

Bipartite powers of some classes of bipartite graphs

Indrajit Paul, Ashok Kumar Das*

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta

Email Address - paulindrajit199822@gmail.com &
ashokdas.cu@gmail.com

April 29, 2024

Abstract

Graph powers are a well-studied concept in graph theory. Analogous to graph powers, Chandran et al.[3] introduced the concept of bipartite powers for bipartite graphs. In this paper, we will demonstrate that some well-known classes of bipartite graphs, namely the interval bigraphs, proper interval bigraphs, and bigraphs of Ferrers dimension 2, are closed under the operation of taking bipartite powers. Finally, we define strongly closed property for bipartite graphs under powers and have shown that the class of chordal bipartite graphs is strongly closed under powers.

Keywords: Bipartite power, Interval bigraphs, proper interval bigraphs, Ferrers dimension, strongly closed.

1. Introduction

Consider a simple, finite, and connected graph $G = (V, E)$. For any pair of vertices u and v in G , let $d_G(u, v)$ denote the distance between u and v in G , which is the length of the shortest path from u to v in G . For a positive integer k , the k th power of G , denoted as G^k , is a graph with the same vertex set as G . In G^k , two vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if $d_G(u, v) \leq k$. A graph class \mathcal{C} is said to be *closed under powers* if $G \in \mathcal{C}$ implies that $G^k \in \mathcal{C}$ for any positive integer k . Also a graph class \mathcal{C} is said to be *strongly closed under powers* if for $k \in \mathbf{N}$, if $G^k \in \mathcal{C}$ then $G^{k+1} \in \mathcal{C}$.

Powers of graphs have been studied from different aspects. Motwani and Sudan[16], and Brandstädt et al.[1], Bandelt et al.[2] respectively studied power graphs from algorithmic and other aspects. But it is interesting to the researchers to investigate which classes of graphs are closed and strongly closed under powers. Raychaudhuri [18, 19], Flotow [12] and others have demonstrated that several classes of graphs, like interval graphs, circular arc graphs, chordal graphs, comparability graphs are closed under powers. Raychaudhuri and Flotow also proved that interval graphs, proper interval graphs, trapezoid graphs are strongly closed

*Corresponding author

under powers. In a recent paper Das and Paul [9] demonstrated that circular arc graphs and proper circular arc graphs are strongly closed under powers.

Bipartite graphs, often referred to as bigraphs, denoted as $B = (X, Y, E)$, where the vertex set of B is partitioned into two stable sets, X and Y . In a bigraph, one endpoint of an edge belongs to the X partite set, while the other belongs to the Y partite set.

It is natural to extend the notion of graph powers for the class of bipartite graphs. It is well known that bipartite graphs do not contain any odd cycle. But the even powers of a bigraph contains odd cycles hence they are not bipartite graphs. Thus, when we define B^k for a bigraph B , k must be odd.

Considering this fact in mind, Chandran et al.[3] introduced the concept of bipartite power graphs. For a bipartite graph B , the bipartite power graph $B^{[k]}$ is defined as follows: it shares the same vertex set as B , and two vertices in $B^{[k]}$ are adjacent if their distance in B is an odd natural number and less than or equal to k . They demonstrated that for any tree T , $T^{[k]}$ is a chordal bipartite graph when k is odd. Chandran and Mathew [4] further strengthened this result, proving that the class of chordal bipartite graphs is indeed closed under powers.

Additionally, Okamoto et al.[17] established that interval bigraphs and bipartite permutation graphs also exhibit closure properties under bipartite powers. However, it should be noted that their definition of bipartite powers for interval bigraphs required a small modification.

In this paper, employing this modified definition, we present a new proof demonstrating the closure of the class of interval bigraphs under bipartite powers. It is important to note that the closure property of a graph class \mathcal{C} , under the bipartite power operation does not necessarily follow from the closure property of a superclass of \mathcal{C} .

It may be noted that, interval bigraphs and their subclasses, also the bigraphs of Ferrers dimension 2 are well studied and we have variety of results for these classes of bigraphs. Next, we shall use these results to give straightforward proof that the class of proper interval bigraphs is closed under bipartite powers and the class of bigraphs of Ferrers dimension 2, a superclass of the class of interval bigraphs, is closed under bipartite powers.

A class of bigraph \mathcal{C} is *strongly closed under powers* if for any odd positive integer k , if $G^{[k]} \in \mathcal{C}$ then $G^{[k+2]} \in \mathcal{C}$.

Finally, we give a simple proof that chordal bipartite graphs are strongly closed under bipartite powers.

2. Preliminaries

A bigraph $B = (X, Y, E)$ is classified as an interval bigraph if there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the vertex set $X \cup Y$ of B and a collection of intervals $\{I_v : v \in X \cup Y\}$ such that $xy \in E$ if and only if $I_x \cap I_y \neq \phi$.

The biadjacency matrix of a bipartite graph is a submatrix of its adjacency matrix, which consists of rows indexed by the vertices of one partite set and columns indexed by the other.

Ferrers bigraphs, introduced independently by Guttman[14] and Rigut[20], satisfy any of the following equivalent conditions.

- (i) The set of neighbors of any partite sets are linearly ordered by inclusion.
- (ii) The rows and columns of the biadjacency matrix can be permuted independently, so that the 1's cluster in the upper right (or, lower left) as a Ferrers diagram.
- (iii) The biadjacency matrix has no 2-by-2 permutation matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as a submatrix.

Any binary matrix, specifically a $(0, 1)$ -matrix, with only one zero can be considered as a Ferrers matrix. Consequently, every bigraph B can be represented as the intersection of a finite number of Ferrers bigraphs. The minimum number of Ferrers bigraphs required to intersect and reconstruct B is referred to as the Ferrers dimension of the bigraph B , denoted as $fdim(B)$.

Sen et al.[22] established that every interval bigraph has a Ferrers dimension of at most two. However, in the same paper, they demonstrated that the converse is not true.

A circular arc graph is formed as the intersection graph of a collection of circular arcs of a host circle. When the vertices of a circular arc graph G can be effectively covered by two separate and non-overlapping cliques, we refer to it as a two-clique circular arc graph. In the following theorem, J. Huang[15] establishes a connection between two-clique circular arc graphs and bigraphs with a Ferrers dimension of 2.

Theorem 1. *A graph G is a two-clique circular arc graph if and only if its complement \bar{G} is a bigraph of Ferrers dimension 2.*

A bigraph B is said to be chordal bipartite if it does not contain any even cycle of length at least six. Das and Sen[10] defined asteroidal triple of edges in a bigraph B as follows. Three mutually separable edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 of B is said to form asteroidal triple of edges (ATE), if for any two of them, there is a path from the vertex set of one to the vertex set of another that avoids the neighbors of the third edge. They have also shown that bigraph of Ferrers dimension 2 is chordal bipartite and ATE-free. However, the converse is not true. Trotter and Moore[23] characterized two-clique circular arc graphs in terms of forbidden induced subgraphs. They proved that G is a two-clique circular arc graph if and only if its complement \bar{G} contains no induced subgraphs of the form G_1, G_2, G_3 and several infinite families $\mathcal{C}_i (i \geq 3), \mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{N}_i (i \geq 1)$. For the figures of these graphs see [8].

Feder, Hell and Huang[13] introduced the notion of edge-asteroid to characterize two-clique circular arc graphs. An edge-asteroid is a set of $2k + 1$ edges e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2k} such that for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$ there is a path joining e_i and e_{i+1} that avoids the neighbors of e_{i+k+1} ; the subscript addition is modulo $2k + 1$.

In this context, they proved the following result.

Theorem 2. *A graph G is a two-clique circular arc graph if and only if the complement \bar{G} is chordal bipartite and contains no edge-asteroids.*

The family \mathcal{C}_i ($i \geq 3$) is a family of even cycles of length ≥ 6 . It can be easily seen that the graphs G_1, G_2, G_3 and each graph of the families $\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{D}_i$ contain exactly one ATE (an ATE can be regarded as edge-asteroid with 3 edges). Also each graph of the families \mathcal{M}_i and \mathcal{N}_i ($i \geq 1$) contains an edge-asteroid with $2(i+1) + 1$ edges. Thus from [Theorem 1](#) and [Theorem 2](#), we can state the following.

Theorem 3. *chordal bipartite graph is of Ferrers dimension 2 if and only if it does not contain ATE or edge-asteroid of order ≥ 5 .*

3. Main Result

3.1. Interval bigraphs and their powers

A bipartite graph (in short, bigraph) $B = (X, Y, E)$ is an interval bigraph if there exists a family $\mathcal{I} = \{I_v : v \in X \cup Y\}$ of intervals such that $xy \in E$ if and only if $I_x \cap I_y \neq \phi$. If $B = (X, Y, E)$ is an interval bigraph then we have an interval representation of B such that left end points of the intervals $I_x, x \in X$ are in the increasing order. Also the left end points of the interval $I_y, y \in Y$ are in the increasing order [\[24\]](#). Bipartite powers of interval graphs have defined by Okamoto et al.[\[17\]](#) in the following way. Let k be an odd natural number. Then for $B^{[k]}$, they form a new intervals $I_k(x) = [l(x), r_k(x)]$, where $r_k(x) = \max \{l(y) | d_G(x, y) \leq k\}$ and $I_k(y) = [l(y), r_k(y)]$ where $r_k(y) = \max \{l(x) | d_G(x, y) \leq k\}$.

As previously mentioned, the definition for the intervals of $B^{[k]}$ required a small modification. This becomes evident when examining the following interval bigraphs. Let's consider the interval bigraph with the interval representation (Fig.1).




Figure 1: An interval bigraph B with its interval representation.

Now from the definition of Okamoto et al. for the bigraph $B^{[3]}$, $I_3(y_3) = [8, 7]$, which is not possible for an interval. Thus for an interval bigraph $B = (X, Y, E)$, where k is an odd natural number, we define two sets of intervals $I_k(x)$ and $I_k(y)$ as follows.

$$I_k(x) = [l(x), r_k(x)] \text{ and } I_k(y) = [l(y), r_k(y)]$$

where $r_k(x) = \max\{\max\{l(y_i) : d(x, y_i) \leq k\} \cup \{l(x)\}\}$ and

$$r_k(y) = \max\{\max\{l(x_j) : d(y, x_j) \leq k\} \cup \{l(y)\}\}.$$

Since k is odd $B^{[k]}$ is also a bigraph, corresponding to the vertex v of $B^{[k]}$ we assign an interval $I_k(v) = [l(v), r_k(v)]$. Now to show that $B^{[k]}$ is also an interval bigraph we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. For an odd natural number k , $I_k(x) \cap I_k(y) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $d(x, y) \leq k$ in B .

Proof. Let $d(x, y) \leq k$. Already we have mentioned that we can take the interval representation of B such that left end points of $I(x_i)$, $x_i \in X$ are in the increasing order as the increasing order of their suffix. Similar relation holds for the left end points of $I(y_j)$, $y_j \in Y$.

Then from the definitions of $r_k(x)$ and $r_k(y)$, we have $l(x) \leq r_k(y)$ and $l(y) \leq r_k(x)$. This implies $I_k(x) \cap I_k(y) \neq \phi$. Also, if $r_k(x) = l(x)$ and $r_k(y) = l(y)$, then $l(x) \leq l(y)$ and $l(y) \leq l(x)$. In the other case, suppose $l(x) \leq r_k(y) = l(y)$ and $l(y) \leq r_k(x) \neq l(x)$. Thus in these cases also we have $I_k(x) \cap I_k(y) \neq \phi$.

For the converse, suppose $I_k(x) \cap I_k(y) \neq \phi$. Thus $l(x) \leq r_k(y)$ and $l(y) \leq r_k(x)$. Now we consider the following possibilities.

Case 1. Let $r_k(y) = l(y)$ and $r_k(x) = l(x)$. Then $l(x) \leq l(y)$ and $l(y) \leq l(x)$, i.e. $l(x) = l(y)$. So $I(x) \cap I(y) \neq \phi$ i.e. $xy \in E$. Thus $d(x, y) \leq k$.

Case 2. Let $r_k(y) = \max \{l(x_i) : d(x_i, y) \leq k\} = l(x_r)$. and $r_k(x) = \max \{l(y_j) : d(x, y_j) \leq k\} = l(y_s)$ i.e. $l(x) \leq l(x_r)$ and $l(y) \leq l(y_s)$. Without loss of generality, suppose $l(x) \leq l(y)$. Now from the definition of $l(y_s)$, for any $q > s, l(y_q) > l(y_s)$. Again as $I_k(x) \cap I_k(y) \neq \phi$, we have $l(x) \leq l(y) < l(y_s)$. Hence $d(x, y) \leq k$. ■

3.2. Powers of proper interval bigraphs

An interval bigraph, B , is considered a proper interval bigraph if, in its interval representation, no interval properly contains another. There are several characterizations of proper interval bigraphs. More recently, Das and Chakroborty [7] characterized proper interval bigraphs in terms of an *astral triple of edges*, defined as follows.

A set of three edges e_1, e_2, e_3 in a graph G is an *astral triple of edges* if for any two of them there is a path connecting them which contains no vertex of the third and does not contain adjacent vertices which are neighbor of the third. They also characterized proper interval bigraphs in the following theorem in terms of this notion.

Theorem 5 ([7]). *A bipartite graph B is a proper interval bigraph if and only if B has no astral triple of edges.*

Applying [Theorem 5](#), we can establish in the following theorem that proper interval bigraphs remain closed under odd powers.

Theorem 6. *If B has no astral triple, then $B^{[k]}$ has no astral triple.*

Proof. Let $e_1 = x_0y_0, e_2 = x_1y_1$ and $e_3 = x'_1y'_1$ be three edges of B and the set $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ does not form an astral triple. Then for every path P from a vertex of e_2 to a vertex of e_3 , there exists two adjacent vertices of P which are neighbors of e_1 . Now we consider the $x_1 - x'_1$ path P of smallest length in B , where

$$P : x_1y_2x_2 \dots y_{r-k_1}x_{r-k_1} \dots y_{r-k_2}x_{r-k_2} \dots y_px_p \dots y_{r-1}x_{r-1}y_rx_r y_{r+1}x_{r+1} \dots y_qx_q \dots y_{r+k_2}x_{r+k_2} \dots y_{r+k_1}x_{r+k_1} \dots y_sx'_1.$$

Since B has no astral triple, assume x_0 is adjacent to y_r and y_0 is adjacent to x_r . Next let $d(x_{r-k_1}, y_{r+k_2}) = k$. Again $d(x_{r-k_1}, y_r) = 2k_1 - 1$ and $d(x_r, y_{r+k_2}) = 2k_2 - 1$.

Thus $d(x_{r-k_1}, y_{r+k_2}) = d(x_{r-k_1}, y_r) + d(x_r, y_{r+k_2}) + 1 = 2k_1 - 1 + 2k_2 - 1 + 1 = 2(k_1 + k_2) - 1 = k$. Also $d(x_{r-k_1}, y_0) = d(x_{r-k_1}, y_r) + 2 = 2k_1 - 1 + 2 = 2k_1 + 1 \leq k$, $d(x_0, y_{r+k_2}) = d(x_r, y_{r+k_2}) + 2 = 2k_2 - 1 + 2 = 2k_2 + 1 \leq k$.

This implies that in $B^{[k]}$, x_{r-k_1} and y_{r+k_2} are adjacent and these two vertices are adjacent to y_0 and x_0 respectively.

Again in the path P , $d(x_p, y_q)$ (or $d(x_q, y_p)$) is odd and $< k$. Also $d(x_p, y_0)$ and $d(x_0, y_q)$ are

odd and $< k$.

Thus in $B^{[k]}$, x_p and y_q (or x_q and y_p) are adjacent. Again, $d(x_0, y_q)$ and $d(x_p, y_0)$ are odd and $< k$. So x_p and y_q are adjacent to y_0 and x_0 respectively.

Hence the edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 do not form an astral triple in $B^{[k]}$. Also, it follows from the definition of $B^{[k]}$ that no three new edges of $B^{[k]}$ can form an astral triple of edges. This completes the proof. ■

3.3. Powers of bigraphs of Ferrers dimension 2

By utilizing the next two theorems along with [Theorem 3](#), we will demonstrate that the class of bigraphs with Ferrers dimension 2 is closed under powers.

Theorem 7. *If a bigraph B has no ATE, then $B^{[k]}$ also has no ATE.*

Proof. Let the three edges $e_1 = x_0y_0, e_2 = x_1y_1$ and $e_3 = x'_1y'_1$ of B do not form an ATE. Then for every path P from a vertex of e_2 to a vertex of e_3 , there exist a vertex in P which is neighbor of a vertex e_1 . We consider the $x_1-x'_1$ path P of smallest length in B , where

$P : x_1y_2x_2\dots x_{r-p}y_{r-p+1}\dots x_sy_{s+1}\dots y_r x_r \dots x_{t-1}y_t \dots y_{r+q}x_{r+q}\dots x'_1$ and assume y_0 is adjacent to x_r . Let $d(x_{r-p}, x_r) = k_1$ and $d(x_r, y_{r+q}) = k_2$. Then k_1 is even and k_2 is odd. Also let $k = k_1 + k_2$, which is odd. Then in $B^{[k]}$, $x_{r-p}y_{r+q} \in E(B^{[k]})$. Again, $d(y_0, x_{r-p}) \leq k$, so $x_{r-p}y_0 \in E(B^{[k]})$ similarly, $d(x_0, y_{r+q}) \leq k$ implies $x_0y_{r+q} \in E(B^{[k]})$. Next, we have $d(x_s, y_t) < k$. Thus $x_sy_t \in E(B^{[k]})$. Now $d(x_s, y_0) < k$ implies $x_sy_0 \in E(B^{[k]})$. Also, $d(x_0, y_t) < k$ implies $x_0y_t \in E(B^{[k]})$. Hence the set of edges $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ do not form an ATE of $B^{[k]}$. ■

Theorem 8. *If a bigraph $B = (X, Y, E)$ contains no edge-asteroid, then $B^{[k]}$ contains no edge-asteroid.*

Proof. Consider a set $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ of $2m + 1$ edges of B , where $e_j = x_jy_j$ ($0 \leq j \leq 2m$). Assume this set of edges do not form an edge-asteroid. Thus for all paths from a vertex of e_i to a vertex of e_{i+1} , there exists a vertex which is a neighbor of the edge $e_{i+k+1} = x_ay_a$. Now we consider the $x_1-x'_1$ path P of smallest length in B , where

$P : x_iy_1x_1\dots y_{r-k_1}x_{r-k_1}\dots y_sx_s\dots y_r x_r y_{r+1}\dots y_t x_t \dots y_{r+k_2}x_{r+k_2}\dots x_{i+1}$, and assume y_a is adjacent to x_r .

Now, $d(x_r, x_{r-k_1}) = 2k_1, d(x_r, y_{r+k_2}) = 2k_2 - 1$. Thus $d(x_{r-k_1}, y_{r+k_2}) = 2(k_1 + k_2) - 1 = k$ (say), and k is odd. Also $d(x_s, y_t) < k$. Again, $d(x_{r-k_1}, y_a) < k$ and $d(x_s, y_a) < k$, and this implies $x_{r-k_1}y_a, x_sy_a \in E(B^{[k]})$. This proves that the set of edges $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ does not form an edge-asteroid of $B^{[k]}$. ■

Since bipartite power of chordal bipartite graph is also chordal bipartite, from the above two theorems we have the following result.

Theorem 9. *If B is a bigraph of Ferrers dimension 2, then $B^{[k]}$ is also a bigraph of Ferrers dimension 2.*

Roberts [21] defined cubicity of a graph G as the minimum positive integer c such that G can be represented as the intersection graph of axis-parallel c -dimensional cubes.

Analogously, we can define cubicity of a bigraph. Obviously cubicity of unit interval bigraphs is one. It is known that the class of proper interval bigraphs is same as the class of unit interval bigraphs. Thus it follows from Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 that if B is an unit interval bigraph then cubicity of $B^{[k]}$ is also one.

Since $K_{1,3}$ is not an unit interval graph, $\text{cubicity}(K_{1,3}) = 2$. In this context Roberts prove the following theorem.

Theorem 10 ([21]). If a graph G contains a $K_{1,5}$ as an induced subgraph, then $\text{cubicity}(G) \geq 3$.

But unfortunately there exists no analogous result for bipartite graph.

We know that the following bigraph (we call it bipartite $K_{1,3}$)




Figure 2: The bipartite $K_{1,3}$

is an interval bigraph but not an unit interval bigraph. It can be easily shown that its cubicity is 2. But it is interesting to observe that cubicity of the bipartite $K_{1,n}$ (for any $n > 3$) is still 2.

3.4. Powers of chordal bipartite graphs

A bipartite graph B in which every cycle of length strictly greater than 4 has a chord (an edge between two non consecutive vertices) is a *chordal bipartite graph*.

We have already mentioned that Chandran and Mathew [4] proved that if G is chordal bipartite then so is $G^{[k]}$, for any odd $k \geq 3$. Here we shall prove that chordal bipartite graphs are also strongly closed under powers, which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 11. Let G be a bipartite graph and if for any odd positive integer k , $G^{[k]}$ is chordal bipartite then $G^{[k+2]}$ is also chordal bipartite.

Proof. Our approach is as follows. We assume that $G^{[k+2]}$ is not chordal bipartite and we shall show that $G^{[k]}$ is also not chordal bipartite. Since $G^{[k+2]}$ is not chordal bipartite, it contains a cycle of length at least six. Without loss of generality assume $G^{[k+2]}$ contains a chordless cycle C_6 : $x_1y_1x_2y_2x_3y_3x_1$ of Fig.3.




Figure 3: A C_6 in $G^{[k+2]}$

Now we consider the following cases.

Case 1. All the edges of C_6 of Fig.3 belong to $G^{[k]}$. Then the six cycle of Fig.3 is an induced cycle of $G^{[k]}$. So $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

Case 2. All the edges of C_6 except x_1y_3 belong to $E(G^{[k]})$. Now $d_G(x_1, y_3) = k+2$. Again, observe that no three consecutive edges of the edge sequence $\{x_1y_1, y_1x_2, x_2y_2, y_2x_3, x_3y_3, y_3x_1\}$ belong to $E(G)$, as the cycle of Fig.3 is chordless. Next, suppose P be the x_1 - y_3 shortest path in G of length $k+2$, where $P: x_1y'_1x'_1\dots y'_\alpha x'_\alpha y_3$. Since x_2y_2 must not belong to $E(G)$ but $x_2y_2 \in E(G^{[k]})$, we have $1 < d_G(x_2, y_2) \leq k$. Also let $y_3x_3, x_3y_2, x_2y_1, x_1y_1 \in E(G)$. Now assume P_1 is the y_2 - x_2 shortest path in G , where $P_1: y_2x''_1y''_1\dots y''_\beta x_2$. Now the edges $x_1y'_\alpha, y'_\alpha x_3, x_3y_2, x_2y_1, y_1x_1 \in E(G^{[k]})$. So the six cycle $x_1y'_\alpha x_3y_2x_2y_1x_1$ belongs to $G^{[k]}$. So $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite. Next assume all the edges $y_3x_3, x_3y_2, y_2x_2, x_2y_1, y_1x_1$ do not belong to $E(G)$ (but they belong to $E(G^{[k]})$).

Let P', P'', P''' and P_0 are respectively the y_3 - x_3, x_3 - y_2, x_2 - y_1, y_1 - x_1 shortest paths in G of length at most k , where $P': y_3x'_0y'_0\dots x'_m y'_m x_3$, $P'': x_3y''_0x''_0\dots y''_l x''_l y_2$, $P''': x_2y'''_0x'''_0\dots y'''_r x'''_r y_1$, $P_0: y_1x_0y_0\dots x_s y_s x_1$. Now we have $C_8: x_1y'_\alpha x'_m y'_l x''_\beta y''_r x_s y_s x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. Finally, at least one of the edges y_3x_3, x_3y_2, x_2y_1 and y_1x_1 belong to $E(G)$ then we can find a six cycle in $G^{[k]}$. Therefore $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite in any possibility.

Case 3. $G^{[k]}$ contains four edges of the six cycle of Fig.3. Then we have the following possible bigraphs in $G^{[k]}$ induced by the vertices $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3$ as shown in the next three figures.




Figure 4: Three possible induced subgraphs in $G^{[k]}$

Since three consecutive edges of Fig.4(i) do not belong to $E(G)$, we can infer that $1 < d(x_2, y_2) \leq k$. Let P_1 and P_2 be respectively the shortest x_1 - y_3 and y_3 - x_3 paths in G of length $k + 2$; where $P_1: x_1y'_1x'_1\dots y'_\alpha x'_\alpha y_3$ and $P_2: y_3x''_1y''_1\dots x''_\alpha y''_\alpha x_3$. Next let P be the shortest x_2 - y_2 path of length at most k , where $P: y_2x_0y_0\dots x_2$. If $x_3y_2, x_2y_1, y_1x_1 \in E(G)$, we have a cycle $C_6: x_1y'_\alpha x''_1 y''_\alpha x_0 y_1 x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. Again if x_3y_2, x_2y_1, y_1x_1 do not belong to $E(G)$ but they belong to $E(G^{[k]})$. Let P', P'' and P''' be respectively the x_3 - y_2 , x_2 - y_1 and y_1 - x_1 shortest path in G ; where $P': x_3y'_0x'_0\dots y_2$, $P'': x_2y''_0x''_0\dots y_1$ and $P''': y_1x'''_0y'''_0\dots x_1$. Now we have a cycle $x_1y'_\alpha x''_1 y''_\alpha x'_0 y_0 x''_0 y'''_0 x_1$ of length 8 in $G^{[k]}$. Thus in any case we conclude that $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

In the second possibility we can similarly prove that $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

In the third possibility (Fig.4(iii)) suppose P_1 and P_2 are respectively the shortest x_1 - y_1 and x_3 - y_2 paths in G of length $k + 2$. Where $P_1: x_1y'_1x'_1\dots y'_\alpha x'_\alpha y_1$, $P_2: x_3y''_1x''_1\dots y''_\alpha x''_\alpha y_2$. If $y_1x_2, x_2y_2 \in E(G)$, then we have a cycle $x_1y'_\alpha x_2y''_\alpha x_3y_3x_1$ of length six in $G^{[k]}$. In the other case if $y_1x_2, x_2y_2 \notin E(G)$, let P' and P'' be respectively the shortest y_1 - x_2 and x_2 - y_2 paths of length at most k . Also assume $P': y_1x_0y_0\dots x_2$ and $P'': x_2y'_0x'_0\dots y_2$. Now we have cycle $x_1y'_\alpha x_0y'_0x''_\alpha y''_\alpha x_3y_3x_1$ of length eight in $G^{[k]}$. So $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

In the remaining possibility, similarly we can show that $G^{[k]}$ is also not chordal bipartite.

Case 4. The graph $G^{[k]}$ contains three edges of the six cycle of Fig.3. Then we have the following possible bigraphs in $G^{[k]}$ induced by the vertices of the six cycle of Fig.3 as shown in the next three figures.




Figure 5: Three possible induced subgraphs in $G^{[k]}$

As before all the edges x_1y_1 , y_1x_2 and x_2y_2 do not belong to $E(G)$. Suppose one of these edges, say, $y_1x_2 \notin E(G)$ and $\{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\} \in E(G)$. Then $1 < d_G(y_1, x_2) \leq k$ and let P' : $y_1x_0y_0\dots x_2$ be the shortest y_1 - x_2 path in G . Next let P_1 : $x_1y_1x'_1\dots y'_\alpha x'_\alpha y_3$, P_2 : $y_3x''_1y''_1\dots x''_\alpha y''_\alpha x_3$ and P_3 : $x_3y'''_1x'''_1\dots y'''_\alpha x'''_\alpha y_2$ be respectively the shortest x_1 - y_3 , y_3 - x_3 and x_3 - y_2 paths in G of length $k+2$. Now we have a cycle C_8 : $x_1y'_\alpha x'_\alpha y''_1 x_3 y'''_\alpha x'''_\alpha y_2 y_1 x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. In the other case if $1 < d_G(x_1, y_1) \leq k$, $1 < d_G(y_1, x_2) \leq k$ and $1 < d_G(x_2, y_2) \leq k$, where P_1 : $x_1y_0x_0\dots y_1$, P_2 : $y_1x'_0y'_0\dots x_2$ and P_3 : $x_2y''_0x''_0\dots y_2$ are respectively the shortest x_1 - y_1 , y_1 - x_2 and x_2 - y_2 paths in G of length at most k . Now we have a cycle C_{10} : $x_1y'_\alpha x'_\alpha y''_1 x_3 y'''_\alpha x'''_\alpha y''_0 x'_0 y_0 x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. Thus $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite. Similarly we can find C_{10} in $G^{[k]}$ in the other possibility. Therefore $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

Next, consider the situation of Fig.4(ii). Let P_1 : $y_1x'_1y'_1\dots x'_\alpha y'_\alpha x_2$, P_2 : $y_2x''_1y''_1\dots x''_\alpha y''_\alpha x_3$ and P_3 : $x_1y'''_1x'''_1\dots y'''_\alpha x'''_\alpha y_3$ be the shortest paths in G of length $k+2$. Now if x_1y_1 , x_2y_2 , $x_3y_3 \in E(G)$, then we have a cycle C_8 : $x_1y_1x'_\alpha y_2 x''_\alpha y_3 x'''_\alpha y_1 x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. If one of the three edges, say $x_2y_2 \notin E(G)$. Let P' : $y_2x_0y_0\dots x_2$ be the shortest y_2 - x_2 path in G of length at most k . Then we have cycle C_8 : $x_1y_1x'_\alpha y'_\alpha x_0 y''_\alpha x_3 y'''_\alpha x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. If two or three edges of the set of three edges x_1y_1 , x_2y_2 and x_3y_3 do not belong to $E(G)$ then similarly we can find a C_{10} in $G^{[k]}$. Thus $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite. Finally consider the situation of Fig 4(iii). Similarly, we can find a C_8 in $G^{[k]}$ in any possibility. Hence $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

Case 5. The graph $G^{[k]}$ contains two edges of the six cycle of Fig.3. Then we have the following possible bigraphs in $G^{[k]}$ induced by the vertices $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3$ as shown in the next three figures.




Figure 6: Three possible induced subgraphs in $G^{[k]}$

Consider the figure 6(i) and let x_1y_1 and $y_1x_2 \in E(G)$. Let $P_1: x_2y_1x_1' \dots y_1'x_1''y_2$, $P_2: y_2x_1'y_1'' \dots x_1''y_1'''x_3$, $P_3: x_3y_1'''x_1''' \dots y_1'''x_1''''y_3$, and $P_4: x_1y_1^{iv}x_1^{iv} \dots y_1^{iv}x_1^{iv}y_3$ be respectively the shortest x_2 - y_2 , y_2 - x_3 , x_3 - y_3 and x_1 - y_3 paths in G each of length $k+2$. Now we have a $C_8: x_1y_1x_1'y_2x_1''y_1'''x_1''''y_1^{iv}x_1$ in $G^{[k]}$. Now if $x_2y_1 \notin E(G)$, then also we have a C_8 in $G^{[k]}$ and if x_1y_1 and y_1x_2 both $\notin E(G)$ but $\in E(G^k)$ then we have a C_{10} in $G^{[k]}$. So, $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite. Similarly, in the cases of the Fig.6(ii) or Fig.6(iii) we can find a C_8 or C_{10} in $G^{[k]}$. Thus $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

Case 6. The graph $G^{[k]}$ contains exactly one edge of the six cycle of Fig.3. Then we can similarly prove that $G^{[k]}$ contains a C_8 when $k > 3$ and $G^{[k]}$ contains a C_{10} when $k = 3$. Thus $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

Case 7. The graph $G^{[k]}$ contains no edge of the six cycle of Fig.3. So the vertices $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3$ form a stable set in $G^{[k]}$. Also in this case we can prove that $G^{[k]}$ contains a C_8 when $k > 3$ and $G^{[k]}$ contains a C_{10} when $k = 3$. Thus $G^{[k]}$ is not chordal bipartite.

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

4. Conclusion

Chandran, Mathew and others [4, 5, 11] introduced the notion of k -chordal graphs. A graph G is k -chordal if G contains no chordless cycle with more than k vertices. If a bipartite graph G is 4-chordal then it is chordal bipartite. Chandran and Mathew [4] have proved that if a bipartite graph G is k -chordal then so is $G^{[m]}$, for any odd natural number m . In this context they raise the question: weather if $G^{[m]}$ is k -chordal, then $G^{[m+2]}$ is also k -chordal? In this paper we answer this question in affirmative for $k = 4$ i.e. when $G^{[m]}$ is chordal bipartite graph. Also, in the similar way as in the proof of Theorem 11 we can deal with the case where $G^{[m]}$ is k -chordal graph and G is a bipartite graph.

References

- [1] Andreas Brandstädt, Feodor F. Dragan, Yang Xiang & Chenyu Yan, Generalized Powers of Graphs and Their Algorithmic Use, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 4059 423–434 (2006).
- [2] Hans-Jürgen Bandelt, Anja Henkmann & Falk Nicolai, Powers of distance-hereditary graph, *Discrete Mathematics* 145 (1995) 37-60.
- [3] L. S. Chandran, M. Francis, and R. Mathew. Chordal bipartite graphs with high boxicity. *Graphs Combin.*, 27:353-362 (2011).
- [4] L. S. Chandran and R. Mathew. Bipartite powers of k -chordal graphs, 2012. Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.0277>.
- [5] L. Sunil Chandran and L. Shankar Ram. On the number of minimum cuts in a graph. In Proceedings of the 8th International computing and combinatorics conference, *LNCS* 2387 220–230 (2002).
- [6] Margaret B. COZZENS and Fred S. ROBERTS, COMPUTING THE BOXICITY OF A GRAPH BY COVERING ITS COMPLEMENT BY COINTERVAL GRAPHS, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* , 6(1983) 217-228.
- [7] Ashok Kumar Das and Ritapa Chakraborty, New characterizations of proper interval bigraphs, *AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics*, 12 (2015) 47–53.
- [8] A. K. Das and I. Paul. Obstraction characterization of co-TT graphs, 2023. Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.12614>.
- [9] A.K.Das and I. Paul, On powers of circular arc graphs, 2023. Preprint available at <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.08782>.
- [10] A. K. Das, M. K. Sen, Bigraphs/ digraphs of Ferrers dimension 2 and asteroidal triple of edges, *Disc.Math* 295(2005) 191-195.
- [11] Yon Dourisboure. Compact routing schemes for generalised chordal graphs. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 9:277–297 (2005).
- [12] Carsten Flotow, On powers of circular arc graphs and proper circular arc graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 69 (1996) 199-207.
- [13] Tomas Feder, Pavol Hell, and Jing Huang, List homomorphisms and circular arc graphs. *Combinatorica*, 19(4) 487-505 (1999).
- [14] Louis Guttman, A basis for scaling qualitative data. *American sociological review*, 9(2) 139-150 (1944).
- [15] J.Huang, Representation characterization of chordal bipartite graphs, *J.Combin. Theory, Series B* 96(2006) 673-683.

- [16] Rajeev Motwani and Madhu Sudan, Computing roots of graphs is hard, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 54 (1994) 81-88.
- [17] Yoshio Okamoto, Yota Otachi, and Ryuhei Uehara, On bipartite powers of bigraphs, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 14:2 (2012) 11–20.
- [18] A. Raychaudhuri, On powers of interval and unit interval graphs, *Congr. Numer.* 59 (1987) 235-242.
- [19] A. Raychaudhuri, On powers of strongly chordal and circular arc graphs, *Ars Combin.* 34 (1992) 147-160.
- [20] Jacques Riguet, Les relations de Ferrers, *COMPTES RENDUS HEBDOMADAIRES DES SEANCES DE L ACADEMIE DES SCIENCES*, 232 (19) 1729-1730 (1951).
- [21] Roberts, F.S., On the boxicity and cubicity of a graph, *Recent Progress in Combinatorics*, (W.T. Tutte, ed.), Academic press, New York, (1969b) 301-310.
- [22] M.Sen, S.Das, A.B.Roy and D.B.West, Interval digraphs: An analogue of interval graphs, *J.Graph Theory*13 (1989) 189-202.
- [23] W.T.Trotter and J.I.Moore, Characterization problems for graphs, partially ordered sets, lattice and family of sets, *Discrete Math.* 16 (1976) 361-380.
- [24] D.B.West, Short proofs for interval digraphs,*Disc.Math.* 178 (1988) 287-292.