

GLOBAL LARGE SOLUTION TO NAVIER-STOKES AND SQG EQUATIONS WITH TIME OSCILLATION

YIRAN XU AND HAINA LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the model of 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and 2D supercritical Surface Quasi-Geostrophic equations with time oscillation in the nonlinear term. We obtain that there exists global smooth solution of these two equations for any initial data $u_0 \in H^2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We investigate the global well-posedness of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with time oscillation in the nonlinear term. The system is described by:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + b(Nt)u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(t, x)|_{t=0} = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Here, u denotes the velocity field and p represents the scalar pressure function of the fluid, ensuring the divergence-free condition of the velocity field. The function $b : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition:

$$(1.2) \quad \|b\|_{L^\infty} + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} b(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq M, \quad \forall t_2 > t_1 > 0,$$

where $M > 0$. It is noteworthy that $b(t) = \sin t$ satisfies this condition.

Initially, let us revisit the classical Navier-Stokes system for incompressible fluids in three dimension:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(t, x)|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

here we simplify the viscosity to 1.

Notable advancements have been made concerning global existence results. The seminal work by [17] demonstrated the existence of at least one global weak solution satisfying energy estimates for any initial data with finite energy. However, the uniqueness of this solution in \mathbb{R}^3 remains indeterminate. Fujita-Kato partially addressed the construction of a globally unique solution in [11, 12], permitting a unique local-in-time solution with sufficiently small initial data relative to viscosity in $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ spaces. This result was further extended to $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}$ spaces by Cannone, Meyer and Planchon in [3]. Later, the theorem established by Koch and Tataru in [14] (2001) asserts the global well-posedness of the system when ∂BMO norm is small.

Until now, the inquiry into whether smooth initial data with finite energy can lead to a finite-time singularity in the three-dimensional (3D) incompressible Navier-Stokes equations is still an open focal point of the Millennium Prize problems [4].

A series of findings leverage the unique structural properties of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3), where certain geometrical invariances in the initial data persist under the Navier-Stokes flow. We refer for instance to [15], [16], [19], [22], [24], where special symmetries (like helicoidal or axisymmetric without swirl) allow to prove global well-posedness for any large data.

In addressing the challenges posed by high oscillatory initial data problems in (1.3), Chemin and Ping Zhang established the global existence of solutions [8]. Chemin and Gallagher conducted a series of investigations. In [5], they constructed a global solution with strong oscillation of initial data

$$(1.4) \quad u_0^N = (Nu_h(x_h) \cos(Nx_3), -\operatorname{div}_h u_h(x_h) \sin(Nx_3)),$$

which was subsequently extended to \mathbb{R}^3 in [6]. Later, the gradual variation of initial data in the vertical direction for the Navier-Stokes equations was explored by [7]. More recently, Yanlin Liu and Ping

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35A01.

Key words and phrases. global large solution, Navier-Stokes equations, SQG equations, time oscillation.

Zhang [18] demonstrated the existence of a global strong solution with solenoidal initial data for the system (1.3).

In this paper, our central investigation pertains to the global well-posedness of the system delineated by equation (1.1), wherein time oscillations are present within the nonlinear term. Our methodological approach relies on the bootstrap argument, supplemented by the implementation of a modifier operator. The principal theorem of our study is expressed as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *For any large data $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \geq 1$ such that for any $N \geq N_0$, the equation (1.1) admits global smooth solution.*

Remark 1.2. Our method in proof Theorem 1.1 is useful to give a shorter proof of global existence of Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with highly oscillatory data like (1.4).

In this manuscript, we also utilize the two-dimensional supercritical Surface Quasi-Geostrophic (SQG) equations with time oscillations to showcase the applicability of our proof methodology. For a comprehensive examination of the global well-posedness of these equations, we direct the reader to the works of [2], [9], [10], [13], [21], [23].

We now precisely delineate the 2D supercritical Surface Quasi-Geostrophic equations under scrutiny in this study:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + b(Nt) \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta = 0, \\ \theta(t, x)|_{t=0} = \theta_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta = \theta(t, x)$ is a scalar function of $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t > 0$, representing the potential temperature. Here, the function b shares the same conditions as the function utilized in the 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Leveraging a series of Lemmas, we can readily derive a result analogous to that of the Navier-Stokes equations. The statement of the theorem is presented below:

Theorem 1.3. *For any large data $\theta_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(\|\theta_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}) \geq 1$ such that for any $N \geq N_0$, the equation (1.5) admits global smooth solution.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Now we start to prove some inequalities which will be used several times in the proof of the main propositions. Lemma 2.1 will be used in Section 3, and Lemma 2.2 will be used in Section 4.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a vector function, it holds that*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{11}{4}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{11}{4}} \right),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} \right),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. Before proving the above estimates, we first give the useful inequalities by applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation.

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{6}},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Combining with (2.5), (2.8) and Young's inequality, the following inequality holds true

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{11}{4}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{11}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.1).

According to (2.5)-(2.7) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain the estimate in (2.2).

On account of (2.4)-(2.6) and (2.8), we have the following results with the application of paraproduct theory [1] and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) dt \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore we complete the proof of (2.3). \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\theta : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be scalar function, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, it holds that*

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{12-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{12-\alpha}{4}} \right),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right),$$

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 dt \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} \right),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. To prove the above estimates, we need a series of inequalities equipped with Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation.

$$(2.13) \quad \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}},$$

$$(2.14) \quad \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(2.15) \quad \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{4}}.$$

Combining with (2.13) and Young's inequality, the following inequality holds true

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt &\leq \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{2-\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{12-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{12-\alpha}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.9).

According to (2.13)-(2.15) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt &\leq \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain the estimate in (2.10).

On account of (2.13) and (2.14), we have the following results with the application of Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 dt &\leq \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{2-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore we complete the proof of (2.11).

Due to (2.13), (2.14) and Young's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt &\leq \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{2-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{6-\alpha}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

thus we have done the proof of (2.12). \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f_\epsilon(x) = (f \star \rho_\epsilon)(x)$, where $\rho_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} \rho(x/\epsilon)$ is a modifier, then for $m, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m = m_1 + m_2$, we have the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon\|_{\dot{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \epsilon^{-m_2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \\ \|\nabla^m f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \epsilon^{-m_2} \|\nabla^{m_1} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the property of modifier that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon\|_{\dot{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_x} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} |\nabla_x^{m_1} f(x-y)|^2 |\nabla_y^{m_2} \rho_\epsilon(y)| dy \right) \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} |\nabla_y^{m_2} \rho_\epsilon(y)| dy \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-\frac{m_2}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} |\nabla_y^{m_2} \rho_\epsilon(y)| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-m_2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first line we have used Hölder inequality.

Similarly, it is clear that

$$\|\nabla^m f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\nabla^{m_1} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} \nabla_y^{m_2} \rho_\epsilon(y) dy \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \epsilon^{-m_2} \|\nabla^{m_1} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.4. In [20], authors also yield similar results in Lemma A.1.

Next, we give the following inequality which will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.1.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f_\epsilon(x)$ be mentioned in Lemma 2.3, then it holds that for $\forall s \in (0, 1]$,*

$$\|f - f_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \epsilon^s \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proof. The trick of the proof is the application of Minkowski's inequality. Due to the definition of modifier and fractional Sobolev space, it is evident that for $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_x} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} (f(x) - f(x-y)) \rho_\epsilon(y) dy \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} |y|^{\frac{d}{2}+s} \rho_\epsilon(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_x} \frac{|f(x) - f(x-y)|^2}{|y|^{d+2s}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_x} \frac{|f(x) - f(x-y)|^2}{|y|^{d+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_y} |y|^{d+2s} \rho_\epsilon^2(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \epsilon^s \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $s = 1$, we obtain directly by Newton-Leibniz formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\epsilon(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^1 \nabla f(x+ty) dt \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dy \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\epsilon(y) \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla f(x+ty))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \right) dy \\ &= \epsilon \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, an easy result is given. \square

3. GLOBAL LARGE SOLUTION TO 3D INCOMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH TIME OSCILLATION

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we firstly give a useful proposition which is indispensable in the theorem proving process. For simplicity, we introduce the following notation:

$$L_T^p X = L^p(0, T; X).$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let u be a solution of (1.1) and denote*

$$(3.1) \quad X_T = \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

then we have

$$(3.2) \quad X_T \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{N}} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} X_T^{\frac{5}{4}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{6}} X_T^{\frac{11}{12}}.$$

Proof. Multiply $\Delta^2 u$ as test function on (1.1) and integral over dx , we get

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = -b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (\Delta(u \otimes u)) \cdot \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla p \cdot \Delta^2 u dx.$$

Since u is divergence free, we obtain that the second term on right hand-side equals 0, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla p \cdot \Delta^2 u dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p \cdot \Delta^2 (\nabla \cdot u) dx = 0.$$

For the first term on the right hand-side, we compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (\Delta(u \otimes u)) \cdot \Delta u dx &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (\Delta(u^j u^i)) \Delta u^j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i (\Delta u^j u^i) \Delta u^j + \partial_i (u^j \Delta u^i) \Delta u^j + \partial_i (\nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^i) \Delta u^j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i (\Delta u^j) u^i \Delta u^j + \partial_i u^i \Delta u^j \Delta u^j + \partial_i (\Delta u^i) u^j \Delta u^j \\ &\quad + \partial_i u^j \Delta u^i \Delta u^j + \nabla(\partial_i u^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u^j + \nabla(\partial_i u^i) \cdot \nabla u^j \Delta u^j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying divergence free property again, one has

$$\sum_{i,j} \partial_i (\Delta u^i) u^j \Delta u^j = \sum_j \Delta \left(\sum_i \partial_i u^i \right) u^j \Delta u^j = 0.$$

We also note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (\Delta u^j) u^i \Delta u^j dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta u^j \partial_i u^i \Delta u^j dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta u^j u^i \partial_i (\Delta u^j) dx,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (\Delta u^j) u^i \Delta u^j dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta u^j \partial_i u^i \Delta u^j dx.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (\Delta(u \otimes u)) \cdot \Delta u dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i u^i \Delta u^j \Delta u^j + \partial_i u^j \Delta u^i \Delta u^j + \nabla(\partial_i u^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u^j + \nabla(\partial_i u^i) \cdot \nabla u^j \Delta u^j \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now we take integral over time about the equality (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta u(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(Nt) \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i u^i \Delta u^j \Delta u^j + \partial_i u^j \Delta u^i \Delta u^j + \nabla(\partial_i u^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u^j + \nabla(\partial_i u^i) \cdot \nabla u^j \Delta u^j \right) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Let $u_\epsilon(t, x) = (u(t) \star \rho_\epsilon)(x)$, where $\rho_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-3} \rho(x/\epsilon)$ is a modifier. Then we divide the right hand-side into two parts,

$$RHS = \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &:= - \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(Nt) \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i u^i (\Delta u^j \Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j \Delta u_\epsilon^j) + \partial_i u^j (\Delta u^i \Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\Delta u^j \nabla(\partial_i u^j) - \Delta u_\epsilon^j \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j)) \cdot \nabla u^i + (\Delta u^j \nabla(\partial_i u^i) - \Delta u_\epsilon^j \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^i)) \cdot \nabla u^j \right) dx dt, \\ \mathcal{J} &:= - \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(Nt) \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j \Delta u_\epsilon^j + \partial_i u^j \Delta u_\epsilon^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j + \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j + \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^i) \cdot \nabla u^j \Delta u_\epsilon^j \right) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the fact that $|f - f_\epsilon| \lesssim \epsilon \sup |\nabla f|$, we firstly estimate the first term of \mathcal{I} as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} b(Nt) \partial_i u^i (\Delta u^j \Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j \Delta u_\epsilon^j) dx dt \lesssim M \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i u^i| |\Delta u^j \Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j \Delta u_\epsilon^j| dx dt \\ & \lesssim M \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i u^i| (|\Delta u^j (\Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j)| + |\Delta u_\epsilon^j (\Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j)|) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

For the first sum on the right hand-side, applying Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.5 we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i u^i| |\Delta u^j (\Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j)| dx dt &\leq \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \|\partial_i u^i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\leq \epsilon \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \|\partial_i u^i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^3 u^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the second sum on the right hand-side, an argument similar to the first sum shows that

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i u^i| |\Delta u_\epsilon^j (\Delta u^j - \Delta u_\epsilon^j)| dx dt \leq \epsilon \sum_{i,j} \int_0^T \|\partial_i u^i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u_\epsilon^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^3 u^j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt.$$

Using similar estimate, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &\lesssim \epsilon M \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\lesssim \epsilon M \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{11}{4}} + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L_T^{\frac{11}{2}} L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{11}{4}} \right) \\ &\leq \epsilon M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} X_T^{\frac{11}{8}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we use (2.1).

For the term \mathcal{J} , without loss of generality, we only show the estimate of the third term of \mathcal{J} . We integral by parts over dt to get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(Nt) \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j dx dt = - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \partial_t [\nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j] dx dt - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j dx \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} \\ &=: \mathcal{J}^1 + \mathcal{J}^2. \end{aligned}$$

As for the term \mathcal{J}^1 , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^1 &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla(\partial_t u^i) \Delta u_\epsilon^j dx dt + \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla(\partial_i \partial_t u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla(u^i) \Delta(\partial_t u_\epsilon^j) dx dt =: \mathcal{J}_1^1 + \mathcal{J}_2^1 + \mathcal{J}_3^1. \end{aligned}$$

To treat the term \mathcal{J}_1^1 , we make use of the fact that $\partial_t u = \Delta u - \mathbb{P}(b(Nt)u \cdot \nabla u)$, where $\mathbb{P}f := f - \nabla \Delta^{-1}(\nabla \cdot f)$ representing Leray projection. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1^1 &\leq \frac{M}{N} \int_0^T \|\nabla(\Delta u^i - \mathbb{P}(b(Nt)u \cdot \partial_i u))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u_\epsilon^j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{M}{N} \int_0^T \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_\epsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and the property of Leray projection, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_1^1 \lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \int_0^T \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \int_0^T \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt.$$

For the rest term \mathcal{J}_2^1 and \mathcal{J}_3^1 , we simply note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2^1 + \mathcal{J}_3^1 &\lesssim \frac{M}{N} \int_0^T \|\nabla^2(\Delta u_\epsilon - \mathbb{P}(b(Nt)u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla u_\epsilon))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^2 u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{M}{N} \int_0^T \|u_\epsilon\|_{\dot{H}^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^2 u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}(u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla u_\epsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^2 u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \int_0^T \|u\|_{\dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \int_0^T \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we use Lemma 2.3. Therefore, combining (2.2) and (2.3) in Lemma 2.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^1 &\lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} \right) + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{5}{2}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} X_T^{\frac{5}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

here in the last line we use energy inequality,

$$(3.4) \quad \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^\infty \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

As for the term \mathcal{J}^2 ,

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla(\partial_i u_\epsilon^j) \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u_\epsilon^j dx \Big|_{t=0}^t = T.$$

According to Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}},$$

combining with Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3, it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u_{0,\epsilon}| |\nabla u_0| |\Delta u_{0,\epsilon}| dx &\leq \|\nabla^2 u_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla^2 u_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-2} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{7}{4}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3, \end{aligned}$$

and applying (2.7), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u_\epsilon(T)| |\Delta u_\epsilon(T)| |\nabla u(T)| dx &\leq \|\nabla^2 u_\epsilon(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta u_\epsilon(T)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-2} \|u(T)\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u(T)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u(T)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|u(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u(T)\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{9}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\mathcal{J}^2 \lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} \right).$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\|\Delta u(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \epsilon M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} X_T^{\frac{11}{8}} + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} X_T^{\frac{5}{4}} + \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 \right).$$

As a result, it suffices to gain the expression of X_T :

$$\begin{aligned} X_T &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \epsilon M \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} X_T^{\frac{11}{8}} + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} X_T^{\frac{5}{4}} + \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\epsilon = \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} X_T^{\frac{5}{4}} + \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(N \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} X_T^{\frac{11}{8}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, we gain that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$X_T \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{M}{\sqrt[3]{N}} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{4}} X_T^{\frac{9}{8}} + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} X_T^{\frac{5}{4}} + \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{6}} X_T^{\frac{11}{12}} \right).$$

□

Now, we are at the position to give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote a set

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{T} := \sup\{T : X_t \leq 2C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]\}.$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{T} > 0$. Now we claim that $\mathcal{T} = \infty$, otherwise taking $N_0 = 500M^3C^8 (\|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 1)^4$ such that $N \geq N_0$, we have

$$X_T \leq \frac{3C}{2} \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus, we can derive that the set $\mathcal{T} = +\infty$.

Consequently, for any $T > 0$,

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq 2C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

which means the equation (1.1) admits global smooth solution. □

4. GLOBAL LARGE SOLUTION TO 2D SUPERCRITICAL SURFACE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC EQUATIONS WITH TIME OSCILLATION

Proposition 4.1. *Let θ be a solution of (1.5) and denote*

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{X}_T = \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

then we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_T &\lesssim \left(\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{\alpha}{4+\alpha}}} \left(\|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} + \|\theta_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{4+\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4+\alpha}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8+2\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiply $\Delta^2 \theta$ as test function on (1.5) and integral over dx , we get

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|\Delta \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\Delta^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 = -b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta^2 \theta dx.$$

For the term on right hand-side, integral by parts, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &-b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta^2 \theta dx \\ &= -b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \left(\nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta \right) \Delta \theta dx \\ &= -b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla (\Delta \theta) \Delta \theta dx + b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta dx \\ &\quad + b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla (\partial_2 \theta) \cdot \nabla (\partial_1 \theta) \Delta \theta dx - b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla (\partial_1 \theta) \cdot \nabla (\partial_2 \theta) \Delta \theta dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, considering integral by parts, we compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & -b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla(\Delta\theta) \Delta\theta dx \\ & = b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \right) \Delta\theta \right) \Delta\theta dx \\ & = b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta\theta \nabla \cdot \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \right) \Delta\theta dx + b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla(\Delta\theta) \Delta\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla \cdot \nabla^\perp = 0$, we obtain that the first term equals 0, i.e.

$$-b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla(\Delta\theta) \Delta\theta dx = b(Nt) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla(\Delta\theta) \Delta\theta dx = 0.$$

Now we take integral over time about the equality (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta\theta(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_2\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_1\theta) \Delta\theta dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_1\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_2\theta) \Delta\theta dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta_\epsilon(t, x) = (\theta(t) \star \rho_\epsilon)(x)$, where $\rho_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-2} \rho(x/\epsilon)$ is a modifier. Then we divide the right hand-side into two parts,

$$RHS = \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{J},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I} & = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta - \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta_\epsilon \right) dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \left((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_2\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_1\theta) \Delta\theta - (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_2\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_1\theta_\epsilon) \Delta\theta_\epsilon \right) dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \left((-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_1\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_2\theta) \Delta\theta - (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_1\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_2\theta_\epsilon) \Delta\theta_\epsilon \right) dx dt, \\ \mathbb{J} & = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta_\epsilon dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_2\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_1\theta_\epsilon) \Delta\theta_\epsilon dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla(\partial_1\theta) \cdot \nabla(\partial_2\theta_\epsilon) \Delta\theta_\epsilon dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we only show the estimate of the first term of \mathbb{I} and \mathbb{J} , the estimates of the other terms are similar, we omit the details.

For the first term of \mathbb{I} , applying Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.3 and 2.5 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta - \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta_\epsilon \right) dx dt \\ & = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta - \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta \right) dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta - \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\theta \Delta\theta_\epsilon \right) dx dt \\ & \leq M \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\theta - \theta_\epsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta\theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta\theta - \Delta\theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \right) \\ & \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} M \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \\ & \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} M \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we use inequality (2.9) and energy inequality

$$\|\theta(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2_T L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + 2 \int_0^T \|\theta(s, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 ds \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

Using similar estimate, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{I} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} M \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{4}{3}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8}}.$$

For the first term of \mathbb{J} , we integral by parts over dt to get

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(Nt) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx dt &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \partial_t [\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon] dx dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} \\ &=: \mathbb{J}^1 + \mathbb{J}^2. \end{aligned}$$

As for the term \mathbb{J}^1 , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}^1 &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t \theta_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla (\partial_t \theta) \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta (\partial_t \theta_\epsilon) dx dt \\ &=: \mathbb{J}_1^1 + \mathbb{J}_2^1 + \mathbb{J}_3^1. \end{aligned}$$

To treat the term \mathbb{J}_1^1 , we make use of the fact that $\partial_t \theta = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta - b(Nt) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \cdot \nabla \theta$, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}_1^1 &= -\frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \right) \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) b(Nt) \nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta_\epsilon \right) \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx dt \\ &=: \mathbb{J}_{1,1}^1 + \mathbb{J}_{1,2}^1. \end{aligned}$$

According to Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.3 and (2.10), we yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}_{1,1}^1 &\leq \frac{M}{N} \int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \int_0^T \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T, \end{aligned}$$

with the application of (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}_{1,2}^1 &\leq \frac{M^2}{N} \int_0^T \|\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta_\epsilon \right)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{M^2}{N} \int_0^T \left(\|\nabla^2 \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla^2 \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right) \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{M^2}{N\epsilon^2} \int_0^T \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{M^2}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, applying the same method, it is easy to check

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}_2^1 &\lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \frac{M^2}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}}, \\ \mathbb{J}_3^1 &\lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \frac{M^2}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

we omit the details here.

Hence, we have

$$\mathbb{J}^1 \lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \frac{M^2}{N\epsilon^2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}}.$$

As for the term \mathbb{J}^2 ,

$$\mathbb{J}^2 = -\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t b(N\tau) d\tau \right) \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \theta \Delta \theta_\epsilon dx \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T}.$$

According to Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation,

$$\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

combining with Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3, it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_{0,\epsilon}| |\nabla \theta| |\Delta \theta_{0,\epsilon}| dx &\leq \|\nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta \theta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3, \end{aligned}$$

and applying (2.13), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon(T)| |\nabla \theta(T)| |\Delta \theta_\epsilon(T)| dx &\leq \|\nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_\epsilon(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta(T)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Delta \theta_\epsilon(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla \theta(T)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \|\theta(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \left(\|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{8-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta(T)\|_{\dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{8-\alpha}{4}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\mathbb{J}^2 \lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} \right).$$

Using similar estimate, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{J} \lesssim \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \right).$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta \theta(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} M \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8}} + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, it suffices to gain the expression of \tilde{X}_T :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_T &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\Delta \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} M \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8}} + \frac{M}{N\epsilon^2} \left(\|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\epsilon = \left(\|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \right)^{\frac{2}{4+\alpha}} \left(N \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8}} \right)^{-\frac{2}{4+\alpha}}$,

we gain that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_T &\leq C \left(\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{M}{N^{\frac{\alpha}{4+\alpha}}} \left(\|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tilde{X}_T + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{6-\alpha}{4}} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{8-\alpha}{8}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{4+\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{\alpha}{4+\alpha}} \tilde{X}_T^{\frac{12-\alpha}{8+\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we are at the position to give a proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Applying the same proof method as Theorem 1.1, we can easily arrive at the following results: for any $T > 0$,

$$\|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L_T^2 \dot{H}^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq 2C\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

which means the equation (1.5) admits global smooth solution. \square

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to Professor Quoc Hung Nguyen, who introduced this project to us and patiently guided, supported, and encouraged us during this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, “Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equation”, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Vol.343.
- [2] Bulut, Aynur, and Hongjie Dong, “Global well-posedness for supercritical SQG with perturbations of radially symmetric data”, arxiv preprint. arxiv:2402.19439 (2024).
- [3] M. Cannone, Y. Meyer, and F. Planchon, “Solutions auto-similaires des équations de Navier-Stokes”, exposé VIII in *Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles*, 1993–1994, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1994.
- [4] Charles L. Fefferman, <http://www.claymath.org/millennium/Navier-StokesEquations/>.
- [5] J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, “On the global wellposedness of the 3-D Navier–Stokes equations with large initial data”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 39:4 (2006), 679–698.
- [6] J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, “Wellposedness and stability results for the Navier–Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3 ”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 26:2 (2009), 599–624.
- [7] J.-Y. Chemin and I. Gallagher, “Large, global solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations, slowly varying in one direction”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362:6 (2010), 2859–2873.
- [8] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, “On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier–Stokes equations”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 272:2 (2007), 529–566.
- [9] P. Constantin, I. Mihaela and Q. H. Nguyen, “Global regularity for critical SQG in bounded domains.” arXiv preprint. arXiv:2312.12265 (2023).
- [10] P. Constantin, J. Wu, “Behavior of solutions of 2D quasi-geostrophic equations”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 30 (1999), 937–948.
- [11] H. Fujita and T. Kato, “On the Navier–Stokes initial value problem. I”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 16 (1964), 269–315.
- [12] T. Kato, “Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions”, *Math. Z.* 187:4 (1984), 471–480.
- [13] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov and A. Volberg. “Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation”, *Invent. Math.*, 167(3): 445–453, 2007.
- [14] H. Koch and D. Tataru, “Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations”, *Adv. Math.* 157:1 (2001), 22–35.
- [15] O. Ladyzhenskaya, “The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow”, *Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 2 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris (1969) xviii+224 pp.
- [16] Zhen Lei, Fanghua Lin and Yi Zhou. “Structure of helicity and global solutions of incompressible Navier–Stokes equation,” *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 218 (2015): 1417–1430.
- [17] J. Leray, “Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace”, *Acta Math.* 63(1934), 193–248.
- [18] Yanlin Liu and Ping Zhang. “On the global stability of large Fourier mode for 3-D Navier–Stokes equations,” *Advances in Mathematics.* 438 (2024): 109475.
- [19] A. Mahalov, E. Titi and S. Leibovich, “Invariant helical subspaces for the Navier–Stokes equations”. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 112 (1990), 193–222.
- [20] Q. H. Nguyen, P. T. Nguyen and B. Q. Tang, “Energy conservation for inhomogeneous incompressible and compressible Euler equations”, *Journal of Differential Equations.* 269(9) (2020):7171–7210.
- [21] Q. H. Nguyen, Y. Sire and L. X. Truong, “Hölder continuity of solutions for a class of drift-diffusion equations”, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst.* Vol.43, No. 3-4. (2023):1657–1685. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2022119>.
- [22] G. Ponce, R. Racke, T. Sideris and E. Titi, “Global stability of large solutions to the 3D Navier–Stokes equations”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 159 (1994), 329–341.
- [23] S. Resnick, “Dynamical problems in nonlinear advective partial differential equations”, *Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago*, 1995.
- [24] M. R. Ukhovskii and V. I. Iudovich, “Axially symmetric flows of ideal and viscous fluids filling the whole space”, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.* 32 (1968), 52–61.

FUDAN UNIVERSITY, 220 HANDAN ROAD, YANGPU, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA.

Email address: yrxu20@fudan.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 100081, CHINA.

Email address: lihaina2000@163.com