

Efficient evaluation of Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline basis functions over one knot span

Filip Chudy^{a,*}, Paweł Woźny^a

^a*Institute of Computer Science, University of Wrocław, ul. Joliot-Curie 15, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland*

Abstract

New differential-recurrence relations for B-spline basis functions are given. Using these relations, a recursive method for finding the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline basis functions over a single knot span is proposed. The algorithm works for any knot sequence and has an asymptotically optimal computational complexity. Numerical experiments show that the new method gives results which preserve a high number of digits when compared to an approach which uses the well-known de Boor-Cox formula.

Keywords: B-spline basis functions, Bernstein-Bézier form, recurrence relations, B-spline curves, B-spline surfaces, de Boor-Cox algorithm.

1. Introduction

Recently, in [7] (see also [6]), we have proposed a fast recursive algorithm for computing the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of all B-spline (basis) functions of degree m over all not-trivial knot spans $[t_j, t_{j+1}) \subset [t_0, t_n]$ ($m, n, j \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \leq j < n$) under the natural assumption that no inner knot has multiplicity greater than m , i.e., the B-spline basis functions are continuous. Our approach is very efficient due to a new differential-recurrence relation for the B-spline functions of the same degree which implies the recurrence relation for their Bernstein-Bézier coefficients.

If the boundary knot t_n has multiplicity equal to $m + 1$, the method is asymptotically optimal. In that case, the computational complexity of the new algorithm is $O(n_\varepsilon m^2)$, where n_ε denotes the number of not-empty knot spans. It means the number of floating point operations is proportional to the number of coefficients which have to be found. If the multiplicity of the knot t_n is lower than $m + 1$, the computational complexity is increased by at most $O(m^3)$.

We have also shown that the algorithm is numerically efficient and can be used, for example, in fast rendering of B-spline curves and surfaces. Note that the new approach solves these problems with lower computational complexity than using the famous de Boor-Cox algorithm. Furthermore, when the Bernstein-Bézier form of a B-spline (basis) function is known, one can evaluate it in linear time with respect to its degree by performing the geometric algorithm proposed recently by the authors in [18].

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: Filip.Chudy@cs.uni.wroc.pl (Filip Chudy), Pawel.Wozny@cs.uni.wroc.pl (Paweł Woźny)

Certainly, the Bernstein-Bézier form of all B-spline functions over all non-trivial knot spans can also be found by other methods (cf., e.g., [1–5, 8, 15–17]). However, they have higher computational complexity as they rely on finding all Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of all B-spline basis functions of degrees lower than m . For details and the review of the possible solutions, see [7, pp. 3–4].

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose the knots t_i ($-m \leq i \leq m+n$) satisfy

$$\underbrace{t_{-m} \leq \dots \leq t_{-1} \leq t_0}_{\text{boundary knots}} \leq \underbrace{t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{n-1}}_{\text{inner knots}} \leq \underbrace{t_n \leq t_{n+1} \leq \dots \leq t_{n+m}}_{\text{boundary knots}} \quad (t_0 < t_n). \quad (1.1)$$

The *B-spline (basis) functions* $N_{m,-m}, N_{m,-m+1}, \dots, N_{m,n-1}$ of degree m over $[t_0, t_n]$ are given by

$$N_{m,i}(u) := (t_{i+m+1} - t_i)[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m+1}](t - u)_+^m, \quad (1.2)$$

where the *generalized divided difference* (see, e.g., [9, §4.2] or [10, p. 7]) acts on the variable t , and

$$(x - c)_+^m := \begin{cases} (x - c)^m & (x \geq c), \\ 0 & (x < c) \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

is the *truncated power function*.

Note that, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$N_{0,i}(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & (u \in [t_i, t_{i+1})), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

as well as

$$\text{supp}(N_{m,i}) = [t_i, t_{i+m+1}), \quad (1.5)$$

where $-m \leq i < n$.

For more properties of the B-spline basis and for applications of splines in computer-aided geometric design, approximation theory and numerical analysis, see, e.g., [9–14].

Let the *adjusted Bernstein-Bézier basis form* of the B-spline function $N_{m,i}$ ($-m \leq i < n$) over a single non-empty *knot span* $[t_j, t_{j+1}) \subset [t_0, t_n]$ ($j = i, i+1, \dots, i+m$) be

$$N_{m,i}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} B_k^m \left(\frac{u - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} \right) \quad (t_j \leq u < t_{j+1}). \quad (1.6)$$

Here B_i^n ($0 \leq i \leq n$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$) is the *i th Bernstein (basis) polynomial of degree n* given by the formula

$$B_i^n(t) := \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i}. \quad (1.7)$$

In [7] (cf. also [6, §3]), the following problem has been considered.

Problem 1.1. *Find the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier basis coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq m$) (cf. (1.6)) of all non-trivial functions $N_{m,i}$ over all non-empty knot spans $[t_j, t_{j+1}) \subset [t_0, t_n]$, i.e., for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $i = j-m, j-m+1, \dots, j$ (cf. (1.5)).*

The proposed solution of Problem 1.1 uses the new differential-recurrence relation for B-spline functions of the same degree. Namely, in [7, Thm 3.1], it was proven that if the knot sequence is *clamped*, i.e.,

$$t_{-m} = t_{-m+1} = \dots = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t_{n+1} = \dots = t_{n+m}, \quad (1.8)$$

then

$$N_{m,i}(u) + \frac{t_i - u}{m} N'_{m,i}(u) = \frac{t_{m+i+1} - t_i}{t_{m+i+2} - t_{i+1}} \left(N_{m,i+1}(u) + \frac{t_{m+i+2} - u}{m} N'_{m,i+1}(u) \right), \quad (1.9)$$

where $i = -m - 1, -m + 1, \dots, n - 1$, and a convention is adopted that

$$N_{p,q} \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } q < -p \text{ or } q \geq n. \quad (1.10)$$

Next, it has been observed that Eq. (1.9) implies the recurrence relation connecting the coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$, $b_{m,k+1}^{(i,j)}$ and $b_{m,k}^{(i+1,j)}$, $b_{m,k+1}^{(i+1,j)}$. See [7, Thm 4.3].

Using the mentioned facts, the fast and numerically efficient algorithm for solving Problem 1.1 has been proposed. See [7, Thm 4.4, Alg. 4.1 and §6]. Recall that if the boundary knot t_n has multiplicity equal to $m + 1$ the method is asymptotically optimal — its computational complexity is $O(n_\varepsilon m^2)$, where n_ε is the number of the non-trivial knot spans. Otherwise, the complexity increases by at most $O(m^3)$.

2. The problem

At the end of our paper [7], we wrote that “Further research is required to find the application of the new differential-recurrence relation in finding the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline basis functions over a single knot span”. The point is that the proposed approach is too expensive if we want to compute the Bernstein-Bézier form of B-spline functions over one fixed knot span only. In short, the main reason is as follows: to find the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline functions over non-empty interval $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ using the recursive algorithm proposed by us, one has to previously compute the Bernstein-Bézier form of B-spline functions over all non-empty knot spans $[t_{n-1}, t_n), [t_{n-2}, t_{n-1}), \dots, [t_{j+1}, t_{j+2})$.

Taking into account the mentioned drawback, the main goal of this article is to propose an optimal method for solving the following problem.

Problem 2.1. *Suppose the knot span $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ is not empty with fixed j ($0 \leq j < n$). Find the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier basis coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq m$) (cf. (1.6)) of all non-trivial functions $N_{m,i}$ over $[t_j, t_{j+1})$, i.e., for $i = j - m, j - m + 1, \dots, j$ (cf. (1.5)).*

Note that to solve Problem 2.1, we have to compute $(m + 1)^2$ Bernstein-Bézier basis coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$. In Section 4, using the results from §3, we give the recursive algorithm for computing all these quantities in $O(m^2)$ time, which is asymptotically optimal from the computational complexity standpoint. Certainly, the algorithm is useful, for example, when rendering fragments of a B-spline curve or surface (see also applications considered in [7, §5]).

Let us stress that one can solve Problem 2.1 using the methods proposed, e.g., in [1–5, 8, 15–17]). However they have higher computational complexity, i.e., at least $O(m^3)$.

3. Some new results for B-spline basis functions

We start with proving two new properties of B-spline basis functions which allow us to show that the differential-recurrence relation (1.9) holds even if the knot sequence is not *clamped* (cf. (1.8)).

Lemma 3.1. *For any knot sequence (1.1), the B-spline functions $N_{m,i}$ satisfy the following identities:*

$$mN_{m,i}(u) + (t_{m+i+1} - u)N'_{m,i}(u) = m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i) \frac{N_{m-1,i}(u)}{t_{m+i} - t_i}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$mN_{m,i}(u) + (t_i - u)N'_{m,i}(u) = m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i) \frac{N_{m-1,i+1}(u)}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $-m \leq i \leq n-1$ (cf. (1.10)) and we assume that for any quantity Q

$$\frac{Q}{t_k - t_\ell} := 0 \quad \text{if the knots } t_k \text{ and } t_\ell \text{ are equal,} \quad (3.3)$$

as well as that $N_{-1,k} \equiv 0$ for all k .

Proof. It is easy to check that the lemma is true if $m \in \{0, 1\}$. Let us fix $m > 1$ and i ($-m \leq i < n$). First we prove (3.2) assuming that $t_{m+i+1} \neq t_{i+1}$. From the definitions (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} mN_{m,i}(u) &= m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i)[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m+1}](t-u)_+^m \\ &= m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i)[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m+1}] \left\{ (t-u) \cdot (t-u)_+^{m-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Leibniz' formula for the generalized divided differences (see, e.g., [9, Thm 4.4.12]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mN_{m,i}(u) &= m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i) \left\{ [t_i](t-u) \cdot [t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m+1}](t-u)_+^{m-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 1 \cdot [t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_{i+m+1}](t-u)_+^{m-1} + 0 + \dots + 0 \right\} \\ &= -(t_i - u)N'_{m,i}(u) + m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i) \frac{N_{m-1,i+1}(u)}{t_{i+m+1} - t_{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the generalized divided difference acts on the variable t .

Now, if $t_{m+i+1} = t_{i+1}$ then $[t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m+1}](t-u)_+^{m-1} \equiv 0$ (cf. (1.1)) and Eq. (3.2) is also true (cf. (3.3)).

The relation (3.1) can be derived in a similar way. □

Remark 3.2. *Observe that Eqs (3.1) and (3.2) can be seen as fundamental properties of B-spline basis functions. Indeed, by adding (3.1) multiplied by $u - t_i$ and (3.2) multiplied by $t_{m+i+1} - u$ and next dividing by $m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i)$, one can recover the famous de Boor-Cox recurrence relation (see, e.g., [13, Eqs. (2.5)]), i.e.,*

$$N_{m,i}(u) = (u - t_i) \frac{N_{m-1,i}(u)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} + (t_{m+i+1} - u) \frac{N_{m-1,i+1}(u)}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \quad (-m \leq i < n). \quad (3.4)$$

We can also easily obtain the following well-known representation of the derivative of $N_{m,i}$ in terms of two B-spline basis functions of degree $m - 1$ (see, e.g., [13, Eqs. (2.7)]):

$$N'_{m,i}(u) = m \left(\frac{N_{m-1,i}(u)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} - \frac{N_{m-1,i+1}(u)}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right) \quad (-m \leq i < n).$$

It is enough to subtract (3.2) from (3.1) and next divide both sides by $t_{m+i+1} - t_i$.

Note that in both cases, we use the conventions (1.10) and (3.3).

Using Lemma 3.1, one can observe that the assumption of [7, Thm 3.1] (cf. (1.8)) can be relaxed. As a consequence, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *For any knot sequence (1.1), where no inner knot has multiplicity greater than m , the following differential-recurrence relation for B-spline functions of the same degree m holds true:*

$$N_{m,i}(u) + \frac{t_i - u}{m} N'_{m,i}(u) = \frac{t_{m+i+1} - t_i}{t_{m+i+2} - t_{i+1}} \left(N_{m,i+1}(u) + \frac{t_{m+i+2} - u}{m} N'_{m,i+1}(u) \right),$$

where $i = -m - 1, -m + 1, \dots, n - 1$ (cf. (1.10)).

Proof. It is enough to observe that the left-hand side of Eq. (3.2) divided by $m(t_{m+i+1} - t_i)$ is equal to the left-hand side of (3.1) for $i := i + 1$ divided by $m(t_{m+i+2} - t_{i+1})$. \square

Remark 3.4. *In the sequel, we assume that no inner knot t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1} has multiplicity greater than m . This natural assumption guarantees the B-spline functions' continuity in (t_0, t_n) .*

If an inner knot t_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) has multiplicity greater than m , then every B-spline function of degree m based on these knots is identical to zero either for $t > t_i$ or for $t < t_i$. Then the B-spline basis consists of two independent bases and the problem can be divided into two smaller ones: one for the non-empty knot spans in the interval (t_0, t_i) and the other in (t_i, t_n) .

Using Theorem 3.3 and the approach presented in [7, §4.2], one can construct the following recurrence relation for the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$ (see (1.6)) of the B-spline functions (cf. also [7, Thm 4.3]).

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that the knot sequence has the form (1.1) and the assumption in Remark 3.4 holds true. Let $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $j - m < i < j$. Assume that the interval $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ is non-empty.*

The adjusted Bernstein-Bézier coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$,

$$N_{m,i}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} B_k^m \left(\frac{u - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} \right) \quad (t_j \leq u < t_{j+1}),$$

satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} = \frac{t_j - t_i}{t_{j+1} - t_i} b_{m,k+1}^{(i,j)} + \frac{v_{mi}}{t_{j+1} - t_i} \left((t_{j+1} - t_{m+i+2}) b_{m,k}^{(i+1,j)} + (t_{m+i+2} - t_j) b_{m,k+1}^{(i+1,j)} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where $k = m - 1, m - 2, \dots, 0$, and $v_{mi} := (t_{m+i+1} - t_i)/(t_{m+i+2} - t_{i+1})$.

4. The solution

Recall that we want to efficiently compute the coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and all $i = j - m, j - m + 1, \dots, j$, where $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ is fixed and $t_j \neq t_{j+1}$ (see Problem 2.1) assuming that the knot sequence has the form (1.1) and no inner knot has multiplicity greater than m (cf. Remark 3.4).

If $m = 0$ the problem is trivial, because $b_{0,0}^{(i,j)} = \delta_{i,j}$ (cf. (1.4)). Here $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the *Kronecker delta*, i.e.,

$$\delta_{i,i} := 1 \text{ and } \delta_{i,j} := 0 \text{ for } i \neq j. \quad (4.1)$$

Certainly, in general, if $i < j - m$ or $i > j$, we have

$$b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} = 0 \quad (0 \leq k \leq m)$$

(cf. (1.5)).

4.1. The main idea

Assume that $m > 0$. Let us fix $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ($t_j \neq t_{j+1}$) and $j - m < i < j$. Supposing that the coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i+1,j)}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m$ have just been computed and that the value of $b_{m,m}^{(i,j)}$ is known, one can use the recurrence relation (3.5) to compute the coefficients

$$b_{m,m-1}^{(i,j)}, b_{m,m-2}^{(i,j)}, \dots, b_{m,0}^{(i,j)}$$

in $O(m)$ time.

It means that to solve Problem 2.1 using Theorem 3.5 in $O(m^2)$ time — i.e., to propose the asymptotically optimal algorithm of computing the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier forms of all non-trivial $N_{m,i}$ over non-empty knot span $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ for all $j - m \leq i \leq j$ — first, one needs to:

1. find the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier forms of $N_{m,j-m}$ and $N_{m,j}$,
2. evaluate the quantities $b_{m,i}^{(i,j)}$ for all $i = j - m + 1, j - m + 2, \dots, j - 1$

in at most $O(m^2)$ time. We will discuss these issues in the next subsection.

4.2. The initial conditions

Using (3.4) and (1.5), it is not difficult to check that for $m > 0$ and any knot sequence (1.1), we have

$$N_{m,j-m}(u) = \frac{(t_{j+1} - t_j)^{m-1}}{\prod_{k=2}^m (t_{j+1} - t_{j+1-k})} B_0^m \left(\frac{u - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} \right),$$

$$N_{m,j}(u) = \frac{(t_{j+1} - t_j)^{m-1}}{\prod_{k=2}^m (t_{j+k} - t_j)} B_m^m \left(\frac{u - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} \right) \quad (t_j \leq u < t_{j+1}),$$

where $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $t_j \neq t_{j+1}$.

It means that the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of $N_{m,j-m}$ and $N_{m,j}$ ($m > 0$) in the non-empty knot span $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ ($0 \leq j \leq n - 1$) are as follows:

$$b_{m,0}^{(j-m,j)} = \frac{(t_{j+1} - t_j)^{m-1}}{\prod_{k=2}^m (t_{j+1} - t_{j-k+1})}, \quad b_{m,k}^{(j-m,j)} = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m), \quad (4.2)$$

$$b_{m,k}^{(j,j)} = 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1), \quad b_{m,m}^{(j,j)} = \frac{(t_{j+1} - t_j)^{m-1}}{\prod_{k=2}^m (t_{j+k} - t_j)} \quad (4.3)$$

(cf. [7, §4.1]) and all these coefficients can be computed in total $O(m)$ time.

Now, we show that the quantities $b_{m,m}^{(i,j)}$ satisfy a simple recurrence relation with respect to m and i .

Theorem 4.1. *For $m > 0$ and any knot sequence (1.1), where no inner knot has multiplicity greater than m , the following recurrence relation holds true:*

$$b_{m,m}^{(i,j)} = \frac{t_{j+1} - t_i}{t_{m+i} - t_i} b_{m-1,m-1}^{(i,j)} + \frac{t_{m+i+1} - t_{j+1}}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} b_{m-1,m-1}^{(i+1,j)}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ is fixed, $t_j \neq t_{j+1}$, and $i = j-m, j-m+1, \dots, j-1$.

Proof. In the proof we use the approach similar to the one presented in [5, 15].

It is well-known that Bernstein polynomials (1.7) satisfy

$$tB_k^n(t) = \frac{k+1}{n+1} B_{k+1}^{n+1}(t), \quad (4.5)$$

$$B_k^n(t) = \frac{n-k+1}{n+1} B_k^{n+1}(t) + \frac{k+1}{n+1} B_{k+1}^{n+1}(t), \quad (4.6)$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Let $u \in [t_j, t_{j+1})$, where $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $t_j \neq t_{j+1}$. Set

$$t \equiv t^{(j)}(u) := \frac{u - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j}$$

(cf. (1.6)). Certainly, $t \in [0, 1)$, and

$$u = (t_{j+1} - t_j)t + t_j. \quad (4.7)$$

Assume $i = j-m, j-m+1, \dots, j-1$ (cf. (1.5)). Applying (1.6) to (3.4) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^m b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} B_k^m(t) = \frac{u - t_i}{t_{m+i} - t_i} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{m-1,k}^{(i,j)} B_k^{m-1}(t) + \frac{t_{m+i+1} - u}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{m-1,k}^{(i+1,j)} B_k^{m-1}(t).$$

Using (4.7) gives, after some algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^m b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} B_k^m(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i,j)}}{t_{m+i} - t_i} - \frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i+1,j)}}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right) (t_{j+1} - t_j) t B_k^{m-1}(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i,j)}(t_j - t_i)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} + \frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i+1,j)}(t_{m+i+1} - t_j)}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right) B_k^{m-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.6) and (4.5) gives, then, after some more algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^m b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} B_k^m(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i,j)}(t_j - t_i)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} + \frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i+1,j)}(t_{m+i+1} - t_j)}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right) \frac{m-k}{m} B_k^m(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{b_{m-1,k-1}^{(i,j)}(t_{j+1} - t_i)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} + \frac{b_{m-1,k-1}^{(i+1,j)}(t_{m+i+1} - t_{j+1})}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right) \frac{k}{m} B_k^m(t). \quad (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

Matching the coefficients for $B_m^m(t)$ justifies the recurrence relation (4.4). \square

Observe that it is possible to evaluate the quantities $b_{m,m}^{(i,j)}$ for $m > 1$ and all $i = j - m + 1, j - m + 2, \dots, j - 1$ in $O(m^2)$ time using the recurrence relation (4.4), with the initial conditions

$$b_{p,p}^{(i,j)} := 0 \quad (i < j - p), \quad b_{0,0}^{(i,j)} := \delta_{i,j}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$b_{1,1}^{(j,j)} := 1, \quad b_{p,p}^{(j,j)} := \frac{t_{j+1} - t_j}{t_{j+p} - t_j} \cdot b_{p-1,p-1}^{(j,j)} \quad (p > 0) \quad (4.10)$$

(see (1.5), (4.1), and (4.3)).

Remark 4.2. From Eq. (4.8), it follows that

$$b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} = \frac{m-k}{m} \left(\frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i,j)}(t_j - t_i)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} + \frac{b_{m-1,k}^{(i+1,j)}(t_{m+i+1} - t_j)}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right) + \frac{k}{m} \left(\frac{b_{m-1,k-1}^{(i,j)}(t_{j+1} - t_i)}{t_{m+i} - t_i} + \frac{b_{m-1,k-1}^{(i+1,j)}(t_{m+i+1} - t_{j+1})}{t_{m+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \right),$$

where $0 \leq k \leq m$ (cf. the convention (3.3)). Observe that one can use this recurrence scheme to solve Problem 2.1 in $O(m^3)$ time.

4.3. The algorithm

The results presented in Theorem 3.5, as well as in §4.1 and §4.2 can be combined to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $m > 0$, the knot sequence has the form (1.1) and no inner knot has multiplicity greater than m . Let us fix j ($0 \leq j < n$). Assume that knot span $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ is non-empty.

The $(m+1)^2$ adjusted Bernstein-Bézier coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$ (cf. (1.6)) of the basis B-spline functions $N_{m,i}$ (1.2) over the knot span $[t_j, t_{j+1})$, for $i = j - m, j - m + 1, \dots, j$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, can be computed in the computational complexity $O(m^2)$ in the following way:

1. Set $b_{0,0}^{(j,j)} = 1$. Then, for $p = 1, 2, \dots, m$, compute $b_{p,p}^{(j,j)} = \frac{t_{j+1} - t_j}{t_{j+p} - t_j} b_{p-1,p-1}^{(j,j)}$.
2. For $p = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $i = j - 1, j - 2, \dots, j - p$ compute $b_{p,p}^{(i,j)}$ using Eqs (4.4), (4.9) and the convention (3.3).
3. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, compute $b_{m,k}^{(j-m,j)}$ using Eq. (4.2).
4. For $k = m - 1, m - 2, \dots, 0$ and $i = j - 1, j - 2, \dots, j - m + 1$, compute the coefficients $b_{m,k}^{(i,j)}$ using Eq. (3.5).

Figure 4.1 illustrates the computations done in the first two steps of Theorem 4.3. The rightmost column is then inserted into the rightmost column of Figure 4.2, which illustrates the remaining steps.

Algorithm 4.1 implements the approach proposed in Theorem 4.3. This algorithm returns an array $B \equiv B[0..m, j - m..j]$, where

$$B[k, i] = b_{m,k}^{(i,j)} \quad (j - m \leq i < j, 0 \leq k \leq m)$$




Figure 4.1: An illustration of using Eq. (4.4) (cf. (4.9)) for $m = 5$.




Figure 4.2: An illustration of the full recurrence scheme using Theorem 3.5 for $m = 5$.

(cf. (1.6)).

The complexity of Algorithm 4.1 is $O(m^2)$ — giving the optimal $O(1)$ time per coefficient.

To examine the numerical behavior of the new algorithm (complexity $O(m^2)$), we have compared it to the method mentioned in Remark 4.2 (complexity $O(m^3)$). Numerical experiments show that both methods are stable and give almost the same results. As expected, the new algorithm is usually faster, especially for larger m . The source code in C which was used to perform the tests is available at <https://github.com/filipchudy/bspline-coeffs-one-interval>. The results have been obtained on a computer with Intel Core i5-6300U CPU at 2.40GHz processor and 8GB RAM, using GNU C Compiler 13.2.0 (double precision).

Example 4.4. *An experiment which checks the numerical quality of the method given in [7] and the new algorithm has been done. For $m \in \{3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50\}$ and $n \in \{10, 50, 100\}$,*

the following procedure has been repeated 50,000 times.

A sequence of knots has been generated in the following way. A sequence of knots has been randomized using the `rand C` function. The first knot is sampled from the $[-10, 10]$ interval. Then, the following knots are sampled, with randomized multiplicities and distances, from $1, 2, \dots, m$ and $(0, 0.5)$, respectively. Afterwards, all right-hand side boundary knots are clamped.

Then, all Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of the B-spline functions are evaluated using the method given in [7], the new algorithm, and the approach given in Remark 4.2. The evaluation has been done for each knot span. Table 4.1 shows the mean number of correct decimal digits for the first two methods, with reference results being the ones obtained by the third method, which uses the de Boor-Cox formula. The mean number is over all $(m + 1)^2$ Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline functions in each knot span, over all non-empty knot spans, for 50,000 different knot sequences.

m	n	mean [7]	mean new
3	10	13.930	17.858
3	50	13.952	17.859
3	100	13.959	17.860
4	10	13.895	17.762
4	50	13.902	17.763
4	100	13.906	17.764
5	10	13.817	17.650
5	50	13.805	17.653
5	100	13.804	17.654
10	10	13.533	17.310
10	50	13.402	17.317
10	100	13.382	17.319
20	10	13.642	17.031
20	50	13.072	16.943
20	100	12.985	16.946
30	10	13.792	16.905
30	50	12.861	16.646
30	100	12.706	16.651
50	10	13.903	16.775
50	50	12.532	16.137
50	100	12.303	16.150

Table 4.1: The mean number of correct digits for Example 4.4. The source code in C which was used to perform the tests is available at <https://github.com/filipchudy/bspline-coeffs-one-interval>.

Example 4.5. A comparison of running times for the new algorithm and the method given in Remark 4.2 has been done. For $m \in \{3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50\}$ and $n \in \{10, 50, 100\}$, the following procedure has been repeated 50,000 times.

A sequence of knots has been generated in the following way. A sequence of knots has been randomized using the `rand` C function. The first knot is sampled from the $[-10, 10]$ interval. Then, the following knots are sampled, with randomized multiplicities and distances, from $1, 2, \dots, m$ and $(0, 0.5)$, respectively. Unlike Example 4.4, the right-hand side boundary knots are not clamped.

Then, all Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of the B-spline functions over all non-empty knot spans are evaluated using the new algorithm and the method given in Remark 4.2. Table 4.2 shows the comparison of running times in seconds, for different m, n , for all 50,000 tests.

m	n	new	deBoor
3	10	0.158	0.180
3	50	0.523	0.643
3	100	0.962	1.206
4	10	0.190	0.238
4	50	0.668	0.921
4	100	1.261	1.770
5	10	0.226	0.309
5	50	0.843	1.270
5	100	1.602	2.448
10	10	0.404	0.847
10	50	1.730	3.916
10	100	3.377	7.742
20	10	0.746	2.670
20	50	3.561	13.452
20	100	7.152	27.334
30	10	1.099	5.635
30	50	5.397	28.847
30	100	10.766	57.869
50	10	1.811	14.814
50	50	9.176	77.182
50	100	18.226	153.975

Table 4.2: Running times comparison (in seconds) for Example 4.5. The source code in C which was used to perform the tests is available at <https://github.com/filipchudy/bspline-coeffs-one-interval>.

5. Conclusions

Two new differential-recurrence relations for the B-spline functions are given. They can be used to relax the assumptions (1.8) about the knot sequence and give an alternative proof to the differential-recurrence relation (1.9) for the B-spline functions of the same degree which was given in [7], along with an algorithm for computing the coefficients of B-spline functions of degree m in the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier form. The algorithm [7, Thm 4.4, Alg. 4.1 and §6] had a drawback — the requirement to evaluate the coefficients over multiple knot spans.

Algorithm 4.1 Computing the coefficients of the adjusted Bernstein-Bézier form of the B-spline functions over one knot span

```

1: procedure BSPLINEBBFONEKNOTSPAN( $n, m, j, [t_{-m}, t_{-m+1}, \dots, t_{n+m}]$ )
2:    $Bm \leftarrow \text{Array}[0..m, j-m..j]$  (fill=0)
3:    $Bm[0, j] \leftarrow 1$ 
4:   for  $p = 1, m$  do
5:      $Bm[p, j] \leftarrow \frac{t_{j+1} - t_j}{t_{j+p} - t_j} \times Bm[p - 1, j]$ 
6:   end for
7:   for  $p = 1, m$  do
8:     for  $i = j - 1, j - p$  do
9:       // With the convention (3.3).
10:       $Bm[p, i] \leftarrow \frac{t_{j+1} - t_i}{t_{p+i} - t_i} \times Bm[p - 1, i] + \frac{t_{p+i+1} - t_{j+1}}{t_{p+i+1} - t_{i+1}} \times Bm[p - 1, i + 1]$ 
11:    end for
12:  end for
13:   $B \leftarrow \text{Array}[0..m, j-m..j]$  (fill=0)
14:   $B[0, j - m] \leftarrow \frac{(t_{j+1} - t_j)^{m-1}}{\prod_{k=2}^m (t_{j+1} - t_{j-k+1})}$ 
15:   $B[m, j - m..j] \leftarrow Bm[m, j - m..j]$ 
16:  for  $k = m - 1, 0$  do
17:    for  $i = j - 1, j - m + 1$  do
18:       $v_{mi} \leftarrow \frac{t_{m+i+1} - t_i}{t_{m+i+2} - t_{i+1}}$ 
19:       $c_i \leftarrow (t_{j+1} - t_{m+i+2})B[k, i + 1] + (t_{m+i+2} - t_j)B[k + 1, i + 1]$ 
20:       $B[k, i] \leftarrow \frac{t_j - t_i}{t_{j+1} - t_i} B[k + 1, i] + \frac{v_{mi}}{t_{j+1} - t_i} c_i$ 
21:    end for
22:  end for
23:  return  $B$ 
24: end procedure

```

We have eliminated this constraint, thus making the computations independent for each knot span.

The new algorithm given in this manuscript is asymptotically optimal — computing $(m + 1)^2$ coefficients in $O(m^2)$ time — and allows the user to evaluate the coefficients only in the necessary knot spans. Moreover, if needed, the computations for multiple knots spans can be done in parallel, as they are fully independent. The method has good numerical behavior.

When the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline basis functions are known, it is possible to compute any B-spline function in linear time with respect to its degree by performing the geometric algorithm proposed recently in [18]. As shown in [7], this can accelerate the evaluation when multiple B-spline curves or surfaces use the same knot sequence.

References

- [1] C. V. Beccari, G. Casciola, Matrix representations for multi-degree B-splines, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 381 (2021) 113007.

- [2] C. V. Beccari, G. Casciola, Stable numerical evaluation of multi-degree B-splines, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 400 (2022) 113743.
- [3] W. Boehm, Inserting new knots into B-spline curves, *Computer-Aided Design* 12 (4) (1980) 199–201.
- [4] W. Böhm, Über die Konstruktion von B-Spline-Kurven (*in German*), *Computing* 18 (1977) 161–166.
- [5] G. Casciola, L. Romani, A generalized conversion matrix between nonuniform B-spline and Bézier representations with applications in CAGD, Tech. rep., University of Bologna, Italy, <http://amsacta.unibo.it/853/> (2004).
- [6] F. Chudy, New algorithms for Bernstein polynomials, their dual bases, and B-spline functions, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wrocław, available on request (2022).
- [7] F. Chudy, P. Woźny, Linear-time algorithm for computing the Bernstein-Bézier coefficients of B-spline functions, *Computer Aided-Design* 154 (2023) 103434.
- [8] E. Cohen, T. Lyche, R. Riesenfeld, Discrete B-splines and subdivision techniques in computer-aided geometric design and computer graphics, *Computer Graphics and Image Processing* 14 (2) (1980) 87–111.
- [9] G. Dahlquist, Å. Björck, *Numerical methods in scientific computing. Vol. I*, SIAM, 2008.
- [10] P. Dierckx, *Curve and Surface Fitting with Splines*, Clarendon Press, 1993.
- [11] G. Farin, *Curves and surfaces for Computer-Aided Geometric Design. A practical guide*, 5th ed., Academic Press, Boston, 2002.
- [12] R. Goldman, *Pyramid Algorithms: A Dynamic Programming Approach to Curves and Surfaces for Geometric Modeling*, Morgan Kaufmann, 2002.
- [13] L. A. Piegl, W. Tiller, *The NURBS Book*, Springer, 1996.
- [14] H. Prautzsch, W. Boehm, M. Paluszny, *Bézier and B-Spline Techniques*, Springer, 2002.
- [15] L. Romani, M. A. Sabin, The conversion matrix between uniform B-spline and Bézier representations, *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 21 (6) (2004) 549–560.
- [16] P. Sablonnière, Spline and Bézier polygons associated with a polynomial spline curve, *Computer-Aided Design* 10 (1978) 257–261.
- [17] D. Toshniwal, H. Speelers, H. H. Hiemstra, C. Manni, T. J. R. Hughes, Multi-degree B-splines: Algorithmic computation and properties, *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 76 (2020) 101792.
- [18] P. Woźny, F. Chudy, Linear-time geometric algorithm for evaluating Bézier curves, *Computer Aided-Design* 118 (2020) 102760.