

K-theoretic Global Symmetry in String-constructed QFT and T-duality

Hao Y. Zhang¹

¹*Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),
University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan*

(Dated: April 26, 2024)

We propose that generalized symmetries in some string-constructed QFTs are given by twisted K-theory, so as to be manifestly consistent under T-duality. We thus have *even-form* and *odd-form* symmetries determined by $K_N(\partial X)$, the twisted K-theory as D-brane charges on the asymptotic boundary ∂X of internal geometry X with twist class N . For these QFTs, “*p-form symmetries*” are no longer separately well-defined for individual p , but instead mixed up. We discuss 6D ADE-type (2,0) SCFTs and some 6d (1,0) LSTs as examples, and demonstrate their twisted K-theoretic symmetries to be consistent with T-duality.

INTRODUCTION

Generalized symmetries [1] are symmetries that act on not only point objects but also extended objects. Such symmetries are defined to be the topological operators that, after crossing a charged object, exert phase shift on them. The initial generalization is p -form symmetry acting on spacetime p -dimensional operators or spatially p -dimensional excitations. Later, a further generalization is higher-group symmetries [2–4] which can be seen as a non-trivial extension of different p -form symmetries.

The usual assumption is that p -form symmetries are separately well-defined for each p , and charged objects with different dimensionalities are also distinct. However, in this letter, we propose yet another fundamental generalization by considering symmetries whose symmetry operators and charged objects are not restricted to a specific dimensionality. Indeed, in strongly coupled QFTs constructed from string theory, sometimes non-dynamical defects of different dimensionality can be charged under the same symmetry group. Therefore, the symmetry operators themselves no longer wrap ordinary (co)homology of the spacetime manifold, but instead wrap some generalized (co)homology of the spacetime. In addition, the algebraic object characterizing such symmetry should also be given by suitable generalized (co)homology of the *internal manifold*.

We take a preliminary step by focusing on a family of compactifications of type II string theory that admit no type I/heterotic constructions, where we argue that the generalized symmetries are described by K-theory. Our key evidence comes by placing string duality at a central role. Specifically, we insist that the generalized global symmetry in the compactified theories must be compatible with T-duality. We take the viewpoint that in such string-constructed QFTs, generalized symmetry operators also admit natural top-down constructions (see [5, 6] in the context of holography and [7] in the context of geometric engineering). The innocent-looking consistency requirement under T-duality between pairs of type-II string theories leads to a dramatic consequence that one

can no longer describe generalized symmetries as branes wrapping *ordinary* homological cycles. Instead, we must take the twisted K-theory charge of branes and reduce it on twisted K-theory cocycles of the boundary of internal manifolds. The fact that brane charges and RR-fields are described in K-theory was discovered in the 90s in [8–10]. But in the literature of generalized symmetries, the usage of K-theory remains at a conceptual level [5–7, 11] or in specific examples (see [12] where twisted K-theory was introduced in an example to obtain a non-trivial homology in 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ and thus 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, and [13] proposing to use a KO-theoretic field for anomaly cancellation). In particular, the process of open-string tachyon condensation was built into the K-theory description of D-brane charges, whose counterpart for topological operators is carefully analyzed in [11]. The terminology of K-theoretic symmetry also appeared in the review [14].

It might appear that the notion of mixing p -form symmetries by twisted K-theory is not new, since 2-group symmetries also admit a somewhat similar mixing [2–4]. We now emphasize the distinction between K-theoretic global symmetries against (discrete) 2-group global symmetries. Physically, discrete 2-group symmetry is the statement that the F-move of symmetry operators of the same form degree produces a higher-codimensional symmetry operator. On the other hand, K-theoretic global involves examining symmetry operators of different dimensionalities modulo an equivalence relation. Mathematically, discrete higher group symmetries generically involve the interplay between p -form symmetry and $(p + 1)$ -form symmetry by an extension, whereas K-theoretic global symmetries only mix a set of q -form symmetries via the Chern Character, where q are either all even or all odd. From the UV point of view, 2-Group symmetry also admits a different string-theory construction [15–17] using Mayer-Vietoris sequence on the asymptotic boundary of the internal manifold.

The rest of this letter is organized as follows. After giving backgrounds for defect groups and higher-form symmetries in geometric engineering, we explain that the same answer cannot be reproduced in the dual brane constructions. We conclude that characterizing generalized

symmetries with (twisted) ordinary cohomology is incompatible with T-duality. We then propose that the generalized symmetries in type II compactifications should be described by twisted K-theory, whose compatibility with T-duality is well-established. We illustrate our proposal by giving examples of 6D (2,0) SCFTs and some (1,0) LSTs that admit no type I or heterotic constructions. In some (2,0) cases, we point out that the K-theoretic symmetries have been explicitly reproduced from the worldsheet. We proceed to the physical implication of such a K-theoretic description of generalized symmetries in terms of symmetry operators and charged states.¹

REVIEW AND MOTIVATION

We start from a relative QFT which only has a partition vector as opposed to a partition function [18], which contains non-dynamical (“heavy”) objects charged under the defect group [19]. On the defect group, there is a fractional valued Dirac pairing encoding the Heisenberg flux non-commutativity of these non-dynamical defect operators. We then pick a maximal non-commuting subset of flux operators to make it an absolute QFT, whenever possible. See [20] for the first set of such examples in 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, and [19, 21] for the explicit procedure of producing absolute theories from the defect group.

In geometric engineering of QFT via string theory, the defect group is constructed by wrapping branes on relative homological cycles of the internal manifold $\gamma_{rel} \in H_*(X_{D-d}, \partial X_{D-d})$ modulo ‘t Hooft screening by (extended) dynamical objects [19, 22, 23]:

$$\mathbb{D} = \bigoplus_n \frac{H_n(X_{D-d}, \partial X_{D-d})}{H_n(X_{D-d})}, \quad (1)$$

where we sum over all available branes in string theory (e.g., Dp for p even in IIA, p odd in IIB; M2 and M5 in M-theory). The procedure of picking a polarization has been explained in the context of type IIB string compactification in [19, 21, 24, 25]. We remark that having the same defect group in the relative QFT is a necessary condition for having the same higher-form symmetries in the absolute QFT (whenever possible) after picking polarizations.

The above screening argument provides us with the equivalence classes of charges of the heavy defects, and $H_*(\partial X_{D-d})$ appeared from the charged defect perspective as a consequence of the long exact sequence of relative (co)homology. But one can understand the result

more physically: $H_*(\partial X_{D-d})$ label the charge of topological operators, which are directly obtained by branes wrapping the cycles of the asymptotic boundary ∂X_{D-d} of the internal manifold X_{D-d} ([6, 7, 26]).

T-duality Rules Out Ordinary (co)Homology

For QFTs admitting any type II string constructions, they always come in T-dual pairs. Such pairs of constructions should be treated on equal footing. Specifically, a pure geometry configuration is generically dual to a brane configuration, therefore focusing on either pure geometric constructions or pure brane constructions would be incomplete under T-duality. In principle, we should also include heterotic, and type I compactifications, but we defer those to future study. To stay away from those string frames, we choose to focus on QFTs without those type I or heterotic constructions for the moment, with examples including 6D (2,0) SCFTs (which descend to 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM by T^2 compactification) and some 6D (1,0) LSTs. By insisting that the generalized symmetry be manifestly consistent with T-duality, we will see that the formulation of generalized symmetries in terms of ordinary (co)homology no longer holds.

6D (2,0) Examples We find it helpful to start from 6D (2,0), which admits simple configurations of internal space. Take the 6D (2,0) theories of A-type as a family of examples. These theories admit various dual constructions: type IIB on a $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_N$ orbifold singularity, and the worldvolume theory of a stack of N NS5 branes in type IIA. From the IIB side, the 2-form defect group (controlling the 2-form symmetry) is known to be $\mathbb{D}^{(2)} = \text{Ab}[\mathbb{Z}_N] = \mathbb{Z}_N$. Take $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_N$ with $N = 4$, so it has a $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -valued “2-form defect group”. The theory can descend to an absolute theory whose charge lattice is isomorphic to the character lattice of $SU(4)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ so that it admits a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued “2-form symmetry”. On the other hand, the brane construction from IIA involving NS5 brane worldvolume has very different behaviors. Investigating the generalized symmetries of such theories from type IIA side has not been pursued in the literature, but this is a natural case to examine the compatibility with T-duality. In fact, we will run into an apparent puzzle until we eventually promote ordinary cohomology to twisted K-theory.

Concretely, the IIA construction has no geometric singularity, so the boundary of the internal geometry has cohomology $H^*(S^3) = \{\mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z}\}$. Therefore, there are no torsional (co)cycles that could produce this $\mathbb{Z}_N$ 2-form defect group as in the dual IIB side, nor can any torsional 2-form symmetry be detected when the relative theory descends to an absolute theory. One then realizes that D-brane charge in such a IIA background takes value in twisted K-theory [10]. However, to demonstrate the necessity of promoting ordinary (co)homology to twisted

¹ We relegate some technical material to the Supplemental Material, including a careful treatment of K-theoretic global symmetries in 6D (2,0) of DE-type, and the way to see the twisted K-theoretic symmetry from the string worldsheet.

K-theory, let us take a purposeful detour by forcing ourselves to only do the mildest modification, namely only using twisted cohomology, and see how far we can get.

Failed Fix: Twisted (Co)homology

In the presence of non-trivial RR flux, the gauge transformation rule of RR potential C_p (and thus their flux G_{p+1}) needs to be modified as:

$$\begin{aligned} C_p &\rightarrow C_p + d\Lambda_{p-1} - H \wedge \Lambda_{p-3} (p \geq 3) \\ G_{p+1} &\rightarrow G_{p+1} + H \wedge d\Lambda_{p-3}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

so that G_{p+1} is no longer gauge-invariant. One can cancel this gauge variation by adding a compensating term

$$F_{p+1} = G_{p+1} - H \wedge C_{p-2} = dC_p - H \wedge C_{p-2}. \quad (3)$$

However, this gauge-invariant F_{p+1} is no longer co-closed, but instead:

$$dF_{p+1} = H \wedge F_{p-1}. \quad (4)$$

thus F_{p+1} is no longer a representative of ordinary (de Rham) cohomology of the spacetime. To relate to some version of cohomology, we need to consider the formal sum of all these gauge-invariant field strengths. Take type IIA for example:

$$F_{\text{even}} = F_2 + F_4 + F_6 + F_8 + F_{10}. \quad (5)$$

This F_{even} is a representative of a $d-H$ -twisted de Rham cohomology with a modified closedness condition:

$$(d-H)F_{\text{even}} = 0, \quad [F_{\text{even}}] \in H_N(\partial X), \quad (6)$$

where $N = [H] \in H^3(\partial X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the cohomology class of H_3 viewed as the twist class, and ∂X asymptotic boundary of the internal manifold X . A different $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued twist is also needed for D -type, which can be found in the Supplemental Material. The H_3 -twisted cohomology on S^3 with twist class $N = k\xi_3$ can be computed by definition (ξ_3 generates $H_3(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}$):

$$H_{k\xi_3}^*(S^3) = \{\mathbb{Z}_N, 0\}, \quad (7)$$

so we attempt to construct generalized symmetry by reducing brane charges on this “twisted” cocycle. This produces a torsional symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_N$, which coincides with the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ “defect group” obtained from type IIB compactified on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_N$ as groups.

However, we are suddenly facing a physical mismatch between two $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ’s: the twisted homology only has two elements for any X , so the notion of dimensionality of the cycles does not make sense unless we reduce it modulo 2. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ “ $\mathcal{Q}$ -form defect group” on the IIB side is fundamentally different from the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ “even-form defect

group” on the IIA side. In general, for dual pairs between pure geometric constructions and brane constructions involving NS5 branes, such a physical mismatch between untwisted ordinary cohomology and twisted ones will persist as a fundamental issue. We are thus inevitably led to our main proposal of using twisted K-theory to describe the generalized symmetry in such type II compactifications.

FULL TREATMENT: TWISTED K-THEORY

Now, we make use of the well-known fact that RR charges and RR fields are classified by the twisted K-theory of the spacetime manifold. From this we propose that, the generalized symmetry of the QFT coming from type II compactification is governed by twisted K-theory of asymptotic boundary ∂X of internal manifold X and that of the external spacetime M , promoting the result in [7] to generalized cohomology.

K-theory is defined as the equivalence class of a pair of vector bundles (E, E') modulo the simultaneous direct sum of a third bundle F :

$$(E, E') \cong (E \oplus F, E' \oplus F), \quad (8)$$

where in string theory, E, E' are interpreted as the gauge bundles on D-branes and anti-D-branes, respectively. Twisted K-theory imposes extra structure on the bundle, and sometimes one needs to formally think of both E and E' as infinite-dimensional vector bundles.² The equivalence relation of F' captures the dynamical process of open string tachyon condensation, with the tachyonic modes living on open strings from D-brane to anti-D-brane. In string-engineered QFTs, such tachyon condensations underlie the fusion rules of symmetry operators from branes wrapping ordinary homological cycles [11].

Unlike ordinary cohomology which admits a $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued degree, twisted K-theory only admits a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued degree. So we only get to talk about “even-form” and “odd-form” symmetries. As we have seen, RR fields of different degrees can transform into each other via gauge transformation. On the other hand, only the K-theory class x of the total spacetime X_{tot} is the physical degree of freedom. Its image under the Chern character is represented by the formal sum of RR field strengths F_{p+1} . Concretely,

$$\begin{aligned} IIA : \text{ch}(x) &= F_2 + F_4 + F_6 + F_8 + F_{10}, \quad x \in K^0(X_{\text{tot}}), \\ IIB : \text{ch}(x) &= F_1 + F_3 + F_5 + F_7 + F_9, \quad x \in K^1(X_{\text{tot}}). \end{aligned}$$

The object charged under the formal sum is the collection of all BPS branes. D-branes in IIB are charged under

² See Supplemental Material for references containing the definition of twisted K-theory.

$K_N^0(X_{\text{tot}})$ by consider D9 branes and D9- $\overline{\text{D9}}$ pairs, and then D-branes in IIA are classified by $K_N^1(\tilde{X}_{\text{tot}})$ which is known via T-duality [8, 9].

K-theory of Spacetime and Internal Space It is instructive to examine the Künneth formula of decomposing K-theory in the asymptotic boundary of the total spacetime $\partial X_{\text{tot}} = M \times \partial X$, with M is the external spacetime and X the internal manifold. The formula can be found in [27], but we first examine the special case when M has no torsion:

$$\begin{aligned} K^0(X_{\text{tot}}) &= K^0(M) \otimes K^0(\partial X) \oplus K^1(M) \otimes K^1(\partial X), \\ K^1(X_{\text{tot}}) &= K^0(M) \otimes K^1(\partial X) \oplus K^1(M) \otimes K^0(\partial X). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

When M has torsion, mathematically $K^i(X_{\text{tot}})$ will be an extension of the right-hand side over a direct sum of $\text{Tor}(K^i(M), K^j(\partial X))$ terms for certain sets of (i, j) .

In general, we expect to take the *right-hand side* of the above equation as the *definition* of even-form and odd-form symmetries, so there is no mixing between K-theory of M and that of ∂X . The last ingredient we need is to include the topological twist, which we now introduce.

Twisted K-theory and Topological T-duality When we have a (trivial or non-trivial) twist $x_3 \in H^3(X_{\text{tot}}; \mathbb{Z})$ which decomposes as $x_3 = y_0 \cup z_3$ for $y_0 \in H^0(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $z_3 \in H^3(\partial X; \mathbb{Z})$. It is natural to consider the following form of K-theoretic symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IIB} \quad & K^0(M) \otimes K_{z_3}^0(\partial X) \oplus K^1(M) \otimes K_{z_3}^1(\partial X), \\ \text{IIA} \quad & K^0(M) \otimes K_{z_3}^1(\partial X) \oplus K^1(M) \otimes K_{z_3}^0(\partial X), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we need the twisted K-theory of ∂X but untwisted K-theory in M along which there is no H_3 flux.

With this formulation of generalized global symmetry via twisted K-theory, the matching across T-duality has exactly been covered in a well-studied subject known as topological T-duality, which has been investigated with considerable generality and mathematical rigor. See [28–30] for some early works on topological T-duality, and [31] for a comprehensive review. In particular, even for a trivial twist class, the twisted K-theory class is different from the untwisted K-theory, as we will soon see in an example. Physically, the B_2 field is part of the string theory spacetime, so we stick to the mathematical object of twisted K-theory even for a trivial twist class.

Examples

We proceed by computing the even-form and odd-form global symmetries from the twisted K-theory group of ∂X in some 6D examples.

6D (2,0) SCFTs A 6D (2,0) SCFT can be constructed by compactifying type IIB on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ with $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ a finite subgroup. The K-theory of the internal manifold has been computed as (see, e.g., [24]):

$$K^0(S^3/\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ab}(\Gamma) \quad K^1(S^3/\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad (11)$$

where $\Gamma \subset SU(2)$ is the ADE-type finite subgroup of $SU(2)$. For type IIA, on the other hand, the A, D, and

E families require a case-by-case analysis, and we only discuss A-type constructed by k NS5 branes in the main text³. There, the IIA charge group from twisted K-theory is $K_{k\xi_3}^1(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}_k$, which does not contain the $\mathbb{Z}$ factor as in the ordinary K-theory from IIB side. Indeed, this $\mathbb{Z}$ mismatch is removed only if we consider trivially twisted K-theory $K_{0\xi_3}^*(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k)$ for IIB (the computations can be found in [32]) as stated above. Specifically, the relevant twisted K-theoretic symmetries are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} K^0(M) &: K_{0\xi_3}^0(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k) = K_{k\xi_3}^1(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}_k, \\ K^1(M) &: K_{0\xi_3}^1(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k) = K_{k\xi_3}^0(S^3) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We see that twisted K^0 and K^1 of X are exchanged under T-duality, so the even-form (resp., odd-form) symmetry in M stays the same. Restricting to the 2-form sector would reproduce the result in [19], but the K-theoretic symmetry also involves the 0-form and the 4-form sector.

6D (2,0) SCFTs: Worldsheet Perspective The analysis of D-branes on $su(2)$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) model with ADE-type modular invariants can be found in, e.g., [33]. In our context, the relevant model should be thought of as the asymptotic boundary on type IIA side, and the resulting charge group for A-brane on S^3 with H_3 -flux is computed from the boundary state formalism of the worldsheet WZW model. The result matches with the expectation from twisted K-theory, which coincides with the center of the ADE Lie group.⁴

This statement is in line with [34, 35], the study of gauged generalized symmetries in string spacetime from the worldsheet perspective. Recall that the global symmetry on the string worldsheet with boundary should be the gauge symmetry in the target manifold. If we instead view the target space as the *asymptotic boundary* of the internal manifold, then we will get the twisted K-theoretic global symmetry in the external spacetime dimensions. The author hopes to investigate this delicate match in more generality in the future.

6D (1,0) LST from type II Now we go down to 8 supercharges. Some of them can be constructed from heterotic string on a $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ orbifold with suitable flat connection of gauge bundles at infinity [36], for which we would need to go beyond T-duality. To avoid such an ambitious move, we focus on theories that thus do not admit heterotic or type I constructions, such as 6D (1,0) theories with non-trivial defect groups.

To get the richer behavior of generalized symmetries, we examine 6D (1,0) Little String Theories (LSTs) [37]. LSTs are non-gravitational theories. They are also non-local since they admit dynamical strings with finite tension (hence “little string”). They can be thought of as

³ See Supplemental Material for the study of D-type (2,0) from type IIA and discussion about E-type (2,0).

⁴ We summarize the details of the worldsheet analysis in the Supplemental Material for the convenience of the readers.

intermediate theories between QFTs and quantum theories of gravity. As a remark, we do not have access to well-formulated concepts of relative LSTs and absolute LSTs, since they are not local QFTs. Nonetheless, we can still meaningfully discuss the twisted K-theoretic Defect group of LSTs, and track their behavior across T-duality. In addition to the “2-form symmetries” that are more common for 6D theories, LSTs can have discrete “1-form symmetries” as identified in [38].

We focus on LSTs constructed from IIA on a $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k_1}$ singularity with k_2 NS5 branes. The T-dual construction of the same set of LSTs is given by IIB on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{k_2}$ with k_1 NS5 branes, note again the exchange $k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2$. We express the LST with tensor branch notation introduced in [39] as:

$$// \frac{\mathfrak{su}(k_1)}{2} \dots \frac{\mathfrak{su}(k_1)}{2} // \quad (k_2 \text{ tensors}). \quad (13)$$

Suppressing the details of string geometry, “ $//2 \ 2 \ \dots 2 //$ ” encodes the Dirac pairing of k_2 tensor multiplets in 6D that form an A-type affine Dynkin diagram, while $\mathfrak{su}(k_1)$ stands for the gauge algebra paired with each tensor multiplet on the tensor branch. The match of the twisted K-theory symmetry can be seen on both sides as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{IIA} & & \text{IIB} \\ K^0(M) : K_{k_2\xi_3}^0(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{k_1}) & = & K_{k_1\xi_3}^1(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{k_2}) = \mathbb{Z}_{k_1} \\ K^1(M) : K_{k_2\xi_3}^1(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{k_1}) & = & K_{k_1\xi_3}^0(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{k_2}) = \mathbb{Z}_{k_2}. \end{array} \quad (14)$$

We get a new odd-form symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_{k_2}$, which is an uplift of the 1-form symmetry in [38]. The K-theoretic symmetries are indeed consistent under T-duality.

SYMMETRY OPERATOR & CHARGED OBJECT

Having obtained the description of generalized global symmetries by twisted K-theory from T-duality, we now turn to their physical interpretations. Compared to generalized symmetries by ordinary cohomology, K-theory mixes up p_i form and p_j -form symmetries for $p_i - p_j$ even. In such a theory, the notion of p -form symmetries no longer makes sense for individual p , in that there is a single group controlling the spacetime symmetry operators of all odd (or even) dimensionalities.

K-theoretic Symmetry as Equivalence Classes of Symmetry Operators Indeed, Dp-branes for different p can wrap the same boundary homology cycle. They become symmetry operators of different dimensionality charged under the same (even- or odd-form) symmetry in the non-compact spacetime. This is consistent with the fact that individual components of RR potentials, C_i , are not independent physical objects, but only the K-theory class represented by their formal sums are gauge-invariant objects (see the $\mathbb{RP}^7$ example in [10]). Therefore, the symmetry operators that one obtains by integrating these C_i over submanifolds of the spacetime

are not independent objects, either. In particular, different components of the RR fields $C_0, C_2, \dots$ in the Wess-Zumino action on the D-brane worldvolume $WZ(X) = 2\pi i \int_X e^{F_2 - B_2} \sqrt{\widehat{A}}(C_0 + C_2 + \dots)$ should not be thought of as independent objects, but instead as objects that are correlated according to the Chern character from a K-theory class $x \in K^0(X)$ to $ch(x) \in H^{\text{even}}(X)$ (we took IIA as an example). In addition, [24] analyzed the K-theoretic RR fluxes and RR charges in IIB compactification, with 6d theories as a family of examples. This suggests that the notions of defect group, absolute theories, and polarizations, should all be phrased in terms of such K-theoretic symmetry of the spacetime manifold, which is in line with our proposal.

6D (2,0) SCFTs From IIB, the “2-form $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry” was originally constructed by D3 branes wrapping on relative two cycles of $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ [19]. However, on the same cycle, we can also wrap D1 branes or D5 branes, which will result in 0-dimensional or 4-dimensional (in addition to the 2-dimensional) non-dynamical defects in the spacetime. The apparently independent symmetries $\mathbb{Z}_N^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z}_N^{(2)}, \mathbb{Z}_N^{(4)}$ actually uplifts to a single $\mathbb{Z}_N$ -valued even-form K-theoretic symmetry. Wrapping D5 brane on the resolution divisor of $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ should produce for us Gukov-Witten operators [40], that are charged under the same $\mathbb{Z}_N^{(\text{even})}$ as the surface defects in [19].

From IIA side, due to the presence of k units of H_3 flux, the combination of Dp-brane will detect twisted relative cycles $\gamma_{\text{odd}} \in H_{k\xi_3}^*(S^3)$, as specified in equation (7). But these twisted cycles are already living in a mod-2 cohomology, so it does not have a $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued degree. If we take the wrapped D3-brane configuration and attempt to T-dualize it to the IIA side, then we will get either a D2 brane or D4 brane on the IIA side depending on the specific duality circle we pick, i.e., a double T-duality would exchange D2 with D4. These apparent difficulties in using wrapped D-branes all support the proposal of describing the generalized symmetries by twisted K-theory, which is naturally compatible with T-duality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Ibrahima Bah, Saghar Hosseini, Yuji Tachikawa, Xingyang Yu for discussions, and Yuji Tachikawa for helpful comments on an earlier version of the draft. The author thanks Jonathan Heckman, Max Hübner, Ethan Torres, and Xingyang Yu for collaboration on the role of K-theory in generalized symmetries and geometric engineering, together with Justin Kaidi and Yuji Tachikawa for collaboration on the spacetime implication of generalized symmetries on the string worldsheet. The author is supported in part by WPI Initiative, MEXT, Japan at Kavli IPMU, the University of Tokyo.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Twisted K-theories: Definition and Computations

As we reviewed in the main text, ordinary K-theory has a topological definition based on a pair of vector bundles on a manifold. In contrast, twisted K-theory often needs to be defined using analytic objects. See [41] for a version of definition, which involves projective unitary operators, Fredholm operators, and C^* -algebras on a Hilbert space. Possible twistings are classified by an element in

$$(a_1, x_3) \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad (15)$$

and we denote the resulting twisted K-theory on the topological space X as:

$${}^{a_1}K_{x_3}(X). \quad (16)$$

Here $x_3 = k\xi_3 \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the NS-NS H_3 flux (with ξ_3 a generator of $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$, for X a 3-manifold, $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$), and $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ captures the effect of an orientifold that “flips the pair of bundles” when going around an element of the fundamental group of X . Here the quotation marks account for the fact that, the definition of $(E, F) \sim (E \oplus H, F \oplus H)$ does not always have a clear analog when the twist takes a non-trivial value in $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Only for x_3 torsional, it is possible to find finite-dimensional twisted vector bundles [41]. But when ξ_3 is a free element, we would need to morally replace E, F with infinite-dimensional vector bundles. In these cases, the analytic definition in the reference above still enables us to take the most general twist that has both a degree-1 component and a degree-3 component.

In the algebraic formulation, the twist of K-theory is phrased as a Dixmier-Douady invariant [42] (see [43] for an accessible introduction in English) of the C^* algebra bundle on the manifold X . We refer the interested reader to [44], a concise paper with definition and computation of $K_N^*(S^3)$ as an example.

6D (2,0) DE-type Theories

D-type Here, the IIB side is still a pure geometry $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_{D_N}$ with a non-Abelian quotient by the dihedral group $\Gamma_{D_N} \subset SU(2)$ of $4N-8$ elements, such that the “2-form” charge lattice of non-dynamical defects in the 6D theory is the D_N weight lattice. Therefore, it is straightforward to determine:

$$\text{Ab}(\Gamma_{D_N}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & N \text{ even,} \\ \mathbb{Z}_4 & N \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

However, the K-theoretic symmetry from IIA side needs another type of twist specified by an element of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. According to section 4.2 of [45], 6D (2,0)

SCFT of D-type can be engineered by putting a stack of k NS5 brane on top of a $ON5_A$ brane, an object that implements the combination of I_4 , an inversion of the transverse directions, and $(-1)^{F_L}$, the worldsheet left-moving fermion parity. The K-theory charge of this configuration was computed in [46]. Concretely, they computed $-K_{(k+1)\xi_3}^*(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2)$, the K-theory on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{RP}^3$ with a ξ_3 the generator of $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and a non-trivial 1-form twist $- \in H^1(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 := \{\pm\}$ as:

$$-K_{(k+1)\xi_3}^0(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$-K_{(k+1)\xi_3}^1(S^3/\mathbb{Z}_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & k \text{ even,} \\ \mathbb{Z}_4 & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Here $k+1 = \kappa$ describes the D-type theory of type $SO(2k)$, and the “+1” accounts for the fact that the orbifold $IIA/I_4(-1)^{F_L} := ON5_A^0$ itself carries one unit of H_3 flux, which could be viewed as the combination of $ON5_A$ (without H_3 flux) and an NS5 brane.

E-type For decades, it was believed that 6D (2,0) SCFT can only be engineered from type IIB string theory via its compactification on a $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_{E_N}$ singularity, where $N = 6, 7, 8$. So we learn that their even-form symmetry is $\mathbb{Z}_m$ for Γ_{E_n} with $m = 9 - n$, which coincides with the center of the ADE Lie group.

On the other hand, the IIA construction of such theories is not available. One could only construct them from M-theory using the exotic U-fold construction [47] which is inherently strongly coupled and thus non-geometric. In M-theory, the five-dimensional internal manifold can be given as $(\mathbb{C} \times T^3)/\mathbb{Z}_p$ where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a non-geometric quotient given by:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p^{\rho}, \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a $\frac{2\pi}{p}$ rotation on the $\mathbb{C}$ complex plane, $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\rho}$ is an order- p element in the non-geometric part $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_{\rho}$ of the U-duality group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_{\rho} \times SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong E_{3,3}(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on T^3 , whereas the geometric factor $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is not involved in the quotient. Such quotients are only possible when the complexified Kähler moduli is set fixed, so these configurations are inherently strongly coupled and beyond the reach of perturbative IIA. As stated, we leave the study of charges in M-theory to future work.

Worldsheet Analysis

Here we summarize the worldsheet analysis of D-brane charges in various literature in the 6D (2,0) cases in [33] for the convenience of the readers. As an illustration, we focus on the analysis of D-type cases. Here we are considering $\mathfrak{su}(2)_k$ WZW models for even k with D-type non-diagonal modular invariants, which is also known as $\mathfrak{so}(3)_k$ WZW model. We remark that this only covers the worldsheet of IIA construction of the D-type (2,0)

theories. There, the spectrum of this theory in terms of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic states is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k/2} \mathcal{H}_{2j} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{2j} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k/2} \mathcal{H}_{2j-1} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1-2j} \quad (k/2 \text{ odd}), \\ \mathcal{H} &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k/4-1} (\mathcal{H}_{2j} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{k-2j}) \otimes (\mathcal{H}_{2j-1} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{k+1-2j}) \\ &\quad \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{k/2} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{k/2} \quad (k/2 \text{ even}).\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

The exponent $\mu, \nu, \dots \in \varepsilon$ are indices for the bulk fields that control the bulk modular S-matrix $S_{\mu\nu}$ and fusion coefficients $\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu}^\rho$. For concrete Hilbert spaces as given above, the number of exponents is given as the number of $\mathcal{H}_a \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}_a$ (“diagonal entries”) after expanding out the tensor product, which then equals to the number of untwisted boundary states. One can count the exponents to get the number $n = k/2 + 2$, i.e., there are n (untwisted) boundary states. See [48] for a pedagogical treatment of the boundary CFT formalism, including definitions and explicit expressions of these states.

Here we consider the standard situation that the boundary corresponds to the regular representation, so the set of index of such boundary states (usually denoted as $a, b, \dots$) coincides with the set of exponents. Then the boundary state charges are labeled by nodes on D_n -type Dynkin diagram, where the i -th node is associated with a charge q_i . These charges need to satisfy the charge equations modulo 2 [49]:

$$\begin{aligned}2q_i &= q_{i-1} + q_{i+1} \quad (1 < i < n-2), \\ 2q_1 &= q_2, \quad 2q_{n-1} = 2q_n = q_{n-2}, \\ 2q_{n-2} &= q_{n-3} + q_{n-1} + q_n\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Here $i = 1, \dots, n$ label nodes on a D_n Dynkin diagram, where $i = n-2$ labels the trivalent node whose three adjacent nodes are labeled by $i = n-3, n-1, n$. Solving these relations would give us the charge group $K = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ for k even and $K = \mathbb{Z}_4$ for k odd.

The analysis of A-type and E-type proceeds in a completely analogous fashion, where in the E-type we need to use the $su(2)_k$ E-type modular invariant partition functions for $k = 10, 16, 28$. The outcomes all coincide with the center of ADE simply-laced Lie group via McKay correspondence.

-
- [1] Davide Gaiotto, Anton Kapustin, Nathan Seiberg, and Brian Willett. Generalized Global Symmetries. *JHEP*, 02:172, 2015.
[2] Yuji Tachikawa. On gauging finite subgroups. *SciPost Phys.*, 8(1):015, 2020.

- [3] Clay Córdova, Thomas T. Dumitrescu, and Kenneth Intriligator. Exploring 2-Group Global Symmetries. *JHEP*, 02:184, 2019.
[4] Francesco Benini, Clay Córdova, and Po-Shen Hsin. On 2-Group Global Symmetries and their Anomalies. *JHEP*, 03:118, 2019.
[5] Iñaki García Etxebarria. Branes and Non-Invertible Symmetries. *Fortsch. Phys.*, 70(11):2200154, 2022.
[6] Fabio Apruzzi, Ibrahima Bah, Federico Bonetti, and Sakura Schafer-Nameki. Noninvertible Symmetries from Holography and Branes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130(12):121601, 2023.
[7] Jonathan J. Heckman, Max Hübner, Ethan Torres, and Hao Y. Zhang. The Branes Behind Generalized Symmetry Operators. *Fortsch. Phys.*, 71(1):2200180, 2023.
[8] Ruben Minasian and Gregory W. Moore. K theory and Ramond-Ramond charge. *JHEP*, 11:002, 1997.
[9] Edward Witten. D-branes and K-theory. *JHEP*, 12:019, 1998.
[10] Gregory W. Moore and Edward Witten. Selfduality, Ramond-Ramond fields, and K theory. *JHEP*, 05:032, 2000.
[11] Ibrahima Bah, Enoch Leung, and Thomas Waddleton. Non-invertible symmetries, brane dynamics, and tachyon condensation. *JHEP*, 01:117, 2024.
[12] Jonathan J. Heckman, Max Hubner, Ethan Torres, Xingyang Yu, and Hao Y. Zhang. Top Down Approach to Topological Duality Defects. 12 2022.
[13] Iñaki García-Etxebarria, Hirotaka Hayashi, Kantaro Ohmori, Yuji Tachikawa, and Kazuya Yonekura. 8d gauge anomalies and the topological Green-Schwarz mechanism. *JHEP*, 11:177, 2017.
[14] Oscar Loaiza-Brito and Víctor M. López-Ramos. A mini-course on Generalized Symmetries and a relation to Cobordisms and K-theory. 4 2024.
[15] Michele Del Zotto, Jonathan J. Heckman, Shani Nadir Meynet, Robert Moscrop, and Hao Y. Zhang. Higher symmetries of 5D orbifold SCFTs. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(4):046010, 2022.
[16] Michele Del Zotto, Iñaki García Etxebarria, and Sakura Schafer-Nameki. 2-Group Symmetries and M-Theory. *SciPost Phys.*, 13:105, 2022.
[17] Mirjam Cvetič, Jonathan J. Heckman, Max Hübner, and Ethan Torres. 0-form, 1-form, and 2-group symmetries via cutting and gluing of orbifolds. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(10):106003, 2022.
[18] Daniel S. Freed and Constantin Teleman. Relative quantum field theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 326:459–476, 2014.
[19] Michele Del Zotto, Jonathan J. Heckman, Daniel S. Park, and Tom Rudelius. On the Defect Group of a 6D SCFT. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 106(6):765–786, 2016.
[20] Ofer Aharony, Nathan Seiberg, and Yuji Tachikawa. Reading between the lines of four-dimensional gauge theories. *JHEP*, 08:115, 2013.
[21] Craig Lawrie, Xingyang Yu, and Hao Y. Zhang. Intermediate Defect Groups, Polarization Pairs, and Non-invertible Duality Defects. 6 2023.
[22] Federica Albertini, Michele Del Zotto, Iñaki García Etxebarria, and Saghar S. Hosseini. Higher Form Symmetries and M-theory. *JHEP*, 12:203, 2020.
[23] David R. Morrison, Sakura Schafer-Nameki, and Brian Willett. Higher-Form Symmetries in 5d. *JHEP*, 09:024, 2020.

- [24] Inaki Garcia Etxebarria, Ben Heidenreich, and Diego Regalado. IIB flux non-commutativity and the global structure of field theories. *JHEP*, 10:169, 2019.
- [25] Sergei Gukov, Po-Shen Hsin, and Du Pei. Generalized global symmetries of $T[M]$ theories. Part I. *JHEP*, 04:232, 2021.
- [26] Inaki Garcia Etxebarria and Nabil Iqbal. A Goldstone theorem for continuous non-invertible symmetries. 11 2022.
- [27] Michael Francis Atiyah. Vector bundles and the k nneth formula. *Topology*, 1(3):245–248, 1962.
- [28] Peter Bouwknegt, Jarah Evslin, and Varghese Mathai. T duality: Topology change from H flux. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 249:383–415, 2004.
- [29] Peter Bouwknegt, Jarah Evslin, and Varghese Mathai. On the topology and H flux of T dual manifolds. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92:181601, 2004.
- [30] U. Bunke and T. Schick. On the topology of T-duality. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 17:77–112, 2005.
- [31] Jonathan Rosenberg. *Topology, C^* -Algebras, and String Duality*. Number 111. American Mathematical Soc., 2009.
- [32] Juan Martin Maldacena, Gregory W. Moore, and Nathan Seiberg. D-brane instantons and K-theory charges. *JHEP*, 11:062, 2001.
- [33] Matthias R. Gaberdiel and Terry Gannon. D-brane charges on nonsimply connected groups. *JHEP*, 04:030, 2004.
- [34] Justin Kaidi, Yuji Tachikawa, and Hao Y. Zhang. On a class of selection rules without group actions in field theory and string theory. 1 2024.
- [35] Jonathan J. Heckman, Jacob McNamara, Miguel Montero, Adar Sharon, Cumrun Vafa, and Irene Valenzuela. On the Fate of Stringy Non-Invertible Symmetries. 1 2024.
- [36] Paul S. Aspinwall and David R. Morrison. Point - like instantons on K3 orbifolds. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 503:533–564, 1997.
- [37] Nathan Seiberg. New theories in six-dimensions and matrix description of M theory on $T^{**}5$ and $T^{**}5 / Z(2)$. *Phys. Lett. B*, 408:98–104, 1997.
- [38] Fabio Apruzzi, Markus Dierigl, and Ling Lin. The fate of discrete 1-form symmetries in 6d. *SciPost Phys.*, 12(2):047, 2022.
- [39] Lakshya Bhardwaj, Michele Del Zotto, Jonathan J. Heckman, David R. Morrison, Tom Rudelius, and Cumrun Vafa. F-theory and the Classification of Little Strings. *Phys. Rev. D*, 93(8):086002, 2016. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 100, 029901 (2019)].
- [40] Sergei Gukov and Edward Witten. Rigid Surface Operators. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 14(1):87–178, 2010.
- [41] Michael Atiyah and Graeme Segal. Twisted k -theory. *arXiv preprint math/0407054*, 2004.
- [42] Jacques Dixmier and Adrien Douady. Champs continus d’espaces hilbertiens et de c^* -alg bres. *Bulletin de la Soci t  Math matique de France*, 91:227–284, 1963.
- [43] Claude Schochet. The dixmier-douady invariant for dumbies. *Notices of the AMS*, 56(7), 2009.
- [44] V. Mathai and I. M. Singer. Twisted K homology theory, twisted Ext - theory. 12 2000.
- [45] Amihay Hanany and Barak Kol. On orientifolds, discrete torsion, branes and M theory. *JHEP*, 06:013, 2000.
- [46] Volker Braun and Sakura Schafer-Nameki. Supersymmetric WZW models and twisted K theory of $SO(3)$. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 12(2):217–242, 2008.
- [47] I aki Garc a-Etxebarria and Diego Regalado. Exceptional $\mathcal{N} = 3$ theories. *JHEP*, 12:042, 2017.
- [48] John L. Cardy. Boundary conformal field theory. 11 2004.
- [49] Stefan Fredenhagen and Volker Schomerus. Branes on group manifolds, gluon condensates, and twisted K theory. *JHEP*, 04:007, 2001.