

On the integrability of generalized N -center problems

Eddaly Guerra-Velasco^{1,2†}, Boris A. Percino-Figueroa^{1*†},
Russell-Aarón Quiñones-Estrella^{1†}

^{1*}Facultad de Ciencias en Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Carr. Emiliano Zapata km 8, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, 29050, Chiapas, México.

² CONAHCyT, Av. de los Insurgentes Sur 1528, Benito Juárez, 03940, Ciudad de México, México.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): boris.percino@unach.mx;
Contributing authors: edaly.velasco@unach.mx, eguerra@conahcyt.mx;
russell.quinones@unach.mx;

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

In this paper, we study the rational integrability of the N -center problem with rational weak and moderate forces. We show that the problem is not rationally integrable for all but a finite number of values $\alpha \in]0, 2[$, where α is the order of the singularities. We identify the remaining cases and give the necessary conditions for integrability.

Keywords: Non-integrability, Celestial Mechanics, N -center problem, Hamiltonian Systems

MSC Classification: 37J30 , 70F15

1 Introduction

This paper deals with the rational integrability of the N - center problem in \mathbb{R}^d . To be more specific, let m_1, \dots, m_N positive masses located at fixed position vectors

$c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$, assume that $c_i \neq c_j$ if $i \neq j$. The potential gives the law governing the motion of a particle $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$U(q) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\|q - c_i\|^\alpha}, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha \in]0, 2[$ and for $q = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|q\|$ denotes the Euclidian norm

$$\|q\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i^2.$$

In this case, the Hamiltonian of the system is defined on the set $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\Omega = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid q \neq c_k, k = 1, \dots, N\}$, and is given by

$$H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} \|p\|^2 + U(q). \quad (2)$$

The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_j}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}(q, p), \\ \frac{p_j}{dt} &= - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_j}(q, p). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $(q, p) = (q_1, \dots, q_d, p_1, \dots, p_d)$.

A special case of this class of Hamiltonians, and also the most studied, is the N -center problem of celestial mechanics, in which $d = 2$ or 3 , $\alpha = 1$ and the potential is given by

$$U(q) = - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{m_j}{\|q - c_j\|}.$$

This is a simplified model for a Newtonian $(N + 1)$ -body problem, assuming that one of masses moves faster than the other N . For $N = 1$, this is the Kepler problem, which describes the evolution of the 2-body problem and whose dynamics is well understood actually [1].

For a general Hamiltonian system (3) defined by a function $H : M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $M \neq \emptyset$ open, a *first integral* is a C^1 function $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that is constant along each solution of (3). This is equivalent to the fact that the *Poisson bracket* of F and H is zero, that is to say:

$$\{F, H\} = \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \right) = 0.$$

Two functions F, G such that $\{F, G\} = 0$ are said to be in *involution*.

A Hamiltonian system defined by $H : M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called (*rationally*) *integrable* if there are d (rational) first integrals F_1, \dots, F_d that are in involution and such that $\nabla F_1, \dots, \nabla F_d$ are linearly independent in a dense subset of M . It is well known that orbits in an integrable system are conjugated to orbits of linear flows on d -dimensional tori contained in M ; on the other hand, orbits of a nonintegrable system tend to be chaotic [1]. Therefore, it is crucial to determine whether or not a Hamiltonian system is integrable.

Different methods have been studied for a long time to prove the integrability of some Hamiltonian systems. Noether's Theorem, for instance, establishes that if the system possesses symmetry, then it possesses some first integrals [1, 2] Kepler's problem features rotational symmetry; thus, the angular momentum is a first integral. Another approach is the Hamilton-Jacobi method, which consists of finding smooth global solutions to the associated Hamilton-Jacobi equation. If these solutions exist, they can be used to define new coordinates so that the system in these coordinates is decoupled [3].

Differential Galois theory has recently provided a powerful tool to determine the integrability of certain differential equations. In the case of the N -body problem, using the Morales–Ramis theory, Maciejewski and Przybylska proved in [4] the non-integrability of the three-body problem for any fixed masses.

As we mentioned before, the Kepler problem is integrable. On the other hand, Euler found a first integral of motion for the planar 2-center problem and, therefore, remains in the class of integrable systems.

As already discovered by Poincaré, in the case $\alpha \geq 2$ (usually known as a strong force system), it is easy to find periodic solutions using variational methods [5]. By using differential Galois theory, Shibayama [6] showed, in the Newtonian spatial case (*i.e.* $\alpha = 1, d = 3$), the non-integrability of the N -center problem. In contrast, Bolotin [5] and Koslov [7] proved, by geometric methods, that the planar N -center problem, $N \geq 3$, is not integrable for moderate forces. By moderate forces, we mean that $1 < \alpha < 2$; recall that by weak forces, it is meant $0 < \alpha < 1$. This paper follows Shibayama's ideas to extend his results to non-planar weak and moderate forces $\alpha \in]0, 2[$, with α a rational number.

The method is the following. Since the potential (1) is neither meromorphic nor homogeneous, it is necessary to find a new related meromorphic and homogeneous Hamiltonian H_0 such that if H is rationally integrable, then H_0 is also rationally integrable. Thus, we focus our attention on the integrability of H_0 . Theorem 2 in [8] in particular implies that the variational equation along a non-stationary orbit of the system associated with H_0 is solvable; see [8], [9] for more details in the Differential Galois Theory in Hamiltonian systems. Therefore, we compute a non-stationary solution of the Hamiltonian system associated with H_0 and the variational equation along this solution. Finally, we use a theorem by Kimura (Theorem 3) to give conditions for solvability, resulting in conditions for the integrability of the original Hamiltonian system. An example of this procedure arises from the N -center problem, where some centers are in a regular convex polygon (see Example 5)

2 Homogenization procedure

As we said before, we aim to apply Combet's Theorem [8] on meromorphic homogeneous potentials to have necessary conditions for the integrability of system (3) in the general N -center problem setting. The main difficulty is that once extended to \mathbb{C}^d , the potential (1) is neither meromorphic nor homogeneous. Therefore, we must switch the problem to a convenient meromorphic homogeneous potential. To this end, let $c_k = (c_k^1, \dots, c_k^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the centers in the canonical coordinates and extend the domain and Hamiltonian function from $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$. We will denote the extension H with the same symbol. Now classify the set of singularities through

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid (x_1 - c_k^1)^2 + \dots + (x_d - c_k^d)^2 = 0\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

Notice that the \mathcal{S}_k 's are complex analytic varieties of co-dimension 1; some can intersect.

We can assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{S}_l \neq \emptyset$. Consider a singularity $s \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{S}_l$ but $s \notin \mathcal{S}_{l+1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{S}_N$. Let us notice that, even when the kinetic term $\frac{1}{2} \|p\|^2$ is holomorphic and homogeneous, the potential terms

$$U(q) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{m_i}{\|q - c_i\|^\alpha},$$

are not. From now on, α will denote a rational number, say $\alpha = \frac{r}{s}$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r, s > 0$.

In order to homogenize the problem consider $x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_d y_d$, $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda(q, p) &= \lambda^{2r} H(\lambda^{4s} q^+ e, \lambda^{-r} p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|p\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{m_i}{[\lambda^{4s} q \cdot q - 2q \cdot (e - c_i)]^{\frac{r}{2s}}} \\ &\quad - \lambda^{2r} \sum_{i=l+1}^N \frac{m_i}{\lambda^{8s}(q \cdot q + \lambda^{4s} 2q \cdot (e - c_i)) + (e - c_i) \cdot (e - c_i)} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using the principal branch of logarithm and expanding in the Taylor series near $\lambda = 0$, we get

$$H_\lambda(q, p) = H_0(q, p) + \lambda H_1(q, p) + \dots,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(q, p) &= \frac{1}{2} \|p\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{m_i}{[2q(e - c_i)]^{\frac{\alpha}{2s}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|p\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{m_i}{[2q(e - c_i)]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let us notice that the new Hamiltonian H_0 is meromorphic and homogeneous, and the corresponding Hamilton's equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q} &= p \\ \dot{p} &= \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{m_i}{\frac{\alpha}{2}[2q \cdot (e - c_i)]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}} (e - c_i). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $F : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a rational function and consider

$$F_\lambda(q, p) = F(\lambda^{4s}q + e, \lambda^{-r}p);$$

the singularity $\lambda = 0$ is a pole, since F is rational. Hence we can write the Laurent series of $F(\lambda^{4s}q + e, \lambda^{-r}p)$ with respect to λ as follows

$$F_\lambda(q, p) = F(\lambda^{4s}q + e, \lambda^{-r}p) = \lambda^k F_0(q, p) + \lambda^{k+1} F_1(q, p) + \dots, \quad k < 0. \quad (6)$$

Remark 1. *If ∇F and ∇H are linearly independent, then ∇F_λ and ∇H_λ are also linearly independent.*

For the next part, we introduce the following terminology about the Laurent series. Given a Laurent series

$$F(\lambda) = a_k \lambda^k + a_{k+1} \lambda^{k+1} + \dots$$

with $a_k \neq 0$, we call the coefficient a_k the *lowest part of $F(\lambda)$* .

Lemma 1. *If H has a rational first integral F such that ∇F is linearly independent to ∇H then there is a rational first integral \tilde{F} for H such that $\nabla \tilde{F}, \nabla H$ are linearly independent and $\nabla \tilde{F}_0, \nabla H_0$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. If ∇F_0 and ∇H_0 are linearly independent, it is enough to take $\tilde{F} = F$.

Assume that $\nabla F_0, \nabla H_0$ are linearly dependent. Since ∇H_0 is different from zero, there is a constant C_1 such that

$$\nabla F_0 = C_1 \nabla H_0. \quad (7)$$

Let

$$F^{(1)} = F - \lambda^{k+2r} C_1 H$$

and

$$F_\lambda^{(1)} = F_\lambda - \lambda^k C_1 H_\lambda$$

$$= (F_0 - C_1 H_0) \lambda^k + (F_1 - C_1 H_1) \lambda^{k+1} + \dots$$

then by (7)

$$\nabla F_\lambda^{(1)} = (\nabla F_1 - C_1 H_1) \lambda^{k+1} + \dots$$

and the lowest part of $\nabla F_\lambda^{(1)}$ is different from ∇F_0 . Notice that

$$\nabla F_\lambda - \lambda^k C_1 \nabla H_\lambda \neq 0,$$

due to Remark 1. Therefore there is $j_1 \geq 1$ such that lowest part of $\nabla F^{(1)}$ is $(\nabla F_{j_1} - C_1 \nabla H_{j_1})$. Now if $(\nabla F_{j_1} - C_1 \nabla H_{j_1})$ and ∇H_0 are linearly independent, we take $\tilde{F} = F^{(1)}$.

If $(\nabla F_{j_1} - C_1 \nabla H_{j_1})$ and ∇H_0 are linearly dependent, there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$(\nabla F_{j_1} - C_1 \nabla H_{j_1}) = C_2 \nabla H_0,$$

and we define

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(2)} &= F^{(1)} - \lambda^{k+j_1+2r} C_2 H \\ &= F - \lambda^{k+2r} C_1 H - \lambda^{k+j_1+2r} C_2 H \\ &= F - \lambda^{k+2r} (C_1 + \lambda^{j_1} C_2) H. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding recursively, we construct functions

$$F^{(m)} = F - \lambda^{k+2r} (C_1 + \lambda^{j_1} C_2 + \lambda^{j_2} C_2 + \dots + \lambda^{j_{m-1}} C_m) H. \quad (8)$$

We claim that this process can not go further indefinitely. Otherwise, we would have the following series

$$\phi(\lambda) = C_1 + \lambda^{j_1} C_2 + \lambda^{j_2} C_2 + \dots + \lambda^{j_{m-1}} C_m \dots,$$

and it is not difficult to see that $\phi(\lambda)$ converges if and only if $\nabla F^{(m)}$ converges to zero.

The last is true due to the convergence of the series of F_λ and H_λ . This, in turn, implies that

$$\nabla F - \lambda^{k+2r} \phi(\lambda) \nabla H = 0$$

contradicting the linear independence of ∇F and ∇H .

Finally, by the properties of the Poisson bracket, each $F^{(m)}$ is a first integral of H . In particular, the same is true for \tilde{F} . \square

We can generalize a proposition by Shibayama [6].

Proposition 2. *If the Hamiltonian H given in (2) is integrable, then the Hamiltonian H_0 is integrable.*

Proof. Suppose that H is rationally integrable and let $F : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a rational first integral for H such that ∇F and ∇H are linearly independent. As in the previous

discussion, consider the function $F_\lambda(p, q) = F(\lambda^{4s}q^+e, \lambda^{-r}p)$, and expand in Laurent series

$$F(\lambda^{4s}q^+e, \lambda^{-r}p) = \lambda^K F_0(q, p) + \lambda^{K+1} F_1(q, p) + \lambda^{K+2} F_2(q, p) + \dots, \quad K < 0.$$

We can assume that ∇F_0 and ∇H_0 are linearly independent; if this is not the case, we switch F by the correspondent first integral \bar{F} of H given by Lemma 1.

On the other hand, by using the properties of the Poisson bracket, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{H(\lambda^{4s}q^+e, \lambda^{-r}p), F(\lambda^{4s}q^+e, \lambda^{-r}p)\} = \\ \lambda^{K-2r} \{H_0, F_0\}(q, p) + \lambda^{K+1-2r} (\{H_1, F_0\}(q, p) + \{H_0, F_1\}(q, p)) \\ + o(\lambda^{K+1-2r}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From $\{H, F\} = 0$ and the uniqueness of the Laurent series expansion, we have that

$$\{H_0, F_0\} = 0,$$

this means, H_0 is a rational first integral of H such that ∇F_0 and ∇H_0 are linearly independent. \square

3 Variational equation

This section aims to compute the variational equation along a particular solution and reduce it conveniently to a second-order differential equation. The equations of motion for H_0 are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dq}{dt} &= p \\ \frac{dp}{dt} &= \sum_i \frac{m_i}{\frac{\alpha}{2}[2q \cdot (e - c_i)]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}} (e - c_i). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

As customary, we look for homographic type solutions for (9), this means, solutions of the form

$$q(t) = g(t)(v_1, \dots, v_d) \quad (10)$$

where $V = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is a fixed vector to be determined. Let us notice that this type of solution is inspired by the homographic solutions associated with the N-body problem, giving rise to the so-called central configurations. We will not have any difficulties finding such a vector V since we are taking advantage of the fact that \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed.

Plugin (10) in (9), we get that there is a constant C such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \frac{m_i}{\alpha[v_i(e - c_i)]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}} (e - c_i) = C \quad (11)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 g(t)}{dt^2} = \frac{C}{(g(t))^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}}. \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) has solutions for any constant $C \in \mathbb{C}$, while equation (12) is easily solvable by separation of variables. Once a particular solution $q(t)$ of the form (10) has been chosen, we have that the variational equation for (9) around $q(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{Y}_1 & \dot{Y}_2 \\ \dot{Y}_3 & \dot{Y}_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_3 & Y_4 \\ B(q(t))Y_1 & B(q(t))Y_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where each block is a $d \times d$ matrix and $B(q)$ has entries

$$B_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{(\alpha+2)m_k(e_i - c_i^k)(e_j - c_j^k)}{[2q \cdot (e - c_k)]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}}. \quad (14)$$

Analyzing the blocks of (13), we see that we have to deal with two equations of the form

$$\ddot{X} = B(q(t))X. \quad (15)$$

From now on, we focus on (15).

Recalling that $q(t) = g(t)V$, substituting in (15) and writing

$$A = B(g(t)V), \quad (16)$$

we have an explicit form of the variational equation, namely

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} = \frac{AX}{g^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}}. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, system (12) is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function

$$\bar{H}(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha}Cx^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

thus, we can fix the energy of $g(t)$, that is to say,

$$\frac{1}{2}g'^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha}Cg^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = h \quad (18)$$

for some constant h . Let us introduce the new variable

$$w = \frac{K}{g(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \quad \text{with } K = 2Ch, \quad (19)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dw}{dt} &= -\frac{\alpha}{2}Kg(t)^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}g'(t), \\ \frac{d^2w}{dt^2} &= \frac{\alpha(\alpha+2)}{4}Kg^{-\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}(g')^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}Kg^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}g''.\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

By using (12),(17),(18), (19) and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2X}{dt^2} &= \frac{d^2X}{dw^2} \left(\frac{dw}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{dX}{dw} \frac{d^2w}{dt^2} \\ &= \frac{d^2X}{dw^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 K^2 h}{2}g^{-(\alpha+2)} - \alpha C K^2 g^{-\frac{3\alpha+4}{2}}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{dX}{dw} \left(\frac{Kh\alpha(\alpha+2)}{2}g^{-\frac{\alpha+4}{2}} - \left(\frac{3\alpha}{2} + 2\right)KCg^{-(\alpha+2)}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}AX &= g^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}} \frac{d^2X}{dt^2} \\ &= \frac{d^2X}{dw^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 K^2 h}{2}g^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \alpha C K^2 g^{-\alpha}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{dX}{dw} \left(\frac{Kh\alpha(\alpha+2)}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(\alpha+2)KCg^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

then

$$C^{-1}AX = \frac{d^2X}{dw^2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 Kh}{2C}w - \alpha w^2\right) + \frac{dX}{dw} \left(\frac{Kh\alpha(\alpha+2)}{2C} - \left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + 2\right)w\right). \quad (23)$$

Defining $\tilde{w} = \sqrt{\alpha}w$, we have

$$\frac{dX}{d\tilde{w}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{dX}{dw},$$

and we can rewrite (23) as

$$C^{-1}AX = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d^2X}{d\tilde{w}^2} (1 - \tilde{w})\tilde{w} + \left((\alpha+2) - \left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + 2\right)\tilde{w}\right) \frac{dX}{d\tilde{w}}. \quad (24)$$

If we assume that A is diagonalizable with eigenvalues a_1, \dots, a_d , after diagonalizing A each component of (24) is represented by

$$\alpha C^{-1}a_k \xi = \frac{d^2\xi}{d\tilde{w}^2} (1 - \tilde{w}) + \left((\alpha+2) - \left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + 2\right)\tilde{w}\right) \frac{d\xi}{d\tilde{w}}, \quad (25)$$

1	$\frac{1}{2} + l$	$\frac{1}{2} + m$	rational number	
2	$\frac{1}{2} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{3} + q$	
3	$\frac{2}{3} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{3} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
4	$\frac{1}{2} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{4} + q$	
5	$\frac{2}{3} + l$	$\frac{1}{4} + m$	$\frac{1}{4} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
6	$\frac{1}{2} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{5} + q$	
7	$\frac{2}{5} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{3} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
8	$\frac{2}{3} + l$	$\frac{1}{5} + m$	$\frac{1}{5} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
9	$\frac{1}{2} + l$	$\frac{2}{5} + m$	$\frac{1}{5} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
10	$\frac{3}{5} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{3} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
11	$\frac{2}{5} + l$	$\frac{2}{5} + m$	$\frac{2}{5} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
12	$\frac{2}{3} + l$	$\frac{1}{3} + m$	$\frac{1}{5} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
13	$\frac{4}{5} + l$	$\frac{1}{5} + m$	$\frac{1}{5} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
14	$\frac{1}{2} + l$	$\frac{2}{5} + m$	$\frac{1}{3} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer
15	$\frac{3}{5} + l$	$\frac{2}{5} + m$	$\frac{1}{3} + q$	$l + m + q$ even integer

Table 1 Schwarz table

or equivalently

$$\tilde{w}(1 - \tilde{w}) \frac{d^2 \xi}{d\tilde{w}^2} + (\gamma - (\delta + \beta + 1)\tilde{w}) \frac{d\xi}{d\tilde{w}} - \delta\beta\xi = 0, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\gamma = \alpha + 2, \delta + \beta = \frac{3}{2}\alpha + 1 \text{ and } \delta\beta = \frac{\alpha a_k}{C}. \quad (27)$$

Equation (26) is the announced reduced form of (17).

4 Integrability criteria

To have criteria of integrability for (3), we need to analyze the solvability of equation (26); we will make use of the following result by T. Kimura:

Theorem 3 ([10]). *Define the constants $\lambda = 1 - \gamma$, $\mu = \gamma - \delta - \beta$ and $\nu = \beta - \delta$. Then equation (26) has only rational solutions if and only if one of the following statements holds.*

1. *Exactly two or four of the constants $\lambda + \mu + \nu$, $\lambda - \mu - \nu$, $\lambda - \mu + \nu$, $\lambda + \mu - \nu$ are odd integers.*
2. *The quantities $\pm\lambda, \pm\mu, \pm\nu$ take, in arbitrary order, values given in the Schwarz table, see Table 1, with $l, m, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Substituting (27) in the definitions of λ , μ , and ν , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= -\alpha - 1 \\ \mu &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \\ \nu_k &= \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha + 1\right)^2 - 4\frac{\alpha a_k}{C}}\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Notice that λ and μ are completely determined by α . We can now compare (28) with Kimura's Theorem 3 to prove the main result of this paper, giving necessary conditions for the integrability of the N -center problem system (3).

Main Theorem 4. *If the N -center problem (3) is rationally integrable, then for every $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, one of the cases in the following table holds for α and a_k/C .*

	α	ν_k
1	1	$\sqrt{\frac{25}{4} - \frac{4a_k}{C}} \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$
2	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\sqrt{9 - \frac{16a_k}{3C}} \in (2\mathbb{Z} + 1) \cup (\frac{4}{3} + 2\mathbb{Z}) \cup (\frac{6}{5} + 2\mathbb{Z})$
3	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\sqrt{1 - \frac{2a_k}{3C}} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \cup (\frac{1}{3} + 2\mathbb{Z}) \cup (\frac{1}{5} + 2\mathbb{Z})$
4	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{\frac{49}{16} - \frac{2a_k}{C}} \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$
5	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\sqrt{\frac{169}{16} - \frac{6a_k}{C}} \in (\frac{1}{3} + \mathbb{Z}) \cup (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$
6	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{121 - \frac{80a_k}{C}} \in \frac{1}{3} + 2\mathbb{Z}$
7	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\sqrt{\frac{289}{25} - \frac{32a_k}{5C}} \in \frac{3}{2} + 2\mathbb{Z}$

Table 2 Integrability Criteria

In particular, if α is not in the previous table, the N -center problem (3) is not integrable.

Proof. To verify the first condition in Theorem 3, assume that $\lambda + \mu + \nu_k$, $\lambda + \mu - \nu_k$ are odd integers, adding these up, we obtain that $\lambda + \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $\lambda + \mu = -\frac{3}{2}\alpha$, since $\alpha \in (0, 2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ we have that $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ or $\alpha = \frac{4}{3}$.

If $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ then $\lambda + \mu = -1$, thus $\nu_k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. But $\nu_k = 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{2a_k}{3C}}$, we conclude that $\sqrt{1 - \frac{2a_k}{3C}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $\alpha = \frac{4}{3}$, we have that $\lambda + \mu = -2$, so $\nu_k \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, or $\sqrt{9 - \frac{16a_k}{3C}} \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

Assume now that $\lambda + \mu + \nu_k, \lambda - \mu - \nu_k \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, adding up again, we deduce that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $\lambda = -1 - af \in \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $\alpha = 1$. Thus $\sqrt{\frac{25}{4} - \frac{4a_k}{C}} \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$

If $\lambda + \mu - \nu_k, \lambda - \mu - \nu_k \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ then $\nu_k = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore $\alpha \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, since $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ we conclude that this case is not possible. The other cases can be discarded similarly.

Based on the previous computations, the four constants cannot be odd integers simultaneously.

Suppose now that λ, μ, ν_k appear in the Schwarz Table 1 in theorem 3, in particular, λ has to be a value in the same table, using that $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and recalling that $\lambda = -1 - \alpha$ we can determine the possible values of α obtaining that

$$\alpha \in \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{9}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{7}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{6}{5} \right\}, \quad (29)$$

substituting in μ and ν_k and contrasting again with the Schwarz Table 1 we can locate the values of α such that the triple λ, μ, ν_k appears in the table. For instance, if $\alpha = 1$, then $\lambda = -2, \mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu_k = \sqrt{\frac{25}{4} - \frac{4a_k}{C}}$ this triple appears in the table, whenever $\sqrt{\frac{25}{4} - \frac{4a_k}{C}} \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$.

If $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$, then $\lambda = -\frac{4}{3}, \mu = \frac{5}{6}$, notice that $\frac{5}{6}$ is not a value in the Schwarz Table, therefore we can discard $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$.

Exhausting every case in (29), putting it all together, we obtain Table 2. □

5 Generalized N-center problem with polygonal configuration

In this section, we aim to prove that, in the 3-dimensional case, if some centers feature certain rotational symmetry, the N center problem with unitary masses is not rationally integrable. We have the following result.

Theorem 5. *In the 3 dimensional space $d = 3$, assume $N \geq 3$ and that there is an integer $3 \leq l \leq N$ such that l centers are located at the vertices of a plane regular convex l -gon. The N -center problem with masses $m_i = 1, i = 1 \dots, N, \alpha \in (0, 2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is not rationally integrable.*

Proof. We can assume, without loss of generality, that the plane containing the l -gone is the plane $z = 0$; we also assume that the center of the l -gone is the origin of coordinates, then we can write, relabeling the centers if necessary, $c_k = (c_k^1, c_k^2, 0), k = 1, \dots, l$, and

$$c_k^1 = \cos\left(\frac{2k\pi}{l}\right), c_k^2 = \sin\left(\frac{2k\pi}{l}\right), k = 1, \dots, l. \quad (30)$$

Suppose that $V = (0, 0, v_3)$ and $(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (0, 0, \pm i)$ satisfy

$$C(0, 0, v_3) = \sum_k^l \frac{1}{[2(-c_k^1, -c_k^2, \pm i) \cdot (0, 0, v_3)]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}} (-c_k^1, -c_k^2, \pm i) \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_k^l \frac{(-\cos(\frac{2\pi k}{l}), -\sin(\frac{2\pi k}{l}), \pm i)}{[2(\pm i v_3)]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}}, \quad (32)$$

It follows that

$$v_3^{\alpha+4} = \frac{2^2 l^2}{2^{\alpha+4} C^2 i^{\alpha+4}}. \quad (33)$$

Now we can compute the matrix A given in (16). By using (14) and (16) and assuming that $l \geq 3$ we have, we have that

$$A_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{(\alpha+2)(e_i - c_i^k)(e_j - c_j^k)}{[2V \cdot (e - c_k)]^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}},$$

by using this and with (30), we obtain that

$$A_{11} = \frac{\alpha+2}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^l \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi k}{l}\right) = \frac{(\alpha+2)l}{2(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}}; \quad (34)$$

$$A_{12} = A_{21} = \frac{(\alpha+2)}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^l \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{l}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{l}\right) = 0; \quad (35)$$

$$A_{22} = \frac{(\alpha+2)}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^l \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi k}{l}\right) = \frac{(\alpha+2)l}{2(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}}; \quad (36)$$

$$A_{13} = A_{31} = \frac{(\alpha+2)}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^l -\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{l}\right) i = 0; \quad (37)$$

$$A_{23} = A_{32} = \frac{(\alpha+2)}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^l -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{l}\right) l = 0; \quad (38)$$

$$A_{33} = \frac{(\alpha+2)}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^l i^2 = -\frac{(\alpha+2)l}{(2iv_3)^{\frac{\alpha+4}{2}}}. \quad (39)$$

therefore, the matrix A is diagonal, with eigenvalues A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33} . From (33) we have

$$\frac{a_1}{C} = \frac{A_{11}}{C} = \pm \frac{\alpha+2}{4} = \frac{a_2}{C}, \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{a_3}{C} = \frac{A_{22}}{C} = \mp \frac{\alpha+2}{2} \quad (41)$$

we conclude that

$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \sqrt{\frac{13}{4}\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + 1}; \quad (42)$$

$$\nu_3 = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (43)$$

Comparing these formulas with the Table 2 of Theorem 4, we have the following values for ν_1 and ν_3 .

α	$\nu_1 = \nu_2$	ν_3
1	$\frac{\sqrt{37}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{11}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2\sqrt{13}}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{64}}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$
$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{253}}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{177}}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$
$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{\sqrt{433}}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$

We conclude that for any α on the Table 2, ν_1 does not satisfy the integrability condition given in Theorem 4. \square

Remark 2. Notice that $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to Shibayama's result [6]; actually, Case 1 in Table 2 recovers Shibayama's criterion. It is remarkable that, in Theorem 5, we only need a subset of centers forming a regular polygon to obtain non-integrability; there isn't any restriction on the configuration of the remaining centers.

We finish this work with the following open problems.

Question Is there an irrational number $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ such that the associated N -center problem is integrable?

Problem It is still an open problem if whether or not non rational first integrals exist for the N -center problem.

References

- [1] Knauf, A.: Mathematical Physics: Classical Mechanics, 2nd ed. edn. UNITEXT-La matematica per il 3+2, vol. 109. Springer, Germany (2018)
- [2] K. Meyer, G. R. Hall, D. Offin: Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and the N Body Problem, 2nd ed. edn. Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 90. Springer, New York (2009)
- [3] Arnold, V.I.: Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics. GTM, vol. 60. Springer, New York (1979)
- [4] Maciejewski, M. A. J.; Przybylska: Non-integrability of the three-body problem. Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom. **110**, 17–30 (2003) <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10569-010-9333-z>.
- [5] Bolotin, S.V.: Nonintegrability of the n -center problem for $n > 2$. Vestnik Mosk. Gos. Univ., ser. I, math. mekh. **3**, 65–68 (1984)
- [6] Shibayama, M.: Non-integrability of the spatial n -center problem. J. Differential Equations **265**, 2461–2469 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2018.04.037>
- [7] Kozlov, V.: Topological obstructions to the integrability of natural mechanical systems. Soviet Math. Dokl **20**, 1413–1415 (1979)

- [8] Combot, T.: Non-integrability of the equal mass n -body problem with non-zero angular momentum. *Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom.* **114**, 319–340 (1969)
- [9] Morales-Ruiz, J.: *Differential Galois Theory and Non-Integrability of Hamiltonian Systems*, 2nd ed. edn. Birkhauser, Basel (1999)
- [10] Kimura, T.: On riemann's equations, which are solvable by quadratures. *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* **12**, 269–281 (1992)