


HGRN2: Gated Linear RNNs with State Expansion

¹Zhen Qin[†], ²Songlin Yang[†], ³Weixuan Sun, ³Xuyang Shen, ³Dong Li, ³Weigao Sun,
³Yiran Zhong^{*}
¹TapTap ²MIT CSAIL ³OpenNLPLab, Shanghai AI Lab

 <https://github.com/OpenNLPLab/HGRN2>

Abstract

Hierarchically gated linear RNN (HGRN, Qin et al. 2023c) has demonstrated competitive training speed and performance in language modeling while offering efficient inference. However, the recurrent state size of HGRN remains relatively small, limiting its expressiveness. To address this issue, we introduce a simple outer product-based state expansion mechanism, which significantly enlarges the recurrent state size without introducing any additional parameters. This enhancement also provides a linear attention interpretation for HGRN2, enabling hardware-efficient training. Our extensive experiments verify the advantage of HGRN2 over HGRN consistently across different settings and competitive with other recurrent models.

1 Introduction

Large language models (LLMs) have achieved significant empirical success in recent years. However, serving Transformer-based LLMs is costly due to the expensive KV cache management. Recurrent neural networks (RNNs), on the other hand, offer linear inference complexity with constant state size, making them ideal for serving. Consequently, there is substantial interest in studying **parallelizable** linear recurrent models, such as linear RNNs (Peng et al., 2023; Orvieto et al., 2023; Qin et al., 2023c; De et al., 2024), linear attention (Sun et al., 2023; Qin et al., 2023b; Yang et al., 2023; 2024; Arora et al., 2024), and state space models (Gu et al., 2022a; Smith et al., 2023; Gu & Dao, 2023; Dao & Gu, 2024).

RNNs have a fixed recurrent state size to encode all historical information. Therefore, it is important for RNNs to (i) utilize the fixed-sized states effectively and (ii) increase the recurrent state size to enhance memory capacity. Recent improvements in linear RNNs follow this approach, incorporating techniques such as data-dependent decays and state expansion.

Data-dependent decays (also known as forget gates) are crucial for RNNs (van der Westhuizen & Lasenby, 2018), allowing them to selectively retain useful information while erasing irrelevant information. This enables the fixed-size recurrent state to store only important information more efficiently. HGRN (Qin et al., 2023c) first emphasized the importance of data-dependent decays for linear RNNs. Many recent linear recurrent models, such as Mamba (Gu & Dao, 2023), Gated Linear Attention (GLA, Yang et al. 2023), Griffin (De et al., 2024), and RWKV-6 (Peng et al., 2024), also employ data-dependent decays.

However, HGRN did not increase the recurrent state size, which is greatly restricted by limited memory capacity. This limitation prevents it from achieving LLaMa-like (Touvron et al., 2023a;b) language modeling performance, as noted in Qin et al. (2024). Recent state-of-the-art linear recurrent models, such as Mamba, GLA, and RWKV-6, have addressed this issue by employing state-expansion techniques. These techniques significantly increase the recurrent state size and thereby enhance memory capacity, which has been shown to be

^{*} Corresponding author. Email: zhongyiran@gmail.com. [†] Equal contributions.

crucial for language modeling performance and directly correlated with retrieval ability (Arora et al., 2024).

In this work, we propose HGRN2, which aims to increase the recurrent state size for HGRN while retaining both parameter and training efficiency. We first explore structured matrices to expand the state size directly in a parameter-efficient manner. Empirically, we found that this approach improves language modeling performance but still encounters training inefficiencies, which limit the scaling of the recurrent state size. Inspired by linear attention, we then explore using a non-parametric outer product-based state expansion mechanism. This approach allows for efficient scaling of the recurrent state size during training without introducing additional parameters. Due to the matrix multiply form of linear attention, we can leverage the hardware-efficient linear attention training algorithm described in Yang et al. (2023); Qin et al. (2024) for large-scale experiments. As a result, HGRN2 can be regarded as an improved parameterization of GLA.

We extensively evaluate HGRN2 across various tasks, demonstrating that it consistently outperforms HGRN1 in multiple domains. In language modeling, we show HGRN2 to be highly competitive compared to other subquadratic efficient models.

2 Background

2.1 Gated linear RNN

Given input $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$, where the sequence length is N and the model dimension is d , a minimalist gated linear recurrent layer (Martin & Cundy, 2018) transforms the input \mathbf{x} into hidden states $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ and the output $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$, as defined below:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_t &= \sigma(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b}_u), \\ \mathbf{i}_t &= \tau(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b}_v), \\ \mathbf{o}_t &= \sigma(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b}_w), \\ \mathbf{h}_t &= \mathbf{g}_t \odot \mathbf{h}_{t-1} + (1 - \mathbf{g}_t) \odot \mathbf{i}_t, \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{h}_t \odot \mathbf{o}_t, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where \odot denotes element-wise product; σ is the sigmoid function, and τ is a nonlinear activation function (we choose to use SiLU); \mathbf{i}_t is the input vector; \mathbf{g}_t and \mathbf{o}_t are the forget gate and output gate, respectively. The input gate is tied to the forget gate as $1 - \mathbf{g}_t$, a common approach used in many gated RNNs such as GRU (Chung et al., 2014).

2.2 HGRN (Qin et al., 2023c)

Compared to Eq. 1, HGRN makes two adjustments: (i) complex-valued recurrence and (ii) forget gates with monotonically increased lower bound values from bottom layers to upper layers.

For (i), similar to the findings in Gu & Dao (2023) and De et al. (2024), we empirically found that complex-valued recurrence is not necessary, as shown in Table 1. The reason why HGRN found it useful is due to state expansion: the complex-valued recurrent state is twice the size of that in the real-valued recurrent state. If we directly expand the real-valued recurrent state size from d to $2d$, the language modeling performance on the Wikitext-103 corpus is even better. Therefore, we only consider the real-valued recurrence thereafter.

For (ii), suppose the total number of layers is L . HGRN introduces a data-independent learnable matrix $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times d}$, where Γ_i represents the lowest values of the forget gate for the i -th layer at all time steps. HGRN argues that this lower bound should be monotonically increasing from bottom to top, encouraging the bottom layers to model short-term local dependencies and the upper layers to model long-term dependencies. To enforce this monotonicity, HGRN uses the cumulative softmax operator cumax (Shen et al., 2018):

$$\beta := \text{cumax}(\Gamma) = \text{cumsum}(\text{softmax}(\Gamma, \text{dim} = 0), \text{dim} = 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times d}, \quad \beta^i = [\beta]_i \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Table 1: **Comparison of real HGRN and complex HGRN.** We found that real HGRN with twice the state size performs better than complex HGRN in Wiki103 language modeling.

Method	State size	PPL(val)	PPL(test)	Params (M)
Complex HGRN1	$2d$	24.14	24.82	46.25
Real HGRN1	d	25.34	26.12	46.24
Real HGRN1	$2d$	24.04	24.64	45.46

To prevent the lower bound from reaching one in the highest layer, HGRN subtracts all β values by β^0 , making the lower bound for the first layer zero. After obtaining the lower bound values, the forget gate \mathbf{g}_t learns residuals instead, resulting in a new forget gate \mathbf{f}_t :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}_t^i &= \beta^i + (1 - \beta^i) \odot \mathbf{g}_t^i, \\ \mathbf{h}_t^i &= \mathbf{f}_t^i \odot \mathbf{h}_{t-1}^i + (1 - \mathbf{f}_t^i) \odot \mathbf{i}_t^i,\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where the superscript indicates the layer index. This additive lower bound approach has been shown to mitigate the issue of saturated gates (Gu et al., 2020).

3 Method

3.1 Explorations of state expansion methods

The goal of this work is to scale the size of the HGRN recurrent state from d to nd , where n is the state expansion ratio. However, if we use the original parameterization in Eq. 1, the matrices \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{V} , \mathbf{W} will have dimensions $d \times nd$, which becomes very parameter inefficient when n is large. Ideally, the number of parameters should be around d^2 , as in the original case for each projection. To achieve this, we first consider using structured matrices (e.g., low-rank matrices) to replace the dense projection matrix $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{nd}$, as described in Table 2.

Table 2: Parameter Efficient State Expansion (PESE) methods using Einstein Summation notation. **Blue** represents the input, **Black** represents data-independent weights, and **Red** represents the output. We list the Einstein Summation for low-rank (LR), group linear transformation (GLT), group linear transformation with interaction (GLTI), Khatri-Rao product (KRP), and Kronecker product (KP).

Method	Equation	Parameter #
Naive	$d, d \mathbf{nd} \rightarrow nd$	nd^2
LR	$d, d \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} nd \rightarrow nd$	$dr(n+1) \approx d^2$
GLT	$d = (n e) \rightarrow n e$ $n e, n e d \rightarrow n d$	d^2
GLTI	$d = (n e) \rightarrow n e$ $n e, n e d, n n \rightarrow nd$	$d^2 + n^2$
KRP	$d, n d \rightarrow nd$	nd
KP	$d, d d, n \rightarrow nd$	$d^2 + n$

After obtaining the expanded \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{i} , \mathbf{o} , we feed them into element-wise gated linear recurrent layers as in Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, resulting in the output vector $\mathbf{y}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. To project the expanded dimension back to the original dimension, we simply sum over the dimension corresponding to n .

The results are shown in Table 3. We found that state expansion generally improves performance, with the low-rank matrix performing the best among these candidates.

However, these methods face training inefficiency issues, as they require conducting element-wise linear recurrence in high dimensions (i.e., nd). Since these element-wise operations cannot leverage tensor cores (a fast matrix multiplication unit on GPUs), the dramatically increasing FLOPs and I/O costs significantly slow down training when n is large. We notice that this is similar to the case in Mamba¹, which requires a relatively small expansion ratio (i.e., $n = 16$) and a custom I/O-efficient CUDA implementation to achieve a reasonably fast running speed.

In the next subsection, we explore an alternative strategy that does not replace the dense projection matrices with structured ones but instead changes the element-wise gating operations in Eq.1 to other matrix/vector operations similar to those used in linear attention. This approach allows for more efficient training.

3.2 HGRN2




Figure 1: **Network Structure of HGRN2.** Each HGRN2 layer includes a token mixer layer, HGRU2, and a channel mixer, GLU. HGRU2 employs recurrent computation as described in Eq. 3, where \mathbf{i}_t is the input state, \mathbf{g}_t is the forget gate, \mathbf{o}_t is the output gate, and β^i is the lower bound for layer i .

The modification from HGRN1 to HGRN2 is simple yet effective. For the input gate, HGRN2 replaces the element-wise product with the outer product for state expansion. Consequently, $\mathbf{h}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, and HGRN2 first diagonalizes the forget gate vector and uses the matrix dot product to update the hidden state. For the output gate, HGRN2 replaces the element-wise product with matrix-vector multiplication to project the expanded state back to the original dimension. The recurrent equation of HGRN2 is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_t &= \mathbf{h}_{t-1} \cdot \text{Diag}\{\mathbf{f}_t\} + \mathbf{i}_t \otimes (1 - \mathbf{f}_t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{h}_t \cdot \mathbf{o}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where Diag denotes the diagonalization of vectors, \cdot represents the matrix dot product, and \otimes indicates the outer product.

¹Though Mamba has an attention mechanism (Ali et al., 2024) similar to that in linear attention, the attention computation cannot be expressed as a matrix multiplication like linear attention, and thus does not facilitate tensor core-based GPU acceleration, as well acknowledged in Mamba2 (Dao & Gu, 2024).

Table 3: **PESE Ablation.** Ablation studies on various parameter-efficient methods, as described in Table 2. Each model was trained on 10 billion tokens from the Pile dataset.

Method	n	PPL	Params (M)
Xfmr	-	5.16	380
Xfmr++	-	4.62	386
HGRN1	1	5.10	379
LR	4	4.76	385
	8	4.77	386
GLT	4	5.06	386
GLTI	4	4.83	386
KRP	4	5.08	386
KP	4	5.06	386
HGRN2	4	4.79	385
	8	4.73	385
	128	4.62	385




Figure 2: **Expand Ratio (Head Dimension) Ablation.** We tested the relationship between PPL (Perplexity) and the expand ratio on the Wikitext-103 (Merity et al., 2017) dataset (left) and a subset of the Pile (Gao et al., 2020) dataset (right).

Multihead Variant. The complexity of recurrence increases dramatically from $O(BNd)$ to $O(BNd^2)$ due to state expansion. To address this, we introduce a multihead variant of HGRN (similar to that in linear attention) such that the complexity is reduced to $O(BNd^2/H)$ for the number of heads H , effectively making the state size d^2/H , i.e., the expansion ratio $n = d_h = d/H$.² We conducted an ablation study on the expansion ratio (or head dimension) $n = \frac{d}{H}$, as shown in Figure 2. The results show that state expansion significantly improves language modeling performance. However, when the head dimension (i.e., state expansion ratio) exceeds 128, the performance gain diminishes. To balance computational cost and performance, we chose $d_h = 128$ for the main experiments.

Comparison to GLA. It is important to note that the recurrence form in HGRN2 is identical to that of GLA (Yang et al., 2023), except for the specific parameterization. We list the correspondences between the two parameterizations in Table 4. As shown, the output gate in HGRN2 corresponds to the query in GLA, while the output gate in GLA is omitted in HGRN2. The key vector in GLA corresponds to the input gate in HGRN2, which is tied to the forget gate, thereby saving parameters.

Table 4: The correspondence between HGRN2 and GLA is as follows.

HGRN2	GLA
\mathbf{o} (output gate)	\mathbf{q} (query vector)
$\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}$ (input gate)	\mathbf{k} (key vector)
\mathbf{i} (input vector)	\mathbf{v} (value vector)
\mathbf{f} (forget gate)	α (forget gate)
–	\mathbf{o} (output gate)

Hardware-Efficient Training. Due to its computational structure’s similarity to GLA, we can directly leverage their chunkwise algorithm and highly optimized kernels for hardware-efficient large-scale training. For more details, we refer readers to their paper.

Concluding Remarks. Although HGRN2 shares many similarities with GLA, we believe that HGRN2 offers a unique perspective distinct from linear attention, originating from the approach of gated linear RNNs. For instance, it may not be immediately clear from the perspective of linear attention why key vectors should be constrained within the range of (0, 1) or why the key vector and forget gate value should sum to one. However, these concepts become quite intuitive when starting from the gated linear RNN framework and exploring state expansion.

²See Bolya et al. (2022) for more detailed complexity analysis.

4 Experiments

4.1 MQAR

Setting. Multi-Query Associative Recall (MQAR) (Arora et al., 2023) is an enhanced version of the synthetic induction head dataset (Fu et al., 2023), designed to test the in-context associative recall ability of subquadratic models. Arora et al. (2023) found strong correlations between MQAR accuracy and language modeling performance. Our experimental setting strictly follows the original paper³. Our hyperparameter sweep included the following ranges: expansion ratio $\in \{64, 128\}$ and learning rate $\in \{1e-5, 5e-5, 1e-4, 5e-4, 1e-3, 5e-3, 1e-2\}$.

Result. As shown in Fig. 3, HGRN2 significantly outperforms HGRN1 across various model dimensions, demonstrating the benefits of state expansion in improving memory capacity and, consequently, in-context recall ability.




Figure 3: Results on MQAR, where the x-axis represents the model dimension and the y-axis represents accuracy. The task becomes more challenging as the sequence length increases. HGRN2 outperforms HGRN1 in all scenarios.

4.2 Language modeling

4.2.1 Wikitext-103

Setting. For the Wikitext-103 experiment, we followed the configuration of HGRN1 to validate the performance of 44M models against a wide range of subquadratic models: FLASH (Hua et al., 2022), 1+elu (Katharopoulos et al., 2020), Performer (Choromanski et al., 2021), cosFormer (Qin et al., 2022b), Syn(D), Syn(R) (Tay et al., 2021a), gMLP (Liu et al., 2021), S4 (Gu et al., 2022a), DSS (Gupta & Berant, 2022), RWKV-v4 (Peng et al., 2023), LRU (Orvieto et al., 2023), HGRN1 (Qin et al., 2023c), TNN (Qin et al., 2023a), and Mamba (Gu & Dao, 2023). All reported results are from our own runs under the same settings.

Result. Table 5 shows the results. HGRN2 clearly outperforms HGRN1 but slightly underperforms Mamba.

4.2.2 Slimpajama

We conducted language modeling experiments with 1.3B and 2.7B parameters on the Slimpajama dataset (Soboleva et al., 2023), using the FLASHLINEARATTENTION (Yang & Zhang, 2024) codebase for training.⁴ The results, shown in Table 6, demonstrate that

Table 5: Results on Wikitext-103.

Model	PPL (val)	PPL (test)	Params (M)
Transformer	24.40	24.78	44.65
FLASH	25.92	26.70	42.17
1+elu	27.44	28.05	44.65
Performer	62.50	63.16	44.65
cosFormer	26.53	27.06	44.65
Syn(D)	31.31	32.43	46.75
Syn(R)	33.68	34.78	44.65
gMLP	28.08	29.13	47.83
S4	38.34	39.66	45.69
DSS	39.39	41.07	45.73
GSS	29.61	30.74	43.84
RWKV-4	24.31	25.07	46.23
LRU	29.86	31.12	46.24
TNN	23.98	24.67	48.68
Mamba	22.58	23.19	44.39
HGRN1	24.14	24.82	46.25
HGRN2	23.10	23.73	44.66

³<https://github.com/HazyResearch/zoology>

⁴Model checkpoints are available at <https://huggingface.co/fla-hub>.

HGRN2 consistently outperforms other competitive linear recurrent models across three model scales. This suggests that HGRN2 provides a superior parameterization compared to GLA, as both models share an identical recurrent structure.

Table 6: Slimpajama language modeling results.

	Lamb.	Wiki. ppl _↓	ARC _e ppl _↓	ARC _c acc	Hella. acc _n	Lamb. acc _n	PIQA acc	Wino. acc	Avg acc
<i>1.3B parameters with 100B training tokens</i>									
Transformer++	15.3	17.1	54.1	27.1	49.3	47.0	70.3	54.9	50.5
Mamba	16.5	18.2	57.3	26.6	48.1	43.4	69.5	53.7	49.8
RetNet	15.4	17.3	57.4	27.9	50.3	44.6	71.7	51.8	50.6
GLA	15.4	17.6	55.4	27.7	49.0	46.4	69.9	54.0	50.4
HGRN2	11.8	16.9	58.1	28.1	51.8	49.4	71.4	52.3	51.9
<i>2.7B parameters with 100B training tokens</i>									
Transformer++	10.7	15.2	59.8	27.5	54.2	52.3	72.7	56.2	53.8
Mamba	13.6	15.9	60.7	29.8	53.9	46.4	72.8	53.9	52.9
RetNet	11.9	15.8	59.6	28.1	54.0	49.6	72.3	53.8	52.9
GLA	12.4	15.5	59.2	29.9	54.0	50.4	71.7	55.7	53.5
HGRN2	8.8	14.6	60.8	30.3	58.7	55.4	73.0	54.2	55.4

4.2.3 The Pile

We also conducted experiments on the Pile dataset. First, we trained 150M, 350M, and 1B HGRN1 and HGRN2 models for 100B tokens, and the results are shown in Table 7. We observe that HGRN2 consistently outperforms HGRN1.

Table 7: Comparison between HGRN1 and HGRN2 on Commonsense Reasoning Tasks.

Model	Bn Params	Bn Tokens	PIQA	Hella.	Wino.	ARC-e	ARC-c	OBQA	AVG
HGRN1	0.15	100	65.02	33.33	50.20	46.68	23.81	28.60	41.27
HGRN2	0.15	100	66.43	35.44	51.70	46.63	24.32	28.40	42.15
HGRN1	0.35	100	66.70	38.12	51.70	49.20	25.26	30.60	43.60
HGRN2	0.39	100	69.97	46.16	52.72	53.58	23.98	32.40	46.47
HGRN1	1	100	70.89	48.02	51.62	55.64	27.90	31.60	47.61
HGRN2	1	100	74.16	54.85	56.12	58.71	27.22	34.00	50.84

Next, we scaled the token horizon to 300B and trained strong baseline models, Mamba and LLaMA, under the same settings for comparison. We also compared them against several open-sourced language models, such as OPT (Zhang et al., 2022), Pythia (Biderman et al., 2023), BLOOM (Scao et al., 2022), and RWKV-4 (Peng et al., 2023). We found that HGRN2 performs competitively with Mamba, LLaMA, and other open-sourced LLMs.

To evaluate long-context abilities, we conducted tests on SCROLLs (Shaham et al., 2022) and found that HGRN2 exhibits better scaling behavior compared to Mamba, indicating stronger long-context capabilities, potentially due to its larger recurrent state size. However, we also observed that the 7B HGRN2 model is still not as strong as the LLaMA model, suggesting that the scaling behavior of linear models for long-context modeling remains an area for further study.

To test the retrieval ability of our trained 3B models, we ran the easy mode of the Needle in a Haystack Test. ⁵ LLaMA almost achieves perfect retrieval performance for evaluation

⁵In this mode (Shen, 2024; Shen et al., 2024), both the question and answer (QA pair) are embedded within a lengthy text, challenging the model to locate and respond to the query. This mode is particularly suitable for base models without instruction tuning. In contrast, the standard mode only places the answer within the long context, requiring the model to understand the question and find the relevant answer.

Table 8: Comparison between HGRN2 and other open-sourced language models, alongside strong baseline models (LLaMA and Mamba re-trained under the same settings), on Commonsense Reasoning Tasks. [†] indicates our own trained model.

Model	Bn Params	Bn Token	PIQA	Hella.	Wino.	ARC-e	ARC-c	OBQA	AVG
OPT	0.35	300	64.58	36.69	52.49	44.02	23.89	28.20	41.65
Pythia	0.40	300	67.08	40.52	53.59	51.81	24.15	29.40	44.43
BLOOM	0.56	350	64.09	46.97	52.80	47.35	29.38	28.20	42.23
RWKV-4	0.43	-	67.52	39.00	51.14	52.86	25.17	32.40	45.00
Llama [†]	0.4	350	67.19	38.75	52.19	49.24	23.72	30.00	43.51
Mamba [†]	0.4	300	67.90	40.74	52.72	53.07	24.74	31.20	45.06
HGRN2 [†]	0.4	300	67.74	40.32	51.78	54.21	24.83	31.20	45.01
<hr/>									
GPT-Neo	1.3	300	71.11	48.93	54.93	56.19	25.85	33.60	48.44
OPT	1.3	300	71.71	53.70	59.35	57.24	29.69	33.20	50.82
Pythia	1.4	300	70.67	47.18	53.51	56.99	26.88	31.40	47.77
BLOOM	1.3	350	71.42	49.83	51.47	55.63	29.40	44.50	47.27
RWKV-4	1.5	-	72.36	52.48	54.62	60.48	29.44	34.00	50.56
Llama [†]	1.0	300	69.97	47.04	52.72	57.07	26.18	32.60	47.93
Mamba [†]	1.0	300	71.27	50.15	56.35	58.71	29.27	31.20	49.45
HGRN2 [†]	1.0	300	71.65	49.52	54.38	60.27	28.07	33.40	49.55
<hr/>									
OPT	2.7	300	73.83	60.60	61.01	60.77	31.31	35.20	53.79
Pythia	2.8	300	74.10	59.31	59.91	64.14	33.02	35.60	54.35
BLOOM	3.0	350	70.57	54.53	58.49	59.43	30.38	32.20	50.77
RWKV-4	3.0	-	72.42	58.75	57.30	62.92	35.15	36.20	53.79
Llama [†]	3.0	350	73.18	57.88	59.59	63.93	33.51	35.40	53.93
Mamba [†]	3.0	300	74.92	61.68	59.19	65.33	31.45	35.60	55.31
HGRN2 [†]	3.0	300	74.10	61.48	58.64	65.61	34.47	35.60	54.98
<hr/>									
Llama [†]	7.0	300	75.19	64.39	61.88	67.55	35.41	35.00	56.57
HGRN2 [†]	7.0	300	76.50	66.96	61.40	69.02	36.86	38.00	58.12

Table 9: Performance Comparison on SCROLLS. R-1/2/L stand for parameter size, tokens, and rouge-1/rouge-2/rouge-l, respectively.

Model	Params Bn	Token Bn	GovRep R-1/2/L	SumScr R-1/2/L	QMSum R-1/2/L	Qspr F1	Nrtv F1	QALT EM	CNLI EM	Avg \uparrow
Llama	0.4	300	8.2/3.5/6.2	11.3/1.6/8.7	10.7/2.1/9.4	17.8	15.4	28.0	13.9	10.5
Mamba	0.4	300	8.2/2.4/6.2	11.2/1.8/8.9	9.3/1.6/8.4	14.9	11.6	25.8	19.4	10.0
HGRN2	0.4	300	15.3/3.5/10.9	7.4/0.8/6.2	8.3/1.2/7.4	12.4	10.9	26.4	31.5	10.9
<hr/>										
Llama	1.0	300	12.9/3.1/9.4	9.5/0.8/7.7	10.9/2.2/9.4	22.8	16.0	28.4	9.9	11.0
Mamba	1.0	300	15.2/4.2/10.6	12.3/1.6/9.4	13.9/3.1/11.7	18.3	14.7	26.7	9.1	11.6
HGRN2	1.0	300	14.9/4.2/10.5	11.4/1.4/9.2	10.9/2.3/9.7	16.2	15.1	27.8	10.6	11.1
<hr/>										
Llama	3.0	300	11.2/4.9/8.1	11.9/1.9/9.3	16.1/4.3/12.9	28.6	20.8	30.4	20.2	13.9
Mamba	3.0	300	21.5/6.6/13.9	13.2/2.0/10.1	15.0/3.2/12.3	22.1	17.9	28.8	24.0	14.7
HGRN2	3.0	300	21.7/6.6/14.1	14.6/2.1/10.8	12.5/2.7/10.6	25.4	18.8	28.9	31.9	15.4
<hr/>										
Llama	7.0	300	17.4/7.3/11.4	12.9/1.8/10.0	14.6/3.7/11.8	32.4	22.3	33.8	10.0	14.6
HGRN2	7.0	300	14.9/5.2/10.2	15.4/2.4/11.1	14.3/3.0/11.8	27.1	19.6	30.1	10.0	13.5

lengths no greater than the training length. As shown in Figure 4, HGRN2 and Mamba still face difficulties in retrieval tasks; however, HGRN2 outperforms Mamba due to its larger state size, enabled by linear attention-styled state expansion.




Figure 4: Easy mode Needle in a Haystack Test on 3B models: Mamba (left) and HGRN2 (right). The evaluation context length is 16K, and the models were trained on a sequence length of 8K.

4.3 Long Range Arena

Table 10: Results on LRA. [†] indicates the results reported by Alonso et al. (2024).

Model	ListOps	Text	Retrieval	Image	Pathfinder	Path-X	AVG
Transformer	38.37	61.95	80.69	40.57	65.26	-	47.81
cosFormer	36.50	67.70	83.15	51.23	71.96	-	51.76
FLASH	38.70	64.10	86.10	47.40	70.25	-	51.09
S4	59.60	86.82	90.90	88.65	94.20	96.35	86.09
TNN	61.04	87.90	90.97	88.24	93.00	96.10	86.21
S5	62.15	89.31	91.40	88.00	95.33	98.56	87.46
Mega	63.14	90.43	91.25	90.44	96.01	97.98	88.21
SGConv	61.45	89.20	91.11	87.97	95.46	97.83	87.17
LRU	60.20	89.40	89.90	89.00	95.10	94.20	86.30
Mamba [†]	38.02	82.98	72.14	69.82	69.26	67.32	66.59
Griffin [†]	32.34	71.75	66.58	61.15	73.38	69.53	62.45
HGRN1	59.95	88.14	94.23	88.69	92.92	97.50	86.91
HGRN2	60.52	88.97	95.07	89.33	93.95	98.12	87.66

Setting. Long Range Arena (Tay et al., 2021b) is a benchmark designed to assess a model’s ability to handle long-range dependencies. We used HGRN1’s configuration and compared it with existing methods, as shown below.

Result. Table 10 shows the results. HGRN2 outperforms HGRN1, while Mamba and Griffin failed to achieve high accuracy on this benchmark.

4.4 Image Modeling

Setting. For the image classification task, we followed the configuration of HGRN1 and trained it on ImageNet-1k, comparing it with TNN and the vanilla transformer.

Result. Table 11 shows the results. HGRN2 outperforms HGRN1 with a similar parameter size, while also demonstrating an advantage over previous TNN (Qin et al., 2023a) and DeiT models (Touvron et al., 2021).

Table 11: Performances comparison of image classification on ImageNet-1k. HGRN2 performs favorably compared to competing methods with similar parameter sizes.

Model	DeiT-Tiny		DeiT-Small	
	Top-1 Acc	Params (M)	Top-1 Acc	Params (M)
DeiT	72.20	5.7	79.90	22.0
TNN	72.29	6.4	79.20	23.4
HGRN1	74.40	6.1	80.09	23.7
HGRN2	75.39	6.1	80.12	23.8

5 Related work

Linear recurrent models. Linear recurrent models mainly include linear RNNs, state-space models, and linear attention. State-space models (SSMs) are gaining great attention since the seminal work S4 (Gu et al., 2022a) and its more efficient diagonalized version (Gu et al., 2022b). Despite excellent performance in the LRA benchmark, it has been shown to have inferior performance in language modeling. Gating mechanisms have been shown to be crucial in improving SSMs’ language modeling performance (Mehta et al., 2023; Wang et al.,

2022; Gu & Dao, 2023). Gupta et al. (2022) build the connection between SSM and linear RNN. Orvieto et al. (2023) proposes a linear RNN layer (i.e., LRU) inspired by SSMs. Peng et al. (2023) successfully scale linear RNN models to billions of parameters for the first time.

For linear attention models, their language modeling performance has been underperforming softmax attention for a long time. Several improvements have been proposed to bridge the performance gap: (i) incorporating the forgetting mechanism (Peng et al., 2021; Schlag et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2023; Qin et al., 2023b; Yang et al., 2023; Peng et al., 2024), (ii) using local attention (Qin et al., 2022a; Zhang et al., 2023; Arora et al., 2024; Ren et al., 2024), (iii) using higher-order polynomial feature map (Arora et al., 2024; Kacham et al., 2023) to make the resulting attention distribution more sharp (Zhang et al., 2024), (iv) using more expressive yet efficient recurrent update rule (Schlag et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024; Sun et al., 2024a).

Gated linear recurrence. Martin & Cundy (2018) first proposed a minimal gated linear recurrent layer and showed how to use the parallel scan algorithm to train linear RNNs in sequence-level parallel. Qin et al. (2023c) is largely based on this work with several adaptations and highlights the importance of data-dependent decay. De et al. (2024) build their model on LRU (Orvieto et al., 2023) and replace data-independent decays with data-dependent ones. They further use sliding-window attention to boost the performance. These models are limited in recurrent state size.

Gated recurrent models with matrix-valued recurrent state have been investigated in the literature of Neural Turing Machine (NTM Graves et al. 2014) and linear Transformer (Katharopoulos et al., 2020). In NTM, the number of memory slots can be regarded as the state expansion ratio discussed in this work. NTM also included data-dependent decays in the form of *erase vectors*. However, NTM is hard to parallelize and thus slow to train in practice. The linear transformer is known to have the recurrent form (Katharopoulos et al., 2020) and is known to be closely related to fast weight programming (FWP Schlag et al. 2021). Gated FWPs have been investigated since Schlag & Schmidhuber (2017); Zhang & Zhou (2017), and have recently been revisited in Peng et al. (2021); Mao (2022); Yang et al. (2023); Katsch (2023); Pramanik et al. (2023). In particular, Yang et al. (2023) proposed a hardware-efficient training algorithm for these types of models.

More recently, Mamba2 (Dao & Gu, 2024), xLSTM (Beck et al., 2024), and Gated Retention (Sun et al., 2024b) have shown that sharing data-dependent decays across different dimensions within the same head is effective. This approach improves efficiency over GLA because intra-chunk computations are more amenable to tensor core-based matrix multiplication acceleration, at the cost of sacrificing the fine-grainedness of decays. In GLA/HGRN2, each head dimension has its own decay rate, whereas in Mamba2/xLSTM/Gated Retention, all dimensions share the decay under a single head. It is an interesting question to study how much improvement fine-grained decay will bring.

6 Conclusion

In this work, we propose HGRN2, an enhancement of HGRN (Qin et al., 2023c) using an outer product-based state expansion mechanism inspired by linear attention, enabling efficient training. Experiments across multiple tasks validate the advantages of HGRN2 over HGRN1.

Acknowledgement

We thank Yu Zhang for conducting some language modeling experiments and for the valuable discussions.

References

- Ameen Ali, Itamar Zimmerman, and Lior Wolf. The hidden attention of mamba models. 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:268248520>.
- Carmen Amo Alonso, Jerome Sieber, and Melanie Nicole Zeilinger. State space models as foundation models: A control theoretic overview. 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:268681121>.
- Simran Arora, Sabri Eyuboglu, Aman Timalsina, Isys Johnson, Michael Poli, James Zou, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Zoology: Measuring and improving recall in efficient language models. *arXiv:2312.04927*, 2023.
- Simran Arora, Sabri Eyuboglu, Michael Zhang, Aman Timalsina, Silas Alberti, Dylan Zinsley, James Zou, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Simple linear attention language models balance the recall-throughput tradeoff. *CoRR*, abs/2402.18668, 2024. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2402.18668. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.18668>.
- Maximilian Beck, Korbinian Poppel, Markus Spanring, Andreas Auer, Oleksandra Prudnikova, Michael K Kopp, Günter Klambauer, Johannes Brandstetter, and Sepp Hochreiter. xlstm: Extended long short-term memory. *ArXiv*, abs/2405.04517, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269614336>.
- Stella Biderman, Hailey Schoelkopf, Quentin G. Anthony, Herbie Bradley, Kyle O’Brien, Eric Hallahan, Mohammad Aflah Khan, Shivanshu Purohit, USVSN Sai Prashanth, Edward Raff, Aviya Skowron, Lintang Sutawika, and Oskar van der Wal. Pythia: A suite for analyzing large language models across training and scaling. *ArXiv*, abs/2304.01373, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257921893>.
- Daniel Bolya, Cheng-Yang Fu, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, and Judy Hoffman. Hydra attention: Efficient attention with many heads. In *ECCV Workshops*, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:252284084>.
- Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhoshesterov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamás Sarlós, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J. Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net, 2021.
- Junyoung Chung, Çağlar Gülçehre, KyungHyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. *CoRR*, abs/1412.3555, 2014. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.3555>.
- Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. *ArXiv*, abs/2405.21060, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270199762>.
- Soham De, Samuel L. Smith, Anushan Fernando, Aleksandar Botev, George Cristian-Muraru, Albert Gu, Ruba Haroun, Leonard Berrada, Yutian Chen, Srivatsan Srinivasan, Guillaume Desjardins, Arnaud Doucet, David Budden, Yee Whye Teh, Razvan Pascanu, Nando de Freitas, and Çağlar Gulcehre. Griffin: Mixing gated linear recurrences with local attention for efficient language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2402.19427, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:268091246>.
- Daniel Y. Fu, Tri Dao, Khaled Kamal Saab, Armin W. Thomas, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Hungry hungry hippos: Towards language modeling with state space models. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- Leo Gao, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Laurence Golding, Travis Hoppe, Charles Foster, Jason Phang, Horace He, Anish Thite, Noa Nabeshima, Shawn Presser, and Connor Leahy. The Pile: An 800gb dataset of diverse text for language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00027*, 2020.

- Alex Graves, Greg Wayne, and Ivo Danihelka. Neural turing machines. *ArXiv*, abs/1410.5401, 2014. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15299054>.
- Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. 2023.
- Albert Gu, Çağlar Gülçehre, Thomas Paine, Matt Hoffman, and Razvan Pascanu. Improving the gating mechanism of recurrent neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 3800–3809. PMLR, 2020. URL <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/gu20a.html>.
- Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-29, 2022*. OpenReview.net, 2022a.
- Albert Gu, Ankit Gupta, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. On the parameterization and initialization of diagonal state space models. *ArXiv*, abs/2206.11893, 2022b. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:249953875>.
- Ankit Gupta and Jonathan Berant. Diagonal state spaces are as effective as structured state spaces. *ArXiv*, abs/2203.14343, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:247762199>.
- Ankit Gupta, Harsh Mehta, and Jonathan Berant. Simplifying and understanding state space models with diagonal linear rnns. *ArXiv*, abs/2212.00768, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:254125297>.
- Weizhe Hua, Zihang Dai, Hanxiao Liu, and Quoc V. Le. Transformer quality in linear time. In Kamalika Chaudhuri, Stefanie Jegelka, Le Song, Csaba Szepesvári, Gang Niu, and Sivan Sabato (eds.), *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2022, 17-23 July 2022, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 9099–9117. PMLR, 2022.
- Praneeth Kacham, Vahab Mirrokni, and Peilin Zhong. Polysketchformer: Fast transformers via sketching polynomial kernels, 2023.
- Angelos Katharopoulos, Apoorv Vyas, Nikolaos Pappas, and François Fleuret. Transformers are rnns: Fast autoregressive transformers with linear attention. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 5156–5165. PMLR, 2020.
- Tobias Katsch. Gateloop: Fully data-controlled linear recurrence for sequence modeling. *ArXiv*, abs/2311.01927, 2023.
- Bo Liu, Rui Wang, Lemeng Wu, Yihao Feng, Peter Stone, and Qian Liu. Longhorn: State space models are amortized online learners. 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:271310065>.
- Hanxiao Liu, Zihang Dai, David R. So, and Quoc V. Le. Pay attention to mlps, 2021.
- Huanru Henry Mao. Fine-tuning pre-trained transformers into decaying fast weights. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 10236–10242, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.697.
- Eric Martin and Chris Cundy. Parallelizing linear recurrent neural nets over sequence length. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018.
- Harsh Mehta, Ankit Gupta, Ashok Cutkosky, and Behnam Neyshabur. Long range language modeling via gated state spaces. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023.

- Stephen Merity, Caiming Xiong, James Bradbury, and Richard Socher. Pointer sentinel mixture models. *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR, Toulon, France, 2017*.
- Antonio Orvieto, Samuel L. Smith, Albert Gu, Anushan Fernando, Çağlar Gülçehre, Razvan Pascanu, and Soham De. Resurrecting recurrent neural networks for long sequences. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett (eds.), *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2023, 23-29 July 2023, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 26670–26698. PMLR, 2023. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/orvieto23a.html>.
- Bo Peng, Eric Alcaide, Quentin Anthony, Alon Albalak, Samuel Arcadinho, Huanqi Cao, Xin Cheng, Michael Chung, Matteo Grella, Kranthi Kiran G. V., Xuzheng He, Haowen Hou, Przemyslaw Kazienko, Jan Kocon, Jiaming Kong, Bartłomiej Koptyra, Hayden Lau, Krishna Sri Ipsit Mantri, Ferdinand Mom, Atsushi Saito, Xiangru Tang, Bolun Wang, Johan S. Wind, Stanislaw Wozniak, Ruichong Zhang, Zhenyuan Zhang, Qihang Zhao, Peng Zhou, Jian Zhu, and Rui-Jie Zhu. RWKV: reinventing rnns for the transformer era. *CoRR*, abs/2305.13048, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2305.13048.
- Bo Peng, Daniel Goldstein, Quentin Anthony, Alon Albalak, Eric Alcaide, Stella Biderman, Eugene Cheah, Teddy Ferdinan, Haowen Hou, Przemyslaw Kazienko, G Kranthikiran, Jan Kocó'n, Bartłomiej Koptyra, Satyapriya Krishna, Ronald McClelland, Niklas Muennighoff, Fares Obeid, Atsushi Saito, Guangyu Song, Haoqin Tu, Stanislaw Woźniak, Ruichong Zhang, Bingchen Zhao, Qihang Zhao, Peng Zhou, Jian Zhu, and Ruijie Zhu. Eagle and finch: Rwkv with matrix-valued states and dynamic recurrence. *ArXiv*, abs/2404.05892, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269010053>.
- Hao Peng, Nikolaos Pappas, Dani Yogatama, Roy Schwartz, Noah A Smith, and Lingpeng Kong. Random feature attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.02143*, 2021.
- Subhojeet Pramanik, Esraa Elelimy, Marlos C. Machado, and Adam White. Recurrent linear transformers. *CoRR*, abs/2310.15719, 2023.
- Zhen Qin, Xiaodong Han, Weixuan Sun, Dongxu Li, Lingpeng Kong, Nick Barnes, and Yiran Zhong. The devil in linear transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.10340*, 2022a.
- Zhen Qin, Weixuan Sun, Hui Deng, Dongxu Li, Yunshen Wei, Baohong Lv, Junjie Yan, Lingpeng Kong, and Yiran Zhong. cosformer: Rethinking softmax in attention. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-29, 2022*. OpenReview.net, 2022b.
- Zhen Qin, Xiaodong Han, Weixuan Sun, Bowen He, Dong Li, Dongxu Li, Yuchao Dai, Lingpeng Kong, and Yiran Zhong. Toeplitz neural network for sequence modeling. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2023a. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=IxmWsm4xrua>.
- Zhen Qin, Dong Li, Weigao Sun, Weixuan Sun, Xuyang Shen, Xiaodong Han, Yunshen Wei, Baohong Lv, Fei Yuan, Xiao Luo, et al. Scaling transormer to 175 billion parameters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.14995*, 2023b.
- Zhen Qin, Songlin Yang, and Yiran Zhong. Hierarchically gated recurrent neural network for sequence modeling. In Alice Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*, 2023c. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/hash/694be3548697e9cc8999d45e8d16fe1e-Abstract-Conference.html.
- Zhen Qin, Weigao Sun, Dong Li, Xuyang Shen, Weixuan Sun, and Yiran Zhong. Lightning attention-2: A free lunch for handling unlimited sequence lengths in large language models. 2024.

Liliang Ren, Yang Liu, Yadong Lu, Yelong Shen, Chen Liang, and Weizhu Chen. Samba: Simple hybrid state space models for efficient unlimited context language modeling. *ArXiv*, abs/2406.07522, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270380294>.

Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, Ellie Pavlick, Suzana Ilić, Daniel Hesslow, Roman Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, François Yvon, Matthias Gallé, Jonathan Tow, Alexander M. Rush, Stella Biderman, Albert Webson, Pawan Sasanka Ammanamanchi, Thomas Wang, Benoît Sagot, Niklas Muennighoff, Albert Villanova del Moral, Olatunji Ruwase, Rachel Bawden, Stas Bekman, Angelina McMillan-Major, Iz Beltagy, Huu Nguyen, Lucile Saulnier, Samson Tan, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Victor Sanh, Hugo Laurenccon, Yacine Jernite, Julien Launay, Margaret Mitchell, Colin Raffel, Aaron Gokaslan, Adi Simhi, Aitor Soroa Etxabe, Alham Fikri Aji, Amit Alfassy, Anna Rogers, Ariel Kreisberg Nitzav, Canwen Xu, Chenghao Mou, Chris C. Emezue, Christopher Klamm, Colin Leong, Daniel Alexander van Strien, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Dragomir R. Radev, Eduardo González Ponferrada, Efrat Levkovizh, Ethan Kim, Eyal Natan, Francesco De Toni, Gérard Dupont, Germán Kruszewski, Giada Pistilli, Hady ElSahar, Hamza Benyamina, Hieu Trung Tran, Ian Yu, Idris Abdulmumin, Isaac Johnson, Itziar Gonzalez-Dios, Javier de la Rosa, Jenny Chim, Jesse Dodge, Jian Zhu, Jonathan Chang, Jorg Froberg, Josephine Tobing, Joydeep Bhattacharjee, Khalid Almubarak, Kimbo Chen, Kyle Lo, Leandro von Werra, Leon Weber, Long Phan, Loubna Ben Allal, Ludovic Tanguy, Manan Dey, Manuel Romero Muñoz, Mar'ia Grandury, Mario vSavsko, Max Huang, Maximin Coavoux, Mayank Singh, Mike Tian-Jian Jiang, Minh Chien Vu, Mohammad A. Jauhar, Mustafa Ghaleb, Nishant Subramani, Nora Kassner, Nurulaqilla Khamis, Olivier Nguyen, Omar Espejel, Ona de Gibert, Paulo Villegas, Peter Henderson, Pierre Colombo, Priscilla Amuok, Quentin Lhoest, Rheza Harliman, Rishi Bommasani, Roberto López, Rui Ribeiro, Salomey Osei, Sampo Pyysalo, Sebastian Nagel, Shamik Bose, Shamsuddeen Hassan Muhammad, Shanya Sharma, S. Longpre, Somaieh Nikpoor, S. Silberberg, Suhas Pai, Sydney Zink, Tiago Timponi Torrent, Timo Schick, Tristan Thrush, Valentin Danchev, Vassilina Nikoulina, Veronika Laippala, Violette Lepercq, Vrinda Prabhu, Zaid Alyafeai, Zeerak Talat, Arun Raja, Benjamin Heinzerling, Chenglei Si, Elizabeth Salesky, Sabrina J. Mielke, Wilson Y. Lee, Abheesht Sharma, Andrea Santilli, Antoine Chaffin, Arnaud Stiegler, Debajyoti Datta, Eliza Szczechla, Gunjan Chhablani, Han Wang, Harshit Pandey, Hendrik Strobelt, Jason Alan Fries, Jos Rozen, Leo Gao, Lintang Sutawika, M Saiful Bari, Maged S. Al-Shaibani, Matteo Manica, Nihal V. Nayak, Ryan Teehan, Samuel Albanie, Sheng Shen, Srulik Ben-David, Stephen H. Bach, Taewoon Kim, Tali Bers, Thibault Févry, Trishala Neeraj, Urmish Thakker, Vikas Raunak, Xiang Tang, Zheng-Xin Yong, Zhiqing Sun, Shaked Brody, Y Uri, Hadar Tojarieh, Adam Roberts, Hyung Won Chung, Jaesung Tae, Jason Phang, Ofir Press, Conglong Li, Deepak Narayanan, Hatim Bourfoune, Jared Casper, Jeff Rasley, Max Ryabinin, Mayank Mishra, Minjia Zhang, Mohammad Shoeybi, Myriam Peyrounette, Nicolas Patry, Nouamane Tazi, Omar Sanseviero, Patrick von Platen, Pierre Cornette, Pierre Francois Lavallée, Rémi Lacroix, Samyam Rajbhandari, Sanchit Gandhi, Shaden Smith, Stéphane Requena, Suraj Patil, Tim Dettmers, Ahmed Baruwa, Amanpreet Singh, Anastasia Cheveleva, Anne-Laure Ligozat, Arjun Subramonian, Aur'elie N'ev'eol, Charles Lovering, Daniel H Garrette, Deepak R. Tunuguntla, Ehud Reiter, Ekaterina Taktasheva, Ekaterina Voloshina, Eli Bogdanov, Genta Indra Winata, Hailey Schoelkopf, Jan-Christoph Kalo, Jekaterina Novikova, Jessica Zosa Forde, Xiangru Tang, Jungo Kasai, Ken Kawamura, Liam Hazan, Marine Carpuat, Miruna Clinciu, Najoung Kim, Newton Cheng, Oleg Serikov, Omer Antverg, Oskar van der Wal, Rui Zhang, Ruochen Zhang, Sebastian Gehrmann, Shachar Mirkin, S. Osher Pais, Tatiana Shavrina, Thomas Scialom, Tian Yun, Tomasz Limisiewicz, Verena Rieser, Vitaly Protasov, Vladislav Mikhailov, Yada Pruksachatkun, Yonatan Belinkov, Zachary Lipton, Zdeněk Kasner, Zdeněk Kasner, Amanda Pestana, Amir Feizpour, Ammar Khan, Amy Faranak, Ananda Santa Rosa Santos, Anthony Hevia, Antigona Unldreaj, Arash Aghagol, Arezoo Abdollahi, Aycha Tammour, Azadeh HajiHosseini, Bahareh Behroozi, Benjamin Ayode Ajibade, Bharat Kumar Saxena, Carlos Muñoz Ferrandis, Danish Contractor, David M. Lansky, Davis David, Douwe Kiela, Duong Anh Nguyen, Edward Tan, Emi Baylor, Ezinwanne Ozoani, Fatim Tahirah Mirza, Frankline Ononiwu, Habib Rezanejad, H.A. Jones, Indrani Bhattacharya, Irene Solaiman, Irina Sedenko, Isar Nejadgholi, Jan Passmore, Joshua Seltzer, Julio Bonis Sanz, Karen Fort, Livia Dutra, Mairon Samagaio,

- Maraim Elbadri, Margot Mieskes, Marissa Gerchick, Martha Akinlolu, Michael McKenna, Mike Qiu, Muhammed Ghauri, Mykola Burynok, Nafis Abrar, Nazneen Rajani, Nour Elkott, Nourhan Fahmy, Olanrewaju Samuel, Ran An, R. P. Kromann, Ryan Hao, Samira Alizadeh, Sarmad Shubber, Silas L. Wang, Sourav Roy, Sylvain Viguier, Thanh-Cong Le, Tobi Oyebade, Trieu Nguyen Hai Le, Yoyo Yang, Zach Nguyen, Abhinav Ramesh Kashyap, Alfredo Palasciano, Alison Callahan, Anima Shukla, Antonio Miranda-Escalada, Ayush Kumar Singh, Benjamin Beilharz, Bo Wang, Caio Matheus Fonseca de Brito, Chenxi Zhou, Chirag Jain, Chuxin Xu, Clémentine Fourrier, Daniel Le'on Perin'an, Daniel Molano, Dian Yu, Enrique Manjavacas, Fabio Barth, Florian Fuhrmann, Gabriel Altay, Giyaseddin Bayrak, Gully Burns, Helena U. Vrabec, Iman I.B. Bello, Isha Dash, Ji Soo Kang, John Giorgi, Jonas Golde, Jose David Posada, Karthi Sivaraman, Lokesh Bulchandani, Lu Liu, Luisa Shinzato, Madeleine Hahn de Bykhovetz, Maiko Takeuchi, Marc Pàmies, María Andrea Castillo, Marianna Nezhurina, Mario Sanger, Matthias Samwald, Michael Cullan, Michael Weinberg, M Wolf, Mina Mihaljcic, Minna Liu, Moritz Freidank, Myungsun Kang, Natasha Seelam, Nathan Dahlberg, Nicholas Michio Broad, Nikolaus Muellner, Pascale Fung, Patricia Haller, Patrick Haller, Renata Eisenberg, Robert Martin, Rodrigo Canalli, Rosaline Su, Ruisi Su, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Samuele Garda, Shlok S Deshmukh, Shubhanshu Mishra, Sid Kiblawi, Simon Ott, Sinee Sang-aaroonsiri, Srishti Kumar, Stefan Schweter, Sushil Pratap Bharati, Tanmay Laud, Théo Gigant, Tomoya Kainuma, Wojciech Kusa, Yanis Labrak, Yashasvi Bajaj, Y. Venkatraman, Yifan Xu, Ying Xu, Yu Xu, Zhee Xiao Tan, Zhongli Xie, Zifan Ye, Mathilde Bras, Younes Belkada, and Thomas Wolf. Bloom: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model. *ArXiv*, abs/2211.05100, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:253420279>.
- Imanol Schlag and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Gated fast weights for on-the-fly neural program generation. 2017. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:216094255>.
- Imanol Schlag, Kazuki Irie, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Linear transformers are secretly fast weight programmers. In Marina Meila and Tong Zhang (eds.), *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 9355–9366. PMLR, 2021.
- Uri Shaham, Elad Segal, Maor Ivgi, Avia Efrat, Ori Yoran, Adi Haviv, Ankit Gupta, Wenhan Xiong, Mor Geva, Jonathan Berant, and Omer Levy. SCROLLS: Standardized CompaRison over long language sequences. In Yoav Goldberg, Zornitsa Kozareva, and Yue Zhang (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 12007–12021, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.823. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.emnlp-main.823>.
- Xuyang Shen. Llmtest needleinahaystack hfmodel: Support huggingface model to do simple retrieval from llm models at various context lengths to measure accuracy, 2024. URL https://github.com/XuyangShen/LLMTest_NeedleInAHaystack_HFModel.
- Xuyang Shen, Dong Li, Ruitao Leng, Zhen Qin, Weigao Sun, and Yiran Zhong. Scaling laws for linear complexity language models, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.16690>.
- Yikang Shen, Shawn Tan, Alessandro Sordani, and Aaron C. Courville. Ordered neurons: Integrating tree structures into recurrent neural networks. *ArXiv*, abs/1810.09536, 2018. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:53034786>.
- Jimmy T. H. Smith, Andrew Warrington, and Scott W. Linderman. Simplified state space layers for sequence modeling. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- Daria Soboleva, Faisal Al-Khateeb, Robert Myers, Jacob R Steeves, Joel Hestness, and Nolan Dey. SlimPajama: A 627B token cleaned and deduplicated version of RedPajama, 2023.
- Yu Sun, Xinhao Li, Karan Dalal, Jiarui Xu, Arjun Vikram, Genghan Zhang, Yann Dubois, Xinlei Chen, Xiaolong Wang, Sanmi Koyejo, Tatsunori Hashimoto, and Carlos Guestrin. Learning to (learn at test time): Rnns with expressive hidden states. 2024a. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:271039606>.

- Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Shaohan Huang, Shuming Ma, Yuqing Xia, Jilong Xue, Jianyong Wang, and Furu Wei. Retentive network: A successor to transformer for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.08621*, 2023.
- Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Yi Zhu, Shaohan Huang, Wenhui Wang, Shuming Ma, Quanlu Zhang, Jianyong Wang, and Furu Wei. You only cache once: Decoder-decoder architectures for language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2405.05254, 2024b. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269626143>.
- Yi Tay, Dara Bahri, Donald Metzler, Da-Cheng Juan, Zhe Zhao, and Che Zheng. Synthesizer: Rethinking self-attention in transformer models, 2021a.
- Yi Tay, Mostafa Dehghani, Samira Abnar, Yikang Shen, Dara Bahri, Philip Pham, Jinfeng Rao, Liu Yang, Sebastian Ruder, and Donald Metzler. Long range arena : A benchmark for efficient transformers. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net, 2021b. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=qVyeW-grC2k>.
- Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Matthijs Douze, Francisco Massa, Alexandre Sablayrolles, and Herve Jegou. Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139, pp. 10347–10357, July 2021.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023a.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, Dan Bikel, Lukas Blecher, Cristian Canton Ferrer, Moya Chen, Guillem Cucurull, David Esiobu, Jude Fernandes, Jeremy Fu, Wenyin Fu, Brian Fuller, Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Naman Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Saghar Hosseini, Rui Hou, Hakan Inan, Marcin Kardas, Viktor Kerkez, Madian Khabsa, Isabel Kloumann, Artem Korenev, Punit Singh Koura, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Thibaut Lavril, Jenya Lee, Diana Liskovich, Yinghai Lu, Yuning Mao, Xavier Martinet, Todor Mihaylov, Pushkar Mishra, Igor Molybog, Yixin Nie, Andrew Poulton, Jeremy Reizenstein, Rashi Rungta, Kalyan Saladi, Alan Schelten, Ruan Silva, Eric Michael Smith, Ranjan Subramanian, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Binh Tang, Ross Taylor, Adina Williams, Jian Xiang Kuan, Puxin Xu, Zheng Yan, Iliyan Zarov, Yuchen Zhang, Angela Fan, Melanie Kambadur, Sharan Narang, Aurelien Rodriguez, Robert Stojnic, Sergey Edunov, and Thomas Scialom. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models, 2023b.
- Jos van der Westhuizen and Joan Lasenby. The unreasonable effectiveness of the forget gate. *CoRR*, abs/1804.04849, 2018.
- Junxiong Wang, Jing Nathan Yan, Albert Gu, and Alexander M. Rush. Pretraining without attention. *CoRR*, abs/2212.10544, 2022.
- Songlin Yang and Yu Zhang. FLA: A Triton-Based Library for Hardware-Efficient Implementations of Linear Attention Mechanism, January 2024. URL <https://github.com/sustcsonglin/flash-linear-attention>.
- Songlin Yang, Bailin Wang, Yikang Shen, Rameswar Panda, and Yoon Kim. Gated linear attention transformers with hardware-efficient training. *CoRR*, abs/2312.06635, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2312.06635. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.06635>.
- Songlin Yang, Bailin Wang, Yu Zhang, Yikang Shen, and Yoon Kim. Parallelizing linear transformers with the delta rule over sequence length. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06484*, 2024.
- Jun Zhang, Shuyang Jiang, Jiangtao Feng, Lin Zheng, and Lingpeng Kong. Linear attention via orthogonal memory, 2023.

Michael Zhang, Kush Bhatia, Hermann Kumbong, and Christopher Ré. The hedgehog & the porcupine: Expressive linear attentions with softmax mimicry, 2024.

Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher Dewan, Mona T. Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, Todor Mihaylov, Myle Ott, Sam Shleifer, Kurt Shuster, Daniel Simig, Punit Singh Koura, Anjali Sridhar, Tianlu Wang, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2205.01068, 2022. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:248496292>.

Wei Zhang and Bowen Zhou. Learning to update auto-associative memory in recurrent neural networks for improving sequence memorization. *ArXiv*, abs/1709.06493, 2017. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:22458497>.

A Appendix

A.1 Experiment Configurations

In Table 12, the experiment configurations provided detail setups for both Auto-regressive Language Modeling (ALM) and ImageNet (IM) experiments, focusing on the WikiText-103 and ImageNet-1k datasets, respectively. ALM experiments utilize Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) with a vocabulary size of 50,265 and sequence length of 512, featuring a total batch size of 128 and 50,000 updates. ImageNet experiments differentiate between 6 million and 23 million parameter models, with total batch sizes of 1024 and 2048, both running for 300 epochs but with differing warm-up periods. Optimization strategies vary between Adam for ALM and AdamW for IM, with specific learning rate schedulers and hyperparameters tailored to each model’s scale. Additional configurations outline variations in model complexity, from 0.15 to 2.9 million parameters, adjusting layers, hidden dimensions, and GPUs used, aiming to comprehensively explore model performance across scales and setups.

Table 12: **Comprehensive Configurations of the Model and Training Procedures for HGRN2 Experiments** “Total batch size” means `batch_per_gpu × update_freq × num_gpus`; “ALM” stands for Autoregressive Language Model; “IM” stands for Image Modeling.

	ALM	IM(6M)	IM(23M)
Dataset	WikiText-103	ImageNet-1k	ImageNet-1k
Tokenizer method	BPE	-	-
Src Vocab size	50265	-	-
Sequence length	512	-	-
Total batch size	128	1024	2048
Number of updates/epochs	50k updates	300 epochs	300 epochs
Warmup steps/epochs	4k steps	20 epochs	10 epochs
Peak learning rate	5e-4	7.5e-4	7.5e-4
Learning rate scheduler	Inverse sqrt	Cosine	Cosine
Optimizer	Adam	Adamw	Adamw
Adam ϵ	1e-8	1e-8	1e-8
Adam (β_1, β_2)	(0.9, 0.98)	(0.9, 0.98)	(0.9, 0.98)
Weight decay	0.1	0.05	0.1
Gradient clipping	-	5.0	5.0

Table 13: Model configurations

Params	Layers	Hidden Dim	Exp. Ratio	L. R.	Batch Size	SeqLen	GPUS
0.15	15	768	128	3.00E-04	26	2048	8
0.385	26	1024	128	3.00E-04	15	2048	8
1	18	2048	128	3.00E-04	10	2048	16
2.9	36	2560	128	3.00E-04	36	2048	64

A.2 Loss curve of HGRN2

The training loss curves for the HGRN2 models of different sizes—150M, 385M, and 1B, as shown in Fig. 5, which as the number of parameters increases, the model’s performance improves, with the 1B model consistently outperforming the others.




Figure 5: Training loss over train tokens for the 150m, 385m, 1B models. All models consumed approximately 100 billion tokens, and it can be observed that as the model size increases, the loss significantly decreases.