

An improved spectral lower bound of treewidth*

Tatsuya Gima^a, Tesshu Hanaka^b, Kohei Noro^{c,1}, Hirotaka Ono^c, Yota Otachi^{c,*}

^a*Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan*

^b*Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan*

^c*Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan*

Abstract

We show that for every n -vertex graph with at least one edge, its treewidth is greater than or equal to $n\lambda_2/(\Delta + \lambda_2) - 1$, where Δ and λ_2 are the maximum degree and the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue of the graph, respectively. This lower bound improves the one by Chandran and Subramanian [*Inf. Process. Lett.*, 2003] and the subsequent one by the authors of the present paper [*IEICE Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 2024]. The new lower bound is *almost* tight in the sense that there is an infinite family of graphs such that the lower bound is only 1 less than the treewidth for each graph in the family. Additionally, using similar techniques, we also present a lower bound of treewidth in terms of the largest and the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalues.

Keywords: treewidth, Laplacian eigenvalue.

1. Introduction

The concept of treewidth is one of the most well-studied measures of decomposability of graphs. Roughly speaking, a graph with small treewidth admits a tree-like decomposition of small width, which can be used in various ways, most notably for designing efficient algorithms [2, 3]. Since the computation and the estimation of treewidth are important tasks, several techniques for lower-bounding and upper-bounding treewidth are developed (see [4, 5]). The most relevant to this paper is the spectral approach initiated by Chandran and Subramanian [7]. We follow this direction and present an improved lower bound of treewidth using the spectral approach.

Chandran and Subramanian [7] showed that for every n -vertex graph with at least one edge,

$$\text{tw}(G) \geq \frac{3n\lambda_2}{4\Delta + 8\lambda_2} - 1, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{tw}(G)$ is the treewidth of G , λ_2 is the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue of G , and Δ is the maximum degree of G .² Subsequently, the authors of the present paper showed a slightly improved lower bound [9] in which the denominator $4\Delta + 8\lambda_2$ in Eq. (1) is replaced with $\max\{4\Delta + 3\lambda_2, 3\Delta + 4.5\lambda_2\}$.

*Partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20H05793, JP20H05967, JP21K11752, JP21H05852, JP21K17707, JP21K19765, JP22H00513.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: gima@ist.hokudai.ac.jp (Tatsuya Gima), hanaka@inf.kyushu-u.ac.jp (Tesshu Hanaka), noro.kohei@nagoya-u.jp (Kohei Noro), ono@nagoya-u.jp (Hirotaka Ono), otachi@nagoya-u.jp (Yota Otachi)

¹This work was done while he was a student at Nagoya University. He is currently working at KOEI TECMO GAMES CO., LTD.

²See Section 2 for definitions of the terms undefined here.

In this paper, we further pursue lower bounds of treewidth in terms of the Laplacian eigenvalues and obtain the following bound:

$$\text{tw}(G) \geq \frac{n\lambda_2}{\Delta + \lambda_2} - 1. \quad (2)$$

As we will see later, the new bound is tight up to an additive factor of 1. (See Section 3.)

By using some of the techniques used for obtaining Eq. (2) and an inequality found by Gu and Liu [12], we also show the following lower bound, which is incomparable to Eq. (2):

$$\text{tw}(G) \geq \frac{2n\lambda_2}{3\lambda_n - \lambda_2} - 1, \quad (3)$$

where λ_n is the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of G . This bound is tight for complete graphs. (See Section 4.)

2. Preliminaries

Laplacian eigenvalues

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex graph. The *Laplacian matrix* of G is the $n \times n$ matrix $L \in \mathbb{Z}^{V \times V}$ such that

$$L(u, v) = \begin{cases} \deg(u) & u = v, \\ -1 & \{u, v\} \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *Laplacian eigenvalues* of G are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of G . We often denote the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ in non-decreasing order.

It is known that $\lambda_1 = 0$ for every graph. The second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue λ_2 is also known as the *algebraic connectivity* [8] or the *Fiedler value* [16]. It is known that λ_2 is bounded from above by the vertex connectivity of the graph (see [6]). For the largest Laplacian eigenvalue λ_n , it is known that for every graph with at least one edge, it holds that $\Delta + 1 \leq \lambda_n \leq \max_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\deg(u) + \deg(v))$ [10, 13]. It is known that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_n$ if and only if the graph is either edge-less or complete (see [6, Propositions 1.3.3 and 1.3.7, and Section 1.4.1]).

Treewidth

Although the treewidth is the central concept in this paper, we do not need its precise definition in our proofs. We only need the following property (Lemma 2.1) shown by Robertson and Seymour [15]. (See e.g., [3] for the definition of treewidth.)

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, we denote its *treewidth* by $\text{tw}(G)$. For $S \subseteq V$, let $G - S$ denote the subgraph of G obtained by deleting the vertices in S .

Lemma 2.1 ([15]). *Every n -vertex graph $G = (V, E)$ has a vertex set $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \leq \text{tw}(G) + 1$ such that each connected component of $G - S$ contains at most $\frac{1}{2}(n - |S|)$ vertices.*

Lemma 2.1 was used in the previous studies [7, 9] as well. One of the main technical differences in this study from the previous ones is that we use Lemma 2.1 in the following form, which can be obtained by appropriately partitioning the connected components of $G - S$ into (at most) three sets.

Lemma 2.2 (See e.g., [11, Lemma 9]). *For every graph $G = (V, E)$, there is a set $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \leq \text{tw}(G) + 1$ such that $V \setminus S$ can be partitioned into three (possibly empty) sets U_1, U_2, U_3 with the following properties:*

- $|U_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}(n - |S|)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$; and
- there is no edge between two sets U_i and U_j for $i \neq j$.

3. The improved lower bound

To prove the improved lower bound (Eq. (2)), we need Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 below, which are well known. Lemma 3.1 is easy to derive from the definition of the Laplacian matrix and often used for real vectors x (see e.g., [1]). Lemma 3.2 holds by Rayleigh's principle (see [14]). For a complex vector x , we denote its conjugate transpose by x^* .

Lemma 3.1. *Let L be the Laplacian matrix of a graph $G = (V, E)$. For every $x \in \mathbb{C}^V$, it holds that $x^*Lx = \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |x(u) - x(v)|^2$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let λ_2 be the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue of a graph $G = (V, E)$. If $x \in \mathbb{C}^V$ satisfies $\sum_{v \in V} x(v) = 0$, then $x^*Lx \geq \lambda_2 \|x\|^2$.*

The following simple observation allows us to find $x \in \mathbb{C}^V$ in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 that achieves a good lower bound of treewidth using Lemma 2.2.

Observation 3.3. *Let a, b, c be nonnegative real numbers. If $\max\{a, b, c\} \leq (a+b+c)/2$, then there exist complex numbers α, β, γ such that $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma| = 1$ and $a\alpha + b\beta + c\gamma = 0$.*

Proof. In this proof, i denotes the imaginary unit $\sqrt{-1}$. By symmetry, we may assume that $\max\{a, b, c\} = c$. Since $c \leq (a+b+c)/2$, we have $a+b \geq c$. If $a+b = c$, then we set $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, \gamma = -1$ and get $a\alpha + b\beta + c\gamma = 0$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma| = 1$. Assume that $a+b > c$. Then, there exists a triangle ABC of side-lengths $a = BC, b = CA, c = AB$. Let $\theta = \angle ABC$ and $\phi = \angle CAB$. In the complex plane, the traversal $B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ along the triangle can be represented by $a(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$, $b(\cos \phi - i \sin \phi)$, and $-c$ (see Fig. 1). Thus, by setting $\alpha = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta, \beta = \cos \phi - i \sin \phi$, and $\gamma = -1$, we have $a\alpha + b\beta + c\gamma = 0$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma| = 1$. \square




Figure 1: A visual proof of Observation 3.3.

Now we are ready to prove Eq. (2).

Theorem 3.4. *For every n -vertex graph G with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 1$, it holds that*

$$\text{tw}(G) \geq \frac{n\lambda_2}{\Delta + \lambda_2} - 1,$$

where λ_2 is the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue of G .

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$. By Lemma 2.2, there is a partition (S, U_1, U_2, U_3) of V such that $|S| \leq \text{tw}(G) + 1$, $|U_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}(n - |S|)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and there is no edge connecting two sets U_i and U_j for $i \neq j$, where some of the four sets may be empty.

By Observation 3.3, there exist complex numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ such that $|\alpha_i| = 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\sum_{i \in \{1, 2, 3\}} |U_i| \alpha_i = 0$. We define $x \in \mathbb{C}^V$ as follows:

$$x(v) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i & v \in U_i, \\ 0 & v \in S. \end{cases}$$

Since $\sum_{v \in V} x(v) = \sum_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} |U_i| \alpha_i = 0$, Lemma 3.2 implies that $x^* L x \geq \lambda_2 \|x\|^2$. Since $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} |\alpha_i|^2 |U_i| = \sum_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} |U_i| = n - |S|$, we have

$$x^* L x \geq \lambda_2 (n - |S|).$$

By Lemma 3.1, $x^* L x = \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |x(u) - x(v)|^2$ holds. Observe that an edge connecting two vertices in the same part of the partition (S, U_1, U_2, U_3) does not contribute to this sum as its endpoints have the same value under x . Since there is no edge between two sets U_i and U_j for $i \neq j$, only the edges between S and $V \setminus S$ contribute to the sum $\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |x(u) - x(v)|^2$. For an edge $\{u, v\}$ with $u \in S$ and $v \in V \setminus S$, we have $|x(u) - x(v)|^2 = |x(v)|^2 = 1$ as $x(v) = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since there are at most $\Delta |S|$ edges between S and $V \setminus S$, it holds that

$$x^* L x \leq \Delta |S|.$$

By combining the lower and upper bounds of $x^* L x$ obtained above, we get $\Delta |S| \geq \lambda_2 (n - |S|)$, and thus $|S| \geq n \lambda_2 / (\Delta + \lambda_2)$. As $\text{tw}(G) \geq |S| - 1$, the theorem follows. \square

Remark 3.5. We can see that Theorem 3.4 is *almost* tight for complete bipartite graphs as follows. For positive integers p and q with $p \leq q$, let $K_{p,q}$ denote the complete bipartite graph with p vertices on one side and q vertices on the other side. The maximum degree Δ of $K_{p,q}$ is $\max\{p, q\} = q$. It is known that the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue λ_2 of $K_{p,q}$ is $\min\{p, q\} = p$ [6, Section 1.4.2]. Thus, Theorem 3.4 gives a lower bound of $(p+q)p/(q+p) - 1 = p - 1$. This lower bound is only 1 less than the exact value as $\text{tw}(K_{p,q}) = \min\{p, q\} = p$ [3].

4. The lower bound in terms of λ_2 and λ_n

Gu and Liu [12] studied a spectral lower bound of the matching number and showed the following lemma as one of their main tools.

Lemma 4.1 ([12]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex non-complete graph with at least one edge. Let (S, X, Y) be a partition of V such that $|X| \leq |Y|$ and there is no edge between X and Y . Then,*

$$|S| \geq \frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_n - \lambda_2} \cdot |X|,$$

where λ_2 and λ_n are the second smallest and the largest Laplacian eigenvalues of G , respectively.

Our second lower bound (Eq. (3)) can be shown by combining Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 4.2. *For every n -vertex graph G with at least one edge,*

$$\text{tw}(G) \geq \frac{2n\lambda_2}{3\lambda_n - \lambda_2} - 1,$$

where λ_2 and λ_n are the second smallest and the largest Laplacian eigenvalues of G , respectively.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$. If G is the n -vertex complete graph K_n , then $\lambda_2 = \lambda_n = n$ holds [6, Section 1.4.1], and thus $2n\lambda_2/(3\lambda_n - \lambda_2) - 1 = n - 1 = \text{tw}(K_n)$. In the following, we assume that G is not a complete graph (but has at least one edge).

As in the proof of Theorem 3.4, let (S, U_1, U_2, U_3) be a partition of V that satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2; that is, $|S| \leq \text{tw}(G) + 1$, $|U_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}(n - |S|)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and there is no edge connecting two sets U_i and U_j for $i \neq j$, where some of the four sets may be empty.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, as $|U_i| \leq |(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3) \setminus U_i| = |V \setminus (S \cup U_i)|$, we can apply Lemma 4.1 by setting $X = U_i$ and $Y = V \setminus (S \cup U_i)$, and we get

$$|S| \geq \frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_n - \lambda_2} \cdot |U_i|.$$

By adding the inequalities for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we obtain

$$3|S| \geq \frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_n - \lambda_2} (|U_1| + |U_2| + |U_3|) = \frac{2\lambda_2}{\lambda_n - \lambda_2} (n - |S|),$$

which implies $|S| \geq 2n\lambda_2/(3\lambda_n - \lambda_2)$. Now the theorem follows as $\text{tw}(G) \geq |S| - 1$. \square

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 is tight for complete graphs as observed in the first paragraph of its proof.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown an improved lower bound of treewidth in terms of the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue (Theorem 3.4) and another lower bound in terms of the largest and the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalues (Theorem 4.2). The first one is almost tight for complete bipartite graphs with an additive gap of 1, and the second one is tight for complete graphs. It would be interesting to determine whether the first bound is already tight for some graphs or if it can be further improved.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Nobutaka Shimizu for valuable comments and discussions.

References

- [1] Noga Alon and Vitali D. Milman. λ_1 , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 38(1):73–88, 1985. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(85)90092-9.
- [2] Stefan Arnborg, Jens Lagergren, and Detlef Seese. Easy problems for tree-decomposable graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 12(2):308–340, 1991. doi:10.1016/0196-6774(91)90006-K.
- [3] Hans L. Bodlaender. A partial k -arboretum of graphs with bounded treewidth. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 209(1-2):1–45, 1998. doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(97)00228-4.
- [4] Hans L. Bodlaender and Arie M. C. A. Koster. Treewidth computations I. upper bounds. *Inf. Comput.*, 208(3):259–275, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.ic.2009.03.008.
- [5] Hans L. Bodlaender and Arie M. C. A. Koster. Treewidth computations II. lower bounds. *Inf. Comput.*, 209(7):1103–1119, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.ic.2011.04.003.
- [6] Andries E. Brouwer and Willem H. Haemers. *Spectra of graphs*. Springer, 2011. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-1939-6.
- [7] L. Sunil Chandran and C. R. Subramanian. A spectral lower bound for the treewidth of a graph and its consequences. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 87(4):195–200, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0020-0190(03)00286-2.

- [8] Miroslav Fiedler. Algebraic connectivity of graphs. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 23(2):298–305, 1973. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/12723>.
- [9] Tatsuya Gima, Tesshu Hanaka, Kohei Noro, Hirotaka Ono, and Yota Otachi. On a spectral lower bound of treewidth. *IEICE Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 107-D(3):328–330, 2024. doi:10.1587/transinf.2023FCL0002.
- [10] Robert Grone and Russell Merris. The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II. *SIAM J. Discret. Math.*, 7(2):221–229, 1994. doi:10.1137/S0895480191222653.
- [11] Hermann Gruber and Markus Holzer. Provably shorter regular expressions from deterministic finite automata. In *DLT 2008*, volume 5257 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 383–395, 2008. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-85780-8_30.
- [12] Xiaofeng Gu and Muhuo Liu. A tight lower bound on the matching number of graphs via Laplacian eigenvalues. *Eur. J. Comb.*, 101:103468, 2022. doi:10.1016/J.EJC.2021.103468.
- [13] William N. Anderson Jr. and Thomas D. Morley. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 18(2):141–145, 1985. doi:10.1080/03081088508817681.
- [14] Ben Noble and James W. Daniel. *Applied Linear Algebra*. Prentice-Hall, 2nd edition, 1977.
- [15] Neil Robertson and Paul D. Seymour. Graph minors. II. Algorithmic aspects of tree-width. *J. Algorithms*, 7(3):309–322, 1986. doi:10.1016/0196-6774(86)90023-4.
- [16] Daniel A. Spielman and Shang-Hua Teng. Spectral partitioning works: Planar graphs and finite element meshes. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 421(2–3):284–305, 2007. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2006.07.020.