

DECAY PROPERTIES OF SPATIAL MOLECULAR ORBITALS

R. A. ZALIK

ABSTRACT. Using properties of the Fourier transform we prove that if a Hartree–Fock molecular spatial orbital is in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then it decays to zero as its argument diverges to infinity. The proof is rigorous, elementary and short. Our result implies that occupied orbitals with positive eigenvalues will decay to zero provided they are in L_1 .

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin with a statement of the Hartree–Fock (or HF) equations, their importance, and the relevance of our result in Quantum Chemistry. These topics are studied in many introductory texts, such as [2, 4].

The HF equations are nonlinear eigenvalue equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{Z_j}{|r - \xi_j|} \right] \psi_a(r) + 2 \sum_{c=1}^n \int |\psi_c(s)|^2 \frac{1}{|r - s|} ds \psi_a(r) - \sum_{c=1}^n \int \psi_c^*(s) \psi_a(s) \frac{1}{|r - s|} ds \psi_c(r) = \varepsilon_a \psi_a(r), \quad a = 1, \dots, n,$$

where Z_j is the atomic number of nucleus N_j , which is located at point $\xi_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and ∇^2 is the Laplace operator. These equations apply only to systems with an even number N of electrons, and $n = N/2$. Their solutions are called HF approximations; they are approximate solutions of the electronic Schrödinger equation and provide a gateway to more accurate approximations. The solution of (1) yields a sequence of functions ψ_a –called spin orbitals– with orbital energies ε_a ; they are continuous on \mathbb{R}^3 and $\|\psi_a\|_\infty \leq 1$, and are usually assumed to form an orthonormal set in L_2 . The N spin orbitals with lowest energy are called *occupied* spin orbitals

The HF equations may also be used on ions. If an HF calculation is performed on a multiply charged anion, even some of the occupied orbitals ψ_a will have positive eigenvalues. This amounts to a prediction, using a theorem of Koopmans (1934), that the multiply charged anion will spontaneously lose electrons. Our result implies that the occupied orbitals with positive eigenvalues will still decay to zero provided they are in L_1 .

We will use standard mathematical notation. In particular, \mathbb{Z} will denote the set of integers and \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers; if $r = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then $|r| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$; if z is a complex number, z^* will denote its complex conjugate,

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q40 35P05 35J10.

Keywords: Laplace operator, Fourier transform, convolution, orbital, divided difference.
arXiv 2404.14420.

and $\int f$ will stand for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we say that f is in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (which we will abbreviate as L_p), if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(r)|^p dr < \infty,$$

and the $L_p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm of f is defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(r)|^p dr \right)^{1/p}.$$

We say that f is in $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (which we will abbreviate as L_∞) if there is a constant C such that $|f(r)| \leq C$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^3$. If f is in L_∞ , the L_∞ norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^3} |f(r)|,$$

(where ‘‘sup’’ is the least upper bound). We also define $h(r) = |r|^{-1}$; $\mathfrak{F}[f]$ or \widehat{f} will stand for the Fourier transform of f ; thus, if for instance $f \in L_1$, then

$$\widehat{f}(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t) e^{-2\pi i r \cdot t} dt.$$

The convolution $f * g$ of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(r) = \int f(s)g(r-s) ds = \int f(r-s)g(s) ds.$$

The following auxiliary proposition, of some independent interest, shows that (1) is well posed:

Lemma 1. *If $f \in L_1 \cap L_\infty$, then*

$$\|f * h\|_\infty \leq (4/\sqrt{3})\pi \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_1;$$

therefore, if $f, g \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$

$$\|(fg) * h\|_\infty \leq (4/\sqrt{3})\pi \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

In particular,

$$\left| \int \psi_a^*(s) \psi_b(s) \frac{1}{|s-r|} ds \right| \leq (4/\sqrt{3})\pi + 1, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. We have

$$|(f * h)(r)| \leq \int_{|s| \leq 1} |f(r-s)| |s|^{-1} ds + \int_{|s| > 1} |f(r-s)| |s|^{-1} ds = I_1 + I_2.$$

Applying Hölder’s inequality and switching to spherical coordinates we get

$$I_1 \leq \left(\int_{|s| \leq 1} |f(r-s)|^2 ds \int_{|s| \leq 1} |s|^{-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (4/\sqrt{3})\pi \|f\|_\infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$I_2 \leq \|f\|_1.$$

□

The proof of the following theorem relies on basic properties of the Fourier transform ([1, 3]).

Theorem 1. *Let $\psi_a \in L_1$; then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \psi_a(r) = 0$.*

Proof. Equation (1) may be written in the equivalent form

$$(2) \quad [\nabla^2 \psi_a](r) = g_a(r),$$

where

$$g_a(r) = -2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{Z_j}{|r - \xi_j|} \psi_a(r) + 4A\psi_a(r) - 2 \sum_{c=1}^n B_{a,c} \psi_c(r) - 2\varepsilon_a \psi_a(r)$$

with

$$A = \sum_{c=1}^n \int |\psi_c(s)|^2 \frac{1}{|r-s|} ds$$

and

$$B_{a,c} = \int \psi_c^*(s) \psi_a(s) \frac{1}{|r-s|} ds.$$

On the other hand, let K be arbitrary but fixed and such that $|\xi_j| \leq K, j = 1, \dots, n$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \int |r - \xi_j|^{-2} |\psi_a(r)|^2 dr &= \\ \int_{|r| \leq K+1} |r - \xi_j|^{-2} |\psi_a(r)|^2 dr &+ \int_{|r| > K+1} |r - \xi_j|^{-2} |\psi_a(r)|^2 dr = J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$J_1 \leq \int_{|r| \leq K+1} |r - \xi_j|^{-2} dr \leq \int_{|r| \leq K+1+|\xi_j|} |r|^{-2} dr = 4\pi(K+1+|\xi_j|)$$

and, since $|r| > K+1$ implies that $|r - \xi_j| \geq 1$,

$$J_2 \leq \int_{|r| > K+1} |\psi_a(r)|^2 dr \leq \|\psi_a\|_2^2,$$

which implies that $|r - \xi_j|^{-1} \psi_a(r)$ is in L_2 for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, which in turn implies that $g(r) \in L_2$. But $\psi_a \in L_1$ by hypothesis; thus

$$[\nabla^2 \psi_a](r) = -4\pi^2 |r|^2 \widehat{\psi}_a(r).$$

Since ψ_a is bounded, it is in L_2 as well (and therefore so is $\widehat{\psi}_a$); thus (2) implies that $(1 + |r|^2) \widehat{\psi}_a \in L_2$. Since

$$\widehat{\psi}_a(r) = \frac{1}{1 + |r|^2} (1 + |r|^2) \widehat{\psi}_a(r)$$

and $\frac{1}{1+|r|^2} \in L_2$, we deduce that $\widehat{\psi}_a \in L_1$, whence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}[\widehat{\psi}_a](r) = 0.$$

Since ψ_a is continuous on \mathbb{R}^3 we know that $\psi_a(r) = \mathfrak{F}[\widehat{\psi}_a](-r)$, and the assertion follows. \square

2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Professor Vincent Ortiz, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Auburn University. The explanation of the relevance of Theorem 1 in Quantum Chemistry is based on his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1999).
- [2] I.M. Levine, *Quantum Chemistry*, 7th. ed. (Pearson, New York, 1991).
- [3] E. M. Stein, R. Shakarchi, *Fourier Analysis: An Introduction* (Princeton University Press, 2003).
- [4] A. Szabo, N. S. Ostlund, *Modern Quantum Chemistry*, revised ed. (Dover, 1996).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL. 36849-5310

Email address: `zalikri@auburn.edu`