Frozen stars: Black hole mimickers sourced by a string fluid

Ram Brustein⁽¹⁾, A.J.M. Medved^(2,3)

(1) Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

(2) Department of Physics & Electronics, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

(3) National Institute for Theoretical Physics (NITheP), Western Cape 7602, South Africa

ramyb@bgu.ac.il, j.medved@ru.ac.za

Abstract

The frozen star is a non-singular, ultracompact object that, to an external observer, looks exactly like a Schwarzschild black hole, but with a different interior geometry and matter composition. The frozen star needs to be sourced by an extremely anisotropic fluid, for which the sum of the radial pressure and energy density is either vanishing or perturbatively small. Here, we show that this matter can be identified with the string fluid resulting from the decay of an unstable D-brane or a brane-antibrane system at the end of open-string tachyon condensation. The string fluid corresponds to flux tubes emanating from the center and ending at the Schwarzschild radius of the star. The effective Lagrangian for this fluid can be recast into a Born-Infeld form. When the fluid Lagrangian is coupled to that of Einstein's Gravity, the static, spherically symmetric solutions of the equations of motion are shown to be the same as those describing the frozen star model. Frozen stars can therefore be viewed as gravitationally back-reacted BIons. The Born-Infeld Lagrangian provides a complete set of equations that describe the dynamics of the frozen star in a generic state, which is not necessarily static nor spherically symmetric. Additionally, this description provides a new physical perspective on the structure of the frozen star in terms of the corresponding electric fields and charges. The electric field is sourced by a point-like charge at the center of the star, while its outer layer is equal and oppositely charged. The electric force between the charges is offset because the mass of the star is fixed.

1 Introduction

The frozen star is a type of black hole (BH) mimicker: a static, spherically symmetric solution of Einstein's equations that is a regular and horizonless alternative to the singular Schwarzschild solution [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Furthermore, the frozen star is able to reproduce all of the standard thermodynamic properties of a Schwarzschild BH of the same mass [6]. It is also possible to incorporate rotation into the frozen star model [7], resulting in a regular and horizonless mimicker of the Kerr solution.

The motivation for the interior metric (1) follows from the initial impetus for the model itself. As discussed at length in [1] (also see [2]), this solution is meant to be an effective classical description of a highly quantum state for the object's interior that is known as the polymer model [8, 9]. In this picture, the BH mimicker consists of an extremely hot collection of long, closed, interacting, fundamental strings. One can view the polymer model as the microscopic description of the frozen star. As the story unfolds, this string picture will come around full circle, as we arrive at yet another description of the interior that is motivated by string theory but differently from the polymer model.

We will show that the frozen star is sourced by a fluid of cold strings resulting from the decay of an unstable D-brane or a brane–antibrane system at the end of open-string tachyon condensation [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Gibbons, Hori and Yi (GHY) [15] (also see [16]) reformulated Sen's effective action describing the state of unstable D-branes after the process of tachyon condensation. Many more references to discussions on this process can be found in [17]. The reformulated Lagrangian is of a specific Born–Infeld form which describes a fluid of rigid electric-flux tubes. In [16], the possible bending and stretching of the flux tubes were also considered. As was duly noted in [15], a similar form of Lagrangian with a two-form field first appeared in [18]. After that, the same Lagrangian was proposed in the context of the cloudof-strings model in [19] and the string-dust model in [20] and was discussed in a cosmological context as a "hedgehog compactification" in [21, 22].

When gravity is neglected, the Born–Infeld theory of Dp-branes has been shown to give rise to spherically symmetric, static, solitonic solutions of finite energy that are known as BIons [23]. (See [24] for a later review.) BIons were shown to have a point-like source in their core with strings, or flux tubes, emanating from the core and going all the way to infinity. From this perspective, frozen stars can be viewed as a specific form of gravitationally back-reacted BIons whose energy and spatial extent are both finite.

The Born–Infeld Lagrangian completes the Einstein equations and describes the dynamics of the frozen star in a generic state, which is not necessarily static nor spherically symmetric. We can thus show that the frozen star solution is a consistent and complete model which describes an ultracompact object whose equilibrium state is practically indistinguishable from that of a Schwarzschild BH.

Although beyond the scope of the current investigation, the framework that is developed here should also allow us to study extensions of our model to rotating frozen stars [7] and to the "defrosted star" model, which allows for deviations away from $\rho + p = 0$ [5]. The latter is a necessary step for the frozen star interior to support dynamical modes because of the ultrastability of the undeformed model [1, 3, 5]. The current framework should eventually enable us to study extensions of our model to cases in which the departures from equilibrium physics are macroscopic — the importance of which has been stressed in [25]. Out-of-equilibrium physics could prove to be important in describing the dynamics of astrophysical BH mergers, which would help in distinguishing the frozen star from the Schwarzschild solution, as well as from other BH mimickers.

2 The frozen star

The simplest form of frozen star metric, which is the one that will be considered in this paper, is as follows:

$$ds^{2} = -\varepsilon^{2} dt^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} dr^{2} + r^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2}) , \qquad (1)$$

where ε^2 is a small, constant and dimensionless parameter.¹ A recent paper that used data from the Event Horizon Telescope constrained the parameter to be extremely small, $\varepsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-22}$ [26]. The outermost surface of the star is pushed out slightly from the location of the would-be horizon, $R \sim 2MG(1 + \varepsilon^2)$, where R is the star's radius and M is its mass. Additionally, just like the horizon-like outer surface, each radial slice of the interior is a surface of exponentially large but finite redshift and thus can be viewed, approximately, as a marginally trapped surface.

The stress-energy tensor $T^a_{\ b}$ that is needed to source this simplest frozen star geometry is distinguished by having a radial component of pressure, $p \equiv p_r$, that takes on the most negative value that is allowed by causality: p =

¹The same parameter was referred to as ϵ or ε in our earlier papers on this topic.

 $-\rho$, where ρ is the energy density. Meanwhile, the transverse components of pressure $p_{\perp}~$ are vanishing,

$$\rho = -T_t^t = -\frac{1}{8\pi G}G_t^t = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{r^2}, \qquad (2)$$

$$p = T_{r}^{r} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} G_{r}^{r} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \frac{1-\varepsilon^{2}}{r^{2}}, \qquad (3)$$

$$p_{\perp} = T^{\theta}_{\ \theta} = T^{\phi}_{\ \phi} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} G^{\theta}_{\ \theta} = 0.$$
 (4)

It follows that

$$2GM = 2G \int^{R} dr \ 4\pi r^{2} \rho = R(1 - \varepsilon^{2}) .$$
 (5)

The frozen star geometry can be viewed as a spherically symmetric collection of straight, radially pointing, rigid strings (*i.e.*, a "hedgehog"), each with a constant tension of $\frac{1}{8\pi G}$ [4]. The geometry is mildly singular near the center of the star, as are ρ and p, so that a very small concentric sphere must be regularized to ensure that these densities remain finite. This process was described in [4]. Also, as detailed in [3], a matching process is required near the outermost layer of the star so that the metric in Eq. (1) and its corresponding stress tensor in Eqs. (2-4) match smoothly to the exterior Schwarzschild geometry. Later in the paper, we will offer a different perspective on the regularization and smoothing in terms of a point-like charge at the center of the star and an equal and opposite charge that is distributed uniformly over the surface of the star.

A perk of the maximally negative radial pressure is that the frozen star model is able to evade the singularity theorems of Hawking and Penrose [27, 28]. For a finite value of ε^2 , a trapped surface is never actually formed and having $p + \rho = 0$ means that geodesics do not converge. The way to understand this is to realize that the equation of state $p + \rho = 0$ can also be viewed as the saturation of the radial component of the null-energy condition. Thus, the conditions under which the singularity theorems are valid are not satisfied by the frozen star geometry. Similarly, a frozen star evades the "Buchdahl-like" bounds which limit the compactness of matter [29, 30, 31, 32, 33] by having a large negative pressure throughout its interior.

An important characteristic of the frozen star geometry is that the deviations from the Schwarzschild solution are large throughout the interior of the object; that is, on horizon-length scales. This goes against common lore that singularity resolution requires some quantum corrections only near the would-be singularity, but such reasoning has been shown to lead to energy loss by radiation that far exceeds the original mass of the object [34, 35].

3 Born-Infeld effective Lagrangian and BIons

Here, we review for completeness relevant portions of the analysis of Gibbons, Hori and Yi (GHY) in [15] (also see [16]), where further details and references can be found. Our conventions are that an index of 0 denotes time, one of a,b,\cdots denotes an arbitrary spacetime dimension and one of i,j,\cdots denotes an arbitrary spatial dimension. We assume three large spatial dimensions for concreteness and, for spherical coordinates, $(0, 1, 2, 3) = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$.

The starting point for the analysis in [15] is Sen's effective action for the decay of unstable *D*-branes; specifically, at the end of tachyon condensation

and near the minimum of the tachyon potential, where it is vanishing [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Sen's effective Lagrangian (density) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L} = -V(T)\sqrt{-Det\left(\eta + 2\pi\alpha'\mathcal{F}\right)} + \sqrt{-\eta}A^a J_a , \qquad (6)$$

where V(T) is the tachyon potential or, equivalently, the *D*-brane tension, $2\pi\alpha'$ is the inverse of the fundamental string tension, $\eta^a{}_b = \delta^a{}_b$ is the Minkowski background metric, $\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$ is the field-strength tensor for the gauge field A_a and J_a is the source, a 4-vector current density. We have not included the kinetic terms for the tachyon field and for the scalars that are associated with the additional transverse dimensions. These fields are readily restored if need be (see [16] for formal details) but are not necessary for the current analysis.

The Lagrangian (6) vanishes when V(T) vanishes; however, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is well defined. In the case of no magnetic sources, this is

$$\mathcal{H} = D^i \mathcal{F}_{0i} - \mathcal{L} = E_i D^i , \qquad (7)$$

where the last equality has used the fact that $\mathcal{L} = 0$ at the minimum of the potential, $E_i = \mathcal{F}_{0i}$ is the electric field and D^i is the electric displacement which, in the current case, is the canonical conjugate of the gauge field, $D^i = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_0 A_i)}$. The displacement D^i is naturally preferred over E^i to play the role of the "electric field" because it is the field in a Born–Infeld theory that always satisfies the Gauss'-law constraint,

$$\partial_i D^i = J_0 = \rho_e . \tag{8}$$

More generally, the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_0 A_i)} - \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{D^i D_i + \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}_i} , \qquad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_i = -\mathcal{F}_{ij}D^j = \left(\vec{D} \times \vec{B}\right)_i$ is the conserved momentum associated with spatial translations. The definition of the magnetic induction is standard, $B^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \mathcal{F}_{jk}$.

The sources can be included implicitly by imposing the Gauss'-law constraint (8), Ampere's law $\partial_i \mathcal{F}^i{}_j - \partial_0 E_j = J_j$, along with the Bianchi identities, which include Faraday's law $\nabla \times \vec{E} + \partial_0 \vec{B} = 0$ and

$$\partial_i B^i = 0. (10)$$

The last equation assumes that there are no sources for magnetic monopoles. The inline equations above Eq. (10) are of less importance here, as our main concern is the case for which magnetic sources and time-dependent fields are absent.

To obtain a useful Lagrangian, GHY follow techniques from [36] and regard the magnetic fields \mathcal{F}_{ij} as the conjugates with respect to a new set of dual variables K_{ij} such that $K^{ij} = 2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathcal{F}_{ij}}$. The fields \mathcal{F}_{ab} and \mathcal{K}_{ab} — the latter being an extension of K_{ij} as defined in Eq. (13) — should be regarded as independent variables. This will be relevant when we derive the equations of motion (EOM) for the tensor field \mathcal{K} .

The resulting Lagrangian is then defined by an appropriate Legendre

transformation,

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{H} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{ij} K^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{D^i D_i - \frac{1}{2} K^{ij} K_{ij}}, \qquad (11)$$

and is clearly non-vanishing in general. The latter equality can be obtained using the relation

$$\frac{1}{2}K^{ij}K_{ij} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2}\mathcal{P}^i\mathcal{P}_iD^jD_j.$$
(12)

GHY then define a two-form field $\mathcal{K}_{ab} = \partial_a \widetilde{A}_b - \partial_b \widetilde{A}_a$ that acts as an effective field strength,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{0i} &= D_i , \\
\mathcal{K}_{ij} &= K_{ij} .
\end{aligned}$$
(13)

The new Lagrangian can now be written in a manifestly Born–Infeld form,

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}^{ab} \mathcal{K}_{ab}} , \qquad (14)$$

where the negative sign is a consequence of the time-time component of the metric appearing in the contraction when a = 0 and b = i (and *vice versa*). Here, the (effective) electric-field term is presumed to be the dominant one. In the case of no magnetic sources, one finds that the new Lagrangian is the same as the original Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{L}' = E_i D^i$.

There is a subtlety in this procedure in that Eq. (13) implies that $\mathcal{K} \wedge \mathcal{K} = 0$ but, given that this constraint is in effect, the canonical analysis of \mathcal{L}' does not lead back to the same Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} . As explained in [15], this situation can be rectified by adding a Lagrange-multiplier term to \mathcal{L}' that imposes the

constraint explicitly.

To summarize, the Born–Infeld Lagrangian describing the string fluid is the following:

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}^{ab} \mathcal{K}_{ab}} + \lambda_1 \epsilon^{abcd} \mathcal{K}_{ab} \mathcal{K}_{cd} + \sqrt{-\eta} A^a J_a , \qquad (15)$$

where λ_1 is the Lagrange multiplier and the constraint is automatically satisfied (and the constraint term vanishes) by imposing Eq. (13). The connection with strings follows from the fact that \mathcal{K}^{ab} can be identified as a surfaceforming bivector [15], which can then be interpreted as a cross-sectional slice of the world sheet of an open string or a flux tube.

The EOM for this Lagrangian, $d\mathcal{L}' = 0$, are most transparent when expressed in terms of the original field-strength tensor as these are equivalent to the original Bianchi identities [15],

$$d\mathcal{F} = 0. (16)$$

On the other hand, the Bianchi identities for the new field-strength tensor

$$d\mathcal{K} = 0 \tag{17}$$

are equivalent to the EOM for the original Lagrangian [15].

There is also a subtlety concerning the source term. The original source term in Eq. (6) is unaffected by the Legendre transformation; however, A_a is not the gauge field for the field strength \mathcal{K}_{ab} . Let us denote its gauge field as \widetilde{A}_a , then $D_i = \partial_i \widetilde{A}^0$ whereas $E_i = \partial_i A^0$. Fortunately, this tension is resolved because, as we have noted, the two types of field strengths are independent, as must also be true of their respective gauge fields. It follows that we can vary the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}' with respect to either gauge field, and it happens to be the variation with respect to A^a that leads to the expected Gauss's-law constraint in Eq. (8). This is most clear in the case of no magnetic sources, as can be seen from the form of the inline equation for \mathcal{L}' below Eq. (14).

If there are no bulk sources — our case of particular interest — the stress–energy tensor is given by

$$T_{ab} = 2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}'}{\delta \eta^{ab}} = \frac{1}{2\pi \alpha'} \frac{\mathcal{K}_a{}^c \mathcal{K}_{bc}}{\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}^{ab} \mathcal{K}_{ab}}}.$$
 (18)

That this is the appropriate definition of T_{ab} is justified in [19]. In terms of the effective electric fields, magnetic fields and momenta,

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{D^{i}D_{i}}{\sqrt{D^{i}D_{i} - \frac{1}{2}K^{ij}K_{ij}}} = \mathcal{H}, \qquad (19)$$

$$T_{0i} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{D^{j} K_{ij}}{\sqrt{D^{i} D_{i} - \frac{1}{2} K^{ij} K_{ij}}} = -\mathcal{P}_{i} , \qquad (20)$$

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-D^i D_j + K_i^{\ k} K_{jk}}{\sqrt{\pi^i \pi_i - \frac{1}{2} K^{ij} K_{ij}}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{-D_i D_j + \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j}{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (21)$$

where the right-most relations make use of Eq. (12).

The simplest solution of the EOM is a static, spherically symmetric configuration, which happens when $\mathcal{K}_{01} = -\mathcal{K}_{10}$ and all other elements of this field strength vanish. In which case, $\mathcal{K}^{ab}\mathcal{K}_{ab} = -2D_1D^1$. Then the only non-vanishing elements of T_{ab} are

$$T_{00} = -T_{11} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\sqrt{D^1 D_1} , \qquad (22)$$

implying that $p = -\rho$ and $p_{\perp} = 0$. This is the so-called string fluid [15], for which

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{D_1}{\sqrt{(D_1)^2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}, \qquad (23)$$

and so

$$T_{00} = E_1 D^1 . (24)$$

Importantly, the bulk portion (or first term) of the Lagrangian (15) is exactly the same as T_{00} ,

$$\mathcal{L}'_{bulk} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\sqrt{D^1 D_1} = E_1 D^1 .$$
 (25)

The static and spherically symmetric case is also related to the BIon solution [23], for which $D_1 = \frac{q}{4\pi r^2}$, corresponding to a point-like charge at the origin $\partial_i D^i = q \ \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r})$. BIons can therefore be viewed as being sourced by a fluid of electric flux lines that are emanating radially from a point source at the center and extending all the way to infinity.

4 Frozen stars as gravitationally backreacted BIons

In this section, we couple the BIons to Einstein's gravity, discuss the backreaction on the BIons and, finally, show that they correspond to solutions of the frozen star model. The complete gravitational and matter action includes, in addition to the Einstein–Hilbert and Born–Infeld Lagrangians, the constraint and source terms

$$S_{GBI} = \int d^4x \left\{ \sqrt{-g}R + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}^{ab} \mathcal{K}_{ab}} + \lambda_1 \epsilon^{abcd} \mathcal{K}_{ab} \mathcal{K}_{cd} + \sqrt{-g} J_a A^a \right\}$$
(26)

As discussed at the end of this section, a second constraint which fixes the mass is still to be included. But, as a surface term, it will not affect the bulk EOM. We will also be assuming that there are no sources in the bulk.

The gravitational EOM are $T^a_{\ b} = \frac{1}{8\pi G}G^a_{\ b}$, with $T^a_{\ b}$ given in Eq. (18), again because there are no bulk sources. The Born–Infeld EOM are as discussed in the previous section, except partial derivatives should be replaced by covariant derivatives (although often inconsequential because of the antisymmetry properties of the field-strength tensor). The same applies to the Bianchi identities and source constraints.

To reproduce the frozen star solution, we will need to choose specific source terms and impose certain boundary conditions such that the total charge of the frozen star vanishes and its total mass is fixed (the latter constraint will be discussed further on). The source current J_a , for which only J_0 is non-vanishing, therefore includes a point-like positive electric charge at the star's center and a negative charge of equal magnitude which is spread evenly over the outer surface of the star.

One might be concerned that the attractive electric force between the opposite charges in the core and the outer layer will endanger its stability. However, as discussed later, fixing the mass of the star exactly offsets this attractive force. The reason being that a fixed mass for the solution translates into a fixed radius, so that the outer layer cannot move inwards (or outwards) in response to a supplementary force. This was a key ingredient in the discussion of the stability of the frozen star [1, 3, 5].

The frozen star solution can be related to the BIon solution. The matching can be made precise for the static, spherically symmetric case by using the t_t component of the Einstein equations along with Eq. (22),

$$\rho_{FS} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{r^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sqrt{D^1 D_1} , \qquad (27)$$

such that ρ_{FS} is the energy density as expressed in Eq. (2). The result is

$$\sqrt{D^1 D_1} = \frac{\alpha'}{4G} \frac{1}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}[\varepsilon^2] , \qquad (28)$$

corresponding to the existence of an electric point-like charge at the center of the star, since the solution follows from $\nabla_i D^i = \nabla_1 D^1 = q_{core} \ \delta^{(3)}(\vec{r})$. It can then be deduced that

$$q_{core} = \pi \frac{\alpha'}{G} . \tag{29}$$

An interesting feature of the solution is that the ratio α'/G in weakly coupled string theories scales as $\sim 1/g_s^2$, where g_s^2 is the closed string coupling. Moreover, the description of the solution as radial flux lines fits in well with the hedgehog picture of the frozen star that was recalled in Section 2.

The total charge of the frozen star needs to vanish, as its energy density, and thus its electric field, vanishes in the exterior region where the geometry



Figure 1: A frozen star sourced by a fluid of electric flux lines that are emanating radially from a point-like charge in its core and ending on its outer layer which is oppositely charged. The electric force between the charges is offset because the mass of the star is fixed.

is described by the vacuum Schwarzschild solution. Correspondingly, there has to be a charge q_{out} that is spread out uniformly over the star's outer surface layer and equal to $-q_{core}$. This leads to a source term at the outer surface as follows:

$$(J_0)_{out} = \frac{q_{out}}{4\pi r^2} \delta(r-R) = -\frac{q_{core}}{4\pi r^2} \delta(r-R) .$$
 (30)

Let us now discuss the boundary condition that fixes the mass of the frozen star. This needs to be formally imposed at infinity, as this is the location where the mass is defined by way of a surface integral. However, because the solution outside of the frozen star is strictly a vacuum, the mass can also evaluated as a surface integral at the outermost radius of the star, or, alternatively, as a volume integral that enforces the constraint $\int d^3x \sqrt{g_{22}g_{33}} \rho_{FS} = M$, as explained in some discussions about BH mechanics [37, 38]. The latter option entails adding a second Lagrange-multiplier

term of the form (initially expressed as a scalar, not a density)

$$\Delta L = \lambda_2 \left(\int_0^R \left[dr \ 4\pi r^2 \ \rho_{FS} \right] - M \right) . \tag{31}$$

Using Eq. (27), we may rewrite the constraint term as

$$\Delta L = \lambda_2 \left(\int_0^R \left[dr \ 4\pi r^2 \ E_1 D^1 \right] - M \right) , \qquad (32)$$

which leads to the following Lagrangian density $\Delta \mathcal{L}$:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \lambda_2 \frac{\delta \left(r - R\right)}{4\pi r^2} \left(\int_0^R \left[dr \ 4\pi r^2 \ E_1 D^1 \right] - M \right) . \tag{33}$$

We have, for simplicity, assumed a static and spherically symmetric solution in expressing the above constraint term, as this will allow us to show explicitly how the electric force between the charges at the core and the outer surface is canceled by the mass constraint. However, it is possible to express the mass constraint covariantly, along the lines of discussions on isolated and dynamical horizons such as [38]. The outer layer of the frozen star can be treated as a marginally trapped surface and, therefore, the formalism for calculating the mass as a covariant surface integral is applicable. We leave this to a future investigation.

We can solve for λ_2 by varying $\Delta \mathcal{L}$ with respect to the original gauge field $A^0(R)$, as described in the previous section.² The equation of motion that results from varying by $A^0(R)$ at the outer surface, $\frac{\delta \Delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A^0(R)} + J_0(R) = 0$, along with the Gauss'-law constraint (8) and the relation between the charges (30),

²Note: The integrand factor of $4\pi r^2 = 4\pi \sqrt{-g}$ is unaffected by a covariant derivative.

leads to $\lambda_2 = -1$, which simply means that the constraint force exactly cancels the attractive electric force on the outer charge distribution.

5 Summary and outlook

We have shown how our frozen star model for an ultracompact BH mimicker can be described effectively as the spherically symmetric solution of Einstein's gravity coupled to the GHY form of Born–Infeld Lagrangian, which uses Sen's effective action for *D*-brane decay as its starting point.

The current framework can be extended in several directions. Including rotation is straightforward. Let us recall the zero-angular-momentumobserver's form of the stress tensor for the rotating star, as presented in [7]. In this case $p = -\rho$ and $p_{\perp} \sim \mathcal{O}[\varepsilon^2]$, so that the corresponding axially symmetric solution can be found with only an electric field and vanishing magnetic fields. The only difference from the static, spherically symmetric case is the dependence of the electric field on r and θ rather than on r alone.

To connect this framework with the defrosted star, for which $\rho + p$ and p_{\perp} are no longer vanishing but are perturbatively small, will require more work. In addition to a spherical electric field, a weak spherical magnetic field will be required. This can be realized by adding a magnetic monopole source, meaning that the star is sourced by a dyon, as both its electric and magnetic fluxes are in the radial direction.

It is also of interest that the Born–Infeld model can be thought of as describing a fluid of open strings, given that the frozen star model has its conceptual origins as a classical version of our earlier-proposed polymer model, which contains an extremely hot fluid of closed strings. It is tempting to conjecture that there is some sort of duality at work here. Nevertheless, of the pair, it is the Born–Infeld description that has an emphatic advantage; namely, a well-defined mathematical framework, which we look forward to exploiting.

Acknowledgments

We thank Yoav Zigdon for discussions, Suvendu Giri for pointing to us the similarity of the frozen star model to the clouds of string model and to the Born-Infeld BIons and Frans Pretorius for insisting that we find the source matter Lagrangian of the frozen star. We extend our special thanks to Piljin Yi, for helping us understand his work and its implications to the frozen star model. The research is supported by the German Research Foundation through a German-Israeli Project Cooperation (DIP) grant "Holography and the Swampland" and by VATAT (Israel planning and budgeting committee) grant for supporting theoretical high energy physics. The research of AJMM received support from an NRF Evaluation and Rating Grant 119411 and a Rhodes Discretionary Grant SD07/2022. AJMM thanks Ben Gurion University for their hospitality during past visits.

References

 R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Resisting collapse: How matter inside a black hole can withstand gravity," Phys. Rev. D 99, no.6, 064019 (2019) [arXiv:1805.11667 [hep-th]].

- [2] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Non-Singular Black Holes Interiors Need Physics Beyond the Standard Model," Fortsch. Phys. 67, no.10, 1900058 (2019) [arXiv:1902.07990 [hep-th]].
- [3] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and T. Simhon, "Black holes as frozen stars," Phys. Rev. D 105, no.2, 024019 (2022) [arXiv:2109.10017 [gr-qc]].
- [4] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved, T. Shindelman and T. Simhon, "Black Holes as Frozen Stars: Regular Interior Geometry," Fortsch. Phys. 72, no.1, 2300188 (2024) [arXiv:2301.09712 [gr-qc]].
- [5] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and T. Shindelman, "Defrosting frozen stars: spectrum of internal fluid modes," Phys. Rev. D 108, no.4, 044058 (2023) [arXiv:2304.04984 [gr-qc]].
- [6] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and T. Simhon, "Thermodynamics of frozen stars," [arXiv:2310.11572 [gr-qc]].
- [7] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Sourcing the Kerr geometry," [arXiv:2310.16467 [gr-qc]].
- [8] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Black holes as collapsed polymers," Fortsch. Phys. 65, no. 1, 1600114 (2017) [arXiv:1602.07706 [hep-th]].
- [9] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Emergent horizon, Hawking radiation and chaos in the collapsed polymer model of a black hole," Fortsch. Phys. 65, 0116 (2017) [arXiv:1607.03721 [hep-th]].

- [10] A. Sen, "Tachyon condensation on the brane anti-brane system," JHEP08, 012 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9805170 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. Sen, "BPS D-branes on nonsupersymmetric cycles," JHEP 12, 021
 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9812031 [hep-th]].
- [12] A. Sen, "NonBPS states and Branes in string theory," [arXiv:hep-th/9904207 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. Sen, "Supersymmetric world volume action for nonBPS D-branes," JHEP 10, 008 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9909062 [hep-th]].
- [14] A. Sen, "Universality of the tachyon potential," JHEP 12, 027 (1999)[arXiv:hep-th/9911116 [hep-th]].
- [15] G. W. Gibbons, K. Hori and P. Yi, "String fluid from unstable Dbranes," Nucl. Phys. B 596, 136 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0009061 [hep-th]].
- [16] H. U. Yee and P. Yi, "Open / closed duality, unstable D-branes, and coarse grained closed strings," Nucl. Phys. B 686, 31 (2004) [arXiv:hepth/0402027 [hep-th]].
- [17] A. Sen, "Tachyon dynamics in open string theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys.
 A 20, 5513 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0410103 [hep-th]].
- [18] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, "Local field theory of the dual string," Nucl. Phys. B 57, 367 (1973).
- [19] P. S. Letelier, "Clouds Of Strings In General Relativity," Phys. Rev. D 20 1294 (1979).

- [20] J. Stachel, "Thickening The String. I. The String Perfect Dust," Phys. Rev. D 21, 2171 (1990).
- [21] E. I. Guendelman and A. Rabinowitz, "The Gravitational field of a hedgehog and the evolution of vacuum bubbles," Phys. Rev. D 44, 3152 (1991).
- [22] E. I. Guendelman and A. I. Rabinowitz, "Hedgehog compactification," Phys. Rev. D 47, 3474 (1993) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D 48, 2961 (1993)].
- [23] G. W. Gibbons, "Born-Infeld particles and Dirichlet p-branes," Nucl. Phys. B 514, 603 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9709027 [hep-th]].
- [24] G. W. Gibbons, "Aspects of Born-Infeld theory and string / M theory," AIP Conf. Proc. 589, no.1, 324 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0106059 [hep-th]].
- [25] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "Quantum hair of black holes out of equilibrium," Phys. Rev. D 97, no.4, 044035 (2018) [arXiv:1709.03566 [hep-th]].
- [26] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, "Constraints on thermalizing surfaces from infrared observations of supermassive black holes," [arXiv:2306.17480 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [27] R. Penrose, "Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities," Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 57 (1965).
- [28] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, "The singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology," Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 314, 529 (1970).

- [29] H. Buchdahl, "General Relativistic Fluid Spheres," Phys. Rev. 116, 1027 (1959).
- [30] S. Chandrasekhar "Dynamical Instability of Gaseous Masses Approaching the Schwarzschild Limit in General Relativity," Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 114 (1964).
- [31] S. Chandrasekhar, "The Dynamical Instability of Gaseous Masses Approaching the Schwarzschild Limit in General Relativity," Astrophys. J. 140, 417 (1964).
- [32] H. Bondi, "Massive spheres in general relativity," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 282, 303 (1964).
- [33] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, "Surface tension and negative pressure interior of a non-singular," Class. Quant. Grav. 32, no. 21, 215024 (2015) [arXiv:1501.03806 [gr-qc]].
- [34] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, "Quantum radiation from an evaporating nonsingular black hole," Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 12, 124028 (2017) [arXiv:1704.03043 [hep-th]].
- [35] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati, C. Pacilio and M. Visser,
 "On the viability of regular black holes," JHEP 1807, 023 (2018)
 [arXiv:1805.02675 [gr-qc]].
- [36] A. A. Tseytlin, "Selfduality of Born-Infeld action and Dirichlet threebrane of type IIB superstring theory," Nucl. Phys. B 469, 51 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602064 [hep-th]].

- [37] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, "The Four laws of black hole mechanics," Commun. Math. Phys. 31, 161 (1973).
- [38] A. Ashtekar and B. Krishnan, "Isolated and dynamical horizons and their applications," Living Rev. Rel. 7, 10 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0407042 [gr-qc]].