

# DIRICHLET PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED TO ABSTRACT NONLOCAL SPACE–TIME DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

JOSHUA WILLEMS

ABSTRACT. Let the abstract fractional space–time operator  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  be given, where  $s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $-A: \mathbf{D}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator generating a uniformly bounded strongly measurable semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on a complex Banach space  $X$ . We consider the corresponding Dirichlet problem of finding a function  $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + A)^s u(t) = 0, & t \in (t_0, \infty), \\ u(t) = g(t), & t \in (-\infty, t_0], \end{cases}$$

for given  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: (-\infty, t_0] \rightarrow X$ . We derive a solution formula which expresses  $u$  in terms of  $g$  and  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and generalizes the well-known variation of constants formula for the mild solution to the abstract Cauchy problem  $u' + Au = 0$  on  $(t_0, \infty)$  with  $u(t_0) = x \in \overline{\mathbf{D}(A)}$ . Moreover, we include a comparison to analogous solution concepts arising from Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type initial value problems.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Background and motivation.** Space–time nonlocal problems involving fractional powers of a parabolic operator arise in physics, biology, probability theory and statistics. The flat parabolic Signorini problem and certain models for semipermeable membranes can be formulated as obstacle problems for the fractional heat operator  $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s$ , where  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian, acting on functions  $u: J \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for a given time interval  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a connected non-empty open spatial domain  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , see e.g. [1, 25]. In the context of continuous time random walks, equations of the form  $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s u = f$  for  $f: J \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are considered examples of *master equations* governing the (non-separable) joint probability distribution of jump lengths and waiting times [6]. The case where  $f$  is replaced by spatiotemporal Gaussian noise  $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$  has applications to the statistical modeling of spatial and temporal dependence in data: The resulting class of fractional parabolic *stochastic* partial differential equations (SPDEs) has been proposed and analyzed in [13, 15] as a spatiotemporal generalization of the *SPDE approach* to spatial statistical modeling, which was initiated by Lindgren, Rue and Lindström [17] and has subsequently gained widespread popularity [16].

After [25] and [20] independently generalized the Caffarelli–Silvestre extension approach from the fractional elliptic to the parabolic setting, there has been a surge of literature on space–time nonlocal problems involving fractional powers of  $\partial_t + L$  for more general elliptic operators  $L$  acting on functions  $u: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , see for

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35R11, 35E15; secondary: 47D06, 47A60.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlocal space–time differential operator, Dirichlet problem, strongly measurable semigroup, mild solution, extension operator.

instance [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 14, 18]. In particular, in [25, Remark 1.2] the *natural Dirichlet problem* for the nonlocal space–time operator  $(\partial_t + L)^s$  is introduced, given by

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + L)^s u(t, x) = f(t, x), & (t, x) \in J \times \mathcal{D}, \\ u(t, x) = g(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \setminus (J \times \mathcal{D}), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $g: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \setminus (J \times \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given function prescribing all the values of  $u$  outside of the spatiotemporal region  $J \times \mathcal{D}$ .

In the first-order case  $s = 1$ , the space–time differential operator is local, so that the analog to (1.1) is an initial boundary value problem. Identifying functions  $u: J \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $u: J \rightarrow X$ , where  $J := (t_0, \infty)$  and  $X$  is a Banach space to be thought of as containing functions from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , the corresponding infinite-dimensional initial value problem is the *abstract Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + A)u(t) = f(t), & t \in J, \\ u(t_0) = x \in X. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator whose domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  can be used to encode (Dirichlet) boundary conditions and  $f: J \rightarrow X$  is a given forcing function. If  $-A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a suitably regular semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  of bounded linear operators on  $X$  and  $f$  is sufficiently (Bochner) integrable, then the *mild solution* of (1.2) is defined by the well-known variation of constants formula

$$u(t) := S(t - t_0)x + \int_{t_0}^t S(t - \tau)f(\tau) \, d\tau, \quad \forall t \in J.$$

In this work we consider an abstract counterpart of (1.1) in the setting of (1.2), namely the following Dirichlet problem for  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  with  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + A)^s u(t) = 0, & t \in (t_0, \infty), \\ u(t) = g(t), & t \in (-\infty, t_0], \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $g: (-\infty, t_0] \rightarrow X$ . We restrict ourselves to  $J = (t_0, \infty)$  since  $(\partial_t + A)^s u(t)$  depends only on the values of  $u$  to the left of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , see Section 2.2 below. Moreover, we only consider  $f \equiv 0$  since the problem is linear in  $u$  and the mild solution formula for  $f \not\equiv 0$  and  $g \equiv 0$  (or  $J = \mathbb{R}$ ) is known to be given by a Riemann–Liouville type fractional parabolic integral, cf. [25, Theorem 1.17].

**1.2. Contributions.** The main contribution of this work is the following definition of the solution to (1.3) for  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$  and bounded continuous  $g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) := & \frac{\sin(\pi\{s\})}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau + 1} S((t - t_0)(\tau + 1))g(t_0 - (t - t_0)\tau) \, d\tau \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t - t_0)^{\{s\} + k - 1}}{\Gamma(\{s\} + k)} S(t - t_0)[(\partial_t + A)^{\{s\} + k - 1}g](t_0), \quad t \in (t_0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function and  $s = \lfloor s \rfloor + \{s\}$  for  $\lfloor s \rfloor \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\{s\} \in (0, 1)$ ; see Definition 3.1. Although the motivation of this definition, given by Theorem 3.5, relies on the uniform exponential stability of  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , the resulting formula is meaningful under the more general standing assumption that  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is uniformly bounded. In particular, this includes the case  $A = 0$ , meaning that (1.4) with  $S(\cdot) \equiv \text{Id}_X$  can be viewed as a solution to the Dirichlet problem associated to the fractional time derivative  $\partial_t^s$ . Likewise, if  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is uniformly exponentially

stable, then the integral in (1.4) also converges for  $\{s\} = 0$ , so that (1.4) remains meaningful for integers  $s = n \in \mathbb{N}$  and reduces to the known integer-order solution formula (see Section A.2 of Appendix A):

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!} S(t-t_0)[(\partial_t + A)^k g](t_0), \quad t \in (t_0, \infty).$$

If  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is merely uniformly bounded, then it still holds that the first term of (1.4) converges to  $S(t-t_0)g(t_0)$  as  $\{s\} \uparrow 1$  for all  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ , see Proposition 3.3. For constant initial data  $g \equiv x \in X$ , we find that (1.4) can be conveniently expressed in terms of an operator-valued incomplete gamma function, see Corollary 3.4.

In addition to (1.4), we define solution concepts for the Cauchy problems associated to fractional parabolic Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type derivative operators (see Proposition 4.1 and Definitions 4.2 and 4.3) for comparison. The higher-order terms comprising the summation in (1.4) turn out to be analogous to the corresponding terms in the Riemann–Liouville solution. The first term in (1.4), however, is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  and continuous at  $t_0$  under mild conditions on  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  or  $g$ , in contrast to the lowest-order term in the Riemann–Liouville formula which has a singularity there. As opposed to the Caputo type initial value problem, the solutions to (1.3) are in general different for distinct  $s_1, s_2 \in (n, n+1)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

To the best of the author’s knowledge, the solution formula given by (1.4) is new even in the scalar-valued case  $X := \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A := a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$  and  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0} = (e^{-at})_{t \geq 0}$ , as are the Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type solutions for  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ .

**1.3. Outline.** This article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we establish some notation and state preliminaries regarding fractional parabolic integration and differentiation operators on Bochner spaces, as well as the Phillips functional calculus associated to semigroup generators. In Section 3 we give the precise definition of the solution concept formally given by (1.4) and present the theorem underlying its motivation. The comparison with the solution concepts associated to Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type initial value problems is presented in Section 4. This work is complemented by Appendix A, in which we extend known results for strong and mild solutions to integer-order abstract Cauchy problems on intervals of the form  $J = (t_0, \infty)$  for  $t_0 \in [-\infty, \infty)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notation.** Let  $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  denote the sets of positive and non-negative integers, respectively. We write  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  and  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  for the floor and ceiling functions; the fractional part of  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $\{\alpha\} := \alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ . The maximum (respectively, minimum) of two real numbers  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $\alpha \vee \beta$  (respectively,  $\alpha \wedge \beta$ ). The function  $t \mapsto t_+^\beta$  is defined by  $t_+^\beta := t^\beta$  if  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and  $t_+^\beta := 0$  otherwise. The real and imaginary parts of a complex number  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  are respectively denoted by  $\operatorname{Re} z$  and  $\operatorname{Im} z$ ; if  $z \neq 0$ , then its argument is written as  $\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi]$ . The open and closed right half-planes of the complex plane are denoted by  $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ , respectively. The identity map on a set  $B$  is denoted by  $\operatorname{Id}_B : B \rightarrow B$  and we write  $\mathbf{1}_{B_0} : B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  for the indicator function of a subset  $B_0 \subseteq B$ .

Throughout this article,  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  denotes a Banach space over the complex scalar field  $\mathbb{C}$ ; the real case can be treated using complexifications. The Banach

space of bounded linear operators from  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$  with the uniform operator norm is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ ; for  $X = Y$  we set  $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X; X)$ . The notation  $A: D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  indicates that  $A$  is a possibly unbounded linear operator on  $X$  with domain  $D(A)$  and graph  $\mathbf{G}(A) := \{(x, Ax) : x \in D(A)\}$ .

Let  $(S, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. For any  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in X$ , define  $f \otimes x: S \rightarrow X$  by  $[f \otimes x](s) := f(s)x$ . A function  $f: S \rightarrow X$  is said to be strongly  $\mu$ -measurable if it is the  $\mu$ -almost everywhere (“a.e.”) limit of  $\mu$ -simple functions, i.e., linear combinations of  $\mathbf{1}_B \otimes x$  with  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(B) < \infty$  and  $x \in X$ . For  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , let  $L^p(S; X)$  denote the Bochner space of (equivalence classes of)  $p$ -integrable functions with norm  $\|f\|_{L^p(S; X)} := (\int_S \|f(s)\|_X^p d\mu(s))^{1/p}$  if  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(S; X)} := \text{ess sup}_{s \in S} \|f(s)\|_X$ . Intervals  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  are equipped with the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra and measure. The Banach space of bounded continuous functions  $u: J \rightarrow X$  endowed with the supremum norm is denoted by  $(C_b(J; X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

**2.2. Fractional parabolic calculus.** The following assumptions on  $X$  and  $A$  will be used throughout the article.

**Assumption 2.1.** Let  $-A: D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  be the infinitesimal generator of a locally bounded strongly measurable semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ , which implies

$$\exists M_0 \in [1, \infty), w \in \mathbb{R} : \|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M_0 e^{-wt}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.1)$$

More precisely, we suppose that  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is either

- (i) *uniformly bounded*, meaning that  $w \in [0, \infty)$ , or
- (ii) *uniformly exponentially stable*, meaning that  $w \in (0, \infty)$ .

We may sometimes additionally assume that  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is

- (iii) *bounded analytic*, i.e.,  $(0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto S(t) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  admits a bounded holomorphic extension to  $\Sigma_\varphi := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \varphi\}$  for some  $\varphi \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ .

For a strongly measurable semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $x \in X$ , the orbit  $t \mapsto S(t)x$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ , with continuity at zero if and only if  $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ . See [11, Appendix K] for a summary of the theory of measurable semigroups.

We will now define the fractional parabolic integration and differentiation operators  $\mathfrak{J}^s$  and  $\mathfrak{D}^s$ , which are the respective rigorous definitions of the expressions  $(\partial_t + A)^{-s}$  and  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  from Section 1. For any  $s \in (0, \infty)$ , let the integration kernel  $k_s: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  be defined by  $k_s(\tau) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \tau_+^{s-1} S(\tau)$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . Given  $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ , its *Riemann–Liouville type fractional parabolic integral*  $\mathfrak{J}^s u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  of order  $s$ , cf. [12, Section 2.3], is defined by

$$\mathfrak{J}^s u(t) := k_s * u(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{s-1} S(\tau) u(t - \tau) d\tau \quad (2.2)$$

whenever this Bochner integral converges for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $s = 0$  we set  $\mathfrak{J}^0 := \text{Id}_X$ .

We remark that, while the terminology “fractional parabolic” is inspired by the case  $A = -\Delta$  acting on a function space such as  $X = L^2(\mathcal{D})$ , our setting is considerably more general.

The following properties of  $(\mathfrak{J}^s)_{s \in [0, \infty)}$  will be used throughout this work:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let Assumption 2.1(ii) hold and let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{J}^s \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}; X))$  with  $\|\mathfrak{J}^s\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}; X))} \leq \frac{M_0}{w^s}$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in [0, \infty)$ ;
- (b)  $\mathfrak{J}^s \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}; X); C_b(\mathbb{R}; X))$  for  $s \in [1, \infty)$  if  $p = 1$  or  $s \in (1/p, \infty)$  if  $p \in (1, \infty]$ ;

- (c)  $\mathfrak{I}^{s_1}\mathfrak{I}^{s_2} = \mathfrak{I}^{s_1+s_2}$  for all  $s_1, s_2 \in [0, \infty)$ ;  
 (d) Given  $x \in X$ , if  $p \in [1, \frac{1}{1-s_1})$  and  $s_1 \in (0, 1)$  or  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $s_1 \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $k_{s_1} \otimes x \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  and  $\mathfrak{I}^{s_2}(k_{s_1} \otimes x) = k_{s_1+s_2} \otimes x$  for all  $s_2 \in [0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Estimate (2.1) implies  $\|k_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))} \leq M_0 w^{-s}$  for all  $s \in (0, \infty)$ , so that Minkowski's integral inequality [24, Section A.1] yields (a).

If  $p' \in [1, \infty]$  is such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$  and  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ , then  $k_s \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$  for  $s$  as in the statement of (b), and the result follows from Hölder's inequality and the continuity of translations in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}; X)$  or  $L^q(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$  for  $q \in [1, \infty)$ .

Assertions (c) and (d) follow by combining the semigroup property of  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , Fubini's theorem and [21, Equation (5.12.1)].  $\square$

For  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , let  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; X)$  denote the Bochner–Sobolev space of functions  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  whose weak derivative  $\partial_t u$  also belongs to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ . Identifying  $A: D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  with the operator  $\mathcal{A}: L^p(\mathbb{R}; D(A)) \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  defined by  $[\mathcal{A}u](\cdot) := Au(\cdot)$ , we can view  $\partial_t + A$  as an operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  with domain  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; D(A)) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ . In conjunction with the operators  $(\mathfrak{I}^s)_{s \geq 0}$  from (2.2), this leads to the definition of the *Riemann–Liouville type fractional parabolic derivative of order  $s \in [0, \infty)$* :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}^s u &:= (\partial_t + A)^{\lceil s \rceil} \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} u, \\ u \in D(\mathfrak{D}^s) &:= \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X) : \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} u \in D((\partial_t + A)^{\lceil s \rceil})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that we do not explicitly indicate the dependence of  $\mathfrak{D}^s$  and  $\mathfrak{I}^s$  on  $p \in [1, \infty]$  in the notation, instead leaving it to be inferred from context.

The next proposition shows that the fractional parabolic derivative and integral are inverse to each other in the sense that  $\mathfrak{D}^s \mathfrak{I}^s u = u$  and  $\mathfrak{I}^s \mathfrak{D}^s u = u$  a.e. whenever the respective left-hand sides are well-defined.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.1(ii) holds. Let  $s \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (a) *If  $\mathfrak{I}^s u \in D(\mathfrak{D}^s)$ , then  $\mathfrak{D}^s \mathfrak{I}^s u = u$  a.e.*  
 (b) *If  $u \in D(\mathfrak{D}^s)$ , then  $\mathfrak{I}^s \mathfrak{D}^s u = u$  a.e.*

*Proof.* (a) For  $s = 1$ ,  $v := \mathfrak{I}^1 u$  is the mild solution to (1.2) with  $f := u \in L^p(J; X)$ . Moreover, since  $v \in W^{1,p}(J; X) \cap L^p(J; X)$ , the conditions of Proposition A.3(b)(c) are satisfied, so that  $v$  is a strong solution, which proves the base case. The cases  $s = n \in \mathbb{N}$  follow from induction using Proposition 2.2(c). For  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , the assertion follows upon combining the definition (2.3) of  $\mathfrak{D}^s$  with Proposition 2.2(c) and the integer case:

$$\mathfrak{D}^s \mathfrak{I}^s u = (\partial_t + A)^{\lceil s \rceil} \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} \mathfrak{I}^s u = (\partial_t + A)^{\lceil s \rceil} \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil} u = u \quad \text{a.e.}$$

(b) The case  $s = 1$  follows from Proposition A.3 (a)  $\implies$  (b) with  $f := u' + Au$ , and the integer case follows by induction. For fractional  $s$ , fix  $u \in D(\mathfrak{D}^s)$  and note

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} \mathfrak{I}^s \mathfrak{D}^s u = \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} \mathfrak{I}^s (\partial_t + A)^{\lceil s \rceil} \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} u = \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil} (\partial_t + A)^{\lceil s \rceil} \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} u = \mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s} u,$$

holds a.e. Since (a) implies that  $\mathfrak{I}^{\lceil s \rceil - s}$  is injective, we conclude  $\mathfrak{I}^s \mathfrak{D}^s u = u$  a.e.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* Let us briefly elaborate on our choice for the Riemann–Liouville type operators  $\mathfrak{D}^s$  and  $\mathfrak{I}^s$  as the rigorous interpretations of  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  and  $(\partial_t + A)^{-s}$ , respectively. Under some additional assumptions on  $A$  and/or  $X$ , it can be shown

that the sum operator  $\partial_t + \mathcal{A}$  admits an extension  $\mathcal{B}$  which is *sectorial* [11, Section 16.3]. For this class of operators, fractional powers can be defined as in [11, Section 15.2]. If, for instance,  $-A$  generates an exponentially bounded *strongly continuous* semigroup on a Hilbert space  $X = H$ , then it holds that  $\mathcal{B}^{-s} = \mathfrak{J}^s$  for all  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , cf. [13, Proposition 3.2 and Equation (3.9)]. It then follows from [11, Proposition 15.1.12(2)] that

$$\mathcal{B}^s = \mathcal{B}^{\lceil s \rceil} \mathcal{B}^{s - \lceil s \rceil} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^s) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X) : u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^{\lceil s \rceil})\}.$$

Hence in this situation we find that  $\mathcal{B}^s$  is an extension of  $\mathfrak{D}^s$ . In particular, if we have  $\mathcal{B} = \partial_t + \mathcal{A}$ , a property which is closely related to the maximal  $L^p$ -regularity of  $A$  (see [11, Proposition 17.3.14]), then in fact  $\mathcal{B}^s = \mathfrak{D}^s$ .

Thus, there is a close relation between the Riemann–Liouville fractional parabolic operators and fractional powers of sectorial extensions of  $\partial_t + \mathcal{A}$ . We choose the former viewpoint for the sake of simplicity, generality and consistency with the analogous definitions for  $A = 0$  in fractional calculus texts such as [23, 12].

**2.3. Phillips functional calculus.** If Assumption 2.1(i) holds and  $f: \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  can be written as the Laplace transform of a complex Borel measure  $\mu$  of bounded variation on  $[0, \infty)$ , i.e., if we have

$$f(z) = \mathcal{L}[\mu](z) := \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-zs} \, d\mu(s)$$

for all  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ , then we define the operator

$$f(A) := \left[ \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-sz} \, d\mu(s) \right] (A) := \int_{[0, \infty)} S(s) \, d\mu(s).$$

The map  $f \mapsto f(A)$ , called the *Phillips functional calculus* for  $A$ , is an algebra homomorphism from the space of Laplace transforms to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , see [9, Remark 3.3.3].

Note that  $S(t) = \mathcal{L}[\delta_t](A) = (e^{-zt})(A)$ , where  $\delta_t$  denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Moreover, for any  $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$  we can define the negative fractional powers of  $A + \varepsilon \text{Id}_X$  by

$$(A + \varepsilon \text{Id}_X)^{-\alpha} := [(z + \varepsilon)^{-\alpha}](A) = \mathcal{L} \left[ \frac{s^{\alpha-1} e^{-\varepsilon s}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \, ds \right] (A),$$

see [9, Proposition 3.3.5]. These can be used to define  $(A + \varepsilon \text{Id}_X)^\alpha$  and, in turn,  $A^\alpha: \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  in a manner which is consistent with other common definitions of fractional powers, cf. [9, Propositions 3.1.9 and 3.3.2]. Under Assumption 2.1(ii), we can also allow for  $\varepsilon = 0$  directly in the above to define (see [9, Corollary 3.3.6]):

$$A^{-\alpha} := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \sigma^{\alpha-1} S(\sigma) \, d\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(X). \quad (2.4)$$

### 3. DEFINITION OF THE SOLUTION CONCEPT AND ITS MOTIVATION

In this section we turn to the main subject of the present work, namely to state and motivate (respectively in Sections 3.1 and 3.2) a precise definition of the solution to the Dirichlet problem associated to  $\mathfrak{D}^s$ , which consists in finding a function  $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}^s u(t) = 0, & t \in (t_0, \infty), \\ u(t) = g(t), & t \in (-\infty, t_0], \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

for  $s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and sufficiently regular  $g: (-\infty, t_0] \rightarrow X$ . Recall from Section 2.2 that  $\mathfrak{D}^s$  denotes the Riemann–Liouville type fractional parabolic differentiation operator acting on functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $X$ , which is our interpretation of the operator  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  appearing in (1.3), as motivated by Remark 2.4.

**3.1. Definition and some properties.** We begin by stating the precise definition of the solution formula formally given by (1.4).

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose that Assumption 2.1(i) holds. Let  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in C_b((-\infty, t_0]; X)$  be given. If  $g \in \mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{D}^{(s-1) \vee 0})$  and  $\mathfrak{D}^{(s-1) \vee 0} g \in C_b((-\infty, t_0]; X)$ , then the solution  $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  to (3.1) is defined by  $u \equiv g$  on  $(-\infty, t_0]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &:= \frac{\sin(\pi\{s\})}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1)) g(t_0 - (t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t-t_0)^{\{s\}+k-1}}{\Gamma(\{s\}+k)} S(t-t_0) \mathfrak{D}^{\{s\}+k-1} g(t_0), \quad t \in (t_0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

As we will see below, formula (3.2) has close connections to the normalized upper incomplete gamma function, whose principal branch  $\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, \cdot): \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_+$  is defined by

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_z^\infty \zeta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\zeta} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0),$$

integrating over any contour from  $z$  to  $\infty$  avoiding  $(-\infty, 0)$ , see [21, Chapter 8]. For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{0\}$ , [21, Equations (5.5.3), (13.4.4) and (13.6.6)] yield

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, z) = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{1+\tau} e^{-z(1+\tau)} d\tau. \quad (3.3)$$

In particular, for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , the change of variables  $\sigma := t(1+\tau)$  produces

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, tz) = \frac{t^\alpha \sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_t^\infty (\sigma-t)^{-\alpha} \sigma^{-1} e^{-\sigma z} d\sigma. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, for all  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have the following recurrence relations [21, Equations (8.8.12) and (8.4.10)]:

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, z) = \bar{\Gamma}(\{\alpha\}, z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \frac{z^{k+\{\alpha\}-1}}{\Gamma(k+\{\alpha\})} e^{-z}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}(n, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^k}{k!} e^{-z}. \quad (3.5)$$

These identities are used to derive the upper bound for (3.2) in the following:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose Assumption 2.1(i) holds. Let  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in C_b((-\infty, t_0]; X)$  be as in Definition 3.1. Then the solution  $u$  to (3.1) satisfies  $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{t_0\}; X)$  and, for all  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ ,*

$$\|u(t)\|_X \leq M_0 \bar{\Gamma}(s, w(t-t_0)) \max\{\|g\|_\infty, \|\mathfrak{D}^{\{s\}} g(t_0)\|_X, \dots, \|\mathfrak{D}^{s-1} g(t_0)\|_X\},$$

where  $M_0 \in [1, \infty)$  and  $w \in [0, \infty)$  are as in (2.1).

If moreover  $g(t), \mathfrak{D}^{\{s\}+k} g(t_0) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}(A)}$  for all  $t \in (-\infty, t_0]$  and  $k \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor s \rfloor\}$ , then we in fact have  $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}; X)$ .

*Proof.* The estimate on  $\|u(t)\|_X$  follows by applying the triangle inequality, (2.1) and identities (3.3)–(3.5) to (3.2). The continuity assertions rely on the strong continuity of  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , see the remarks below Assumption 2.1. They are immediate for the terms involving  $\mathfrak{D}^{\{s\}+k}g(t_0)$ . For the integral term, we note that the norm of the integrand is dominated by  $\tau \mapsto M_0 \|g\|_\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau+1}$ , which is integrable in view of (3.3). Combined with the continuity of  $t \mapsto S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))g(t_0 - (t-t_0)\tau)$  on  $(t_0, \infty)$  and possibly at  $t_0$ , the dominated convergence theorem yields the result.  $\square$

We emphasize once more that the solution formula can fail to be continuous at  $t_0$  even in the first-order case  $u(t) = S(t-t_0)x$  if  $x \notin \overline{\mathbf{D}(A)}$ . As an example, we can take  $X = C_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $A = -\Delta$  and  $x(\xi) = \sin(\xi^2)$ . Then  $-A$  generates the analytic heat semigroup and  $\overline{\mathbf{D}(A)} = C_{ub}(\mathbb{R})$  is the space of bounded and uniformly continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , cf. [19, Corollary 3.1.9]. In this case  $\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq 1$  for all  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$  but  $S(t-t_0)x$  does not converge uniformly to  $x$  as  $t \downarrow t_0$ .

Next we comment on the precise way in which the solution concept of Definition 3.1 reduces to that of Definition A.5 in Appendix A for integer orders  $s = n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Substituting  $s = n$  (i.e.,  $\lfloor s \rfloor = n$  and  $\{s\} = 0$ ) in the higher-order terms of (3.2) and shifting the index of summation yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!} S(t-t_0)[(\partial_t + A)^k g](t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, \infty), \quad (3.6)$$

which is the desired integer-order formula; see Subsection A.2 of Appendix A. Moreover, the first term in (3.2) vanishes as required, provided that the integral remains convergent for  $\{s\} = 0$ . This occurs under Assumption 2.1(ii), but may fail in general if only Assumption 2.1(i) is satisfied, in which case we cannot argue via direct substitution. Instead, in this situation we have to consider limits  $s \rightarrow n$ . Let  $u_s$  denote the solution from Definition 3.1 of order  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$  we have

$$u_{n+\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\varepsilon}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))g(t_0 - (t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \quad (3.7)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^{k+\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(k+\varepsilon+1)} S(t-t_0)\mathfrak{D}^{k+\varepsilon}g(t_0); \quad (3.8)$$

$$u_{n-\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{\varepsilon-1}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))g(t_0 - (t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \quad (3.9)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^{k-\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(k-\varepsilon+1)} S(t-t_0)\mathfrak{D}^{k-\varepsilon}g(t_0). \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting  $\varepsilon = 0$  into the summations on lines (3.8) and (3.10) and comparing the resulting expressions with (3.6), we observe that the integral terms on lines (3.7) and (3.9) should respectively converge to zero and  $S(t-t_0)g(t_0)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in order to reduce to the integer-order case (formally, since we cannot expect the continuity of  $\varepsilon \mapsto \mathfrak{D}^{k \pm \varepsilon}g(t_0)$  in general). The following proposition states when these convergences hold:



**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in C_b((-\infty, t_0]; X)$  be given. If Assumption 2.1(i) is satisfied, then for all  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$  it holds that*

$$\frac{\sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{\varepsilon-1}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))g(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \rightarrow S(t-t_0)g(t_0) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

If, in addition, Assumption 2.1(ii) is satisfied, then

$$\frac{\sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\varepsilon}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))g(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.11)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ . First we define the function  $f_{t,t_0} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  by

$$f_{t,t_0}(r) := \begin{cases} S(t-t_0-r)g(t_0+r), & r \in (-\infty, 0]; \\ S(t-t_0)g(t_0), & r \in (0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

which is bounded and continuous at  $r = 0$  by the assumptions on  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $g$ . Next, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  we define  $\psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon}(r) := \frac{(t-t_0)^{1-\varepsilon} \sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} (r+(t-t_0))_+^{-1} r_+^{\varepsilon-1}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Shifting the integration variable by  $t-t_0$  and applying (3.4) with  $s = 1-\varepsilon$  and  $z = 0$ , we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon}(r) dr = \frac{(t-t_0)^{1-\varepsilon} \sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_{t-t_0}^\infty r^{-1} (r-(t-t_0))^{\varepsilon-1} dr = 1.$$

Moreover, we have for any  $\delta > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|r| \geq \delta\}} |\psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon}(r)| dr &= \frac{(t-t_0)^{1-\varepsilon} \sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_\delta^\infty (r+t-t_0)^{-1} r^{\varepsilon-1} dr \\ &\leq \frac{(t-t_0)^{1-\varepsilon} \sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_\delta^\infty r^{\varepsilon-2} dr = \frac{(t-t_0)^{1-\varepsilon} \delta^{\varepsilon-1} \sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi(1-\varepsilon)} \rightarrow \frac{(t-t_0) \cdot 0}{\pi\delta} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Together, these observations show that the family  $(\psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$  forms an approximate identity as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the sense of [8, Definition 1.2.15].

Finally we note that the change of variables  $\sigma := (t-t_0)\tau$  yields

$$\frac{\sin(\pi\varepsilon)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{\varepsilon-1}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))g(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau) d\tau = [\psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon} * f_{t,t_0}](0).$$

The first assertion now follows by applying the obvious vector-valued generalization of [8, Theorem 1.2.19(2)], which gives

$$[\psi_{t,t_0,\varepsilon} * f_{t,t_0}](0) \rightarrow f_{t,t_0}(0) = S(t-t_0)g(t_0) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

For the second assertion, suppose that Assumption 2.1(ii) holds. By Proposition 3.2, the left-hand side of (3.11) is bounded above by  $M_0 \bar{\Gamma}(\varepsilon, w(t-t_0)) \|g\|_\infty$  for all  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ . Since  $w(t-t_0) > 0$ , this expression tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

The final corollary concerns the choice of constant  $g \equiv x \in D(A^{(s-1) \vee 0})$  in Definition 3.1, in which case the solution can be expressed in terms of an operator-valued counterpart of the upper incomplete gamma function. Namely, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$  we use the Phillips calculus from Section 2.3 to define

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, tA) := [z \mapsto \bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, tz)](A) = \mathcal{L} \left[ \frac{t^\alpha \sigma_+^{-1} (\sigma-t)_+^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(1-\alpha)} d\sigma \right] (A) \in \mathcal{L}(X),$$

see (3.4). For  $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ , such a Laplace transform representation is no longer available, so in this case we instead define  $\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, tA)$  by analogy with (3.5):

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, tA)x := \bar{\Gamma}(\{\alpha\}, tA)x + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \frac{t^{k+\{\alpha\}-1}}{\Gamma(k+\{\alpha\})} A^{k+\{\alpha\}-1} S(t)x, \quad x \in D(A^{\alpha-1}). \quad (3.12)$$

For  $t = 0$  we set  $\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, 0A) := \text{Id}_X$ . Although  $\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha, tA)$  is unbounded in general, under the additional Assumption 2.1(iii) we have, cf. [22, Chapter 2, Theorem 6.13(c)]:

$$\forall \beta \in [0, \infty), \exists M_\beta \in [1, \infty) : \|A^\beta S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M_\beta t^{-\beta} e^{-wt}, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.13)$$

Putting these observations together, we obtain the following formula for the solution with initial datum  $g \equiv x$ :

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose Assumption 2.1(i) holds. Let  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $g \equiv x$  for a given  $x \in D(A^{(s-1) \vee 0})$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  or Assumption 2.1(ii) holds, then the solution  $u$  to (3.1) from Definition 3.1 becomes*

$$u(t) = \bar{\Gamma}(s, (t - t_0)A)x, \quad \forall t \in (t_0, \infty). \quad (3.14)$$

If, in addition,  $s \in (0, 1)$  or Assumption 2.1(iii) is satisfied, then

$$\|u(t)\|_X \leq \left[ M_0 \bar{\Gamma}(\{s\}, w(t - t_0)) + e^{-w(t-t_0)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{M_{\{s\}+k-1}}{\Gamma(\{s\}+k)} \right] \|x\|_X, \quad (3.15)$$

where  $w \in [0, \infty)$  and  $M_0, M_{\{s\}}, \dots, M_s \in [1, \infty)$  are as in equations (2.1) and (3.13).

*Proof.* The substitution  $g \equiv x$  and the change of variables  $\sigma := (t - t_0)(1 + \tau)$  in the first term of (3.2) produces

$$\frac{\sin(\pi\{s\})}{\pi} (t - t_0)^{\{s\}} \int_{t-t_0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-1} (\sigma - (t - t_0))^{-\{s\}} S(\sigma) d\sigma x,$$

which is equal to  $\bar{\Gamma}(\{s\}, (t - t_0)A)x$  by (3.4).

Now suppose that  $s \in (1, \infty)$  and let Assumption 2.1(ii) be satisfied. Then for any  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$  we have

$$\mathfrak{J}^\alpha g(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{\alpha-1} S(\tau)x d\tau = A^{-\alpha}x$$

by (2.4), so that

$$\mathfrak{D}^\beta g(t) := (\partial_t + A)^{\lceil \beta \rceil} \mathfrak{J}^{\lceil \beta \rceil - \beta} g(t) = A^{\lceil \beta \rceil} A^{\beta - \lceil \beta \rceil} x = A^\beta x$$

for all  $\beta \in [0, s - 1]$ , hence the remaining terms of (3.2) become

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t - t_0)^{\{s\}+k-1}}{\Gamma(\{s\}+k)} S(t - t_0) A^{\{s\}+k-1} x,$$

proving (3.14) in view of (3.12). Estimate (3.15) follows from (2.1) (and (3.13)).  $\square$

**3.2. Motivation of the solution formula.** In order to provide a motivation for Definition 3.1, fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and first suppose that  $g: (-\infty, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $D(\mathfrak{D}^s)$ . In this case, applying  $\mathfrak{D}^s$  to the second line of (3.1) yields

$$\mathfrak{D}^s u(t) = \mathfrak{D}^s g(t), \quad t \in (-\infty, t_0].$$

Combined with the condition that  $\mathfrak{D}^s u \equiv 0$  on  $(t_0, \infty)$ , we find

$$\mathfrak{D}^s u(t) = [\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}^s g}](t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in (t_0, \infty), \\ \mathfrak{D}^s g(t), & t \in (-\infty, t_0], \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

where the tilde signifies extension by zero to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In view of Proposition 2.3, we have for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  that  $u = \mathfrak{I}^s f$  is the unique mild solution to the equation  $\mathfrak{D}^s u = f$ , provided that  $u \in D(\mathfrak{D}^s)$ . Omitting the latter assumption, we can interpret  $\mathfrak{I}^s f$  as the mild solution to the equation for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ . Hence, setting  $f := \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}^s g}$ , we find that

$$v := \mathfrak{I}^s \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}^s g} \quad (3.17)$$

is the solution to (3.16). From here, the next goal is to rewrite this expression into a form which exhibits some cancellation of fractional integration and differentiation, at least in the case  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Indeed, the following theorem shows that (3.2) provides such a representation.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.1(ii) is satisfied. Let  $f \in L^p(-\infty, t_0; X)$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $s \in [1, \infty)$  if  $p = 1$  and  $s \in (1/p, \infty)$  otherwise. Then the following identity holds for all  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}^s \tilde{f}(t) &= \frac{\sin(\pi\{s\})}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1)) \mathfrak{I}^s f(t_0 - (t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t-t_0)^{\{s\}+k-1}}{\Gamma(\{s\}+k)} S(t-t_0) \mathfrak{I}^{\lfloor s \rfloor - k + 1} f(t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

In particular, if  $g \in D(\mathfrak{D}^s)$ , then substituting  $f := \mathfrak{D}^s g$  implies that the solution  $v$  to (3.16), defined by (3.17), satisfies

$$v(t) = u(t), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, \infty), \quad (3.19)$$

where  $u$  denotes the solution of (3.1) in the sense of Definition 3.1.

The proof of Theorem 3.5 involves expressing an integral in terms of fractional binomial coefficients, given by [21, Equations (1.2.6), (5.2.4) and (5.2.5)]:

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} := \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (\alpha - \ell) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{k! \Gamma(\alpha - k + 1)}, \quad \alpha \in (0, \infty), k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.20)$$

Since the author is not aware of a direct reference for the following integral identity, a proof is presented below for the sake of self-containedness.

**Lemma 3.6.** *For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_0^{a/b} \frac{\tau^{-\alpha} (a - b\tau)^{\alpha+n-1}}{\tau+1} d\tau \\ &= (a+b)^{\alpha+n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k} a^{n-k} b^{k+\alpha-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

*Proof.* By the change of variables  $\sigma := \frac{b}{a}\tau$  and [21, Equation (5.5.3)], the validity of the identity (3.21) is equivalent to that of

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{-\alpha}(1-\sigma)^{\alpha+n-1}}{\sigma + \frac{b}{a}} d\sigma \\ &= a^{1-n} b^{-\alpha} (a+b)^{\alpha+n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

We will verify this identity using induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The base case  $n = 0$  is a consequence of [21, Equations (5.12.4) and (5.12.1)]:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{-\alpha}(1-\sigma)^{\alpha-1}}{\sigma + \frac{b}{a}} d\sigma = \left(1 + \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{-\alpha} = ab^{-\alpha} (a+b)^{\alpha-1}.$$

Now suppose that (3.22) holds for a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In order to establish the identity for  $n+1$ , we write  $1-\sigma = 1 + \frac{b}{a} - (\sigma + \frac{b}{a})$  and apply the induction hypothesis and [21, Equation (5.12.1)], respectively, to the resulting two integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{-\alpha}(1-\sigma)^{\alpha+n}}{\sigma + \frac{b}{a}} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{b}{a}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^{-\alpha}(1-\sigma)^{\alpha+n-1}}{\sigma + \frac{b}{a}} d\sigma - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \sigma^{-\alpha}(1-\sigma)^{\alpha+n-1} d\sigma \\ &= a^{-n} b^{-\alpha} (a+b)^{\alpha+n} - \left(1 + \frac{b}{a}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-j} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{j-1} - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the latter two terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{b}{a}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k-1} + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k} + \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n-k+1} \right] \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k-1} + \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^n = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{\alpha+n}{n+1-k} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, to obtain the second line we note that  $\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)} = \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n}$  by (3.20), which is the term  $k = 0$  of the second summation on the second line; shifting its index of summation and splitting off the last term yields the first expression on the third line. The final step uses [21, Equation (1.2.7)] and the fact that  $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^n$  corresponds to the term  $k = n+1$  in the desired formula. Putting the previous two displays together proves the induction step and thereby the lemma.  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* An alternative way to derive (3.21) is by noting that the integral can be expressed in terms of a hypergeometric function [21, Equation (15.6.1)] to which one can apply the transformation formula [21, Equation (15.8.2)]. This results in a difference of two hypergeometric functions, whose definitions can be written out to respectively yield an infinite and a finite sum: The former is the fractional binomial expansion of  $(a+b)^{\alpha+n-1}$  and the latter consists of its first  $n$  terms, and together this gives (3.21). In particular, we note that (3.21) is formally equal to the tail of

a fractional binomial series. The proof of Lemma 3.6 is more direct and avoids the need to address the convergence of an infinite series.

*Proof of Theorem 3.5.* Fixing  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$ , the semigroup law implies

$$S(t-r) = S((t-t_0)(\tau+1))S(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau-r) \quad (3.23)$$

for all  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$  and  $r \in (-\infty, t_0 - (t-t_0)\tau)$ . This identity, followed by (2.1), Hölder's inequality and equation (3.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{t_0-(t-t_0)\tau} \left\| \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau-r)^{\gamma-1}}{\tau+1} S(t-r)f(r) \right\|_X dr d\tau \\ & \leq M_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau+1} e^{-w(t-t_0)(\tau+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{t_0-(t-t_0)\tau} \|k_s(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau-r)f(r)\|_X dr d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{M_0\pi}{\sin(\pi\{s\})} \bar{\Gamma}(\{s\}, w(t-t_0)) \|k_s\|_{L^{p'}(0, \infty; \mathcal{L}(X))} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This justifies the use of Fubini's theorem in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1)) \mathfrak{J}^s f(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{t_0-(t-t_0)\tau} \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau-r)^{s-1}}{\tau+1} S(t-r)f(r) dr d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{t_0-r}{t-t_0}} \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}(t_0-r-(t-t_0)\tau)^{s-1}}{\tau+1} d\tau \right] S(t-r)f(r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.23) once more. Lemma 3.6 and equation (3.20) produce

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(\pi\{s\})}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{t_0-r}{t-t_0}} \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}(t_0-r-(t-t_0)\tau)^{\{s\}+|s|-1}}{\tau+1} d\tau \\ & = (t-r)^{s-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{|s|} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(|s|-k)! \Gamma(\{s\}+k)} (t-r)^{|s|-k} (t-t_0)^{k+\{s\}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The previous two displays and the identity  $S(t-r) = S(t-t_0)S(t_0-r)$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(\pi\{s\})}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{-\{s\}}}{\tau+1} S((t-t_0)(\tau+1)) \mathfrak{J}^s f(t_0-(t-t_0)\tau) d\tau \\ & = - \sum_{k=1}^{|s|} \frac{(t-t_0)^{\{s\}+k-1} S(t-t_0)}{\Gamma(\{s\}+k)} \frac{1}{(|s|-k)!} \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (t_0-r)^{|s|-k} S(t_0-r)f(r) dr \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (t-r)^{s-1} S(t-r)f(r) dr \\ & = - \sum_{k=1}^{|s|} \frac{(t-t_0)^{\{s\}+k-1} S(t-t_0)}{\Gamma(\{s\}+k)} \mathfrak{J}^{|s|-k+1} f(t_0) + \mathfrak{J}^s \tilde{f}(t) \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely (3.18). The final assertion follows from Proposition 2.3(b).  $\square$

## 4. COMPARISON TO RIEMANN–LIOUVILLE AND CAPUTO CAUCHY PROBLEMS

In this section, we compare the Dirichlet problem (3.1) to the Cauchy problems associated to fractional parabolic operators  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  viewed as acting on functions  $u: J \rightarrow X$  with  $J = (t_0, \infty)$  for  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  instead of  $J = \mathbb{R}$ . More precisely, we will interpret  $(\partial_t + A)^s$  as a Riemann–Liouville or Caputo type fractional parabolic derivative, respectively, acting on  $L^p(J; X)$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . The resulting initial value problems are the most commonly studied ones associated to the fractional time derivatives  $\partial_t^s$ , i.e., for  $A = 0$  [12, Chapter 3]. In this case, the analog to (2.2) has less favorable mapping properties [12, Section 2.3] and the well-posedness of (1.4) is less clear. We will show that, as in the case  $A = 0$ , the lowest-order term of the solution to the Riemann–Liouville type initial value problem has a singularity at  $t_0$  in general, whereas the Caputo initial value problem yields the same solution for any two  $s_1, s_2$  in an interval  $(n, n + 1)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In contrast, the solution from Definition 3.1 is bounded, continuous at  $t_0$  under mild assumptions on  $g$  or  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , and changes for all choices of  $s \in (0, \infty)$ .

Firstly, let us define the Riemann–Liouville type fractional parabolic integral  $\mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s$  on  $L^p(J; X)$  by

$$\mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s u(t) := \mathfrak{I}^s \tilde{u}(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{t_0}^t (t - \tau)^{s-1} S(t - \tau) u(\tau) \, d\tau \quad (4.1)$$

for  $u \in L^p(J; X)$  and a.e.  $t \in J$ . Next, the Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type fractional parabolic derivative are respectively defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s := (\partial_t + A)^{[s]} \mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^{[s]-s} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s := \mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^{[s]-s} (\partial_t + A)^{[s]}$$

on their maximal domains.

In order to derive solution concepts for the equations  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s u = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s u = 0$ , we proceed analogously to [12, Chapter 3] and express  $\mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s u$  and  $\mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s \mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s u$  in terms of initial data from  $u$  (compare with (3.19)), so that formally applying  $\mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s$  on both sides of the equations motivates the definitions. The integer-order case  $s = n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}^n = \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^n = \mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^n = (\partial_t + A)^n$ , is treated in Section A.2 of Appendix A. From these results, we derive the following proposition regarding  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s$  for fractional  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ :

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let Assumption 2.1(i) be satisfied. If  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s)$ , then for almost all  $t \in J := (t_0, \infty)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s u(t) &= u(t) - \frac{(t - t_0)^{\{s\}-1}}{\Gamma(\{s\})} S(t - t_0) \mathfrak{I}_{\text{RL}}^{1-\{s\}} u(t_0) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t - t_0)^{k+\{s\}-1}}{\Gamma(k + \{s\})} S(t - t_0) \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^{k+\{s\}-1} u(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

*If  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s)$  is such that  $u \in C^j(\bar{J}; \mathcal{D}(A^{n-1-j}))$  for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , then we have for almost all  $t \in J := (t_0, \infty)$ :*

$$\mathfrak{I}_{t_0}^s \mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s u(t) = u(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t - t_0)^k}{k!} \bar{\Gamma}([s] - k, (t - t_0)A) u^{(k)}(t_0) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

*Proof.* For the sake of notational convenience we only present the case  $t_0 = 0$ . The definition of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s$  along with Propositions 2.2(c)(d) and A.4 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s} \mathfrak{J}_0^s \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s u &= \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]} \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s u = \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]} (\partial_t + A)^{[s]} \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s} u \\ &= \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s} u - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\cdot)^k}{k!} S(\cdot) [(\partial_t + A)^k \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s} u](0) \\ &= \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s} \left[ u - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\cdot)^{k-[s]+s} S(\cdot)}{\Gamma(k+s-[s]+1)} [(\partial_t + A)^k \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s} u](0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any  $u \in \text{D}(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s)$ . The first assertion then follows from Proposition 2.3 and the injectivity of  $\mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]-s}$ . If  $u \in \text{D}(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s)$ , combining the definition with Proposition 2.2(c) produces

$$\mathfrak{J}_0^s \mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s u = \mathfrak{J}_0^s \mathfrak{J}^{[s]-s} (\partial_t + A)^{[s]} u = \mathfrak{J}_0^{[s]} (\partial_t + A)^{[s]} u,$$

so that the result follows from Proposition A.4 and the discussion below it.  $\square$

Note that  $\mathfrak{J}_0^{1-\{s\}} u$  need not vanish at  $t_0 = 0$ . Indeed, even if it is continuous, it may not satisfy (4.1) pointwise, as evidenced by the example  $u := k_{\{s\}} \otimes x$  for  $p \in [1, \frac{1}{\{s\}-1})$  and  $x \in \overline{\text{D}(A)} \setminus \{0\}$ , see Proposition 2.2(d).

Proposition 4.1 motivates the following definition of the Riemann–Liouville fractional abstract Cauchy type problem and its corresponding solution.

**Definition 4.2.** Let Assumption 2.1(i) be satisfied. For any  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , the solution to the Riemann–Liouville abstract Cauchy type problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^s u(t) = 0, & t \in J := (t_0, \infty), \\ \mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^{1-\{s\}} u(t_0) = x_0 \in X, \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\text{RL}}^{k+\{s\}-1} u(t_0) = x_k \in \overline{\text{D}(A)}, & k \in \{1, \dots, [s]\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

is the function  $u_{\text{RL}} \in C(J; X)$  defined by

$$u_{\text{RL}}(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{[s]} \frac{(t-t_0)^{k+\{s\}-1}}{\Gamma(k+\{s\})} S(t-t_0) x_k, \quad t \in J. \quad (4.3)$$

Compared with Definition 3.1, we first note that the terms  $k \in \{1, \dots, [s]\}$  in (4.3) are almost identical to those of (3.2), up to the difference between taking Riemann–Liouville type fractional parabolic derivatives of the function  $u$  defined on  $J$  and Weyl type derivatives of  $g$  defined on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus J$ . The remaining term, on the other hand, differs significantly. In (4.2), we see that  $x_0$  is the prescribed value of  $\mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^{1-\{s\}} u$  at  $t_0$  and  $u_{\text{RL}}$  is continuous at  $t_0$  if and only if  $x_0 = 0$ , in view of the singularity occurring there for  $x_0 \neq 0$ . In contrast, the solution to (3.1) given by Definition 3.1 is bounded by Proposition 3.2, does in fact prescribe the value  $u(t_0) = g(t_0)$  and is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  under some further regularity assumptions.

The following definition of a Caputo type initial value problem and corresponding solution can also be derived from Proposition 4.1:

**Definition 4.3.** Let Assumption 2.1(i) be satisfied. For any  $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , the solution to the Caputo abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\text{C}}^s u(t) = 0, & t \in J := (t_0, \infty), \\ u^{(k)}(t_0) = x_k \in \text{D}(A^{[s]-k}), & k \in \{0, \dots, [s]\}. \end{cases}$$

is the function  $u_C \in C(J; X)$  defined by

$$u_C(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!} \bar{\Gamma}(\lceil s \rceil - k, (t-t_0)A)x_k, \quad t \in J.$$

Note that this problem and its solution have the same form as those associated to the integer-order abstract Cauchy problem from Definition A.5. Analogously, for sufficiently regular  $x_k$  or  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , this solution allows for the specification of  $u_C$  itself at  $t_0$ . However, in contrast to the solution in the sense of Definition 3.1, we observe that the form of  $u_C$  only changes “discretely in  $s$ ,” i.e., the solutions for any two  $s_1, s_2 \in (n, n+1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are given by the same formula.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges helpful discussions with Kristin Kirchner and Wolter Groenevelt which contributed to the formulations of Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.7, respectively. Moreover, the author thanks Mark Veraar and Jan van Neerven for carefully reading the manuscript and providing valuable comments.

#### APPENDIX A. INTEGER-ORDER ABSTRACT CAUCHY PROBLEMS

**A.1. First-order problems.** In this section we define and relate strong and mild solution concepts for first-order abstract Cauchy problems (1.2) for  $J = (t_0, \infty)$  where  $t_0 \in [-\infty, \infty)$ . Let  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{J}; X)$  denote the space of strongly measurable functions from  $\bar{J}$  to  $X$  which are integrable on every compact subset of  $\bar{J}$ . For  $b < a$  we set  $\int_a^b := -\int_b^a$ .

**Definition A.1** (Strong solution). A strongly measurable function  $u: J \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *strong solution* of (1.2) associated with  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{J}; X)$  if

- (i)  $u(t) \in D(A)$  for almost all  $t \in J$  and  $t \mapsto Au(t) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{J}; X)$ ;
- (ii) for almost all  $t \in J$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t Au(\tau) \, d\tau &= x + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \, d\tau && \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{R}; \\ u(t) + \int_0^t Au(\tau) \, d\tau &= u(0) + \int_0^t f(\tau) \, d\tau && \text{if } t_0 = -\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

As antiderivatives of locally integrable functions are continuous, see [10, Proposition 2.5.9], it follows that any strong solution admits a continuous representative, so that the pointwise evaluation of  $u$  in (A.1) is meaningful. In fact, identifying  $u$  with this representative, we find that it is (classically) differentiable for almost all  $t \in J$ , where it holds that  $u'(t) + Au(t) = f(t)$ , which implies  $u' = f - Au \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{J}; X)$ .

**Definition A.2** (Mild solution). Suppose that Assumption 2.1(ii) holds and let  $J := (t_0, \infty)$  with  $t_0 \in [-\infty, \infty)$ . The *mild solution* to (1.2) with  $f \in L^p(J; X)$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$  is the function  $u \in C_b(\bar{J}; X)$  defined for all  $t \in \bar{J}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &:= S(t-t_0)x + \mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^1 f(t) && \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in \overline{D(A)}; \\ u(t) &:= \mathfrak{J}^1 f(t) && \text{if } t_0 = -\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The continuity of the mild solution  $u$  defined by (A.2) follows from [11, Proposition K.1.5(3)] and Proposition 2.2(b). The following is a slight extension of [11, Proposition 17.1.3] for the class of time intervals considered in this work.



**Proposition A.3.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.1(ii) holds. Let  $J := (t_0, \infty)$  with  $t_0 \in [-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $f \in L^p(J; X)$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $x \in \overline{D(A)}$  if  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent for any  $u \in C_{\text{ub}}(\overline{J}; X)$ :*

- (a)  $u$  is a strong solution of (1.2) in the sense of Definition A.1;
- (b)  $u$  is the mild solution of (1.2) in the sense of Definition A.2 and  $u$  is (classically) differentiable almost everywhere with  $u' \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{J}; X)$ ;
- (c)  $u$  is the mild solution of (1.2) in the sense of Definition A.2,  $u(t) \in D(A)$  for almost all  $t \in J$  and  $t \mapsto Au(t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{J}; X)$ .

*Proof.* If  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the required modifications of the proof of [11, Proposition 17.1.3] are straightforward. We briefly comment on the case  $t_0 = -\infty$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $\lambda \text{Id}_X + A$  admits a bounded inverse.

(a)  $\implies$  (b): For  $u$  as in Definition A.1 and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the function  $v: (-\infty, t] \rightarrow X$  by  $v(\tau) := (\lambda \text{Id}_X + A)^{-1} S(t - \tau)u(\tau)$ . Fixing  $t' < t$ , and arguing as in the original proof—except for integrating over  $(t', t)$  instead of  $(0, t)$ —we find  $u(t) = S(t - t')u(t') + \int_{t'}^t S(t - \tau)f(\tau) \, d\tau$ . As  $t' \rightarrow -\infty$ , the first term vanishes by  $u \in C_{\text{ub}}(\mathbb{R}; X)$  and Assumption 2.1(ii), and the second term converges to  $\mathfrak{J}^1 f(t)$  by dominated convergence.

(b)  $\implies$  (a) and (c)  $\implies$  (a): Use the following analog to [11, Equation (17.4)]:

$$\int_0^t A(\lambda \text{Id}_X + A)^{-1} u(\tau) \, d\tau = -(\lambda \text{Id}_X + A)^{-1} \left[ u(t) - u(0) - \int_0^t f(\tau) \, d\tau \right]. \quad \square$$

**A.2. Higher-order problems.** Now we turn to general integer-order problems.

**Proposition A.4.** *Let Assumption 2.1(ii) hold and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $u \in D((\partial_t + A)^n)$  for  $J = (t_0, \infty)$  with  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have*

$$u(t) = \mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^n (\partial_t + A)^n u(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t - t_0)^k}{k!} S(t - t_0) [(\partial_t + A)^k u](t_0), \quad \forall t \in \overline{J}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In order to establish the base case  $n = 1$ , fix  $u \in W^{1,p}(J; X) \cap L^p(J; D(A)) \hookrightarrow C_b(\overline{J}; X)$ . Since  $u(t) \in D(A)$  a.e., we find in particular that  $u(t_0) \in \overline{D(A)}$ . Now the result follows from Proposition A.3 (a)  $\implies$  (b) with  $f := u' + Au \in L^p(J; X)$ .

Now suppose that the statement is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We present the argument for  $t_0 = 0$ , the other cases being analogous. Fix  $u \in D((\partial_t + A)^{n+1})$  and apply the induction hypothesis to  $(\partial_t + A)u \in D((\partial_t + A)^n)$ , yielding

$$(\partial_t + A)u(t) = \mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^n (\partial_t + A)^{n+1} u(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} S(t) [(\partial_t + A)^{k+1} u](0)$$

for all  $t \in \overline{J}$ . Applying  $\mathfrak{J}^1$  to both sides of the above equation, we can use the case  $n = 1$  along with Propositions 2.2(c)(d) to find

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^{n+1} (\partial_t + A)^{n+1} u(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} S(t) [(\partial_t + A)^{k+1} u](0) + S(t)u(0) \\ &= \mathfrak{J}_{t_0}^{n+1} (\partial_t + A)^{n+1} u(t) + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} S(t) [(\partial_t + A)^k u](0). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

If  $u \in \mathcal{D}((\partial_t + A)^k)$  is sufficiently regular, say if  $u \in C^j(\bar{J}; \mathcal{D}(A^{k-j}))$  ( $j$  times continuously differentiable) for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ , then we have the pointwise binomial expansion

$$[(\partial_t + A)^k u](t) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} A^{k-j} u^{(j)}(t), \quad \forall t \in \bar{J},$$

where  $u^{(j)}$  denotes the  $j$ th (classical) derivative of  $u$ . Substituting this into (A.3), using the definition of binomial coefficients, interchanging the order of summation and shifting the inner summation index yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} S(t)[(\partial_t + A)^k u](0) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{t^k}{j!(k-j)!} S(t) A^{k-j} u^{(j)}(0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{j!(k-j)!} S(t) A^{k-j} u^{(j)}(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^j}{j!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-j-1} \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} S(t) A^\ell u^{(j)}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A^{n-1})$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$  we have

$$\bar{\Gamma}(n, tA)x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} S(t) A^k x,$$

cf. (3.5). Together, these observations yield the following definition:

**Definition A.5.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. The solution to the  $n$ th order abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + A)^n u(t) = 0, & t \in J := (t_0, \infty), \\ u^{(k)}(t_0) = x_k \in \mathcal{D}(A^{n-k-1}), & k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \end{cases}$$

is the function  $u \in C(J; X)$  defined by

$$u(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!} \bar{\Gamma}(n-k, (t-t_0)A)x_k.$$

We remark that if  $A^{n-k-1}x_k \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$  for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $u$  is also continuous at  $t_0$ . Under Assumption 2.1(iii), we need only require  $x_k \in X$  in Definition A.5 and  $x_k \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$  for continuity at  $t_0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. ATHANASOPOULOS, L. CAFFARELLI, AND E. MILAKIS, *On the regularity of the non-dynamic parabolic fractional obstacle problem*, J. Differential Equations, 265 (2018), pp. 2614–2647.
- [2] A. BANERJEE AND N. GAROFALO, *Monotonicity of generalized frequencies and the strong unique continuation property for fractional parabolic equations*, Adv. Math., 336 (2018), pp. 149–241.
- [3] ———, *On the space-like analyticity in the extension problem for nonlocal parabolic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 151 (2023), pp. 1235–1246.
- [4] A. BISWAS, M. DE LEÓN-CONTRERAS, AND P. R. STINGA, *Harnack inequalities and Hölder estimates for master equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 53 (2021), pp. 2319–2348.
- [5] A. BISWAS AND P. R. STINGA, *Regularity estimates for nonlocal space-time master equations in bounded domains*, J. Evol. Equ., 21 (2021), pp. 503–565.
- [6] L. CAFFARELLI AND L. SILVESTRE, *Hölder regularity for generalized master equations with rough kernels*, in Advances in analysis: the legacy of Elias M. Stein, vol. 50 of Princeton Math. Ser., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014, pp. 63–83.

- [7] C. FJELLSTRÖM, K. NYSTRÖM, AND Y. WANG, *Asymptotic mean value formulas, nonlocal space-time parabolic operators and anomalous tug-of-war games*, J. Differential Equations, 342 (2023), pp. 150–178.
- [8] L. GRAFAKOS, *Classical Fourier analysis*, vol. 249 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, second ed., 2008.
- [9] M. HAASE, *The functional calculus for sectorial operators*, vol. 169 of Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [10] T. HYTÖNEN, J. VAN NEERVEN, M. VERAAR, AND L. WEIS, *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. I. Martingales and Littlewood-Paley theory*, vol. 63 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [11] ———, *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. III. Harmonic Analysis and Spectral Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [12] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, AND J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, vol. 204 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [13] K. KIRCHNER AND J. WILLEMS, *Regularity theory for a new class of fractional parabolic stochastic evolution equations*, Stoch. PDE: Anal. Comp., (2023).
- [14] R.-Y. LAI, Y.-H. LIN, AND A. RÜLAND, *The Calderón problem for a space-time fractional parabolic equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52 (2020), pp. 2655–2688.
- [15] F. LINDGREN, H. BAKKA, D. BOLIN, E. KRAINSKI, AND H. RUE, *A diffusion-based spatiotemporal extension of gaussian matérn fields*. Preprint, arXiv:2006.04917v3, 2023.
- [16] F. LINDGREN, D. BOLIN, AND H. RUE, *The SPDE approach for Gaussian and non-Gaussian fields: 10 years and still running*, Spat. Stat., 50 (2022). Paper No. 100599.
- [17] F. LINDGREN, H. RUE, AND J. LINDSTRÖM, *An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach*, J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol., 73 (2011), pp. 423–498. With discussion and a reply by the authors.
- [18] M. LITSGÅRD AND K. NYSTRÖM, *On local regularity estimates for fractional powers of parabolic operators with time-dependent measurable coefficients*, J. Evol. Equ., 23 (2023), pp. Paper No. 3, 33.
- [19] A. LUNARDI, *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*, vol. 16 of Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [20] K. NYSTRÖM AND O. SANDE, *Extension properties and boundary estimates for a fractional heat operator*, Nonlinear Anal., 140 (2016), pp. 29–37.
- [21] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT, AND C. W. CLARK, eds., *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [22] A. PAZY, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, vol. 44 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [23] S. G. SAMKO, A. A. KILBAS, AND O. I. MARICHEV, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. Theory and applications, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikol'skiĭ, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Revised by the authors.
- [24] E. M. STEIN, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [25] P. R. STINGA AND J. L. TORREA, *Regularity theory and extension problem for fractional non-local parabolic equations and the master equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 49 (2017), pp. 3893–3924.

(Joshua Willems) DELFT INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. BOX 5031, 2600 GA DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS.

*Email address:* j.willems@tudelft.nl