

## An adaptive Euler-Maruyama scheme for SDDEs

DONGYANG LIU AND MINGHUI SONG AND YUHANG ZHANG 

Department, Organization, Street, Postcode, State, Country

\*Corresponding author: [email-id.com](mailto:email-id.com)

[Received on Date Month Year; revised on Date Month Year; accepted on Date Month Year]

This paper proposes an adaptive numerical method for stochastic delay differential equations (SDDEs) with a non-global Lipschitz drift term and a non-constant delay, building upon the work of Wei Fang and others. The method adapts the step size based on the growth of the drift term. Differing slightly from the conventional Euler-Maruyama format, this paper addresses the estimation of the delay term by substituting it with the numerically obtained solution closest to the left endpoint. This approach overcomes the challenge of numerical nodes not falling within the nodes after subtracting the delay. The paper proves the convergence of the numerical method for a class of non-global Lipschitz continuous SDDEs under the assumption that the step size function satisfies certain conditions.

*Keywords:* keyword1; Keyword2; Keyword3; Keyword4.

### 1. Introduction

This paper considers an  $m$ -dimensional stochastic delay differential equation (SDDE) driven by a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion:

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = f(X(t), X(t - \tau(t)))dt + g(X(t), X(t - \tau(t)))dW(t), & t \in [t_0, T] \\ X(t) = \Psi(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau$  is a positive function dependent on time  $t$ . The drift coefficient  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and the diffusion coefficient  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ . In the given complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is a filtration satisfying the usual conditions.  $\Psi(t), t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]$  is a pre-determined initial process. SDDE models play a crucial role in communication, finance, medical sciences, ecology and other branches of industry and science (see, for example, [1][2][3][4][5]). Since SDDEs can hardly be solved explicitly, numerical methods have become essential.

At the research of numerical methods for SDDEs, the study of adaptive methods is relatively sparse. Building upon the work of W.Fang & M.B.Giles[6], our research extends the relevant adaptive step size controllers to SDDEs. We demonstrate the stability and strong convergence of the numerical method. In comparison to the fixed-step Euler-Maruyama method, this approach relaxes the coefficient requirements to Khasminskii-type conditions, expanding its applicability.

### 2. Algorithm

The proposed adaptive Euler-Maruyama algorithm for 1.1 is as followed:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_{t_{n+1}} &= \widehat{X}_{t_n} + f(\widehat{X}_{t_n}, \bar{X}_{t_n - \tau(t_n)})h_n + g(\widehat{X}_{t_n}, \bar{X}_{t_n - \tau(t_n)})\Delta W_n \\ t_{n+1} &= t_n + h_n \\ \bar{X}_t &\triangleq \widehat{X}_t, \quad \underline{t} \triangleq \sup_{t_k \leq t} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $h_n \triangleq h\left(\widehat{X}_{t_n}, \widetilde{X}_{t_n - \tau(t_n)}\right)$  and  $\Delta W_n \triangleq W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}$ , and there is fixed initial  $t_0 = 0, \widehat{X}_t = \Psi(t)$ , if  $t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]$ . We define the piece-wise constant interpolant process  $\widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)} = \widetilde{X}_{\underline{t}-\tau(\underline{t})}$ . Then we can define the continuous interpolant as

$$\widehat{X}_t = \widehat{X}_{\underline{t}} + f\left(\widehat{X}_{\underline{t}}, \widetilde{X}_{\underline{t}-\tau(\underline{t})}\right)(t - \underline{t}) + g\left(\widehat{X}_{\underline{t}}, \widetilde{X}_{\underline{t}-\tau(\underline{t})}\right)(W_t - W_{\underline{t}}), \quad (2.2)$$

This way,  $\widehat{X}_t$  becomes the solution to the following SDDE:

$$d\widehat{X}_t = f\left(\widehat{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}\right)dt + g\left(\widehat{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}\right)dW_t = f\left(\widehat{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}\right)dt + g\left(\widehat{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}\right)dW_t \quad (2.3)$$

## 2.1. Notation

### 3. Main result

#### 3.1. Existence and uniqueness

The first point to clarify is that for the class of SDDEs we are considering, there exists a unique exact solution. In this scenario, discussing numerical solutions becomes meaningful. Next, our assumptions regarding the drift and diffusion terms ensure the existence and uniqueness of the exact solution.

**Assumption 1** (*Local Lipschitz condition*). For each  $R > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $L_R$  such that, for any  $x_1, x_2, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\|x_1\| \vee \|x_2\| \vee \|y_1\| \vee \|y_2\| \leq R$ ,

$$\|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)\| \vee \|g(x_1, y_1) - g(x_2, y_2)\| \leq L_R (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y_1 - y_2\|). \quad (3.1)$$

**Assumption 2** (*Khasminskii-type condition*). There exists constants  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$  such that, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$\langle x, f(x, y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|g(x, y)\|^2 \leq \alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta \quad (3.2)$$

From assumptions 1 and 2, we can infer that  $f$  satisfies the one-sided linear growth condition

$$\langle x, f(x, y) \rangle \leq \alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta \quad (3.3)$$

Due to the time delay term not being a constant, we also need to make some assumptions about the time delay. Boundedness and non-negativity of  $\tau(t)$  are necessary for proving the existence and uniqueness of solutions.

**Assumption 3** The time delay function  $\tau(t)$  satisfies  $0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau$  for all  $t \in [t_0, T]$ .

**Theorem 1** If the SDDE 1.1 satisfies Assumption 1 and Assumption 2, and  $\tau(t)$  satisfies Assumption 3, then for any initial process  $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_b([- \tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^m)$  has a unique global solution  $x(t)$ .

*Proof* The proof for this is provided in Chapter 5, Section 2 of Mao's book[7]  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1 only requires mapping  $[t_0, T]$  to  $[0, \tau]$  using  $\tau(t)$ , and does not necessitate the continuity of  $\tau(t)$ .

### 3.2. Stability

**Theorem 2** (SDDE stability). *If the SDDE satisfies the Assumption 1 and Assumption 2, and  $\tau(t)$  satisfies Assumption 3, then for all  $p > 0$ , there exist a constant  $C_{p,T}$  depend only on  $p, T$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t\|^p \right] < C_{p,T} \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof* This proof is given in  $\square$

**Lemma 1** *Under the same Assumptions as in Theorem 2, for any real number  $R > \Psi(t_0)$ , define the stopping time  $\phi_R := \inf\{t \geq t_0 : \|X_t\| \geq R\}$ . Then for any  $p \geq 2$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\phi_R \leq T) \leq \frac{C_{p,T}}{R^p} \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof* From theorem 2, we can obtain that

$$C_{p,T} \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t\|^p \right] \geq \mathbb{E} [\|X_{T \wedge \phi_R}\|^p] \geq \mathbb{E} [\|X_{T \wedge \phi_R}\|^p \mathbf{1}_{\{\phi_R \leq T\}}] \geq R^p \mathbb{P}(\phi_R \leq T)$$

which implies 3.5  $\square$

Next, we will present the adaptive step size control scheme, which forms the core of our proof.

**Assumption 4** (Timestep function). *The step function  $h : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous and strictly positive, and there exist constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $h$  satisfies the inequality*

$$h(x, y) \leq \frac{\alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta}{\|f(x, y)\|^2 + 1} \quad (3.6)$$

Noting that if another step function  $h^\delta(x, y)$  is smaller than  $h(x, y)$ , then  $h^\delta(x, y)$  also satisfies the Assumption 4. Later, we will use  $\delta$  to control the step function and make it approach 0 as  $\delta$  tends to 0.

**Theorem 3** (Finite time stability). *If the SDDE 1.1 satisfies Assumption 1 and Assumption 2, and time delay  $\tau(t)$  satisfies Assumption 3, and the step function  $h$  satisfies Assumption 4, then  $T$  is almost surely attainable (i.e., for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\exists N(\omega) < \infty, t_{N(\omega)} \geq T) = 1$ ) and for all  $p > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_{p,T}$  which depends solely on  $p, T$  and the constants  $\alpha, \beta$  in Assumption 3, such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\hat{X}_t\|^p \right] < C_{p,T}$$

*Proof* The proof is given in 4.1  $\square$

**Lemma 2** *Under the same Assumptions as in Theorem 3, for any real number  $R > \Psi(t_0)$ , define the stopping time  $\eta_R := \inf\{t \geq t_0 : \|\widehat{X}_t\| \geq R\}$ . Then for any  $p \geq 2$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta_R \leq T) \leq \frac{C_{p,T}}{R^p} \quad (3.7)$$

*Proof* From theorem 2, we can obtain that

$$C_{p,T} \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\widehat{X}_t\|^p \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\widehat{X}_{T \wedge \eta_R}\|^p \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\widehat{X}_{T \wedge \eta_R}\|^p \mathbf{I}_{\{\eta_R \leq T\}} \right] \geq R^p \mathbb{P}(\eta_R \leq T)$$

which implies 3.7  $\square$

### 3.3. Strong convergence

When considering the strong convergence of an approximation, the standard practice is to let a fixed step size approach 0 to examine the error. However, when dealing with adaptive step sizes, some adjustments need to be made. As mentioned after Assumption 4, we will use  $\delta$  to control the step function and then consider the error as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

**Assumption 5** *The timestep function  $h^\delta$  ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ) satisfies the inequality*

$$h^\delta(x, y) = \delta \min(1, h(x, y)) \quad (3.8)$$

**Assumption 6**  *$\tau(t)$  is a piecewise right-continuous function, and the discontinuity points are finite, let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  be the discontinuity points.*

**Assumption 7** *Let  $c(x) = \min\{c_k > x : c_k \text{ is the discontinuity point of } \tau(t)\}$ . In order to overcome the challenges posed by discontinuities, we introduce a new modified step-size scheme.*

$$\hat{h}_n = \begin{cases} h_n, & \text{if } h_n \leq c(t_n) - t_n \\ c(t_n) - t_n, & \text{if } h_n > c(t_n) - t_n \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $t_{n+1} = t_n + \hat{h}_n$ .

**Theorem 4** *(Strong convergence). If the SDDE 1.1 satisfies Assumption 1 and Assumption 2, and  $\tau(t)$  satisfies Assumption 3, and the step function  $h^\delta$  satisfies Assumption 5 with  $h$  satisfies Assumption 4, then for all  $p > 0$  and new steps satisfies Assumption 7,*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\widehat{X}_t - X_t\|^p \right] = 0$$

*Proof* This proof is given in 4.2  $\square$

We need to introduce new assumptions on  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $\tau(t)$  to establish the convergence order of this numerical method.

**Assumption 8** *There exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f$  satisfies the one-side Lipschitz condition*

$$\langle x_1 - x_2, f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2) \quad (3.10)$$

and  $g$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|g(x_1, y_1) - g(x_2, y_2)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2) \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, we also require that  $f$  satisfies that for some constants  $\gamma, \mu, q > 0$

$$\|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)\| \leq (\gamma(\|x_1\|^q + \|x_2\|^q + \|y_1\|^q + \|y_2\|^q) + \mu)(\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y_1 - y_2\|) \quad (3.12)$$

**Assumption 9** *If  $\tau(t)$  satisfies Assumption 3, and, furthermore, on each continuous segment,  $\tau$  is a Lipschitz continuous function, which means there exist a constant  $C$ , such that for any  $t, s \in [t_0, T]$ :*

$$|\tau(t) - \tau(s)| \leq C|t - s| \quad (3.13)$$

The lemmas needed for the proof of the theorem are presented here.

**Lemma 3** *Define  $\mathcal{M}^p([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^d)$  : the family of processes  $\{f(t)\}_{a \leq t \leq b}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $E \int_a^b |f(t)|^p dt < \infty$ . Let  $p \geq 2, g \in \mathcal{M}^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ , satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|g(s)\|^p ds < \infty$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^T \|g(s)\| dW_s \right\|^p \leq \left( \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|g(s)\|^p ds$$

*Proof* The proof for this is provided in Chapter 1, Section 7 of Mao's book[7].  $\square$

**Theorem 5** *(Strong convergence order). If the SDDE 1.1 satisfies Assumption 8, and the delay function  $\tau(t)$  satisfies Assumption 9, and the step function  $h^\delta$  satisfies Assumption 5 with  $h$  satisfies Assumption 4, then for all  $p > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_{p,T}$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\widehat{X}_t - X_t\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T} \delta^{p/2}$$

*Proof* This proof is given in 4.3.  $\square$

The following conclusion provides an estimate of the number of steps. In the following context, we will only consider the case where

$$h(x, y) = \frac{\alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta}{\|f(x, y)\|^2 + 1}$$

For this situation, we can prove that the lower bound of  $h(x, y)$  can be controlled by a rational function.

**Lemma 4** (Lower bound of step function). *If  $f$  satisfies Assumption 8, then there exist  $r > 0$  and  $k > 0$  such that*

$$h(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + 1} \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof* This proof is given in 4.4  $\square$

Using lemma 4, we can demonstrate that the expected number of timesteps per path increases linearly with  $T$  within the finite time interval  $[0, T]$ , which is the same as in the case of uniform timesteps.

**Theorem 6** (Number of steps). *If the SDDE 1.1 satisfies Assumption 8 and  $h^\delta$  satisfies Assumption 5 with  $h$  satisfies Assumption 4, then for all  $T > 0$  and  $p > 0$ , there exists a constant  $c_{p,T}$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[(N_t - m)^p] \leq c_{p,T} \delta^{-p} \quad (3.15)$$

*Proof* This proof is given in 4.4  $\square$

## 4. Proof

### 4.1. Theorem 3

*Proof*

$$\widehat{X}_{t_{n+1}}^K = P_K \left( \widehat{X}_{t_n}^K + f \left( \widehat{X}_{t_n}^K, \bar{X}_{t_n - \tau(t_n)}^K \right) h_n + g \left( \widehat{X}_{t_n}^K, \bar{X}_{t_n - \tau(t_n)}^K \right) \Delta W_n \right)$$

where  $P_K(Y) \triangleq \min(1, K/\|Y\|)Y$ ,  $\bar{X}_t^K = \widehat{X}_t^K$ , so  $\left\| \widehat{X}_{t_{n+1}}^K \right\| \leq K$ , and the continuous approximation is

$$\widehat{X}_t^K = P_K \left( \widehat{X}_t^K + f \left( \widehat{X}_t^K, \bar{X}_{t - \tau(t)}^K \right) (t - \underline{t}) + g \left( \widehat{X}_t^K, \bar{X}_{t - \tau(t)}^K \right) (W_t - W_{\underline{t}}) \right)$$

Since  $h(x)$  is continuous and strictly positive, it follows that

$$\min_n h \left( \widehat{X}_{t_n}^K, \bar{X}_{t_n - \tau(t_n)}^K \right) \geq \inf_{\|x\| \vee \|y\| \leq K} h(x, y) > 0$$

This strictly positive lower bound for the step function implies that  $T$  is attainable.

For convenience, we define  $\psi(x, y) \triangleq x + h(x, y)f(x, y)$ , since  $P_K(Y) \triangleq \min(1, K/\|Y\|)Y$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{X}_{n+1}^K\|^2 &\leq \|\widehat{X}_n^K\|^2 + 2h_n \langle \widehat{X}_n^K, f(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K) \rangle + h_n^2 \left\| f(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left\langle \phi(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K), g(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K) \Delta W_n \right\rangle + \left\| g(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K) \Delta W_n \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{X}_{n+1}^K\|^2 &\leq \|\widehat{X}_n^K\|^2 + 3\alpha \left( \|\widehat{X}_n^K\|^2 + \|\bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K\|^2 \right) h_n + 3\beta h_n \\ &\quad + 2 \left\langle \phi(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K), g(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K) \Delta W_n \right\rangle + \left\| g(\widehat{X}_n^K, \bar{X}_{n-\tau(t_n)}^K) \Delta W_n \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{X}_t^K\|^2 &\leq \|\widehat{X}_\ell^K\|^2 + 3\alpha \left( \|\widehat{X}_\ell^K\|^2 + \|\bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K\|^2 \right) (t-\ell) + 3\beta(t-\ell) \\ &\quad + 2 \left\langle \widehat{X}_\ell^K + f(\widehat{X}_\ell^K, \bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (t-\ell), g(\widehat{X}_\ell^K, \bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (W_t - W_\ell) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\| g(\widehat{X}_\ell^K, \bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (W_t - W_\ell) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Obtained by recursion,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{X}_t^K\|^2 &\leq \|X_0\|^2 + 3\alpha \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \left( \|\widehat{X}_{t_k}^K\|^2 + \|\bar{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K\|^2 \right) h_k + \left( \|\widehat{X}_\ell^K\|^2 + \|\bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K\|^2 \right) (t-\ell) \right) + 3\beta t \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \left\langle \phi(\widehat{X}_{t_k}^K, \bar{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K), g(\widehat{X}_{t_k}^K, \bar{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K) \Delta W_k \right\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \left\| g(\widehat{X}_{t_k}^K, \bar{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K) \Delta W_k \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left\langle \widehat{X}_\ell^K + f(\widehat{X}_\ell^K, \bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (t-\ell), g(\widehat{X}_\ell^K, \bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (W_t - W_\ell) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\| g(\widehat{X}_\ell^K, \bar{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (W_t - W_\ell) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}^K = \bar{X}_{t-\tau(t)}^K$ , and by the Jensen's inequality, we can obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{X}_t^K\|^p &\leq 8^{p/2-1} \left\{ \|X_0\|^p + \left( 3\alpha \int_0^t \|\bar{X}_s^K\|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} + \left( 3\alpha \int_0^t \|\widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K\|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} + (3\beta t)^{p/2} \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \left| 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \phi(\bar{X}_s^K, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K), g(\bar{X}_s^K, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K) dW_s \right\rangle \right|^{p/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \left\| g(\bar{X}_{t_k}^K, \widetilde{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K) \Delta W_k \right\|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &\quad + \left. \left| 2 \left\langle \widehat{X}_\ell^K + f(\bar{X}_\ell^K, \widetilde{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (t-\ell), g(\bar{X}_\ell^K, \widetilde{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (W_t - W_\ell) \right\rangle \right|^{p/2} \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \left\| g(\bar{X}_\ell^K, \widetilde{X}_{\ell-\tau(\ell)}^K) (W_t - W_\ell) \right\|^p \right\} \end{aligned}$$

For any  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \widehat{X}_s^K \right\|^p \right] \leq 8^{p/2-1} (l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 + l_5)$$

Where,

$$I_1 = \|X_0\|^p + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 3\alpha \int_0^t \|\bar{X}_s^K\|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 3\alpha \int_0^t \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K\|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] + (3\beta t)^{p/2},$$

$$I_2 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| 2 \int_0^s \left\langle \phi \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right), g \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) dW_u \right\rangle \right|^{p/2} \right],$$

$$I_3 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \left\| g \left( \bar{X}_{t_k}^K, \tilde{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K \right) \Delta W_k \right\|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right],$$

$$I_4 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| 2 \left\langle \bar{X}_s^K + f \left( \bar{X}_s^K, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K \right) (s - \underline{s}), g \left( \bar{X}_s^K, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K \right) (W_s - W_{\underline{s}}) \right\rangle \right|^{p/2} \right],$$

$$I_5 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| g \left( \bar{X}_s^K, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K \right) (W_s - W_{\underline{s}}) \right\|^p \right].$$

Noticing that for any  $u \in [0, s]$ ,  $\tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K = \widehat{X}_{\underline{u}-\tau(\underline{u})}^K$ ,  $-\tau \leq \underline{u} - \tau(\underline{u}) \leq s$ . By Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \|X_0\|^p + (3\alpha)^{p/2} T^{p/2-1} \left( \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right\|^p \right] ds \right) \\ &\quad + (3\beta T)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \|X_0\|^p + (3\alpha)^{p/2} T^{p/2-1} \left( \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} \|\psi(s)\|^p \right] \right) + (3\beta T)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \|X_0\|^p + (3\alpha)^{p/2} T^{p/2-1} \left( 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds + T \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} \|\psi(s)\|^p \right] \right) \\ &\quad + (3\beta T)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_2$ , by Assumption 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \phi \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^2 &= \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^2 + 2h(\bar{X}_u^K) \left\langle \bar{X}_u^K, f \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\rangle + h^2(\bar{X}_u^K) \left\| f \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^2 + 3h(\bar{X}_u^K) \left( \alpha \left( \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^2 + \|\tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K\|^2 \right) + \beta \right) \\ &\leq (1 + 3\alpha T) \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^2 + 3\alpha T \|\tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K\|^2 + 3\beta T \end{aligned}$$



By Jensen's inequality,

$$\left\| \phi \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^{p/2} \leq 3^{p/4-1} \left( (1+3\alpha T)^{p/4} \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^{p/2} + (3\alpha T)^{p/4} \|\tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K\|^{p/2} + (3\beta T)^{p/4} \right)$$

Due to the linear growth condition,

$$\left\| g \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^{p/2} \leq 3^{p/4-1} \left( \alpha^{p/4} \left( \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^{p/2} + \|\tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K\|^{p/2} \right) + \beta^{p/4} \right)$$

By the norm inequality,

$$\left\| \phi \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right)^T g \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^{p/2} \leq c_{p,T} \left( \|\bar{X}_u^K\|^p + \|\tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K\|^p + 1 \right)$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C_p 2^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t \left\| \phi \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right)^T g \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^2 du \right)^{p/4} \right] \\ &\leq C_p 2^{p/2} T^{p/4-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \left\| \phi \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right)^T g \left( \bar{X}_u^K, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}^K \right) \right\|^{p/2} du \right] \\ &\leq c_{p,T} C_p 2^{p/2} T^{p/4-1} \left( 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|\hat{X}_u^K\|^p \right] ds + T \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} \|\psi(s)\|^p \right] + T \right) \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_3$ , noting that there exists a constant  $C_p$  depending only on  $p$ , such that for any  $t_k \leq s < t_{k+1}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t_k \leq u \leq s} \|W_u - W_{t_k}\|^p \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right] = c_p (s - t_k)^{p/2}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \left\| g \left( \bar{X}_{t_k}^K, \tilde{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K \right) \Delta W_k \right\|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} h_k \left\| g \left( \bar{X}_{t_k}^K, \tilde{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K \right) \Delta W_k \right\|^2 \frac{1}{h_k} \right)^{p/2} \right] \\ &\leq T^{p/2-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n_t-1} h_k \left\| g \left( \bar{X}_{t_k}^K, \tilde{X}_{t_k-\tau(t_k)}^K \right) \right\|^p \frac{\|\Delta W_k\|^p}{h_k^{p/2}} \right] \\ &\leq T^{p/2-1} c_p \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \left\| g \left( \bar{X}_s^K, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K \right) \right\|^p ds \right] \\ &\leq T^{p/2-1} c_p \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \alpha \left( \|\bar{X}_s^K\|^2 + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K\|^2 \right) + \beta \right]^{p/2} ds \\ &\leq (3T)^{p/2-1} c_p \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \alpha^{p/2} \left( \|\bar{X}_s^K\|^p + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}^K\|^p \right) + \beta^{p/2} ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\leq (3T)^{p/2-1} c_p \alpha^{p/2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds \\
&+ (3T)^{p/2-1} c_p \alpha^{p/2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{-\tau \leq u \leq s} \left\| \bar{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds + (3T)^{p/2-1} c_p T \beta^{p/2} \\
&\leq 2(3T)^{p/2-1} c_p \alpha^{p/2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds \\
&+ \left( (3T)^{p/2-1} c_p \alpha^{p/2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{-\tau \leq u \leq 0} \left\| \Psi_u \right\|^p \right] ds + (3T)^{p/2-1} c_p T \beta^{p/2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_4$ , due to the formal consistency, the treatment method is essentially the same as  $I_2$ , and a similar estimation can be applied. The estimation technique for  $I_5$  is similar to  $I_3$ . Combining all the discussions, we can conclude that, there exist constants  $C_{p,T}^1, C_{p,T}^2$ , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \widehat{X}_s^K \right\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T}^1 + C_{p,T}^2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \left\| \widehat{X}_u^K \right\|^p \right] ds$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we can obtain,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_s^K \right\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T}^1 e^{C_{p,T}^2 T} \triangleq C_{p,T} < \infty$$

By Markov's inequality, we can obtain,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t \right\| < K \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t^K \right\| < K \right) \geq 1 - \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_s^K \right\|^2 \right] / K^2 \rightarrow 1$$

Therefore,  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t \right\|$  is almost everywhere finite, and  $T$  is attainable, and

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t^K \right\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t \right\|$$

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t \right\|^p \right] = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \widehat{X}_t^K \right\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T}$$

□

#### 4.2. Theorem 4

*Proof* Let  $e_t = \hat{X}_t - X_t, \xi_R = \phi_R \wedge \eta_R$ , for any  $p \geq 2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose sufficiently large  $q > p$  and any  $h > 0$ , by Hölder's inequality, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p I_{\{\xi_R \leq T\}} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p I_{\{\xi_R > T\}} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{hp}{q} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^q \right] + \frac{q-p}{h^{\frac{p}{q-p}} q} \mathbb{P}(\xi_R \leq T) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p I_{\{\xi_R > T\}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

From theorem 2 and theorem 3, we can obtain that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^q \right]$  is bounded, so we can choose sufficiently small  $h > 0$  such that

$$\frac{hp}{q} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^q \right] < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Obtained from lemma 1 and lemma 2,

$$\frac{q-p}{h^{\frac{p}{q-p}} q} \mathbb{P}(\xi_R \leq T) \leq \frac{q-p}{h^{\frac{p}{q-p}} q} (\mathbb{P}(\phi_R \leq T) + \mathbb{P}(\eta_R \leq T)) \leq \frac{q-p}{h^{\frac{p}{q-p}} q} \frac{2C_T}{R^p}$$

In the case of a fixed  $h$ , we can choose a sufficiently large  $R$  such that  $\frac{q-p}{h^{\frac{p}{q-p}} q} \frac{2C_T}{R^p}$  is less than  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

Since

$$d\hat{X}_t = f(\bar{X}_t, \tilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dt + g(\bar{X}_t, \tilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t$$

We can obtain that

$$de_t = \left( f(\bar{X}_t, \tilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) - f(X_t, X_{t-\tau(t)}) \right) dt + \left( g(\bar{X}_t, \tilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) - g(X_t, X_{t-\tau(t)}) \right) dW_t$$

Note that  $e_t = 0$  for  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ , by Itô's formula, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{t \wedge \xi_R}\|^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \langle e_s, f(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - f(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \left\| g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \langle e_s, \left( g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right) dW_s \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 1, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle e_s, f(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - f(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right\rangle &\leq L_R \|e_s\| \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\| + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\| \right) \\ &\leq L_R \|e_s\| \left( \|e_s\| + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\| + \|\bar{X}_s - \hat{X}_s\| + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right\|^2 &\leq 2L_R \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^2 + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 4L_R \left( \|e_s\|^2 + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 + \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^2 + \|\hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_{t \wedge \xi_R}\|^2 &\leq 2L_R \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_s\| \left( \|e_s\| + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\| + \|\bar{X}_s - \hat{X}_s\| + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\| \right) ds \\
&\quad + 4L_R \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \left( \|e_s\|^2 + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 + \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^2 + \|\hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \left\langle e_s, \left( g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right) dW_s \right\rangle \\
&\leq 8L_R \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_s\|^2 ds + 5L_R \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 ds + 5L_R \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|\hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 ds \\
&\quad + 5L_R \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \left\langle e_s, \left( g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right) dW_s \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

By the Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_{t \wedge \xi_R}\|^p &\leq (5T)^{p/2-1} (8L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_s\|^p ds + (5T)^{p/2-1} (5L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p ds \\
&\quad + (5T)^{p/2-1} (5L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|\hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p ds \\
&\quad + (5T)^{p/2-1} (5L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p ds \\
&\quad + 5^{p/2-1} 2^{p/2} \left| \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \left\langle e_s, \left( g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right) dW_s \right\rangle \right|^{p/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|e_{s \wedge \xi_R}\|^p \right] &\leq (5T)^{p/2-1} (8L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_u\|^p \right] ds \\
&\quad + (5T)^{p/2-1} (5L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_{u-\tau(u)}\|^p \right] ds \\
&\quad + (5T)^{p/2-1} (5L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p \right] ds \\
&\quad + (5T)^{p/2-1} (5L_R)^{p/2} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p \right] ds \\
&\quad + 5^{p/2-1} 2^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^{s \wedge \xi_R} \left\langle e_u, \left( g(\bar{X}_u, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}) - g(X_u, X_{u-\tau(u)}) \right) dW_u \right\rangle \right|^{p/2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^{s \wedge \xi_R} \langle e_u, (g(\bar{X}_u, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}) - g(X_u, X_{u-\tau(u)})) dW_u \rangle \right|^{p/2} \right] \\
& \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_s\|^2 \left\| g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right\|^2 ds \right)^{p/4} \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} (2L_R)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_s\|^{p/2} \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^2 + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right)^{p/4} ds \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} (2L_R)^{p/4} 2^{p/4-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \|e_s\|^{p/2} \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^{p/2} + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^{p/2} \right) ds \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} (2L_R)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \frac{2\|e_s\|^p + \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^p + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p}{2} ds \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} (2L_R)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} (1 + 2^{p-2}) \|e_s\|^p + 2^{p-2} \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p ds \right] \\
& \quad + C_p T^{p/4-1} (2L_R)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} 2^{p-2} \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p + 2^{p-2} \|\hat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p ds \right]
\end{aligned}$$

From  $e_s = 0$  for  $s \in [-\tau, 0]$  and  $u - \tau(u) \in [-\tau, s]$  for  $u \in [0, s]$ , we can obtain that

$$\int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_{u-\tau(u)}\|^p \right] ds \leq \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_u\|^p \right] ds$$

For any  $s \in [0, t \wedge \xi_R]$ ,  $\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s = f(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})})(s - \underline{s}) + g(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})})(W_s - W_{\underline{s}})$ , we can obtain from Jensen's inequality and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p \right] & \leq 2^{p-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{2p} \right] \mathbb{E} [(s - \underline{s})^{2p}] \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 2^{p-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| g(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{2p} \right] \mathbb{E} [\|W_s - W_{\underline{s}}\|^{2p}] \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 and Assumption 1, we can conclude that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{2p} \right]$  and  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| g(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{2p} \right]$  are uniformly bounded on  $[0, t \wedge \xi_R]$ . moreover,

$$\mathbb{E} [(s - \underline{s})^{2p}] \leq \delta^{2p} \leq \delta^p$$

From the known result,

$$\mathbb{E} [\|W_s - W_{\underline{s}}\|^{2p}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} [\|W_s - W_{\underline{s}}\|^{2p} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}] \right] \leq c_p \delta^p$$

So, there exists a constant  $C_{p,T}$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T} \delta^{p/2}$ . Noting that  $\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} = \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}$ ,  $\bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} = \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})} - \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})} = \hat{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})} - \hat{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}$ , let  $t_{k_1} = \underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})$ ,  $t_{k_2} = s - \tau(s)$ . Due to the right

continuity of  $\tau(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}| &= |(s - \tau(s)) - \underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s}) + ((\underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})) - (\underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s}))) \\
&\quad + ((\underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})) - (s - \tau(s))) + ((s - \tau(s)) - (s - \tau(s))) \\
&\quad + ((s - \tau(s)) - (\underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})))| \\
&\leq 4\delta + |\tau(s) - \tau(\underline{s})| \leq C\delta \\
\widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}} &= \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dt + \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From Hölder's inequality and Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}}\|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dt \right\|^p + 2^{p-1} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^p \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} (t_{k_2} - t_{k_1})^{p-1} \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} \|f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)})\|^p dt + 2^{p-1} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^p
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}}\|^p \right] \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} (t_{k_2} - t_{k_1})^{p-1} \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)})\|^p \right] dt + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^p \right]
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, it can be deduced that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^p \\
&\leq \left( \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right)^p (t_{k_2} - t_{k_1})^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} \|g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)})\|^p dt
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 and Assumption 1 and  $t_{k_1} \vee t_{k_2} < \xi_R$ , we can conclude that,  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \|f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)})\|^p \right]$  and  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \|g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)})\|^p \right]$  are uniformly bounded, denoting as  $c_p$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}}\|^p \right] &\leq 2^{p-1} c_p |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}|^p + \left( \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right)^p c_p |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq C_{p,T} |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}|^{\frac{p}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

So, there exists a constant  $C_{p,T}$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T} \delta^{\frac{p}{2}}$ .

In summary, there exist a constant  $C_{p,T}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|e_{s \wedge \xi_R}\|^p \right] &\leq C_{p,T} \int_0^{t \wedge \xi_R} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_u\|^p \right] ds + C_{p,T} \delta^{p/2} \\ &\leq C_{p,T} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_{u \wedge \xi_R}\|^p \right] ds + C_{p,T} \delta^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall inequality, we can obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p I_{\{\xi_R > T\}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_{t \wedge \xi_R}\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T} \delta^{p/2}$$

We can choose sufficiently small  $\delta$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p I_{\{\xi_R > T\}} \right] < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

In summary,  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e_t\|^p \right] < \varepsilon$ , which implies the conclusion.  $\square$

#### 4.3. Theorem 5

*Proof* Noting that  $e_t = 0$  for  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ , by Itô's formula, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_t\|^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^t \langle e_s, f(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - f(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \rangle ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle e_s, f(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - f(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|g(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)})\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle e_s, (g(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)})) dW_s \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 8, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_s, f(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - f(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left( \|\widehat{X}_s - X_s\|^2 + \|\widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left( \|e_s\|^2 + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 8, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)})\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^2 + \|\bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \|e_s\|^2 + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) + \alpha \|\widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^2 + \alpha \|\bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 5, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\langle e_s, f\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right) - f\left(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \right\rangle \right| \\
& \leq \|e_s\| L\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \left( \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\| + \left\| \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|e_s\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} L\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right)^2 \left( \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\| + \left\| \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\| \right)^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|e_s\|^2 + L\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right)^2 \left( \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 + \left\| \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $L(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \triangleq \gamma(\|x_1\|^q + \|x_2\|^q + \|y_1\|^q + \|y_2\|^q) + \mu$  Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_t\|^2 & \leq \int_0^t \alpha \left( \|e_s\|^2 + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} \|e_s\|^2 + L\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right)^2 \left( \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \left\| \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 \right) \right) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \left( \alpha \left( \|e_s\|^2 + \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right) + \alpha \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 + \alpha \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle e_s, \left( g\left(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right) - g\left(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \right) dW_s \right\rangle \\
& \leq (2\alpha + 1) \int_0^t \|e_s\|^2 ds + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|e_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 ds + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t L\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right)^2 \left( \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 + \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle e_s, \left( g\left(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right) - g\left(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \right) dW_s \right\rangle \\
& \leq (4\alpha + 1) \int_0^t \|e_s\|^2 ds + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 ds + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t L\left(\widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right)^2 \left( \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^2 + \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^2 \right) ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle e_s, \left( g\left(\bar{X}_s, \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\right) - g\left(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}\right) \right) dW_s \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$



By the Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_t\|^p &\leq (4T)^{p/2-1} (4\alpha + 1)^{p/2} \int_0^t \|e_s\|^p ds \\
&+ (4T)^{p/2-1} \int_0^t \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^{p/2} \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^p ds \\
&+ (4T)^{p/2-1} \int_0^t \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^{p/2} \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^p ds + 4^{p/2-1} 2^{p/2} \left| \int_0^t \left\langle e_s, \left( g \left( \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right) - g \left( X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)} \right) \right) dW_s \right\rangle \right|^{p/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|e_s\|^p \right] &\leq (4T)^{p/2-1} (4\alpha + 1)^{p/2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_u\|^p \right] ds \\
&+ (4T)^{p/2-1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^{p/2} \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^p \right] ds \\
&+ (4T)^{p/2-1} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^{p/2} \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^p \right] ds \\
&+ 4^{p/2-1} 2^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \left\langle e_u, \left( g \left( \bar{X}_u, \widetilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)} \right) - g \left( X_u, X_{u-\tau(u)} \right) \right) dW_u \right\rangle \right|^{p/2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^{p/2} \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^p \right] \\
&\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^p \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^{2p} \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
&\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^{p/2} \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^p \right] \\
&\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^p \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^{2p} \right] \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3, it can be deduced that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 2L \left( \widehat{X}_s, \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)}, \bar{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right)^2 + \alpha \right)^p \right]$  is uniformly bounded on  $[0, T]$ .

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \langle e_u, (g(\bar{X}_u, \tilde{X}_{u-\tau(u)}) - g(X_u, X_{u-\tau(u)})) dW_u \rangle \right|^{p/2} \right] \\
& \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t \|e_s\|^2 \left\| g(\bar{X}_s, \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}) - g(X_s, X_{s-\tau(s)}) \right\|^2 ds \right)^{p/4} \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \|e_s\|^{p/2} \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^2 + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^2 \right)^{p/4} ds \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{p/4} 2^{p/4-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \|e_s\|^{p/2} \left( \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^{p/2} + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^{p/2} \right) ds \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \frac{2\|e_s\|^p + \|\bar{X}_s - X_s\|^p + \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p}{2} ds \right] \\
& \leq C_p T^{p/4-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{p/4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (1 + 2^{p-1}) \|e_s\|^p + 2^{p-2} \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p + 2^{p-2} \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^p ds \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^p \right] \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^{2p} \right] \right)^{1/2}$ , hence, there exist constants  $C_{p,T}^1$  and  $C_{p,T}^2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|e_s\|^p \right] & \leq C_{p,T}^1 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_u\|^p \right] ds \\
& \quad + C_{p,T}^2 \int_0^t \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^{2p} \right] \right)^{1/2} + \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}\|^{2p} \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)}\|^{2p} \right] \right)^{1/2} ds
\end{aligned}$$

For any  $s \in [0, T]$ ,  $\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s = f(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})})(s - \underline{s}) + g(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})})(W_s - W_{\underline{s}})$ , we can obtain from Jensen's inequality and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \|\hat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s\|^{2p} \right] & \leq 2^{2p-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{4p} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ (s - \underline{s})^{4p} \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 2^{2p-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| g(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{4p} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W_s - W_{\underline{s}}\|^{4p} \right] \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 and Assumption 8, we can conclude that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{4p} \right]$  and  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| g(\hat{X}_{\underline{s}}, \bar{X}_{\underline{s}-\tau(\underline{s})}) \right\|^{4p} \right]$  are uniformly bounded on  $[0, T]$ . moreover,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ (s - \underline{s})^{4p} \right] \leq \delta^{4p} \leq \delta^{2p}$$

From the known result,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \|W_s - W_{\underline{s}}\|^{4p} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W_s - W_{\underline{s}}\|^{4p} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}} \right] \right] \leq c_p \delta^{2p}$$

So, there exists a constant  $C_{p,T}^3, \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \widehat{X}_s - \bar{X}_s \right\|^{2p} \right] \leq C_{p,T}^3 \delta^p$  Noticing that  $\widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)} = \bar{X}_{t-\tau(t)}$ ,  $\bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} = \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} = \widehat{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \widetilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)}$ , let  $t_{k_1} = \underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})$ ,  $t_{k_2} = s - \tau(s)$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}| &= |(s - \tau(s)) - \underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})| + ((\underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})) - (s - \tau(s))) \\ &\quad + ((\underline{s} - \tau(\underline{s})) - (s - \tau(s))) + ((s - \tau(s)) - (s - \tau(s))) \\ &\quad + ((s - \tau(s)) - (s - \tau(s))) \\ &\leq 4\delta + |\tau(s) - \tau(\underline{s})| \leq C\delta \\ \widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}} &= \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dt + \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

From Hölder's inequality and Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}} \right\|^{2p} &\leq 2^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dt \right\|^{2p} + 2^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^{2p} \\ &\leq 2^{2p-1} (t_{k_2} - t_{k_1})^{2p-1} \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} \left\| f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) \right\|^{2p} dt + 2^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^{2p} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}} \right\|^{2p} \right] \\ &\leq 2^{2p-1} (t_{k_2} - t_{k_1})^{2p-1} \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) \right\|^{2p} \right] dt + 2^{2p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^{2p} \right] \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, it can be deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) dW_t \right\|^{2p} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{2p(2p-1)}{2} \right)^p (t_{k_2} - t_{k_1})^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{k_1}}^{t_{k_2}} \left\| g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) \right\|^{2p} dt \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 and Assumption 8, we can conclude that,  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) \right\|^{2p} \right]$  and  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| g(\bar{X}_t, \widetilde{X}_{t-\tau(t)}) \right\|^{2p} \right]$  are uniformly bounded, denoting as  $c_p$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \widehat{X}_{t_{k_2}} - \widehat{X}_{t_{k_1}} \right\|^{2p} \right] &\leq 2^{2p-1} c_p |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}|^{2p} + \left( \frac{2p(2p-1)}{2} \right)^p c_p |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}|^p \\ &\leq C_{p,T} |t_{k_2} - t_{k_1}|^p \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists a constant  $C_{p,T}^4, \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \bar{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^{2p} \right] \leq C_{p,T}^4 \delta^p$ .

In the case of  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \tilde{X}_{s-\tau(s)} - X_{s-\tau(s)} \right\|^{2p} \right]$ , a similar estimation can also be applied.

In conclusion

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|e_s\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T}^1 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} \|e_u\|^p \right] ds + C_{p,T}^2 T \left( \sqrt{C_{p,T}^3} + \sqrt{C_{p,T}^4} \right) \delta^{p/2}$$

From Gronwall-type inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \hat{X}_t - X_t \right\|^p \right] \leq C_{p,T}^2 T \left( \sqrt{C_{p,T}^3} + \sqrt{C_{p,T}^4} \right) e^{C_{p,T}^1 T} \delta^{p/2}$$

□

#### 4.4. Theorem 6

Proof of lemma 4:

*Proof* It can obtain from the Assumption 8 that

$$\|f(x, y)\| \leq \|f(0, 0)\| + \gamma_1 (\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + 1) (\|x\| + \|y\|)$$

by taking  $(x_1, y_1) = (x, y)$  and  $(x_2, y_2) = (0, 0)$ , where  $\gamma_1 = \gamma + \mu$  From Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| \cdot \|y\|^q &\leq \frac{1}{q+1} \|x\|^{q+1} + \frac{q}{q+1} \|y\|^{q+1} \\ \|y\| \cdot \|x\|^q &\leq \frac{1}{q+1} \|y\|^{q+1} + \frac{q}{q+1} \|x\|^{q+1} \\ \|x\| &\leq \frac{1}{q+1} \|x\|^{q+1} + \frac{q}{q+1} \\ \|y\| &\leq \frac{1}{q+1} \|y\|^{q+1} + \frac{q}{q+1} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can obtain that

$$\|f(x, y)\| \leq \gamma_2 (\|x\|^{q+1} + \|y\|^{q+1} + 1)$$

where  $\gamma_2 = \|f(0, 0)\| + 3$ . We take  $c = 3\gamma_2^2 + 1$ , by Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x, y)\|^2 + 1 &\leq c (\|x\|^{2q+2} + \|y\|^{2q+2} + 1) \\ &\leq c \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (\|x\|^{2q} + \|y\|^{2q} + 1) (\alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k = c \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)$ ,  $r = 2q$ , then we can obtain that

$$\|f(x, y)\|^2 + 1 \leq k (\alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta) (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + 1)$$

Therefore,

$$h(x, y) = \frac{\alpha (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \beta}{\|f(x, y)\|^2 + 1} \geq \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + 1}$$

□

Proof of theorem 6:

*Proof* Partition the sequence  $\{\hat{h}_n\}$  into two groups. The first group consists of those steps that are not taken as  $h_n$ , denoted as  $\{\hat{h}_n^1\}$ , and the second group comprises those steps taken as  $h_n$ , denoted as  $\{\hat{h}_n^2\}$ . The number of elements in the first group is evidently not exceeding the number of discontinuity points, denoted as  $m$ , in  $\tau(t)$ . The number of elements in the second group is denoted as  $k$ , with subscripts sequentially labeled as  $n_k$ . Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} N_t &\leq m + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{h_{n_l}}{h_{n_l}} = m + \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{h_{n_l}}{h^\delta (\hat{X}_{t_{n_l}}, \tilde{X}_{t_{n_l} - \tau(t)})} \leq m + \int_0^T \frac{dt}{h^\delta (\bar{X}_t, \tilde{X}_{t - \tau(t)})} \\ &\leq \int_0^T k \left( \|\bar{X}_t\|^r + \|\tilde{X}_{t - \tau(t)}\|^r + 2 \right) \delta^{-1} dt + m \end{aligned}$$

From Jensen's inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[(N_t - m)^p] \leq T^{p-1} k^p \delta^{-p} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \|\bar{X}_t\|^r + \|\tilde{X}_{t - \tau(t)}\|^r + 2 \right)^p \right] dt$$

It can be derived from Theorem 3 that  $\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \|\bar{X}_t\|^r + \|\tilde{X}_{t - \tau(t)}\|^r + 2 \right)^p \right] dt$  is bounded, so there exist a constant  $c_{p,T}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(N_t - m)^p] \leq c_{p,T} \delta^{-p}$$

□

## 5. Numerical experiment

In this section, a numerical example is given to show the convergence rate obtained in the previous section. In this example, we consider the scalar SDEPCA

$$dX(t) = (-X^3(t) + X([t])) dt + (X(t) + X([t])) dW(t) \quad (5.1)$$

on  $t \geq 0$  with the initial value  $x_0 = 1$ . Here:  $f(x, y) = -x^3 + y$ ,  $g(x, y) = x + y$ , and  $\tau(t) = t - [t]$ . By calculation, we obtain that

$$\langle x, f(x, y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|g(x, y)\|^2 = -x^4 + \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + y^2) + 2xy \leq \frac{3}{2} (x^2 + y^2) \quad (5.2)$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to take

$$h(x, y) \leq \frac{3 (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)}{2 \|f(x, y)\|^2 + 2}$$

We generate 1000 different Brownian paths. Let  $T = 2$ , Fig.1 depicts  $p$ -th moment errors  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \hat{X}_T - X_T \right\|^p \right]$  as a function of the step size in log-log plot, where we use the numerical solutions




FIG. 1. Log-log plot of errors ( left:  $p=2$  ; right:  $p=4$  )

produced by Euler and Milstein methods with step sizes  $2^{-4}, 2^{-5}, 2^{-6}, 2^{-7}, 2^{-8}$ . The simulation using the tamed-Milstein scheme with step size  $2^{-13}$  is regarded as the "true solution". It can be seen from Fig.1 that the convergence order of the adaptive Euler method is around  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions. This work is supported in part by funds from the National Science Foundation (NSF: # 1636933 and # 1920920).

## Funding

This work is supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" (Grant No. HIT.DZJJ.xxxxxxxx)

## REFERENCES

1. A. Bahar and X. Mao, "Stochastic delay population dynamics", *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS*, vol. 11, pp. 377–400, 2004. Publisher: Academic Publications.
2. T. Tian, K. Burrage, P. M. Burrage, and M. Carletti, "Stochastic delay differential equations for genetic regulatory networks", *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 205, no. 2, pp. 696–707, 2007. Publisher: Elsevier.
3. E. Kemajou, "A stochastic delay model for pricing corporate liabilities", PhD thesis, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, 2012.
4. H. J. Kushner, "Numerical methods for controlled stochastic delay systems", Springer, 2008.
5. C. Laing and G. J. Lord, "Stochastic methods in neuroscience", OUP Oxford, 2009.
6. W. Fang and M. B. Giles, "Adaptive Euler–Maruyama method for SDEs with nonglobally Lipschitz drift", *The Annals of Applied Probability*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 526–560, 2020. doi: [10.1214/19-AAP1507](https://doi.org/10.1214/19-AAP1507)
7. Xuerong Mao, *Stochastic differential equations and applications*, Elsevier, 2007.