

VISUAL ANGLE METRIC IN THE UPPER HALF PLANE

MASAYO FUJIMURA, OONA RAINIO, AND MATTI VUORINEN

ABSTRACT. We prove an identity which connects the visual angle metric $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ and the hyperbolic metric $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ of the upper half plane \mathbb{H}^2 . The proof is based on geometric arguments and uses computer algebra methods for formula manipulation. We also prove a sharp Hölder continuity result for quasiregular mappings with respect to the visual angle metric.

Author information.

Masayo Fujimura¹, email: masayo@nda.ac.jp, ORCID: 0000-0002-5837-8167

Oona Rainio², email: ormrai@utu.fi, ORCID: 0000-0002-7775-7656

Matti Vuorinen², email: vuorinen@utu.fi, ORCID: 0000-0002-1734-8228

1: Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy of Japan, Yokosuka, Japan

2: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turku, Finland

Funding. The second author was supported by Finnish Culture Foundation.

Data availability statement. Not applicable, no new data was generated.

Conflict of interest statement. There is no conflict of interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

During the past few decades, many authors have applied metrics as a tool of geometric function theory research [6, 9, 10, 7, 12]. This research is motivated by the need to find such metrics in general planar domains that have a more explicit expression than the hyperbolic metric. These metrics typically are not Möbius invariant, but they are often quasi-invariant and within a constant factor from the hyperbolic metric. Here, we study one of these metrics, the visual angle metric, introduced in [11] and further investigated in [8, 15, 4].

For a given domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $a, b \in G$, the visual angle metric maximizes the visual angle $\angle(a, z, b)$ over all boundary points $z \in \partial G$ and it is therefore defined as follows:

$$v_G(a, b) = \sup\{\angle(a, z, b) : z \in \partial G\}.$$

To avoid trivial cases, we require that G is a proper subdomain of \mathbb{R}^2 such that ∂G is not a proper subset of a line. In spite of this very concrete definition, the visual angle metric

File: `vh20240413.tex`, printed: 2024-4-16, 0.29

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 51M09; Secondary 30C62.

Key words and phrases. Möbius transformations, hyperbolic metric, visual angle metric.

does not have an explicit formula but several comparison inequalities between the visual angle metric and other metrics are known [11, 8, 15].

We continue the work of [4], where an explicit formula for the visual angle metric involving the hyperbolic metric was found in the case when the domain is the unit disk \mathbb{B}^2 . It seems natural to expect that finding a similar formula for the upper half plane \mathbb{H}^2 should be possible. The fundamental difficulty here is that the hyperbolic metric is invariant under Möbius automorphisms of \mathbb{H}^2 while the visual angle metric is not. Moreover, we were not able to extend the proof of [4] to the upper half plane case. Our first main result is the following theorem where we give a formula for the visual angle metric $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ of the upper half plane, in terms of the hyperbolic metric $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. Due to the invariance of both $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ and $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ under similarity transformations, we give the result for normalized point pairs $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$.

Theorem 1.1. *For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, let $u = (1 + ab)/(a + b)$,*

$$T \equiv \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - |u|^2t^2}}, \quad t = \operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \frac{|a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}|} = \frac{|a - b|}{|ab - 1|}.$$

Then

$$\sin(v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)) = T = \frac{|a - b|}{|ab - 1|} \left(\frac{1}{2}|a + b| + \sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(b)} \right).$$

The proof is based on the method of the earlier papers [4, 5], and it utilizes computer algebra algorithms combined with manual processing. In particular, we give explicit formulas for the points of intersection of circles and lines in Euclidean and hyperbolic geometries, needed for the proofs. Some of challenges of symbolic computation are briefly discussed in 4.4. The formulas are also simple enough to establish the connection between the visual angle metric and the hyperbolic metric (see Figures 3 and 4). To this end, we prove a number of geometric lemmas on which the main steps of the proof of Theorem 1.1 are based. There are four steps that are described in the beginning of Section 5 and in Figure 7.

The structure of this article is as follows. First, we present preliminary results related to Euclidean and hyperbolic geometries. In Section 3, we find necessary formulas for intersection points related to the distance $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. These formulas, proved with computer algebra methods and manual postprocessing, are given in Tables 1 and 2. The formulas are simple and explicit and may be of independent interest. In Section 4, we prove that certain points related to our main result are collinear. The first main result, Theorem 1.1, is proven in Section 5. In Section 6, we present our second main result, Theorem 6.3, about the Hölder continuity of K -quasiregular mappings with respect to the visual angle metric $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. This result is sharp when $K = 1$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let $L[a, b]$ stand for the line through a and b ($\neq a$). For distinct points $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the lines $L[a, b]$ and $L[c, d]$ intersect at a unique point w , let

$$w = LIS[a, b, c, d] = L[a, b] \cap L[c, d].$$

This point is given by (see e.g. [7, Ex. 4.3(1), p. 57 and p. 373])

$$(2.1) \quad w = LIS[a, b, c, d] = \frac{(\bar{a}b - a\bar{b})(c - d) - (a - b)(\bar{c}d - c\bar{d})}{(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(c - d) - (a - b)(\bar{c} - \bar{d})}.$$

Let $C[a, b, c]$ be the circle through distinct noncollinear points a, b , and c . The formula (2.1) gives easily a formula for the center $m(a, b, c)$ of $C[a, b, c]$. For instance, we can find two points on the bisecting normal to the side $[a, b]$ and another two points on the bisecting normal to the side $[a, c]$ and then apply (2.1) to get $m(a, b, c)$. In this way we see that the center $m(a, b, c)$ of $C[a, b, c]$ is

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} m(a, b, c) &= LIS\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} + (a-b)i, \frac{a+c}{2}, \frac{a+c}{2} + (a-c)i\right] \\ &= \frac{|a|^2(b-c) + |b|^2(c-a) + |c|^2(a-b)}{a(\bar{c} - \bar{b}) + b(\bar{a} - \bar{c}) + c(\bar{b} - \bar{a})}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the Euclidean ball with a center $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a radius $r > 0$ by $B^n(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$ and the corresponding boundary sphere by $S^{n-1}(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| = r\}$. We use the simplified notation \mathbb{B}^n for the unit ball $B^n(0, 1)$ and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere. The upper half space \mathbb{H}^n is the domain $\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$.

The chordal metric is defined for $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^2} = \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ as

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} q(a, b) = \frac{|a - b|}{\sqrt{1 + |a|^2} \sqrt{1 + |b|^2}}; & a \neq \infty \neq b, \\ q(a, \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |a|^2}}. \end{cases}$$

The *absolute ratio*, also known as the *cross-ratio*, for any four distinct points $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can now be defined as [7, (3.10), p. 33], [3]

$$(2.4) \quad |a, b, c, d| = \frac{q(a, c)q(b, d)}{q(a, b)q(c, d)}.$$

The spherical distances above can be replaced with the Euclidean distances if and only if $\infty \notin \{a, b, c, d\}$.

2.5. Hyperbolic geometry. We recall some basic formulas and notation for hyperbolic geometry from [3].

The hyperbolic metrics of the unit disk \mathbb{B}^2 and the upper half plane \mathbb{H}^2 are defined, resp., by

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{sh} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{B}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \frac{|a - b|}{\sqrt{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |b|^2)}}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{B}^2,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \frac{|a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}|}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2.$$

These two metrics are Möbius invariant and also connected by the identity

$$(2.8) \quad \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = 2\rho_{\mathbb{B}^2}(\operatorname{Re}(a), \operatorname{Re}(b)), \quad a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2.$$

Moreover, for $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, the point $u = LIS[a, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}]$ satisfies (cf. [7, Ex. 3.21(2)], [14, Fig. 10])

$$(2.9) \quad \rho_{\mathbb{B}^2}(\operatorname{Re}(a), u) = \rho_{\mathbb{B}^2}(\operatorname{Re}(b), u),$$

i.e. u is the hyperbolic midpoint of $\operatorname{Re}(a)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(b)$. In particular, for $r \in (0, 1)$

$$(2.10) \quad 2\rho_{\mathbb{B}^2}(0, d) = \rho_{\mathbb{B}^2}(0, r), \quad d = \frac{r}{1 + \sqrt{1 - r^2}}.$$

2.11. Geodesics of the hyperbolic metric. For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the geodesic line of the hyperbolic metric through the points is the upper semicircle through the points and with the center at the point $LIS[0, 1, (a + b)/2, i(a - b)]$ of the real axis (see [3].) We now find the points of intersection of the real axis and the full circle C passing through a, b, \bar{a} .

Assuming $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$, the center of C is given by (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} m(a, b, \bar{a}) &= \frac{|a|^2(b - \bar{a}) + |b|^2(\bar{a} - a) + |\bar{a}|^2(a - b)}{a(a - \bar{b}) + b(\bar{a} - a) + \bar{a}(\bar{b} - \bar{a})} \\ &= \frac{a\bar{a}(a - \bar{a}) - b\bar{b}(a - \bar{a})}{(a^2 - \bar{a}^2) - (b + \bar{b})(a - \bar{a})} = \frac{a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}}{(a + \bar{a}) - (b + \bar{b})}. \end{aligned}$$

The radius r of C is

$$r = \left| \frac{a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}}{(a + \bar{a}) - (b + \bar{b})} - a \right| = \left| \frac{-a(a - b) + \bar{b}(a - b)}{(a + \bar{a}) - (b + \bar{b})} \right|.$$

Hence, C is the circle defined by

$$\left\{ z : \left| z - \frac{a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}}{(a + \bar{a}) - (b + \bar{b})} \right| = \left| \frac{-a(a - b) + \bar{b}(a - b)}{(a + \bar{a}) - (b + \bar{b})} \right| \right\}.$$

Let x be the intersection point of C and the real axis. Then, x is a solution to

$$|x((a + \bar{a}) - (b + \bar{b})) - (a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})| = |-a + \bar{b}||a - b|.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2x(\operatorname{Re}(a-b)) - (a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})\right)^2 &= (-a + \bar{b})(-\bar{a} + b)(a-b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b}) \\ \left(2x(\operatorname{Re}(a-b)) - (a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})\right)^2 &= (a\bar{a} + b\bar{b} - ab - \bar{a}\bar{b})(a\bar{a} + b\bar{b} - a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b) \\ 4x^2(\operatorname{Re}(a-b))^2 - 4x\operatorname{Re}(a-b)(a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}) &= (ab\bar{b} + \bar{a}b\bar{b} - a\bar{a}b - a\bar{a}\bar{b})(a + \bar{a} - b - \bar{b}). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the equation further, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \operatorname{Re}(a-b)x^2 - (|a|^2 - |b|^2)x + (|a|^2\operatorname{Re}(b) - |b|^2\operatorname{Re}(a)) = 0.$$

The equation (2.12) or the equivalent one

$$(2.13) \quad (a-b + \bar{a} - \bar{b})x^2 - 2(a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})x + (a\bar{a}(b + \bar{b}) - b\bar{b}(a + \bar{a})) = 0$$

has two solutions. These two solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} ep^+ &= \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)} = \frac{a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{a-b + \bar{a} - \bar{b}}, \\ ep^- &= \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)} = \frac{a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{a-b + \bar{a} - \bar{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.14. For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the two points

$$a_* = ep^+ = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)}, \quad b_* = ep^- = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)},$$

are the end points of the semicircle through a and b , centered at a point of the real axis, and the points occur in the order a_*, a, b, b_* on the semicircle.

Proof. In the case of $\operatorname{Re} a > \operatorname{Re} b$, we have $a_* = \max\{ep^+, ep^-\}$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) > 0$,

$$ep^- = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)} < \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)} = ep^+$$

holds and $a_* = ep^+$.

In the other case, $\operatorname{Re} a < \operatorname{Re} b$, we have $a_* = \min\{ep^+, ep^-\}$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) < 0$,

$$ep^+ = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)} < \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)} = ep^-$$

holds and $a_* = ep^+$. In both cases, we obtain $a_* = ep^+$. \square

The points a_* and b_* are called the *endpoints* of the hyperbolic line through a and b and they occur on the hyperbolic line in the order a_*, a, b, b_* .

Lemma 2.15. For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the cross-ratio is

$$|a_*, a, b, b_*| = \frac{|a-\bar{b}| + |a-b|}{|a-\bar{b}| - |a-b|}.$$

Proof. Substituting $a_* = ep^+$ and $b_* = ep^-$ into

$$|a_*, a, b, b_*| = \frac{|a_* - b||a - b_*|}{|a_* - a||b - b_*|}$$

and simplifying, we have

$$|a_*, a, b, b_*| = \left| \frac{((b - \bar{a})(a - b) - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|)((a - \bar{b})(a - b) + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|)}{((b - \bar{a})(a - b) + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|)((a - \bar{b})(a - b) - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|)} \right|.$$

As $|a - b|^2|a - \bar{b}|^2 = (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_*, a, b, b_*| &= \left| \frac{-(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b) - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{-(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b) + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{|a - \bar{b}| + |a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}| - |a - b|} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the inequality $|a - b| < |a - \bar{b}|$ holds, and the assertion is obtained. \square

The next proposition is a well-known basic fact [3].

Proposition 2.16. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$*

$$\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \log |a_*, a, b, b_*|.$$

Proof. The assertion is immediately obtained from the above Lemma 2.15. \square

2.17. Normalization of point pairs. For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, the above results yield formulas for $uu \equiv (a_* + b_*)/2$ and $\lambda \equiv 1/|a - uu|$. By utilizing these formulas and the similarity transformation

$$z \mapsto \lambda(z - uu), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}^2,$$

which maps \mathbb{H}^2 onto itself, we see that a and b are mapped into $S^1(0, 1)$. Obviously, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ is invariant under this transformation and so is $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$. Therefore it will be often convenient below to consider a normalized point pair $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$.

2.18. Horizontal and vertical point pairs and $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. We consider now two special locations for a point pair $\{a, b\}$: (1) $\operatorname{Re}(a) = \operatorname{Re}(b) = 0$, (2) $\operatorname{Im}(a) = \operatorname{Im}(b)$. In the case (1), the hyperbolic disk $B_{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(m, M)$, centered at the hyperbolic midpoint m of a and b and with the radius $M = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2$, is clearly the same as the Euclidean disk $B^2(i|m|\operatorname{ch}M, |m|\operatorname{sh}M)$, see [7, Fig. 4.4 p.53]. For brevity, write $ec = i|m|\operatorname{ch}M$, $ec_1 = ec + |m|$. The circle $S^1(ec_1, |m|\operatorname{ch}M)$ passes through the points $a, b, |m|$ and is tangent to the real axis at the point $|m|$. Let

$$\{a_1, b_1\} = S^1(0, |m|) \cap S^1(i|m|\operatorname{ch}M, |m|\operatorname{sh}M).$$




FIGURE 1. The hyperbolic disk $B_{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}}(m, M)$ centered at the hyperbolic midpoint m of a and b and with the radius $M = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2$. Now, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a_1, b_1) = \angle(a_1, 0, b_1) = 2v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$, and $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a_1, b_1) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$.

Then $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a_1, b_1) = 2M$. Because the inscribed angle $\angle(a, |m|, b)$ of the circle $S^1(ec_1, |m|\text{ch}M)$ equals half of the central angle $\angle(a, ec_1, b)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \angle(a, |m|, b) = \angle(ec, ec_1, b), \\ \sin \theta &= \frac{|ec - b|}{|ec_1 - b|} = \frac{\text{sh}M}{\text{ch}M} = \text{th}(\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{cases} \theta = v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \angle(a, |m|, b) = \angle(ec, ec_1, b), \\ \sin(\theta) = \text{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2}, \\ \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a_1, b_1). \end{cases}$$

These observations also show that $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ is not invariant under Möbius automorphisms of \mathbb{H}^2 whereas $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ has this invariance property. We also see that for $t > 1$

$$(2.20) \quad v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(i, it) = \arcsin \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1} \right).$$

In the case (2), trivially $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \angle(a, \text{Re}(a+b)/2, b)$.

2.21. Möbius transformations. We recall a few formulas for some basic transformations. An inversion in the circle $S^1(q, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - q| = r\}$ is defined as follows:

$$(2.22) \quad z \mapsto q + \frac{r^2}{\bar{z} - \bar{q}}.$$

A reflection of the point z in the line through the two points a and b is defined by

$$(2.23) \quad w(z) = \frac{a-b}{\bar{a}-\bar{b}}\bar{z} - \frac{a\bar{b}-\bar{a}b}{\bar{a}-\bar{b}}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{B}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, the Möbius automorphism of \mathbb{B}^2 with the formula

$$(2.24) \quad T_a(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z},$$

has the property $T_a(a) = 0$. Moreover, $\pm a/|a|$ are fixed points of T_a , and T_a maps the diameter $[-a/|a|, a/|a|]$ of the unit disk onto itself. This automorphism has a factorization in terms of an inversion and an orthogonal map [1, p.21], [3, p.40, Thm 5.5.1]

$$(2.25) \quad T_a(z) = (p_a \circ \sigma_a)(z); \quad p_a(z) = -\frac{a}{\bar{a}}\bar{z}, \quad \sigma_a(z) = \frac{a(1 - (\bar{z}/\bar{a}))}{1 - a\bar{z}}.$$

Here, σ_a is the inversion in the circle $S^1(1/\bar{a}, \sqrt{|a|^{-2} - 1})$, orthogonal to the unit circle.

2.26. Similarity automorphism of \mathbb{H}^2 .

For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ with $\text{Im}(a) \neq \text{Im}(b)$, let

$$c = LIS[a, b, 0, 1] = \frac{a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b}{a - \bar{a} - b + \bar{b}} = \frac{\text{Im}(a\bar{b})}{\text{Im}(a) - \text{Im}(b)}$$

and $r^2 = |a - c||b - c|$.

Then, we have

$$(2.27) \quad b - c = b - \frac{a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b}{a - \bar{a} - b + \bar{b}} = \frac{(b - \bar{b})(a - b)}{a - \bar{a} - b + \bar{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad a - c = \frac{(a - \bar{a})(a - b)}{a - \bar{a} - b + \bar{b}}.$$

For $g(z) = c + \frac{r^2}{z - c}$ and $m(z) = c + \frac{|b - c|^2}{z - c}$, the composition $m \circ g$ is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ g(z) &= c + \frac{|b - c|^2}{\bar{c} + \frac{r^2}{z - c} - \bar{c}} = c + \frac{|b - c|^2}{r^2}(z - c) \\ &= c + \frac{|b - c|^2}{|a - c||b - c|}(z - c) = c + \frac{|b - c|}{|a - c|}(z - c). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.27) and $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ g(z) &= c + \frac{|b - \bar{b}|}{|a - \bar{a}|}(z - c) = c + \frac{b - \bar{b}}{a - \bar{a}}(z - c) \\ &= \frac{\text{Im}(b)}{\text{Im}(a)}z + \frac{\text{Im}(a\bar{b})}{\text{Im}(a) - \text{Im}(b)} \frac{\text{Im}(a) - \text{Im}(b)}{\text{Im}(a)} \\ &= \frac{\text{Im}(b)}{\text{Im}(a)}z + \frac{\text{Im}(a\bar{b})}{\text{Im}(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the map $m \circ g$, we have

$$m \circ g(a) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(b)}{\operatorname{Im}(a)}a + \frac{\operatorname{Im}(a\bar{b})}{\operatorname{Im}(a)} = \frac{(b - \bar{b})a + a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b}{a - \bar{a}} = \frac{b(a - \bar{a})}{a - \bar{a}} = b.$$

Lemma 2.28. (1) Suppose $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2 = P$ and h is a Möbius mapping of \mathbb{H}^2 onto itself and of P onto itself. Then,

$$1/2 < v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b))/v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) < 2$$

holds.

(2) Suppose that L is a line and $a, b \in L \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ and h is a Möbius mapping of \mathbb{H}^2 onto itself and of $L \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ onto itself. Then,

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b)) = v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$$

holds.

Proof. (1) By the assumptions, h can be written as

$$(2.29) \quad h(z) = \frac{z - \tau}{1 - \tau z}, \quad -1 < \tau < 1.$$

If $a = b$, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b)) = 0$. For $a \neq b$, without loss of generality, we may assume $0 < \arg b < \arg a < \pi$.

Let $d \in \mathbb{R}$ be the point where $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ is attained, i.e. $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \arg\left(\frac{a - d}{b - d}\right) > 0$.

On the other hand, let $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the point where $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b))$ is attained. Then, \tilde{d} can be written as $\tilde{d} = h(d')$ for some d' with $-1 < d' < 1$. Moreover, h preserves the orientation of points on the unit circle, so we have $0 < \arg h(b) < \arg h(a) < \pi$ and

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b)) = \arg\left(\frac{h(a) - h(d')}{h(b) - h(d')}\right) > 0,$$

and further

$$\begin{aligned} \arg\left(\frac{h(a) - h(d')}{h(b) - h(d')}\right) &= \arg\left(\frac{a - d' b - \frac{1}{\tau}}{b - d' a - \frac{1}{\tau}}\right) = \left| \arg\left(\frac{a - d'}{b - d'}\right) + \arg\left(\frac{b - \frac{1}{\tau}}{a - \frac{1}{\tau}}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \arg\left(\frac{a - d'}{b - d'}\right) \right| + \left| \arg\left(\frac{b - \frac{1}{\tau}}{a - \frac{1}{\tau}}\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term on the right side represents the angle $\angle(a, h(d'), b)$ with $-1 < h(d') < 1$,

$$\arg\left(\frac{a - d'}{b - d'}\right) < \arg\left(\frac{a - d}{b - d}\right)$$




FIGURE 2. The points a, b on the unit circle S^1 (on solid line), their hyperbolic middle point m , the hyperbolic circle $S_\rho(m, \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2)$ (on solid line), and its Euclidean center point z . The points z_1, z_2 are the intersection points of the hyperbolic circle $S_\rho(m, \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2)$ and the Euclidean circle $S^1(\text{Re}(m), \text{Im}(m))$ (on dashed line). The supremum $\sup\{\angle(a, x, b) : x \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ is attained at the point d .

holds. The second term represents the angle $\angle(b, 1/\tau, a)$ with $|1/\tau| > 1$, which is obviously smaller than the angle $\angle(a, \tau', b)$ for any $-1 < \tau' < 1$. So,

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{b - \frac{1}{\tau}}{a - \frac{1}{\tau}} \right) \right| < \arg \left(\frac{a - d}{b - d} \right).$$

Hence, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b)) < 2v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ is obtained. The other inequality is obtained by considering the inverse transformation.

(2) In this case h must be a similarity transformation and the claim is obviously true. \square

2.30. General point pairs. The above discussion 2.18 of vertical and horizontal point pairs also yields inequalities for the visual angle metric of general point pairs $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, $\text{Re}(a - b) \neq 0$, $\text{Im}(a - b) \neq 0$.

Let $B^2(z, r) = B_\rho(m, M)$ be a disk in \mathbb{H}^2 and $w \in \mathbb{R} = \partial\mathbb{H}^2$. The two points z_1, z_2 in the intersection $S^1(z, r) \cap S^1(w, |w - m|)$ of these two orthogonal circles determine the tangent lines $L(w, z_j), j = 1, 2$, to $S^1(z, r)$. Now the angle $\angle(z_1, w, z_2)$ defines the visual angle of $B^2(z, r)$ at w . It is clear that this angle is maximal when $|w - m|$ is minimal, i.e. $\text{Re}(w) = \text{Re}(m)$. We utilize these ideas in the proof of the next lemma.

Lemma 2.31. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we have*

$$(2.32) \quad \arcsin \left(\operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right) \leq v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b),$$

$$(2.33) \quad \frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \leq \frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2} = \arcsin \left(\operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right).$$

Proof. For the proof of (2.32), let h be a Möbius automorphism of \mathbb{H}^2 which maps the semicircle $S^1(w, r) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, through a and b onto itself with $\operatorname{Im}(h(a)) = \operatorname{Im}(h(b))$. Then, by the property of horizontal point pairs 2.18,

$$\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b))}{2} = \arcsin \left(\operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b))}{2} \right) = \arcsin \left(\operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right),$$

which together with Lemma 2.28(1) yields

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) \geq \frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(h(a), h(b))}{2} = \arcsin \left(\operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right).$$

For the proof of (2.33), fix two points a and b with

$$\operatorname{Im}(a) = \operatorname{Im}(b), \quad \sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right) = \sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2} \right),$$

which gives the maximum of $\sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right)$. Two points a and b with

$$\operatorname{Re}(a) = \operatorname{Re}(b), \quad \sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2} \right)$$

give the minimum of $\sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right)$.

For the general case, suppose $\operatorname{Re}(a) < \operatorname{Re}(b)$. Now, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)$ is the angle between the tangent lines at z_1 and z_2 on $S^1(Z, r) = \partial B_\rho(m, M)$. Also, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ is the angle between $L[z_1, a]$ and $L[z_2, b]$. So, clearly,

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) \leq v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2).$$

Since $0 \leq v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2) \leq \pi$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \leq \frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} \right) \leq \sin \left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2} \right).$$

So, the first inequality in (2.33) holds.

Next, consider the latter equality in equation (2.33).

Let S_{ab} be a circle passing through two points a, b and orthogonal to the real axis. Then $S^1(Z, r)$ is a circle passing through points a, b and orthogonal to S_{ab} . The center of S_{ab} is given by

$$(2.34) \quad C_{ab} = m(a, \bar{a}, b) = \frac{a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}}{a - b + \bar{a} - \bar{b}} = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2\operatorname{Re}(a - b)} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The equations of the lines through C_{ab} and a and through C_{ab} and b are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a} - C_{ab})z + (a - C_{ab})\bar{z} - (\bar{a} - C_{ab})a - (a - C_{ab})\bar{a} &= 0, \\ (\bar{b} - C_{ab})z + (b - C_{ab})\bar{z} - (\bar{b} - C_{ab})b - (b - C_{ab})\bar{b} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By eliminating \bar{z} from the above two equations, substituting (2.34) into it, and then removing unnecessary factors, we have

$$(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})z - (2ab - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}) = 0.$$

(Here, we used the command ‘‘resultant’’ of Risa/Asir for eliminating the variable \bar{z} .) Therefore, we have $Z = (2ab - |a|^2 - |b|^2)/(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})$ and

$$\operatorname{Im}Z = \frac{1}{2i}(Z - \bar{Z}) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{2(ab + \bar{a}\bar{b} - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}} = \frac{|a - \bar{b}|^2}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}}.$$

The radius r of $S^1(Z, r)$ is

$$r^2 = (Z - a)(\bar{Z} - \bar{a}) = -\frac{(a - b)(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b)}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}} = \frac{|a - b|^2|a - \bar{b}|^2}{|a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}|^2}.$$

So, we have

$$\sin\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2}\right) = \frac{r}{\operatorname{Im}(Z)} = \frac{|a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{|a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}|} \frac{|a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}|}{|a - \bar{b}|^2} = \frac{|a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}|}.$$

From (2.7), it follows that

$$\operatorname{th}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \frac{|a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}|}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\sin\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(z_1, z_2)}{2}\right) = \operatorname{th}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \frac{|a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}|}$$

and the assertion follows because $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) < \pi$. □

Corollary 2.35. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we have*

$$(2.36) \quad \arctan\left(\operatorname{sh}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2}\right) \leq v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) \leq 2 \arctan\left(\operatorname{sh}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2}\right).$$

The lower bound is sharp for $\operatorname{Re}(a) = \operatorname{Re}(b)$ and the upper bound for $\operatorname{Im}(a) = \operatorname{Im}(b)$.

Proof. Observe first that the following elementary relation holds for $u > 0$:

$$\arctan(\operatorname{sh} u) = \arcsin(\operatorname{th} u).$$

This relation, together with Lemma 2.31, yields the inequality. The sharpness follows from 2.18. \square

2.37. Remark. The inequality (2.36) was also given in [11, Theorem 3.19]. Our proof is, however, different from the earlier proof.

Proposition 2.38. For $a, b, c \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ with $0 \leq \arg c < \min\{\arg a, \arg b\}$,

$$\frac{|c + ab|}{|a + b|} < 1.$$

Proof. For $0 < \gamma < \beta < \alpha < \pi$, let $a = e^{i\alpha}$, $b = e^{i\beta}$, $c = e^{i\gamma}$. Set $\theta = \alpha - \beta$.

Then, $|a + b| = 2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$ holds.

Case 1. $\alpha + \beta - \gamma < \pi$.

Since $\theta = \alpha - \beta < \alpha - \gamma < \alpha + \beta - \gamma \leq \pi$ hold and the function $f(t) = \cos t$ is monotonically decreasing in $0 \leq t \leq \pi$, we have

$$|a + b| = 2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \geq 2 \cos \frac{\alpha + \beta - \gamma}{2} = |c + ab|.$$

Case 2. $\alpha + \beta - \gamma > \pi$.

In this case, we have $0 < 2\pi - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma) < \pi$. Therefore, the following holds

$$|c + ab| = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma)}{2}.$$

Since $0 < \gamma < \alpha < \pi$, it follows that

$$2\pi > 2\alpha - \gamma = \alpha + \alpha - \gamma = \theta + \beta + \alpha - \gamma.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(\pi >) 2\pi - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma) > \theta (> 0).$$

Hence,

$$|c + ab| = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi - (\alpha + \beta - \gamma)}{2} < 2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} = |a + b|$$

holds.

In conclusion, $|c + ab| < |a + b|$ holds in both cases. \square

3. FORMULAS FOR THE POINTS OF INTERSECTION

For the proof of the main results for given points $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we need explicit formulas for the points of intersection of hyperbolic lines. These formulas will be given in the course of this work, starting in this section.

Lemma 3.1. (1) For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$LIS[a, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}] = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}.$$

(2) For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ with $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) \neq 0$ and $ab \neq 1$, we have

$$LIS[a, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}] = \frac{1+ab}{a+b} \in (-1, 1).$$

(3) For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ with $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) \neq 0$,

$$uu \equiv LIS[0, 1, (a+b)/2, (a+b)/2 + i(b-a)] = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a-b)}$$

and $uu = 0$ for $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$.

Proof. (1) From (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv LIS[a, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}] \\ &= \frac{(\bar{a}\bar{b} - ab)(b - \bar{a}) - (a - \bar{b})(\bar{b}\bar{a} - ba)}{(\bar{a} - b)(b - \bar{a}) - (a - \bar{b})(\bar{b} - a)} = \frac{(\bar{a}\bar{b} - ab)(b - \bar{a} - a + \bar{b})}{-(\bar{a} - b)^2 + (a - \bar{b})^2} \\ &= \frac{(\bar{a}\bar{b} - ab)(-a + \bar{b} - \bar{a} + b)}{(a - \bar{b} - \bar{a} + b)(a - \bar{b} + \bar{a} - b)} = \frac{ab - \bar{a}\bar{b}}{a + b - (\bar{a} + \bar{b})} = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) From $a, b \in S^1(0, 1)$, it follows that $a\bar{a} = 1$ and $b\bar{b} = 1$. Therefore we have

$$u = \frac{ab - \frac{1}{ab}}{a + b - \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)} = \frac{(ab)^2 - 1}{ab(a+b) - (a+b)} = \frac{(ab-1)(ab+1)}{(ab-1)(a+b)} = \frac{ab+1}{a+b}.$$

By setting $c = 1$ in Proposition 2.38, we see that $|u| < 1$.

(3) Follows from (2.13) and Lemma 2.14 because $uu = (a_* + b_*)/2$. □

For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ with $\operatorname{Im}(a-b) \neq 0$, there are two circles through a and b , tangent to the real axis. Let d be the point of the smaller circle on the real axis.




FIGURE 3. The points p and q are the centers of the two circles through a and b , tangent to the real axis at d and $2c - d$, resp. The points p and q are also the points of intersection of the two parabola with the real axis as the directrix and with foci a and b , resp. Because $\text{Im}(p) < \text{Im}(q)$, we see that $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \max\{\angle(a, d, b), \angle(a, 2c - d, b)\} = \angle(a, d, b)$. The point m here is the hyperbolic midpoint of a and b .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ with $\text{Im}(a - b) \neq 0$. Then the above point d is given by*

$$d = \frac{\text{Im}(a\bar{b}) + \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a - b))|a - b|\sqrt{\text{Im}(a)\text{Im}(b)}}{\text{Im}(a - b)}.$$

Proof. Let c_0 be the center of the circle $C(a, b, d)$, that is

$$c_0 = m(a, b, d) = \frac{a\bar{a}(b - d) + b\bar{b}(d - a) + d^2(a - b)}{a(d - \bar{b}) + b(\bar{a} - d) + d(\bar{b} - \bar{a})}.$$

The points z of $C(a, b, d)$ satisfy the following equation

$$|z - c_0| = |d - c_0|.$$

The numerator of the above equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & (a(d - \bar{b}) + b(\bar{a} - d) + d(\bar{b} - \bar{a}))z\bar{z} + (a\bar{a}(\bar{b} - d) + b\bar{b}(d - \bar{a}) + d^2(\bar{a} - \bar{b}))z \\ & - (a\bar{a}(b - d) + b\bar{b}(d - a) + d^2(a - b))\bar{z} - d((\bar{a}b - \bar{b}a)d + ab(\bar{b} - \bar{a}) + \bar{a}\bar{b}(a - b)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since this equation has the double root $z = d$, the discriminant of the following quadratic equation is zero. That is,

$$(a(d - \bar{b}) + b(\bar{a} - d) + d(\bar{b} - \bar{a}))z^2 + (a\bar{a}(\bar{b} - b) + b\bar{b}(a - \bar{a}) + d^2(\bar{a} - \bar{b} - a + b))z - d((\bar{a}b - \bar{b}a)d + ab(\bar{b} - \bar{a}) + \bar{a}\bar{b}(a - b)) = 0$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Discr} = ((a - b - \bar{a} + \bar{b})d^2 - 2(a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)d - ab(\bar{a} - \bar{b}) + \bar{a}\bar{b}(a - b))^2 = 0.$$

Equation (3.3) has two solutions

$$d^+ = \frac{\text{Im}(a\bar{b}) + |a - b|\sqrt{\text{Im}(a)\text{Im}(b)}}{\text{Im}(a - b)}, \quad d^- = \frac{\text{Im}(a\bar{b}) - |a - b|\sqrt{\text{Im}(a)\text{Im}(b)}}{\text{Im}(a - b)}.$$

To find out which one of these two solutions gives d , consider the following four cases:

- The case $\text{Im}(a) > \text{Im}(b)$ and $\text{Re}(a) > \text{Re}(b)$, then $d = \max\{d^+, d^-\} = d^+$.
- The case $\text{Im}(a) > \text{Im}(b)$ and $\text{Re}(a) < \text{Re}(b)$, then $d = \min\{d^+, d^-\} = d^-$.
- The case $\text{Im}(a) < \text{Im}(b)$ and $\text{Re}(a) > \text{Re}(b)$, then $d = \min\{d^+, d^-\} = d^+$.
- The case $\text{Im}(a) < \text{Im}(b)$ and $\text{Re}(a) < \text{Re}(b)$, then $d = \max\{d^+, d^-\} = d^-$.

Hence, the sign before the square root of the solution is determined by the sign of the real part of $a - b$, and the assertion is obtained. \square

3.4. Remark. Theorem 5.6(2) from [5] also gives the formula of Lemma 3.2 but we prefer the above more direct proof.

Lemma 3.5. *For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, the following formula holds:*

$$d = \frac{1}{1 + ab} \left(a + b - 2\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a + b)) \sqrt{ab\text{Im}(a) \cdot \text{Im}(b)} \right).$$

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in S^1(0, 1)$. By (2.10), $d = u/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$. By substituting $u = \frac{1 + ab}{a + b}$ as in Lemma 3.1 into $d = u/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{u}{1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2}} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - |u|^2}}{u} \\ &= \frac{a + b}{1 + ab} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1 + ab}{a + b} \right)^2} \right) = \frac{a + b}{1 + ab} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(a + b)^2}} \sqrt{-(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{a + b}{1 + ab} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(a + b)^2}} \sqrt{-ab \left(a - \frac{1}{a} \right) \left(b - \frac{1}{b} \right)} \right) \\ &= \frac{a + b}{1 + ab} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{(a + b)^2}} \sqrt{ab \text{Im}(a) \cdot \text{Im}(b)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, set $a + b = re^{i\theta}$. Then, we have

$$\frac{a+b}{\sqrt{(a+b)^2}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \theta > \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{cases}$$

The above is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a+b)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \theta > \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 3.6. In the case of $a, b \in S^1(0, 1)$, if $a \neq b$, the equation (3.3) can be written as follows

$$(1+ab)d^2 - 2(a+b)d + (1+ab) = 0.$$

So, we have

$$d^2 - 2\frac{a+b}{1+ab}d + 1 = d^2 - \frac{2}{u}d + 1 = 0,$$

and

$$d = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-u^2}}{u}.$$

In conclusion, we see by (2.10) that d is the hyperbolic midpoint of 0 and u in the hyperbolic geometry of \mathbb{B}^2 .

Remark 3.7. Recall that, by Lemma 3.1(2), $u = (1+ab)/(a+b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2 \cap S^1(0, 1)$. It is clear by Figure 4 that

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \angle(a, d, b) \geq \angle(a, u, b) = \left| \arg\left(\frac{a-u}{b-u}\right) \right| = \left| \arg\left(\frac{a^2-1}{b^2-1}\right) \right|.$$

Lemma 3.8. *The hyperbolic midpoint m of $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ is*

$$m = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab) + i|a-\bar{b}|\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}.$$

Point	Definition	Formula
a_*	$C[a, \bar{a}, b] \cap \mathbb{R}^1$ (Points in order a_*, a, b, b_* on the circle $C[a, \bar{a}, b]$)	$\frac{ a ^2 - b ^2 + a - b a - \bar{b} }{2 \operatorname{Re}(a - b)}$
b_*	$C[a, \bar{a}, b] \cap \mathbb{R}^1$ (Points in order a_*, a, b, b_* on the circle $C[a, \bar{a}, b]$)	$\frac{ a ^2 - b ^2 - a - b a - \bar{b} }{2 \operatorname{Re}(a - b)}$
c	$LIS[a, b, 0, 1]$	$\frac{\operatorname{Im}(a\bar{b})}{\operatorname{Im}(a - b)}$
d	$d \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $\angle(a, d, b) = \sup\{\angle(a, z, b) : z \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$	$\frac{\operatorname{Im}(a\bar{b}) + \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a - b)) a - b \sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a - b)}$
m	Hyp. midpoint of a, b	$\frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab) + i a - \bar{b} \sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a + b)}$
p	$LIS[\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} + i(b-a), d, d+i]$	$\frac{ a ^2 - b ^2 - 2d(a-b)}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i$
q	$LIS[\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} + i(b-a), 2c-d, 2c-d+i]$	$\frac{ a ^2 - b ^2 - 2f(a-b)}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i$
u	$LIS[a, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}]$	$\frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a + b)}$
uu	$LIS[0, 1, \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} + i(b-a)]$	$\frac{ a ^2 - b ^2}{2 \operatorname{Re}(a - b)}$

TABLE 1. Definitions and formulas of the points in Figures 3 and 4 for $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ in the general case. Here, $f = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(a\bar{b}) - \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a - b))|a - b|\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a - b)}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 2.15, the point m satisfies

$$\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, m) = \frac{|a - \bar{m}| + |a - m|}{|a - \bar{m}| - |a - m|} = \frac{|b - \bar{m}| + |b - m|}{|b - \bar{m}| - |b - m|} = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(b, m).$$

Point	Formula
a_*	$\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a - b))$
b_*	$-\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a - b))$
c	$\frac{a + b}{ab + 1}$
d	$\frac{a + b - 2\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a + b))\sqrt{ab\text{Im}(a) \cdot \text{Im}(b)}}{1 + ab}$
p	$\frac{2ab}{ab + 1}d$
q	$\frac{2ab}{ab + 1}g$
u	$\frac{1 + ab}{a + b}$
uu	0

TABLE 2. Formulas of the points in Table 1 for $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$ when $|a| = |b| = 1$, $ab \neq 1$, $a \neq b$. Here, $g = \frac{a + b + 2\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a + b))\sqrt{ab\text{Im}(a) \cdot \text{Im}(b)}}{1 + ab}$.




FIGURE 4. Here $u = LIS[a, \bar{b}, b, \bar{a}]$ and the dashed circular arc is a subarc of the circle centered at the point $c = LIS[a, b, 0, 1]$, orthogonal to the circle through a, \bar{a} , and b . Moreover, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \angle(a, d, b)$.

Therefore, we have

$$(|a - \bar{m}| + |a - m|)(|b - \bar{m}| - |b - m|) = (|a - \bar{m}| - |a - m|)(|b - \bar{m}| + |b - m|)$$

$$|a - m||b - \bar{m}| = |a - \bar{m}||b - m|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a - m|^2 |b - \bar{m}|^2 - |a - \bar{m}|^2 |b - m|^2 \\
&= (a - m)(\bar{a} - \bar{m})(b - \bar{m})(\bar{b} - m) - (a - \bar{m})(\bar{a} - m)(b - m)(\bar{b} - \bar{m}) \\
&= (m - \bar{m})((a - b - \bar{a} + \bar{b})m\bar{m} - (a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)m - (a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)\bar{m} + (a - b)\bar{a}\bar{b} - (\bar{a} - \bar{b})ab) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the point m is on the circle defined by the equation

$$(3.9) \quad (a - b - \bar{a} + \bar{b})z\bar{z} - (a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)z - (a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)\bar{z} + (a - b)\bar{a}\bar{b} - (\bar{a} - \bar{b})ab = 0.$$

The point m is also a point on the circle C passing through the three points a, b, \bar{a} , see 2.11. The general form of the circle C is given by

$$(3.10) \quad (a - b + \bar{a} - \bar{b})z\bar{z} - (a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})z - (a\bar{a} - b\bar{b})\bar{z} + ab(\bar{a} - \bar{b}) + \bar{a}\bar{b}(a - b) = 0,$$

and the point m satisfies this equation. Eliminating \bar{z} from (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$|a - b|^2((a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})z^2 - 2(ab - \bar{a}\bar{b})z + ab(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) - \bar{a}\bar{b}(a + b)) = 0.$$

(Here, the command “resultant“ of Risa/Asir was used to eliminate \bar{z} .) As $m \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we have

$$m = \frac{ab - \bar{a}\bar{b} - |a - \bar{b}|\sqrt{-(a - \bar{a})(b - \bar{b})}}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}} = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab) + i|a - \bar{b}|\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a + b)}.$$

□

Lemma 3.11. For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, $\operatorname{Re}(a - b) \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{Im}(a - b) \neq 0$,

$$p = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - 2d(a - b)}{2\operatorname{Im}(a - b)}i,$$

where d is as in Lemma 3.2.

Proof. The real part of the point p is d , and it is a point on the perpendicular bisector of the line segment that connects the two points a and b . The equation of the line is given by

$$(3.12) \quad (\bar{a} - \bar{b})z + (a - b)\bar{z} - a\bar{a} + b\bar{b} = 0.$$

By setting $p = d + yi$ and substituting p into (3.12), we obtain

$$(a - b - \bar{a} + \bar{b})yi - (a - b + \bar{a} - \bar{b})d + a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$y = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(a - b)d}{2\operatorname{Im}(a - b)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
p = d + yi &= d + \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(a-b)d}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i \\
&= d\left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(a-b)}{\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i\right) + \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i = -d\frac{a-b}{\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i + \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i \\
&= \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - 2d(a-b)}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the assertion. \square

Lemma 3.13. For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{Im}(a-b) \neq 0$,

$$q = \frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2 - 2f(a-b)}{2\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}i,$$

where

$$f = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(a\bar{b}) - \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a-b))|a-b|\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a-b)}.$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by the same way as that of Lemma 3.11. \square

Corollary 3.14. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{Im}(a-b) \neq 0$,

$$p = \frac{2ab}{1+ab}d,$$

where d is as in Lemma 3.5.

Proof. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, the equation (3.12) can be written as

$$(3.15) \quad (a-b)z - ab(a-b)\bar{z} = 0.$$

Setting $p = d + yi$ and substituting p into (3.15), we have

$$y = \frac{(1-ab)d}{1+ab}i,$$

and

$$p = d + yi = d - \frac{(1-ab)d}{1+ab} = \frac{2ab}{1+ab}d.$$

Hence, we have the assertion. \square

In the same way, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.16. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, $\operatorname{Re}(a-b) \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{Im}(a-b) \neq 0$,

$$q = \frac{2ab}{1+ab}g,$$

where

$$g = \frac{1}{1+ab}\left(a+b + 2\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a+b))\sqrt{ab\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}\right).$$

4. COLLINEAR POINTS

In this section, we prove that four points u, s, m and v , naturally connected with the proof of the main results, are in fact collinear. See Figure 5.

Lemma 4.1. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, let $v = LIS[a, a_*, b, b_*]$ and $s = LIS[a, b_*, b, a_*]$. Then,*

$$v = \frac{2ab - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}} = \frac{2ab - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{2i \cdot \text{Im}(a + b)}$$

and

$$s = \frac{2ab - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}} = \frac{2ab - |a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{2i \cdot \text{Im}(a + b)}$$

hold.

Proof. From Lemma 2.14,

$$v = LIS[a, a_*, b, b_*] = \frac{V_n(1)}{V_d(1)},$$

where

$$V_n(1) = (a - b - \bar{a} + \bar{b})|a - b|^2|a - \bar{b}|^2 + (a - b + \bar{a} - \bar{b})(2ab - a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)|a - b||a - \bar{b}| \\ - (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})(a\bar{a} - b\bar{b}),$$

$$V_d(1) = (a - b + \bar{a} - \bar{b})(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})(|a - b||a - \bar{b}| - (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})).$$

As $|a - b||a - \bar{b}|^2 = (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b)$, we have

$$v = \frac{(a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(ab - \bar{a}\bar{b}) - (2ab - a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)|a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})(|a - b||a - \bar{b}| - (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b}))}.$$

By multiplying the denominator and the numerator by $(a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b}) + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|$, we have $v = V_n(2)/V_d(2)$, where

$$V_n(2) = -(2ab - a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b)|a - b|^2|a - \bar{b}|^2 - (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a - \bar{a})(b - \bar{b})|a - b||a - \bar{b}| \\ + (a - b)^2(\bar{a} - \bar{b})^2(ab - \bar{a}\bar{b}),$$

$$V_d(2) = -(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})|a - b|^2|a - \bar{b}|^2 + (a - b)^2(\bar{a} - \bar{b})^2(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}).$$

By substituting $|a - b||a - \bar{b}|^2 = (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b)$ again, we have

$$v = \frac{2ab - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}}.$$

Next, s is given by

$$s = LIS[a, b_*, b, a_*].$$

This point s can also be expressed in terms of a and b using exactly the same procedure. \square




FIGURE 5. The points $u, s = LIS[a, b_*, b, a_*], m$, and v are collinear. The point s is also the point of intersection of the angle bisectors of the triangle $\triangle(a, b, u)$.

Corollary 4.2. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$v = \frac{2ab - \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a - b))(a - b)}{a + b}, \quad s = \frac{2ab + \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a - b))(a - b)}{a + b}.$$

Proof. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, note that

$$a_* = \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a - b)), \quad b_* = -\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(a - b))$$

and we obtain s and v in the same way as above. \square

Remark 4.3. (1) Looking at the triangle $\triangle(m, \bar{m}, -m)$ we see that $L[m, -i]$ bisects the angle at m of this right triangle. Moreover, by (2.10), we see that d is the hyperbolic midpoint in the metric $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ of the points 0 and u if $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$.

(2) Let $\operatorname{Im}(a) < \operatorname{Im}(b)$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) < \operatorname{Re}(b) < 0$, as in Figure 5. Considering the similar right triangles $\triangle(m, u, uu)$ and $\triangle(m, c, u), c = LIS(a, b, 0, 1)$, it is easily seen that the point c is the image of u in the inversion in $S^1(uu, r), r = |m - uu|$. Hence $S^1(uu, r)$ is the Apollonian circle with the base points c and u , passing through a and b . Thus, clearly for all $z \in S^1(uu, r)$, the following angles are equal:

$$\angle(c, z, a_*) = \angle(a_*, z, u).$$

4.4. Challenges for symbolic computation. In the case of Figure 5, let $h(z) = a_* + t^2 / (\overline{z - a_*})$ be the inversion in $S^1(a_*, t), t = |m - a_*|$. Then it turns out that $h(a) =$

$v, h(s) = b$. Using a similar inversion in $S^1(b_*, |m - b_*|)$, we obtain corresponding facts for the point pairs $\{b, a\}$ and $\{a, v\}$. Therefore

$$\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, s) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(b, v), \quad \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(b, s) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, v).$$

It seems to be difficult to verify these facts using symbolic computation. We have discovered these equalities by numeric checking and outline below a manual brute force checking of a part of these claims, omitting some lengthy formulas.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, $\operatorname{Re}(a - b) \neq 0$, let

$$(4.5) \quad v = \frac{2ab - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} - |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}}, \quad s = \frac{2ab - a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} + |a - b||a - \bar{b}|}{a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b}},$$

by Lemma 4.1.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & |v - a|^2 |s - \bar{b}|^2 - |s - b|^2 |v - \bar{a}| \\ &= (v - a)(\bar{v} - \bar{a})(s - \bar{b})(\bar{s} - b) - (s - b)(\bar{s} - \bar{b})(v - \bar{a})(\bar{v} - a) \\ &= \text{brute force calculations....} \\ &= \frac{1}{(a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})^3} \left((a + b - \bar{a} - \bar{b})|a - b||a - \bar{b}| - (a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b)(a - b - \bar{a} + \bar{b}) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(|a - b|^2 |a - \bar{b}|^2 - (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last factor can be written as

$$|a - b|^2 |a - \bar{b}|^2 - (a - b)(\bar{a} - \bar{b})(a - \bar{b})(\bar{a} - b) = |a - b|^2 |a - \bar{b}|^2 - |a - b|^2 |a - \bar{b}|^2 = 0.$$

Hence, we have $|v - a||s - \bar{b}| = |s - b||v - \bar{a}|$ and

$$\operatorname{th} \frac{\rho(v, a)}{2} = \frac{|v - a|}{|v - \bar{a}|} = \frac{|s - b|}{|s - \bar{b}|} = \operatorname{th} \frac{\rho(s, b)}{2}.$$

Fix the notation for the next lemma as follows: For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, let c be the intersection point of the real axis and the line passing through a and b , v the intersection point of the line passing through a, a_* and the line passing through b, b_* , u the foot of the perpendicular from v to the real axis, and m the intersection point of $S^1(0, 1)$ and the line passing through u, v satisfying $m \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Moreover, let C be the circle with center at c and radius $|c - m|$, and c_1 the intersection point of the circle C and the line perpendicular to the real axis passing through c satisfying $c_1 \in \mathbb{H}^2$.

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} c &= LIS[a, b, 0, 1], & v &= LIS[a, a_*, b, b_*], \\ u &= LIS[a, b^*, b, a^*], & m &\in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2 \cap L[u, v], \\ C &= S^1(c, |c - m|), & c_1 &= C \cap \mathbb{H}^2 \cap L[c, c + i]. \end{aligned}$$

See Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. Here $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, $v = \text{LIS}[b_*, b, a, a_*]$, u is the projection of v on the real axis and $m = S^1(0, 1) \cap [u, v]$. The circle C is $S^1(c, |c - m|)$ for $c = \text{LIS}[a, b, 0, 1]$. The $\angle(O, m, c)$ is a right angle.

The above m gives the hyperbolic midpoint of a and b (see Remark 4.7).

Lemma 4.6. *If $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, $c = \text{LIS}[a, b, 0, 1]$, and m is the hyperbolic midpoint of a and b , then $\angle(O, m, c)$ is a right angle.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \angle(O, a_*, m)$, c_2 the intersection point of a line parallel to $L[m, b_*]$ through c_1 and the circle C , and c_3 the intersection point of $L[c_1, c_2]$ and the real axis.

From Thales' theorem, angles $\angle(a_*, m, b_*)$ and $\angle(m, c_1, c_2)$ are right angles. The triangles $\triangle(m, a_*, b_*)$, $\triangle(u, b_*, m)$ and $\triangle(c, c_3, c_1)$ are similar, so $\angle(u, m, b_*) = \angle(c, c_1, c_3) = \alpha$. The triangle $\triangle(c_1, c, c_2)$ is an isosceles triangle, so $\angle(c, c_2, c_1) = \angle(c, c_1, c_2) = \alpha$, and its central angle, $\angle(m, c, c_1)$, is 2α . Therefore, we have $\angle(m, c, O) = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\alpha$.

Here, $\angle(m, O, b_*)$ is the central angle of $\angle(m, a_*, b_*)$, so $\angle(m, O, b_*) = 2\alpha$.

Consider the triangle $\triangle(O, m, c)$. Since $\angle(m, c, O) = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\alpha$ and $\angle(m, O, c) = 2\alpha$, $\triangle(O, m, c)$ is a right triangle with $\angle(O, m, c) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Hence, we have the assertion, and the two circles $S^1(0, 1)$ and C are orthogonal to each other. \square

Remark 4.7. From the proof of Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}, \\
v &= \frac{2ab - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2i \cdot \operatorname{Im}(a+b)} \\
&= \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)} - i \frac{\operatorname{Re}(ab) - |a|^2 - |b|^2 - |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}, \\
s &= \frac{2ab - |a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2i \cdot \operatorname{Im}(a+b)} \\
&= \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)} - i \frac{\operatorname{Re}(ab) - |a|^2 - |b|^2 + |a-b||a-\bar{b}|}{2\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}, \\
m &= \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab) + i|a-\bar{b}|\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)} \\
&= \frac{\operatorname{Im}(ab)}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)} + i \frac{|a-\bar{b}|\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)}}{\operatorname{Im}(a+b)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The real part of the four points u, v, s, m are all $(\operatorname{Im}(ab))/(\operatorname{Im}(a+b))$. Therefore, these points lie on the line perpendicular to the real axis passing through u .

5. FORMULA FOR $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$, $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$

We give a formula for $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ with $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ in four steps.

5.1. Four steps. Figure 7 illuminates the steps. Below, $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$.

- (1) See formula for $u = LIS[a, \bar{b}, \bar{a}, b]$ from Lemma 3.1.
- (2) The circle through $a, b, d = u/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$ is tangent to the segment $(-1, 1)$ at d , see Remark 3.6.
- (3) Let $k \in (-1, 1)$,

$$ya \in L[a, k] \cap S^1(0, 1); \quad yb \in L[b, k] \cap S^1(0, 1)$$

with $\operatorname{Im}(ya) < 0, \operatorname{Im}(yb) < 0$. If $w = k/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |k|^2})$, then $T_w(ya) + T_w(a) = 0 = T_w(yb) + T_w(b)$ and hence $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\bar{y}a, \bar{y}b)$. Moreover, if $k = d$, then

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \angle(a, d, b).$$

See Lemma 5.4.

- (4) Let $u = (1 + a \cdot b)/(a + b)$, $d = u/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$ and $\theta = \angle(a, d, b)$. Then $\theta = v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$,

$$\sin(\theta) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - |u|^2}t^2} \equiv T, \quad t = \operatorname{th}(\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2).$$

Moreover, $\theta = \arcsin T$ if $1 > t^2(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$ and $\theta = \pi - \arcsin T$ if $1 < t^2(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$. See Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 5.7.

Proposition 5.2. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, let

$$t = \operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \frac{|a - b|}{|a - \bar{b}|}.$$

Then

$$\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(t + i\sqrt{1 - t^2}, -t + i\sqrt{1 - t^2}).$$

Proof. The proof follows from (2.7). \square

Proposition 5.3. Let $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ and $k \in (-1, 1)$ and $ya \in S^1(0, 1) \cap L[a, k]$, $yb \in S^1(0, 1) \cap L[b, k]$ with $\operatorname{Im}(ya) < 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(yb) < 0$. Then

$$\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{ya}, \overline{yb}).$$

Proof. The two hyperbolic lines with end points a and ya , resp. b and yb , intersect the real axis at the hyperbolic midpoint $w = k/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |k|^2})$ of 0 and k . The Möbius transformation T_w maps these two hyperbolic lines onto two diameters of the unit disk and it is now clear that $T_w(a) + T_w(ya) = 0$ and $T_w(b) + T_w(yb) = 0$ and hence the proposition follows. \square

Lemma 5.4. The step (3) of the four steps 5.1 holds.

Proof. See Figure 7(A). The proof follows from Proposition 5.3. Choosing $k = d$ in 5.3, we see that in the case $\operatorname{Re}(a) < \operatorname{Re}(b)$,

$$ya = t - i\sqrt{1 - t^2}, \quad yb = -t - i\sqrt{1 - t^2}.$$

\square

Lemma 5.5. Let $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ and $u = (1 + ab)/(a + b)$, $d = u/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$, and let ya, yb be as in Lemma 5.4 and $\theta = \angle(ya, d, yb)$. Then $\theta = v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ and

$$\sin(\theta) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - |u|^2}t^2}, \quad t = \operatorname{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2}.$$

Proof. See Figure 7(B). The area of the triangle $\triangle(ya, d, yb)$ is $t\sqrt{1 - t^2}$ and, by trigonometry, also equal to

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} |ya - d| |yb - d| \sin \theta = t\sqrt{1 - t^2}.$$

By the Pythagorean theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |ya - d|^2 &= 1 - t^2 + (t - d)^2 = 1 - 2td + d^2, \\ |yb - d|^2 &= 1 - t^2 + (t + d)^2 = 1 + 2td + d^2. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 7. (A) For all $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ and $k \in (-1, 1)$, $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{ya}, \overline{yb})$. Moreover, if $w = k/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |k|^2})$, then $T_w(ya) + T_w(a) = T_w(yb) + T_w(b) = 0$.

(B) By choosing $k = d$ in Figure 7(A), we have $\text{Im}(ya) = \text{Im}(yb)$.

Because $d = u/(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})$, we see that

$$\begin{cases} 1 + d^2 = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2}} \\ (|ya - d||yb - d|)^2 = (1 + d^2)^2 - 4t^2d^2 = \frac{4(1 - u^2t^2)}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})^2}, \end{cases}$$

which by (5.6) yields the desired formula. \square

Theorem 5.7. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ let $u = (1 + ab)/(a + b)$. Then

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \begin{cases} \arcsin T & \text{if } 1 \geq (1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t^2, \\ \pi - \arcsin T & \text{if } 1 < (1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t^2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$T = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - |u|^2t^2}}, \quad t = \text{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2}.$$

Proof. By the choice of the point d in Lemma 5.5, $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b) = \theta$. By the proof of Lemma 5.5, we see that $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} |ya - d|^2 + |yb - d|^2 < |ya - yb|^2 &\Leftrightarrow \\ 1 + d^2 < 2t^2 &\Leftrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$1 < (1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t^2$$

and, in this case $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, we have $\theta = \pi - \arcsin T$. In the case $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, $\theta = \arcsin T$. \square

The next proposition gives an alternative way of writing the right hand side of Lemma 5.5.

Proposition 5.8. *For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, $u = LIS[a, \bar{b}, \bar{a}, b]$, and $t = \text{th}(\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)/2)$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - u^2t^2}} \right| = \frac{|a - b|}{|ab - 1|} \left(\frac{1}{2}|a + b| + \sqrt{\text{Im}(a) \cdot \text{Im}(b)} \right).$$

Proof. Because $\bar{b} = 1/b$, by (2.7) we see that

$$t = \text{th} \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2} = \left| \frac{a - b}{a - \bar{b}} \right| = \left| \frac{a - b}{a - \frac{1}{b}} \right| = \frac{|b||a - b|}{|ab - 1|} = \frac{|a - b|}{|ab - 1|}.$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3.1(2),

$$u = \frac{1 + ab}{a + b}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1 - t^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}{(ab - 1)^2}}, \\ \sqrt{1 - |u|^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{-(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}{(a + b)^2}}, \\ \sqrt{1 - u^2t^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{4ab(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}{(a + b)^2(ab - 1)^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |u|^2})t\sqrt{1 - t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - u^2t^2}} \right| \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(a + b)^2(ab - 1)^2}{4ab(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{-(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}{(a + b)^2}} \right) \left| \frac{a - b}{ab - 1} \right| \sqrt{\frac{(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)}{(ab - 1)^2}} \\ &= \frac{|a - b|}{|ab - 1|} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(a + b)\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{-(a - \frac{1}{a})(b - \frac{1}{b})}{(a + b)\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{|a - b|}{|ab - 1|} \left(\frac{1}{2}|a + b| + \sqrt{\text{Im}(a) \cdot \text{Im}(b)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

5.9. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The proof follows from Lemma 5.5 and Proposition 5.8. □

Remark 5.10. (1) For $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|a + b| \geq \sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(b)}.$$

Indeed, since $\operatorname{Im}(a), \operatorname{Im}(b) > 0$,

$$\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(b)} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Im}(a) + \operatorname{Im}(b)) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im}(a + b) \leq \frac{1}{2}|a + b|.$$

(2) By (1), we see that, for $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, the expression T in Theorem 5.7 and Proposition 5.8 admits the following estimate

$$2t\sqrt{\operatorname{Im}(a)\operatorname{Im}(b)} \leq T \leq t|a + b|.$$

(3) For instance, we have

$$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(e^{\varphi i}, e^{3\varphi i}) = \arcsin \frac{1 + \sqrt{\sqrt{2} - 1}}{2} \approx 0.964558, \quad \varphi = \pi/8.$$

a	b	p	$v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$
$2i$	$1 + i$	i	$\pi/4$
$2i$	$2 + i$	$4 + 15i/2 - (1 + 2i)\sqrt{10}$	$\arctan(3/2 + \sqrt{5/2})$
$2i$	$2 + 4i$	$2 + 2i$	$\pi/4$
$2i$	$1 + 3i$	$-2 + 5i + (2 + 2i)\sqrt{3}$	$\arctan((5 + 4\sqrt{3})/23)$
$2i$	$3 + i$	$6 + 15i - (2 + 6i)\sqrt{5}$	$\pi - \arctan(9 + 4\sqrt{5})$
$2i$	$4 + i$	$8 + 51i/2 - (1 + 4i)\sqrt{34}$	$\pi - \arctan((6 + \sqrt{34})/4)$
$2i$	$4 + 3i$	$-8 + 85i/2 + (1 - 4i)\sqrt{102}$	$\arctan((10 + \sqrt{102})/4)$
$2i$	$5 + i$	$10 + 39i - (2 + 13i)\sqrt{10}$	$\pi + \arctan((15 - 4\sqrt{13})/17)$

TABLE 3. Accurate values of the point p defined as in Table 1 and $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)$ for example point pairs a, b .

Proposition 5.11. For $a, b \in S^1(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{H}^2$, let the point d be as in Lemma 5.5, and let $\theta = \angle(a, d, b)$. Then

$$\sin \theta = \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{a - d}{b - d} \right) \right| \left/ \left| \frac{a - d}{b - d} \right| \right|.$$

Proof. Note that $0 < \theta < \pi$ and $\sin \theta > 0$, as $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Since

$$\theta = \arg \frac{a-d}{b-d},$$

we can write $(a-d)/(b-d)$ as

$$\frac{a-d}{b-d} = \left| \frac{a-d}{b-d} \right| (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$$

and the equality is obtained. \square

6. HÖLDER CONTINUITY AND $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$

In this final section, we study how the visual angle metric $v_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ is distorted under quasiregular mappings. Our result is similar to a result for $v_{\mathbb{B}^2}$ in [15].

Let us recapitulate some fundamental facts about K -quasiregular mappings. The definition of these mappings is given in [7, pp. 288-289]. For the proof of the following result, we need some properties of an increasing homeomorphism $\varphi_{K,2} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, [7, (9.13), p. 167]

$$\varphi_{K,2}(r) = \frac{1}{\gamma_2^{-1}(K\gamma_2(1/r))} = \mu^{-1}(\mu(r)/K), \quad 0 < r < 1, K > 0.$$

This function is the special function of the Schwarz lemma in [7, Thm 16.2], crucial for the sequel. The Grötzsch capacity, a decreasing homeomorphism $\gamma_2 : (1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, is defined as [7, (7.18), p. 122]

$$\gamma_2(1/r) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu(r)}, \quad \mu(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{1-r^2})}{\mathcal{K}(r)}, \quad \mathcal{K}(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-r^2x^2)}}$$

with $0 < r < 1$. Denote then [2, (10.4), p. 203]

$$\lambda(K) = \left(\frac{\varphi_{K,2}(1/\sqrt{2})}{\varphi_{1/K,2}(1/\sqrt{2})} \right)^2, \quad K > 1.$$

Trivially, $\lambda(1) = 1$ and, by [2, Thm 10.35, p. 219], $\lambda(K) < e^{\pi(K-1/K)}$ for $K > 1$. The function $\varphi_{K,2}$ satisfies many identities and inequalities as shown in [2, Section 10]. We will need the following inequality, which can be extracted from the proof of [13, Thm 6.8]. The proof is based on [2, (10.3), 10.24].

Lemma 6.1. *For $K \geq 1, r \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\varphi_{K,2}(r)}{\sqrt{1-\varphi_{K,2}(r)^2}} = \frac{\varphi_{K,2}(r)}{\varphi_{1/K,2}(\sqrt{1-r^2})} \leq \lambda(K)^{1/2} \max \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right)^{1/K}, \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \right)^K \right\}.$$

Theorem 6.3. *If $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2 = f(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a non-constant K -quasiregular mapping, then for all $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$,*

$$\tan\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}\right) \leq \lambda(K)^{1/2} \max\left\{(\tan(v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)))^K, (\tan(v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)))^{1/K}\right\}.$$

Proof. By (2.36) for $a, b \in \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$\tan\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{sh}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2} = \frac{\operatorname{th}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}}{\sqrt{1 - \operatorname{th}^2\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}}}$$

and further, by the Schwarz lemma [7, Thm 16.2] and (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{th}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}}{\sqrt{1 - \operatorname{th}^2\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}}} &\leq \frac{\varphi_{K,2}(\operatorname{th}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2})}{\sqrt{1 - \varphi_{K,2}(\operatorname{th}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)}{2})^2}} \leq \\ &\lambda(K)^{1/2} \max\left\{\left(\operatorname{sh}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(x, y)}{2}\right)^K, \left(\operatorname{sh}\frac{\rho_{\mathbb{H}^2}(x, y)}{2}\right)^{1/K}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the above inequalities together with (2.36) yield the desired conclusion

$$\tan\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}\right) \leq \lambda(K)^{1/2} \max\left\{(\tan(v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)))^K, (\tan(v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)))^{1/K}\right\}.$$

□

Remark 6.4. For $K = 1$, Theorem 6.3 yields

$$\tan\left(\frac{v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(f(a), f(b))}{2}\right) \leq \tan(v_{\mathbb{H}^2}(a, b)),$$

which is sharp for Möbius transformations by 2.18.

REFERENCES

1. L.V. AHLFORS, Möbius transformations in several dimensions. Ordway Professorship Lectures in Mathematics. University of Minnesota, School of Mathematics, Minneapolis, MN, 1981. ii+150 pp.
2. G.D. ANDERSON, M.K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Conformal invariants, inequalities and quasiconformal maps*. J. Wiley, 1997.
3. A. F. BEARDON, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
4. M. FUJIMURA, R. KARGAR, AND M. VUORINEN, Formulas for the visual angle metric, *J. Geom. Anal.* (to appear) arXiv:2304.04485, 14pp.
5. M. FUJIMURA, O. RAINIO, AND M. VUORINEN, Collinearity of points on Poincaré unit disk and Riemann sphere, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* (to appear) arXiv:2212.09037, 23pp.
6. F.W. GEHRING AND K. HAG, *The Ubiquitous Quasidisk*. With contributions by Ole Jacob Broch. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. xii+171 pp.
7. P. HARIRI, R. KLÉN, AND M. VUORINEN, *Conformally Invariant Metrics and Quasiconformal Mappings*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2020.

8. P. HARIRI, M. VUORINEN, AND G. WANG, Some remarks on the visual angle metric, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 16, 2016, 187–201, DOI: 10.1007/s40315-015-0137-8.
9. P.A. HÄSTÖ, Z. IBRAGIMOV, D. MINDA, S. PONNUSAMY, S. SAHOO, Isometries of some hyperbolic-type path metrics, and the hyperbolic medial axis. (English summary) In the tradition of Ahlfors-Bers. IV, 63–74, *Contemp. Math.*, 432, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
10. J. HEINONEN, *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
11. R. KLÉN, H. LINDÉN, M. VUORINEN, AND G. WANG, The visual angle metric and Möbius transformations. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, 14 (2014), 577–608.
12. A. PAPADOPOULOS, *Metric spaces, convexity and non-positive curvature*. Second edition. IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, 6. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2014. xii+309 pp.
13. O. RAINIO AND M. VUORINEN, Hilbert metric in the unit ball, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 60(2-3), pp. 175-191.
14. M. VUORINEN AND G. WANG, Bisection of geodesic segments in hyperbolic geometry.- Proceedings of an international conference, *Complex Analysis and Dynamical Systems V*, *Contemp. Math.* 591 (2013), 273–290, arxiv: 1108.2948 math.MG.
15. G. WANG AND M. VUORINEN, The visual angle metric and quasiregular maps. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144, 11, (2016), 4899–4912.