

REDUCTION OF COKÄHLER AND 3-COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

SHUHEI YONEHARA

ABSTRACT. The notions of coKähler manifolds and 3-cosymplectic manifolds are odd-dimensional analogues of the ones of Kähler manifolds and hyperKähler manifolds, respectively. In this paper, we obtain reduction theorems of coKähler manifolds and 3-cosymplectic manifolds. We show that Kähler and coKähler (hyperKähler and 3-cosymplectic) reductions admit a natural compatibility with respect to “cylinder constructions”. We further prove the compatibility of Kähler and coKähler reductions with respect to the “mapping torus construction”.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Cosymplectic reduction theorem	3
3.	CoKähler case	5
4.	3-cosymplectic case	7
5.	CoKähler/3-cosymplectic reductions and cone constructions	9
6.	CoKähler reduction of mapping tori	13
7.	A Perspective from Dynamical Systems	16
8.	Conclusion	18
	References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering work of Marsden-Weinstein [MW74], many types of reduction theorems have been studied for various geometric structures on manifolds (see the table below).

Geometric structures	Structures with metric	3-structures with metric
Symplectic [MW74]	Kähler [HKLR87]	HyperKähler [HKLR87]
Contact [Alb89]	Sasakian [GO01]	3-Sasakian [BGM94]
Cosymplectic [Alb89]	CoKähler	3-cosymplectic

In [HKLR87], Hitchin *et al* proved the reduction theorem of Kähler manifolds. They also introduced the notion of hyperKähler moment maps and proved the reduction

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 53D20 (primary), 53D10, 53D15

theorem of hyperKähler manifolds. Albert [Alb89] studied Hamiltonian actions on contact manifolds and cosymplectic manifolds and proved the reduction theorems. Afterwards, several types of reduction theorems of contact manifolds have been studied [Gei97, Wil02, ZZ06]. In [BGM94], Boyer *et al* proved the reduction theorem of 3-Sasakian manifolds via the hyperKähler reduction theorem. Afterwards, Grantcharov and Ornea [GO01] proved the reduction theorem of Sasakian manifolds.

In this paper, we focus on the reduction theorem of cosymplectic manifolds (see [CMDNY13a] and [Yol21] for more details about cosymplectic manifolds) proved by Albert and obtain reduction theorems of *coKähler manifolds* and *3-cosymplectic manifolds*. They are another odd-dimensional versions of Kähler and hyperKähler manifolds instead of Sasakian and 3-Sasakian manifolds, respectively. Typical examples of coKähler/3-cosymplectic quotients are given by Kähler/hyperKähler quotients by using “cone constructions” and “mapping torus constructions”, respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In [section 2](#), we recall cosymplectic structures and cosymplectic moment maps and the proof of the reduction theorem by Albert. In [section 3](#) we prove the following coKähler reduction theorem, which is a natural analogue of the Kähler reduction theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.1). *Let $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$ be a coKähler manifold with the underlying cosymplectic structure (η, ω) . Suppose that there is a free and proper Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on (M, η, ω) which preserves φ . Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a central and regular value of μ . Then $M^\zeta := \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ admits a coKähler structure $(g^\zeta, \varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$. Moreover, the underlying cosymplectic manifold of $(M^\zeta, g^\zeta, \varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$ is the cosymplectic quotient $(M^\zeta, \eta^\zeta, \omega^\zeta)$. \square*

In [section 4](#) we introduce a notion of 3-cosymplectic moment maps and prove the following 3-cosymplectic reduction theorem, which is a natural analogue of the hyperKähler reduction theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.1). *Let $(M, g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ be a 3-cosymplectic manifold with underlying cosymplectic structures $(\eta_i, \omega_i)_{i=1,2,3}$. Suppose that there is a free and proper action of a Lie group G on M which is Hamiltonian with respect to all three cosymplectic structures $(\eta_i, \omega_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ and preserves $(\varphi_i)_{i=1,2,3}$. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ be a 3-cosymplectic moment map and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ a central and regular value of μ . Then $M^\zeta := \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ inherits the 3-cosymplectic structure of M . \square*

In [section 5](#), we study the reduction of geometric structures on cones. Let M be a Kähler manifold. Then its *cone* $C(M) := M \times \mathbb{R}$ admits a coKähler structure. Conversely, if M is a coKähler manifold, then $C(M)$ admits a Kähler structure. We show that the Kähler (resp. coKähler) quotient of $C(M)$ is the cone of the coKähler (resp. Kähler) quotient of M . Similarly, hyperKähler structures and 3-cosymplectic structures are also related by cone constructions, and we also show that hyperKähler/3-cosymplectic reduction procedures are compatible with these cone constructions.

In [section 6](#), we investigate coKähler quotients of mapping tori of Kähler manifolds. For a Kähler manifold S and a Hermitian isometry f of S , the mapping torus

$$S_f = (S \times [0, 1]) / \{(p, 0) \sim (f(p), 1) \mid p \in S\}$$

admits a coKähler structure. Suppose that there is a free and proper Hamiltonian action of a Lie group on S which preserves the Kähler structure and let $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map. Let f be an equivariant Hermitian isometry of S . Then we show that the action on S is naturally lifted to a Hamiltonian action on S_f if and only if $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$ holds for some $p \in S$. In this situation, we prove that the Kähler/coKähler reduction procedures are compatible with the mapping torus procedure.

In [section 7](#), we interpret our coKähler reduction theorem from the physical viewpoint. In short, our result suggests that we can reduce time-dependent dynamical systems preserving the property that the flows of the system are geodesics.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to his supervisor Professor Ryushi Goto for his encouragement and many fruitful discussions. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ1487.

2. COSYMPLECTIC REDUCTION THEOREM

An *almost cosymplectic structure* on $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M is a pair of $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that $\eta \wedge \omega^n \neq 0$. On an almost cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω) there is a unique vector field ξ which satisfies

$$\omega(\xi, -) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1.$$

ξ is called the Reeb vector field of (M, η, ω) . Moreover, we have an isomorphism of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules $\flat : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ defined by $\flat(X) = \omega(X, -) + \eta(X)\eta$. Conversely, a pair (η, ω) is an almost cosymplectic structure if and only if the map $\flat : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ defined as above is an isomorphism and there is a vector field ξ which satisfies the above conditions.

An almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) is called a contact structure when $\omega = d\eta$. On the other hand, an almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) is called a *cosymplectic structure* when $d\eta = 0$, $d\omega = 0$.

For a contact structure $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$, the distribution $\text{Ker}\eta$ is completely non-integrable. On the other hand, for a cosymplectic structure (η, ω) , the distribution $\text{Ker}\eta$ is integrable since η is closed. Therefore, contact structures and cosymplectic structures are two classes of almost cosymplectic structures which are polar opposites of each other.

For every function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ on a cosymplectic manifold M , we associate a vector field X_f by

$$X_f = \flat^{-1}(df - \xi(f)\eta).$$

X_f is called the Hamiltonian vector field of f . This condition is equivalent to

$$\omega(X_f, -) = df - \xi(f)\eta, \quad \eta(X_f) = 0.$$

Let (M, η, ω) be a cosymplectic manifold and G a Lie group acts on M from left. We suppose that the action preserves η, ω , i.e., $L_g^*\eta = \eta$, $L_g^*\omega = \omega$. Denote the Lie algebra of G as \mathfrak{g} . Albert [[Alb89](#)] defined the notion of moment maps on cosymplectic manifolds:

Definition 2.1. A smooth map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is called a moment map when the following conditions are satisfied:

- μ is equivariant, i.e., $\mu(gp) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(p)$ holds for any $p \in M$ and $g \in G$.
- For any $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, the induced vector field $A^* \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of a function $\mu^A : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mu^A(p) = (\mu(p))(A)$,
- For the Reeb vector field ξ and any $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $d\mu^A(\xi) = 0$ holds. \blacksquare

The action of G is said to be Hamiltonian if there is a moment map. Now we assume that there is a Hamiltonian action of G on (M, η, ω) which is free and proper. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a regular value of a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Since μ is equivariant, the isotropy group G_ζ acts on $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$. Let $M^\zeta := \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G_\zeta$ and $\pi : \mu^{-1}(\zeta) \rightarrow M^\zeta$ be the natural projection.

Theorem 2.1 (Albert [Alb89]). *There is a unique cosymplectic structure $(\eta^\zeta, \omega^\zeta)$ on M^ζ which satisfies $\pi^* \eta^\zeta = \eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}$, $\pi^* \omega^\zeta = \omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}$.*

Proof. Since $d\mu^A(X) = \omega(A^*, X)$, for any $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ we have

$$(1) \quad T_p \mu^{-1}(\zeta) = \{X_p \in T_p M \mid \omega(A_p^*, X_p) = 0, A \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{g}_ζ be the Lie algebra of G_ζ . For any $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $g \in G_\zeta$ we have $\mu(L_g(p)) = \zeta$, and by differentiating this we obtain

$$\mathfrak{g}_\zeta = \{B \in \mathfrak{g} \mid d\mu(B_p^*) = 0\}$$

for any $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$. Hence, if we define $\mathfrak{g}_p := \{A_p^* \mid A \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\zeta,p} := \{B_p^* \mid B \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta\}$, we obtain

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta,p} = \mathfrak{g}_p \cap T_p \mu^{-1}(\zeta).$$

We see that $\eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}$ and $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}$ are basic with respect to the fibration $\pi : \mu^{-1}(\zeta) \rightarrow M^\zeta$. For any $B \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$, we have $\eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}(B_p^*) = 0$. In addition, since $d\eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{B_p^*} \eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)} = d\iota_{B_p^*} \eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)} + \iota_{B_p^*} d\eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)} = 0$$

holds, and thus $\eta|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}$ is basic. On the other hand, (1) implies that $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}(B_p^*, X_p) = 0$ for any $B \in \mathfrak{g}_\zeta$ and $X_p \in T_p \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$, so similarly $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)}$ is basic. Then we obtain η^ζ and ω^ζ . Moreover, they are closed since η, ω are closed and π^* is injective.

All that remains is to prove that $(\eta^\zeta, \omega^\zeta)$ is an almost cosymplectic structure. We have $\xi_p \in T_p \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ for any $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$, and $L_g^* \eta = \eta$, $L_g^* \omega = \omega$ implies $(L_g)_* \xi_p = \xi_{L_g(p)}$. So we can define a vector field $\xi^\zeta = d\pi(\xi|_{\mu^{-1}(\zeta)})$ on M^ζ , and we have $\eta^\zeta(\xi^\zeta) = 1$, $\omega^\zeta(\xi^\zeta, -) = 0$.

Lastly, we prove that the map $\flat^\zeta : TM^\zeta \rightarrow T^*M^\zeta$; $X^\zeta \mapsto \iota_{X^\zeta} \omega^\zeta + \iota_{X^\zeta} \eta^\zeta$ is an isomorphism. Suppose that $X^\zeta \in TM^\zeta$ satisfies $\flat^\zeta(X^\zeta) = 0$. Take $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and let $x = \pi(p)$. We can take $X_p \in T_p \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ such that $d\pi(X_p) = X_x^\zeta$. Then

$$\omega(X_p, Y_p) + \eta(X_p)\eta(Y_p) = 0$$

holds for any $Y_p \in T_p \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$, and this implies

$$(3) \quad \eta(X_p) = 0, \quad \omega(X_p, Y_p) = 0.$$

The almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) on M gives a decomposition

$$T_p M = \mathbb{R}\langle \xi_p \rangle \oplus \text{Ker} \eta_p$$

and $\omega_p|_{\text{Ker}\eta_p}$ is non-degenerate. We define $E_p = \text{Ker}\eta_p \cap T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$. Then by (1) and (3) we obtain

$$X_p \in (E_p)^{\omega_p|_{\text{Ker}\eta_p}} = ((\mathfrak{g}_p)^{\omega_p|_{\text{Ker}\eta_p}})^{\omega_p|_{\text{Ker}\eta_p}} = \mathfrak{g}_p,$$

where $V^{\omega_p|_{\text{Ker}\eta_p}}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $V \subset \text{Ker}\eta_p$ with respect to $\omega_p|_{\text{Ker}\eta_p}$. Now we can conclude that $X_p \in \mathfrak{g}_{\zeta,p}$ by (2) and thus $X_x^\zeta = d\pi(X_p) = 0$. \square

3. COKÄHLER CASE

Let g be a Riemannian metric and (φ, ξ, η) an almost contact structure on M , i.e., a triplet of $\varphi \in \text{End}(TM)$, a vector field ξ and a 1-form η , which satisfies $\varphi^2 = -\text{id} + \eta \otimes \xi$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Then a quartets (g, φ, ξ, η) is called an almost contact metric structure if

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

holds.

For a almost contact metric structure (g, φ, ξ, η) , we obtain an almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) , where $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$.

Definition 3.1. An *almost coKähler structure* is an almost contact metric structure (g, φ, ξ, η) such that the induced almost cosymplectic structure (η, ω) is a cosymplectic structure.

An almost coKähler structure (g, φ, ξ, η) is said to be a *coKähler structure* if the almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) is normal, i.e., the Nijenhuis tensor N_φ of φ satisfies $N_\varphi = -2d\eta \otimes \xi$. \blacksquare

If we replace the cosymplectic condition $d\eta = d\omega = 0$ with $\omega = d\eta$ in the definition above, then (g, φ, ξ, η) is called a Sasakian structure.

Let $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$ be a coKähler manifold and G a Lie group. Suppose that there is a Hamiltonian action of G on a cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω) , and let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a regular value of a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Moreover, we assume that the action preserves φ (and hence preserves the metric g) and ζ is central, i.e., $G_\zeta = G$ holds.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$. Then there is a subspace $H_p \subset T_pM$ and a decomposition*

$$(4) \quad T_pM = H_p \oplus \mathfrak{g}_p \oplus \varphi_p(\mathfrak{g}_p),$$

which is orthogonal with respect to the metric g . Moreover, H_p is invariant under φ_p .

Proof. For any $v \in T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $A_p^* \in \mathfrak{g}_p$, we have

$$g(v, \varphi_p A_p^*) = \omega(v, A_p^*) = -(d\mu^A)(v) = 0.$$

In addition, since φ_p is an isomorphism on $\text{Ker}\eta_p$, we have $\dim \varphi_p(\mathfrak{g}_p) = \dim G$ and thus we obtain a decomposition

$$T_pM = T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta) \oplus \varphi_p(\mathfrak{g}_p).$$

We define H_p as the g -orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{g}_p in $T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$. Then we obtain the decomposition (4). Note that $\xi_p \in H_p$ holds since $g(\xi_p, A_p^*) = \eta(A_p^*) = 0$. Therefore the decomposition (4) implies $\varphi_p(H_p) = H_p$ since $\varphi_p^2(v) = -v$ holds for $v \in \text{Ker}\eta_p$. \square

Lemma 3.2. H_p is invariant under the action of G , namely, $(L_h)_*(H_p) = H_{hp}$ holds for any $h \in G$ and $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$.

Proof. For any $A_p^* \in \mathfrak{g}_p$, we have

$$(L_h)_*(\varphi_p A_p^*) = \varphi_{hp}(L_h)_* A_p^* = \varphi_{hp}(\text{Ad}_h A)_p^*$$

and thus $(L_h)_*(\varphi_h(\mathfrak{g}_p)) = \varphi_{hp}(\mathfrak{g}_{hp})$. Hence the decomposition (4) implies $(L_h)_*v \in T_{hp}\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ for $v \in H_p$. Moreover, $(L_h)_*v$ is orthogonal to \mathfrak{g}_{hp} since the action of G preserves the metric g , therefore we obtain $(L_h)_*(H_p) = H_{hp}$. \square

Now we obtain the following reduction theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$ be a coKähler manifold with the underlying cosymplectic structure (η, ω) . Suppose that there is a free and proper Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on (M, η, ω) which preserves φ . Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a central and regular value of μ . Then $M^\zeta := \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ admits a coKähler structure $(g^\zeta, \varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$. Moreover, the underlying cosymplectic manifold of $(M^\zeta, g^\zeta, \varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$ is the cosymplectic quotient $(M^\zeta, \eta^\zeta, \omega^\zeta)$.

Proof. For any $p \in \mu^{-1}(\zeta)$, the map $(d\pi)_p|_{H_p} : H_p \rightarrow T_{\pi(p)}M^\zeta$ is an isomorphism. We define a Riemannian metric g^ζ on M^ζ and $\varphi^\zeta \in \text{End}(TM^\zeta)$ as pushforwards by $(d\pi)_p|_{H_p}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\pi(p)}^\zeta(X_{\pi(p)}, Y_{\pi(p)}) &= g_p(\widetilde{X}_p, \widetilde{Y}_p), \\ \varphi_{\pi(p)}^\zeta(X_{\pi(p)}) &= (d\pi)_p(\varphi_p(\widetilde{X}_p)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{X}_p, \widetilde{Y}_p \in H_p$ are vectors which satisfies

$$(d\pi)_p(\widetilde{X}_p) = X_{\pi(p)}, \quad (d\pi)_p(\widetilde{Y}_p) = Y_{\pi(p)}.$$

We check that $g_{\pi(p)}^\zeta, \varphi_{\pi(p)}^\zeta$ are independent of the choice of p . For $h \in G$, we have $(d\pi)_{hp}(L_h)_*\widetilde{X}_p = (d\pi)_p\widetilde{X}_p$, and thus Lemma 3.2 implies $\widetilde{X}_{hp} = (L_h)_*\widetilde{X}_p$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_p(\widetilde{X}_p, \widetilde{Y}_p) &= g_{hp}((L_h)_*\widetilde{X}_p, (L_h)_*\widetilde{Y}_p) = g_{hp}(\widetilde{X}_{hp}, \widetilde{Y}_{hp}), \\ (d\pi)_p(\varphi_p(\widetilde{X}_p)) &= (d\pi)_{hp}(L_h)_*(\varphi_p(\widetilde{X}_p)) \\ &= (d\pi)_{hp}(\varphi_{hp}((L_h)_*\widetilde{X}_p)) \\ &= (d\pi)_{hp}(\varphi_{hp}(\widetilde{X}_{hp})), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $g_{\pi(p)}^\zeta, \varphi_{\pi(p)}^\zeta$ are well-defined (the metric g^ζ is called the quotient metric on M^ζ).

Let $(M^\zeta, \eta^\zeta, \omega^\zeta)$ be the cosymplectic quotient and ξ^ζ the Reeb vector field. Then $\eta^\zeta(\xi^\zeta) = 1$ holds. Moreover, since φ_p preserves H_p , we have $\widetilde{\varphi^\zeta(X)} = \varphi(\widetilde{X})$, and thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\zeta(\varphi^\zeta(X)) &= d\pi(\widetilde{\varphi\varphi^\zeta(X)}) \\ &= d\pi(\varphi\varphi(\widetilde{X})) \\ &= d\pi(-\widetilde{X} + \eta(\widetilde{X})\xi) \\ &= -X + \eta^\zeta(X)\xi^\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

so $(\varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$ is an almost contact structure on M^ζ .

We can easily check the compatibility of g^ζ, φ^ζ with η^ζ, ω^ζ , i.e.,

$$g^\zeta(\varphi^\zeta X, \varphi^\zeta Y) = g^\zeta(X, Y) - \eta^\zeta(X)\eta^\zeta(Y),$$

$$\omega^\zeta(X, Y) = g^\zeta(X, \varphi^\zeta Y).$$

Lastly, we prove that $(g^\zeta, \varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$ is a coKähler structure. It was proved in [Bla67] that an almost contact metric structure (g, φ, ξ, η) is coKähler if and only if $\nabla\varphi = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g . Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric on M . Using a general property of quotient metrics, we can compute the Levi-Civita connection ∇^ζ of g^ζ by

$$\nabla_X^\zeta Y = d\pi(\text{pr}_H(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y})),$$

where \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} are extended to a neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $\text{pr}_H : TM \rightarrow H$ denotes the orthogonal projection. Then since $\nabla\varphi = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla_X^\zeta \varphi^\zeta Y} &= \text{pr}_H(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}\varphi\tilde{Y}) = \text{pr}_H(\varphi\nabla_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}) \\ &= \varphi\text{pr}_H(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}) = \varphi(\widetilde{\nabla_X^\zeta Y}) \\ &= \widetilde{\varphi^\zeta(\nabla_X^\zeta Y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nabla_X^\zeta \varphi^\zeta(Y) = \varphi^\zeta(\nabla_X^\zeta Y)$ and thus $\nabla^\zeta \varphi^\zeta = 0$. \square

4. 3-COSYMPLECTIC CASE

In this section, we prove a reduction theorem for 3-cosymplectic manifolds. First we recall the definition of 3-cosymplectic structures.

Definition 4.1. A 3-cosymplectic structure on M is a quartet $(g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ of a Riemannian metric g and three almost contact structures $(\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ on M such that each $(g, \varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ is coKähler and

$$\varphi_\gamma = \varphi_\alpha\varphi_\beta - \eta_\beta \otimes \xi_\alpha = -\varphi_\beta\varphi_\alpha + \eta_\alpha \otimes \xi_\beta,$$

$$\xi_\gamma = \varphi_\alpha\xi_\beta = -\varphi_\beta\xi_\alpha,$$

$$\eta_\gamma = \varphi_\beta^*\eta_\alpha = -\varphi_\alpha^*\eta_\beta$$

holds for any even permutation (α, β, γ) of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. \blacksquare

Remark 4.1. This notion should be called “3-coKähler structures”, but since the name “3-cosymplectic” has become established, we will follow it here as well. If a quartet $(g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ satisfies all conditions of Definition 4.1 except the normality of each $(\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$, the normality of them automatically follows (see [FIP04]). \blacksquare

Lemma 4.1. Let $(M, g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ be a 3-cosymplectic manifold and ω_2 a 2-form defined by $\omega_2(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi_2 Y)$. Then $\omega_2(X, \xi_1) = -\eta_3(X)$ holds.

Proof. Since $\eta_\alpha(\xi_\gamma) = \eta_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha \xi_\beta) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2(\varphi_3 X, Y) &= g(\varphi_3 X, \varphi_2 Y) \\
&= g(\varphi_3 \varphi_3 X, \varphi_3 \varphi_2 Y) + \eta_3(\varphi_3 X) \eta_3(\varphi_2 Y) \\
&= g(-X + \eta_3(X) \xi_3, -\varphi_2 \varphi_3 Y + \eta_3(Y) \xi_2 + \eta_2(Y) \xi_3) \\
&= g(X, \varphi_2 \varphi_3 Y) - \eta_3(Y) \eta_2(X) - \eta_2(Y) \eta_3(X) \\
&\quad - \eta_3(X) \eta_3(\varphi_2 \varphi_3 Y) + \eta_3(X) \eta_3(Y) \eta_3(\xi_2) + \eta_3(X) \eta_2(Y) \eta_3(\xi_3) \\
&= g(X, \varphi_2 \varphi_3 Y) - \eta_2(X) \eta_3(Y) - \eta_3(X) \eta_3(-\varphi_3 \varphi_2 Y + \eta_3(Y) \xi_2 + \eta_2(Y) \xi_3) \\
&= g(X, \varphi_2 \varphi_3 Y) - \eta_2(X) \eta_3(Y) - \eta_3(X) \eta_2(Y) \\
&= \omega_2(X, \varphi_3 Y) - \eta_2(X) \eta_3(Y) - \eta_3(X) \eta_2(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2(X, \xi_1) &= -\omega_2(X, \varphi_3 \xi_2) \\
&= -\omega_2(\varphi_3 X, \xi_2) - \eta_2(X) \eta_3(\xi_2) - \eta_3(X) \eta_2(\xi_2) \\
&= -\eta_3(X).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let G be a Lie group acting on a 3-cosymplectic manifold $(M, g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$. Suppose that the action is Hamiltonian with respect to all three cosymplectic structures $(\eta_i, \omega_i)_{i=1,2,3}$, and let $\mu_i : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be moment maps.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be regular values of μ_2, μ_3 , respectively. Suppose that submanifolds $\mu_2^{-1}(\zeta_2)$ and $\mu_3^{-1}(\zeta_3)$ intersect transversally. Then $N := \mu_2^{-1}(\zeta_2) \cap \mu_3^{-1}(\zeta_3)$ is an almost contact submanifold of $(M, \varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1)$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1, we have

$$d\mu_2^A(\xi_1) = \omega_2(A^*, \xi_1) = -\eta_3(A^*) = 0,$$

and similarly $d\mu_3^A(\xi_1) = 0$ holds, thus $\xi_1 \in TN$. Next, we check that $\varphi_1(TN) \subset TN$. Suppose that $d\mu_3(X) = 0$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= d\mu_3^A(X) = \omega_3(A^*, X) = g(A^*, \varphi_3 X) \\
&= g(A^*, -\varphi_2 \varphi_1 X + \eta_1(X) \xi_2) \\
&= -g(A^*, \varphi_2 \varphi_1 X) + \eta_1(X) \eta_2(A^*) \\
&= -\omega_2(A^*, \varphi_1 X) = -d\mu_2^A(\varphi_1 X).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can see that $d\mu_2(X) = 0$ implies $d\mu_3^A(\varphi_1 X) = 0$, hence $\varphi_1 X \in TN$ holds for any $X \in TN$. □

We define a 3-cosymplectic moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ by

$$\mu = \mu_1 i + \mu_2 j + \mu_3 k,$$

where i, j, k are generators of $\text{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Let G act on $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ by the tensor representation of the coadjoint action and the trivial action on $\text{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Then for any $\zeta = \zeta_1 i + \zeta_2 j + \zeta_3 k \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$, the G_ζ -action preserves $\mu^{-1}(\zeta) = \mu_1^{-1}(\zeta_1) \cap \mu_2^{-1}(\zeta_2) \cap \mu_3^{-1}(\zeta_3)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(M, g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ be a 3-cosymplectic manifold with underlying cosymplectic structures $(\eta_i, \omega_i)_{i=1,2,3}$. Suppose that there is a free and proper action of a Lie group G on M which is Hamiltonian with respect to all three cosymplectic structures $(\eta_i, \omega_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ and preserves $(\varphi_i)_{i=1,2,3}$. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ be a 3-cosymplectic moment map and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ a central and regular value of μ . Then $M^\zeta := \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ inherits the 3-cosymplectic structure of M .*

Proof. Lemma 4.2 implies that there is an almost contact structure $(\varphi_1|_N, \xi_1|_N, \eta_1|_N)$ on N . Therefore from a result in [Lud70], we can see that $(N, g|_N, \varphi_1|_N, \xi_1|_N, \eta_1|_N)$ is a coKähler manifold.

The action of G on N preserves the coKähler structure, and $\mu_1|_N$ is a moment map for this action. So Theorem 3.1 implies that there is a coKähler structure $(g_1^\zeta, \varphi_1^\zeta, \xi_1^\zeta, \eta_1^\zeta)$ on $M^\zeta = (N \cap \mu_1^{-1}(\zeta_1))/G$. Similarly, we obtain two more coKähler structures $(g_2^\zeta, \varphi_2^\zeta, \xi_2^\zeta, \eta_2^\zeta)$ and $(g_3^\zeta, \varphi_3^\zeta, \xi_3^\zeta, \eta_3^\zeta)$ on M^ζ .

Each Riemannian metric g_i^ζ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) coincides with the quotient metric of the principal bundle $\mu^{-1}(\zeta) \rightarrow M^\zeta$, so three Riemannian metrics $g_1^\zeta, g_2^\zeta, g_3^\zeta$ are the same, and thus the three coKähler structures constitutes a 3-cosymplectic structure on M^ζ . \square

5. COKÄHLER/3-COSYMPLECTIC REDUCTIONS AND CONE CONSTRUCTIONS

When M is endowed with a geometric structure we are studying, it induces a geometric structure on the cone $C(M) := M \times \mathbb{R}$ as shown in the table below (in the case that M is hyperKähler, we use $C^3(M) := M \times \mathbb{R}^3$ instead of the cone). In this section, we prove that reduction procedures are compatible with these constructions.

Structure on the base	Induced structure on the cone
Kähler	CoKähler
CoKähler	Kähler
HyperKähler	3-cosymplectic
3-cosymplectic	HyperKähler

Let (M, h, J) be a Kähler manifold (h denotes the Riemannian metric and J denotes the complex structure). Then $C(M) := M \times \mathbb{R}$ admits a natural coKähler structure (g, φ, ξ, η) defined by

$$g = h + dt^2, \quad \varphi\left(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = (JX, 0),$$

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \eta = dt,$$

where t is the coordinate of \mathbb{R} and $f \in C^\infty(C(M))$.

Example 5.1 ([FM74]). Let f be a Hermitian isometry on (M, h, J) . Define an action of \mathbb{Z} on $C(M)$ by $k \cdot (p, t) := (f^k(p), t + k)$. This action is free and properly discontinuous, so $C(M)/\mathbb{Z}$ is a smooth manifold. Then $C(M)/\mathbb{Z}$ inherits a coKähler structure. This is a coKähler quotient for a trivial moment map on $C(M)$. $C(M)/\mathbb{Z}$ is diffeomorphic to the mapping torus of M with respect to f , and we will discuss the coKähler structure on it in detail later. \blacksquare

Assume that there is a Hamiltonian action of G on M preserving J , and let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map. We define an action of G on $C(M)$ by $g \cdot (p, t) := (gp, t)$. Then this action preserves the coKähler structure on $C(M)$, and is a Hamiltonian action whose moment map is $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \text{pr}_M$.

Proposition 5.1. *When a Kähler quotient $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is defined for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, a coKähler quotient $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is also defined. Moreover, $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G)$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ are equivalent as coKähler manifolds.*

Proof. Clearly $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G)$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ are diffeomorphic, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) \\ \text{pr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ \mu^{-1}(\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G \end{array}$$

commutes, where pr denotes natural projections and $\tilde{\pi}$ is the projection of coKähler reduction. We orthogonally decompose $T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $T_{(p,t)}\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta) &= H_p \oplus \mathfrak{g}_p, \\ T_{(p,t)}\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta) &= H'_{(p,t)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(p,t)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then we can easily check that $(\text{pr})_*(\mathfrak{g}_{(p,t)}) = \mathfrak{g}_p$ and thus obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H'_{(p,t)} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{d\tilde{\pi}} & T_{\tilde{\pi}(p,t)}C(M)^\zeta \\ (\text{pr})_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{pr})_* \\ H_p & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{d\pi} & T_{\pi(p)}M^\zeta \end{array}$$

We denote the lift of $X \in T_{\pi(p)}M^\zeta$ and $(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) \in T_{\tilde{\pi}(p,t)}C(M)^\zeta$ as $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial r})}$, respectively. Let r be the coordinate of \mathbb{R} in $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G)$. Since $d\pi(\text{pr})_* \widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} = (\text{pr})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = 0$, we get $(\text{pr})_* \widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} = 0$. Hence $\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} = a\xi$ holds for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. We normalize the coordinate r to satisfy $\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} = \xi$. Then we obtain $\xi^\zeta = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ and $\eta^\zeta = dr$.

Since $\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} = \xi$,

$$\varphi\left(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = \varphi\left(\widetilde{X}, f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = (J\widetilde{X}, 0)$$

holds. Hence from the diagram above, we obtain

$$\varphi^\zeta\left(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = d\tilde{\pi}\varphi\left(\widetilde{X}, f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = (d\pi(J\widetilde{X}), 0) = (J^\zeta X, 0).$$

We can also see that $g^\zeta = h^\zeta + dr^2$, therefore the coKähler structure $(g^\zeta, \varphi^\zeta, \xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta)$ coincides with one obtained by the cone construction

$$C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) = (\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

□

Conversely, for a given coKähler manifold $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$, we can define a Kähler structure (h, J) on the cone $C(M)$ by

$$h = g + dt^2, \quad J\left(X, f\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = \left(\varphi X - f\xi, \eta(X)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right).$$

Assume that there is a Hamiltonian action of G on M preserving the coKähler structure, and let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map. We define an action of G on $C(M)$ in the same way as in [Proposition 5.1](#). Then the action preserves the Kähler structure on $C(M)$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \text{pr}_M$ is a moment map. We obtain the following.

Proposition 5.2. *When a coKähler quotient $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is defined for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, a Kähler quotient $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is also defined. Moreover, $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G)$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ are equivalent as Kähler manifolds.*

Proof. We define $H_p \subset T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $H'_{(p,t)} \subset T_{(p,t)}\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)$ as in the proof of [Proposition 5.1](#), and obtain the same commutative diagrams. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J^\zeta\left(X, f\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) &= d\tilde{\pi}J\left(X, f\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = d\tilde{\pi}J\left(\tilde{X}, f\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \\ &= d\tilde{\pi}\left(\varphi\tilde{X} - f\xi, \eta(\tilde{X})\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \\ &= \left(d\pi(\varphi\tilde{X} - f\xi), \eta(\tilde{X})\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \\ &= \left(\varphi^\zeta X - f\xi^\zeta, \eta^\zeta(X)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We can also see that $h^\zeta = g^\zeta + dr^2$, therefore the Kähler structure (h^ζ, J^ζ) coincides with one obtained by the cone construction $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) = (\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

Next we see the relationship between hyperKähler reduction and 3-cosymplectic reduction. Let (M, h, J_1, J_2, J_3) be a hyperKähler manifold. Then $C^3(M) := M \times \mathbb{R}^3$ admits a natural 3-cosymplectic structure $(g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= h + \sum_{i=1}^3 dt_i^2, \quad \xi_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, \quad \eta_i = dt_i, \\ \varphi_1\left(X, f_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, f_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, f_3\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}\right) &= \left(J_1X, 0, -f_3\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, f_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}\right), \\ \varphi_2\left(X, f_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, f_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, f_3\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}\right) &= \left(J_2X, f_3\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, 0, -f_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}\right), \\ \varphi_3\left(X, f_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, f_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, f_3\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}\right) &= \left(J_3X, -f_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, f_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, 0\right), \end{aligned}$$

where (t_1, t_2, t_3) is the coordinate of \mathbb{R}^3 and $f_i \in C^\infty(C^3(M))$.

Example 5.2 ([\[CMDNY13b\]](#)). Let f be a hyperKähler isometry on (M, h, J_1, J_2, J_3) . Define an action of \mathbb{Z}^3 on $C^3(M)$ by

$$(k_1, k_2, k_3) \cdot (p, t_1, t_2, t_3) := (f^{k_1+k_2+k_3}(p), t_1 + k_1, t_2 + k_2, t_3 + k_3).$$

This action is free and properly discontinuous, so $C^3(M)/\mathbb{Z}^3$ is a smooth manifold. Then $C^3(M)/\mathbb{Z}^3$ inherits a 3-cosymplectic structure. This is a 3-cosymplectic quotient for a trivial moment map on $C^3(M)$. \blacksquare

Assume that there is an action of G on a hyperKähler manifold (M, h, J_1, J_2, J_3) which is Hamiltonian with respect to three symplectic structures and preserves h, J_1, J_2, J_3 . Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ be a hyperKähler moment map. We define an action of G on $C^3(M)$ by $g \cdot (p, t_1, t_2, t_3) := (gp, t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Then this action preserves the 3-cosymplectic structure on $C^3(M)$, and $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \text{pr}_M$ is a 3-cosymplectic moment map.

Proposition 5.3. *When a hyperKähler quotient $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is defined for $\zeta = \zeta_1 i + \zeta_2 j + \zeta_3 k \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$, a 3-cosymplectic quotient $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is also defined. Moreover, $C^3(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G)$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ are equivalent as 3-cosymplectic manifolds.*

Proof. We define $N := \mu_2^{-1}(\zeta_2) \cap \mu_3^{-1}(\zeta_3)$. Then clearly $\tilde{\mu}_2^{-1}(\zeta_2) \cap \tilde{\mu}_3^{-1}(\zeta_3)$ is diffeomorphic to $C^3(N)$. N is endowed with a Kähler structure $(h|_N, J_1|_N)$ (see [HKLR87]), and the coKähler structure $(g|_{C^3(N)}, \varphi_1|_{C^3(N)}, \xi_1|_{C^3(N)}, \eta_1|_{C^3(N)})$ on $C^3(N)$ is obtained by applying cone constructions to $(N, h|_N, J_1|_N)$ three times. Moreover, by Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2,

$$C(C(C(N)))^{\zeta_1} \simeq C(C(C(N))^{\zeta_1}) \simeq C(C(C(N)^{\zeta_1})) \simeq C(C(C(N^{\zeta_1})))$$

holds as coKähler manifolds. Therefore the reduced coKähler structure $(g^\zeta, \varphi_1^\zeta, \xi_1^\zeta, \eta_1^\zeta)$ on $(C^3(M))^\zeta$ coincides with one of three coKähler structures on $C^3(M^\zeta)$ obtained by (h^ζ, J_1^ζ) .

Repeating the same argument, we can see that the reduced 3-cosymplectic structure $(g^\zeta, (\varphi_i^\zeta, \xi_i^\zeta, \eta_i^\zeta)_{i=1,2,3})$ coincides with one obtained by the cone construction

$$C^3(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) = (\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

\square

Conversely, for a given 3-cosymplectic manifold $(M, g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$, we can define a hyperKähler structure (h, J_1, J_2, J_3) on the cone $C(M)$ by

$$h = g + dt^2, \quad J_i \left(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \left(\varphi_i X - f \xi_i, \eta_i(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right).$$

Assume that there is an action of G on a 3-cosymplectic manifold $(M, g, (\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i=1,2,3})$ which is Hamiltonian with respect to three cosymplectic structures and preserves three coKähler structures. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ be a 3-cosymplectic moment map. We define an action of G on $C(M)$ in the same way as in Proposition 5.1. Then the action preserves the hyperKähler structure on $C(M)$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \text{pr}_M$ is a hyperKähler moment map. We obtain the following.

Proposition 5.4. *When a 3-cosymplectic quotient $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is defined for $\zeta = \zeta_1 i + \zeta_2 j + \zeta_3 k \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$, a hyperKähler quotient $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is also defined. Moreover, $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G)$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ are equivalent as hyperKähler manifolds.*

Proof. There is a coKähler structure $(g|_N, \varphi_1|_N, \xi_1|_N, \eta_1|_N)$ on $N := \mu_2^{-1}(\zeta_2) \cap \mu_3^{-1}(\zeta_3)$, and by [Proposition 5.2](#)

$$(C(M)^\zeta, h^\zeta, J_1^\zeta) \simeq C(N)^{\zeta_1} \simeq C(N^{\zeta_1}) \simeq \left(C(M^\zeta), g^\zeta + dr^2, \varphi_1^\zeta + \eta_1^\zeta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - dr \otimes \xi_1^\zeta \right)$$

holds as Kähler manifolds. Repeating the same argument, we can see that the hyperKähler structure $(h^\zeta, J_1^\zeta, J_2^\zeta, J_3^\zeta)$ coincides with one obtained by the cone construction $C(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) = (\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

6. COKÄHLER REDUCTION OF MAPPING TORI

In general, every coKähler manifold is locally the Riemannian product of a Kähler manifold with the real line (see [[CMDNY13a](#)], for example). Therefore it is important to find coKähler manifolds which are not the global product of a Kähler manifold with \mathbb{R} or S^1 . Such coKähler manifolds which are compact are obtained by the mapping torus procedure. For a manifold S and a diffeomorphism $f : S \rightarrow S$, we define the *mapping torus* S_f as follows:

$$S_f = (S \times [0, 1]) / \{(p, 0) \sim (f(p), 1) \mid p \in S\}.$$

Note that there is a fibration $S \hookrightarrow S_f \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} S^1$. In the case that S is endowed with a Kähler structure (G, J) and $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a Hermitian isometry, S_f admits a coKähler structure. In fact, S_f is diffeomorphic to $C(S)/\mathbb{Z}$ in [Example 5.1](#).

Let Ω be the symplectic form of the Kähler manifold (S, h, J) . We extend J and pullback h, Ω to $S \times [0, 1]$, and they descend to S_f since $f^*h = h$ and $f_*J = Jf_*$. We denote them $\tilde{J}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{\Omega}$. Then we can write the coKähler structure (g, φ, η, ξ) on S_f as follows:

$$\varphi = \tilde{J}, \quad \eta = \text{pr}^*d\theta, \quad \xi_{[(p,t)]} = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} [(p, t + s)],$$

$$g(X, Y) = \tilde{h}(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where θ is the coordinate of S^1 and $[(p, t)]$ denotes the equivalence class of (p, t) with respect to the quotient $C(S)/\mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding 2-form is given by $\omega := \tilde{\Omega}$.

It is known that any closed coKähler manifold is in fact a Kähler mapping torus:

Theorem 6.1 (Li [[Li08](#)]). *A closed manifold M admits a coKähler structure if and only if there exists a Kähler manifold (S, h, J) and a Hermitian isometry f of (S, h, J) such that M is diffeomorphic to S_f . \square*

Assume that there is a free and proper Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on a Kähler manifold (S, h, J) preserving the Kähler structure. Moreover, we suppose that a Hermitian isometry $f : S \rightarrow S$ of (S, h, J) is equivariant with respect to the action of G . Then we can define an action of G on the mapping torus S_f by $g \cdot [(p, t)] = [(gp, t)]$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map of the Hamiltonian action of G on S . Then the action of G on S_f is Hamiltonian if and only if $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$ holds for some $p \in S$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mu} : S_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a cosymplectic moment map. A vector field on S_f is locally has the form $X + a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, where t is the coordinate of \mathbb{R} and $a \in C^\infty(S_f)$. Then we have

$$d\tilde{\mu}^A\left(X + a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = \omega\left(A^*, X + a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = \Omega(A^*, X) = d\mu^A(X)$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence the map $\tilde{\mu}$ locally has the form

$$\tilde{\mu}[(p, t)] = \mu(p) + \zeta$$

for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ (since both μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are equivariant, ζ must be central). Since $\tilde{\mu}$ is globally defined, $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$ holds for any $p \in S$. Conversely, if $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$ holds for any $p \in S$, we obtain a cosymplectic moment map $\tilde{\mu}$ by $\tilde{\mu}[(p, t)] = \mu(p) + \zeta$ for any central ζ . However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mu^A \circ f)(X) &= d\mu^A(f_*X) = \Omega(A^*, f_*X) \\ &= \Omega(f_*(f_*^{-1}A^*), f_*X) \\ &= \Omega(f_*^{-1}A^*, X) = \Omega(A^*, X) \\ &= d\mu^A(X) \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$, hence it is sufficient that $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$ holds for some $p \in S$. Two moment maps on S differs only by a constant, thus the condition $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$ is independent of the choice of a moment map μ . \square

Remark 6.1. In [Ito77] it was proved that if a compact Kähler manifold (S, h, J) has positive holomorphic sectional curvature, then any Hermitian isometry f of (S, h, J) has a fixed point. In this case any Hamiltonian action on S such that f is equivariant induces a Hamiltonian action on S_f . \blacksquare

Suppose that an equivariant Hermitian isometry f satisfies the condition in [Proposition 6.1](#). We define a cosymplectic moment map $\tilde{\mu} : S_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\tilde{\mu}[(p, t)] = \mu(p)$. Note that the action of G on S_f is free and proper, and preserves the coKähler structure.

Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a regular value of the moment map $\tilde{\mu} : S_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $(S_f)^\zeta$ be the coKähler quotient. In the case that S is compact, $(S_f)^\zeta$ is a closed coKähler manifold, and thus it is a mapping torus of some Kähler manifold from [Theorem 6.1](#). In the following we observe that it can be obtained by the Kähler quotient $S^\zeta = \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ for the same value ζ . From the condition $\mu(f(p)) = \mu(p)$, f preserves $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and it descends to a map $f^\zeta : S^\zeta \rightarrow S^\zeta$ since f is equivariant.

Lemma 6.1. f^ζ is a Hermitian isometry of $(S^\zeta, h^\zeta, J^\zeta)$.

Proof. f^ζ is a diffeomorphism since f^{-1} also descends to S^ζ and is the inverse of f^ζ . We orthogonally decompose $T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ as

$$T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta) = H_p \oplus \mathfrak{g}_p.$$

Then for any $v \in H_p$, we have

$$d\mu^A f_*(v) = d(\mu^A f)(v) = d\mu^A(v) = 0$$

from the proof of [Proposition 6.1](#), and also obtain

$$h(f_*(v), A^*) = h(v, (f^{-1})_*(A^*)) = h(v, A^*) = 0,$$

and thus $f_*(v) \in H_{f(p)}$.

Let $\pi : \mu^{-1}(\zeta) \rightarrow S^\zeta$ be the projection of reduction. Since $f^\zeta \pi = \pi f$ and $f_*(H_p) = H_{f(p)}$, we obtain

$$(f^\zeta)_* = (d\pi|_{H_{f(p)}})f_*(d\pi|_{H_p})^{-1},$$

so $(f^\zeta)^*h^\zeta = h^\zeta$ holds from $f^*h = h$ and the definition of h^ζ . Similarly we can check that $(f^\zeta)_*J^\zeta = J^\zeta(f^\zeta)_*$. \square

Theorem 6.2. $(S_f)^\zeta$ is equivariant to $(S^\zeta)_{f^\zeta}$ as coKähler manifolds.

Proof. There is a diffeomorphism $\Phi : (S_f)^\zeta \rightarrow (S^\zeta)_{f^\zeta}$ defined by $\Phi(\tilde{\pi}[(p, t)]) = [(\pi(p), t)]$, where $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta) \rightarrow (S_f)^\zeta$ is the projection of reduction. Since $\tilde{\pi}[(p, t)] = \tilde{\pi}[(q, s)]$ is equivalent to $[(\pi(p), t)] = [(\pi(q), s)]$, the map Φ is well-defined and one-to-one. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^{-1}(\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G \\ i_t \downarrow & & \downarrow i_t \\ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & (S_f)^\zeta \end{array}$$

commutes, where i_t denotes natural inclusions to mapping tori $p \mapsto [(p, t)]$. We orthogonally decompose $T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta)$ and $T_{[(p, t)]}\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)$ as

$$T_p\mu^{-1}(\zeta) = H_p \oplus \mathfrak{g}_p,$$

$$T_{[(p, t)]}\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta) = H'_{[(p, t)]} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{[(p, t)]},$$

respectively. Then we can easily check that $(i_t)_*(\mathfrak{g}_p) = \mathfrak{g}_{[(p, t)]}$ and thus obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_p & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{d\pi} & T_{\pi(p)}S^\zeta \\ (i_t)_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (i_t)_* \\ H'_{[(p, t)]} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{d\tilde{\pi}} & T_{\tilde{\pi}[(p, t)]}(S_f)^\zeta \end{array}$$

From $\varphi = \tilde{J}$ and the diagram above, for $X \in T_{\pi(p)}S^\zeta$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\zeta((i_t)_*X) &= d\tilde{\pi}(\varphi(\widetilde{(i_t)_*X})) \\ &= d\tilde{\pi}(\varphi((i_t)_*\tilde{X})) \\ &= d\tilde{\pi}((i_t)_*J(\tilde{X})) \\ &= (i_t)_*d\pi(J(\tilde{X})) = (i_t)_*J^\zeta(X) \end{aligned}$$

holds, and thus $\varphi^\zeta = \tilde{J}^\zeta$. Similarly we have $\tilde{h}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{h}^\zeta(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in T_{\tilde{\pi}[(p, t)]}(S_f)^\zeta$, hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g^\zeta(X, Y) &= g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{h}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + \eta(\tilde{X})\eta(\tilde{Y}) \\ &= \tilde{h}^\zeta(X, Y) + \eta^\zeta(X)\eta^\zeta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{pr}_1 : S_f \rightarrow S^1$ and $\text{pr}_2 : (S^\zeta)_{f^\zeta} \rightarrow S^1$ be natural projections. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & (S_f)^\zeta \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ & S^1 & \end{array}$$

commutes, and thus we have $\tilde{\pi}^* \text{pr}_2^* d\theta = \text{pr}_1^* d\theta = \eta|_{\tilde{\mu}^{-1}(\zeta)}$. Hence $\text{pr}_2^* d\theta = \eta^\zeta$ holds.

From the above, the induced 2-form ω^ζ and the Reeb vector field ξ^ζ on $(S_f)^\zeta$ are the same as those on $(S^\zeta)_{f^\zeta}$, and thus the cokähler structure on $(S_f)^\zeta$ coincides with that of $(S^\zeta)_{f^\zeta}$. \square

7. A PERSPECTIVE FROM DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

In this section, we interpret our coKähler reduction theorem from the viewpoint of dynamical systems. First, we explain why cosymplectic manifolds describe time-dependent Hamiltonian systems.

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold and $H \in C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$. Then we consider a cosymplectic manifold $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \eta := dt, \omega_H := \omega + dH \wedge dt)$, where t is the coordinate of \mathbb{R} . Using Darboux coordinates (p_i, q_i) of (M, ω) , the Reeb vector field ξ_H of $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \eta, \omega_H)$ is written as

$$\xi_H = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

and its integral curves are controlled by an ODE system

$$(5) \quad \dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}, \quad \dot{t} = 1.$$

Therefore we can consider t as a time-parameter which parameterize ordinary Hamiltonian systems. Any cosymplectic manifold is locally the product of a symplectic manifold with the real line, so cosymplectic manifolds provide a good framework for time-dependent Hamiltonian systems.

Remark 7.1. The Reeb vector field ξ_H of $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \eta, \omega_H)$ coincides with the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + X_H$, where X_H is the Hamiltonian vector field with respect to the cosymplectic structure (η, ω) . The vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + X_H$ is called the *evolution vector field* of the time-dependent system. \blacksquare

For any almost coKähler manifold $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$, the following is known.

Lemma 7.1. *Integral curves of ξ are geodesics with respect to g .*

Proof. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g . Then for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $g(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) = 0$ holds since $X(g(\xi, \xi)) = g(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) + g(\xi, \nabla_X \xi)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2d\eta(\xi, X) = \xi(\eta(X)) - \eta([\xi, X]) \\ &= \xi(g(X, \xi)) - g(\nabla_\xi X - \nabla_X \xi, \xi) \\ &= \xi(g(X, \xi)) - g(\nabla_\xi X, \xi) + g(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) \\ &= \xi(g(X, \xi)) - g(\nabla_\xi X, \xi) \\ &= g(X, \nabla_\xi \xi) \end{aligned}$$

holds, and thus we obtain $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$. \square

From [Lemma 7.1](#) and [Theorem 3.1](#), we immediately obtain the following.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that the cosymplectic manifold $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \eta, \omega_H)$ admits a coKähler structure and a Hamiltonian action of a Lie group which preserves the coKähler structure. Then the image of a solution of (5) by the projection of reduction is a geodesic with respect to the reduced metric on M^ζ . \square*

Example 7.1 (cf. [\[Alb89\]](#)). The motion of a solid in \mathbb{R}^3 with a fixed point (its center of inertia) is described by a manifold $T^*SO(3)$ equipped with the canonical symplectic form on $T^*SO(3)$ and a Hamiltonian $H : T^*SO(3) \simeq SO(3) \times \mathfrak{so}(3)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$H(A, \alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \frac{\alpha_i^2}{M_i},$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are coefficients with respect to a suitable basis of $\mathfrak{so}(3)^*$ and M_1, M_2, M_3 are coefficients of the ellipsoid of inertia of the solid.

Then the associated time-dependent Hamiltonian system is given by a cosymplectic manifold $(T^*SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}, \eta := dt, \omega_H := \omega_{T^*SO(3)} + dH \wedge dt)$. We define an action of $SO(3)$ on $T^*SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$B \cdot (A, \alpha, t) = (BA, \alpha, t)$$

where $A, B \in SO(3)$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{so}(3)^*$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This action is a Hamiltonian action whose moment map is given by $\mu(A, \alpha, t) = \text{Ad}_A^* \alpha$. Then the reduced cosymplectic manifold at any non-zero vector $\zeta \in \mathfrak{so}(3)^*$ is $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, dt, \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} + dH \wedge dt)$, where $\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{S}^2 (note that the Hamiltonian H is invariant by the action of $SO(3)$ and thus descend to the quotient).

Since $SO(3)$ is compact, we can naturally construct a Kähler structure (h, I) on $T^*SO(3)$ which is compatible with the canonical symplectic form $\omega_{T^*SO(3)}$. Then $T^*SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}$ is endowed with a $SO(3)$ -invariant almost coKähler structure (g, φ, ξ_H, dt) defined by

$$g(X, Y) = h(X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi_H) = 0, \quad g(\xi_H, \xi_H) = 1$$

$$\varphi(X) = I(X), \quad \varphi(\xi_H) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \ker dt = TM$. Since the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g satisfies $\nabla \varphi = 0$, (g, φ, ξ, η) is a coKähler structure, and the projection of time-dependent flows onto $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are geodesics with respect to the reduced coKähler metric. \blacksquare

8. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proved reduction theorems for Hamiltonian actions on coKähler manifolds and 3-cosymplectic manifolds, which are the polar opposites of Sasakian manifolds and 3-Sasakian manifolds, respectively. A notion of moment maps can also be defined for Lie group actions on contact manifolds [Alb89, Gei97, Wil02]. However, the situation is quite different from that on symplectic manifolds, such as the moment map being uniquely determined by the action. On the other hand, Hamiltonian actions on cosymplectic manifolds have properties that are very similar to those on symplectic manifolds, and therefore, the results in this paper are natural odd-dimensional analogues of the reduction theorems by Hitchin *et al* [HKLR87].

A future problem is to find further concrete examples of coKähler reduction and 3-cosymplectic reduction. In addition, possible extensions of Albert's theory of Hamiltonian actions on cosymplectic manifolds, in directions different from those in this paper, include demonstrating a reduction theorem for actions of cosymplectic groupoids [FP23] and considering deformations of cosymplectic manifolds using moment maps [Nak18].

REFERENCES

- [Alb89] C. Albert. Le théoreme de réduction de Marsden-Weinstein en géométrie cosymplectique et de contact. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 6(4):627–649, 1989.
- [BGM94] C.P. Boyer, K. Galicki, and B.M. Mann. The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 455:183–220, 1994.
- [Bla67] D.E. Blair. The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 1:331–345, 1967.
- [CMDNY13a] B. Cappelletti-Montano, A. De Nicola, and I. Yudin. A survey on cosymplectic geometry. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 25(10):1343002, 2013.
- [CMDNY13b] B. Cappelletti-Montano, A. De Nicola, and I. Yudin. Topology of 3-cosymplectic manifolds. *Quarterly journal of mathematics*, 64(1):59–82, 2013.
- [FIP04] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus, and A.M. Pastore. *Riemannian submersions and related topics*. World Scientific, 2004.
- [FM74] A. Fujimoto and H. Muto. On cosymplectic manifolds. *Tensor*, 28(1):43–52, 1974.
- [FP23] R.L. Fernandes and D.I. Ponte. Cosymplectic groupoids. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 192:104928, 2023.
- [Gei97] H. Geiges. Constructions of contact manifolds. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 121, pages 455–464. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [GO01] G. Grantcharov and L. Ornea. Reduction of Sasakian manifolds. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 42(8):3809–3816, 2001.
- [HKLR87] N.J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, and M. Roček. Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 108(4):535–589, 1987.
- [Ito77] M. Itoh. A fixed point theorem for Kähler manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. *Science Reports of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Section A*, 13(366/382):313–317, 1977.
- [Li08] H. Li. Topology of co-symplectic/co-Kähler manifolds. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 12(4):527–543, 2008.
- [Lud70] G.D. Ludden. Submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 4(2):237–244, 1970.

- [MW74] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein. Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry. *Reports on mathematical physics*, 5(1):121–130, 1974.
- [Nak18] T. Nakamura. Deformations of symplectic structures by moment maps. *Journal of Geometry and Symmetry in Physics*, 47:63–84, 2018.
- [Wil02] C. Willett. Contact reduction. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 354(10):4245–4260, 2002.
- [Yol21] H. Yoldaş. Some results on cosymplectic manifolds admitting certain vector fields. *Journal of Geometry and Symmetry in Physics*, 60:83–94, 2021.
- [ZZ06] M. Zambon and C. Zhu. Contact reduction and groupoid actions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 358(3):1365–1401, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: `u074738h@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp`