

Pair creation in a space with spin noncommutativity of coordinates

B. Hamil*

Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique et Subatomique,
Faculté des Sciences Exactes, Université Constantine 1, 25000 Constantine, Algeria

B. C. Lütfüoğlu†

Department of Physics, University of Hradec Králové,
Rokitanského 62, 500 03 Hradec Králové, Czechia.

Abstract

We study the Schwinger pair creation process for Dirac fermions in the presence of a Volkov plane wave and a constant external electric field by applying spin non-commutativity of coordinates. Using the Schwinger proper time method, the probability of pair creation from vacuum is calculated precisely and analytically. In the case of the Volkov plane wave, it is shown that the probability is zero. However, for a constant electric field, the spin noncommutativity of the coordinates contributes to the pair creation process and affects the calculated probability.

Keywords: Pair creation; Dirac equation; spin noncommutativity of coordinates.

1 Introduction

Dirac's equation [1], which elucidates the behavior of relativistic particles such as electrons, unexpectedly predicted negative energy states. Dirac proposed the hole theory to explain these negative energy levels. According to him, an electron-positron pair would be formed when an electron is promoted from the negative energy (Dirac) sea into the positive energy continuum. In 1951 Schwinger [2], in fact earlier by Heisenberg and Euler [3] within a different point of view, figured out that an extremely intense external electric field can spontaneously cause particle-antiparticle pairs creation out of the vacuum. In this phenomenon, known as the Schwinger effect, the number of particles produced per unit time in unit volume is formulated as follows:

$$N = \frac{e^2 E^2}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2}{eE}}. \quad (1)$$

In this context, the exponential expression plays a pivotal role in demonstrating the phenomenon's non-perturbative nature by ensuring that the term becomes significant at electric field strengths exceeding a critical threshold. Under the tenets of quantum electrodynamics, the magnetic field cannot induce the formation of particle-antiparticle pairs in isolation. However, it can exert a modulating influence

*hamilbilel@gmail.com (Corresponding author)

†bekir.lutfuoglu@uhk.cz

on the rate of pair formation when accompanied by an electric field, as demonstrated in references [4] and [5]. Subsequently, in another intriguing study, the authors investigated the impact of a background magnetic field on the quantum correlations between these pairs. Their findings demonstrated that a sufficiently strong magnetic field can exert a stabilizing effect on the vacuum [6]. In principle, the Schwinger effect can be generalized to any quantum field theory coupled to an external field, although it was originally derived in the context of quantum electrodynamics [7–9]. For example, in a scalar field theory which couples either a scalar or a vector external fields, and in the context of quantum chromodynamics [10–16].

Until now, the Schwinger mechanism has not been directly observed experimentally due to the extremely intense electric field of about $10^{18} \frac{V}{m}$ required. Although recent advances in laser technology offer a promising way to generate such high-intensity electric fields [17–21], scientists currently have to employ numerical simulations [22–27] and various analytical methods, such as Hamiltonian diagonalization technique [28], path integral formulation [29, 30], worldline instanton techniques [31, 32], semiclassical WKB approximation [33–35], and "in" and "out" states formalism [36], to study the consequences of this phenomenon. In addition, pair creation has been studied in different spacetimes [37–43] within noncommutative geometries [44–48].

The property that position operators may not be commutative, which came to the fore with string theory [49], and quantum gravity [50] at the end of the last century, was actually first modeled by Snyder in the middle of the last century to heal the divergence problems of field theory [51, 52]

$$\left[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu \right] = 2i\ell^2 L_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

with the Lorentz group generators and a small parameter. In 2009, Falomir et al studied a three-dimensional noncommutative quantum mechanical system with an unconventional deformed algebra that mixes the spatial and spin operators with the form of [53]

$$\left[\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j \right] = i\ell^2 \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{S}_k, \quad \left[\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j \right] = i\varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{S}_k, \quad \left[\hat{P}_i, \hat{P}_j \right] = 0, \quad \left[\hat{X}_i, \hat{P}_j \right] = i\delta_{ij}, \quad \left[\hat{X}_i, \hat{S}_j \right] = i\ell \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{S}_k. \quad (3)$$

Here, \hat{S}_k is the spin operator, given in terms of Pauli-spin matrices $\hat{S}_k = \frac{\sigma_k}{2}$, and ℓ is the deformation parameter of the length dimension. One year later, Gomes et al used this deformed algebra in another representation to generalize the Pauli and Dirac equations in [54]. In the following years, this deformed algebra is employed to examine the Aharoniv-Bohm effect, quantum harmonic, Dirac and DKP oscillators, the inverse square potential, and the hydrogen atom problems [55–61].

In 2016, Vasyuta et al generalized the deformed algebra by replacing the Pauli-spin matrices with Dirac-gamma matrices [62]. In this paper, we intend to revisit the Schwinger pair-creation mechanism in this generalized form. Therefore, in the next section, we first introduce this generalized deformed algebra. Then, in Sec. 3 we derive the effective action, thus the Hamilton operator. Next, we examine the Volkov plane wave solution in Sec. 4. We then investigate pair creation in the presence of a constant electric field in the absence of a magnetic field in Sec. 5, and we finally summarize our findings in the last section.

2 Spin noncommutativity of coordinates

According to one of the main assumptions of space-time quantization theory, certain points in space-time have no precise conceptual meaning [51, 52, 63, 64]. This assumption is signified in the theories by non-vanishing values of the coordinate operator commutation relation. In the concrete quantized space-time models at small distances, the noncommutativity is associated with the spin of the fields. To our best knowledge, no noncommutativity of space-time is experienced for a scalar particle. However, a Dirac particle can perceive this noncommutativity. In this manuscript we follow Vasyuta et al [62], and consider the commutation relations for a Dirac field of the form

$$\left[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu \right] = 2i\ell^2 \sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ are commutators involving the Dirac-gamma matrices defined as

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2i} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]. \quad (5)$$

Here, the modified position operator is defined in terms of the usual position operator, \hat{x}_ν , of the Heisenberg algebra and deformation parameter as

$$\hat{X}_\nu = \hat{x}_\nu + \ell\gamma_\nu. \quad (6)$$

In this spin noncommutativity of coordinates, the algebra closes itself with the following commutation relations

$$\left[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu \right] = ig_{\mu\nu}, \quad \left[\hat{X}_\mu, \gamma_\nu \right] = 2i\ell\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad \left[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu \right] = 0, \quad \left[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \right] = 0, \quad (7)$$

with the Minkowskian metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. In this deformed algebra, we Taylor expand a given function, $f(\hat{X})$, as follows:

$$f(\hat{X}) = f(\hat{x} + \ell\gamma) = f(\hat{x}) + \ell\gamma_\nu \partial^\nu f(\hat{x}) + \frac{\ell^2}{2!} \langle \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \rangle \partial^\mu \partial^\nu f(\hat{x}) + \frac{\ell^3}{3!} \langle \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho \rangle \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \partial^\rho f(\hat{x}) + \dots, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\langle \gamma_{\mu_1} \gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \gamma_{\mu_n} \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum \gamma_{\mu_1} \gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \gamma_{\mu_n}. \quad (9)$$

Here the sum must be taken over all permutations of the indices.

It is worth noting that in the nonrelativistic limit, the deformed algebra can be reformulated by replacing the Dirac-gamma matrices with the Pauli-sigma matrices. In this case, the resulting non-commutative position operators become matrix operators and they can be expressed as the ordinary coordinate position operators shifted by the corresponding Pauli spin matrices

$$\hat{X}_i = \hat{x}_i + \ell s_i, \quad \text{with } s_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{2}, \quad \text{and } i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (10)$$

Then, the Schrödinger equation becomes

$$\left[\frac{p^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}_i + \ell s_i) \right] \psi = E\psi, \quad (11)$$

where ψ corresponds to the Pauli spinor.

3 Effective action in a space with spin noncommutativity of coordinates

Now, we consider the action of a massive Dirac particle that interacts with the electromagnetic field

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x [\bar{\psi} (\hat{p} - e\mathcal{A}) \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi]. \quad (12)$$

In this functional expression, ψ represents the Dirac spinor, $\bar{\psi}$ corresponds to the Hermitian conjugate associated with the Dirac spinor while $\not{a} = \gamma^\mu a_\mu$. We use the power series expansion, with the help of Eq.(8), to express the non-commutative electromagnetic field variables as functions of commutative variables up to the first-order approximation in the perturbation parameter

$$A_\mu(\hat{X}) = A_\mu(\hat{x}) + \mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x}), \quad (13)$$

where the quantity $\mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x})$, which is a function of ℓ , reads:

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x}) = \ell \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu(\hat{x}), \quad (14)$$

We then replace Eq. (13) in Eq. (12) and we get the modified action in the form of

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x [\bar{\psi} (\hat{p} - e\mathcal{A} - e\gamma^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x})) \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi]. \quad (15)$$

We note that in the limit of $\ell \rightarrow 0$, which corresponds to the commutative case, we recover the well-known action for a Dirac field in ordinary spacetime, as one would anticipate. Now, we perform the path integral calculation over the background fields, ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, and we obtain the vacuum-to-vacuum transition amplitude, $\mathcal{A}(vac - vac)$, in the following form:

$$\mathcal{A}(vac - vac) = \mathcal{N} \int D\psi D\bar{\psi} \exp \left\{ i \int d^4x [\bar{\psi} (\hat{p} - e\mathcal{A} - e\gamma^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x})) \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi] \right\}, \quad (16)$$

where \mathcal{N} is the normalization constant, whose value can be determined with the comparison it with the non-interacting one. Then, we re-express Eq. (16) to a simpler form

$$\mathcal{A}(vac - vac) = \exp \left[-tr \ln \frac{\hat{p} - m + i\varepsilon}{\hat{p} - e\mathcal{A} - e\gamma^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x}) - m + i\varepsilon} \right]. \quad (17)$$

Here, the symbol $tr = tr_x tr_\gamma$ represents the product of two traces in which one trace over the configuration space variables is denoted by the subscript tr_x , in contrast, the other trace over the Dirac matrices is denoted by the subscript tr_γ . We note that the Dirac equation is invariant under the charge conjugation, C , with $(C^{-1}\gamma_\mu C = -\gamma_\mu^t)$, and the vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude $\mathcal{A}(vac - vac)$ becomes

$$\mathcal{A}(vac - vac) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} tr \ln \frac{\hat{p}^2 - m^2 - i\varepsilon}{(\hat{p} - e\mathcal{A} - e\gamma^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x}))^2 - m^2 - i\varepsilon} \right]. \quad (18)$$

At this point, it is worth using the identity

$$\ln \frac{f}{g} = \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} [e^{isg} - e^{isf}], \quad (19)$$

to obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(vac - vac) = e^{iS_{eff}}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$S_{eff} = \frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \left\{ G(x_b, x_a, s) - e^{is(\hat{p}^2 - m^2)} \right\}_{x_b=x_a}, \quad (21)$$

is the effective action. The calculation of the pair creation probability in question crucially relies on determining the following propagator: $G(x_b, x_a, s) = \langle x_a | e^{is\mathcal{H}} | x_b \rangle$. Here, \mathcal{H} represents the Hamiltonian operator of the system

$$\mathcal{H} = (\hat{p} - eA - e\gamma^\mu \mathcal{B}_\mu(\hat{x}))^2 - m^2. \quad (22)$$

4 Volkov plane wave solution

In this section, we are in search of a Volkov plane wave solution. In the literature, the Volkov plane wave field, A_μ , is prescribed by the following properties:

- A_μ is function of the variable kx , where k is the plane wave propagation four-vector and $k^2 = k_\mu k^\mu = 0$.
- A_μ satisfies the transversality condition (Lorentz gauge): $\partial^\mu A_\mu = k^\mu \dot{A}_\mu = 0$, where $\dot{A}_\mu = \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial kx}$.

In the presence of spin noncommutativity of coordinates, the Volkov plane wave field reads:

$$A_\mu(k\hat{X}) = A_\mu(k\hat{x} + \ell\hat{k}), \quad (23)$$

By employing operators \hat{X} , which satisfy the commutation relations given in Eq. (6), and utilizing a linear transformation between the non-commutative spin variables \hat{X} and the commutative variables \hat{x} , we derive the following expression:

$$A_\mu(k\hat{X}) = A_\mu(k\hat{x}) + \ell\hat{k}\dot{A}_\mu. \quad (24)$$

Using the relation $A\dot{B} + \dot{B}A = 2AB$, we substitute Eq. (24) in Eq. (22). We then obtain the Hamiltonian in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= (\hat{p} - eA + \ell\hat{k}\dot{A})^2 - m^2, \\ &= (\hat{p} - eA)^2 - ie\hat{k}\dot{A} + 2e\ell\dot{A}(k\hat{p}) - 2e\ell\hat{k}(\dot{A}\hat{p}) + 2e\ell\hat{k}\dot{A}\hat{p} + 2e^2\ell\hat{k}A\dot{A} - 2e^2\ell\hat{k}\dot{A}A - m^2. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

For a Dirac particle subject to a Volkov plane wave field, the propagator takes on the following particular form:

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_b, x_a, s) &= \langle x_a | \exp \left\{ is \left[(\hat{p} - eA)^2 - ie\hat{k}\dot{A} + 2e\ell\dot{A}(k\hat{p}) - 2e\ell\hat{k}(\dot{A}\hat{p}) + 2e\ell\hat{k}\dot{A}\hat{p} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2e^2\ell\hat{k}A\dot{A} - 2e^2\ell\hat{k}\dot{A}A - m^2 \right] \right\} | x_b \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Before starting the calculations, it is important to outline our approach to addressing this problem. Exploiting the fact that the plane wave field is a function that depends only on the variable $k\hat{x}$, we introduce identities that treat this variable as independent from x . This enables us to separate the free quadridimensional exterior motion from the associated interaction terms, thereby simplifying the quadridimensional motions into unidimensional ones that are closely tied to classical motion. For this,

we introduce a new variable $\hat{\phi} = k\hat{x}$, whose action on the kets is $\hat{\phi}|\phi\rangle = \phi|\phi\rangle$ and associate $\hat{\phi}$ to its canonically conjugate operator

$$\begin{cases} \left[\hat{\phi}, \hat{p}_\phi \right] = i, & \left[\hat{p}_\phi, \hat{x}_\mu \right] = \left[\hat{p}_\phi, \hat{p}_\mu \right] = 0, \\ \left[\hat{\phi}, \hat{x}_\mu \right] = 0, & \left[\hat{\phi}, \hat{p}_\mu \right] = 0. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

To get the free part of the propagator, we introduce the following delta functional identity into Eq. (26):

$$\int d\phi_b d\phi_a \delta(\phi_a - kx_a) \delta(\phi_b - \phi_a - k(x_b - x_a)) = \int d\phi_b d\phi_a \delta(\phi_a - kx_a) \langle \phi_b | \phi_a \rangle.$$

By performing the shift $\hat{p}_\mu \rightarrow \hat{p}_\mu + k_\mu \hat{p}_\phi$, and considering the equations $k^\mu k_\mu = k^\mu A_\mu = k^\mu \dot{A}_\mu = 0$, we then arrive at the following expression:

$$G = \int d\phi_b d\phi_a \delta(\phi_a - kx_a) \langle \phi_a, x_a | \exp \left\{ is \left[\hat{p}^2 - m^2 + 2k\hat{p}\hat{p}_\phi \left(1 + \frac{1}{\hat{p}_\phi} \frac{e^2 A^2 - 2eA\hat{p} - ie\dot{k}\dot{A} + 2e\dot{\ell}\dot{A}(k\hat{p}) - 2e\dot{\ell}\dot{k}(\dot{A}\hat{p}) + 2e\dot{\ell}\dot{k}\dot{A}\hat{p} + 2e^2\dot{\ell}\dot{k}A\dot{A} - 2e^2\dot{\ell}\dot{k}\dot{A}\dot{A}}{2k\hat{p}} \right) \right] \right\} |x_b, \phi_b\rangle \quad (28)$$

which is equivalent to

$$G = \int d\phi_b d\phi_a \delta(\phi_a - kx_a) \langle \phi_a, x_a | \exp \left\{ is \left[\hat{p}^2 - m^2 + 2k\hat{p}\hat{p}_\phi \left(1 + i \int^{\hat{\phi}} \hat{S}_1(u) du \right) \right] \right\} |x_b, \phi_b\rangle, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\hat{S}_1(\phi) = \frac{e^2 A^2 - 2eA\hat{p} - ie\dot{k}\dot{A} + 2e\dot{\ell}\dot{A}(k\hat{p}) - 2e\dot{\ell}\dot{k}(\dot{A}\hat{p}) + 2e\dot{\ell}\dot{k}\dot{A}\hat{p} + 2e^2\dot{\ell}\dot{k}A\dot{A} - 2e^2\dot{\ell}\dot{k}\dot{A}\dot{A}}{2k\hat{p}}. \quad (30)$$

Taking into account the identity

$$e^{-i \int^{\hat{\phi}} \hat{S}_1(u) du} \hat{p}_\phi e^{i \int^{\hat{\phi}} \hat{S}_1(u) du} = \hat{S}_1(\phi) + \hat{p}_\phi, \quad (31)$$

in Eq. (28), we get

$$G = \int d\phi_b d\phi_a \delta(\phi_a - kx_a) \delta(\phi_b - \phi_a - k(x_b - x_a)) \langle x_a | e^{-i \int^{\hat{\phi}_a} \hat{S}_1(u) du} e^{is[\hat{p}^2 - m^2 + 2k\hat{p}\hat{p}_\phi]} e^{i \int^{\hat{\phi}_b} \hat{S}_1(u) du} |x_b\rangle. \quad (32)$$

Then, we perform the shift, $\hat{p} \rightarrow \hat{p} - k\hat{p}_\phi$, and utilize the following integral representation of the Dirac delta function

$$\delta(\phi_b - \phi_a - k(x_b - x_a)) = \int \frac{dp_{\phi_b}}{2\pi} \exp[ip_{\phi_b}(\phi_b - \phi_a - k(x_b - x_a))], \quad (33)$$

Subsequently, by carrying out the integration over the variables ϕ_b and ϕ_a , we derive the following expression:

$$G = \langle x_a | e^{-i \int^{k\hat{x}_a} \hat{S}_1(u) du} e^{is(\hat{p}^2 - m^2)} e^{i \int^{kx_b} \hat{S}_1(u) du} |x_b\rangle. \quad (34)$$

Here, the elimination of the operator \hat{x} is straightforward. since it transforms into a variable x_i when operating on the ket $|x_i\rangle$. However, the operator \hat{p} remains. To eliminate it, we introduce the projector

$\int |p\rangle \langle p| dp = 1$, and utilize the scalar product $\langle x | p \rangle = \frac{e^{ipx}}{(2\pi)^2}$, and we get the kernel in the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_b, x_a, s) = & \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \exp \left[-ip(x_b - x_a) + is(p^2 - m^2) + \frac{e}{2kp} \not{k} [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] \right. \\
& + iel [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] - \frac{iel}{kp} \not{k} [A_\mu(kx_b) - A_\mu(kx_a)] p^\mu \\
& + \frac{iel}{kp} \not{k} [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] \not{p} + \frac{ie^2\ell}{2kp} \not{k} [A^2(kx_b) - A^2(kx_a)] \\
& \left. - i \int_{kx_a}^{kx_b} \frac{2eAp - e^2A^2 + 2e^2\ell \not{k} \not{A}}{2kp} du \right]. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

This expression represents the propagator of a Dirac particle interacting with a plane wave field in a space with spin noncommutativity of coordinates. It is worth noting that the propagator includes an additional correction term that depends on the deformation parameter that arises due to the standard Heisenberg algebra modification. In the absence of the spin noncommutativity deformation, $\ell = 0$, Eq. (35) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_b, x_a, s) = & \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \exp \left[-ip(x_b - x_a) + is(p^2 - m^2) + \frac{e}{2kp} \not{k} [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] \right. \\
& \left. - i \int_{kx_a}^{kx_b} \frac{2eAp - e^2A^2}{2kp} du \right], \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

which represents the propagator describing a Dirac particle interaction with a plane wave field. This result agrees with that of [65]. After determining the propagator, we now calculate the probability of particle-antiparticle pair production occurring in a vacuum. Replacing the propagator from Eq. (35) in the effective action given in Eq. (21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{eff} = & \frac{i}{2} tr \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \right. \\
& \exp \left[-ip(x_b - x_a) + is(p^2 - m^2) - i \int_{kx_a}^{kx_b} \frac{2eAp - e^2A^2 + 2e^2\ell \not{k} \not{A}}{2kp} du \right. \\
& + \frac{e}{2kp} \not{k} [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] + iel [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] - \frac{iel}{kp} \not{k} [A_\mu(kx_b) - A_\mu(kx_a)] p^\mu \\
& \left. + \frac{iel}{kp} \not{k} [\not{A}(kx_b) - \not{A}(kx_a)] \not{p} + \frac{ie^2\ell}{2kp} \not{k} [A^2(kx_b) - A^2(kx_a)] \right] \\
& \left. - \exp [-ip(x_b - x_a) + is(p^2 - m^2)] \right\}_{x_a=x_b}. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we take the trace over the configuration space $tr_x = \int d^4x \langle x | (\cdot) | x \rangle$. We observe that the exponential's exponent becomes zero, meaning that the effect of the spin noncommutativity of coordinates disappears. Consequently, the effective action vanishes, $S_{eff} = 0$, and the probability of pair creation of Dirac particles becomes zero, $\mathcal{P} = 0$. This implies that a Volkov plane wave field in the presence of spin noncommutativity of coordinates cannot produce pairs of spinning particles from the vacuum.

5 Pair-creation probability within a (1+1) dimensional constant electric field

In this section, we analyze the Schwinger mechanism in a (1+1) dimensional spacetime with a single electric field component, e.g. through the z direction. In this configuration, there is no magnetic field, which lets us deal with a simpler problem than in higher dimensional cases. At first, we express the electric field with spin noncommutativity coordinates by employing Eqs. (6) and (8)

$$A_0(\hat{Z}) = -E\hat{Z} = -E\hat{z} + \ell E\gamma^3. \quad (38)$$

Here, E is a constant electric field strength that can be positive or negative. We then write the Hamilton operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \left(\hat{p} - eA(\hat{X}) \right)^2 - m^2 = (\gamma^0(p_t + eEz) + \gamma^3 p_z - eE\ell\gamma^0\gamma^3)^2 - m^2, \\ &= (p_t + eEz)^2 + i\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE - p_z^2 + e^2 E^2 \ell^2 - m^2, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which allows us to express the propagator in the form of

$$G(x_b, x_a, s) = \langle x_b | e^{is[(p_t + eEz)^2 + i\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE - p_z^2 + e^2 E^2 \ell^2 - m^2]} | x_a \rangle, \quad (40)$$

We then employ path integral techniques and we consider $e^{is\mathcal{H}} = [e^{i\varepsilon s\mathcal{H}}]^N$ with $\varepsilon = 1/N$. After that, we insert $N - 1$ identities $\int |x_j\rangle dx_j \langle x_j| = 1$ between each pair of $e^{i\varepsilon s\mathcal{H}}$ operators. Next, we introduce N momentum integrals $\int |p_j\rangle dp_j \langle p_j| = 1$, while taking into account

$$\langle x_j | p_j \rangle = \frac{d^2 p_j}{2\pi} e^{ip_j x_j}, \quad (41)$$

Using the steps outlined above, we express the matrix element of $G(x_b, x_a, s)$ in a continuous form as a path integral over position and momentum variables as follows:

$$G(x_b, x_a, s) = e^{is[i\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE + e^2 E^2 \ell^2 - m^2]} \mathcal{G}(x_b, x_a, s), \quad (42)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(x_b, x_a, s) = \int dt Dz \int Dp_t Dp_z \exp \left\{ i \int_0^1 [p_t \dot{t} - p_z \dot{z} + s [(p_t + eEz)^2 - p_z^2]] d\tau \right\}, \quad (43)$$

and $x = (t, z)$ satisfies the boundary conditions

$$x(0) = x_a, \quad \text{and} \quad x(1) = x_b. \quad (44)$$

After expressing $\mathcal{G}(x_b, x_a, s)$ in the continuous path integral, we can perform some of the integrations. First, we integrate over the path t and we find that the momenta p_t as constants, i.e., $p_t = c^{st}$. Next, we integrate once more over the momentum variable p_z , and then we rewrite the propagator as

$$\mathcal{G}(x_b, x_a, s) = \int \frac{dp_t}{2\pi} \int Dz \exp \left\{ ip_t(t_f - t_i) + i \int \left[\frac{\dot{z}^2}{4s} + se^2 E^2 \left(z + \frac{p_t}{eE} \right)^2 \right] d\tau \right\}. \quad (45)$$

This propagator, whose action is quadratic, can be determined directly by integration or by other methods. In order to avoid tedious calculations, we use the result given in [65], which let us to find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x_b, x_a, s) &= \int \frac{dp_t}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{eE}{2i\pi \sinh(2seE)}} \exp \left\{ ip_t(t_b - t_a) + i2eE \left[\left(z_a + \frac{p_t}{eE} \right)^2 + \left(z_b + \frac{p_t}{eE} \right)^2 \right] \coth(2seE) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - ieE \frac{(z_a + \frac{p_t}{eE})(z_b + \frac{p_t}{eE})}{\sinh(2seE)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

After determining $\mathcal{K}(x_b, x_a, s)$, we easily obtain the effective action

$$S_{eff} = \frac{i}{2} tr \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \left\{ \int \frac{dp_t}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{eE}{2i\pi \sinh(2seE)}} \exp \left[-s\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE + ise^2 E^2 \ell^2 - ism^2 + ip_t(t_b - t_a) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + ieE \frac{(z + \frac{p_t}{eE})^2 [\cosh(2seE) - 1]}{\sinh(2seE)} \right] - \int \frac{dp_t}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp_z}{2\pi} \exp [is(p_t^2 - p_z^2 - m^2)] \right\}. \quad (47)$$

Next, we take the integration over p_t and p_z , and we find

$$S_{eff} = \frac{i}{2} tr \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-ism^2} \left[\frac{|eE| e^{-s\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE + ise^2 E^2 \ell^2}}{4\pi \sinh(seE)} - \frac{1}{4\pi s} \right]. \quad (48)$$

We now have to take the traces, first on the Dirac matrix and then on the configuration space. Then, we use the properties of the Dirac gamma matrices, and we get

$$e^{-s\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE} = \cosh(seE) - \gamma^0\gamma^3 \sinh(seE), \quad (49)$$

Since $tr \gamma^0\gamma^3 = 0$, we can evaluate the trace of the above expression directly. We find

$$tr e^{-s\gamma^0\gamma^3 eE} = 2 \cosh(seE). \quad (50)$$

Substituting Eq. (50) in Eq. (48), we obtain

$$S_{eff} = \frac{i}{4\pi} tr_x \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-ism^2} \left[\frac{|eE| e^{ise^2 E^2 \ell^2}}{\tanh(s|eE|)} - \frac{1}{s} \right]. \quad (51)$$

We now use the identity

$$\mathcal{P} = 1 - e^{i(S_{eff} - S_{eff}^*)} \simeq 2\text{Im}S_{eff}. \quad (52)$$

Here, we need to make the change of variable $s \rightarrow -s$ in the complex conjugate of the effective action S_{eff}^* . Then, we combine all of these manipulations to express the probability

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{TL}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{s} e^{-ism^2} \left[\frac{|eE| e^{ise^2 E^2 \ell^2}}{\tanh(s|eE|)} - \frac{1}{s} \right]. \quad (53)$$

We note that this integral can be evaluated with the help of the residue theorem. The integral has singularities at $s = 0$ and simple poles located on the imaginary axis at $s_n = -\frac{i n \pi}{eE}$ ($n \neq 0$). Here, we close the contour of integration at infinity by a semicircle in the upper half-plane and evaluate the integral by the residue theorem. The result turns out to be:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{|eE|}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{n\pi}{|eE|}(m^2 - e^2 E^2 \ell^2)}. \quad (54)$$

This result resembles the well-known result corresponding to particle creation in the (1+1) dimensional space-time, with the change $m^2 - e^2 E^2 \ell^2 \rightarrow \mu^2$. The convergence of the series is obvious. This can also be written in a closed form as:

$$\mathcal{P} = -\frac{|eE|}{2\pi} \log \left[1 - e^{-\pi(\frac{m^2}{|eE|} - |eE|\ell^2)} \right]. \quad (55)$$

At this point, we have some comments. Firstly, the result in Eq. (54) includes an additional correction term that depends on the deformation parameter ℓ . This arises due to the modification of the standard Heisenberg algebra. It follows from Eq. (54) that when $\ell = \frac{m}{eE}$, the probability of pair creation tends to infinity. In addition, the spin non-commutativity of the coordinates amplifies the probability of creating a pair of particles.

6 Conclusion

In this work, we have studied the phenomenon of particle-antiparticle pair creation from vacuum in the presence of a Volkov plane wave and a constant electric field by applying the spin non-commutativity of coordinates and using the Schwinger proper time method. We have calculated the effective action, first for the Volkov plane wave and then for the constant electric field, using the path integral formalism. For the Volkov plane wave case, we introduced a new variable, $\phi = kx$, which allowed us to perform all the necessary integrations. This was facilitated by the presence of Dirac delta functions, which appeared after certain manipulations, as well as by the specific configuration of the field. From the analytical expression of the propagator, we calculated the probability of pair formation. Our analysis showed that in the presence of spin non-commutativity of the coordinates, the Volkov plane wave does not contribute to the effective action, and consequently, the probability of pair formation is zero. For the case of a constant electric field, we have calculated the analytical expression of the effective action. We have shown that the spin non-commutativity of the coordinates changes the pair creation probability.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Algeria under the code: B00L02UN040120230003. B. C. L. is grateful to Excellence Project P \ddot{r} F UHK 2211/2023-2024 for the financial support.

Data Availability Statements

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R.Soc. A **117**, 610 (1928).
- [2] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).
- [3] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936).
- [4] D. Karabali, S. Kurkcuoglu, and V. Nair, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 065005 (2019).
- [5] D. Karabali, S. Kurkcuoglu, and V. Nair, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 065006 (2019).
- [6] S. Bhattacharya, S. Chakraborty, H. Hoshino, and S. Kaushal, Phys. Lett. B **811**, 135875 (2020).
- [7] B. Hamil and L. Chetouani, Phys. Scr. **89**, 115301 (2014).
- [8] G. Gold, D. A. McGady, S. P. Patil, and V. Vardanyan, J. High Energ. Phys. **2021**, 72 (2021).
- [9] W. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 054502 (2023).
- [10] T. S. Biro, H. B. Nielsen, and J. Knoll, Nucl. Phys. B **245**, 449 (1984).
- [11] K. Kajantie and T. Matsui, Phys. Lett. B **164**, 373 (1985).
- [12] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 2233 (1994).

- [13] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 3352 (1994).
- [14] D. Kharzeev, E. Levin, and K. Tuchin, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 044903 (2007).
- [15] S. P. Kim, H. K. Lee, and Y. Yoon, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 45024 (2009).
- [16] A. C. Aguilar et al., Phys. Lett B **841**, 137906 (2023).
- [17] G. Sarri et al., Nat. Commun. **6**, 6747 (2015).
- [18] I. C. E. Turcu et al., AIP Conf. Proc. **1645**, 416 (2015).
- [19] I. Sitiwaldi and B. -S. Xie, Phys. Lett. B **777**, 406 (2018).
- [20] R. Krishna Kumar, Nat. Phys. **19**, 768 (2023).
- [21] A. Schmitt, et al., Nat. Phys. **19**, 830 (2023).
- [22] H. Gies and K. Klingmuller, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 065001 (2005).
- [23] G. V. Dunne and Q. H. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 065015 (2006).
- [24] M. Ruf et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 080402 (2009).
- [25] M. Orthaber, F. Hebenstreit, and R. Alkofer, Phys. Lett. B **698**, 80 (2011).
- [26] M. Jiang, W. Su, Z. Q. Lv, X. Lu, Y. J. Li, R. Grobe, and Q. Su, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 033408 (2012).
- [27] I. A. Aleksandrov, G. Plunien, and V. M. Shabaev, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 065024 (2016).
- [28] A. A. Grib, S. G. Mamayev, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **7**, 535 (1976).
- [29] D. M. Chitre and J. B. Hartle, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 251 (1977).
- [30] G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 125010 (2005).
- [31] G. V. Dunne and C. Schubert, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 105004 (2005).
- [32] G. V. Dunne, Q. H. Wang, H. Gies, and C. Schubert, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 065028 (2006).
- [33] S. Biswas, J. Guha, and N. G. Sarkar, Class. Quantum Grav. **12**, 1591 (1995).
- [34] S. Biswas, A. Shaw, and B. Modak, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **15**, 3717 (2000).
- [35] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 105002 (2002).
- [36] A. I. Nikishov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **30**, 660 (1970).
- [37] M. B. Fröb et al, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **04**, 009 (2014).
- [38] T. Kobayashi and N. Afshordi, J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 166 (2014).
- [39] C. Stahl and S. S. Xue, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 288 (2016).
- [40] B. Hamil, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **33**, 1850017 (2018).
- [41] E. Bavarsad, S. P. Kim, C. Stahl, and S. S. Xue, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 025017 (2018).

- [42] B. Hamil, M. Merad, and T. Birkandan, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **35**, 2050014 (2020).
- [43] S. P. Kim, W. -Y. Hwang, and T. -C. Wang, *Chinese J. Phys.* **77**, 2073 (2022).
- [44] H. Grosse and J. Madore, *Phys. Lett. B* **283**, 218 (1992).
- [45] B. Hamil and L. Chetouani, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **131**, 311 (2016).
- [46] B. Hamil and M. Merad, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33**, 1850177 (2018).
- [47] N. Mehdaoui, L. Khodja, and S. Haouat, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **36**, 2150011 (2021).
- [48] N. Moures, T. Boudjedaa, and H. Benzair, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **38**, 2350008 (2023).
- [49] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, and A. Schwarz, *J. High Energy Phys.* **1998**, 003 (1998).
- [50] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, and J. E. Roberts, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **172**, 187 (1995).
- [51] H. S. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 38 (1947).
- [52] H. S. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 68 (1947).
- [53] H. Falomir et al, *Phys. Lett. B* **680**, 384 (2009).
- [54] M. Gomes, V. G. Kupriyanov, and A. J. da Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 085024 (2010).
- [55] A. Das et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 045002 (2011).
- [56] H. Falomir et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 025009 (2012).
- [57] V. M. Vasyuta, *J. Phys. Stud.* **3**, 3001 (2013).
- [58] V. M. Vasyuta, *J. Phys. Stud.* **4**, 4001 (2014).
- [59] V. M. Vasyuta, *Ukr. J. Phys.* **4**, 348 (2017).
- [60] B. Hamil, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **32**, 1750176 (2017).
- [61] M. Hadj Moussa, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **62**, 218 (2023).
- [62] V. M. Vasyuta and V. M. Tkachuk, *Phys. Lett. B* **761**, 462 (2016).
- [63] O. Hellman, *Nucl. Phys.* **52**, 609 (1964).
- [64] Kh. Namsrai, *Int. J. Theo. Phys.* **24**, 741 (1985).
- [65] S. Zeggari, T. Boudjedaa, and L. Chetouani, *Phys. Scr.* **64**, 285 (2001).