a] + b_1 b_2 a [a, a, b_3] + b_1 a b_3 [a, b_2, a] + b_1 a a [a, b_2, b_3] + \\ &+ a b_2 b_3 [b_1, a, a] + a b_2 a [b_1, a, b_3] + a a b_3 [b_1, b_2, a] + a a a [b_1, b_2, b_3] = \\ &= b_1 a a [a, b_2, b_3] + a b_2 a [b_1, a, b_3] + a a b_3 [b_1, b_2, a] + a a a [b_1, b_2, b_3] = \\ &= a(ab_1[a, b_2, b_3] + ab_2[b_1, a, b_3] + ab_3[b_1, b_2, a] + a^2[b_1, b_2, b_3]) \in aP.\end{aligned}$$

The last inclusion shows that  $aP$  is a subalgebra of  $P$  for each  $a \in P$ . □

Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . Put

$$\zeta(P) = \{a \in P \mid [a, b, c] = 0 \text{ for all } b, c \in P\}.$$

The subset  $\zeta(P)$  is called the *center* of  $P$ . We note that  $\zeta(P)$  is an ideal of Lie 3-algebra  $P(+, [-, -, -])$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . Then*

- (i)  $\zeta(P)$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ ;
- (ii)  $\zeta(P)$  contains every idempotent of  $P$ , in particular,  $1_P \in \zeta(P)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $a \in \zeta(P)$ ,  $b, c \in P$ . Then

$$[\lambda a, b, c] = \lambda[a, b, c] = 0.$$

If  $a_1, a_2 \in \zeta(P)$  and  $b, c \in P$ , then

$$[a_1 - a_2, b, c] = [a_1, b, c] - [a_2, b, c] = 0,$$

which shows that  $a_1 - a_2 \in \zeta(P)$ , so that  $\zeta(P)$  is a subspace of  $P$ .

Moreover,

$$[a_1 a_2, b, c] = a_2[a_1, b, c] + a_1[a_2, b, c] = 0,$$

so that  $a_1 a_2 \in \zeta(P)$ .

Finally, let  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \zeta(P)$  and  $b, c \in P$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}[[a_1, a_2, a_3], b, c] &= [[a_1, b, c], a_2, a_3] + [a_1, [a_2, b, c], a_3] + [a_1, a_2, [a_3, b, c]] = \\ &= [0, a_2, a_3] + [a_1, 0, a_3] + [a_1, a_2, 0] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $[a_1, a_2, a_3] \in \zeta(P)$ . Thus  $\zeta(P)$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ .

(ii) Let  $e$  be an arbitrary idempotent of  $P$ . Let  $b, c \in P$ . Using Lemma 2.2 (v) we have

$$[e, b, c] = [e^2, b, c] = 2e[e, b, c].$$

If  $2e[e, b, c] = 0$ , then all is proved. Suppose that  $2e[e, b, c] \neq 0$ . Then

$$2e[e, b, c] - [e, b, c] = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2e(2e[e, b, c] - [e, b, c]) = 4e^2[e, b, c] - 2e[e, b, c] = \\ &= 4e[e, b, c] - 2e[e, b, c] = 2e[e, b, c], \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain a contradiction. Thus  $2e[e, b, c] = 0$ , so that  $e \in \zeta(P)$ . In particular, since  $1_P = 1_P^2$ ,  $1_P \in \zeta(P)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Analogue of Schur theorem for Poisson (2-3)-algebras

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $L$  be a Lie 3-algebra over a field  $F$ . Suppose that the factor-algebra  $L/\zeta(L)$  has a finite dimension  $d$  and let  $\{e_1 + \zeta(L), \dots, e_d + \zeta(L)\}$  be a basis of  $L/\zeta(L)$ . Then  $[L, L, L]$  generated by the elements  $[u_1, u_2, u_3]$  where  $u_j \in \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $Z = \zeta(L)$ . Then for every  $x \in L$  we have  $x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_d e_d + s_x$  for some  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in F$  and  $s_x \in Z$ . Let  $y = \mu_1 e_1 + \dots + \mu_d e_d + s_y$  and  $z = \nu_1 e_1 + \dots + \nu_d e_d + s_z$ ,  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_d \in F$ ,  $s_y, s_z \in Z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &[x, y, z] \\ &= [\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_d e_d + s_x, \mu_1 e_1 + \dots + \mu_d e_d + s_y, \nu_1 e_1 + \dots + \nu_d e_d + s_z] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq d} \lambda_i \mu_j \nu_k [e_i, e_j, e_k]. \end{aligned}$$

As we can see,  $[L, L, L]$  is a subalgebra generated by the elements  $[e_i, e_j, e_k]$ ,  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq d$ . More precisely, since  $[e_i, e_j, e_k] = \text{sign}(\sigma)[e_{\sigma(i)}, e_{\sigma(j)}, e_{\sigma(k)}]$  ( $\sigma \in S_3$ ) and  $[e_i, e_j, e_k] = 0$  whenever  $e_m = e_n$  for some  $m \neq n$ ,  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq d$ ,  $[L, L, L]$  generates by the elements  $[e_i, e_j, e_k]$  where  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq d$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be a Poisson (2-3)-algebra over a field  $F$ . Suppose that the center of  $P$  has a finite codimension  $d$ . Then  $P$  includes an ideal  $K$  of finite dimension at most  $\frac{d(d^2-1)(d-2)}{6}$  such that  $P/K$  is abelian.*

**Proof.** Put  $Z = \zeta(P)$ . Then  $P = Z \oplus A$  for some subspace  $A$  of  $P$ . Choose a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  in the subspace  $A$ . Then for every element  $x \in P$  we have

$$x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_d e_d + z_x$$

for some  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in F$  and  $z_x \in Z$ .

A subspace  $[P, P, P]$  is an ideal of a Lie 3-algebra  $P(+, [-, -, -])$ . Lemma 3.1 shows that  $[P, P, P]$  generates as a subspace by the elements  $[e_i, e_j, e_k]$  where  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq d$ .

Consider an ideal  $K$  of an associative algebra  $P(+, \cdot)$  generated by  $[P, P, P]$ . Every element from  $K$  has a form  $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_rx_r$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in [P, P, P]$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  are the arbitrary elements of  $P$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $P$ ,  $x = \lambda_1e_1 + \dots + \lambda_de_d + z_x$  where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in F$  and  $z_x \in Z$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, e_j, e_k]x &= [e_i, e_j, e_k](\lambda_1e_1 + \dots + \lambda_de_d + z_x) \\ &= \lambda_1e_1[e_i, e_j, e_k] + \dots + \lambda_de_d[e_i, e_j, e_k] + z_x[e_i, e_j, e_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Leibniz rule we obtain

$$[e_i, e_j, e_k z_x] = z_x[e_i, e_j, e_k] + e_k[e_i, e_j, z_x] = z_x[e_i, e_j, e_k].$$

For element  $e_k z_x$  we have the decomposition  $e_k z_x = \nu_1e_1 + \dots + \nu_de_d + z_{x,k}$ . Therefore

$$[e_i, e_j, e_k z_x] = [e_i, e_j, \nu_1e_1 + \dots + \nu_de_d + z_{x,k}] = \nu_1[e_i, e_j, e_1] + \dots + \nu_d[e_i, e_j, e_d].$$

These equalities show that  $K$  as a vector space is generated by the elements  $[e_i, e_j, e_k]$ ,  $e_s[e_i, e_j, e_k]$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq d$ . It follows that  $K$  has a dimension at most

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{6} + d \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{6} &= \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{6}(d+1) \\ &= \frac{d(d^2-1)(d-2)}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion  $[K, K, K] \leq [P, P, P] \leq K$  shows that  $K$  is a subalgebra of  $P$ .

Moreover,  $[K, P, P] \leq [P, P, P] \leq K$ , so that  $K$  is an ideal of Lie 3-algebra  $P(+, [-, -, -])$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Dixon M.R., Kurdachenko L.A., Otal J. *Linear analogues of theorems of Schur, Baer and Hall*. Int. J. Group Theory. 2013; **2**(1): pp. 79–89. doi:10.22108/IJGT.2013.2441
- [2] Dixon M.R., Kurdachenko L.A., Pypka A.A. *On some variant of theorems of Schur and Baer*. Milan. J. Math. 2014; **82**(2): pp. 233–241. doi:10.1007/s00032-014-0215-9
- [3] Dixon M.R., Kurdachenko L.A., Pypka A.A. *The theorems of Schur and Baer: a survey*. Int. J. Group Theory 2015; **4**(1): pp. 21–32. doi:10.22108/IJGT.2015.7376
- [4] Galmak A.M. *On the Schur theorem for  $n$ -ary groups*. Ukr. Math. J. 2006; **58**(6): pp. 730–741. doi:10.1007/s11253-006-0106-5

- [5] Kurdachenko L.A., Otal J., Pypka A.A. *On the structure and some numerical properties of subgroups and factor-groups defined by automorphism groups*. J. Algebra Appl. 2015; **14**(5): no. 1550075. doi:10.1142/S0219498815500759
- [6] Kurdachenko L.A., Otal J., Pypka A.A. *Relationships between the factors of the canonical central series of Leibniz algebras*. Eur. J. Math. 2016; **2**(2): pp. 565–577. doi:10.1007/s40879-016-0093-5
- [7] Kurdachenko L.A., Otal J., Pypka A.A. *Relationships between the factors of the upper and the lower central series of a group*. B. Malays. Math. Sci. So. 2016; **39**(3): pp. 1115–1124. doi:10.1007/s40840-015-0222-1
- [8] Kurdachenko L.A., Pypka O.O. *On some generalizations of Baer’s theorem*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2014; **6**(2): pp. 310–316. doi:10.15330/cmp.6.2.310-316
- [9] Kurdachenko L.A., Pypka A.A., Subbotin I.Ya. *On some relations between the factors of the upper and lower central series in Lie algebras*. Serdica Math. J. 2015; **41**(2-3): pp. 293–306.
- [10] Kurdachenko L.A., Pypka A.A., Subbotin I.Ya. *On new analogs of some classical group theoretical results in Lie rings*. In: Baginski, P., Fine, B., Gaglione, A.M. (eds.) Infinite Group Theory. From the Past to the Future, World Scientific, New Jersey, 197-213 (2018). doi:10.1142/9789813204058\_0011
- [11] Kurdachenko L.A., Pypka A.A., Subbotin I.Ya. *On extension of classical Baer results to Poisson algebras*. Algebra Discrete Math. 2021; **31**(1): pp. 84–108. doi:10.12958/adm1758
- [12] Kurdachenko L.A., Subbotin I.Ya. *A brief history of an important classical theorem*. Adv. Group Theory Appl. 2016; **2**: pp. 121–124 (2016). doi:10.4399/97888548970148
- [13] Kurdachenko L.A., Subbotin I.Ya., Chupordia V.A. *On the relations between the central factor-module and the derived submodule in modules over group rings*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 2015; **56**(4): pp. 433–445. doi:10.14712/1213-7243.2015.136
- [14] Neumann B.H. *Groups with finite classes of conjugate elements*. P. London Math. Soc. 1951; **1**(1): pp. 178–187. doi:10.1112/plms/s3-1.1.178
- [15] Pypka A.A. *Relationships between the factors of the central series and the nilpotent residual in some infinite groups*. Adv. Group Theory Appl. 2017; **4**: pp. 65–82. doi:10.4399/97888255086975
- [16] Riley D., Shahada M. *Relationships between the canonical ascending and descending central series of ideals of an associative algebra*. Commun. Algebra. 2017; **45**(5): pp. 1969–1982. doi:10.1080/00927872.2016.1226871

- [17] Stewart I.N. *Verbal and marginal properties of non-associative algebras in the spirit of infinite group theory*. P. London Math. Soc. 1974; **28**(1): pp. 129–140. doi:10.1112/plms/s3-28.1.129
- [18] Saeedi F., Veisi B. *On Schur theorem and it converses for  $n$ -Lie algebras*. Linear Multilinear Algebra. 2014; **62**(9): pp. 1139–1145. doi:10.1080/03081087.2013.809871
- [19] Ushakov V.I. *Topological groups with bicomact classes of conjugate subgroups*. Mat. Sb. 1964; **63**(105): pp. 277–283.