

On an infinite commuting ODE system associated to a simple Lie algebra

Di Yang, Cheng Zhang, Zejun Zhou

Abstract

Inspired by a recent work of Dubrovin [7], for each simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we introduce an infinite family of pairwise commuting ODEs and define their τ -functions. We show that these τ -functions can be identified with the τ -functions for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type. Explicit examples for $\mathfrak{g} = A_1$ and A_2 are provided, which are connected to the KdV hierarchy and the Boussinesq hierarchy respectively.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	An infinite commuting ODE system associated to \mathfrak{g}	5
3	τ-structure of the ODE system (1.18)	8
4	Proof of Theorem 1.3	9
4.1	Review of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy	9
4.2	Proof of Theorem 1.3	11
5	Examples	12
5.1	The infinite commuting ODE system of A_1 -type	12
5.2	The infinite commuting ODE system of A_2 -type	15
6	Conclusion	17

1 Introduction

For any affine Kac–Moody algebra, Drinfeld and Sokolov [5] introduced an integrable hierarchy of partial differential equations (PDEs) associated with a choice of vertex in the corresponding Dynkin diagram, now widely known as the *Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy*.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . The Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy for the untwisted affine Kac–Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)}$ under a special choice of vertex [5] (often denoted by c_0) is referred to as the *Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type* [3], which is a generalization of the celebrated Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) hierarchy (corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$). The Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type plays an important rôle in, for instance, the quantum singularity theory [7, 10, 12, 14, 19, 21, 22].

In a recent study of the KdV hierarchy, Dubrovin [8] introduced an infinite dimensional ODE system, which consists of infinitely many commuting hamiltonian equations, with the Poisson bracket given by an r -matrix structure. He defined τ -functions for this ODE system, and established the relationship between this ODE system and the KdV hierarchy

as well as the connection between their τ -functions. An important consequence of the ODE system is to provide a direct way to approaching theta-functions as special τ -functions of the KdV hierarchy [8] (see also [9]).

The content of this paper can be seen as a continuation of the program initiated by Dubrovin [8], namely, our goal is to give a generalization of Dubrovin's construction (corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$) to other simple Lie algebras. To be precise, we construct the ODE system for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type, define its τ -functions and establish the relations of the τ -functions to those for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type.

Let a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} be given. Denote by n the rank and by N the dimension. Let h, h^\vee be the Coxeter and dual Coxeter numbers of \mathfrak{g} , and let $m_1 = 1 < m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_{n-1} < m_n = h - 1$ be the exponents of \mathfrak{g} [16]. Also denote by $(\cdot|\cdot)$ the normalized Cartan–Killing form, namely,

$$(x|y) = \frac{1}{2h^\vee} \text{tr}(\text{ad}_x \cdot \text{ad}_y), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ be the formal Laurent series in λ^{-1} with coefficients in \mathfrak{g} . The normalized Cartan-Killing form can be extended to $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ through

$$(x\lambda^{l_1}|y\lambda^{l_2}) = (x|y)\lambda^{l_1+l_2}, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.2)$$

Recall from [5, 16] that the *principal gradation* of $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ is defined by the following degree assignments:

$$\deg \lambda = h, \quad \deg E_i = -\deg F_i = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.3)$$

where E_i, F_i are the Weyl generators of \mathfrak{g} . Both $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ and \mathfrak{g} can be decomposed into direct sums of subspaces with homogeneous degrees:

$$\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1})) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1})))^k}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k=-h+1}^{h-1} \mathfrak{g}^k, \quad (1.4)$$

where the upper index k denotes the degree, namely, elements in $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))^k$ and \mathfrak{g}^k are homogeneous of degree k .

Let $(e_j)_{j=1, \dots, N}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} , homogeneous with respect to the principal gradation. Denote $d_j = \deg e_j$ and $K_{ij} = (e_i|e_j)$, and let $(e^i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$ be the dual basis defined by $e^i = \sum_{j=1}^N K^{ij} e_j$ with $(K^{ij}) = (K_{ij})^{-1}$. The structure constants C_k^{ij} for \mathfrak{g} in terms of the dual basis are given by

$$[e^i, e^j] = \sum_{k=1}^N C_k^{ij} e^k. \quad (1.5)$$

Let $\Lambda(\lambda)$ denote the *cyclic element* of $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ [5, 15, 18] (which will be defined in (2.3) in Section 2). Consider a \mathfrak{g} -valued formal Laurent series $W(\lambda)$ as follows:

$$W(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq \frac{d_j}{h} - 1} u_k^j e_j \lambda^{-k-1}, \quad (1.6)$$

where u_k^j are indeterminates, and let \mathcal{P} be the polynomial ring in u_k^j over \mathbb{C} :

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C} \left[u_k^j \mid j = 1, \dots, N, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq \frac{d_j}{h} - 1 \right]. \quad (1.7)$$

Based on the r -matrix structure for $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ given in [13], a Poisson bracket on \mathcal{P} can be defined as follows:

$$\left\{ W(\lambda) \otimes W(\mu) \right\} = [r(\lambda - \mu), W(\lambda) \otimes I + I \otimes W(\mu)], \quad (1.8)$$

where I denotes the identity matrix and

$$r(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{e^j \otimes e_j}{\lambda}. \quad (1.9)$$

Here $r(\lambda)$ is the well-known rational r -matrix which is a solution to the classical Yang–Baxter equation [1, 13, 20]. Alternatively, (1.8) can be written as

$$\{u^i(\lambda), u^j(\mu)\} = \sum_{k=1}^N C_k^{ij} \frac{u^k(\lambda) - u^k(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} + \frac{([e^i, e^j]|\Lambda(\lambda) - \Lambda(\mu))}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$u^j(\lambda) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq \frac{d_j}{h} - 1} u_k^j \lambda^{-k-1}. \quad (1.11)$$

By direct computations, one can check that the bracket (1.8) (or, equivalently (1.10)) is well defined. In Section 2, we will also provide a direct verification that this bracket is indeed a Poisson bracket (see Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.1).

The following lemma, which is an analogue of [5, Proposition 4.1], is of importance.

Lemma 1.1. *There exists a unique pair $(U(\lambda), H(\lambda)) \in (\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1})))^2$ of the form*

$$U(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 1} U^{[-k]}(\lambda), \quad U^{[-k]}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P} \otimes (\text{Im ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)})^{-k}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$H(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 0} H^{[-k]}(\lambda), \quad H^{[-k]}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P} \otimes (\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)})^{-k}, \quad (1.13)$$

such that

$$e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}} W(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda) + H(\lambda). \quad (1.14)$$

The proof will be given in Section 2.

It is known from [16] that $\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$ is an infinite dimensional abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$, and there exist $\Lambda_j(\lambda) \neq 0$, $j \in E$, such that

$$\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)} = \widehat{\bigoplus_{j \in E} \mathbb{C} \Lambda_j(\lambda)}, \quad \deg \Lambda_j(\lambda) = j, \quad (1.15)$$

where $E = \sqcup_{a=1}^n (m_a + h\mathbb{Z})$ (we remind the reader that m_1, \dots, m_n are the exponents of \mathfrak{g}). The normalization of Λ_j , $j \in E$, is chosen as follows:

$$\Lambda_{m_a + kh}(\lambda) = \lambda^k \Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda), \quad \Lambda_1(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda), \quad (\Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda) | \Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda)) = h\eta_{ab}\lambda. \quad (1.16)$$

Here and below $\eta_{ab} := \delta_{a+b, n+1}$. By (1.15), we can write

$$H(\lambda) =: \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{k \geq -1} \frac{h_{n+1-a, k}}{h} \Lambda_{m_a - kh - 2h}(\lambda), \quad h_{a, k} \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (1.17)$$

Definition 1.1. Define an infinite hamiltonian ODE system as follows:

$$\frac{dW(\lambda)}{dT_k^a} = \{h_{a,k}, W(\lambda)\}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0, \quad (1.18)$$

where $h_{a,k}$, given in (1.17), are the hamiltonians and T_k^a are the associated time variables.

Define $R_a(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$, $a = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$R_a(\lambda) := e^{\text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}} \Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda). \quad (1.19)$$

Analogous to [3, 5, 8], we call $R_a(\lambda)$ the *basic \mathfrak{g} -resolvents* of $W(\lambda)$.

Theorem 1.1. The ODE system (1.18) can be written as

$$\frac{dW(\lambda)}{dT_k^a} = \left[\left(\lambda^k R_a(\lambda) \right)_+, W(\lambda) \right], \quad a = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0, \quad (1.20)$$

where $(\)_+$ means the polynomial part in λ .

Theorem 1.2. The hamiltonians are in involution, i.e.,

$$\{h_{a,k}, h_{b,l}\} = 0, \quad \forall a, b = 1, \dots, n, k, l \geq 0. \quad (1.21)$$

The proofs of the above theorems will be given in Section 2.

Following [3, 8], define $\omega_{a,k;b,l} \in \mathcal{P}$ by means of generating series as follows:

$$\sum_{k,l \geq 0} \frac{\omega_{a,k;b,l}}{\lambda^{k+1} \mu^{l+1}} = \frac{(R_a(\lambda) | R_b(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \eta_{ab} \frac{m_a \lambda + m_b \mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.22)$$

We will show in Lemma 3.1 that the polynomials $\omega_{a,k;b,l}$ satisfy the following identities:

$$\omega_{a,k;b,l} = \omega_{b,l;a,k}, \quad \frac{d\omega_{a,k;b,l}}{dT_m^c} = \frac{d\omega_{c,m;a,k}}{dT_l^b} = \frac{d\omega_{b,l;c,m}}{dT_k^a}, \quad (1.23)$$

for $a, b, c = 1, \dots, n, k, l, m \geq 0$. We call $\omega_{a,k;b,l}$ the τ -structure for the ODE system (1.18).

Due to (1.23), for any solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ to (1.18), there exists a function $\tau := \tau(\mathbf{T})$ satisfying

$$\frac{d^2 \log \tau(\mathbf{T})}{dT_k^a dT_l^b} = \omega_{a,k;b,l}(\mathbf{T}), \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n, k, l \geq 0, \quad (1.24)$$

where \mathbf{T} denotes the set of time variables T_k^a , $a = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0$. We call $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ the τ -function of the solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ to the ODE system (1.18), which is determined by $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ up to a multiplicative factor of the form

$$e^{d_0 + \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 0} d_{a,k} T_k^a}, \quad (1.25)$$

where $d_0, d_{a,k}$ are arbitrary constants.

The following theorem will be proved in Section 4.

Theorem 1.3. Every τ -function $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ for the ODE system (1.18) is a τ -function for the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type; vice versa.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide details of the construction of the ODE system (1.18), and prove Lemma 1.1, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, we study the τ -structure for the ODE system (1.18). Section 4 aims to prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, explicit examples for $\mathfrak{g} = A_1$ and A_2 are provided. Finally, in Section 6 are gathered our conclusions which are put into perspectives.

2 An infinite commuting ODE system associated to \mathfrak{g}

This section aims to give details of the construction of the ODE system (1.18).

Let a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} be given. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , and let $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the root system. We choose a set of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then, \mathfrak{g} has the root space decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \quad (2.1)$$

For any $\alpha \in \Delta$, denote by H_α the unique element in \mathfrak{g} such that $(H_\alpha|X) = \alpha(X), \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$. The normalized Cartan–Killing form induces a non-degenerate bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* through $(\alpha|\beta) = (H_\alpha|H_\beta), \alpha, \beta \in \Delta$.

Let θ be the highest root with respect to Π . We can choose $E_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta, E_{-\theta} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta}$, normalized by the conditions $(E_\theta|E_{-\theta}) = 1$ and $\omega(E_{-\theta}) = -E_\theta$, where $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the Chevalley involution. Let

$$I_+ := \sum_{a=1}^n E_{\alpha_a} \quad (2.2)$$

be the principal nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} , where E_1, \dots, E_n are the Weyl generators (*cf.* (1.3)). The cyclic element $\Lambda(\lambda)$ of $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$ is defined as [5, 15, 18]

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = I_+ + \lambda E_{-\theta}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proposition 2.1. *The bracket (1.8) (or equivalently (1.10)) is a Poisson bracket.*

Proof. The bilinearity and skew-symmetry follows directly from (1.10). Computing the quantity $\{u^i(\lambda), \{u^j(\mu), u^k(\nu)\}\}$, one has

$$\{u^i(\lambda), \{u^j(\mu), u^k(\nu)\}\} = \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^N C_l^{jk} C_s^{il} \frac{u^s(\lambda)(\mu - \nu) + u^s(\mu)(\nu - \lambda) + u^s(\nu)(\lambda - \mu)}{(\lambda - \mu)(\lambda - \nu)(\mu - \nu)}.$$

Then, the Jacobi identity follows from that of \mathfrak{g} . The proposition is proved. \square

Remark 2.1. *Note that similar formula to (1.8) given in [13, Part Two, Chapter IV] defines a Poisson structure for $\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1}))$. Then, Proposition 2.1 holds because of the well-definedness of (1.8) for our specific form of $W(\lambda)$ given in (1.6).*

Now we proceed to the proof of Lemma 1.1.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Comparing the components with principal degree $-k$ on both sides of (1.14), we find that (1.14) is equivalent to

$$H^{[-k]}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=-1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{k-j} (-1)^s \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l_1, \dots, l_s \leq k-j \\ l_1 + \dots + l_s = k-j}} \frac{\text{ad}_{U^{[-l_1]}(\lambda)} \cdots \text{ad}_{U^{[-l_s]}(\lambda)}}{s!} W^{[-j]}(\lambda), \quad k \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, $W^{[1]}(\lambda) := \Lambda(\lambda)$. The rest of the proof given by an induction on k is exactly similar to the one for the analogous lemma [3] (see also [5]). \square

For convenience, we introduce the generating series of hamiltonians

$$h_a(\lambda) := h\lambda\delta_{a,n} + \sum_{k \geq -1} h_{a,k} \lambda^{-k-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Using (1.16) and (1.17), one gets

$$\Lambda(\lambda) + H(\lambda) = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{h_{n+1-a}(\lambda)}{h\lambda} \Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda). \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 1.1 together with $R_a(\lambda)$, which is the basic \mathfrak{g} -resolvent defined in (1.19), allows us to express $W(\lambda)$ in the form

$$W(\lambda) = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{h_{n+1-a}(\lambda)}{h\lambda} R_a(\lambda). \quad (2.7)$$

Then, we can prove by straightforward computations the following lemma, which will be useful in later sections.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $a, b = 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$(R_a(\lambda)|R_b(\lambda)) = \eta_{ab}h\lambda, \quad (2.8)$$

$$(W(\lambda)|R_a(\lambda)) = h_a(\lambda), \quad (2.9)$$

$$[R_a(\lambda), R_b(\lambda)] = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$[W(\lambda), R_a(\lambda)] = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Based on Lemma 1.1, we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using (2.5) and Definition 1.1, to show (1.20) it suffices to show

$$\{h_b(\mu), W(\lambda)\} = \frac{[R_b(\mu), W(\lambda)]}{\mu - \lambda}. \quad (2.12)$$

Using (1.6), (1.16) and (2.6), one has

$$\{h_b(\mu), W(\lambda)\} = \sum_{j=1}^N \{h_b(\mu), u^j(\lambda)\} e_j = \sum_{j=1}^N (\{H(\mu), u^j(\lambda)\} | \Lambda_{m_b}(\mu)) e_j. \quad (2.13)$$

By noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \{H(\mu), u^j(\lambda)\} &= \{e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\mu)}} W(\mu), u^j(\lambda)\} \\ &= [S_j(\mu, \lambda), \Lambda(\mu) + H(\mu)] - e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\mu)}} \{u^j(\lambda), W(\mu)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and by the ad-invariance of the Cartan-Killing form and (1.19), we find that

$$\{h_b(\mu), W(\lambda)\} = - \sum_{j=1}^N (\{u^j(\lambda), W(\mu)\} | R_b(\mu)) e_j. \quad (2.15)$$

Here we have used Lemma 1.1 in the derivation of (2.14), and $S_j(\mu, \lambda)$ is given by

$$S_j(\mu, \lambda) := \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{(-\text{ad}_{U(\mu)})^l}{(l+1)!} \{u^j(\lambda), U(\mu)\}. \quad (2.16)$$

From (1.10), one can obtain

$$\{u^j(\lambda), W(\mu)\} = - \frac{[e^j, W(\lambda) - W(\mu)]}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.17)$$

Inserting (2.17) into (2.15) leads to (2.12), which completes the proof. \square

Let us now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Applying $e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}}$ to (1.20) and using (1.18), one obtains

$$\{h_{a,k}, H(\lambda)\} + [\sigma_{a,k}(\lambda), \Lambda(\lambda) + H(\lambda)] = 0, \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\sigma_{a,k}(\lambda) := \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{(-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda)})^l}{(l+1)!} \{h_{a,k}, U(\lambda)\} - e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}} (\lambda^k R_a(\lambda))_+. \quad (2.19)$$

Then, one gets

$$\{h_{a,k}, h_b(\lambda)\} = (\{h_{a,k}, H(\lambda)\} | \Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda)) = -([\sigma_{a,k}(\lambda), \Lambda(\lambda) + H(\lambda)] | \Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda)) = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

where the first equality follows from (2.6), and the last equality relies on the ad-invariance of the Cartan-Killing form. The theorem is proved. \square

Define the loop operator

$$\nabla_a(\lambda) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}} \frac{d}{dT_k^a}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.21)$$

The following lemma, which is analogous to [3, Lemma 2.2.7], will be useful in Section 3.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$\nabla_a(\lambda) R_b(\mu) = \frac{[R_a(\lambda), R_b(\mu)]}{\lambda - \mu} - [Q_a(\lambda), R_b(\mu)], \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.22)$$

where $Q_a(\lambda) := (\lambda^{-1} R_a(\lambda))_+$.

Proof. Using (2.18) and Theorem 1.2, we have

$$[\sigma_{a,k}(\lambda), \Lambda(\lambda) + H(\lambda)] = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Using an argument similar to the one in [3], it follows from (2.23) that $\sigma_{a,k}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P} \otimes \text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)}$. Then,

$$[\sigma_{a,k}(\lambda), \Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda)] + \{h_{a,k}, \Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda)\} = 0, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Applying $e^{\text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}}$ to both sides of the above equation, one obtains

$$\{h_{a,k}, R_b(\lambda)\} = \left[\left(\lambda^k R_a(\lambda) \right)_+, R_b(\lambda) \right]. \quad (2.25)$$

This is equivalent to (2.22). \square

Remark 2.2. *We note that $Q_a(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, namely, $Q_a(\lambda)$ is independent of λ .*

3 τ -structure of the ODE system (1.18)

In this section, we provide the detailed construction of τ -functions for the ODE system (1.18), along the line similar to that for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy [3].

Let us start with proving that $\omega_{a,k;b,l}$ are indeed well defined through (1.22). Substituting the following equality

$$R_b(\mu) = R_b(\lambda) + R'_b(\lambda)(\mu - \lambda) + (\mu - \lambda)^2 \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{R_b(\lambda) - R_b(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \right) \quad (3.1)$$

into the right-hand side of (1.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(R_a(\lambda)|R_b(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \eta_{ab} \frac{m_a \lambda + m_b \mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} \\ &= \frac{\eta_{ab} h \lambda}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \frac{(R_a(\lambda)|R'_b(\lambda))}{\lambda - \mu} + \left(R_a(\lambda) \left| \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{R_b(\lambda) - R_b(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \right) \right. \right) - \eta_{ab} \frac{m_a \lambda + m_b \mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Introduce

$$F(t, \lambda) = \left(e^{t \text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}} \Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda) \left| \left(e^{t \text{ad}_{U(\lambda)}} \Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda) \right)' \right. \right),$$

where prime, “'”, denotes the derivative with respect to λ . By a direct computation, one can prove that $\frac{dF(t, \lambda)}{dt} \equiv 0$. Therefore,

$$(R_a(\lambda)|R'_b(\lambda)) = F(1, \lambda) = F(0, \lambda) = (\Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda)|\Lambda'_{m_b}(\lambda)) = \eta_{ab} m_b. \quad (3.3)$$

Formulae (3.3) and (3.2) lead to the well-definedness (*cf.* [3]).

We have the following lemma, as claimed in Introduction.

Lemma 3.1. *The polynomials $\omega_{a,k;b,l}$ satisfy the identity (1.23).*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 and the definition (1.22), one can deduce (1.23). \square

Remark 3.1. *It can be proved that*

$$\{h_{a,-1}, R_b(\lambda)\} = [Q_a(\lambda), R_b(\lambda)], \quad a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.4)$$

Then by a direct computation one can show that

$$\{h_{c,-1}, \omega_{a,k;b,l}\} = 0, \quad a, b, c = 1, \dots, n, k, l \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, the hamiltonian flows given by $h_{a,-1}$, $a = 1, \dots, n$, are not included in the definition of the τ -structure.

Using the τ -structure $\omega_{a,k;b,l}$, for any solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ to (1.18), we can define the τ -function of the solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ using (1.24) as given in Introduction.

For $m \geq 3$, define

$$\omega_{a_1, k_1; \dots; a_m, k_m} := \frac{d^m \log \tau}{dT_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots dT_{k_m}^{a_m}}, \quad a_1, \dots, a_m = 1, \dots, n, k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and denote by B the following multi-linear form:

$$B(x_1, \dots, x_m) := \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{x_1} \dots \text{ad}_{x_m}), \quad x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (3.7)$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. For $m \geq 2$, the following identity holds true:

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0} \frac{\omega_{a_1, k_1; \dots; a_m, k_m}}{\lambda_1^{k_1+1} \dots \lambda_m^{k_m+1}} = -\frac{1}{2mh^\vee} \sum_{s \in S_m} \frac{B(R_{a_{s_1}}(\lambda_{s_1}), \dots, R_{a_{s_m}}(\lambda_{s_m}))}{\prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda_{s_i} - \lambda_{s_{i+1}})} - \delta_{m,2} \eta_{a_1 a_2} \frac{m_{a_1} \lambda_1 + m_{a_2} \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}, \quad (3.8)$$

where s_{m+1} is understood as s_1 .

For an arbitrary solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ to the ODE system (1.18), both sides of the identity (3.8) can be regarded as elements in $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{T}]][[\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_m^{-1}]]$. Then by taking $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0}$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. For $m \geq 2$, the generating series of m -th order Taylor coefficients of $\log \tau(\mathbf{T})$ has the explicit expression:

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0} \frac{\frac{d^m \log \tau}{dT_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots dT_{k_m}^{a_m}}(\mathbf{0})}{\lambda_1^{k_1+1} \dots \lambda_m^{k_m+1}} = -\frac{1}{2mh^\vee} \sum_{s \in S_m} \frac{B(R_{a_{s_1}}(\lambda_{s_1}, \mathbf{0}), \dots, R_{a_{s_m}}(\lambda_{s_m}, \mathbf{0}))}{\prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda_{s_i} - \lambda_{s_{i+1}})} - \delta_{m,2} \eta_{a_1 a_2} \frac{m_{a_1} \lambda_1 + m_{a_2} \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

4.1 Review of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy

In this subsection, let us recall the construction of a hierarchy of integrable PDEs given by Drinfeld and Sokolov [5].

Denote by $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{g}^{\leq 0}$ the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}^{< 0}$ the nilpotent subalgebra. The Lax operator of *pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy* introduced in [5] is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \partial_x + \Lambda(\lambda) + q(x), \quad q(x) \in \mathfrak{b}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\Lambda(\lambda)$ is the cyclic element as given in Section 2.

Let \mathcal{A}^q be the ring of differential polynomials in q . Namely, elements of \mathcal{A}^q are polynomials of the entries of q, q_x, q_{xx}, \dots . It was proved in [5] that there exists a unique pair $(\mathcal{U}(\lambda), \mathcal{H}(\lambda)) \in (\mathcal{A}^q \otimes \mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1})))^2$ of the form:

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{U}^{[-k]}(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{U}^{[-k]}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^q \otimes (\text{Im ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)})^{-k}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^{[-k]}(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{H}^{[-k]}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{A}^q \otimes (\text{Ker ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)})^{-k}, \quad (4.3)$$

such that

$$e^{-\text{ad}_{\mathcal{U}(\lambda)}} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \partial_x + \Lambda(\lambda) + \mathcal{H}(\lambda). \quad (4.4)$$

Let

$$R_a^{PDE}(\lambda) := e^{\text{ad}_{\mathcal{U}(\lambda)}} \Lambda_{m_a}(\lambda), \quad a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.5)$$

Recall that the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy [5] is a commuting family of PDEs for the \mathfrak{b} -valued function $q = q(x, \mathbf{T})$, $\mathbf{T} = (T_k^a)_{k \geq 0}^{a=1, \dots, n}$, defined by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{\partial T_k^a} = \left[(\lambda^k R_a^{PDE}(\lambda))_+, \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \right], \quad a = 1, \dots, n, k \geq 0. \quad (4.6)$$

As in [3], we call $R_a^{PDE}(\lambda)$ the *basic \mathfrak{g} -resolvents* for the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy.

The τ -structure [3] (cf. [10, 11]) $\Omega_{a,k;b,l} \in \mathcal{A}^q$, $a, b = 1, \dots, n$, $k, l \geq 0$, of pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy can be defined via

$$\sum_{k,l \geq 0} \frac{\Omega_{a,k;b,l}}{\lambda^{k+1} \mu^{l+1}} = \frac{(R_a^{PDE}(\lambda) | R_b^{PDE}(\mu))}{(\lambda - \mu)^2} - \eta_{ab} \frac{m_a \lambda + m_b \mu}{(\lambda - \mu)^2}. \quad (4.7)$$

It has the following properties:

$$\Omega_{a,k;b,l} = \Omega_{b,l;a,k}, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{a,k;b,l}}{\partial T_m^c} = \frac{\partial \Omega_{c,m;a,k}}{\partial T_l^b} = \frac{\partial \Omega_{b,l;c,m}}{\partial T_k^a}, \quad a, b, c = 1, \dots, n, \quad k, l, m \geq 0. \quad (4.8)$$

For any solution $q(x, \mathbf{T})$ of (4.6), there exists [3] a function $\tau(x, \mathbf{T})$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau(x, \mathbf{T})}{\partial T_k^a \partial T_l^b} = \Omega_{a,k;b,l}(x, \mathbf{T}), \quad \frac{\partial \log \tau(x, \mathbf{T})}{\partial T_0^1} = -\frac{\partial \log \tau(x, \mathbf{T})}{\partial x}, \quad (4.9)$$

by which the function $\tau(x, \mathbf{T})$ can be determined up to a factor as in (1.25). In view of (4.9), we can identify x with $-T_0^1$, and use a shorter notation $\tau(\mathbf{T})$. This scalar function $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ is called *the τ -function of $q(x, \mathbf{T})$ to the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy*.

Let $\mathcal{N}(x) \in \mathfrak{n}$ be a function with its values in the nilpotent subalgebra. The gauge transformation $q(x) \mapsto \tilde{q}(x)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) = e^{\text{ad}_{\mathcal{N}(x)}} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \partial_x + \Lambda(\lambda) + \tilde{q}(x). \quad (4.10)$$

The following facts were proved in [3, 5]:

- Each gauge transformation is a symmetry of pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy.
- The Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy can be obtained from (4.6) by a reduction via the gauge transformation.
- The τ -structure of the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy is invariant with respect to the gauge transformation.

Based on the above points, one can prove that the scalar function $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ (defined for the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy) is also a τ -function for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy [3]. The following lemma gives an algorithm for computing the Taylor coefficients of $\log \tau(\mathbf{T})$ at $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0}$.

Lemma 4.1 ([3]). *Let $q(x, \mathbf{T})$ be an arbitrary solution of the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy, and let $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ be the τ -function of this solution. The following identity holds true for $m \geq 2$, $a_1, \dots, a_m = 1, \dots, n$:*

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0} \frac{\frac{\partial^m \log \tau}{\partial T_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots \partial T_{k_m}^{a_m}}(\mathbf{0})}{\lambda_1^{k_1+1} \dots \lambda_m^{k_m+1}} = -\frac{1}{2mh^\vee} \sum_{s \in S_m} \frac{B(R_{a_{s_1}}^{PDE}(\lambda_{s_1}, \mathbf{0}), \dots, R_{a_{s_m}}^{PDE}(\lambda_{s_m}, \mathbf{0}))}{\prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda_{s_i} - \lambda_{s_{i+1}})} - \delta_{m,2} \eta_{a_1 a_2} \frac{m_{a_1} \lambda_1 + m_{a_2} \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}. \quad (4.11)$$

Here, $s_{m+1} = s_1$, $R_a^{PDE}(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ are the basic \mathfrak{g} -resolvents in (4.5), and B is the m -linear form on \mathfrak{g} given in (3.7).

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.3, which establishes the relationship between τ -functions for the ODE system (1.18) and those for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ be a solution to the ODE system (1.18), and $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ the τ -function of the solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$. Since

$$R_1(\lambda, \mathbf{T}) = e^{\text{ad}_{U(\lambda, \mathbf{T})}} \Lambda(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda) + \text{lower degree terms with respect to } \deg, \quad (4.12)$$

we have

$$R_1(\lambda, \mathbf{T})_+ - \Lambda(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{b}[[\mathbf{T}]]. \quad (4.13)$$

Here $U(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ is given in Lemma 1.1. Let $q(x, \mathbf{T})$ be the unique solution to the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy (4.6) specified by the following initial data

$$q(x, \mathbf{T})|_{\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}} = R_1(\lambda; T_0^1 = -x, 0, 0, \dots)_+ - \Lambda(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{b}[[x]], \quad (4.14)$$

and $R_a^{\text{PDE}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{T})$, $a = 1, \dots, n$, be the corresponding basic \mathfrak{g} -resolvents of the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy. From Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\frac{dR_1(\lambda, \mathbf{T})}{dT_0^1} = [R_1(\lambda, \mathbf{T})_+, R_1(\lambda, \mathbf{T})]. \quad (4.15)$$

With the help of this formula, we can verify that

$$[\mathcal{L}|_{\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}}, R_1(\lambda; T_0^1 = -x, \mathbf{0})] = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

where we recall that the operator \mathcal{L} is given in (4.1). Using (4.12) and (4.16) one has

$$[e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0})}} \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}}, \Lambda(\lambda)] = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Let us decompose $e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0})}} \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}}$ with respect to the principal gradation as follows:

$$e^{-\text{ad}_{U(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0})}} \mathcal{L}|_{\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}} = \partial_x + \Lambda(\lambda) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_k(\lambda, x), \quad \mathcal{H}_k(\lambda, x) \in (\mathfrak{g}((\lambda^{-1})))^{-k}. \quad (4.18)$$

Here we have used the fact that $\deg U(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0}) < 0$. By (4.17) we have

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\lambda, x) \in (\text{Ker } \text{ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)})^{-k}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (4.19)$$

From Lemma 1.1 we know that

$$U(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} U^{[-k]}(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0}), \quad U^{[-k]}(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0}) \in (\text{Im } \text{ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda)})^{-k}. \quad (4.20)$$

By using (4.18)–(4.20) and the uniqueness of solution of (4.2)–(4.4), we have

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda, x) = U(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0}). \quad (4.21)$$

Together with the definition (4.5) of the basic \mathfrak{g} -resolvents of the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy, we have

$$R_a^{\text{PDE}}(\lambda, x) = R_a(\lambda; -x, \mathbf{0}), \quad a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.22)$$

Let $\tau^{\text{PDE}}(\mathbf{T})$ denote the τ -function of the solution $q(x, \mathbf{T})$. The m -th order Taylor coefficients, $m \geq 2$, of $\log \tau^{\text{PDE}}(\mathbf{T})$ at $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0}$ are given in Lemma 4.1. And these coefficients

are equal to those of $\log \tau(\mathbf{T})$, thanks to equality (4.22) and Corollary 3.1. We conclude that the function $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ equals to $\tau^{PDE}(\mathbf{T})$, up to a factor of the form (1.25).

Conversely, let $q(x, \mathbf{T})$ be an arbitrary solution to the pre-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy (4.6) and $\tau^{PDE}(\mathbf{T})$ be its τ -function. Let $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ be a solution to the ODE system (1.18), specified by the condition

$$W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})|_{\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}} = R_1^{PDE}(\lambda; x=0, \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}), \quad (4.23)$$

and $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ be the τ -function of this solution. For this initial data we have

$$e^{-\text{ad}_{\mathcal{U}(\lambda; x=0, \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0})}} W(\lambda, \mathbf{0}) = \Lambda(\lambda). \quad (4.24)$$

The uniqueness of solutions to (1.12)–(1.14) tells us

$$U(\lambda, \mathbf{0}) = \mathcal{U}(\lambda; x=0, \mathbf{0}). \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore,

$$R_a(\lambda, \mathbf{0}) = R_a^{PDE}(\lambda; x=0, \mathbf{0}), \quad a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.26)$$

Similar to the previous arguments, we obtain that $\tau(\mathbf{T})$ equals to $\tau^{PDE}(\mathbf{T})$, up to a factor like (1.25). \square

According to Theorem 1.3, the functions r_a , $a = 1, \dots, n$, defined by

$$r_a = \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau(\mathbf{T})}{\partial T_0^a \partial T_0^1} = \omega_{a,0;1,0}, \quad (4.27)$$

satisfy

$$\frac{\partial r_a}{\partial T_k^b} = \partial_{T_0^1} \Omega_{a,0;b,k}, \quad (4.28)$$

which is the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy written in terms of normal coordinates [3, 11]. In the next section we will interpret this by means of concrete examples.

5 Examples

In this section, the ODE systems (1.18) for the A_1 and A_2 cases are explicitly computed, which are connected to the KdV hierarchy and the Boussinesq hierarchy respectively.

5.1 The infinite commuting ODE system of A_1 -type

The normalized Cartan–Killing form on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$(A|B) = \text{Tr}(AB), \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}). \quad (5.1)$$

We take the usual basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, which are homogeneous with respect to the principal gradation (*cf.* (1.3)):

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}^1, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}^0, \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

In this case, the cyclic element $\Lambda(\lambda)$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})((\lambda^{-1}))$ is given by

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.3)$$

and the matrix-valued formal Laurent series $W(\lambda)$ is given by

$$W(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ \lambda + c_1 & -a_0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i \geq 1} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_{i+1} & -a_i \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-i}. \quad (5.4)$$

Compared to (1.6), $a_k = u_{k-1}^2, b_{k+1} = u_k^1, c_{k+1} = u_{k-1}^3, k \geq 0$.

The r -matrix (1.9) reads (here an irrelevant term proportional to $I \otimes I$ is added)

$$r(\lambda) = \frac{P}{\lambda}, \quad P(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C}^2. \quad (5.5)$$

The Poisson bracket (1.8) reads

$$\{a(\lambda), b(\mu)\} = -\frac{b(\lambda) - b(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad \{a(\lambda), c(\mu)\} = \frac{c(\lambda) - c(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} + 1, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\{b(\lambda), c(\mu)\} = -\frac{2a(\lambda) - 2a(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad \{a(\lambda), a(\mu)\} = \{b(\lambda), b(\mu)\} = \{c(\lambda), c(\mu)\} = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

with

$$a(\lambda) = a_0 + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \lambda^{-k}, \quad b(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 1} b_k \lambda^{-k}, \quad c(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \lambda^{-k+1}. \quad (5.8)$$

It follows from (2.7) that the generating series of the hamiltonians $h(\lambda)$ and basic the \mathfrak{g} -resolvent $R(\lambda)$ satisfy

$$W(\lambda) = \frac{h(\lambda)R(\lambda)}{2\lambda}. \quad (5.9)$$

Thanks to $(R(\lambda)|R(\lambda)) = 2\lambda$ (cf. Lemma 2.1), we have closed forms for $h(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda)$:

$$h(\lambda) = \sqrt{2\lambda \text{Tr}(W(\lambda)^2)}, \quad R(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{\text{Tr}(W(\lambda)^2)}} W(\lambda). \quad (5.10)$$

The first few hamiltonians are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-1} &= a_0^2 + b_1 + c_1, \\ h_0 &= -\frac{1}{4}a_0^4 - \frac{1}{2}a_0^2b_1 - \frac{1}{2}a_0^2c_1 + 2a_0a_1 - \frac{1}{4}b_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1b_1 - \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 + c_2 + b_2, \\ h_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}b_2b_1 - \frac{1}{8}c_1^2b_1 - a_1a_0^3 + \frac{1}{2}c_1b_2 - \frac{1}{8}c_1b_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_2b_1 + \frac{3}{8}b_1^2a_0^2 + \frac{3}{8}b_1a_0^4 + \frac{3}{8}a_0^2c_1^2 + \frac{3}{8}c_1a_0^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}a_0^2b_2 - \frac{1}{2}a_0^2c_2 + 2a_0a_2 - a_0b_1a_1 + \frac{1}{4}b_1a_0^2c_1 - a_0c_1a_1 + \frac{1}{8}a_0^6 + \frac{1}{8}c_1^3 + a_1^2 + \frac{1}{8}b_1^3 + b_3 + c_3, \end{aligned}$$

and the first few flows are given by

$$\frac{da_0}{dT_0} = \frac{a_0^2}{2} - \frac{b_1}{2} + \frac{c_1}{2}, \quad \frac{db_1}{dT_0} = 2a_0b_1 - 2a_1, \quad \frac{dc_1}{dT_0} = -a_0^3 - a_0b_1 - a_0c_1 + 2a_1, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\frac{da_0}{dT_1} = \frac{1}{4}c_1b_1 - \frac{1}{2}b_2 + \frac{1}{8}b_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_2 - \frac{3}{4}a_0^2c_1 - \frac{3}{8}a_0^4 - \frac{1}{4}a_0^2b_1 + a_0a_1 - \frac{3}{8}c_1^2, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\frac{db_1}{dT_1} = -a_0^3b_1 + a_0^2a_1 - a_0b_1^2 - a_0b_1c_1 + 2a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_1c_1 - 2a_2, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\frac{dc_1}{dT_1} = -3a_0^2a_1 + \frac{3}{4}a_0b_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}a_0^3b_1 + \frac{3}{4}a_0c_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}c_1a_0^3 - a_0b_2 - a_0c_2 + 2a_2 - a_1b_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_0b_1c_1 - a_1c_1 + \frac{3}{4}a_0^5. \quad (5.14)$$

Denote the basic $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -resolvent by

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}(\lambda) & \tilde{b}(\lambda) \\ \tilde{c}(\lambda) & -\tilde{a}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.15)$$

Explicitly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(\lambda) &= a_0 + (a_1 - \frac{1}{2}a_0b_1 - \frac{1}{2}a_0c_1 - \frac{1}{2}a_0^3)\lambda^{-1} + \dots, \\ \tilde{b}(\lambda) &= 1 + (\frac{b_1}{2} - \frac{a_0^2}{2} - \frac{c_1}{2})\lambda^{-1} + \dots, \\ \tilde{c}(\lambda) &= \lambda + (\frac{c_1}{2} - \frac{a_0^2}{2} - \frac{b_1}{2}) \\ &\quad + (\frac{3}{4}a_0^2b_1 + \frac{1}{4}a_0^2c_1 - \frac{1}{4}c_1b_1 - a_0a_1 - \frac{1}{2}b_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{8}a_0^4 - \frac{1}{8}c_1^2 + \frac{3}{8}b_1^2)\lambda^{-1} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.22), we compute the first few polynomials of the τ -structure as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{0,0} &= -\frac{a_0^2}{2} + \frac{b_1}{2} - \frac{c_1}{2}, \\ \omega_{0,1} &= -\frac{1}{4}c_1b_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_2 - \frac{1}{8}b_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}a_0^2c_1 + \frac{3}{8}a_0^4 + \frac{1}{4}a_0^2b_1 - a_0a_1 + \frac{3}{8}c_1^2, \\ \omega_{1,1} &= -\frac{1}{8}b_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}b_3 - \frac{1}{2}c_3 - \frac{3}{8}a_0^6 - \frac{3}{8}c_1^3 - \frac{3}{2}a_1^2 + 2a_0b_1a_1 - \frac{3}{4}b_1a_0^2c_1 + 2a_0c_1a_1 + \frac{1}{8}c_1^2b_1 + 2a_1a_0^3 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}c_1b_2 + \frac{3}{8}c_1b_1^2 + c_1c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2b_1 - \frac{5}{8}b_1^2a_0^2 - \frac{7}{8}b_1a_0^4 - \frac{9}{8}a_0^2c_1^2 - \frac{9}{8}c_1a_0^4 + a_0^2c_2 - a_0a_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us illustrate the connection between the infinite commuting ODE system of A_1 -type and the KdV hierarchy by matching their τ -structures. Set $u = \omega_{0,0}$. From the ODE system of A_1 -type (1.18) (cf. (5.11)–(5.14)), we get

$$\omega_{0,1} = \frac{3}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{4}\frac{d^2u}{dT_0^2}, \quad \omega_{1,1} = 3u^3 + \frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{du}{dT_0}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}u\frac{d^2u}{dT_0^2} + \frac{1}{16}\frac{d^4u}{dT_0^4}. \quad (5.16)$$

Note that these expressions coincide with the τ -structure of the KdV hierarchy, which is guaranteed by Theorem 1.3. Given a solution $W(\lambda, \mathbf{T})$ to (1.18) of A_1 -type, we could verify that u satisfies the KdV equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial T_1} = 3u\frac{\partial u}{\partial T_0} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial T_0^3}. \quad (5.17)$$

Similarly, expressions for other $\omega_{k,l}$, $k, l \geq 0$, will lead to higher-order KdV equations.

Remark 5.1. *The ODE version of the KdV hierarchy (the A_1 case) was originally set up by Dubrovin [8]. The series $W(\lambda)$ (5.4) is connected to the one used in [8] by a gauge transformation*

$$W(\lambda) \mapsto G W(\lambda) G^{-1}, \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.19)$$

For other types of simple Lie algebra, the rôle of the gauge transformation in the hamiltonian formalism will be studied separately.

5.2 The infinite commuting ODE system of A_2 -type

In this case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$, and the normalized Killing form is

$$(A|B) = \text{Tr}(AB), \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (5.20)$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_8 be a basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= E_{11} - E_{33}, & e_2 &= E_{11} - E_{22}, & e_3 &= E_{12}, & e_4 &= E_{13}, \\ e_5 &= E_{21}, & e_6 &= E_{23}, & e_7 &= E_{31}, & e_8 &= E_{32}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where E_{ij} is the canonical basis of a 3×3 matrix. The cyclic element is

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.22)$$

and the elements $\Lambda_1(\lambda), \Lambda_2(\lambda)$ are given by

$$\Lambda_1(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda), \quad \Lambda_2 = \Lambda(\lambda)^2. \quad (5.23)$$

The principal degree on \mathfrak{g} reads

$$\deg E_{ij} = j - i, \quad \deg \lambda = 3. \quad (5.24)$$

The matrix-valued formal Laurent series $W(\lambda)$ is given by

$$W(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^8 u_i(\lambda) e_i = \Lambda(\lambda) + \text{lower degree terms with respect to deg}. \quad (5.25)$$

Instead of using upper index for the indeterminates as in (1.6), now we use lower index in this example. Precisely,

$$u_i(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq -1} u_{i,k} \lambda^{-k-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, \quad u_i(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{i,k} \lambda^{-k-1}, \quad i = 3, 4, 6. \quad (5.26)$$

The first few hamiltonians defined by Lemma 1.1 and (1.17) are explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,-1} &= u_{1,-1}^2 + u_{1,-1} u_{2,-1} + u_{2,-1}^2 + u_{4,0} + u_{5,-1} + u_{8,-1}, \\ h_{2,-1} &= u_{1,-1}^2 u_{2,-1} + u_{1,-1} u_{2,-1}^2 + u_{5,-1} u_{1,-1} - u_{8,-1} u_{1,-1} + u_{2,-1} u_{4,0} - u_{8,-1} u_{2,-1} + u_{3,0} \\ &\quad + u_{6,0} + u_{7,-1}, \\ h_{1,0} &= u_{4,1} + u_{5,0} - \frac{1}{3} u_{4,0} u_{2,-1}^3 + \frac{1}{3} u_{8,-1} u_{1,-1}^3 + \frac{1}{3} u_{8,-1} u_{2,-1}^3 - \frac{1}{3} u_{6,0} u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{3} u_{7,-1} u_{2,-1}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} u_{5,-1} u_{1,-1}^3 - \frac{1}{3} u_{3,0} u_{1,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{3} u_{3,0} u_{2,-1}^2 + \frac{2}{3} u_{5,-1} u_{3,0} - \frac{1}{3} u_{5,-1}^2 u_{1,-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} u_{5,-1} u_{6,0} - \frac{1}{3} u_{5,-1} u_{7,-1} + \frac{2}{3} u_{6,0} u_{8,-1} - \frac{1}{3} u_{6,0} u_{1,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{3} u_{8,-1} u_{3,0} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} u_{8,-1} u_{7,-1} + \frac{1}{3} u_{8,-1}^2 u_{1,-1} + \frac{1}{3} u_{8,-1}^2 u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{3} u_{4,0}^2 u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{3} u_{1,-1}^4 u_{2,-1} \\ &\quad + u_{1,0} u_{2,-1} + u_{2,0} u_{1,-1} + 2u_{2,0} u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3} u_{1,-1}^3 u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{2}{3} u_{1,-1}^2 u_{2,-1}^3 - \frac{1}{3} u_{7,-1} u_{1,-1}^2 \\ &\quad + 2u_{1,0} u_{1,-1} + \frac{2}{3} u_{4,0} u_{7,-1} - \frac{1}{3} u_{3,0} u_{4,0} - \frac{1}{3} u_{6,0} u_{4,0} - \frac{1}{3} u_{1,-1} u_{2,-1}^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{3}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{2}{3}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1} \\
& - \frac{2}{3}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 + \frac{1}{3}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1}u_{8,-1} + \frac{1}{3}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}^2u_{8,-1} - \frac{1}{3}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1} \\
& - \frac{1}{3}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}u_{2,-1} + \frac{1}{3}u_{8,-1}u_{5,-1}u_{2,-1} + u_{8,0} - \frac{1}{3}u_{6,0}u_{2,-1}u_{1,-1} \\
& - \frac{1}{3}u_{7,-1}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{3}u_{3,0}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}, \\
h_{2,0} = & u_{3,1} + u_{6,1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}^4 - \frac{4}{9}u_{5,-1}^2u_{1,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{9}u_{5,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}^2u_{1,-1}^2 \\
& - \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^2 + u_{1,0}u_{2,-1}^2 + u_{2,0}u_{1,-1}^2 - \frac{4}{9}u_{4,0}^2u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}^2 + u_{4,0}u_{2,0} \\
& - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}^2u_{8,-1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{5,-1}^2 - u_{8,-1}u_{1,0} - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}^4 - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}^2u_{1,-1}^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{3}u_{3,0}u_{6,0} + \frac{1}{3}u_{3,0}u_{7,-1} + \frac{1}{3}u_{6,0}u_{7,-1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{1,-1}^5u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{5,-1}^5u_{1,-1} \\
& - \frac{5}{9}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^4 - \frac{5}{9}u_{1,-1}^4u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{25}{27}u_{1,-1}^3u_{2,-1}^3 + u_{5,0}u_{1,-1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}^2u_{5,-1} \\
& - \frac{1}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{8,-1}^2 + u_{5,-1}u_{1,0} + u_{4,1}u_{2,-1} - u_{8,0}u_{1,-1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{2,-1}^4 - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}u_{2,-1}^4 \\
& - \frac{1}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}^4 - \frac{1}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1}^4 + u_{7,0} - \frac{1}{3}u_{6,0}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1} + \frac{2}{3}u_{8,-1}u_{3,0}u_{2,-1} \\
& + \frac{2}{3}u_{7,-1}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{8}{9}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} + \frac{4}{9}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} \\
& + \frac{4}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{27}u_{1,-1}^6 - \frac{1}{27}u_{2,-1}^6 - \frac{1}{27}u_{8,-1}^3 - \frac{1}{3}u_{3,0}^2 - \frac{1}{27}u_{5,-1}^3 \\
& - \frac{1}{3}u_{6,0}^2 - \frac{1}{27}u_{4,0}^3 - \frac{1}{3}u_{7,-1}^2 - u_{8,0}u_{2,-1} - u_{8,-1}u_{2,0} + \frac{1}{3}u_{3,0}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1} \\
& - \frac{2}{3}u_{4,0}u_{6,0}u_{2,-1} + \frac{1}{3}u_{4,0}u_{7,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{3}u_{6,0}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1} - u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^2 \\
& - \frac{2}{9}u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}^3u_{2,-1} - u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{2}{3}u_{3,0}u_{2,-1}^2u_{1,-1} - \frac{2}{9}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}^2 \\
& - \frac{2}{9}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{2}{9}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}^2 + \frac{4}{9}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 + u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^2 \\
& - \frac{2}{3}u_{6,0}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{2}{3}u_{5,-1}u_{6,0}u_{1,-1} + \frac{2}{3}u_{8,-1}u_{3,0}u_{1,-1} - \frac{2}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1}^2u_{5,-1} \\
& - \frac{2}{3}u_{7,-1}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1} + \frac{2}{3}u_{7,-1}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1} - \frac{2}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{2,-1}^3u_{1,-1} - \frac{8}{9}u_{4,0}u_{2,-1}^3u_{1,-1} \\
& + \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}^3u_{2,-1} + \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1}^3u_{1,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{6,0}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{7,-1}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1} \\
& - \frac{2}{3}u_{7,-1}u_{2,-1}^2u_{1,-1} - \frac{8}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}^3u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{3,0}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1} - \frac{1}{9}u_{5,-1}^2u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} \\
& - \frac{7}{9}u_{8,-1}^2u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} + 2u_{1,0}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} + 2u_{2,0}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{4,0}u_{3,0}u_{2,-1} \\
& - \frac{1}{9}u_{4,0}^2u_{2,-1}u_{1,-1} + \frac{4}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}^2 + \frac{7}{9}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1}u_{5,-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.19), (2.7) and (5.23) that the generating series of hamiltonians $h_1(\lambda)$, $h_2(\lambda)$ defined in (2.5) satisfy the following relation

$$\text{Tr}(W(\lambda)^2) = \frac{2h_1(\lambda)h_2(\lambda)}{3\lambda}, \quad \text{Tr}(W(\lambda)^3) = \frac{h_1(\lambda)^3 + \lambda h_2(\lambda)^3}{9\lambda^2}. \quad (5.27)$$

Some first few polynomials of the τ -structure are in the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1,0;1,0} &= -\frac{1}{3}u_{8,-1} - \frac{1}{3}u_{5,-1} - \frac{1}{3}u_{1,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{3}u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{1}{3}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} + \frac{2}{3}u_{4,0}, \\
\omega_{1,0;2,0} &= \frac{1}{3}u_{3,0} + \frac{1}{3}u_{6,0} + \frac{1}{3}u_{2,-1}u_{4,0} - \frac{2}{3}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 + \frac{2}{3}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{7,-1}, \\
\omega_{2,0;2,0} &= -\frac{2}{9}u_{8,-1}^2 + u_{3,0}u_{1,-1} - u_{2,0} - \frac{4}{9}u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} - \frac{4}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{9}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}u_{8,-1} - \frac{2}{9}u_{4,0}^2 - \frac{2}{9}u_{5,-1}^2 - \frac{2}{9}u_{2,-1}^4 - \frac{2}{9}u_{1,-1}^4 - u_{1,-1}u_{6,0} \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{4}{9}u_{1,-1}^3u_{2,-1} - \frac{4}{9}u_{1,-1}u_{2,-1}^3 - \frac{4}{9}u_{4,0}u_{1,-1}^2 + \frac{5}{9}u_{4,0}u_{2,-1}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{5}{9}u_{4,0}u_{8,-1} - \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{1,-1}^2 - \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{2,-1}^2 - \frac{4}{9}u_{8,-1}u_{5,-1} - \frac{4}{9}u_{5,-1}u_{1,-1}^2 \\
&\quad + u_{3,0}u_{2,-1} - \frac{2}{3}u_{1,-1}^2u_{2,-1}^2 + \frac{5}{9}u_{4,0}u_{5,-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $r_1 = \omega_{1,0;1,0}$, $r_2 = \omega_{1,0;2,0}$. Then, one has

$$\omega_{2,0;2,0} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{d^2r_1}{d(T_0^1)^2} - 2r_1^2. \quad (5.28)$$

Using (1.18) together with the above expressions, one obtains the Boussinesq equation

$$\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial T_0^2} = \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial T_0^1}, \quad (5.29)$$

$$\frac{\partial r_2}{\partial T_0^2} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial^3 r_1}{\partial (T_0^1)^3} - 4r_1\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial T_0^1}. \quad (5.30)$$

6 Conclusion

As a natural continuation of a recent work set up by Dubrovin [8] in the study of the KdV hierarchy, an infinite family of (hamiltonian) pairwise commuting ODE system (with the hamiltonians being in involution) associated to any simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} was provided (*cf.* (1.18)) in this paper. The τ -functions for the ODE system were also defined, and were shown to be those for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of \mathfrak{g} -type (and vice versa).

Among many possible perspectives of the present work we can think of, we would like to mention the followings that are of importance, and that the ODE formalism could have an advantage in the studies of integrable systems:

1. The hamiltonian structure of the ODE system could be quantized using the canonical quantization. This might also lead to a quantization procedure for the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy and related integrable structures such as the τ -functions.
2. The construction of the theta-functions as special τ -functions could be more straightforward using the ODE formalism. This was already shown by Dubrovin for the KdV case [8, 9], but could now be applied to other Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies.
3. We could generalize the so-called Dubrovin equations [6] in the study of finite-gap solutions of integrable PDEs to the infinite case, as for the KdV case a finite ODE system (using a truncated $W(\lambda)$) is closely related to the Dubrovin equations of finite-gap solutions [8].

4. It would be interesting to construct the ODE systems and their τ -functions with the Poisson bracket given by the r -matrix of other type (trigonometric or elliptic, cf. [1, 13, 20]).

We hope to study the above questions in subsequent papers.

Acknowledgments

D. Yang is supported by NSFC (No. 12371254), and by the CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research (No. YSBR-032). C. Zhang is supported by NSFC (No. 12171306).

References

- [1] A. Belavin, V. Drinfeld (1982). Solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 16(3):159–180.
- [2] M. Bertola, B. Dubrovin, D. Yang (2016). Correlation functions of the KdV hierarchy and applications to intersection numbers over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 327:30–57.
- [3] M. Bertola, B. Dubrovin, D. Yang (2021). Simple Lie algebras, Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies, and multipoint correlation functions. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 21(2):233–270.
- [4] L.A. Dickey (2003). *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, 2nd edition, World Scientific.
- [5] V. G. Drinfeld, V. V. Sokolov (1985). Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type. *Journal of Soviet mathematics*, 30(1985):1975–2036.
- [6] B. Dubrovin (1975). Periodic problems for the Korteweg–de Vries equation in the class of finite band potentials. *Functional analysis and its applications*, 9(3):215–223.
- [7] B. Dubrovin (1996). Geometry of 2D topological field theories, in: M. Francaviglia, S. Greco, (eds) *Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol 1620:120–348. Springer.
- [8] B. Dubrovin (2019). Approximating tau-functions by theta-functions. *Communications in Number Theory and Physics*, 13(1):203–223.
- [9] B. Dubrovin (2020). Algebraic spectral curves over \mathbb{Q} and their tau-functions, in: R. Donagi, T. Shaska, (eds) *Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry*, vol 2:41–91. Cambridge University Press.
- [10] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang (2008). Frobenius manifolds and central invariants for the Drinfeld–Sokolov bihamiltonian structures. *Advances in Mathematics*, 219(3):780–837.
- [11] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y. Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants. arXiv:math/0108160.
- [12] C. Faber, S. Shadrin, D. Zvonkine (2010). Tautological relations and the r -spin Witten conjecture. *Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure*, 43(4):621–658.

- [13] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan (2007). *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer Science & Business Media.
- [14] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan (2013). *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory*. *Annals of Mathematics*, 178(1):1–106.
- [15] V. G. Kac (1978). Infinite-dimensional algebras, Dedekind’s η -function, classical Möbius function and the very strange formula. *Advances in Mathematics*, 30(2):85–136.
- [16] V. G. Kac (1994). *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge university press.
- [17] M. Kontsevich (1992). Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 147(2):1–23.
- [18] B. Kostant (1959). The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 81(4):973–1032.
- [19] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Ruan, Y. Zhang (2015). BCFG Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies and FJRW-theory. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 201(2):711–772.
- [20] E. K. Sklyanin (1979). On complete integrability of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. No. LOMI-79-3.
- [21] E. Witten (1991). Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space. *Surveys in differential geometry*, 1(1):243–310.
- [22] E. Witten (1993). Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two-dimensional gravity, in: L. Goldberg, A. Phillips, (eds), *Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics*:235–269, Publish or Perish.

Di Yang

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
 diyang@ustc.edu.cn

Cheng Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China
 Newtown Center for Mathematics of Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China
 ch.zhang.maths@gmail.com

Zejun Zhou

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
 zzj24601@mail.ustc.edu.cn