

GRADIENT ESTIMATES FOR POSITIVE EIGENFUNCTIONS OF \mathcal{L} -OPERATOR ON CONFORMAL SOLITONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

GUANGWEN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We prove a local gradient estimate for positive eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} -operator on conformal solitons given by a general conformal vector field. As an application, we obtain a Liouville type theorem for $\mathcal{L}u = 0$, which improves the one of Li–Sun (Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 37(11):1768–1782, 2021.). We also consider applications where manifolds are special conformal solitons. Especially in the case of self-shrinkers, a better Liouville type theorem is obtained.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Proofs of the main results	5
References	10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M^n be a smooth manifold of dimension n and $(\overline{M}^{n+p}, \overline{g})$ a smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + p$. A family of immersions

$$F_t : M \rightarrow \overline{M}$$

is called a mean curvature flow of M in \overline{M} if it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_t = \mathbf{H}_t,$$

where \mathbf{H}_t is the mean curvature vector of $F_t(M)$ in \overline{M} .

Following K. Smoczyk [6], we call M is a *conformal soliton* to the mean curvature flow if

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}^N$$

for a conformal vector field \mathbf{X} , where \mathbf{H} is the mean curvature vector of M in \overline{M} and \mathbf{X}^N is the normal projection of \mathbf{X} to the normal bundle of M in \overline{M} . Recall that a smooth vector field \mathbf{X} on \overline{M} is called *conformal* if in local coordinate,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40, 35B45.

Key words and phrases. Conformal soliton, Gradient estimate, Liouville type theorem.

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12001410).

$\bar{\nabla}_j \mathbf{X}_i + \bar{\nabla}_i \mathbf{X}_j = \lambda \bar{g}_{ij}$ for some smooth function λ on \bar{M} . In other word, a conformal vector field \mathbf{X} is a smooth vector field which satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad L_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{g} = \lambda \bar{g}$$

for some smooth function λ on \bar{M} , where L is the Lie derivative.

Let $F : M \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be a conformal soliton. We denote by $g = F^* \bar{g}$ the induced metric on M , which makes $F : (M, g) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is a isometric immersion. Let ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connections on M and \bar{M} , respectively. There is an important elliptic operator on M as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{L}\star = \Delta \star + \langle \mathbf{X}, \nabla \star \rangle_{\bar{g}},$$

where Δ and ∇ is the Laplacian and the gradient operator on M . Through this paper, we call this elliptic operator the \mathcal{L} -operator for convenience. In [4], X. Li and J. Sun considered the special conformal vector field \mathbf{X} satisfies

$$\bar{\nabla}_j \mathbf{X}_i = \lambda \bar{g}_{ij},$$

which can be written as $\mathbf{X} = \bar{\nabla} \omega$ for some smooth function ω according to Poincaré lemma, as long as \bar{M} is simply connected. They derived gradient estimates for the positive solutions of $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. In their setting, the \mathcal{L} -operator can be written as $\mathcal{L}\star = \Delta \star + \langle \bar{\nabla} \omega, \nabla \star \rangle_{\bar{g}}$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the gradient operator on \bar{M} .

In this paper, we focus on the general case and consider conformal solitons given by a general conformal vector field of the form (1.2), and study gradient estimates of the eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} -operator. In precise, we will study gradient estimates for positive solution of the linear elliptic equation

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{L}u = -\mu u,$$

where μ is a constant. Throughout this paper we use $V = \mathbf{X}^T$ to represent the tangential projection of \mathbf{X} to the tangent bundle of M , and accordingly the \mathcal{L} -operator can be viewed the V -Laplacian

$$\mathcal{L}\star = \Delta \star + \langle \mathbf{X}^T, \nabla \star \rangle_{\bar{g}} = \Delta \star + \langle V, \nabla \star \rangle_g =: \Delta_V \star,$$

which is a generalized Laplacian introduced by Q. Chen, J. Jost and G. Wang [3]. As in [2, 7], the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor are defined by

$$\text{Ric}_V = \text{Ric} - \frac{1}{2} L_V g,$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor, and L is the Lie derivative.

In the sequel, we say that M is a conformal soliton in (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , meaning that M is a conformal soliton given by a conformal vector field of the form (1.2) on \bar{M} . Our first main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Gradient estimate). *Let M^n be a conformal soliton in (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) . Suppose that $\bar{K} \geq -K_0$, $|\mathbf{B}| \leq B_0$, $|\mathbf{X}^T| \leq V_0$ and $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, where K_0, B_0 and V_0 are nonnegative constants. Let u be a positive solution to (1.4). Then there exists a positive constant C depending on n, μ, a, K_0, B_0, V_0 and λ_0 , such that*

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{B_{a/2}(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq C.$$

More explicitly, for any $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{B_{\alpha/2}(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \leq \tilde{C} \left(\Lambda_0 + |\Lambda_0| + |\mu| + \frac{\sqrt{K_0} + B_0 + V_0}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} \right),$$

where \tilde{C} is a positive constant depending on n and α , and

$$\Lambda_0 = (n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}.$$

By letting $\mu = 0$ and taking $a \rightarrow \infty$ in estimate (1.6), we immediately get the following Liouville type theorem for $\mathcal{L}u = 0$.

Corollary 1.2 (Liouville type theorem). *Let M^n be a complete noncompact conformal soliton in $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$. Suppose that $\overline{K} \geq -K_0$, $|\mathbf{B}| \leq B_0$, $|\mathbf{X}^T| \leq V_0$ and $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, where K_0, B_0 and V_0 are nonnegative constants. If there is a positive number α such that $(n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \leq 0$, then any positive or bounded solution to $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ must be constant.*

Remark 1.3. Our Corollary 1.2 improves the corresponding result obtained by X. Li and J. Sun in [4]. In fact, they obtained a Liouville type theorem for positive or bounded solutions of $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ on a conformal soliton given by a special conformal vector field of the form $\overline{\nabla}_j \mathbf{X}_i = \lambda \overline{g}_{ij}$, under the assumptions of $\overline{K} \geq -K_0$, $|\mathbf{B}| \leq c$, $|\nabla \omega| \leq k$, $\overline{\text{Hess}} \omega \leq m \overline{g}$, and $(n-1)K_0 + c^2 + \frac{k^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + m = 0$, where ω is a smooth function satisfies $\overline{\nabla} \omega = \mathbf{X}$.

As another application of Theorem 1.1, we can also prove a Harnack type inequality for positive eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} -operator.

Corollary 1.4 (Harnack type inequality). *The conditions are the same as in Theorem 1.1. Then there exists a positive constant \widehat{C} depending on n, μ, a, K_0, B_0, V_0 and λ_0 , such that*

$$\sup_{B_{\alpha/2}(p)} u \leq \widehat{C} \inf_{B_{\alpha/2}(p)} u.$$

Finally, we consider conformal solitons given by some special conformal vector fields in Euclidean space. When the ambient manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ is the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \delta)$, it is easy to know that the position vector \mathbf{x} and any constant vector \mathbf{T} are conformal. Conformal solitons defined by \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{T} are self-shrinkers and translating solitons, respectively.

In the case $\mathbf{X} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}$, a simple calculation yields $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}}\delta = -\frac{1}{2}L_{\mathbf{x}}\delta = -\delta$, which is $\lambda \equiv -1$ in (1.2). It follows from Theorem 1.1 that

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete self-shrinker, which satisfies $\mathbf{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^N$. Suppose that $|\mathbf{B}| \leq B_0$ and $|\mathbf{x}^T| \leq v_0$, where B_0 and v_0 are nonnegative constants. Let u be a positive solution to $\Delta u - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla u \rangle_\delta = -\mu u$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive constant C_1 depending on n and α , such that*

$$\sup_{B_{\alpha/2}(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \leq C_1 \left(\max \left\{ B_0^2 + \frac{v_0^2}{4\alpha(n-1)} - \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right\} + |\mu| + \frac{B_0 + v_0}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} \right).$$

We also have the following Liouville type theorem, which can be found in [8], with the slight difference that our conditions here are more relaxed.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete noncompact self-shrinker, which satisfies $\mathbf{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^N$. Suppose that $|\mathbf{B}| \leq B_0$ and $|\mathbf{x}^T| \leq v_0$, where B_0 and v_0 are nonnegative constants. If there is a positive number α such that $B_0^2 + \frac{v_0^2}{4\alpha(n-1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then any positive or bounded solution to $\Delta u - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla u \rangle_\delta = 0$ must be constant.*

In the case $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{T}$, we have $L_{\mathbf{X}}\delta = 0$ and then $\lambda \equiv 0$ in (1.2). It follows from Theorem 1.1 that

Corollary 1.7. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a complete translating soliton, which satisfies $\mathbf{H} = T^N$. Suppose that $|\mathbf{B}| \leq B_0$ and $|\mathbf{T}^T| \leq T_0$. Let u be a positive solution to $\Delta u + \langle \mathbf{T}, \nabla u \rangle_\delta = -\mu u$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive constant C_2 depending on n and α , such that*

$$\sup_{B_{\alpha/2}(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \leq C_2 \left(B_0^2 + \frac{T_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + |\mu| + \frac{B_0 + T_0}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} \right).$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M^n, g) be a isometric immersed submanifold of $(\overline{M}^{n+p}, \overline{g})$, and ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ be their Levi-Civita connections, respectively. Here and in the sequel, we equate a vector field on M with its image in \overline{M} . For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have $\nabla_X Y = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^T$, and define the second fundamental form \mathbf{B} of M by $\mathbf{B}(X, Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^N = \overline{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$, which is a symmetric bilinear form on TM with values in NM . The mean curvature vector \mathbf{H} of M is defined by $\mathbf{H} = \text{tr}_g \mathbf{B} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{B}(e_i, e_i)$, where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a local orthonormal frame field of M .

Now we compare the Lie derivatives of g and \overline{g} : for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, recall that we specify $V = \mathbf{X}^T$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_V g(X, Y) &= V\langle X, Y \rangle_g - \langle [V, X], Y \rangle_g - \langle X, [V, Y] \rangle_g \\ &= \langle \nabla_X V, Y \rangle_g + \langle X, \nabla_Y V \rangle_g \\ &= X\langle V, Y \rangle_g - \langle V, \nabla_X Y \rangle_g + Y\langle X, V \rangle_g - \langle \nabla_Y X, V \rangle_g \\ (2.1) \quad &= X\langle \mathbf{X}, Y \rangle_{\overline{g}} - \langle \mathbf{X}, \overline{\nabla}_X Y \rangle_{\overline{g}} + \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B}(X, Y) \rangle_{\overline{g}} \\ &\quad + Y\langle Y, \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\overline{g}} - \langle \overline{\nabla}_Y X, \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\overline{g}} + \langle \mathbf{B}(Y, X), \mathbf{H} \rangle_{\overline{g}} \\ &= \langle \overline{\nabla}_X \mathbf{X}, Y \rangle_{\overline{g}} + \langle X, \overline{\nabla}_Y X \rangle_{\overline{g}} + 2\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}(X, Y) \rangle_{\overline{g}} \\ &= L_{\mathbf{X}} \overline{g}(X, Y) + 2\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B}(X, Y) \rangle_{\overline{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the curvature tensor of M by R , which is defined in this paper as $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$ for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Similarly, denote by \overline{R} the curvature tensor of \overline{M} . We have the Gauss equation

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle_g = \langle \overline{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle_{\overline{g}} - \langle \mathbf{B}(X, Z), \mathbf{B}(Y, W) \rangle_{\overline{g}} + \langle \mathbf{B}(X, W), \mathbf{B}(Y, Z) \rangle_{\overline{g}}.$$

Choosing a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of M , let $Y = Z = e_i$ and sum both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Ric}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \overline{R}(X, e_i)e_i, Y \rangle_{\overline{g}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{B}(X, e_i), \mathbf{B}(Y, e_i) \rangle_{\overline{g}} + \langle \mathbf{B}(X, Y), \mathbf{H} \rangle_{\overline{g}},$$

where we replaced W with Y . From (2.1) and (2.2), the Bakry–Émery Ricci tensor (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_V(X, Y) &= \text{Ric}(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}L_V g(X, Y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{R}(X, e_i)e_i, Y \rangle_{\bar{g}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{B}(X, e_i), \mathbf{B}(Y, e_i) \rangle_{\bar{g}} - \frac{1}{2}L_{\mathbf{X}} \bar{g}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Ric} \geq -(n-1)K_0 - 2B_0^2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Ric}_V \geq - \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right),$$

if the sectional curvature of \bar{M} has a lower bound $-K_0$, the norm of the second fundamental form of M has an upper bound B_0 and $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ on \bar{M} .

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need a lemma. We first list an elementary inequality that will be used in the proof of our lemma. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad (a+b)^2 \geq \frac{a^2}{1+\alpha} - \frac{b^2}{\alpha},$$

and equality holds if and only if $b = -\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}a$.

Lemma 3.1. *The conditions are the same as in Theorem 1.1. Then for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla u| \Delta_V |\nabla u| &\geq \frac{|\nabla |\nabla u||^2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} - \frac{(\mu u)^2}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)} \\ &\quad - \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} + \mu + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} \right) |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{L}u = \Delta_V u$. From the Bochner formula

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_V |\nabla u|^2 = |\text{Hess } u|^2 + \text{Ric}_V(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta_V u \rangle_g$$

and the fact

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_V |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 + |\nabla u| \Delta_V |\nabla u|,$$

combined with u is a solution of (1.4), we have

$$(3.3) \quad |\nabla u| \Delta_V |\nabla u| = |\text{Hess } u|^2 + \text{Ric}_V(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \mu |\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla |\nabla u||^2.$$

Proceeding, given $p \in M$, choose the normal coordinates around p so that $u_i(p) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $u_1(p) = |\nabla u|(p)$, and then

$$|\nabla |\nabla u||^2 = |\nabla u_1|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{1j}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$|\text{Hess } u|^2 - |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{ij}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n u_{1j}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=2}^n u_{i1}^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n u_{ii}^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=2}^n u_{i1}^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n u_{ii} \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^n u_{i1}^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} (\mu u + u_{11} + V^1 u_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.1) twice, for any $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu u + u_{11} + V^1 u_1 &\geq \frac{(\mu u + u_{11})^2}{1 + \alpha} - \frac{(V^1 u_1)^2}{\alpha} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \left(\frac{u_{11}^2}{1 + \beta} - \frac{(\mu u)^2}{\beta} \right) - \frac{(V^1 u_1)^2}{\alpha} \\
&= \frac{u_{11}^2}{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)} - \frac{(\mu u)^2}{\beta(1 + \alpha)} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle V, \nabla u \rangle_g^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\text{Hess } u|^2 - |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=2}^n u_{i1}^2 + \frac{u_{11}^2}{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)(n - 1)} - \frac{(\mu u)^2}{\beta(1 + \alpha)(n - 1)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(n - 1)} \langle V, \nabla u \rangle_g^2 \\
&\geq \frac{|\nabla |\nabla u||^2}{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)(n - 1)} - \frac{(\mu u)^2}{\beta(1 + \alpha)(n - 1)} - \frac{|V|^2}{\alpha(n - 1)} |\nabla u|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute this inequality and (2.5) into (3.3), combine the conditions of the lemma, and note that $\mathcal{L} = \Delta_V$ and $V = \mathbf{X}^T$, we complete the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We define $\phi := |\nabla \ln u| = \frac{|\nabla u|}{u}$. Then we have

$$\nabla \phi = \frac{\nabla |\nabla u|}{u} - \frac{|\nabla u| \nabla u}{u^2}.$$

From the define of ϕ we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_V |\nabla u| &= \Delta_V (u \phi) \\
&= u \Delta_V \phi + 2 \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g + \phi \Delta_V u \\
&= u \Delta_V \phi + 2 \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g - \mu |\nabla u|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, for any $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, at any point where $|\nabla u| \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_V \phi &= \frac{\Delta_V |\nabla u|}{u} - \frac{2 \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} + \mu \phi \\
&\geq \frac{1}{u |\nabla u|} \left\{ \frac{|\nabla |\nabla u||^2}{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)(n - 1)} - \frac{(\mu u)^2}{\beta(1 + \alpha)(n - 1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left((n - 1) K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} + \mu + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n - 1)} \right) |\nabla u|^2 \right\} - \frac{2 \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} + \mu \phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{|\nabla|\nabla u||^2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)u|\nabla u|} - \frac{\mu^2}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)\phi} \\
&\quad - \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right) \phi - \frac{2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u}
\end{aligned}$$

As in [5, P. 19], we have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} &= -(2-\epsilon) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} - \epsilon \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} \\
&= -(2-\epsilon) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} - \epsilon \frac{\langle \nabla|\nabla u|, \nabla u \rangle_g}{u^2} + \epsilon \frac{|\nabla u|^3}{u^3} \\
&\geq -(2-\epsilon) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} - \epsilon \frac{|\nabla|\nabla u|| \cdot |\nabla u|}{u^2} + \epsilon \frac{|\nabla u|^3}{u^3} \\
&\geq -(2-\epsilon) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{|\nabla|\nabla u||^2}{u|\nabla u|} + \frac{|\nabla u|^3}{u^3} \right) + \epsilon \frac{|\nabla u|^3}{u^3} \\
&= -(2-\epsilon) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{|\nabla|\nabla u||^2}{u|\nabla u|} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \phi^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\epsilon = \frac{2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad \Delta_V \phi &\geq -\frac{\mu^2}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)\phi} - \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right) \phi \\
&\quad - \left(2 - \frac{2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u} + \frac{\phi^3}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Given $a > 0$, define a function F in $B_a(p)$ as follows:

$$F(x) = (a^2 - r^2(x))\phi(x) = (a^2 - r^2) \frac{|\nabla u|}{u},$$

where $r(x) = \text{dist}(x, p)$ is the distance function from p . Without loss of generality, assume $|\nabla u| \neq 0$. Since $F|_{\partial B_a(p)} = 0$ and $F > 0$, it attains its maximum at some point $x_1 \in B_a(p)$. The argument of E. Calabi [1] allows us to assume that x_1 is not a cut point of p . Hence F is smooth near x_1 .

By the maximum principle, we have at x_1 ,

$$(3.5) \quad 0 = \nabla F = -\phi \nabla(r^2) + (a^2 - r^2) \nabla \phi$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \geq \Delta_V F = (a^2 - r^2) \Delta_V \phi - \phi \Delta_V(r^2) - 2\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla(r^2) \rangle_g.$$

From (3.5), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} = \frac{\nabla(r^2)}{a^2 - r^2},$$

and then (3.6) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad 0 &\geq \frac{\Delta_V \phi}{\phi} - \frac{\Delta_V(r^2)}{a^2 - r^2} - \frac{2|\nabla(r^2)|^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} \\
&= \frac{\Delta_V \phi}{\phi} - \frac{\Delta(r^2)}{a^2 - r^2} - \frac{\langle V, \nabla(r^2) \rangle_g}{a^2 - r^2} - \frac{8r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the Ricci curvature of M satisfies (2.4), the classical Laplacian comparison theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(r^2) &= 2r\Delta r + 2 \\ &\leq 2r \left(\frac{n-1}{r} + (n-1)\sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}} \right) + 2 \\ &\leq 2n + 2(n-1)\sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}}a.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.8) that

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \geq \frac{\Delta_V \phi}{\phi} - \frac{1}{a^2 - r^2} \left(2n + 2(n-1)\sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}}a + 2V_0r \right) - \frac{8r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2}.$$

By combining inequality (3.4) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\geq -\frac{\mu^2}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)}\phi^{-2} - \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{a^2 - r^2} \left(2n + 2(n-1)\sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}}a + 2V_0r \right) - \frac{8r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} \\ &\quad - \left(2 - \frac{2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u\phi} + \frac{\phi^2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and equality (3.7), we deduce

$$-\frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle_g}{u\phi} = -\frac{2r\langle \nabla u, \nabla r \rangle_g}{u(a^2 - r^2)} \geq -\frac{2r}{a^2 - r^2}\phi.$$

We thus get

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\geq -\frac{\mu^2}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)}\phi^{-2} - \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{a^2 - r^2} \left(2n + 2(n-1)\sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}}a + 2V_0r \right) - \frac{8r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} \\ &\quad - 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right) \frac{r}{a^2 - r^2}\phi + \frac{\phi^2}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying through by $(a^2 - r^2)^4\phi^2$, and noticing that $0 \leq r \leq a$, we arrive at

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned}0 &\geq \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}F^4 \\ &\quad - 4a \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right) F^3 - C_1F^2 - \frac{\mu^2 a^8}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = \left((n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right) a^4$$

$$+ 2 \left(n + 4 + (n-1) \sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}a + V_0a} \right) a^2.$$

Consider the corresponding polynomial of the right hand side of (3.10)

$$P(y) = \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} y^4 - 4a \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right) y^3 - C_1 y^2 - \frac{\mu^2 a^8}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)}.$$

We see that $P(y)$ is a quartic polynomial with a positive leading coefficient and negative constant term, so Vieta's formulas tell us that it has exactly a positive real root and a negative real root. We fix the positive numbers α and β , there is a positive constant C_2 depending on n, μ, a, K_0, B_0, V_0 and λ_0 , such that $F(x_1) = \sup_{B_a(p)} F \leq C_2$. Therefore, in $B_{a/2}(p)$, we have

$$\frac{3a^2}{4} \sup_{B_{a/2}(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq C_2.$$

This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Now we want to make our estimate more specific. By the AM-GM inequality, we have for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -4a \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right) F^3 \\ & \geq -\frac{\delta}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} F^4 \\ & \quad - \frac{4}{\delta} (1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right)^2 a^2 F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.10) reduces to

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \geq \frac{1-\delta}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} F^4 - \Lambda F^2 - \frac{\mu^2 a^8}{\beta(1+\alpha)(n-1)},$$

where $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 a^4 + \Lambda_1 a^3 + \Lambda_2 a^2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_0 &= (n-1)K_0 + B_0^2 + \frac{V_0^2}{\alpha(n-1)} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}, \\ \Lambda_1 &= 2(n-1) \sqrt{K_0 + \frac{2B_0^2}{n-1}} + 2V_0 \geq 0, \\ \Lambda_2 &= 2n + 8 + \frac{4}{\delta} (1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)} \right)^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that Λ is not necessarily positive, because Λ_0 can be negative and its absolute value is large enough. For a positive number \tilde{a} and a nonnegative number \tilde{c} , from the quadratic inequality $\tilde{a}y^2 - \tilde{b}y - \tilde{c} \leq 0$ we have $y \leq \frac{\tilde{b} + \sqrt{\tilde{b}^2 + 4\tilde{a}\tilde{c}}}{2\tilde{a}}$. So from inequality

(3.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (F(x_1))^2 &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}{2(1-\delta)} \left\{ \Lambda + \left(\Lambda^2 + \frac{4(1-\delta)\mu^2 a^8}{\beta(1+\beta)(1+\alpha)^2(n-1)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}{2(1-\delta)} (\Lambda + |\Lambda|) + \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{\beta(1-\delta)}} |\mu| a^4, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the simple fact that $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq |x| + |y|$ in the second inequality. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{9a^4}{16} \sup_{B_{a/2}(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}{2(1-\delta)} (\Lambda + |\Lambda|) + \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{\beta(1-\delta)}} |\mu| a^4 \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)(n-1)}{2(1-\delta)} ((\Lambda_0 + |\Lambda_0|)a^4 + 2\Lambda_1 a^3 + 2\Lambda_2 a^2) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{\beta(1-\delta)}} |\mu| a^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by fixing β and δ , there is a positive constant \tilde{C} depending on n and α , such that (1.6) holds. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Choose $x_1, x_2 \in B_{a/2}(p)$ such that $u(x_1) = \sup_{B_{a/2}(p)} u$ and $u(x_2) = \inf_{B_{a/2}(p)} u$. Let γ be a shortest geodesic connecting x_1 and x_2 , and the triangle inequality implies $\gamma \subset B_a(p)$. In $B_a(p)$, there is also a gradient inequality such as (1.5), and integrating it over γ yields

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} ds \leq C \int_{\gamma} ds \leq 2Ca.$$

It follows that

$$\ln \frac{u(x_1)}{u(x_2)} \leq \int_{\gamma} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} ds \leq 2Ca.$$

Hence we obtain $u(x_1) \leq \hat{C}u(x_2)$, where $\hat{C} = e^{2Ca}$ is a constant depending on n, μ, a, K_0, B_0, V_0 and λ_0 . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Calabi. An extension of E. Hopf's maximum principle with an application to Riemannian geometry. *Duke Math. J.*, 25:45–56, 1958. 7
- [2] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and H. Qiu. Existence and Liouville theorems for V -harmonic maps from complete manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 42(4):565–584, 2012. 2
- [3] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and G. Wang. A maximum principle for generalizations of harmonic maps in Hermitian, affine, Weyl, and Finsler geometry. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 25(4):2407–2426, 2015. 2
- [4] X. Li and J. Sun. Gradient estimate for the positive solutions of $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ on conformal solitons. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 37(11):1768–1782, 2021. 2, 3
- [5] R. M. Schoen and S.-T. Yau. *Lectures on differential geometry*. International press, 1994. 7
- [6] K. Smoczyk. A relation between mean curvature flow solitons and minimal submanifolds. *Math. Nachr.*, 229:175–186, 2001. 1
- [7] G. Wei and W. Wylie. Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. *J. Differential Geom.*, 83(2):377–405, 2009. 2
- [8] Y. Zhu and Q. Chen. Gradient estimates for the positive solutions of $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ on self-shrinkers. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 15(1):Paper No. 28, 14, 2018. 3

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, WUHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
WUHAN 430070, CHINA
Email address: gwzhao@whut.edu.cn