



Figure 1. (a) "Action Label to Motion" generates motion with a certain action label. (b) "Action Text to Motion" generates motion with an explicit action sentence (texts contain action labels). (c) "Arbitrary Texts to Motion" generates motions from scene texts that do not contain any action labels but potential actions, which is a multi-solution task.

#### Abstract

Our data, model, and code will be released.

Text to Motion aims to generate human motions from texts. Existing settings rely on limited Action Texts that include action labels (e.g., "walk, bend"), which limits flexibility and practicability in scenarios difficult to describe directly. This paper extends limited Action Texts to arbitrary ones. Scene texts without explicit action labels can enhance the practicality of models in complex and diverse industries such as virtual human interaction, robot behavior generation, and film production, while also supporting the exploration of potential implicit behavior patterns. However, newly introduced Scene Texts may yield multiple reasonable output results, causing significant challenges in existing data, framework, and evaluation.

To address this practical issue, we first create a new dataset HUMANML3D++ by extending texts of the largest existing dataset HUMANML3D. Secondly, we propose a simple yet effective framework that extracts action instructions from arbitrary texts and subsequently generates motions. Furthermore, we also benchmark this new setting with multi-solution metrics to address the inadequacies of existing single-solution metrics. Extensive experiments indicate that Text to Motion in this realistic setting is challenging, fostering new research in this practical direction.

### 1. Introduction

Text to Motion (T2M for short) denotes generating motions from natural language, which has proven useful in reducing labor costs in industries requiring motion capture actors and manual editing. However, real-world applications often require characters to interact with users across more flexible settings, bringing various unrestricted scenes. Despite this need, the pipeline of existing T2M methods still relies on explicit action information (such as Action Labels [4, 8, 25, 27, 29, 46] and Action Texts [1, 11, 30, 38, 39, 47, 48] in Figure 1(a-b)), which are difficult to apply in less directly describable and more flexible scenarios, as illustrated in Figure 1(c). Therefore, exploring the generation of potential motions from arbitrary texts is important.

Generating motion from arbitrary texts (Arbitrary Text to Motion) includes scene texts without explicit action labels, contrasting with the "Action Text (label) to Motion" approach [3, 16, 18, 39, 48], which is a fixed one-to-one alignment of a precise action text and a certain kind of motion pattern (e.g., a description "walking" directly corresponds to walking motion). Instead, "Arbitrary Text to Motion" introduces a multi-solution paradigm, allowing mul-

		Name	Sub.	Motion	Text	Act. Class	Scene
		AMASS [28]	344	11k	-	-	×
_	Dataset	NTU-120RGB+D[24]	106	114k	-	120	×
abel		UESTC [14]	118	26k	_	40	×
ion I		NTU RGB+D [35]	-	56k	-	60	×
Act		BABEL [33]	344	66k	-	250	×
		HumanAct12 [8]	12	1k	-	12	×
Text	Dataset	KIT-ML [32]	111	3k	6k	_	×
		HumanML3D [9]	344	15k	45k	-	×
		Ours	344	15k	135k	-	1

Table 1. **Dataset comparison.** *Sub.* refers to the number of humans included and *Act. Class* denotes the variety of action classes (not applicable to datasets with Action Texts). Our dataset excels with the most extensive annotated text content, especially with a substantial amount of Scene Texts.

tiple plausible motions to be generated from a single scene text. Consequently, datasets, frameworks, and evaluation metrics designed for one-to-one alignment in "Action Text to Motion" are unsuitable for this **multi-solution** task. We address these limitations from three aspects:

**Dataset.** As shown in Figure 1, existing datasets [8, 14, 28, 32, 33, 35] provide 3D motion and only explicit action descriptors for the T2M task. We enhance the largest dataset HUMANML3D [9] by adding Scene Texts to evaluate the "Arbitrary Text to Motion" task. To ensure both the scale and semantic coherence of these added Scene Texts, we leverage a large language model for auxiliary text generation, utilizing a carefully crafted prompting strategy with causal contextual guidance to align generated Scene Texts meaningfully with motion sequences (Sec. 3). For data quality, we employ a hybrid denoising process that integrates automated filtering with manual verification. Our dataset ultimately comprises 45k Action Texts, approximately 135k Scene Texts, and 15k motion sequences (detailed statistics are presented in Table 1).

**Framework.** Previous frameworks [3, 11, 30, 39, 47–49] hardcode text and motions, which restricts their ability to solve practical but ambiguous and multi-solution tasks. To address this challenge, we propose a Think and Act framework for Arbitrary Text (TAAT) with two stages. During the Think Stage, we leverage the optimal solution for multi-solution problems, *i.e.*, LLM for scene interpretation, exploring multiple action instructions derived from a single textual input. In the Act Stage, we improve the transformer to enable deterministic motion generation, adapting it to the multi-solution problem and significantly enhancing diversity. Consequently, our framework facilitates both cognitive processing and action execution from arbitrary texts, breaking the limitations of traditional T2M paradigms.

**Evaluation.** The existing evaluation metrics are not suitable for Arbitrary Text to Motion task. Specifically, met-

rics(*e.g.* "R-Precision, MM-Dist" [9]) assume a unique ground truth for generated motions, which contradicts the inherent nature of our multi-solution task. We further validate the dataset's ground truth with existing metrics to demonstrate that "R-Precision, MM-Dist" cannot effectively evaluate multi-solution issues (Sec 5). We introduce two novel metrics, Hit Accuracy and Mean Hit Distance, which are designed to assess the validity of generated results in a manner aligned with the multi-solution characteristic of our approach, along with a comprehensive model performance analysis across various application settings.

Our main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We first introduce the Arbitrary Text to Motion task, expanding existing Text to Motion to more practical and flexible settings.
- We construct a comprehensive dataset with over 135k Scene Text annotations and propose a novel think-and-act framework to infer potential motions from Scene Texts.
- We develop a multi-solution evaluation metric system. Extensive experiments demonstrate our method generates more coherent motions than existing approaches.

## 2. Related Work

Human motion generation supports diverse multimodal inputs, including Action Texts [1, 11, 30, 38, 39, 47, 48], action labels [4, 8, 25, 27, 29, 46],incomplete posture [6, 12, 39],music [2, 19, 20, 22, 36], images [34], and more [15, 23, 37, 42, 43]. In common human motion generation, deterministic, action-centric texts serve as the primary inputs. Our task shifts to scene-based texts, enabling more responsive and adaptable motions from general descriptions. This novel perspective broadens the scope of T2M mapping, increasing flexibility and enhancing usability by removing the need for structured inputs or additional data.

### 2.1. Text to Motion Generation

Arbitrary Text to Motion broadens traditional Text to Motion task, accommodating more diverse and flexible scenarios. Previous Text to Motion methodologies typically establish a deterministic one-to-one mapping between text and motion. Existing methods can be grouped into two main categories. Diffusion-based methods [3, 16-18, 39, 41, 48] utilize long Markov chains of reverse diffusion steps to generate samples, which can be computationally expen-Additionally, they cannot produce sesive and slow. quences of variable lengths. Furthermore, fine-grained motion generation methods [17, 41] require lengthy and complex training data or additional inputs like obstacles, trajectories, and keyframes, compromising practicality. Other approaches [10, 11, 26, 47, 49]use VAEs and transformers to model sequence relationships. However, due to limitations in model scale and training data, transformers may demonstrate suboptimal performance on unseen samples, resulting



Figure 2. **Dataset overview.** (a)We construct a novel HUMANML3D++ dataset through causal contextual guiding prompts and postfiltering. This is the largest dataset with dual-text support and the first to include scene text. (c)Introduction of Dataset Distribution.

in unclear outputs. Additionally, HUMANTOMATO [26] requires pre-training text-motion alignment models on specific datasets, while Momask [11] presents motions that are constrained and lack diversity, necessitating a target length input for generation and a pre-trained text-to-length model for sampling. Our approach leverages transformers' temporal modeling capabilities and LLMs' robust language generation and zero-shot transfer abilities. This synergy enables effective handling of complex textual inputs without the need for additional training data or auxiliary models.

### 2.2. Extended Text to Motion Generation

Recently, some research has incorporated interactive factors into the tasks of human motion generation. For instance, [15, 21, 31, 43, 45] integrated environmental elements into human motion generation, while [5, 7, 23, 37, 42, 44] utilized textual guidance to facilitate the generation of interactive motions for pairs or groups. However, 3D data is challenging to obtain and lacks user-friendliness. Moreover, certain emotional or event states cannot be directly represented through 3D data. Compared to other methods, our approach offers greater flexibility in inputs and can cover a wider range of scenarios and contexts.

## 3. Dataset: HUMANML3D++

We introduce HUMANML3D++, a novel dataset designed to support more flexible Arbitrary Text to Motion by providing both Action and Scene Texts for motion data. As shown in Table 1, HUMANML3D++ includes over 135k scene texts paired with matching motions, making it the first annotated motion dataset with dual-text support.

**Dataset Construction.** Our Dataset Construction takes three stages. (1) Firstly, we select HumanML3D [9] as our foundation dataset. The selection is based on the princi-

ple that the dataset should include action descriptions and contain the maximum amount of motion data to reduce annotation costs and cover a broader range of motions. (2) Secondly, We design different prompt strategies and employ expert scoring to assess the generated outcomes of various prompts. Our findings indicate that when generating four or more Scene Texts for a given set of motion sentences, the results frequently contain over one-third of inappropriate outputs. Furthermore, prompts that include provided examples tend to yield higher-quality results. Therefore, we select the causal contextual guiding prompt as our generation prompt. We present a comparison of several prompts and their respective outcomes, while Table 6 compares the generation results under different prompts. (3) Thirdly, as shown in Figure 2, for each motion in the source dataset, which comprises 3-5 Action Texts, we employ LLMs with a hand-crafted data generation system. To address the multisolution problem, we generate more than six Scene Texts for each motion.

**Data Quality Control.** There are three low-quality errors in the dataset construction process: context mismatch, formatting errors, and ambiguity errors. Data validation and cleaning are then performed in two steps. (1) In the first stage, we use length checks and fixed-format matching to eliminate formatting errors. (2) In the second stage, we invite 20 participants to evaluate randomly selected data (accounts for 15% of the total), and All participants receive uniform training. Each Scene Text is evaluated by at least three participants, with a consensus-based approach to ensure reliability. A Scene Text is deemed acceptable if all three evaluators judge it as contextually appropriate and free from ambiguity and mismatches. Abnormal data are cleaned or corrected manually. This iterative refinement process continues until all detectable errors are resolved.



Figure 3. **Pipeline overview.** (Dataset)We extend HUMANML3D [9] to the novel HUMANML3D++ with Scene Texts. (TAAT) We utilize a fine-tuned LLM to generate multiple reasonable response action instructions for a single Scene Text. And we generate each action in an action instruction individually and utilize the code generated in the previous stage to guide the generation in the subsequent stage. (Evaluation) We introduce two new metrics, Hit Accuracy and Mean Hit Distance, to measure this multi-solution task.

### 4. Method

Given scene or action textual inputs, our objective is to comprehend these inputs and generate realistic human responses motion  $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t]$ , where each  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  represents the human body pose in a *d*-dimensional space at frame *t*. As illustrated in Figure 3, the framework consists of two main components. In the Think phase, we harness the emergent properties of large language models to delineate the underlying relationship between textual inputs and action instructions. We synthesize the temporal sequence modeling capabilities inherent in Transformers with discrete action representations, establishing a bijective relationship in the Act phase. This dual-phase architecture effectively decouples text comprehension from motion generation, ensuring both thorough text understanding and precise motion generation to address the multi-solution task.

#### 4.1. Think Model

Modeling and learning from arbitrary texts, especially Scene Texts, differ significantly from previous tasks due to the intricate one-to-many relationship between arbitrary texts and motions, rather than a straightforward one-toone mapping. This can be mathematically formulated as  $p(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{T})$ , where **T** represents the arbitrary text and **X** represents the corresponding motion sequence. The motion sequence  $\mathbf{X}$  is conditionally dependent on the text  $\mathbf{T}$ , but the mapping is not deterministic, implying multiple possible motion sequences can arise from the same text. For this complex situational comprehension, large language models represent an optimal approach due to their advanced linguistic understanding and heightened contextual sensitivity when engaging with complex textual data. Through the refinement of pre-trained architectures using structured inputoutput pairs that encompass Scene Texts and associated responses, we can more adeptly harness the vast reservoir of training data inherent to these models. Formally, the objective can be defined as minimizing the following loss function over the dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{LLM}} = \sum_{(Q,A)\in\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\log p(A \mid Q; \theta_{\text{LLM}})\right]$$
(1)

where  $p(A | Q; \theta_{LLM})$  represents the probability of generating the correct response A given the question Q under the LLM parameters  $\theta_{LLM}$ . As illustrated in Figure 3, we have developed our question-answer dataset:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ (Q_i, A_i) \}_{i=1}^N$$
(2)

where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the data used for fine-tuning, with  $Q \in$ HUMANML3D++ and  $A \in$  HUMANML3D [9]. To mitigate resource consumption, we employ LoRA [13] to finetune the LLM. In alignment with established practices for large language models, we utilize cross-entropy loss to enforce similarity between the predicted tokens  $\mathcal{A}$  and the ground truth tokens  $\mathcal{A}^{gt}$ , formally represented as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{token}} = \text{CE}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{gt}}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\text{gt}} \log(\mathcal{A}_{i}), \qquad (3)$$

where  $A_i$  represents the predicted probability distribution over tokens at position *i*, and  $A_i^{\text{gt}}$  is the ground truth token distribution. The fine-tuned LLMs are then employed to extract action instructions, which are subsequently fed into the Act module to generate the associated motion.

#### 4.2. ACT Model

We employ VQ-VAE [40] to discretely encode the motion. The encoder and decoder are denoted as E and D. For a human motion  $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t]$  with t frames, the latent feature  $\mathbf{Z} = E(\mathbf{X})$  is represented as  $\mathbf{Z} = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{t/l}]$ , where l is the temporal downsampling rate of the encoder E. Quantization of each latent feature  $z_i$  entails its mapping to the nearest element  $c_k$  within the codebook C, as delineated by the equation:

$$\hat{z}_i = \underset{c_k \in C}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|z_i - c_k\|_2 \tag{4}$$

Task	R-Precision $\uparrow$	FID↓	MM-Dist↓	Diversity↑
Action Texts Scene Texts	$\begin{vmatrix} 0.797^{\pm.002} \\ 0.665^{\pm.003} \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.002^{\pm.000} \\ 0.006^{\pm.000} \end{array}$	$2.974^{\pm.008} \\ 3.945^{\pm.000}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.503^{\pm.065} \\ 8.435^{\pm.069} \end{array}$

Table 2. Comparison of metrics for ground truth motion in two tasks reveals poor accuracy, highlighting limitations of existing metrics in our multi-solution task.

We train the motion VQ-VAE using the loss and training strategy similar to [47]. With a learned motion VQ-VAE, a human motion sequence **X** can be mapped to discrete indices  $\mathbf{I} = [i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{t/l}]$ , End], where  $i_i \in [1, 2, \ldots, i_{t/l}]$  represents an index from the learned codebook. Each index  $i_j$  corresponds to a codebook entry  $c_{i_j}$ , defining the quantized representation for that segment of the motion sequence. The generation is formulated as an autoregressive next-index prediction task: given previous t - 1 indices  $(\mathbf{I} < t)$  and text condition c, our objective is to predict the next indices  $p(i_t|c, \mathbf{I} < t)$ . The optimization goal is defined by denoting the likelihood of the full sequence as  $p(\mathbf{I}|c) = \prod_{i=1}^{T/l} p(I_i|c)$ . We directly maximize the log-likelihood of the data distribution:

$$\mathcal{L}_{trans} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{I} \sim p(\mathbf{I})}[-\log p(\mathbf{I}|c)]$$
(5)

Once the generative module has learned a diverse range of poses, motions can be generated by following an autoregressive process over action instructions. For an action instruction  $A = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_x)$ , we define the generation of each action with the following:

$$\mathbf{I}_{x} = \begin{cases} f\left(\{a_{x}, \text{null}\}\right) & \text{if } x = 1, \\ f\left(\{a_{x}, \mathbf{I}_{x-1}[-n:]\}\right) & \text{if } x > 1, \end{cases}$$
(6)

where  $a_x$  denotes the current action, and  $\mathbf{I}_{x-1}[-n :]$  indicates the last n indices generated by the previous action. For the first action, an empty ID list is used. For all subsequent actions, the action instruction and the most recent n indices from the prior action are used to predict the next index. The generation for each action begins from the initial text embedding and proceeds autoregressively until the model predicts the End token. Upon completion of each action segment, the indices are concatenated to form  $\mathbf{I}_{\text{total}} = [i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k]$ , where k is the number of action segments. Finally, the entire sequence  $\mathbf{I}_{\text{total}}$  is decoded by the VQ-VAE to generate a cohesive and smooth motion.

### 5. Metrics

Current evaluation metrics [9] have limitations when applied to our multi-solution task. (1) Existing metrics demand a **one-to-one** alignment between the generated results and ground truth, which is opposed to the inherent nature of our multi-solution task. (2) According to [9], "R-Precision"

and "MM-Dist" rely on Motion & Text feature extractors for alignment. We retrain these feature extractors on HU-MANML3D++, with the results presented in Table 2. We observe a significant decline in ground truth motion metrics, with R-Precision dropping from 0.797 to 0.665. This outcome highlights a significant degradation in feature extraction capabilities during the alignment process. Consequently, depending on existing metrics to assess a model's understanding of scene text has been proved suboptimal, leading to less accurate evaluations. To address the multisolution task, we propose new metrics defined as follows:

M represents the total number of instances in the test. For each instance *i*, the model generates N responses  $R_i = \{R_i^{(1)}, R_i^{(2)}, \ldots, R_i^{(N)}\}$ . G represents the ground truth, and the distance between the *j*-th response of instance  $R_i$  and the ground truth G is quantified by  $d_{ij} = \text{dist}(R_i^{(j)}, G_i)$ . A threshold,  $\theta$ , is employed to determine whether a response qualifies as a "hit" based on its distance to the ground truth. We define an indicator function  $\delta : \mathcal{R} \times \{G\} \to \{0, 1\}$  to determine whether a response meets the "hit"criterion:

$$\delta_{ij} = \delta(R_i^{(j)}, G_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(R_i^{(j)}, G_i) \le \theta\\ 0, & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(R_i^{(j)}, G_i) > \theta \end{cases}$$
(7)

Hit Accuracy(HA): Hit Accuracy measures the ratio of hits among total data. For a given text, the model generates N responses motion, each compared to ground truth motion. A response qualifies as a hit if its distance to the ground truth exceeds a defined threshold  $\theta$ .

Hit Accuracy = 
$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \max_{j=1,\dots,N} \delta(R_i^{(j)}, G_i)$$
 (8)

Mean Hit Distance (MHD): Mean Hit Distance measures the average hit distance across multiple instances. For each instance, when hit, the hit distance is defined as the average distance of the qualifying responses; otherwise, a representative distance of the instance's responses is used.

$$MHD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{ij} \cdot d_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( \mathbb{I}\left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{ij} = 0 \right) \cdot \min d_{ij} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbb{I}\left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{ij} = 0 \right)}$$
(9)

We ensure a fair comparison with existing methods by employing consistent metrics for Action Texts to Motion. Specifically, FID evaluates the distribution distance between generated motion and ground truth, R-Precision and MM-Dist measure the consistency between Action Texts and generated motion, Diversity assesses the diversity of the entire set of generated motions, and MModality examines the diversity of motions generated from the same action text. Further details on these metrics are provided in the supplementary materials.

Method	Туре	Train	Test	Hit Accuracy ↑	$FID\downarrow$	Mean Hit Distance $\downarrow$	Diversity $\uparrow$	MModality $\uparrow$
TM2T [10]	Zero-shot Retrain	0 ●	•	$65.5 \\ 68.8$	$2.201^{\pm.020} \\ 1.394^{\pm.000}$	$1.407 \\ 1.357$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.286^{\pm.075} \\ 8.181^{\pm.000} \end{array}$	$2.600^{\pm.094} \\ 2.701^{\pm.000}$
MDM [39]	Zero-shot Retrain	0 ●	•	$64.4 \\ 73.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.827^{\pm.053} \\ 0.435^{\pm.029} \end{array}$	$1.425 \\ 1.247$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.249^{\pm.058} \\ 8.634^{\pm.057} \end{array}$	$2.804^{\pm.052} \\ 2.901^{\pm.055}$
MLD [3]	Zero-shot Retrain	0 ●	•	61.8 72.4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.897^{\pm.026} \\ 9.408^{\pm.060} \end{array}$	$1.547 \\ 1.313$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.289^{\pm.096} \\ 6.962^{\pm.063} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.018^{\pm.028} \\ 3.086^{\pm.130} \end{array}$
MotionDiffuse [48]	Zero-shot Retrain	0 ●	•	70.2 77.9	$\frac{1.514^{\pm.000}}{2.688^{\pm.000}}$	$1.236 \\ 1.136$	$7.907^{\pm.000} \\ 7.703^{\pm.000}$	$\frac{1.813^{\pm.000}}{3.191^{\pm.000}}$
T2M-GPT [47]	Zero-shot Retrain	0 ●	•	$73.9 \\ 79.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.516^{\pm.042} \\ 0.316^{\pm.015} \end{array}$	$1.178 \\ 1.081$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.396^{\pm.232} \\ 8.627^{\pm.080} \end{array}$	$2.499^{\pm.348} \\ 2.620^{\pm.067}$
TAAT(Ours)	Zero-shot w/o filter Retrain	•	•	75.1 78.6 79.9	$\begin{array}{c} 0.488^{\pm.006} \\ 0.420^{\pm.019} \\ 0.379^{\pm.014} \end{array}$	$     1.212 \\     1.195 \\     1.075   $	$\begin{array}{c} 8.552^{\pm.095} \\ 8.870^{\pm.043} \\ 8.950^{\pm.095} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.957^{\pm.070} \\ 3.167^{\pm.053} \\ 3.046^{\pm.070} \end{array}$

Table 3. Experiment Results on Model Zero-Shot Ability and Motion Generation on HUMANML3D++. Our model demonstrates optimal performance with novel scene texts in Zero-Shot experiment. After retraining, models show improved results on scene texts. Our TAAT excels in both Hit Accuracy and Mean Hit Distance, indicating a superior understanding of scene texts. Furthermore, the achieved FID and Diversity metrics suggest that we can generate high-quality motions that align well with real-world motions.

Scene Text: A person sees a beautiful sunset while camping in the wilderness.



Figure 4. Visual results on scene texts. Our model generates context-appropriate responses (pointing to the sunset, taking a photo), while other models display irrelevant actions (looking down) or remain inactive (standing still).

## 6. Experiment

### 6.1. Dataset and Evaluation Metric

**Dataset.** We augment the text component of the largest annotated dataset, HUMANML3D [9], to fulfill our task requirements. Subsequently, we primarily conduct experiments using the datasets HUMANML3D [9] and HU-MANML3D++.

**Implementation Details.** The codebook is sized at  $512 \times 512$ , with a downsampling rate l of 4. Training is conducted in two phases: the first 200K iterations use a learning rate of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$ , followed by 100K iterations at  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ . The VQ loss  $\mathcal{L}_{vq}$  and reconstruction loss  $\mathcal{L}_{re}$  are weighted with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0.5$ , respectively. For the generativate model, we utilize 18 transformer layers with a hidden dimension of 1,024 and 16 attention heads. The transformer is optimized

using AdamW with  $\beta_1 = 0.9$  and  $\beta_2 = 0.99$ , a batch size of 128, an initial learning rate of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  for 150K iterations, and a decay to  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  for an additional 150K iterations.

### 6.2. Action Texts to Motion Experiments

Table 4 presents the experimental results on Action Texts to Motion. Both training and test are performed on HU-MANML3D [9]. Compared to existing methods, our approach greatly improves diversity and achieves competitive FID and MModality. These findings indicate that our method generates motions that are closer to authentic human motions while ensuring completeness and accuracy, thereby enhancing overall generation quality. This improvement is due to our decoupling of learning the discrete representation and the prior through discrete encoding, enabling clearer distinctions between motion types and struc-

Methods	R-Precision (Top-3) $\uparrow$	$FID\downarrow$	MM-Dist $\downarrow$	Diversity $\uparrow$	MModality↑
Real motion	$0.797^{\pm.002}$	$0.002^{\pm.000}$	$2.974^{\pm.008}$	$9.503^{\pm.065}$	-
TM2T [10]	$0.740^{\pm.003}$	$1.067^{\pm.002}$	$3.340^{\pm.008}$	$9.188^{\pm.002}$	$2.090^{\pm .083}$
MDM [39]	$0.611^{\pm.007}$	$0.544^{\pm.044}$	$5.566^{\pm.027}$	$9.599^{\pm.086}$	$2.799^{\pm.072}$
MLD [3]	$0.772^{\pm.002}$	$0.473^{\pm.013}$	$3.196^{\pm.010}$	$9.724^{\pm.082}$	$2.413^{\pm.079}$
MotionDiffuse [48]	$0.782^{\pm.001}$	$0.630^{\pm.001}$	$3.113^{\pm.001}$	$9.410^{\pm.049}$	$1.553^{\pm.042}$
T2M-GPT [47]	$0.685^{\pm.003}$	$0.140^{\pm.006}$	$3.730^{\pm.009}$	$9.844^{\pm 0.095}$	$3.285^{\pm.070}$
TAAT(Ours)	$0.696^{\pm.003}$	$0.461^{\pm.006}$	$5.050^{\pm.009}$	$10.038^{\pm.095}$	$2.929^{\pm.070}$

Table 4. **Experiment on HUMANML3D** [9]. Our TAAT uses the sequential abilities of the Transformer and works well in FID, Diversity, and MModality, demonstrating that our model can generate high-quality and diverse motions that are close to real human motions.



Figure 5. Visual results on Action Texts. Only our model performs all actions in the correct sequence, while other models exhibit issues such as missing actions (MDM [39], MLD [3]), sequence disorder (T2M-GPT [47]), and spatial relationship errors (MLD [3]).

tures. Additionally, by independently sampling for each action instruction, our model can select motions independently for each instruction, resulting in more diverse motion sequences. Diffusion-based methods like MDM [39] and MLD [3] directly learn 3D human features without discretization, making the models highly susceptible to noise in the data and prone to deviations from realistic motion spaces. Figure 5 shows the visualization results on Action Texts. Only our model performs all actions in the correct sequence, whereas other models show issues like missing actions (MDM [39], MLD [3]), sequence disorder (T2M-GPT [47]), and spatial relationship errors (MLD [3]).

### 6.3. Zero-shot Experiments

Table 3 illustrates our testing on the model's zero-shot capabilities. All models are trained on the HUMANML3D [9] and tested on the HUMANML3D++ to test whether the current models can understand and generate motion from Scene Texts without pretraining. Table 3 shows that existing models exhibit a decrease in multiple metrics when directly using Scene Texts inputs without pretraining. This indicates that these models primarily learn action knowledge from the existing dataset and struggle to generalize to unseen scene

	TM2T [10]	MDM [39]	MLD [3]	MD [48]	T2M-GPT [47]	Ours
A	1.134	0.283	0.424	0.884	0.376	0.027
В	0.327	-0.109	8.935	2.058	0.176	-0.082

Table 5. FID variation across three experiments. Our model exhibits minimal variation in FID across different tasks. (A) shows each model's FID change from Zero-shot to Action Text; (B) from Scene Text to Action Text.

texts. Table 5 presents the variations in FID scores of different models across three experiments(data from table 3, 4). Despite not being trained explicitly on Scene Texts, our model achieves the best FID and Hit Accuracy and exhibits a comparatively minor decrease in FID when presented with Scene Texts inputs. Furthermore, it achieves the best FID and satisfactory Diversity, demonstrating the ability to generate high-quality and diverse human motions.

#### 6.4. Motion Generation On HUMANML3D++

Table 3 shows the model's ability to learn Scene Texts and generate responsive motions. All models are trained and tested on HUMANML3D++. Our TAAT demonstrates the best Hit Accuracy and Mean Hit Distance, indicating effective extraction of potential motions from Scene Texts



Figure 6. The user study of our model and T2M-GPT [47] on Action Texts and Scene Texts. Our model generates motions that are both realistic and aligned with human cognition.

and generation of contextually aligned responses. This is achieved through scene interpretation, utilizing LLMs' deep language comprehension and diverse candidate generation capabilities to produce multiple plausible action interpretations. Moreover, our model achieves the highest Diversity and is ranked second in FID and MModality, indicating its ability to produce diverse realistic human poses. T2M-GPT [47]exhibits poor performance in MModality, indicating that the model is still learning limited mapping relationships within the dataset. Consequently, it struggles to generate diverse and reasonable reactive motions for the same Scene Texts. Note that MDM [39] and MotionDiffuse [48] evaluate their models using the ground-truth motion length, which is impractical for real-world applications. Figure 4 presents the visual results on Scene Texts. Our model generates context-appropriate responses (pointing to the sunset, taking a photo), while other models display irrelevant actions (looking down) or remain inactive (standing still).

## 6.5. User Study

Figure 6 presents the results of our user study. We visually assessed each method's performance on 100 Scene Texts and 100 Action Texts, with independent evaluations provided by 30 participants across 5 groups. Participants rated the motion results based on their appropriateness and consistency with the provided textual information, rating them as suitable, acceptable, or unsuitable. The results reveal that our model's visual effects are superior to those of alternative methods, consistently generating realistic motions that align well with human perceptual cognition.

### 6.6. Ablation Study

Dataset Filtering. We perform an ablation study by removing the dataset filtering, as shown by comparing the "w/o filter" and "Retrain" rows in the last two lines of Table 3. Applying the filter increases Hit Accuracy and reduces Mean Hit Distance, indicating that the filtering effectively eliminates noise and irrelevant samples, thereby enhancing the overall quality and relevance of the dataset.

**Prompt design.** Table 6 illustrates the impact of different prompt designs on the Scene Text generation. Acc. denotes the accuracy of the generated texts, while Score represents the human evaluation score. Including all features (last row) achieves the highest performance, indicating that our prompt design enhances the robustness and effectiveness of the generated outputs in dataset construction. In contrast, omitting specific features, particularly Verbs or Examples, leads to a noticeable decline in output quality.

w/ Verb	Quantity	Few-shot	Causality	Acc. $\uparrow$	Score↑
0	•	•	•	58.2	1.80
•	0	•	•	76.4	3.75
•	•	0	•	37.6	1.40
•	•	•	0	81.8	3.80
•	•	•	•	98.4	5.00

Table 6. Comparison of prompt strategies. w/ Verb indicates verb usage restrictions; Quantity denotes quantity requirements; Few-shot indicates inclusion of examples; and Causality reflects descriptions of causal relationships.

Index Length. We evaluate the impact of index lengths on the generated motions in Figure 7 and found that an optimal index length of 7 yielded the highest generation quality. A shorter index often leads to discontinuities and unnatural postures, while a longer index tends to result in excessive pose repetition and increased computational costs.

Impact of Index Lengths



Figure 7. Impact of index lengths on motion generation.

# 7. Conclusion

In summary, this study introduces a novel task: inferring potential motions from Arbitrary Texts (including those with no explicit action labels), which has not been previously explored. Additionally, we propose a new dataset HU-MANML3D++ and a more practical think-and-act framework TAAT. To improve evaluation, we introduce multisolution metrics specifically designed for this novel task. We conduct extensive experiments to fully investigate the performance and zero-shot capabilities of existing models across the two tasks: Action Texts to Motion and Scene Texts to Motion. Our research establishes an essential foundation for future investigations in this domain.

### References

- Chaitanya Ahuja and Louis-Philippe Morency. Language2pose: Natural language grounded pose forecasting. In 2019 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV), 2019. 1,
   2
- [2] Andreas Aristidou, Anastasios Yiannakidis, Kfir Aberman, Daniel Cohen-Or, Ariel Shamir, and Yiorgos Chrysanthou. Rhythm is a dancer: Music-driven motion synthesis with global structure. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 2022. 2
- [3] Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao Chen, and Gang Yu. Executing your commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023. 1, 2, 6, 7
- [4] Bruno Degardin, Joao Neves, Vasco Lopes, Joao Brito, Ehsan Yaghoubi, and Hugo Proença. Generative adversarial graph convolutional networks for human action synthesis. 2022. 1, 2
- [5] Christian Diller and Angela Dai. Cg-hoi: Contact-guided 3d human-object interaction generation. In *Proc. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), IEEE*, 2024. 3
- [6] Yinglin Duan, Tianyang Shi, Zhengxia Zou, Yenan Lin, Zhehui Qian, Bohan Zhang, and Yi Yuan. Singleshot motion completion with transformer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00776, 2021. 2
- [7] Anindita Ghosh, Rishabh Dabral, Vladislav Golyanik, Christian Theobalt, and Philipp Slusallek. Remos: 3d motion-conditioned reaction synthesis for two-person interactions. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2024.
   3
- [8] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Shihao Zou, Qingyao Sun, Annan Deng, Minglun Gong, and Li Cheng. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, 2020. 1, 2
- [9] Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
- [10] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Tm2t: Stochastic and tokenized modeling for the reciprocal generation of 3d human motions and texts. In *European Conference* on Computer Vision(ECCV), 2022. 2, 6, 7
- [11] Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Muhammad Gohar Javed, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Momask: Generative masked modeling of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024. 1, 2, 3
- [12] Félix G Harvey, Mike Yurick, Derek Nowrouzezahrai, and Christopher Pal. Robust motion in-betweening. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 2020. 2
- [13] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *In-*

ternational Conference on Learning Representations, 2022. 4

- [14] Yanli Ji, Feixiang Xu, Yang Yang, Fumin Shen, Heng Tao Shen, and Wei-Shi Zheng. A large-scale rgb-d database for arbitrary-view human action recognition. In *Proceedings* of the 26th ACM international Conference on Multimedia, 2018. 2
- [15] Nan Jiang, Zhiyuan Zhang, Hongjie Li, Xiaoxuan Ma, Zan Wang, Yixin Chen, Tengyu Liu, Yixin Zhu, and Siyuan Huang. Scaling up dynamic human-scene interaction modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024. 2, 3
- [16] Peng Jin, Yang Wu, Yanbo Fan, Zhongqian Sun, Wei Yang, and Li Yuan. Act as you wish: Fine-grained control of motion diffusion model with hierarchical semantic graphs. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2024. 1, 2
- [17] Korrawe Karunratanakul, Konpat Preechakul, Supasorn Suwajanakorn, and Siyu Tang. Guided motion diffusion for controllable human motion synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023. 2
- [18] Hanyang Kong, Kehong Gong, Dongze Lian, Michael Bi Mi, and Xinchao Wang. Priority-centric human motion generation in discrete latent space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023. 1, 2
- [19] Hsin-Ying Lee, Xiaodong Yang, Ming-Yu Liu, Ting-Chun Wang, Yu-Ding Lu, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Jan Kautz. Dancing to music. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2019. 2
- [20] Buyu Li, Yongchi Zhao, Shi Zhelun, and Lu Sheng. Danceformer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with parametric motion transformer. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2022. 2
- [21] Lei Li and Angela Dai. Genzi: Zero-shot 3d human-scene interaction generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024.
   3
- [22] Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Ai choreographer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with aist++. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 2021. 2
- [23] Han Liang, Wenqian Zhang, Wenxuan Li, Jingyi Yu, and Lan Xu. Intergen: Diffusion-based multi-human motion generation under complex interactions. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 2024. 2, 3
- [24] Jun Liu, Amir Shahroudy, Mauricio Perez, Gang Wang, Ling-Yu Duan, and Alex C Kot. Ntu rgb+ d 120: A largescale benchmark for 3d human activity understanding. *IEEE* transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 2019. 2
- [25] Qiujing Lu, Yipeng Zhang, Mingjian Lu, and Vwani Roychowdhury. Action-conditioned on-demand motion generation. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, 2022. 1, 2
- [26] Shunlin Lu, Ling-Hao Chen, Ailing Zeng, Jing Lin, Ruimao Zhang, Lei Zhang, and Heung-Yeung Shum. Humantomato:

Text-aligned whole-body motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12978*, 2023. 2, 3

- [27] Thomas Lucas, Fabien Baradel, Philippe Weinzaepfel, and Grégory Rogez. Posegpt: Quantization-based 3d human motion generation and forecasting. 2022. 1, 2
- [28] Naureen Mahmood, Nima Ghorbani, Nikolaus F Troje, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Amass: Archive of motion capture as surface shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2019. 2
- [29] Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Actionconditioned 3d human motion synthesis with transformer vae. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021. 1, 2
- [30] Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Temos: Generating diverse human motions from textual descriptions. In *European Conference on Computer Vision(ECCV)*, 2022. 1, 2
- [31] Huaijin Pi, Sida Peng, Minghui Yang, Xiaowei Zhou, and Hujun Bao. Hierarchical generation of human-object interactions with diffusion probabilistic models. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2023. 3
- [32] Matthias Plappert, Christian Mandery, and Tamim Asfour. The KIT motion-language dataset. *Big Data*, 2016. 2
- [33] Abhinanda R Punnakkal, Arjun Chandrasekaran, Nikos Athanasiou, Alejandra Quiros-Ramirez, and Michael J Black. Babel: Bodies, action and behavior with english labels. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021. 2
- [34] Davis Rempe, Tolga Birdal, Aaron Hertzmann, Jimei Yang, Srinath Sridhar, and Leonidas J Guibas. Humor: 3d human motion model for robust pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021. 2
- [35] Amir Shahroudy, Jun Liu, Tian-Tsong Ng, and Gang Wang. Ntu rgb+ d: A large scale dataset for 3d human activity analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer* vision and pattern recognition, 2016. 2
- [36] Jiangxin Sun, Chunyu Wang, Huang Hu, Hanjiang Lai, Zhi Jin, and Jian-Fang Hu. You never stop dancing: Nonfreezing dance generation via bank-constrained manifold projection. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2022. 2
- [37] Mikihiro Tanaka and Kent Fujiwara. Role-aware interaction generation from textual description. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2023. 2, 3
- [38] Guy Tevet, Brian Gordon, Amir Hertz, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Motionclip: Exposing human motion generation to clip space. In *European Conference on Computer Vision(ECCV)*, 2022. 1, 2
- [39] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yonatan Shafir, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Amit H Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14916*, 2022. 1, 2, 6, 7, 8

- [40] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2017. 4
- [41] Yin Wang, Zhiying Leng, Frederick WB Li, Shun-Cheng Wu, and Xiaohui Liang. Fg-t2m: Fine-grained text-driven human motion generation via diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023. 2
- [42] Zhenzhi Wang, Jingbo Wang, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Intercontrol: Generate human motion interactions by controlling every joint. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15864, 2023. 2, 3
- [43] Zan Wang, Yixin Chen, Baoxiong Jia, Puhao Li, Jinlu Zhang, Jingze Zhang, Tengyu Liu, Yixin Zhu, Wei Liang, and Siyuan Huang. Move as you say interact as you can: Language-guided human motion generation with scene affordance. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024. 2, 3
- [44] Liang Xu, Xintao Lv, Yichao Yan, Xin Jin, Shuwen Wu, Congsheng Xu, Yifan Liu, Yizhou Zhou, Fengyun Rao, Xingdong Sheng, et al. Inter-x: Towards versatile humanhuman interaction analysis. In CVPR, 2024. 3
- [45] Sirui Xu, Zhengyuan Li, Yu-Xiong Wang, and Liang-Yan Gui. InterDiff: Generating 3d human-object interactions with physics-informed diffusion. In *ICCV*, 2023. 3
- [46] Ping Yu, Yang Zhao, Chunyuan Li, Junsong Yuan, and Changyou Chen. Structure-aware human-action generation. 2020. 1, 2
- [47] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, Xi Shen, and Ying Shan. Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [48] Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001, 2022. 1, 2, 6, 7, 8
- [49] Chongyang Zhong, Lei Hu, Zihao Zhang, and Shihong Xia. Attt2m: Text-driven human motion generation with multiperspective attention mechanism. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023. 2