

NON-ASSOCIATIVE VERSIONS OF HILBERT'S BASIS THEOREM

PER BÄCK AND JOHAN RICHTER

ABSTRACT. We prove several new versions of Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative Ore extensions, non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings, non-associative skew power series rings, and non-associative skew Laurent series rings. For non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings, we show that both a left and a right version of Hilbert's basis theorem hold. For non-associative Ore extensions, we show that a right version holds, but give a counterexample to a left version; a difference that does not appear in the associative setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

In commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, the classical Hilbert's basis theorem, which says that if R is a unital, associative, commutative, and Noetherian ring, then $R[X]$ is also Noetherian, is of fundamental importance (Hilbert's original basis theorem stems from 1890 [5] and concerns ideals generated by homogeneous polynomials in ordinary polynomial rings over fields and over the ring of integers). This theorem can be generalized as follows: if R is a unital, associative, right (left) Noetherian ring together with an automorphism σ and σ -derivation δ , then the Ore extension $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$, the Laurent polynomial ring $R[X^\pm; \sigma]$, the skew power series ring $R[[X; \sigma]]$, and the skew Laurent series ring $R((X; \sigma))$ are also right (left) Noetherian (many proofs can be found in the textbook [4] by Goodearl and Warfield. Alternatively, see the proofs in this article). In [1], Hilbert's basis theorem for Ore extensions was further extended by the present authors to the case when R is non-associative or hom-associative. In this article, we investigate versions of Hilbert's basis theorem for all the above rings in the non-associative setting where σ is an additive surjection or bijection respecting 1, that is, $\sigma(1) = 1$, and δ is an additive map where $\delta(1) = 0$. This corresponds to non-associative versions of Ore extensions introduced by Nystedt, Öinert, and Richter in [7], and to non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings introduced by the present authors in [2].

We prove several new versions of Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings, non-associative skew Laurent series rings, non-associative skew power series rings, and non-associative Ore extensions, thus generalizing results from [1]. Unlike for associative rings, there is no simple equivalence between the left and right Hilbert's basis theorem; in fact for non-associative Ore extensions, only a right version holds in general. In more detail, for non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings, we prove both a left and a right version of Hilbert's

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S35, 16S36, 16W50, 16W70, 17A99, 17D99.

Key words and phrases. non-associative Hilbert's basis theorem, non-associative Ore extensions, non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings, non-associative skew Laurent series rings, non-associative skew power series rings.

basis theorem (Theorem 1). For non-associative Ore extensions, we prove a right version of Hilbert's basis theorem (Theorem 2) that generalizes the right version of Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative Ore extensions proved in [1] by applying to a non-associative Ore extension $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$, where we only require σ to be an additive surjection with $\sigma(1) = 1$ and δ to be an additive map with $\delta(1) = 0$. In Example 9, we show that the left version of Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative Ore extensions in [1] cannot be similarly generalized.

Lastly, we show that under certain conditions, one can also prove a Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative generalizations of skew power series rings (Theorem 3) and skew Laurent series rings (Theorem 4).

The article is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we provide conventions (Subsection 2.1) and preliminaries from non-associative ring theory (Subsection 2.2). We also recall what skew Laurent polynomial rings and Ore extensions are (Subsection 2.3), and how the definition of these rings can be extended to the non-associative setting (Subsection 2.4).

In Section 3, we prove the above mentioned results on Hilbert's basis theorem: in Subsection 3.1 for non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings, in Subsection 3.2 for non-associative Ore extensions, and in Subsection 3.3 for non-associative generalizations of both power series rings and skew Laurent series rings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Conventions. All rings are assumed to be unital and the multiplicative identity element is written 1. We denote by \mathbb{N} the natural numbers, including zero.

2.2. Non-associative ring theory. By a *non-associative ring*, we mean a ring which is not necessarily associative. If R is a non-associative ring, by a *left R -module*, we mean an additive group M equipped with a biadditive map $R \times M \rightarrow M$, $(r, m) \mapsto rm$ for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. A subset B of M is a basis if for any $m \in M$, there are unique $r_b \in R$ for $b \in B$, such that $r_b = 0$ for all but finitely many $b \in B$, and $m = \sum_{b \in B} r_b b$. A left R -module that has a basis is called *free*.

For a non-associative ring R , the *associator* is the function $(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ defined by $(r, s, t) = (rs)t - r(st)$ for all $r, s, t \in R$. Using the associator we define three sets: $N_l(R) := \{r \in R : (r, s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } s, t \in R\}$, $N_m(R) := \{s \in R : (r, s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } r, t \in R\}$, and $N_r(R) := \{t \in R : (r, s, t) = 0 \text{ for all } r, s \in R\}$. It turns out that $N_l(R)$, $N_m(R)$, and $N_r(R)$ are all associative subrings of R , and if R is unital, they all contain the multiplicative identity element of R . We also define $N(R) := N_l(R) \cap N_m(R) \cap N_r(R)$. We denote by R^\times the set of all elements of R which have two-sided multiplicative inverses (recall that in a non-associative ring, inverses need not be unique, however).

By a non-associative ring R being right (left) Noetherian, we mean that R satisfies the ascending chain condition on right (left) ideals. In [1], we show that this is equivalent to all right (left) ideals being finitely generated and that the non-associative module theory parallels the associative case.

2.3. Ore extensions and skew Laurent polynomial rings. Let us recall the definitions of (associative) Ore extensions and skew Laurent polynomial rings.

Let R be an associative ring. We want to equip the ordinary polynomial ring $R[X]$ with a new multiplication satisfying $XR \subseteq RX + R$. This implies the existence

of additive maps $\sigma, \delta: R \rightarrow R$ such that $Xr = \sigma(r)X + \delta(r)$ for any $r \in R$. The full multiplication is given by the biadditive extension of the relations

$$(1) \quad (rX^m)(sX^n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (r\pi_i^m(s))X^{i+n}$$

for any $r, s \in R$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here π_i^m denotes the sum of all $\binom{m}{i}$ possible compositions of i copies of σ and $m - i$ copies of δ , where $\pi_i^m(s) := 0$ whenever $m < i$. For instance, $\pi_1^3 = \sigma \circ \delta \circ \delta + \delta \circ \sigma \circ \delta + \delta \circ \delta \circ \sigma$. For the resulting structure to be an associative ring, it is necessary and sufficient that σ is an endomorphism of R and δ is a σ -derivation, i.e. an additive map satisfying

$$\delta(rs) = \sigma(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s$$

for any $r, s \in R$. The resulting associative ring is denoted by $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ and called an *Ore extension* of R .

Similarly, we can equip the ordinary Laurent polynomial ring $R[X^\pm]$ with a new associative multiplication satisfying $XR = RX$ by using an automorphism σ . The multiplication is then defined by the biadditive extension of the relations

$$(2) \quad (rX^m)(sX^n) = (r\sigma^m(s))X^{m+n}$$

for any $r, s \in R$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The resulting associative ring is denoted by $R[X^\pm; \sigma]$ and called a *skew Laurent polynomial ring* over R .

2.4. Non-associative Ore extensions and non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings. In [7], it was noted that the product (1) gives a non-associative ring extension for any non-associative ring R and any two additive maps $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$ and $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\delta(1) = 0$.

To be precise, let R be a non-associative ring. We denote by $R[X]$ the set of formal sums $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i X^i$ where $r_i \in R$ is zero for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}$, equipped with pointwise addition. Now, let σ and δ be additive maps on R satisfying $\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\delta(1) = 0$. The *non-associative Ore extension* $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ of R is defined as the additive group $R[X]$ with multiplication defined by (1). One readily verifies that this makes $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ a non-associative ring. It is associative if and only if R is associative σ is an endomorphism, and δ is a σ -derivation. It follows from results in [7] that $X^n \in N_m(R[X; \sigma, \delta]) \cap N_r(R[X; \sigma, \delta])$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 1. Let T be a non-associative ring and let $R = T[Y]$. If $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ is a T -linear map where $\delta(1) = 0$, then the non-associative Ore extension $R[X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ is called a *non-associative Weyl algebra* in [7].

Non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings are defined in an analogous fashion to how non-associative Ore extensions are defined.

Let R be a non-associative ring. We denote by $R[X^\pm]$ the set of formal sums $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} r_i X^i$ where $r_i \in R$ is zero for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, equipped with pointwise addition. Now, let σ be an additive bijection on R respecting 1. The *non-associative skew Laurent polynomial ring* $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ over R is defined as the additive group $R[X^\pm]$ with multiplication defined by (2). One readily verifies that this makes $R[X^\pm; \sigma]$ a non-associative ring. It is associative if and only if R is associative and σ is an automorphism. By Proposition 13 in [2], $X^n \in N_m(R[X^\pm; \sigma]) \cap N_r(R[X^\pm; \sigma])$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2. On the ring \mathbb{C} we can define $\sigma_q(a + bi) = a + qbi$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Then σ is an additive bijection that respects 1, and we can accordingly define $\mathbb{C}[X^\pm; \sigma_q]$. Moreover, σ_q is an automorphism if and only if $q = \pm 1$, and so $\mathbb{C}[X^\pm; \sigma_q]$ is associative if and only if $q = \pm 1$.

Example 3. Let T be a non-associative ring, $q \in Z(T)^\times$, and $R = T[Y]$. Since $Z(T)$ is associative, q has a unique two-sided multiplicative inverse. Define a ring automorphism $\sigma_q: R \rightarrow R$ by the T -algebra extension of the relation $\sigma_q(Y) = qY$. The *non-associative quantum torus* over T is the skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[X^\pm; \sigma_q]$. It is associative if and only if T is associative.

Remark 1. Both non-associative Ore extensions and non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings are examples of *Ore monoid rings* as defined by Nystedt, Öinert, and Richter [8].

Example 4. Let A be any of the real, non-associative Cayley–Dickson algebras $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \dots$ with the anti-automorphism $*$ given by the conjugation map. Then $*$ is an automorphism on A if and only if $A = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} if and only if $A[X^\pm; *]$ is associative.

3. HILBERT’S BASIS THEOREM

In this section, we extend Hilbert’s basis theorem to non-associative settings.

3.1. Hilbert’s basis theorem for non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a non-associative ring with an additive bijection σ that respects 1. If R is left (right) Noetherian, then so is $R[X^\pm; \sigma]$.*

Proof. The following proof is a minor adaptation of the proof of Proposition 2.5 in [3].

Let R be left Noetherian. For a left ideal I of $S := R[X^\pm; \sigma]$ and a positive integer n , define

$$l_n(I) = \left\{ r_0 \in R : \sum_{i=-n+1}^0 r_i X^i \in I \text{ for some } r_i \in R \text{ where } -n+1 \leq i < 0 \right\}.$$

It is clear that each $l_n(I)$ is a left ideal of R and that $l_1(I) \subseteq l_2(I) \subseteq \dots$.

Suppose that I and J are left ideals of S with $J \subseteq I$ and $l_n(I) = l_n(J)$ for each positive integer n : we claim that $I = J$. If not, then there must be an element $s = \sum_{i=a}^b s_i X^i$ (with $s_i \in R$) in $I \setminus J$ with $b - a$ as small as possible. Since $X^b(X^{-b}s) = s$, it is not true that $X^{-b}s$ belongs to J , but $X^{-b}s$ belongs to I , so we may assume $b = 0$. Thus $s = \sum_{i=a}^0 s_i X^i$, and so $s_0 \in l_{1-a}(I) = l_{1-a}(J)$. This means there is an element $t = s_0 + \sum_{i=a}^{-1} \tilde{s}_i \in J \subseteq I$. Hence $X(s - t) = \sum_{i=a+1}^0 u_i X^i$ for some $u_i \in R$. By the assumption on s , we get that $X(s - t) \in J$. Hence $Xs \in J$, and so $X^{-1}(Xs) = s \in J$, which is a contradiction. Thus $I = J$.

Now suppose that $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ is a chain of left ideals of S . Clearly $l_1(I_1) \subseteq l_2(I_2) \subseteq \dots$, so by the left Noetherianness of R , there is a k such that $l_k(I_k) = l_n(I_n)$ for all $n \geq k$. It is clear that in fact $l_k(I_k) = l_n(I_n)$ for all $n, m \geq k$. Consider the chains $l_j(I_1) \subseteq l_j(I_2) \subseteq \dots$, for $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Again by Noetherianness, there is an n , which we may choose bigger than k , such that $l_j(I_n) = l_j(I_m)$ for $m \geq n$ and all j with $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. But this equality already holds for $j \geq k$, so in fact

$l_j(I_n) = l_j(I_m)$ for all $m \geq n$ and all j , and so by the previous paragraph, $I_n = I_m$ for all $m \geq n$. This shows that S is left Noetherian.

The right case is similar. \square

Example 5. If R is an associative, commutative, Noetherian ring, then the matrix ring $M_n(R)$ is Noetherian for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ (see e.g. Proposition 1.6 in [4]). Hence $M_n(R)[X^\pm; \sigma]$ where σ is the anti-automorphism given by the transpose operation, is Noetherian.

Remark 2. Any non-associative division ring is Noetherian, and so by Theorem 1, the non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings in Example 2 and in Example 4 whenever $A = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ or \mathbb{O} are Noetherian.

Remark 3. By Theorem 1, the non-associative quantum torus $T[Y^\pm][X^\pm; \sigma_q]$ in Example 3 is left (right) Noetherian if T is left (right) Noetherian.

Given an associative algebra A over a field K of characteristic different from two, we may define a unital, non-associative K -algebra A^+ by using the *Jordan product* $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: A^+ \rightarrow A^+$, given by $\{a, b\} := \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ for any $a, b \in A$. A^+ is then a *Jordan algebra*, i.e. a commutative algebra where any two elements a and b satisfy the *Jordan identity*, $\{\{a, b\}\{a, a\}\} = \{a, \{\{a, a\}\}\}$. Since inverses on A extend to inverses on A^+ , we see that if $A = \mathbb{H}$, then A^+ is also Noetherian. Using the standard notation i, j, k for the quaternion units with defining relation $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$, we see that \mathbb{H}^+ is not associative as e.g. $(i, i, j)_{\mathbb{H}^+} = \{\{i, i\}, j\} - \{i, \{i, j\}\} = -j$.

Example 6. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{H})$. Then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{H}^+)$, and so by Theorem 1, $\mathbb{H}^+[X^\pm; \sigma]$ is Noetherian.

By Theorem 1, it is immediate that if R is left (right) Noetherian, then so are all iterated non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings of R where all the σ s are additive bijections respecting 1.

Now, if $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are pairwise commuting additive bijections of R respecting 1, then we may construct an iterated non-associative skew Laurent polynomial ring of R as follows (see e.g. Exercise 1W in [4] for the associative case, which is nearly identical). First, we set $S_1 := R[X_1^\pm; \sigma_1]$. Then σ_2 extends to an additive bijection $\hat{\sigma}_2$ on S_1 respecting 1, defined by $\hat{\sigma}_2(rX_1^m) = \sigma_2(r)X_1^m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Next, we set $S_2 := S_1[X_2^\pm; \hat{\sigma}_2]$. Once S_i has been constructed for some $i < n$, we construct $S_{i+1} := S_i[X_{i+1}^\pm; \hat{\sigma}_{i+1}]$ where $\hat{\sigma}_{i+1}$ is the additive bijection on S_i defined by $\hat{\sigma}_{i+1}(rX_1^{m_1} \cdots X_n^{m_n}) = \sigma_{i+1}(r)X_1^{m_1} \cdots X_n^{m_n}$. We denote by $R[X_1^\pm, \dots, X_n^\pm; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$ the resulting iterated non-associative skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[X_1^\pm; \sigma_1] \cdots [X_n^\pm; \hat{\sigma}_n]$. This is a generalization of the non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings defined in [6]; their construction corresponds exactly to the case when $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are automorphisms.

Corollary 1. *Let R be a non-associative ring with pairwise commuting additive bijections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ respecting 1. If R is left (right) Noetherian, then so is $R[X_1^\pm, \dots, X_n^\pm; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$.*

3.2. Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative Ore extensions. We will now see to what extent Hilbert's basis theorem can be extended to non-associative Ore extensions (see Subsection 2.4).

Lemma 1. *Let R be a non-associative ring with an additive surjection σ that respects 1 and an additive map δ where $\delta(1) = 0$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i=0}^n X^i R = \sum_{i=0}^n R X^i$ as right R -modules in $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$.*

Proof. We show that as sets, $\sum_{i=0}^n X^i R = \sum_{i=0}^n R X^i$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^n R X^i$ is clearly closed under addition and multiplication from the right by an element from R , it is indeed a right R -module. We also see that $\sum_{i=0}^n X^i R \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^n R X^i$, so we only need to show that the other inclusion holds as well. We prove this by induction on n .

Base case ($n = 0$): We must show that $R X^0 \subseteq X^0 R$. However, since $X^0 = 1$, this is immediate.

Induction step ($n + 1$): Assume that $\sum_{i=0}^n R X^i \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^n X^i R$ and let $p \in \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} R X^i$. By definition, $p = r X^{n+1} + [\text{lower order terms}]$ for some $r \in R$. Since σ is surjective, so is σ^{n+1} , and so there exists $r' \in R$ such that $\sigma^{n+1}(r') = r$. Then $p - X^{n+1} r' \in \sum_{i=0}^n R X^i \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^n X^i R$, so we must have $p \in \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} X^i R$. Hence $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} R X^i \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} X^i R$, and the induction step is done. \square

Theorem 2. *Let R be a non-associative ring with an additive surjection σ that respects 1 and an additive map δ where $\delta(1) = 0$. If R is right Noetherian, then so is $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$.*

Proof. This proof is an adaptation of a proof in [4] to our setting. We wish to show that any right ideal of $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ is finitely generated. Since the zero ideal is finitely generated, it is sufficient to show that any non-zero right ideal I of $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ is finitely generated. Let J consist of the zero element and all leading coefficients of polynomials in I , i.e. $J := \{r \in R : r X^d + r_{d-1} X^{d-1} + \dots + r_0 \in I, r_d, \dots, r_0 \in R\}$. We claim that J is a right ideal of R . First, one readily verifies that J is an additive subgroup of R . Now, let $r \in J$ and $s \in R$ be arbitrary. Then there is some polynomial $p = r X^d + [\text{lower order terms}]$ in I . Moreover, there exists $s' \in R$ such that $\sigma^d(s') = s$. Hence $p s' = (r X^d) s' + [\text{lower order terms}] = (r \sigma^d(s')) X^d + [\text{lower order terms}] = (r s) X^d + [\text{lower order terms}]$, which is an element of I since p is. Therefore, $r s \in J$, so J is a right ideal of R .

Since R is right Noetherian and J is a right ideal of R , J is finitely generated, say by $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \subseteq J$. All the elements r_1, \dots, r_k are assumed to be non-zero, and moreover, each of them is a leading coefficient of some polynomial $p_i \in I$ of degree m_i . Put $m = \max(m_1, \dots, m_k)$. Then each r_i is the leading coefficient of $p_i X^{m-m_i} = r_i X^{m_i} \cdot X^{m-m_i} + [\text{lower order terms}] = r_i X^m + [\text{lower order terms}]$, which is an element of I of degree m .

Let $M := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R X^i$. By Lemma 1, $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R X^i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X^i R$ as right R -modules. Hence M is finitely generated, and any finitely generated right R -module is Noetherian. Now, since I is a right ideal of the ring $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ which contains R , in particular, it is also a right R -module. Hence $I \cap M$ is a submodule of M , and since M is a Noetherian right R -module, $I \cap M$ is finitely generated, say by the set $\{q_1, \dots, q_t\}$.

Let I_0 be the right ideal of $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ generated by $\{p_1 X^{m-m_1}, \dots, p_k X^{m-m_k}, q_1, \dots, q_t\}$. Since all the elements in this set belong to I , we have that $I_0 \subseteq I$. We claim that $I \subseteq I_0$. In order to prove this, pick any element $p' \in I$.

Base case ($P(m)$): If $\deg p' < m$, $p' \in M = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} R X^i$, so $p' \in I \cap M$. On the other hand, the generating set of $I \cap M$ is a subset of the generating set of I_0 , so $I \cap M \subseteq I_0$, and therefore $p' \in I_0$.

Induction step ($\forall n \geq m$ ($P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$)): Assume $\deg p' = n \geq m$ and that I_0 contains all elements of I with $\deg < n$. We want to show that I_0 contains p' as well. Let r' be the leading coefficient of p' , so that we have $p' = r'X^n + [\text{lower order terms}]$. Since $p' \in I$ by assumption, $r' \in J$. We then claim that $r' = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{k'} (\cdots ((r_i s_{ij1}) s_{ij2}) \cdots) s_{ijj}$ for some $k' \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and some $s_{ij1}, \dots, s_{ijj} \in R$. First, we note that since J is generated by $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$, it is necessary that J contains all elements of that form. Secondly, we see that subtracting any two such elements or multiplying any such element from the right with one from R again yields such an element, and hence the set of all elements of this form is not only a right ideal containing $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$, but also the smallest such to do so.

Recall that $p_i X^{m-m_i} = r_i X^m + [\text{lower order terms}]$. There exists $s'_{ij\ell}$ such that $\sigma^m(s'_{ij\ell}) = s_{ij\ell}$, so $(p_i X^{m-m_i}) s'_{ij\ell} = (r_i s_{ij\ell}) X^m + [\text{lower order terms}]$. Set $c_{ij} := (\cdots (((p_i X^{m-m_i}) s'_{ij1}) s'_{ij2}) \cdots) s'_{ijj}$. Since $p_i X^{m-m_i}$ is a generator of I_0 , c_{ij} is an element of I_0 as well, and therefore so is the element $q := \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{k'} c_{ij} X^{n-m} = r' X^n + [\text{lower order terms}]$. However, as $I_0 \subseteq I$, we also have $q \in I$, and since $p' \in I$, $(p' - q) \in I$. Now, $p' = r' X^n + [\text{lower order terms}]$, so $\deg(p' - q) < n$, and therefore $(p' - q) \in I_0$. This shows that $p' = (p' - q) + q$ is an element of I_0 as well, and thus $I = I_0$, which is finitely generated. \square

Remark 4. By Theorem 2, the non-associative Weyl algebra $T[Y][X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ in Example 3 is right Noetherian if T is right Noetherian. By Theorem 1 in [1], $T[Y][X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ is left Noetherian if T is left Noetherian.

Example 7. Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a surjection with $f(0) = 0$, $R = \mathbb{R}[Y]$, and σ be the additive surjection on R defined by $\sigma(rY^n) = rY^{f(n)}$ for any $r \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let δ be the ordinary derivative on R . Then σ respects 1 and $\delta(1) = 0$. By Theorem 2, $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ is right Noetherian.

Example 8. Let $R = \mathbb{R}[Y]$ and let σ be the map on R defined such that $\sigma(p(Y))$ for any polynomial $p(Y) \in R$ has twice the coefficient of Y that $p(Y)$ has, but all other coefficients are the same (e.g. $\sigma(2 - Y + 3Y^2) = 2 - 2Y + 3Y^2$), and let δ be the ordinary derivative. Then σ is an additive bijection that respects 1, and δ is an additive map where $\delta(1) = 0$. From Theorem 2, $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ is right Noetherian.

We can relate the ideals of a non-associative skew Laurent polynomial ring to a subring that is a non-associative Ore extension.

Proposition 1. *Let R be a non-associative ring with an additive bijection σ that respects 1. Set $S := R[X^\pm; \sigma]$ and $T := R[X; \sigma, 0]$. If I is a left ideal of S , then $I = S(I \cap T)$. If I is a right ideal of S , then $I = (I \cap T)S$.*

Proof. Let I be a right ideal of S . Then $I \cap T$ is a right ideal of T . We claim that $I = (I \cap T)S$. If $p \in I$ then $p = p_m X^m + \cdots + p_n X^n$ where $p_i \in R$ and i, m, n are integers with $m \leq n$. Then $p = (pX^{-m})X^m$ and $pX^{-m} \in I \cap T$, so $p \in (I \cap T)S$. If $p \in (I \cap T)S$, then obviously $p \in I$.

The left case is similar. \square

Proposition 1 shows that if $R[X; \sigma, 0]$ is left (right) Noetherian, then so is $R[X^\pm; \sigma]$. Combined with Theorem 2, this can be used to prove the right version of Theorem 1. In the associative case, one can prove the left version of Theorem 1 similarly by using a left version of Theorem 2. However, in the following example, we show that a left version of Theorem 2 is not true.

Example 9. Let $R = K[Y, Z]$ where K is a field. Set $U := \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and let V be the set $U \times \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist bijections $f: U \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $g = (g_1, g_2): \{2, 4, 6, \dots\} \rightarrow V$. Define a map σ on the monomials of R as follows: $\sigma(1) = 1$, $\sigma(Y^i Z^j) = Y^{2i} Z^j$ if $i > 0$, $\sigma(Z^j) = Z^{f(j)}$ if j is odd, and $\sigma(Z^j) = Y^{g_1(j)} Z^{g_2(j)}$ if j is even. Extend σ K -linearly to all polynomials in R . Then σ is an additive bijection that respects 1. Note that the ideal, J , of R generated by Y is mapped to the ideal generated by Y^2 by σ . Set $T := R[X; \sigma, 0]$ and let $I = \{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i X^i \in T: r_i \in J \text{ for all } i\}$. Then I is a left ideal of T . We claim that I is not finitely generated.

For suppose that I is generated as a left ideal by p_1, \dots, p_n for some n . Let m be the maximal degree in X of p_1, \dots, p_n . Then YX^{m+1} is in the left ideal generated by these generators. Hence there are $s_i, t_{i,1}, t_{i,2}, \dots \in T$ such that $YX^{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i,1}(t_{i,2} p_i) + \dots$. There must exist terms on the right of degree at least $m+1$. Note that if a term on the right has degree $m+1$, then its coefficients belong to the ideal generated by Y^2 . This would mean that the coefficient on the left of degree $m+1$ also belongs to the ideal of R generated by Y^2 . This is a contradiction, so there cannot exist such a finite set of generators.

3.3. Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative skew power series rings and non-associative Laurent series rings. Let R be a non-associative ring with an additive bijection σ that respects 1. We can define a *non-associative skew power series ring* $R[[X; \sigma]]$ by simply equipping the set of formal power series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i X^i$, where $r_i \in R$, with the usual pointwise addition and the multiplication defined by $(rX^m)(sX^n) = (r\sigma^m(s))X^{m+n}$ for any $r, s \in R$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ (extended in the obvious way). In particular, this makes $R[[X; \sigma]]$ a unital, non-associative ring.

We define the *order* of a non-zero element of $R[[X; \sigma]]$ to be the least power of X with a non-zero coefficient and that coefficient to be the *leading coefficient*. Like usual in formal power series rings, we can define the value of an infinite series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i$ as long as the order of the p_i s goes to infinity.

Theorem 3. *Let R be an associative ring with an additive surjection σ that respects 1. If R is right Noetherian, then so is $R[[X; \sigma]]$.*

Proof. Let R be a right Noetherian ring satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Denote the leading coefficient of an element $p \in S := R[[X; \sigma]]$ by $c(p)$.

Let I be an arbitrary non-zero right ideal of S . Let J be a set consisting of 0 and the leading coefficients of non-zero elements in I . It is not difficult to see that J is a right ideal of R and thus it is finitely generated.

Let p_1 be a non-zero element of I , such that no non-zero element of I has lower order. Define inductively p_2, \dots, p_n such that the p_{i+1} has minimal order among all elements in I such that $c(p_{i+1})$ does not lie in the right ideal generated by $c(p_1), \dots, c(p_i)$. This process must stop after finitely many steps. We claim that p_1, \dots, p_n generate I . Let an element $q \in I$ be given. Clearly there is some combination $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i k_{1,i}$ where $k_{1,i} \in S$ and either $k_{1,i} = 0$ or the order of $k_{1,i}$ equals the order of q , that has the same leading coefficient and the same order as q . Then $q' = q - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i k_{1,i}$ is an element of I of higher order than q . We can then find $k_{2,1}, \dots, k_{2,n} \in S$ and either $k_{2,i} = 0$ or the order of $k_{2,i}$ equals the order of q' , such that $q' - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i k_{2,i}$ is an element of I of yet higher order. Continuing this process we can write $q = p_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} k_{\ell,1} + \dots + p_n \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} k_{\ell,n}$, showing that q belongs to the right ideal generated by p_1, \dots, p_n . \square

Similarly, one can define a non-associative skew Laurent series ring $R((X; \sigma))$.

Theorem 4. *Let R be an associative ring with an additive bijection σ that respects 1. If R is right Noetherian, then so is $R((X; \sigma))$.*

Proof. Let R be a right Noetherian ring satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Denote the leading coefficient of an element $p \in S := R((X; \sigma))$ by $c(p)$.

Let I be an arbitrary non-zero right ideal of S . Let J be a set consisting of 0 and the leading coefficients of non-zero elements in I . Then J is a right ideal of R and thus finitely generated. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be elements in I such that $c(p_1), \dots, c(p_n)$ generate J . By the exact same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3, p_1, \dots, p_n generate I . \square

Problem 1. *Can one generalize the above two theorems for R non-associative?*

Problem 2. *Can one prove a left version of the above two theorems?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments on the manuscript as well as Patrik Lundström and Stefan Wagner for discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bäck, P. and Richter, J.: *Hilbert's basis theorem for non-associative and hom-associative Ore extensions*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **26**, 1051–1065 (2023).
- [2] Bäck, P. and Richter, J.: *Simplicity of non-associative skew Laurent polynomial rings*, [arXiv:2207.07994](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.07994).
- [3] Bell, A. D.: *Localization and ideal theory in Noetherian strongly group-graded rings*, J. Algebra **105**, 76–115 (1987).
- [4] Goodearl, K. R. and Warfield, R. B.: *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. (2004).
- [5] Hilbert, D.: *Ueber die Theorie der algebraischen Formen*, Math. Annalen **36**, 473–534 (1890).
- [6] Nystedt, P. and Öinert, J.: *Simple graded rings, nonassociative crossed products and Cayley-Dickson doublings*, J. Algebra Appl. **19**(12) (2020).
- [7] Nystedt, P., Öinert, J., and Richter, J.: *Non-associative Ore extensions*, Isr. J. Math. **224**(1), 263–292 (2018).
- [8] Nystedt, P., Öinert, J., and Richter, J.: *Simplicity of Ore monoid rings*, J. Algebra **530**, 69–85 (2019).

(Per Bäck) DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, BOX 883, SE-721 23 VÄSTERÅS, SWEDEN
Email address, corresponding author: per.back@mdu.se

(Johan Richter) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES, BLEKINGE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SE-371 79 KARLSKRONA, SWEDEN
Email address: johan.richter@bth.se