

Corrections of minor misstatements in several papers on ECS manifolds

Andrzej Derdzinski and Ivo Terek

ABSTRACT. In the paper [3] the value of the invariant denoted by d and often called "rank" was misstated for a narrow class of examples of ECS manifolds: they were identified as having $d = 1$ instead of the correct value $d = 2$. The error was repeated, by citing [3], in [1], [2] and [4] – [7]. We briefly describe the class in question, explain why it has $d = 2$, and list the required corrections of the affected papers.

1. Why, in some cases, $d = 2$

Given a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) with parallel Weyl tensor W , we denote by d the rank of the parallel subbundle of T^*M , the sections of which are the 1-forms ξ such that $W(v, v', \cdot, \cdot) \wedge \xi = 0$ for all vector fields v, v' or, in other words, the 2-form $W(v, v', \cdot, \cdot)$ is \wedge -divisible by ξ at points where $\xi \neq 0$.

In a class of such manifolds, of dimensions $n \geq 4$, constructed by Witold Roter in 1974, M is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n and, with λ, μ, ν ranging over $2, \dots, n-1$, the possibly-nonzero components of the metric g are those algebraically related to $g_{11} = [f(x^1)g_{\lambda\mu} + a_{\lambda\mu}]x^\lambda x^\mu$, $g_{1n} = g_{n1} = 1$, and $g_{\lambda\mu}$. (We call them the *essential components* of g .) Here f is a function of x^1 and $[g_{\lambda\mu}]$, $[a_{\lambda\mu}]$ are $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ symmetric matrices of constants such that $\det[g_{\lambda\mu}] \neq 0$ and $g^{\lambda\mu}a_{\lambda\mu} = 0$, while $[a_{\lambda\mu}] \neq 0$, where, as usual, $[g^{\lambda\mu}] = [g_{\lambda\mu}]^{-1}$.

The reciprocal metric, Levi-Civita connection, and the curvature, Ricci and Weyl tensors then have the essential components $g^{1n} = 1$, $g^{nn} = -g_{11}$, $g^{\lambda\mu}$, $\Gamma_{11}^\lambda = -g^{\lambda\mu}\partial_\mu g_{11}/2$, $\Gamma_{11}^n = \partial_1 g_{11}/2$, $\Gamma_{1\lambda}^n = \partial_\lambda g_{11}/2$, $R_{1\lambda\mu 1} = f(x^1)g_{\lambda\mu} + a_{\lambda\mu}$, $R_{11} = (2-n)f(x^1)$, and $W_{1\lambda\mu 1} = a_{\lambda\mu}$, the sign convention being $R_{ij} = R_{ikj}{}^k$. All 2-forms $\zeta = W(v, v', \cdot, \cdot)$, thus lie, at each point, in the span of $\zeta_\lambda = W(\partial_1, \partial_\lambda, \cdot, \cdot) = a_{\lambda\mu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^1$, where $\lambda = 2, \dots, n-1$. As $[a_{\lambda\mu}] \neq 0$, the condition $0 = \zeta_\lambda \wedge \xi = -a_{\lambda\mu} dx^1 \wedge dx^\mu \wedge (\xi_\nu dx^\nu + \xi_n dx^n)$, for all λ , is equivalent to $\xi_n = 0$ and $a_{\lambda\mu}\xi_\nu = a_{\lambda\nu}\xi_\mu$. Depending on whether $\text{rank}[a_{\lambda\mu}] \geq 2$ or $\text{rank}[a_{\lambda\mu}] = 1$, this amounts to

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C50; Secondary 53B30.

$\xi_2 = \dots = \xi_n = 0$ or, respectively, to requiring that $\xi_n = 0$ and $(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$ be a multiple of every nonzero row of $[a_{\lambda\mu}]$. Consequently – with ξ_1 completely arbitrary – *in the former case $d = 1$ and in the latter $d = 2$.*

As $g_{in} = \delta_{1i}$ and $\Gamma_{in}^j = 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, the coordinate vector field ∂_n is null and parallel, while $g(\partial_n, \cdot) = dx^1$. Thus, with dx^1 obviously being a section of the parallel rank d subbundle of T^*M mentioned above, the rank d subbundle of TM corresponding to it under the g -induced isomorphism $T^*M \rightarrow TM$ contains the *one-dimensional null parallel distribution \mathcal{P} spanned by ∂_n .*

2. List of corrections: the two older papers

The corrections needed in [3] and [2] are nearly identical.

In [3, Theorem 4.1], ‘has $d = 1$ ’ should read ‘has $d = 1$ or $d = 2$ depending on whether $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ or $\text{rank} A = 1$ ’, and ‘some such data $I, f, n, V, \langle, \rangle, A$ ’ needs to be replaced by ‘some such data $I, f, n, V, \langle, \rangle, A$ with $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ ’.

In [2, Theorem 3.1]: instead of ‘has parallel Weyl tensor and $d = 1$ ’ read ‘has parallel Weyl tensor and $d = 1$ or $d = 2$ depending on whether $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ or $\text{rank} A = 1$ ’, while ‘some such $I, f, n, V, \langle, \rangle, A$ ’ should similarly be replaced by ‘some such $I, f, n, V, \langle, \rangle, A$ with $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ ’.

3. List of corrections: [4]

The corrected version of lines 5 – 3 before Theorem A is ‘locally-homogeneous compact rank-two ECS manifolds of all *odd* dimensions $n \geq 5$. It still remains an open question whether a compact ECS manifold may be of dimension four.’

Line 7 before formula (2.2) should read ‘The metric (2.1) turns \widehat{M} into an ECS manifold [5, Theorem 4.1], of rank one or two depending on whether $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ or $\text{rank} A = 1$.’

In the third line of Remark 2.1, ‘are as before’ needs to be replaced by ‘are as before, with $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ ’.

In the line preceding formula (3.1), ‘with the metric (2.1)’ should read ‘with the metric (2.1), where $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ ’.

4. List of corrections: [5]

Abstract: lines 4 – 5 should read ‘Olszak, and a Ricci-recurrent ECS manifold may be called translational or dilational, depending on whether the holonomy group of a natural flat connection in a specific line subbundle of the Olszak distribution is finite’.

Abstract: lines 7 – 9 need to be replaced with ‘structure of the Weyl tensor. Various examples of compact ECS manifolds are known: rank-one translational ones (both generic and nongeneric) in every dimension $n \geq 5$, as well as odd-dimensional

rank-two nongeneric dilational ones, some of which are locally homogeneous. As we show, ’

P. 70, lines 5 – 8 should read ‘is locally homogeneous. Quite recently [8] we constructed dilational type compact rank-two ECS manifolds, including locally-homogeneous ones, in all odd dimensions $n \geq 5$. It remains an open question whether a compact ECS manifold may be of dimension four’.

The line before formula (4.3) has to be replaced by ‘It is well known [4, Theorem 4.1] that (4.2) is an ECS manifold, of rank one if $\text{rank} A > 1$, and of rank two when $\text{rank} A = 1$. To’.

5. List of corrections: [6]

Lines 7 – 10 before Theorem A should read ‘have recently found [26] rank-two compact dilational examples in all odd dimensions $n \geq 5$, including locally homogeneous ones. They are all nongeneric and incomplete. It is still not known whether a compact ECS manifold can be four-dimensional.’

The second line after formula (6.2) has to be replaced by ‘By [4, Theorem 4.1] $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ is an ECS manifold, of rank one if $\text{rank} A > 1$, and of rank two when $\text{rank} A = 1$. Calling the manifolds (6.2)’

6. List of corrections: [7]

Abstract, line 4: ‘are of rank one’ should read ‘are of rank one (except for some odd-dimensional rank-two examples, found recently)’

Three lines before Theorem A: ‘compact locally homogeneous ECS manifolds’ needs to be replaced with ‘compact locally homogeneous rank-two ECS manifolds’.

Lines 3 – 4 before Theorem B should read ‘We do not know whether the final clause of Theorem A is non-vacuous.’

In formula (5.1), replace ‘and a non-zero, traceless, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -self-adjoint linear endomorphism A of V ’ by ‘and a traceless $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -self-adjoint linear endomorphism A of V with $\text{rank} A \geq 2$ ’.

7. List of corrections: [1]

Abstract: lines 7 – 9 should read ‘compact ECS manifolds [4, 6], representing every dimension $n \geq 5$, are of rank 1, except for some recently found [7] odd-dimensional locally homogeneous examples of rank 2’.

Section 2: lines 3 – 4 need to be replaced with ‘homogeneous examples of rank two (which are necessarily incomplete) were exhibited in all odd dimensions $n \geq 5$. It is an open question whether a compact ECS manifold may have dimension 4’.

The second line before Theorem 3.2 should read ‘locally homogeneous compact rank-two ECS manifolds, constructed in [7], are bundles over the circle’.

The corrected version of the line following formula (7.1) is ‘defines a rank-one ECS metric if f is nonconstant, $\det[g_{ij}] \neq 0 = g^{ij}a_{ij}$ and $\text{rank}[a_{ij}] \geq 2$ ’.

References

- [1] A. Derdzinski, *Compact Weyl-parallel manifolds*, Rom. J. Math. Comput. Sci. **13** (2023), no. 2, 1–5.
- [2] A. Derdzinski and W. Roter, *Global properties of indefinite metrics with parallel Weyl tensor*, in: Pure and Applied Differential Geometry - PADGE 2007, eds. F. Dillen and I. Van de Woestyne, Berichte aus der Mathematik, Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2007, 63–72.
- [3] A. Derdzinski and W. Roter, *The local structure of conformally symmetric manifolds*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. **16** (2009), 117–128.
- [4] A. Derdzinski and I. Terek, *New examples of compact Weyl-parallel manifolds*, Monatsh. Math. **203** (2024), no. 4, 859–871.
- [5] A. Derdzinski and I. Terek, *Rank-one ECS manifolds of dilational type*, Port. Math. **81** (2024), no. 1, 69–96.
- [6] A. Derdzinski and I. Terek, *The metric structure of compact rank-one ECS manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **64** (2023), no. 4, art. 24.
- [7] A. Derdzinski and I. Terek, *The topology of compact rank-one ECS manifolds*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **66** (2023), no. 3, 789–809.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA
Email address: `andrzej@math.ohio-state.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA
Email address: `terekcouth.1@osu.edu`