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#### Abstract

We consider the group formed by finite renormalizations as an infinite-dimensional Lie group. It is demonstrated that for the finite renormalization of the gauge coupling constant its generators $\hat{L}_{n}$ with $n \geq 1$ satisfy the commutation relations of the Witt algebra and, therefore, form its subalgebra. The commutation relations are also written for the more general case when finite renormalizations are made for both the coupling constant and matter fields. We also construct the generator of the Abelian subgroup corresponding to the changes of the renormalization scale. The explicit expressions for the renormalization group generators are written in the case when they act on the $\beta$-function and the anomalous dimension. It is explained how the finite changes of these functions under the finite renormalizations can be obtained with the help of the exponential map.


## 1 Introduction

It is well known that quantum corrections in most field theory models are divergent. In renormalizable theories these divergences can be absorbed into the redefinition of various constants and fields. However, the renormalizations constants are not uniquely defined [1] 3 ]. In each order of the perturbation theory there are some arbitrary constants which determine a specific subtraction scheme. According to [4-7] various renormalization prescriptions are related by finite renormalizations first introduced in [4]. These finite renormalization form a Lie group included into the renormalization group [8-11]. From the group structure of finite renormalization it is possible to obtain some important results. In particular, in the case of using the cut-off type regularizations (e.g., the higher covariant derivative regularization [12-14]) the renormalization group functions (RGFs) do not depend on the $\ln \Lambda / \mu$, where $\Lambda$ is an ultraviolet cut-off and $\mu$ is a renormalization point. For dimensional regularization [15-18] or reduction [19] in $D=4-\varepsilon$ dimensions the four-dimensional RGFs do not depend on $\varepsilon^{-1}$. This, in turn, allows relating the coefficients at higher $\varepsilon$-poles or/and logarithms to the coefficients of RGFs [20]. The explicit form of the corresponding expressions can be found in [21, 22] for the cut-off type regularizations and in $[23-25]$ for various versions of the dimensional regularization.

The group structure of the renormalization group was studied in literature, see, e.g., [26-30], but (to the best of our knowledge) some details have not still been investigated. In this paper we construct the (infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra corresponding to the renormalization group and
its one-dimensional subalgebra, corresponding to the changes of the renormalization scale. In particular, we demonstrate that the generators of the coupling constant finite renormalizations form a certain subalgebra (generated by the operators $\hat{L}_{n}$ with $n \geq 1$ ) of the Witt algebra 31] (whose central extension is widely known as the Virasoro algebra [32]). The corresponding Lie group is then obtained with the help of the exponential map.

## 2 The renormalization group preliminaries

The renormalization of the coupling constant ${ }^{1}$ can be made by splitting the bare coupling constant $\alpha_{0}$ into the renormalized coupling constant $\alpha$ and the counterterms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}(\alpha(\mu), \ln \Lambda / \mu) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a dimensionful regularization parameter, and $\mu$ is a renormalization point. For renormalizing fields, masses, etc. we again split the bare values into the product of the renormalized value and the relevant renormalization constant, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) \varphi_{R} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for simplicity, we omitted all possible indices. (Note that in the case of using dimensional technique divergences appear as poles in $\varepsilon \equiv 4-D$, but even in this case it is possible to introduce the regularization parameter $\Lambda$ different from the renormalization point $\mu$, see, e.g., [25, 33].)

The divergences in the bare coupling constant and fields can conveniently be encoded in RGFs, namely, in the $\beta$-function and anomalous dimensions. In our notations they are defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\beta(\alpha) \equiv \frac{d \alpha(\mu)}{d \ln \mu}\right|_{\alpha_{0}=\mathrm{const}} ;\left.\quad \gamma(\alpha) \equiv \frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \ln Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)\right|_{\alpha_{0}=\mathrm{const}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The renormalized ( $n$-point) Green's function $G_{R}$ is related to the corresponding bare function $G$ be the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{R}\left(\alpha(\mu), p_{1} / \mu, \ldots, p_{n} / \mu\right)=Z_{G}(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) G\left(\alpha_{0}, p_{1} / \Lambda, \ldots, p_{n} / \Lambda\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the external momenta $p_{i}$ satisfy the constraint $p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n}=0$, and the Lorentz indices were omitted or simplicity. Note that, for simplicity, we consider the limit in which all momenta are large, so that it is possible to omit various masses. The renormalization constant $Z_{G}$ can certainly be expressed in terms of the renormalization constants for various fields of the theory. The renormalized Green's function satisfies the Ovsyannikov-Callan-Symanzik (OCS) equation [34-37]

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu}+\beta(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\gamma_{G}(\alpha)\right) G_{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the anomalous dimension of $G$ is defined similarly to Eq. (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\gamma_{G}(\alpha) \equiv \frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \ln Z_{G}(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)\right|_{\alpha_{0}=\text { const }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the renormalization constants are not defined uniquely [4]. For example, the renormalized coupling constant is defined up to the finite renormalizations of the form

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(\alpha, \ln \mu^{\prime} / \mu\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Similarly, it is possible to redefine the renormalization constant for the matter fields making the finite renormalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \ln \Lambda / \mu^{\prime}\right)=z\left(\alpha, \ln \mu^{\prime} / \mu\right) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, even for $\mu^{\prime}=\mu$ these finite renormalizations are not trivial, $\alpha^{\prime}(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{\prime}(\alpha, 0) \neq \alpha$ and $z(\alpha) \equiv z(\alpha, 0) \neq 1$. In this case under the finite renormalizations RGFs change as [6, 7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d \alpha^{\prime}}{d \alpha} \beta(\alpha) ; \quad \gamma^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d \ln z}{d \alpha} \beta(\alpha)+\gamma(\alpha) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perturbative expansions of RGFs can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\alpha)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \alpha^{n+1} ; \quad \gamma(\alpha)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} \alpha^{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index $n$ numerates an order of the perturbation theory. From these equations it is possible to see that the coefficients of the $\beta$-function and of the anomalous dimension in general change starting from the three- and two-loop order, respectively. In other words, in general, $\beta_{n}^{\prime} \neq \beta_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$, and $\gamma_{n}^{\prime} \neq \gamma_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$. The transformations under which RGFs remain invariant in all orders are reduced to the change of the renormalization point.

The finite renormalizations determined by the functions $\alpha^{\prime}\left(\alpha, \ln \mu^{\prime} / \mu\right)$ and $z\left(\alpha, \ln \mu^{\prime} / \mu\right)$ form the group, see, e.g., [1,2]. Its structure will be investigated in what follows.

## 3 Finite renormalization of the gauge coupling constant

### 3.1 Generators and their commutation relations

Let us now specify the group structure of the renormalization group. First, for simplicity, we consider the finite renormalizations for which $\mu^{\prime}=\mu$ and $z(\alpha)=1$. They can be presented in the form $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^{\prime}(\alpha)$.

For any Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ in a certain vicinity of the identity element 1 the group element $\hat{\omega} \in \mathcal{G}$ can be presented as the exponential of the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ element $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\omega}=\exp (\hat{a}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

see, e.g., 38]. To describe the group structure of the renormalization group (in a neighborhood of the identity element), it is sufficient to construct its Lie algebra. This algebra is determined by the commutation relations of its generators. These generators are obtained by considering the infinitesimal transformations. In the considered case they correspond to the infinitesimal finite renormalization of the coupling constant, which can be presented as the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n} \alpha \equiv \hat{a} \alpha \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}$ are arbitrary (real) constants parameterizing the finite renormalizations of charge. By definition, $\delta \alpha$ includes only terms linear in the parameters $a_{n}$. The operators $\hat{L}_{n}$ are the generators of the renormalization group, where $n \geq 1$ due to using of the perturbation theory. The corresponding finite transformations are obtained with the help of the exponential map, $\alpha^{\prime}=\hat{\omega} \alpha=\exp (\hat{a}) \alpha$. The explicit examples will be considered in Sections 3.3 and 4.3. In this
case the expression $\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha$ contains not only terms linear in the parameters $a_{n}$, but also their higher powers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha=\hat{\omega} \alpha-\alpha=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}\right) \alpha-\alpha=\delta \alpha+O\left(a^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (here and in what follows) $O\left(a^{2}\right)$ denotes all terms with higher powers of $a_{n}$ (starting from the quadratic ones). They include all terms beyond the linear approximation. Note that, in general, the $\beta$-function changes under these transformations [3], and the corresponding change of its coefficients can be expressed in terms of the parameters $a_{n}$.

From Eq. (12) we see that (for an arbitrary positive integer $n$ ) the generators of the renormalization group in the explicit form are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}_{n} \alpha \equiv-\alpha^{n+1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If these generators act on an arbitrary function of $\alpha$, then from this equation we easily obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}_{n}=-\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

These operators satisfy the commutation relations of the Witt algebra 31] because for an arbitrary function $f(\alpha)$

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{L}_{m}\right] f(\alpha) } & =-\hat{L}_{n} \alpha^{m+1} \frac{d f(\alpha)}{d \alpha}+\hat{L}_{m} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{d f(\alpha)}{d \alpha}=\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}\left(\alpha^{m+1} \frac{d f(\alpha)}{d \alpha}\right) \\
& -\alpha^{m+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}\left(\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d f(\alpha)}{d \alpha}\right)=(m-n) \alpha^{n+m+1} \frac{d f(\alpha)}{d \alpha}=(n-m) \hat{L}_{n+m} f(\alpha) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

However, in the Witt algebra $n$ is an arbitrary positive integer, while in the case under consideration $n \geq 1$. Therefore, we conclude that finite renormalizations form a subalgebra of the Witt algebra generated by all $\hat{L}_{n}$ with $n \geq 1$. Note that the well-known Virasoro algebra 32] widely used in string theory [39] is the central extension of Witt algebra. However, the difference between the Witt and Virasoro algebras is essential only for $m+n=0$, while in our case $m, n \geq 1$. From Eq. (16) we in particular see that the renormalization group is non-Abelian in agreement with the consideration of (40] based on different arguments.

### 3.2 Some representations of the renormalization group

Due to Eq. (4) under the finite renormalization of the coupling constant the Green's functions change according to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{R}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right), p_{1} / \mu^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{n} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=z_{G}\left(\alpha, \ln \mu^{\prime} / \mu\right) G_{R}\left(\alpha(\mu), p_{1} / \mu, \ldots, p_{n} / \mu\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first consider the case $\mu^{\prime}=\mu$ and $z_{G}(\alpha) \equiv z_{G}(\alpha, 0)=1$. Then it is possible to present the infinitesimal change of the Green's function in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{R}^{\prime}(\alpha, p / \mu)-G_{R}(\alpha, p / \mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) G_{R}(\alpha, p / \mu)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \equiv \delta G_{R}+O\left(a^{2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)$ are the generators in the considered representation, and, for simplicity, $p / \mu$ denotes the dependence on all momenta. Note that, by definition, $\delta G_{R}$ includes only terms linear in the parameters $a_{n}$. Taking into account that (for $z_{G}=1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{R}(\alpha, p / \mu)=G_{R}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, p / \mu\right) & =G_{R}^{\prime}(\alpha, p / \mu)+\delta \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}(\alpha, p / \mu)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \\
& =G_{R}^{\prime}(\alpha, p / \mu)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}(\alpha, p / \mu)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

we see that acting on the Green's functions the generators take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) G_{R}=\alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in Eq. (18) the argument of the function $G_{R}^{\prime}$ is the same as of the function $G_{R}$, so that $T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \alpha=0$. Therefore, a different sign in Eq. (20) in comparison with Eq. (15) is really needed,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right), T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{m}\right)\right] G_{R}=T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \alpha^{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}-(n \leftrightarrow m)=\alpha^{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}\right)-(n \leftrightarrow m)} \\
& =(n-m) \alpha^{n+m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}=(n-m) T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n+m}\right) G_{R} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, it is possible to consider a different representation of the renormalization group in which its generators act on the $\beta$-function. Indeed, it is known that RGFs nontrivially transform under the finite renormalizations [6, 7]. Namely, the $\beta$-function changes according to the first equation in (9). Making the transformations (12) we can find the explicit form of the generators $T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)$ in this representation. Really, if we consider a finite renormalization (12), then from the first equation in (9) we conclude that (in the linear order in the parameters $a_{n}$ ) the $\beta$-function changes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}\left(\alpha-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1}\right)=\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}(n+1) \alpha^{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the left hand side into a Taylor series and keeping only terms linear in $a_{n}$ we obtain the infinitesimal change of the $\beta$-function,

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta^{\prime}(\alpha)-\beta(\alpha)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\alpha^{n+1}\right. & \left.\frac{d \beta(\alpha)}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n} \beta(\alpha)\right)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \equiv \delta \beta(\alpha)+O\left(a^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operators $T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)$ are the generators of considered representation of the renormalization group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)=\left(\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n}\right) \beta(\alpha) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that they act only on the $\beta$-function and do not act on $\alpha$, because the arguments of $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ in Eq. (23) are the same. Therefore, in the considered representation $T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \alpha=0$. Again, the commutation relations of the Witt algebra can easily be verified,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right), T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{m}\right)\right] \beta(\alpha)=T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)\left(\alpha^{m+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(m+1) \alpha^{m}\right) \beta(\alpha)-(n \leftrightarrow m)} \\
& =\left(\alpha^{m+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(m+1) \alpha^{m}\right)\left(\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)-(n \leftrightarrow m) \\
& =(n-m)\left(\alpha^{n+m+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(n+m+1) \alpha^{n+m}\right) \beta(\alpha)=(n-m) T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n+m}\right) \beta(\alpha) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where we took into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)\left(\alpha^{m+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(m+1) \alpha^{m}\right) \beta(\alpha)=\left(\alpha^{m+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(m+1) \alpha^{m}\right) T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \beta(\alpha) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we explicitly constructed the generators of the group formed by finite renormalizations of the coupling constant in two different representation, in which they act either on the Green's functions, or on the $\beta$-function. Certainly, in both cases they satisfy the commutation relations of the subalgebra of the Witt algebra formed by its generators with a positive indices.

### 3.3 Non-infinitesimal finite renormalizations of the coupling constant

So far we considered infinitesimal finite renomalizations. Here we will take into account the terms of the higher orders in the parameters $a_{n}$ using the general arguments based on group theory. Namely, for obtaining finite transformations it is necessary to use the exponential map. Then the expression for the new gauge coupling constant can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}\right) \alpha=\exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right) \alpha \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the expression for $\beta$-function in the new renormalization scheme is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}(\alpha)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)\right) \beta(\alpha)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n}\right)\right) \beta(\alpha) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we took Eq. (24) into account. The expressions (27) and (28) are exact in all orders of the perturbation theory. However, it is expedient to verify them in the lowest approximations. Then the new gauge coupling constant (27) is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha-a_{1} \alpha^{2}+\left(-a_{2}+a_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha^{3}+\left(-a_{3}\right. & \left.+\frac{5}{2} a_{1} a_{2}-a_{1}^{3}\right) \alpha^{4}+O\left(\alpha^{5}\right) \\
& \equiv \alpha+k_{1} \alpha^{2}+k_{2} \alpha^{3}+k_{3} \alpha^{4}+O\left(\alpha^{5}\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, expanding the right hand side of Eq. (28) we obtain that the new $\beta$-function in the lowest orders (up to and including the four-loop approximation) is given by the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta^{\prime}(\alpha) & =\beta_{1} \alpha^{2}+\beta_{2} \alpha^{3}+\left(\beta_{3}+a_{1} \beta_{2}-a_{2} \beta_{1}\right) \alpha^{4}+\left(\beta_{4}+2 a_{1} \beta_{3}-2 a_{3} \beta_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+a_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}-a_{1} a_{2} \beta_{1}\right) \alpha^{5}+O\left(\alpha^{6}\right) \equiv \beta_{1}^{\prime} \alpha^{2}+\beta_{2}^{\prime} \alpha^{3}+\beta_{3}^{\prime} \alpha^{4}+\beta_{4}^{\prime} \alpha^{5}+O\left(\alpha^{6}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

From this equation we see that (as well known) the first two coefficients of the $\beta$-function do not change, $\beta_{1}^{\prime}=\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=\beta_{2}$. Expressing the coefficients $a_{n}$ in terms of $k_{n}$ with the help of Eq. (29) the transformations of the $\beta$-function next coefficients can be presented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{3}^{\prime}=\beta_{3}-k_{1} \beta_{2}+k_{2} \beta_{1}-k_{1}^{2} \beta_{1} \\
& \beta_{4}^{\prime}=\beta_{4}-2 k_{1} \beta_{3}+2 k_{3} \beta_{1}-6 k_{1} k_{2} \beta_{1}+4 k_{1}^{3} \beta_{1}+k_{1}^{2} \beta_{2} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations can equivalently be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{2}=\frac{\beta_{3}^{\prime}-\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}+\frac{k_{1} \beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}+k_{1}^{2} \\
& k_{3}=\frac{\beta_{4}^{\prime}-\beta_{4}}{2 \beta_{1}}+\frac{k_{1}\left(3 \beta_{3}^{\prime}-2 \beta_{3}\right)}{\beta_{1}}+\frac{5 k_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}}{2 \beta_{1}}+k_{1}^{3} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

in which they exactly coincide with the expressions presented in 41, which were derived directly from the first equation in (9). Therefore, the large finite renormalization can also be constructed using the group theory technique, namely, with the help of the exponential map and using the explicit expressions for the generators in the relevant representations.

### 3.4 A subgroup corresponding to the change of the renormalization scale

Now let us consider a particular case of finite renormalizations which correspond to the change of the renormalization point $\mu$. Namely, we make the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\mu) \rightarrow \alpha\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) \equiv \alpha^{\prime}(\mu) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a parameter of these transformations, it is convenient to choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\ln \frac{\mu^{\prime}}{\mu} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As earlier, first, we consider the infinitesimal transformations for which $\mu^{\prime}$ is close to $\mu$ (or, equivalently, the parameter $t$ is small). Then integrating the first equation in (3) from $\mu$ to $\mu^{\prime}$ (in the linear order in $t$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha=\delta \alpha+O\left(t^{2}\right)=\beta(\alpha) t+O\left(t^{2}\right)=t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \alpha^{n+1}+O\left(t^{2}\right)=-t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \hat{L}_{n} \alpha+O\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, by definition, $\delta \alpha$ is linear in $t$. Note that for the infinitesimal transformations the right hand side contains only terms of the first order in $t$. The terms with higher powers of $t$ will be discussed below. Accoding to Eq. (35), the transformation (33) can be considered as a particular case of the finite renormalization (12) with $a_{n}=-t \beta_{n}$. Evidently, the corresponding generator is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \hat{L}_{n} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subgroup (33) of the renormalization group is Abelian [42,43], because it contains the only generator $\hat{L}$, which certainly commutes with itself. The explicit form of the generator $\hat{L}$ acting on $\alpha$ can be found from Eqs. (15) and (36),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}=\beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, under the infinitesimal renormalization group transformation generated by the operator $\hat{L}$ the coupling constant changes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}(\mu)=\alpha(\mu)+t \hat{L} \alpha+O\left(t^{2}\right)=\left(1+t \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}+O\left(t^{2}\right)\right) \alpha \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation allows constructing the corresponding finite transformations with the help of the exponential map,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) \equiv \alpha^{\prime}(\mu)=\exp \left(t \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right) \alpha=\exp \left(\ln \frac{\mu^{\prime}}{\mu} \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right) \alpha \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, a similar equation is valid for an arbitrary function $f(\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(t \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right) f(\alpha) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the value of $t$ is no longer considered small. This equation is in agreement with similar equations used earlier in [21, 44, 45] and is obtained from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(t \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right)(f(\alpha) g(\alpha))=\exp \left(t \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right) f(\alpha) \cdot \exp \left(t \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}\right) g(\alpha) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be derived with the help of the general Leibniz product rule.
Under the considered transformations the Green's functions (with $z_{G}=1$ ) change as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}(\hat{L}) G_{R}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) G_{R}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}=-\beta(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} G_{R} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we took into account Eq. (5). Therefore, the considered transformation is really a change of the renormalization scale. The $\beta$-function in this case does not change because

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{2}(\hat{L}) \beta(\alpha)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & \beta_{n}\left(\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n}\right) \beta(\alpha) \\
& =-\left(\beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}-\frac{d \beta(\alpha)}{d \alpha}\right) \beta(\alpha)=0 \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the changes of the renormalization scale can be viewed as an Abelian subgroup of the non-Abelian group formed by general finite renormalizations of the coupling constant under which the $\beta$-function remains invariant.

## 4 Finite renormalizations that include matter renormalization

### 4.1 Commutation relations for the group of finite renormalizations

Let us now investigate the finite renormalization that include the renormalization of the matter fields and masses. Again, let us consider finite renormalizations with $\mu^{\prime}=\mu$. They are determined by the functions $\alpha^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha)$ defined earlier. In particular, $z(\alpha)=z(\alpha, 0)$, where the function $z\left(\alpha, \ln \mu^{\prime} / \mu\right)$ was introduced in Eq. (8). For the infinitesimal finite renormalizations we present $z(\alpha)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\alpha)=1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \alpha^{n}+O\left(a z, z^{2}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation index $n$ again indicates the order of the perturbation theory, and $O\left(a z, z^{2}\right)$ denotes the higher order terms in $z_{n}$ and $a_{n}$. According to Eq. (8), under this finite renormalization the renormalized fields change as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{R}^{\prime}=z^{-1}(\alpha) \varphi_{R}=\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \alpha^{n}+O\left(z^{2}\right)\right) \varphi_{R} \equiv \varphi_{R}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \hat{G}_{n} \varphi_{R}+O\left(z^{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{G}_{n} \varphi_{R} \equiv \alpha^{n} \varphi_{R} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

generate the infinitesimal finite renormalizations of the matter fields. It is easy to see that they commute with each other,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{G}_{n}, \hat{G}_{m}\right] \varphi_{R}=\left(\alpha^{n+m}-(m \leftrightarrow n)\right) \varphi_{R}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

but do not commute with the operators $\hat{L}_{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{G}_{m}\right] \varphi_{R}=m \alpha^{m+n} \varphi_{R}=-m \hat{G}_{m+n} \varphi_{R} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the algebra of the renormalization group in the case under consideration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{L}_{m}\right]=(n-m) \hat{L}_{n+m} ; \quad\left[\hat{G}_{n}, \hat{G}_{m}\right]=0 ; \quad\left[\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{G}_{m}\right]=-m \hat{G}_{n+m} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n, m \geq 1$. The corresponding Jacobi identities can easily be verified, for example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\hat{L}_{m},\left[\hat{L}_{n}, \hat{G}_{k}\right]\right]+\left[\hat{L}_{n},\left[\hat{G}_{k}, \hat{L}_{m}\right]\right]+\left[\hat{G}_{k},\left[\hat{L}_{m}, \hat{L}_{n}\right]\right]=\left[\hat{L}_{m},-k \hat{G}_{n+k}\right]+\left[\hat{L}_{n}, k \hat{G}_{m+k}\right]} \\
& +\left[\hat{G}_{k},(m-n) L_{m+n}\right]=(k(n+k)-k(m+k)+(m-n) k) \hat{G}_{m+n+k}=0 . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we really obtain the Lie algebra of the renormalization group for theories in which matter fields are also renormalized. Its commutation relations are given by Eq. (49).

### 4.2 Representations in the presence of the matter renormalization

To construct the explicit expressions for the renormalization group generators in the representation acting on the Green's functions, we use the perturbative expansion of the finite function $z_{G}(\alpha)$ present in Eq. (17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{G}(\alpha) \equiv z_{G}(\alpha, 0)=1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(z_{G}\right)_{n} \alpha^{n}+O\left(z_{G}^{2}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(z_{G}\right)_{n}$ are small parameters, and $O\left(z_{G}^{2}\right)$ includes all nonlinear terms in the Green's function renormalization. Then under the infinitesimal finite renormalization a Green's function changes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta G_{R}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(z_{G}\right)_{n} \alpha^{n} G_{R} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)+\left(z_{G}\right)_{n} T_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right)\right) G_{R} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain that in the considered representation the renormalization group generators are written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)=\alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} ; \quad T_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right)=-\alpha^{n} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutation relations (49) are verified straightforwardly, e.g.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right), T_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{m}\right)\right] G_{R}=\left(-T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \alpha^{m}-T_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{m}\right) \alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right) G_{R}} \\
& \quad=-\alpha^{m+n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} G_{R}+\alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha^{m} G_{R}\right)=m \alpha^{m+n} G_{R}=-m T_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{m+n}\right) G_{R} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, it is possible to construct explicit form of the considered generators in the representation acting on RGFs. For this purpose it is necessary to use the second equation in (9), which describes how the anomalous dimension changes under the finite renormalizations [6]. Substituting into it the expressions (12) for $\alpha^{\prime}$ and (44) for $z(\alpha)$ in the lowest (linear) order in $a_{n}$ and $z_{n}$ from this equation we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma^{\prime}(\alpha)-\gamma(\alpha)=\delta \gamma(\alpha)+O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha} \gamma(\alpha)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} n \alpha^{n-1} \beta(\alpha)+O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)+z_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right)\right) \gamma(\alpha)+O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right) \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where the infinitesimal change of the anomalous dimension $\delta \gamma$ by definition includes only terms linear in the parameters $a_{n}$ and $z_{n}$. The terms of higher orders in $a_{n}$ and $z_{n}$ in Eq. (55) are denoted by $O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right)$. From Eq. (55) and the analogous equation (23)) for the $\beta$-function we conclude that acting on RGFs the generators of the renormalization group give

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{2}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right) \gamma(\alpha)=-n \alpha^{n-1} \beta(\alpha) ; & T_{2}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)=0 \\
T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \gamma(\alpha)=\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d \gamma(\alpha)}{d \alpha} ; & T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right) \beta(\alpha)=\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d \beta(\alpha)}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n} \beta(\alpha) . \tag{56}
\end{array}
$$

It is convenient to present these equations in the matrix form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-(n+1) \alpha^{n} & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{n+1} \frac{d}{d \alpha}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)} \\
& T_{2}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right)\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-n \alpha^{n-1} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

which produces the explicit expressions for the renormalization group generators in the considered representation.

### 4.3 Non-infinitesimal transformations

Let us illustrate how one can obtain the (large) finite renormalizations involving the field renormalizations with the help of the exponential map. For this purpose we use the explicit expressions for the generators of the renormalization group given by Eq. (57) and present the transformation of RGFs in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\beta^{\prime}(\alpha)}{\gamma^{\prime}(\alpha)}=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} T_{2}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right)\right)\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)} \\
& =\exp \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}\left(\alpha^{2} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-2 \alpha\right)+a_{2}\left(\alpha^{3} \frac{d}{d \alpha}-3 \alpha^{2}\right)+\ldots & 0 \\
-z_{1}-2 z_{2} \alpha-3 z_{3} \alpha^{2}+\ldots & a_{1} \alpha^{2} \frac{d}{d \alpha}+a_{2} \alpha^{3} \frac{d}{d \alpha}+\ldots
\end{array}\right)\right\}\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

The upper string gives the same result as obtained in Section 3.3, namely, the transformation of the $\beta$-function. Expanding the lower string in powers of $\alpha$ we derive the expression for the new anomalous dimension $\gamma^{\prime}(\alpha)$ written in terms of the coefficients $a_{n}$ and $z_{n}$. However, it is more convenient to express the result in terms of the coefficients $k_{n}$ defined by Eq. (29) and similar coefficients $l_{n}$ which appear in the similar equation for $z(\alpha)$ (see Eq. (65) below). This expression can again obtained with the help of the exponential map. For this purpose, we first write down Eq. (8) for the infinitesimal transformations with $\mu^{\prime}=\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}\left(\alpha-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1}, \ln \Lambda / \mu\right)=\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \alpha^{n}\right) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)+O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the new renormalization constant for the matter fields in the linear approximation can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z^{\prime}(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)=\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \alpha^{n}\right) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)+O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \hat{G}_{n}+O\left(a^{2}, a z, z^{2}\right)\right) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account that the corresponding finite transformations form a group, it is possible to obtain the all-order expression for $Z^{\prime}(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)$ with the help of the exponential map,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)=\exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \hat{G}_{n}\right) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we rewrite Eq. (88) (for the case $\mu^{\prime}=\mu$ ) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& z(\alpha) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu)=Z^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \ln \Lambda / \mu\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}\right) Z^{\prime}(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \hat{G}_{n}\right) Z(\alpha, \ln \Lambda / \mu) \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

From this equation we conclude that the all-order expression for $z(\alpha)$ can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(\alpha)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \hat{L}_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n} \hat{G}_{n}\right) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A} e^{B}=\exp \left(A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\frac{1}{12}[A,[A, B]]+\frac{1}{12}[B,[B, A]]+\ldots\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the commutation relations (49) it is possible to verify that, in fact, the right hand side Eq. (63) does not contain any differential operators. For instance, in the lowest orders we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z(\alpha) & =1-\alpha z_{1}+\alpha^{2}\left(-z_{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a_{1} z_{1}\right)+\alpha^{3}\left(-z_{3}+z_{1} z_{2}-\frac{1}{6} z_{1}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} a_{2} z_{1}+a_{1} z_{2}-\frac{1}{3} a_{1}^{2} z_{1}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1} z_{1}^{2}\right)+O\left(\alpha^{4}\right) \equiv 1+l_{1} \alpha+l_{2} \alpha^{2}+l_{3} \alpha^{3}+O\left(\alpha^{4}\right) \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the relations between the coefficients $z_{n}$ and $l_{n}$ following from this equation we can derive how the anomalous dimension coefficients change under the considered finite renormalization,

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{1}^{\prime} & =\gamma_{1} ; \quad \gamma_{2}^{\prime}=\gamma_{2}+\beta_{1} l_{1}-\gamma_{1} k_{1} \\
\gamma_{3}^{\prime}= & \gamma_{3}+\beta_{2} l_{1}+\beta_{1}\left(2 l_{2}-2 k_{1} l_{1}-l_{1}^{2}\right)-2 k_{1} \gamma_{2}+\gamma_{1}\left(2 k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}\right) \\
\gamma_{4}^{\prime}= & \gamma_{4}+\beta_{3} l_{1}+\beta_{2}\left(2 l_{2}-l_{1}^{2}-3 k_{1} l_{1}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(3 l_{3}-3 l_{2} l_{1}+l_{1}^{3}-6 k_{1} l_{2}+3 k_{1} l_{1}^{2}+5 k_{1}^{2} l_{1}\right. \\
& \left.-2 k_{2} l_{1}\right)-3 \gamma_{3} k_{1}+\gamma_{2}\left(5 k_{1}^{2}-2 k_{2}\right)+\gamma_{1}\left(-k_{3}-5 k_{1}^{3}+5 k_{2} k_{1}\right) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

Exactly the same results are obtained directly from the second equation in (9) after substituting into it the expressions for $\alpha^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha)$ given by Eqs. (29) and (65) $2^{2}$ Therefore, the argumentation based on the group structure of finite renormalizations perfectly agrees with the explicit equations (9), at least, in the considered approximation.

### 4.4 Rescaling subgroup

Let us now consider finite renormalizations corresponding to the change of the renormalization point $\mu \rightarrow \mu^{\prime}$, which now take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(\mu) \rightarrow \alpha\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) \equiv \alpha^{\prime}(\mu) \\
& Z(\alpha(\mu), \ln \Lambda / \mu) \rightarrow Z^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\mu^{\prime}\right), \ln \Lambda / \mu^{\prime}\right) \equiv z(\alpha(\mu)) Z(\alpha(\mu), \ln \Lambda / \mu) \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Earlier we have demonstrated that the first transformation in the infinitesimal form are given by Eq. (35). Similarly, it is possible to rewrite the transformation for the field renormalization constant in the infinitesimal form,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{R}^{\prime}-\varphi_{R}=-\delta z \varphi_{R} & +O\left(t^{2}\right)=-\gamma(\alpha) t \varphi_{R}+O\left(t^{2}\right) \\
& =-t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} \alpha^{n} \varphi_{R}+O\left(t^{2}\right)=-t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} \hat{G}_{n} \varphi_{R}+O\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the overall transformation consists of both finite renormalizations of the coupling constant with $a_{n}=-t \beta_{n}$ and the finite renormalization of fields with the coefficients $z_{n}=-t \gamma_{n}$. This implies that they are generated by the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{n} \hat{L}_{n}+\gamma_{n} \hat{G}_{n}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]According to Eq. (53), acting on the Green's functions this operator gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}(\hat{L}) G_{R}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{n} T_{1}\left(\hat{L}_{n}\right)+\left(\gamma_{G}\right)_{n} T_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{n}\right)\right) G_{R}=-\left(\beta(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}-\gamma_{G}(\alpha)\right) G_{R}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} G_{R}, \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality follows from the OCS equation (5). Therefore, the considered transformations are again reduced to the shift of the renormalization point (certainly, as it should be). Evidently, they form the Abelian subgroup of the renormalization group.

Similarly, if we would like to consider the action of the operator $\hat{L}$ on RGFs, it is necessary to substitute the explicit expressions for the operators $\hat{L}_{n}$ and $\hat{G}_{n}$ given by Eq. (57). Then we obtain

$$
T_{2}(\hat{L})\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}-\frac{d \beta(\alpha)}{d \alpha} & 0  \tag{71}\\
-\frac{d \gamma(\alpha)}{d \alpha} & \beta(\alpha) \frac{d}{d \alpha}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\beta(\alpha)}{\gamma(\alpha)}=0 .
$$

This implies that RGFs (i.e. $\beta(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha)$ ) remains invariant under the finite renormalizations (33) (which form a one-parametric Abelian subgroup of the renormalization group).

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we analyzed the group structure of the renormalization group for theories with a single gauge coupling. In particular, we showed that the infinitesimal finite renormalizations of the gauge coupling constant form a subalgebra of the Witt algebra (the central extension of which is the well-known Virasoro algebra). The main difference of the algebra considered in this paper from the Witt (or Virasoro) algebra is that the index numerating the generators of the Witt algebra is an arbitrary integer, while the finite renormalizations of the coupling constant are numerated by a positive integer. Also, we constructed the commutation relations for the algebra of the infinitesimal finite renormalizations which also involve the matter field renormalizations. The finite renormalizations which belong to the corresponding Lie group can be obtained in standard way with the help of the exponential map. We also described the Abelian subgroup of the renormalization group which corresponds to the changing of the renormalization point. There are also some other subgroups corresponding to some special classes of renormalization schemes (see, e.g., [46]), but we did not consider them in this paper.

The generators of the renormalization group were also presented in the explicit form in two different representations. In the first one they act on Green's functions, while in the second one they act on RGFs (namely, on the $\beta$-function and various anomalous dimensions). Certainly, the finite transformations in each representation can be obtained by the exponential map. In the Abelian case this can easily reproduce some equations desribing how the renormalization constants transform under the change of the renormalization scale.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For simplicity, we will consider a theory with a single coupling constant.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the particular case $l_{1}=0, \gamma_{1}=0$ Eqs. (66) exactly agree with the results of 41) (after the replacements $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta_{n-1}$ and $\left.\gamma_{n} \rightarrow \gamma_{n-2}.\right)$

