Minimization Principle for Analytical Solution of Turbulent Flow in Channel

Alex Fedoseyev email: af@ultraquantum.com

Ultra Quantum Inc., Huntsville, Alabama, USA April 15, 2024

Abstract

The analytical solution for turbulent flow in channel presented in Fedoseyev (2023), described the mean turbulent flow velocity as a superposition of the laminar (parabolic) and turbulent (superexponential) solutions. In this study, the coefficients of superposition are proposed to obtain through the minimization principle, the principle of minimum viscous dissipation. The obtained analytical solutions agree well with the experimental data for turbulent flow.

1 Introduction

An approximate analytical solution for turbulent flow in the channel was obtained in Fedoseyev (2023) by solving the Generalized Hydrodynamic Equations (GHE) proposed by Alexeev (1994). The solution for turbulent flow in a channel presented a mean turbulent flow velocity U_{GHE} as a superposition of the laminar (parabolic) U_L and turbulent (superexponential) U_T solutions,

$$U_{GHE} = \gamma U_T + (1 - \gamma) U_L,\tag{1}$$

where the coefficients γ and $(1 - \gamma)$ were introduced. The expressions for U_T and U_L were explicitly provided giving

$$U_{GHE} = U_0 \left[\gamma \left(1 - e^{1 - e^{y/\delta}} \right) + (1 - \gamma) 4y(L - y)/L^2 \right]$$
(2)

in 2D channel, where x is the coordinate along a channel, y is the transversal coordinate, L is the width of a channel with a centerline velocity U_0 . All parameters are nondimensional. The parameters δ is

$$\delta = \sqrt{\tau^* \nu} / L_0, \tag{3}$$

where τ^* is the relaxation time, or timescale, a material property for particular liquid or gas used in the experiments, ν is the kinematic viscosity, and L_0 is the hydrodynamic scale. The nondimensional τ , a timescale coefficient for the fluctuation terms in GHE, is expressed as

$$\tau = \tau^* L_0^{-1} U_0 = \delta^2 R e, \tag{4}$$

where $Re = U_0 L_0 / \nu$ denotes the Reynolds number. The analytical solution to Eq. (2) can also be used for the turbulent flow in circular pipe, if $\delta \ll 1$.

As an example, the analytical solution U_{GHE} (red line) for the experiments of Wei (1989) is shown in Figure 1 in (U^+, y^+) coordinates. The experimental velocity is shown as points for four Reynolds numbers. The parameter $y^+ = yu_\tau/v$ where u_τ is so called friction velocity, y is the absolute distance from the wall, and v is the kinematic viscosity. One can interpret y^+ as a local Reynolds number. The friction velocity u_τ is defined as

$$u_{\tau} = \sqrt{\tau_w/\rho},\tag{5}$$



Figure 1: Mean velocity profiles in turbulent boundary layer from Wei (1989) experiment (distilled water), nondimensionalized on inner variables, for the four Reynolds numbers: 2970 (circles); 14914 (squares); 22776 (red dots) and 39582 (black dots). The red line is an analytical GHE solution.

where wall shear stress τ_w , $\tau_w = \rho v \frac{dU}{dy}$ at y=0, and the dimensionless velocity is given by $U^+ = u/u_{\tau}$. The Figure 1 demonstrates that the superposition U_{GHE} provides an excellent fit to the experimental mean velocity profile for γ =0.65 and δ =0.052, Fedoseyev (2023). As to parameter γ in Eq.(1), the method proposed in Fedoseyev (2023) to obtain γ from the momentum equation was approximate. In this study, a different approach is proposed, to obtain the parameter γ using the minimum dissipation principle for viscous flow.

The contents of the paper is the following. Section 2 presents the GHE and its simplified form for turbulent flow in channel to which the minimization principle to be applied. Section 3 shows a general analytical solution of the GHE, and Section 4 (i) formulates a minimization principle, that was chosen from several candidates, (ii) applies the principle to several problems, and (iii) compares the obtained analytical solution with the experimental data for turbulent flows. Section 5 provides discussion of the obtained results, which is summarized by the Conclusions.

2 Governing Equation

To proceed with the minimization principle, we have to present the governing equation used, the Generalized Hydrodynamic Equations (GHE). The GHE are obtained from Generalized Boltzmann Transport Equation, Alexeev (2004), by multiplying the latter by the standard collision invariants (mass, momentum, and energy), and integrating the result in the velocity space. The particles of finite size are considered. The obtained equations below are valid for incompressible viscous flow, and have the following non-dimensional form, Fedoseyev (2012):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}\nabla)\mathbf{V} - Re^{-1}\nabla^{2}\mathbf{V} + \nabla \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{F} = \tau \left\{ 2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \mathbf{p}) + \nabla^{2}(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{V}) + \nabla(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{p}\mathbf{V})) \right\},\tag{6}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \tau \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} \nabla) \mathbf{V} + \nabla^2 \mathbf{p} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \right\},\tag{7}$$

where **V** and *p* are nondimensional velocity and pressure respectively, $Re = U_0L_0/\nu$ - the Reynolds number, U_0 - velocity scale, L_0 - hydrodynamic length scale, ν - kinematic viscosity, **F** is nondimensional body force and nondimensional timescale $\tau = \tau^* L_0^{-1} U_0$. Terms containing τ are called the fluctuations (temporal and spatial) by Alexeev (1994). One can see the equations become the Navier-Stokes equations if $\tau = 0$.

The following assumptions were made by deriving Eq. (6, 7):

- [(i) τ is assumed to be constant.
- [(i) The nonlinear terms of the third order in the fluctuations, and the terms of order τ/Re , are neglected. The focus is on large *Re* numbers.
- [(i) Assumed slow flow variation, so second derivatives in time are neglected.

Additional boundary condition was set for pressure on walls:

$$(\nabla \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{F}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \tag{8}$$

where **n** is a wall normal.

The GHE is not a turbulence model, and no additional equations are introduced. The solution time of the GHE on a computer is the same as that of the Navier-Stokes equations. By setting $\tau = 0$ in the GHE, one actually solves the Navier-Stokes equations.

2.1 GHE for 2D Stationary Incompressible Flow

The case of 2D incompressible fluid flow in channel is considered with the flow direction in x. For the stationary analytical solution in Fedoseyev (2023), GHE (6), (7) were simplified by: (a) dropping all temporal derivatives, (b) dropping all the terms (with coefficient τ) in the momentum equations, (c) the nonlinear terms were neglected in the fluctuations, (d) all the derivatives in x were neglected, except for the pressure gradient p_x =const, so the Laplacian of pressure was $\nabla^2 p = p_{yy}$.

The resulting continuity equation of GHE model is as follows:

$$v_y = \tau p_{yy} \tag{9}$$

while the momentum equations are :

$$v u_y + p_x = Re^{-1}u_{yy}$$
 (10)

$$v v_{y} + p_{y} = Re^{-1}v_{yy} \tag{11}$$

where *Re* is Reynolds number, τ is given by Eq.(4). The boundary conditions are as follows: u = 0, v = 0 and the normal derivative of pressure $p_n = 0$ at the wall y = 0; $u = U_0$, and v = 0, $\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0$ (the symmetry conditions) at y = L/2. A symmetry about the centerline y = L/2 is assumed, and the problem is solved in half of the domain.

3 General Analytical Solution of GHE for Turbulent Channel Flow

It was shown in Fedoseyev (2023) that the stationary channel flow problem Eq. (9),(10),(11) has two solutions. The first is the parabolic velocity u(y) for laminar flow profile :

$$U_L = 4U_0 y(L - y)/L^2,$$
(12)

where $U_0 = -\frac{1}{8}Re \ p_x L^2$ and v = 0 everywhere. This solution is also a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, as $\nabla^2 p = 0$ in Eq.(9).

However, equations (9),(10), and (11) have a second solution u(y), which was called a turbulent solution. It was obtained analytically by Fedoseyev (2023), and it is a super exponential function:

$$U_T = U_0 \left(1 - e^{1 - e^{y/\delta}} \right),$$
(13)





Figure 2: Examples of laminar and turbulent solutions, that is U_L , Eq.(12) (parabolic solution, green line), and U_T , Eq.(13) (super exponential solution, blue line, $\delta = 0.060$) and their superposition U_{GHE} for different γ . It is interesting to note that U_T for $\delta = 0.280$ (red dashed line) coincides well with the parabolic solution.

and $v(y) = \frac{1}{\delta Re} (1 - e^{y/\delta})$, where the parameter δ is defined by Eq.(3) above. The Navier-Stokes equations do not have such a solution.

Figure 2 shows examples of both U_L (Eq.(12), parabolic, green line) and U_T (Eq.(13), super exponential, blue line) solutions for laminar and turbulent flows respectively, and U_{GHE} solutions for $\gamma = 0.6$ (red) and $\gamma = 1.2$ (pink). It is interesting to note that U_T for $\delta = 0.280$ (red dashed line) coincides well with the parabolic solution U_L (green).

The general solution for turbulent flow is proposed as a linear superposition of laminar and turbulent solutions, as shown in Eq.(2):

$$U_{GHE} = U_0 \left[\gamma \left(1 - e^{1 - e^{y/\delta}} \right) + (1 - \gamma) 4y (L - y) / L^2 \right].$$

The governing equations are nonlinear, and the linear combination above need to be justified. The first term in brackets, γU_T , grows superexponentially in the boundary layer, whereas the second term containing $(1-\gamma)U_L$ is nearly zero, Figure 3. Outside the boundary layer, the first term is constant and the second term starts to grow.

Therefore U_{GHE} becomes a function that is approximated by γU_T in the boundary layer and by $\gamma \cdot \text{const} + (1 - \gamma)U_L$ outside the boundary layer. As in Eq. (10), (11) and (9) the function $u = U_{GHE}$ enters only as a derivative in y (all derivatives in x are zero), and the constant disappears. As a result, the derivatives of u are approximated by the derivatives of γU_T in the boundary layer and by the derivatives of $(1 - \gamma)U_L$ outside the boundary layer, and the proposed linear superposition of solutions is valid.

The minimization principle will be applied to the analytical solution U_{GHE} for turbulent flow.

4 Minimization Principles for Viscous Fluid Dynamics

Several papers provided minimization principles for viscous fluid flow: principles of minimum pressure gradient (PMPG), Taha (2023), minimum kinetic energy dissipation, Lyu'lka (2001), the principle of minimal viscous dissipation, Ruangkriengsin (2022), and Helmholtz-Korteweg minimization principle, Borisov (1998), confirming their ideas by the respective examples. Lyu'lka (2001) considered the case of cylindrical pipe with obstacles inside, Taha (2023) examples included the unsteady laminar flow in a channel and the flow from harmonically oscillating plate, Ruangkriengsin (2022) considered the pressure driven Stokes flow in channel of different cross-sections to confirm the proposed principles. Talon (2021) considered the minimization of dissipation as a general principle in physics, and used it for the flow of inelastic non-Newtonian fluids in macroscopic heterogeneous porous medium. Borisov (1998) stated that the Helmholtz-Korteweg minimization principle is widely known principle of viscous incompressible fluid mechanics, analyzed the kinetic energy dissipation functional for internal flows, and found that the stationary point corresponds to the Stokes equations.



Figure 3: Velocity profiles for turbulent flow in channel: experimental data (dots) by Wei (1989), analytical solution U_{GHE} (red line) and its constituents, the turbulent solution γU_T and the laminar solution $(1 - \gamma)U_L$; log law by von Karman $U^+ = 1/k \log y^+ + B$, k = 0.41, B = 5.2 (log law line), and linear law $U^+ = y^+$ (cyan line).

The principle of minimal viscous dissipation was selected in this study. The dissipation function of a Newtonian fluid with viscosity μ in 2D channel is

$$E = 2\mu \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V})^2 \right] + \mu \left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right]^2, \tag{14}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \tag{15}$$

where the U and V are the components of the dimensional velocity vector, \mathbf{V} is the velocity vector, and x, y are streamwise and transversal coordinates.

In the case of a stationary flow in channel all derivatives with respect to x are zero, and the term $\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}$ is small and can be neglected. Therefore, the remaining term for dissipation is the last term in Eq.(14), and in our notations, it is as follows:

$$\varepsilon(y) = \left(\frac{u_y(y)}{u_y(0)}\right)^2,\tag{16}$$

where the term is made nondimensional using $u_{y}(0)$, the velocity derivative on the wall, in the denominator.

4.1 Obtaining parameter γ by minimization principle

Parameter γ is obtained using the principle of minimal total viscous dissipation. To obtain the total viscous dissipation, one needs to identify the volume to integrate Eq.(16). The chosen volume is formed by a channel cross-section line, channel walls, and the line where cross-section line will be in a unit of time, at a distance of the average velocity of the fluid. Therefore, the integral of Eq.(16) across the channel is multiplied by the distance (average velocity, an integral of the velocity divided by *L*). Total energy dissipation per unit time is expressed as:

$$\varepsilon_T = \frac{1}{u_y(0)^2} \int_0^L u_y^2 dy \cdot \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L u \, dy,$$
(17)



Figure 4: (a) Total viscous dissipation for the Wei (1989) experiment with Re = 39582 versus γ , where γ has an extended range [0,2]. The dissipation has a minimum at $\gamma = 0.70$. Laminar flow ($\gamma = 0$) exhibits significantly larger dissipation than turbulent flow. (b) Total viscous dissipation for Van Doorne (2007) experiment in circular pipe, Re = 7200, the minimum is at $\gamma = 0.68$.

where $u(y) = U_{GHE}$ that depend on γ , and is given by Eq. (2). A similar equation was derived in Horne (1986) (p.6, Eq.(15)).

The dependence of total viscous dissipation on γ calculated for the Wei (1989) experiment is shown in Figure 4(a) at $\delta = 0.052$. The parameter δ is related to the material properties τ^* , ν for distilled water as working fluid, Eq.(3).

The dissipation has minimum at $\gamma = 0.70$. This is close to the experimental fit $\gamma = 0.65$ in Fedoseyev (2023). The dissipation plot demonstrates that the laminar flow ($\gamma = 0$) has significantly larger dissipation than the turbulent flow ($\gamma > 0$), and the minimum is almost flat in a range of $\gamma = [0.3, 1.3]$.

4.2 Comparison with experiments

Figure 4(b) shows γ calculated for the Van Doorne (2007) experiment, with Re = 7200 and $\delta = 0.047$. The minimum of dissipation is at $\gamma = 0.68$, while the best experimental fit is $\gamma = 0.65$ in Fedoseyev (2023).

Figure 5(a) shows the experimental data digitized from Van Doorne (2007), along with several plots: (i) laminar (parabolic) flow profile (green line), (ii) turbulent (superexponential) solution (blue line) and (iii) GHE analytical solution (red line). The left part of the GHE plot is for $\gamma = 0.68$ (minimal dissipation), and the right part is for $\gamma = 0.65$ from Fedoseyev (2023). The figure demonstrates that neither the laminar nor turbulent solution fit the data, but the superposition U_{GHE} provides a good comparison to the experimental data.

Figure 5(b) shows the streamwise velocity in a turbulent channel experiment by Pasch (2023), with Re = 14000, along with the analytical solution U_{GHE} with coefficient $\gamma = 0.62$ obtained by the minimum of viscous dissipation principle. Here, $\delta = 0.033$, the working fluid is air.

5 Discussion

It was shown that the parameter γ can be obtained using the minimization principle. Comparison with several experiments have been provided demonstrating good agreement of the analytical solution with the experimental data. The GHE solution for turbulent flows depends on two similarity parameters: the Reynolds number Re, and the parameter $\delta = \sqrt{\tau^* v}/L_0$, which is related to the material properties τ^* and v.



Figure 5: (a) A comparison of the experimental data for the streamwise velocity $U = u/U_0$ versus radius r/D (*D*-diameter) by Van Doorne (2007), (blue dots) at Re = 7200, with the GHE solution (red line). The right part of the U_{GHE} plot is for $\gamma = 0.65$ from Fedoseyev (2023), and the left part is for $\gamma = 0.68$, obtained from the minimum viscous dissipation principle. Both GHE analytical solutions fit the experimental velocity profile well. Also shown are the laminar solution (green line) and the turbulent solution (blue line). (b) Comparison of the streamwise velocity in the turbulent channel experiment by Pasch (2023), Re = 14000, (dots) and the analytical solution U_{GHE} with coefficient $\gamma = 0.62$ (red line) found by the minimization principle.

5.1 Similarity Parameter δ

The similarity parameter δ does not depend on the Reynolds number as shown in the case of Wei & Willmarth experiment, Wei (1989), where the experimental data for different Reynolds numbers are falling into the same curve (Figure 3, red line U_{GHE}) defined by the parameter δ . Knowing δ one can find the timescale coefficient τ^* , which is the material property.

5.2 Timescale Coefficient τ

Analyzing several experiments and simulations, Wei (1989), Koseff (1984), Van Doorne (2007), and Fedoseyev (2010), Fedoseyev (2012), Fedoseyev (2023) we have found that the dimensional timescale coefficient $\tau^* = \delta^2 L_0^2 / \nu$ for distilled water is $\tau^* = 0.40 \pm 0.05$ s, and for tap water is $\tau^* \approx 0.80$ s. There is no estimation of τ^* for air, as the viscosity, temperature and pressure were not provided in Pasch (2023).

5.3 Turbulent Boundary Layer

One can see that the analytical solution of GHE presents well the turbulent boundary layer in all the regions. The linear law is in the range $0 < y^+ < 5$, where the parabolic profile $(1 - \gamma)U_L$ (laminar solution) is very small, and the analytical solution for small y/δ becomes

$$U_{GHE} = \gamma U_T = U_0 \gamma \left(1 - e^{1 - e^{y/\delta}} \right) = U_0 \gamma y/\delta,$$

that is a linear law.

The near-middle (buffer) boundary layer region is the range $5 < y^+ < 30$, a strictly nonlinear region, and the analytical solution fits the experiment quite satisfactory. In the fad-middle (inner) boundary layer region, the range of $30 < y^+ < 200$, the superexponential part of γU_T becomes nearly constant ≈ 12.5 (Figure 3, blue line), and the GHE analytical solution (red line) changes due to the growth of the laminar part of solution $(1 - \gamma)U_L$ (Figure 3, cyan line). In this region the GHE solution is $U_{GHE} = (12.5 + (1 - \gamma)U_L)$ and fits well to logarithmic von Karman law, and the

experimental data. The outer (non-linear, essentially inviscid) region starts at $y^+ > 200$ and continues to the center line, where the analytical solution fit the experiment well too.

Conclusions

The method for calculating the coefficients of an approximate analytical solution for turbulent flow in a channel has been presented. These coefficients are obtained using the principle of minimum of viscous dissipation. The analytical solution was compared with experimental data from several turbulent flow experiments, demonstrating a good agreement with the mean experimental velocity data. The obtained analytical solution successfully captured the correct velocity behavior across the entire turbulent boundary layer and into the external flow, spanning from the inner viscous sublayer to the outer layer of the boundary layer.

References

- ALEXEEV B.V. 1994 The generalized Boltzmann equation, generalized hydrodynamic equations and their applications. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, A. 349 (1994), 417-443.
- ALEXEEV B.V. 2004 Generalized Boltzmann Physical Kinetics, Elsevier.
- BORISOV V.M., LYUL'KA V.A.1998 Some viscous flows that implement the principle of minimum dissipation of kinetic energy. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys., v.39, iss.12,pp.2015-2019
- VAN DOORNE C.W.H., WESTERWEEL J. 2007 Measurement of laminar, transitional and turbulent pipe flow using Stereoscopic-PIV, February 2007, Experiments in Fluids 42(2), DOI: 10.1007/s00348-006-0235-5.
- FEDOSEYEV A. 2023 Approximate Analytical Solution for Turbulent Flow in Channel, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2675 012011.
- FEDOSEYEV A.I., ALEXEEV, B.V. 2010 Simulation of viscous flows with boundary layers within multiscale model using generalized hydrodynamics equations, Procedia Computer Science, 1. 665-672.
- FEDOSEYEV A., ALEXEEV B.V. 2012 Generalized hydrodynamic equationsfor viscous flows-simulation versus experimental data, in AMiTaNS-12, American Institute of Physics AIP CP 1487, pp.241-247.
- HORNE C.F., KARAMCHETI K. 1986 Vortical Dissipation in Two-Dimensional Shear Flows, NASA Technical Memorandum 89408, Dec. 1986.
- KOSEFF R., STREET R. L. 1984 The Lid-Driven Cavity Flow: A Synthesis of Qualitative and Quantitative ObservationsTrans, ASME J. Fluids Eng. 106, 390-398.
- LYUL'KA V.A. 2001 On the Principle of Minimum Kinetic Energy Dissipation in the Nonlinear Dynamics of Viscous Fluid, Technical Physics, Vol. 46, No. 12, 2001, pp. 1501-1503.
- PASCH S., LEISTER R., GATTI D. ET AL. 2023 Measurements in a Turbulent Channel Flow by Means of an LDV Profile Sensor, Flow, Turbulence and Combustion, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10494-023-00469-4.
- RUANGKRIENGSIN T., ROPER M. 2022 Approximating pressure-driven Stokes flow using the principle of minimal excess dissipation, arXiv:2204.07240v [physics.flu-dyn]
- TAHA H., GONZALEZ C., SHORBAGY M. 2023 A minimization principle for incompressible fluid mechanics, Phys.Fluids 35, 127110.
- TALON L. 2021 Minimum principle for the flow of inelastic non-Newtonian fluids in macroscopic heterogeneous porous media, Phys. Rev. Fluids 7, L042101.
- WEI T., WILLMARTH W.W. 1989 Reynolds-number effects on the structure of a turbulent channel flow, J. Fluid Mech., vol 204, pp. 57-95.