

# **Generating synthetic light-adapted electroretinogram waveforms using Artificial Intelligence to improve classification of retinal conditions in under-represented populations**

Mikhail Kulyabin<sup>1</sup>, Aleksei Zhdanov<sup>2</sup>, Andreas Maier<sup>1</sup>, Lynne Loh<sup>3</sup>, Jose J. Estevez<sup>3</sup>, Paul A. Constable<sup>3</sup>

1. Pattern Recognition Lab, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany
2. Engineering School of Information Technologies, Telecommunications and Control Systems, Ural Federal University, Yekaterinburg, Russia
3. Flinders University, College of Nursing and Health Sciences, Caring Futures Institute, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

Corresponding author:

Paul Constable

Flinders University

GPO Box 2100

Adelaide 5001, South Australia

Australia

[paul.constable@flinders.edu.au](mailto:paul.constable@flinders.edu.au)

## **Abstract**

Visual electrophysiology is often used clinically to determine functional changes associated with retinal or neurological conditions. The full-field flash electroretinogram (ERG) assesses the global contribution of the outer and inner retinal layers initiated by the rods and cone pathways depending on the state of retinal adaptation. Within clinical centers reference normative data are used to compare with clinical cases that may be rare or underpowered within a specific demographic. To bolster either reference or case datasets the application of synthetic ERG waveforms may offer benefits to disease classification and case-control studies. In this study and as a proof of concept, artificial intelligence (AI) to generate synthetic signals using Generative Adversarial Networks is deployed to up-scale male participants within an ISCEV reference dataset containing 68 participants, with waveforms from the right and left eye. Random Forest Classifiers further improved classification for sex within the group from a balanced accuracy of 0.72 to 0.83 with the added synthetic male waveforms. This is the first study to demonstrate the generation of synthetic ERG waveforms to improve machine learning classification modelling with electroretinogram waveforms.

**Keywords:** ISCEV, rare disease, Generative Adversarial Networks, Health equity, visual electrophysiology

## Introduction

The full-field flash electroretinogram (ERG) is used as a clinical test of retinal function in disorders affecting this tissue [1]. The guidelines for the clinical ERG testing protocol and calibration of instrumentation are published by the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV), which are updated periodically with guidelines for the recording of the ISCEV ‘standard’ ERGs [2, 3]. The standard series of ERG waveforms are recorded in dark-adapted (DA) and light-adapted (LA) conditions to assess primarily the rod and cone photoreceptor pathways of the retina, respectively. The standard series of flash strengths (indicated by the number in  $\text{cd.s.m}^{-2}$ ) include the DA0.01, DA3, DA10, LA3, 30Hz LA3 flicker and the filtered DA3 oscillatory potentials (OPs), which together are designed to evaluate different aspects of retinal function [1]. Artificial intelligence (AI) can significantly enhance ERG clinical testing for diseases. The combination of AI and machine learning algorithms can analyze ERG data more accurately and efficiently than traditional methods by detecting subtle patterns and anomalies in the ERG waveforms that might not be immediately apparent to the clinician [4]. The integration and synergistic aspects of AI in ERG testing represent a promising advancement in personalized medicine and ophthalmic diagnostics, given that the ERG can be recorded using non-invasive methods and can provide complementary information to structural, functional, and genetic relationships [5].

Typically, clinical sites performing ERGs would develop their own set of reference datasets with corresponding normative values based on the 95<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> centiles for the amplitudes and time to peaks of the principal components of the waveform. However, test conditions such as the electrode position and type, and subject parameters such as sex assigned at birth, age, and iris color, may also influence the reference ERG parameters [6-8]. Having the ability to increase representative waveforms based on exemplars may help bolster the sample size of not only normative but also in case series in challenging to recruit or under-represented populations in clinical research, such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [9-11], rare inherited retinal dystrophies (IRDs) [12], Parkinson’s Disease [13], glaucoma [14] and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) [15].

Recent advances in ERG waveform signal analysis, particularly using features relating to the waveform energy and derived from Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), have been applied to case-control studies to improve both classification and the understanding of the underlying

pathophysiology of retinal disease [14]. This methodological approach was significantly developed by Gauvin and colleagues, who were instrumental in establishing the DWT analysis to the detailed interpretation of the ON- and OFF-signaling pathways in the ERG's a- and b-waves, as well as the higher frequency OPs components [16-20]. Knowledge of the physiological origins of the energy bands revealed by DWT has helped describe possible differences in the DWT components in neurodevelopmental [10] and disorders such as glaucoma [14], uveitis [21] and IRDs [22]. Additional signal analytical methods using variable frequency complex demodulation (VFCDM) that employs a series of bandpass filters to give a greater time-frequency resolution than DWT, but at the expense of detailed information concerning the cellular origins of the extracted signals [23]. Nonetheless, VFCDM has been applied to the ERG for the classification of ASD and ADHD based on the LA-ERGs [15, 23-25]. In addition to these analytical methods, other developments based on Functional Data Analysis that identify features of the waveform shape to classify groups have also been described for the ascending portion of the b-wave [26].

The application of signal analysis and machine learning to ERGs offers significant potential in classifying complex and rare disorders. This approach is especially valuable for diseases with variable manifestations, such as IRDs, which exhibit a range of phenotypes [12]. Similarly, it can be beneficial in neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative conditions, where clinical phenotypes are diverse and can be influenced by medication interactions and disease progression. These conditions include ASD and ADHD [9-11, 15, 23, 27] schizophrenia [28, 29], Parkinson's Disease [13, 30-32], and Alzheimer's Disease [33-35]. The variability and complexity of these disorders make traditional classification challenging, highlighting the need for advanced techniques such as machine learning to improve diagnosis and understanding. This is particularly relevant to rare populations or in conditions with large heterogeneity requiring large clinical cohorts to develop robust classification models may be required.

To demonstrate the potential of augmenting machine learning this report presents the generation of AI based synthetic ERG waveforms from a dataset containing LA3 and 30Hz flicker ERGs recorded in healthy volunteers as part of a laboratory reference range. We demonstrate the potential of synthetic ERG synthesis that can support the up scaling of the minority class in a population and improve the overall classification based on sex with the

inclusion of synthetically generated male ERGs.

This approach can be applied to any minority class or where additional reference data may be required. The development of synthetic ERGs may also reduce the number of animals used in studies and improve the statistical accuracy of classification studies in rare and complex populations, further broadening the clinical use of the ERG and its wider diagnostic potential [36, 37] by up-scaling existing clinical reference ranges [38, 39] or expanding rare or difficult to reach clinical populations. The implementation of a Generative Adversarial Network Approach was used to illustrate the potential of using synthetic data to balance the under-represented male class in a dataset of normal participants.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Participants**


In this study, 68 participants, aged between 5.0 and 15.8 years, with no history of ocular or neurodevelopmental disorders, were included. The participants had refractive errors ranging from -6.00D to +2.00D. The group's mean age was 10.4 years (standard deviation (SD) 3.3). Males (n=29) were under-represented in the dataset with (n=39) female participants (68%) forming the majority sex class. The procedures were approved by the Flinders University Human Ethics Committee. The study protocols followed the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent to participate in the study was provided by the parents or guardians of participants, with permission to reuse their data in future studies.

### **Electrophysiology**

ISCEV standard ERGs were recorded using the RETeval (LKC Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) 6- step ISCEV LA first Troland protocol with adult skin electrodes placed 2 mm below the lower lid. The Troland protocol used by the RETeval assumes measures the pupil diameter with an infra-red camera during recording to maintain a constant retinal illumination for the ERG test [9-11]. This negates the need for dilating eye-drops (mydriasis) making the recording more comfortable for the participant. The right eye was recorded first, and 20 minutes of DA followed the LA recordings. Signals were filtered by 0.1-300Hz with automated artefact rejection. The raw reported averaged waveforms were exported to Excel alongside the main indices for the a- and b- wave time to peak and amplitudes. All

recording procedures were in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and ISCEV guidelines [2].

For background, the ISCEV standard ERG waveforms are shown in Figure 1. The series consists of a DA series with the initial DA0.01 rod driven response shown in (A) with a prominent b-wave that originates from rod bipolar cells. At higher flash strengths the DA3 (D) and DA10 (E) exhibit an a-wave that derives from mainly rod and some cone hyperpolarization with the b-wave following and formed by bipolar, amacrine and Müller cells in the inner retina. The high frequency oscillatory potentials (C) are filtered from the DA3 and originate in amacrine cells. Under LA conditions the transient LA3 response shown in (F) derives from cone pathways with the a-wave formed by hyperpolarization of cones and the b-wave formed by bipolar and amacrine cells with the descending portion of the b-wave also shaped by retinal ganglion cells [2]. The steady state flicker response (C) gives information about cone function. In this study, we define the time to peak of these waves as 'ta' and 'tb' respectively and the amplitudes of these peaks as 'la' and 'lb' and report the analysis of the LA ERG responses with the full dataset containing DA and LA waveforms.



**Figure 1.** Representative recordings of the ISCEV standard ERGs recorded with the six-step LA first Troland protocol. The DA0.01 (A), DA3 (D), and DA10 (E) with the DA3 OPs (B) were recorded after 20 minutes of dark adaptation. The shape of the ERG waveform varies with the state of retinal adaptation. The LA3 (F) and 30 Hz steady state flicker response (F)

indicate retinal cone structure and function. Under DA conditions the waveform is driven by rod pathways and under LA conditions the cone pathways. The OPs are filtered from the raw signal to reveal the high-frequency components that originate in amacrine cells in the inner retina. Note different y-axis (amplitude) scales.

## Dataset

Table 1 provides the number of waveforms from the participants for each stimulus from each eye and the number of samples based on sex. Note the over-representation of females in the group. Additional LA3 waveforms were recorded as part of the original study design and so there are a larger number of LA3 samples in the dataset [40]. The dataset distribution indicates the number of ERG waveforms (including replicates within an eye).

**Table 1:** *Included ERG waveforms*

	LA3	30Hz
Left eye	85	33
Right eye	85	34
Male (n=29)	60	18
Female (n=39)	110	49
Total waveforms	170	67

**Table 1.** Number of real ERG recordings from the right and left eyes of the included participants at each of the LA3 and 30Hz flicker ISCEV standard recordings. Replicates were included in the real dataset to generate synthetic waveforms with male treated as the minority class.

## Deep Learning Approach


### Synthesizing ERG signals

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) can help deal with unbalanced datasets, a common challenge in many machine-learning tasks, including those in medical imaging and time-series domains [41, 42]. Unbalanced datasets occur when some classes have

significantly more samples than others, potentially leading to biased models that perform well on the majority class but poorly on the minority classes. The most direct application of GANs, in the context of unbalanced datasets, is their ability to generate synthetic samples for the underrepresented classes. By training a GAN with the condition to generate data belonging to the minority class, it is possible to augment the dataset in a targeted manner, balancing the class distribution without collecting new real-world data, which can be expensive, time-consuming, or impractical. The dataset contains predominantly female individuals. Consequently, generating synthetic male signals was relevant for this demonstration. The use of Conditional GAN (CGAN) to synthesize the synthetic from the natural ERG waveform signals was used in this approach. CGANs are an extension of the GAN framework, designed to generate data conditioned on specific inputs, such as the individual's sex. This conditioning allows CGANs to generate more specific and relevant data for the context, particularly useful in the field of visual electrophysiology where retinal signals are used to diagnose and classify a range of conditions using different stimulus parameters [1].

The CGAN architecture comprised two sub-networks: Generator and Discriminator. The goal of the Generator is to learn the transformation between the latent distribution and the real-world data distribution, while the Discriminator learns to distinguish the real signals from the synthesized ones. In a CGAN, the Generator and the Discriminator receive additional conditioning inputs, influencing the data generation process.

For the LA3 and 30Hz ERG waveforms the pipeline for synthetic waveform generation using CGAN is shown in Figure 2. The ERG dataset waveforms (LA3 and 30Hz) were initially split with 20% in the test subset. The CGAN then generated synthetic data equivalent to 20% of the initial real dataset size, specifically for the waveforms from the underrepresented male participants. This step then balanced the sex distribution between male and female in the training data sample following up scaling of the male sample size. This approach addressed the challenge of generating high-quality synthetic signals with GANs when constrained by a small training dataset.



**Figure 2:** Method pipeline. The dataset was split into Training (Train) and Test subsets. The synthetic signal generator (CGAN) was trained on the Train subset; then, the synthesized signals were added to the real ones from the Train subset, increasing the number of samples from the minority 'male' class. Next, a classifier was trained on the augmented and balanced subset, whose evaluation metrics were computed on the original unbalanced Test subset.


### Fourier Decomposition

Implementation of a Fourier decomposition as a standard post- processing step to mitigate against the effects of over- fitting and improved the fidelity of the signals. This step smoothed out noise and peaks in the generated signals, enhancing their overall quality. Fourier decomposition transformed the signals into their frequency components, enabling the selective removal of high-frequency noise that contributed to non-physiological artifacts. These high-frequency components were attenuated by applying a low-pass filter during this process, which smoothed the signal without losing critical information. This universal post-processing step was crucial because it compensated for the limited diversity in the training data and ensured the generated signals were more representative of the real-world initial dataset. The smoothed signals exhibited fewer artifacts, making them suitable for subsequent analyses and applications across various domains such as time-series and time-frequency analyses.

### Results

Figure 3 presents the distributions obtained through t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (T-SNE) for the LA3 and 30Hz datasets for real (male and female) and synthetic (male) datasets. T-SNE is a sophisticated machine learning algorithm designed to visualize


high dimensional data. It operates by converting similarities between data points into joint probabilities and then minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence between the joint probabilities of the high-dimensional data and the corresponding low-dimensional representation. This process allows T-SNE to effectively map complex data sets to a lower-dimensional space, facilitating the identification of patterns, clusters, and relationships that may not be apparent in the original high-dimensional space. These distributions are derived from the values of the ERG signal features  $la$ ,  $ta$ ,  $lb$ , and  $tb$ .



**Figure 3:** T-SNE projections of synthetic male, natural male and female LA3 (A) and the 30Hz flicker (B) ERG waveforms.


### Synthetic Waveforms

For the analysis of synthetic signals, where the parameters  $la$ ,  $lb$ ,  $ta$ , and  $tb$  were not predefined, the 'find peaks' function from the SciPy library package was used. This enabled the identification of these time-domain parameters by detecting peak values within the signal data and slicing them, accordingly, thereby providing additional time domain features based on the synthetic ERGs for classification modelling based on sex Figure 4 illustrates the use of SciPy to identify the main a-wave and b-wave peak in a synthetic LA3 waveform.



**Figure 4:** A synthetic LA3 waveform with the  $l_a$ ,  $t_a$ ,  $l_b$  and  $t_b$  marked as the respective minima and maxima of the waveform identified using SciPy library.

Figure 5 shows representative traces of a real male and female LA3 and 30Hz flicker ERG waveform with synthetic male waveform for comparison. The main features of the LA3 synthetic male reproduces the timing and morphology of the a-wave, b-wave, and evidence of the OPs on the ascending limb. Similarly, the 30 Hz waveforms are compared with the amplitude and phase of the 30Hz synthetic waveform aligning with the real waveform. The use of CGAN to generate synthetic representations of real-world data could enable the up scaling of datasets where subjects are rare.



**Figure 5.** Synthetic male compared with natural male and female LA3 ERG waveform. The LA3 single flash electroretinogram waveform (A) showing a natural male and female response with the synthetic male AI generated waveform. The a-wave, b-wave, and oscillatory potentials on the ascending limb of the b-wave are present in the synthetic

waveform consistent with the natural waveforms. The 30Hz flicker electroretinogram waveform (B) showing a natural male and female response with the synthetic male AI generated waveform. The amplitude and phase of the synthetic waveform are consistent with the natural waveforms.

### **Classification**

The Random Forest Classifier (RFC) was trained on the four parameters (ta, la, tb, lb) for the LA3 and lb and tb for the 30Hz flicker. RFC is an ensemble machine learning model that combines multiple decision trees to enhance predictive accuracy and reduce overfitting [43]. Grid-SearchCV and StratifiedKFold were used with three folds for training and splitting the training set into training and validation subsets with a ratio of 80:20. As an alternative, to solve the unbalanced problem of sex within the dataset, an oversampling technique was used to compare with the classification performance with the synthesized data. Here, oversampling was performed as up-sampling of the data related to the minority class (male) using replacement.

The Balanced Accuracy (BA) and F1 scores were the highest for the dataset augmented with synthesized data, where BA and F1 scores were defined as:

$$F1\ Score = \frac{2 \times Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall} \quad (1)$$

$$Balanced\ Accuracy = \frac{Sensitivity + Specificity}{2} \quad (2)$$

where:

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (3)$$

$$Recall = Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (4)$$

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \quad (5)$$

With TP= true positive, TN = true negative, FP = false positive and FN= False negative.

Tables 2 and 3 report the Random Forest classification metrics, Balanced Accuracy (BA), Precision (P), Recall (R) and the F1 score for the a- and b-wave amplitude and time metrics for the original, oversampled, and synthesized datasets with superior overall BA obtained with the synthesized dataset.

**Table 2:** *Evaluation metrics of the models for 30Hz waveforms*

<b>Dataset Analysis</b>	<b>BA</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>F1</b>
<b>Original</b>	0.714	0.636	0.875	0.777
<b>Oversampled</b>	0.734	0.676	0.855	0.787
<b>Synthesized</b>	0.785	0.710	0.814	0.823

**Table 3:** *Evaluation metrics of the models for LA3 waveforms*

<b>Dataset Analysis</b>	<b>BA</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>F1</b>
<b>Original</b>	0.724	0.719	0.850	0.720
<b>Oversampled</b>	0.775	0.720	0.900	0.799
<b>Synthesized</b>	0.825	0.809	0.850	0.829

## **Discussion**

This report is the first demonstration of improving classification with augmentation of the minority class with synthetic ERG waveform generation. The use of CGAN, in this case, increased the number of male samples for the LA3 and 30Hz flicker waveforms. This synthetic ERG generation may support future studies where there is a limited number of participants with one or more clinical or sociodemographic characteristics. The features of the synthetically derived waveforms closely resembled those of the real natural time series data with the a-wave, OPs, and b-wave. Synthetic waveform generation could be applied to other ERG signals such as the pattern ERG [44], the DA cone response (x-wave) [45], multifocal ERG [46] and potentially cortical responses [47].

The generation of additional synthetic waveforms could help with the development of classification models for complex and heterogeneous ocular and neurological conditions. In this first relatively simple case, we showed a proof-of-concept of up-sampling the minority class (male) in a sample population and demonstrated an improved classification with the combined synthetic and up-scaled dataset. The implications of the use of AI and machine learning may support clinical trials where groups may be hard to access due to geographical isolation or occur with low frequency, improving equity amongst under-represented populations such as Indigenous groups [48]. Expanding test procedures to evaluate endpoints in clinical trials with electrophysiology, psychophysics, and functional tests of vision [49]. The burgeoning field of gene therapies for rare diseases [50] especially for IRDs [51], but also age-related macular degeneration [52] could also be supported by synthetic ERG waveform generation to improve evaluation of clinical outcomes when clinical datasets are limited.

The ERG is becoming more accessible and with the advent of new technological developments on the horizon supported by formal guidelines for the clinical testing procedures that ISCEV provides (<https://iscev.wildapricot.org/standards>). For DA and LA series, the generation of additional synthetic waveforms may improve the analysis of the luminance response functions by efficiently upscaling waveforms across multiple flash strengths [53, 54]. This initial demonstration of the CGAN architecture to generate synthetic waveforms with different morphologies (LA3 and 30Hz Flicker) indicates the potential for this application to be extended to the pattern and multifocal ERGs [44, 46]. In addition, the cortical evoked potentials such as the flash and pattern visually evoked potentials [55] would also be suited for the generation of synthetic signals further broadening the potential of AI-generated functional measures of vision for future studies.

In this example, the sex assigned at birth was used to demonstrate the proof of principle of applying AI-generated synthetic ERG waveforms to enhance the classification accuracy of the minority 'male' class. Whilst sex balance is important for studies where the cases may be biased towards male as in ASD [56], this approach could be used to up-sample any group to balance for physiological factors such as iris color [8], refractive error (axial length) [57] or age, especially in pediatric populations where the ERG waveform develops over time and

recording in neonates and infants can be challenging [58].

### **Limitations**

The generation of synthetic waveforms may not be an exact substitute for real natural waveforms derived from the target clinical population. The spectral composition may differ despite having a subjectively similar form in the time domain. For instance, studies focusing on understanding the generation of ERG b- waves highlight interpretations of physiochemical interactions between retinal cell layers, with frequency ranges varying from fractions of Hertz to several hundred Hertz [59]. Even with natural datasets the type of instrumentation used to record the waveform may show differences in the spectral composition [60]. Future studies in clinical populations with full waveform decomposition using DWT and/or VFCDM could demonstrate the applicability of AI-generated synthetic ERG waveforms affecting the retina using time-frequency analyses.

The generation of synthetic waveforms through AI is dependent on the size of the dataset used for training, thus necessitating a large original sample. To address this limitation, expanding dataset volumes and promoting open data sharing within the electrophysiology community could enhance the diversity and representation of synthetic waveforms.

Although these preliminary results have been generated with a relatively small sample set through sharing ERG data sets between sites would enable larger synthetic datasets to be produced to support clinical studies. One such example is the combining of MRI datasets to support clinical studies as one example that visual electrophysiology clinics could follow [61].

The variability introduced by different recording instruments can affect both natural and synthetic waveforms. Instrumental differences, such as hardware and software filtration in measurement equipment, may neglect high-frequency components in ERG analysis [21]. To mitigate this, normalizing signals considering equipment peculiarities or evaluating result similarities could facilitate data formalization and enhance diagnostic accuracy.

Thus, while AI-generated synthetic ERG waveforms offer promise, their clinical applicability requires further validation in ophthalmology to align with current fields such as cardiology [62]. Future studies employing comprehensive waveform decomposition

techniques like DWT, VFCDM and Vector Fitting Curve Decomposition Methods in larger clinical populations could help to elucidate the efficacy and reliability of synthetic waveforms in diagnosing retinal conditions.

## **Conclusions**

With the expanding clinical utility of the ERG in human and animal studies [63] in fields that extend beyond the retina, the application of AI-generated synthetic waveforms may benefit future studies in these fields by providing supplementary training data to improve classification models. The findings presented here apply AI-generated synthetic ERG signals to demonstrate the potential for AI to support ophthalmic research into rare, isolated populations or in heterogenous groups where up-sampling of the case or control group is required to balance characteristics to support diagnosis, management, and classification. This would support the expansion and clinical utility of visual electrophysiology [36, 37]. Further work using cortical and macular-derived visual signals would provide extended capacity to implement CGAN for visual electrophysiological signals.

### **Data Availability**

Constable, Paul; Kulyabin, Mikhail; Zhdanov, Aleksei E.; Loh, Lynne; Estevez, Jose; Maier, Andreas K. (2024). Generating ISCEV Standard Synthetic ERG Waveforms Using Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Classification Methods. Flinders University. Dataset. doi.org/10.25451/flinders.24893871

### **Ethical Approval**

The study was approved by Flinders University Human Ethics Committee (project 4606). The study protocols were followed following the Declaration of Helsinki.

### **Consent**

Written informed consent to participate in the study was provided by the parents or guardians of participants, with permission to reuse their data in future studies.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank the participants who took part in this study.

### **Funding**

This work was unfunded.

## References

1. Robson, A.G., J. Nilsson, S. Li, S. Jalali, A.B. Fulton, A.P. Tormene, G.E. Holder, and S.E. Brodie, *ISCEV guide to visual electrodiagnostic procedures*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2018. **136**(1): p. 1-26.
2. Robson, A.G., L.J. Frishman, J. Grigg, R. Hamilton, B.G. Jeffrey, M. Kondo, S. Li, and D.L. McCulloch, *ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2022 update)*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2022. **144**(3): p. 165-177.
3. McCulloch, D.L., M. Bach, M. Brigell, H. Chan, R. Hamilton, C. Hogg, J.V. Odom, and A.G. Robson, *ISCEV guidelines for calibration and verification of stimuli and recording instruments (2023 update)*. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2023. **146**(3): p. 199-210.
4. Kulyabin, M., A. Zhdanov, A. Dolganov, M. Ronkin, V. Borisov, and A. Maier, *Enhancing Electroretinogram Classification with Multi-Wavelet Analysis and Visual Transformer*. Sensors (Basel), 2023. **23**(21).
5. Huang, C.H., C.M. Yang, C.H. Yang, Y.C. Hou, and T.C. Chen, *Leber's Congenital Amaurosis: Current Concepts of Genotype-Phenotype Correlations*. Genes (Basel), 2021. **12**(8).
6. Brûlé, J., M.-P. Lavoie, C. Casanova, P. Lachapelle, and M. Hébert, *Evidence of a possible impact of the menstrual cycle on the reproducibility of scotopic ERGs in women*. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2007. **114**(3): p. 125-134.
7. Hobby, A.E., D. Kozareva, E. Yonova-Doing, I.T. Hossain, M. Katta, B. Huntjens, C.J. Hammond, A.M. Binns, and O.A. Mahroo, *Effect of varying skin surface electrode position on electroretinogram responses recorded using a handheld stimulating and recording system*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2018. **137**(2): p. 79-86.
8. Al Abdlseaed, A., Y. McTaggart, T. Ramage, R. Hamilton, and D.L. McCulloch, *Light- and dark-adapted electroretinograms (ERGs) and ocular pigmentation: comparison of brown- and blue-eyed cohorts*. Documenta ophthalmologica, 2010. **121**(2): p. 135-146.
9. Lee, I.O., D.H. Skuse, P.A. Constable, F. Marmolejo-Ramos, L.R. Olsen, and D.A. Thompson, *The electroretinogram b-wave amplitude: a differential physiological measure for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Autism Spectrum Disorder*. J Neurodev Disord, 2022. **14**(1): p. 30.
10. Constable, P.A., F. Marmolejo-Ramos, M. Gauthier, I.O. Lee, D.H. Skuse, and D.A. Thompson, *Discrete Wavelet Transform Analysis of the Electroretinogram in Autism*

- Spectrum Disorder and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder*. Front Neurosci, 2022. **16**: p. 890461.
11. Constable, P.A., E.R. Ritvo, A.R. Ritvo, I.O. Lee, M.L. McNair, D. Stahl, J. Sowden, S. Quinn, D.H. Skuse, D.A. Thompson, et al., *Light-Adapted Electroretinogram Differences in Autism Spectrum Disorder*. J Autism Dev Disord, 2020. **50**(8): p. 2874-2885.
  12. Ginton, S.L., A. Calcagni, W. Lilaonitkul, N. Pontikos, S. Vermeirsch, G. Zhang, G. Arno, S.K. Wagner, M. Michaelides, P.A. Keane, et al., *Phenotyping of ABCA4 Retinopathy by Machine Learning Analysis of Full-Field Electroretinography*. Translational Vision Science & Technology, 2022. **11**(9): p. 34-34.
  13. Tran, K.K.N., P.Y. Lee, D.I. Finkelstein, A.M. McKendrick, B.N. Nguyen, B.V. Bui, and C.T.O. Nguyen, *Altered Outer Retinal Structure, Electrophysiology and Visual Perception in Parkinson's Disease*. J Parkinsons Dis, 2024. **14**(1): p. 167-180.
  14. Sarossy, M., J. Crowston, D. Kumar, A. Weymouth, and Z. Wu, *Time-Frequency Analysis of ERG With Discrete Wavelet Transform and Matching Pursuits for Glaucoma*. Transl Vis Sci Technol, 2022. **11**(10): p. 19.
  15. Manjur, S.M., L.R.M. Diaz, I.O. Lee, D.H. Skuse, D.A. Thompson, F. Marmolejos-Ramos, P.A. Constable, and H.F. Posada-Quintero, *Detecting Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Using Multimodal Time-Frequency Analysis with Machine Learning Using the Electroretinogram from Two Flash Strengths*. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 2024.
  16. Gauthier, M., M. Gauvin, J.M. Lina, and P. Lachapelle, *The effects of bandpass filtering on the oscillatory potentials of the electroretinogram*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2019. **138**(3): p. 247-254.
  17. Gauvin, M., M. Sustar, J.M. Little, J. Brecej, J.M. Lina, and P. Lachapelle, *Quantifying the ON and OFF Contributions to the Flash ERG with the Discrete Wavelet Transform*. Transl Vis Sci Technol, 2017. **6**(1): p. 3.
  18. Gauvin, M., A.L. Dorfman, N. Trang, M. Gauthier, J.M. Little, J.M. Lina, and P. Lachapelle, *Assessing the Contribution of the Oscillatory Potentials to the Genesis of the Photopic ERG with the Discrete Wavelet Transform*. Biomed Res Int, 2016. **2016**: p. 2790194.
  19. Gauvin, M., J.M. Little, J.M. Lina, and P. Lachapelle, *Functional decomposition of the human ERG based on the discrete wavelet transform*. J Vis, 2015. **15**(16): p. 14.
  20. Gauvin, M., J.M. Lina, and P. Lachapelle, *Advance in ERG analysis: from peak time*

- and amplitude to frequency, power, and energy.* Biomed Res Int, 2014. **2014**: p. 246096.
21. Zhdanov, A., P. Constable, S.M. Manjur, A. Dolganov, H.F. Posada-Quintero, and A. Lizunov, *OculusGraphy: Signal Analysis of the Electroretinogram in a Rabbit Model of Endophthalmitis Using Discrete and Continuous Wavelet Transforms.* Bioengineering (Basel), 2023. **10**(6).
  22. Dorfman, A.L., M. Gauvin, D. Vatcher, J.M. Little, R.C. Polomeno, and P. Lachapelle, *Ring analysis of multifocal oscillatory potentials (mfOPs) in cCSNB suggests near-normal ON-OFF pathways at the fovea only.* Doc Ophthalmol, 2020. **141**(2): p. 99-109.
  23. Posada-Quintero, H.F., S.M. Manjur, M.B. Hossain, F. Marmolejo-Ramos, I.O. Lee, D.H. Skuse, D.A. Thompson, and P.A. Constable, *Autism spectrum disorder detection using variable frequency complex demodulation of the electroretinogram.* Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 2023. **109**: p. 102258.
  24. Manjur, S.M., M.B. Hossain, P.A. Constable, D.A. Thompson, F. Marmolejo-Ramos, I.O. Lee, and H.F.P. Quintero. *Spectral analysis of Electroretinography to differentiate autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.* in *2023 IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics (BHI).* 2023.
  25. Mohammad-Manjur, S., M.-B. Hossain, P.A. Constable, D.A. Thompson, F. Marmolejo-Ramos, I.O. Lee, D. Skuse, and H.F. Posada-Quintero, *Detecting Autism Spectrum Disorder Using Spectral Analysis of Electroretinogram and Machine Learning: Preliminary results.* IEEE Trans Biomed Eng, 2022.
  26. Brabec, M., P.A. Constable, D.A. Thompson, and F. Marmolejo-Ramos, *Group comparisons of the individual electroretinogram time trajectories for the ascending limb of the b-wave using a raw and registered time series.* BMC Res Notes, 2023. **16**(1): p. 238.
  27. Friedel, E.B.N., M. Schafer, D. Endres, S. Maier, K. Runge, M. Bach, S.P. Heinrich, D. Ebert, K. Domschke, L. Tebartz van Elst, et al., *Electroretinography in adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder.* Autism Res, 2022. **15**(11): p. 2026-2037.
  28. Hébert, M., C. Mérette, A.M. Gagné, T. Paccalet, I. Moreau, J. Lavoie, and M. Maziade, *The Electroretinogram May Differentiate Schizophrenia From Bipolar Disorder.* Biol Psychiatry, 2020. **87**(3): p. 263-270.
  29. Maziade, M., A. Bureau, V. Jomphe, and A.M. Gagne, *Retinal function and*

- preclinical risk traits in children and adolescents at genetic risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder*. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry, 2022. **112**: p. 110432.
30. Nowacka, B., W. Lubinski, K. Honczarenko, A. Potemkowski, and K. Safranow, *Bioelectrical function and structural assessment of the retina in patients with early stages of Parkinson's disease (PD)*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2015. **131**(2): p. 95-104.
  31. Garcia-Martin, E., D. Rodriguez-Mena, M. Satue, C. Almarcegui, I. Dolz, R. Alarcia, M. Seral, V. Polo, J.M. Larrosa, and L.E. Pablo, *Electrophysiology and optical coherence tomography to evaluate Parkinson disease severity*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2014. **55**(2): p. 696-705.
  32. Elanwar, R., H. Al Masry, A. Ibrahim, M. Hussein, S. Ibrahim, and M.M. Masoud, *Retinal functional and structural changes in patients with Parkinson's disease*. BMC Neurol, 2023. **23**(1): p. 330.
  33. Katz, B., S. Rimmer, V. Iragui, and R. Katzman, *Abnormal pattern electroretinogram in Alzheimer's disease: evidence for retinal ganglion cell degeneration?* Ann Neurol, 1989. **26**(2): p. 221-5.
  34. Krasodomska, K., W. Lubinski, A. Potemkowski, and K. Honczarenko, *Pattern electroretinogram (PERG) and pattern visual evoked potential (PVEP) in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2010. **121**(2): p. 111-21.
  35. Mavilio, A., D. Sisto, F. Prete, V. Guadalupi, R. Dammacco, and G. Alessio, *RE-PERG in early-onset Alzheimer's disease: A double-blind, electrophysiological pilot study*. PLoS One, 2020. **15**(8): p. e0236568.
  36. Hamilton, R., *Clinical electrophysiology of vision-commentary on current status and future prospects*. Eye (Lond), 2021. **35**(9): p. 2341-2343.
  37. Mahroo, O.A., *Visual electrophysiology and "the potential of the potentials"*. Eye (Lond), 2023.
  38. Davis, C.Q. and R. Hamilton, *Reference ranges for clinical electrophysiology of vision*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2021.
  39. Chan, S.S., K.Y. Choi, and H.H. Chan, *Paediatric norms for photopic electroretinogram testing based on a large cohort of Chinese preschool children*. BMJ Open Ophthalmol, 2024. **9**(1).
  40. Constable, P., M. Kulyabin, A.E. Zhdanov, L. Loh, j. estevez, and A.K. Maier, *Generating ISCEV Standard Synthetic ERG Waveforms Using Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Classification Methods*. 2024, Flinders University.
  41. Zhou, F., S. Yang, H. Fujita, D. Chen, and C. Wen, *Deep learning fault diagnosis*

- method based on global optimization GAN for unbalanced data.* Knowledge-Based Systems, 2020. **187**: p. 104837.
42. Hazra, D. and Y.C. Byun, *SynSigGAN: Generative Adversarial Networks for Synthetic Biomedical Signal Generation.* Biology (Basel), 2020. **9**(12).
  43. Tin Kam, H. *Random decision forests.* in *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition.* 1995.
  44. Bach, M., M.G. Brigell, M. Hawlina, G.E. Holder, M.A. Johnson, D.L. McCulloch, T. Meigen, and S. Viswanathan, *ISCEV standard for clinical pattern electroretinography (PERG): 2012 update.* Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2013. **126**(1): p. 1-7.
  45. Thompson, D.A., K. Fujinami, I. Perlman, R. Hamilton, and A.G. Robson, *ISCEV extended protocol for the dark-adapted red flash ERG.* Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2018. **136**(3): p. 191-197.
  46. Hoffmann, M.B., M. Bach, M. Kondo, S. Li, S. Walker, K. Holopigian, S. Viswanathan, and A.G. Robson, *ISCEV standard for clinical multifocal electroretinography (mfERG) (2021 update).* Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2021. **142**(1): p. 5-16.
  47. Thompson, D.A., E. Mikó-Baráth, S.E. Hardy, G. Jandó, M. Shaw, and R. Hamilton, *ISCEV standard pattern reversal VEP development: paediatric reference limits from 649 healthy subjects.* Doc Ophthalmol, 2023. **147**(3): p. 147-164.
  48. Burn, H., L. Hamm, J. Black, A. Burnett, M. Harwood, M.J. Burton, J.R. Evans, and J. Ramke, *Eye care delivery models to improve access to eye care for Indigenous peoples in high-income countries: a scoping review.* BMJ Glob Health, 2021. **6**(3).
  49. Simunovic, M.P., J.R. Grigg, and O.A. Mahroo, *Vision at the limits: Absolute threshold, visual function, and outcomes in clinical trials.* Survey of Ophthalmology, 2022. **67**(4): p. 1270-1286.
  50. Maldonado, R., S. Jalil, and K. Wartiovaara, *Curative gene therapies for rare diseases.* J Community Genet, 2021. **12**(2): p. 267-276.
  51. Nuzbrokh, Y., S.D. Ragi, and S.H. Tsang, *Gene therapy for inherited retinal diseases.* Ann Transl Med, 2021. **9**(15): p. 1278.
  52. Khanani, A.M., M.J. Thomas, A.A. Aziz, C.Y. Weng, C.J. Danzig, G. Yiu, S. Kiss, N.K. Waheed, and P.K. Kaiser, *Review of gene therapies for age-related macular degeneration.* Eye (Lond), 2022. **36**(2): p. 303-311.
  53. Johnson, M.A., B.G. Jeffrey, A.M.V. Messias, and A.G. Robson, *ISCEV extended protocol for the stimulus–response series for the dark-adapted full-field ERG b-wave.*

- Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2019. **138**(3): p. 217-227.
54. McCulloch, D.L., M. Kondo, R. Hamilton, P. Lachapelle, A.M.V. Messias, A.G. Robson, and S. Ueno, *ISCEV extended protocol for the stimulus–response series for light-adapted full-field ERG*. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2019. **138**(3): p. 205-215.
  55. Odom, J.V., M. Bach, M. Brigell, G.E. Holder, D.L. McCulloch, A. Mizota, A.P. Tormene, and V. International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of, *ISCEV standard for clinical visual evoked potentials: (2016 update)*. Documenta Ophthalmologica, 2016. **133**(1): p. 1-9.
  56. Zeidan, J., E. Fombonne, J. Scora, A. Ibrahim, M.S. Durkin, S. Saxena, A. Yusuf, A. Shih, and M. Elsabbagh, *Global prevalence of autism: A systematic review update*. Autism Research, 2022. **15**(5): p. 778-790.
  57. Kato, K., M. Kondo, R. Nagashima, A. Sugawara, M. Sugimoto, H. Matsubara, D.L. McCulloch, and K. Ikesugi, *Factors Affecting Mydriasis-Free Flicker ERGs Recorded With Real-Time Correction for Retinal Illuminance: Study of 150 Young Healthy Subjects*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2017. **58**(12): p. 5280-5286.
  58. Kriss, A., B. Jeffrey, and D. Taylor, *The electroretinogram in infants and young children*. J Clin Neurophysiol, 1992. **9**(3): p. 373-93.
  59. Bhatt, Y., D.M. Hunt, and L.S. Carvalho, *The origins of the full-field flash electroretinogram b-wave*. Front Mol Neurosci, 2023. **16**: p. 1153934.
  60. You, J.Y., A.L. Dorfman, M. Gauvin, D. Vatcher, R.C. Polomeno, J.M. Little, and P. Lachapelle, *Comparing the RETeval<sup>(R)</sup> portable ERG device with more traditional tabletop ERG systems in normal subjects and selected retinopathies*. Doc Ophthalmol, 2022.
  61. Saponaro, S., Giuliano, A., Bellotti, R., Lombardi, A., Tangaro, S., Oliva, P., Calderoni, S. and Retico, A. (2022). *Multi-site harmonization of MRI data uncovers machine-learning discrimination capability in barely separable populations: An example from the ABIDE dataset*. NeuroImage Clin, 2022 **35**: p. 103082.
  62. Zhu, F., Ye, F., Fu, Y., Liu, Q., and Shen, B. *Electrocardiogram generation with a bidirectional LSTM-CNN generative adversarial network*. Sci Rep, 2019. **9**: p. 6734.
  63. Constable, P.A., J.K.H. Lim, and D.A. Thompson, *Retinal electrophysiology in central nervous system disorders. A review of human and mouse studies*. Front Neurosci, 2023. **17**: p. 1215097.

