

THE TWISTING NUMBER OF A RIBBON KNOT IS BOUNDED BELOW BY ITS DOUBLY SLICE GENUS

VITALIJS BREJEVS AND PETER FELLER

ABSTRACT. The twisting number of a knot K is the minimal number of tangle replacements on the symmetry axis of $J\# - J$ for any knot J that is required to produce a symmetric union diagram of K . We prove that the twisting number is bounded below by the doubly slice genus and produce examples of ribbon knots with arbitrarily high twisting number, addressing a problem of Tanaka. As an application, we determine hitherto unknown doubly slice genera of some knots with 12 crossings.

1. INTRODUCTION

We work in the smooth category throughout and all our manifolds are oriented. A knot K is called a *symmetric union knot*, or simply a *symmetric union*, if it can be obtained by taking the connected sum $J\# - J$ of a knot J with its mirror $-J$, and replacing trivial 4-tangles that intersect the symmetry axis of $J\# - J$ by 4-tangles twisted along the axis, called *twist regions*; see Figure 1. This construction, originally proposed by Kinoshita and Terasaka in [KT57] and developed by Lamm in [Lam00], determines a ribbon-immersed disc in S^3 bounded by K that is swept out by an arc between points lying symmetrically across the axis. Symmetric unions are ubiquitous among small ribbon knots: at least 144 out of 159 ribbon knots with at most 12 crossings admit symmetric union diagrams [Lam19]; moreover, so do all ribbon 2-bridge knots [Lam21]. This prompts the following open conjecture that is central to the study of symmetric unions.

Conjecture (‘Ribbon–symmetric union conjecture’). Every ribbon knot is a symmetric union.

This conjecture is challenging, in particular, because the number of twist regions required to realise a ribbon knot K as a symmetric union may be arbitrarily large. The smallest such number is called the *twisting number*¹ of K and denoted $\text{tw}(K)$. We set $\text{tw}(K) = \infty$ if K is not a symmetric union; in other words, a ribbon knot with $\text{tw}(K) = \infty$ would provide a counterexample to the conjecture. By the construction of symmetric unions, $\text{tw}(J\# - J) = 0$ for any knot J and $\text{tw}(K) \geq 1$ for any prime knot K . Twisting number one knots have been studied extensively by Tanaka [Tan15; Tan19; Tan21] and Köse [Kös21; Kös22]; in fact, in [Tan15], Tanaka asked whether more than one twist region is ever necessary to realise a knot as a symmetric union.

Problem. Does there exist a symmetric union knot K with $\text{tw}(K) \geq 2$?

Via a factorisation condition for the Jones polynomial of a knot with $\text{tw}(K) = 1$, it was shown in [Tan15] that indeed there exist knots with $\text{tw}(K) = 2$. However, this prompts the natural question whether there are examples of knots with $\text{tw}(K) \geq 3$. To exhibit knots with $\text{tw}(K) = N$ for all $N \geq 3$, we establish a lower bound on $\text{tw}(K)$ in terms of the doubly slice genus $g_{\text{ds}}(K)$.

Theorem 1. For all knots K , we have $g_{\text{ds}}(K) \leq \text{tw}(K)$.

¹The twisting number as defined here is also called the *minimal twisting number* in the literature.

Here, as first defined and studied in [LM15], the *doubly slice genus* $g_{\text{ds}}(K)$ of a knot K , and, more generally, a link, is the minimal genus among unknotted closed surfaces $F \subset S^4$ such that $K = S^3 \pitchfork F$, where S^3 is identified with the equatorial sphere in S^4 .

The key input for the proof of Theorem 1 is the following bound on the doubly slice genus, which we discuss in detail in Section 3. It is a result of independent interest that may be viewed as an extension of the theorem of McDonald from [McD19] that the band unknotting number of a knot K is at least $g_{\text{ds}}(K)$.

Proposition 2. Let L be a weakly doubly slice link, i.e., $g_{\text{ds}}(L) = 0$. If L can be turned into a link L' by t oriented band moves, then $g_{\text{ds}}(L') \leq t$.

Orson and Powell have established in [OP21] that, for all knots K ,

$$\max_{\omega \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}} |\sigma_{\omega}(K)| \leq g_{\text{ds}}(K), \quad (\dagger)$$

where $\sigma_{\omega}(K)$ is the Levine–Tristram signature of K corresponding to $\omega \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$. The bound (\dagger) is a refinement of a classical bound for the 4-genus $g_4(K)$ of K given by $|\sigma_{\omega}(K)| \leq 2g_4(K) \leq g_{\text{ds}}(K)$ for any ω such that $\Delta_K(\omega) \neq 0$, where Δ_K is the Alexander polynomial of K . The inequality (\dagger) coupled with Theorem 1 enables us to show that there are ribbon knots with arbitrarily high finite twisting number.²

Corollary 3. Let $K = 8_{20}$ and fix $N > 0$. Then $\text{tw}(\#^N K) = g_{\text{ds}}(\#^N K) = N$.

Proof. One may calculate $\sigma_{\omega}(K) = 1$ for $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$ (cf. KnotInfo [LM24]). By additivity of Levine–Tristram signatures, inequality (\dagger) , and Theorem 1, we have $N = |\sigma_{\omega}(\#^N K)| \leq g_{\text{ds}}(\#^N K) \leq \text{tw}(\#^N K)$. On the other hand, using the one-twist symmetric union diagram for K from [Lam00] we can construct an N -twist diagram for $\#^N K$ by taking connected sums along the symmetry axis, hence $\text{tw}(\#^N K) = N$. \square

Remark 4. An analogous argument shows that $\text{tw}(\#^N K) \geq N$ for any prime ribbon knot K with non-vanishing Levine–Tristram signature at some root of Δ_K , e.g., 10_{140} . Moreover, Theorem 1 enables us to determine $g_{\text{ds}}(K)$ for $K \in \{12n_{553}, 12n_{556}\}$ that has been priorly only known to lie in the interval $[1, 2]$ (see [KS21]): since K admits a symmetric union diagram with one twist region by [Lam19, Appendix], we conclude that $g_{\text{ds}}(K) = \text{tw}(K) = 1$.

Remark 5. Since the initial publication we were informed by William Rushworth that Proposition 2 follows from Corollary 14 in [Bod+22] by Boden, Elmacioglu, Guha, Karimi, Rushworth, Tang and Wang Peng Jun. Their proof relies on the observation that attaching 1-handles to a trivial $S^2 \subset S^4$ corresponding to the band moves on L always yields an unknotted surface [Kam02, Proposition 11.2]. Our proof is more explicit as it keeps track of a specific handlebody that establishes unknottedness of the relevant surface in S^4 . We expect it to generalise to the case when L is the boundary of the cross-section of the equatorial $S^3 \subset S^4$ by any embedded closed connected 3-manifold rather than just $B^3 \subset S^4$.

Organisation of the paper. In Section 2 we define symmetric unions and provide necessary background on doubly slice knots and links. The proofs of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 occupy Section 3.

²In fact, the authors' original approach to finding ribbon knots with large twisting numbers was to establish $|\sigma_{\omega}(K)| \leq \text{tw}(K)$ by purely 3-dimensional arguments.

Acknowledgements. VB is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant ‘Cut and Paste Methods in Low Dimensional Topology’. PF gratefully acknowledges the support by the SNSF grant 181199. Both authors are thankful to the winter school *Winter Braids XIII*, where this project was initiated, as well as to Feride Ceren Köse, Charles Livingston and William Rushworth for helpful comments on the initial draft.

2. SYMMETRIC UNIONS AND WEAKLY DOUBLY SLICE LINKS

The purpose of this section is to recall the definitions of symmetric unions and weakly doubly slice links, as well as to establish notation for the proof of Theorem 1. We identify isotopic knots, links and tangles.

2.1. Symmetric unions. Fix an unoriented diagram D_J of a knot J and reflect it about an axis A in \mathbb{R}^2 that does not intersect D_J to get a diagram $-D_J$ of $-J$. Then, for some $k \geq 0$ choose a collection of $k + 1$ disjoint embedded discs T_0, T_1, \dots, T_k in \mathbb{R}^2 such that each T_i is preserved under reflection about A and intersects the disjoint union $D_J \sqcup -D_J$ in two crossingless arcs. Fix an integer μ with $1 \leq \mu \leq k + 1$. Treating each T_i as a rational 0-tangle, replace it by an m_i -tangle T'_i , where $m_i = \infty$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 1$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for $\mu \leq i \leq k$. Choose an orientation on the resulting diagram and denote it by $D_L = (D_J \sqcup -D_J)(\infty_\mu, n_1, \dots, n_l)$, where $l = k - \mu + 1$ and $n_i = m_{\mu-1+i}$, and call the tangles T'_μ, \dots, T'_k *twist regions*. The construction is illustrated in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Construction of the symmetric union diagram $(D_J \sqcup -D_J)(\infty_\mu, n_1, \dots, n_l)$; rectangles labelled n_1, \dots, n_l contain the respective number of ‘vertical’ half-twists.

Definition 6.

- (1) A link L is a *symmetric union* if it admits a diagram D_L as above for some J, μ, l and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$.
- (2) The *twisting number* $\text{tw}(L)$ of L is the minimal number of twist regions in any diagram D_L .
- (3) The ∞ -*resolution* of D_L , denoted L_{∞} , is the symmetric union represented by the diagram $(D_J \sqcup -D_J)(\infty_{\mu+l})$.

For a symmetric union L , by [Lam00, Remark 2.2] and [Lam00, Theorem 5.1] we have the following:

- (1) the link L has μ components, hence L is a knot if and only if $\mu = 1$;

- (2) upon assigning an orientation to $(D_J \sqcup -D_J)(\infty_\mu, n_1, \dots, n_k)$, both strands at each axial crossing are oriented such that they cross A in the same direction;
- (3) each component of L bounds an immersed disc in S^3 that only has intersections of ribbon type with itself and the discs bounded by other components of L , hence L is a ribbon link.

A *band* b for a link L is the image of a smooth embedding $\phi: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^3$ such that ϕ maps $\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$ into L while preserving the orientation (i.e., $\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$ carries the orientation induced from being part of the boundary of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$). By a *band move* we mean embedded surgery of L along b , namely a smoothing of

$$(L \setminus \phi(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])) \cup \phi([0, 1] \times \{0, 1\});$$

we say that b *guides* the band move. In view of the observations (1) and (2) above, it is clear that a symmetric union L with l twist regions can be transformed into L_∞ via l band moves as shown in Figure 2. Note that the orientation of the resulting L_∞ depends on the choice of orientation on L and the parities of n_1, \dots, n_l .




FIGURE 2. The band move guided by the band b (blue) changes the n_i -tangle into the ∞ -tangle. The orientation of the top strand depends on the parity of n_i .

2.2. Doubly slice links. An oriented link $L \subset S^3$ is *weakly doubly slice* if there exists an unknotted oriented 2-sphere $S \subset S^4$ such that for the equatorial $S^3 \subset S^4$ we have $S \pitchfork S^3 = L$, inducing the given orientation on L . This extends the notion of double sliceness for knots to links: a knot K is called *doubly slice* if it bounds two slice discs, one in each hemisphere of S^4 , that yield an unknotted $S^2 \subset S^4$ upon gluing along K , or, equivalently, if K is weakly doubly slice.

A prototypical example of a doubly slice knot is the connected sum $J\# -J$ for any knot J : it occurs as a cross-section of the ± 1 -twist spin of J which is an unknotted 2-sphere by a classical theorem of Zeeman [Zee65]. A recent result of McCoy and McDonald from [MM24] can be viewed as a generalisation of this example to links; we give an equivalent restatement of it in terms of our objects of interest.

Proposition 7 ([MM24, Theorem 1.5]). Every ∞ -resolution L_∞ is weakly doubly slice.

In particular, it follows that a symmetric union link L with $\text{tw}(L) = t$ is at most t oriented band moves away from a weakly doubly slice link, namely L_∞ .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Recall that the main input for the Theorem 1 is Proposition 2, which states that performing t band moves on a weakly doubly slice link yields a link with doubly slice genus at most t .

Proposition 2. Let L be a weakly doubly slice link, i.e., $g_{\text{ds}}(L) = 0$. If L can be turned into a link L' by t oriented band moves, then $g_{\text{ds}}(L') \leq t$.

The idea of the proof is to consider a 3-ball $B \subset S^4$ such that $L = \partial B \pitchfork S^3$ and perform t ambient 1-handle attachments to B whose cores are given by the cores of the bands that guide the t band moves, which then guarantees that the genus g handlebody H resulting from attaching the 1-handles satisfies $L' = \partial H \pitchfork S^3$. The authors first saw this strategy implemented in [Che21], in a slightly different context.

A crucial technical step in realising this strategy concerns arranging that $B \subset S^4$ misses the interiors of the cores of the bands; see Case 2 in the proof below. For this we need the following lemma, which is a slight generalisation of [Che21, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 8. Let B be a 3-ball in S^4 with $F := B \pitchfork S^3$, and let F_a be the result of stabilising F along an arc a . Then there exists an ambient isotopy carrying B to a 3-ball B' that fixes the boundary such that $F' := B' \pitchfork S^3$ is given by $F' = F_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \partial(\text{nb}(U))$, where $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the result of stabilising F along a system of arcs \mathcal{A} that contains a , and $U \subset S^3$ is a link in the complement of $F \cup \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, if the arc a starts and ends on the same component of F , then \mathcal{A} can be chosen to be $\{a\}$.

We defer the proof of Lemma 8 to the end of this section, noting that in the case that a starts and ends on the same component of F , the Lemma 8 states what Chen establishes in [Che21, Proof of Lemma 3.7].³

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_t\}$ be a set of disjoint bands that guide the t band moves from L to L' . Recall from Subsection 2.1 that the band b_k is the image of a smooth embedding $\phi_k: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^3$ such that ϕ_k maps $\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$ into L whilst preserving the orientation (i.e., $\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]$ carries the orientation induced from being part of the boundary of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$). Also, let B be a smoothly embedded 3-ball in S^4 that witnesses the double-sliceness of L , that is, B and ∂B intersect $S^3 \subset S^4$ transversally and $\partial B \pitchfork S^3 = L$. We write $F := B \pitchfork S^3$.

Case 1: the bands \mathcal{B} miss F . We first discuss the case when $F \cap b_k = L \cap b_k$ for all $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$; in other words, the case when b_k only intersects F in $\phi_k(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])$. In this case we build a genus g handlebody $H \subset S^4$ by adding 1-handles h_k to B as follows.

Let \mathbb{D} denote the standard unit disc and identify a diameter of it with $[0, 1]$. We define h_k as the image of a smooth embedding $\Phi_k: \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^4$ such that Φ_k restricts to ϕ_k on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$. By construction, the handlebody H resulting from attaching the 1-handles h_k to B is a genus t handlebody such that $\partial H \pitchfork S^3 = L'$. This yields the desired result.

Case 2: the bands \mathcal{B} hit F . Assume now that F intersects some b_k away from $\phi_k(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])$. In the rest of the argument, we show that by an isotopy of B in S^4 that fixes the boundary ∂B , we can arrange for $B \pitchfork S^3$ to only intersect b_k in $\phi_k(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])$. Once this is achieved for every $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$, we argue as in Case 1.

We may assume that F has only one non-closed component; in other words, we have $F = F_0 \cup \dots \cup F_n$, where the components F_l are connected oriented surfaces with $\partial F_0 = L$ and F_l closed for $l > 0$. This can be achieved by iteratively applying the following procedure. First, stabilise a non-closed component of F to another component along an arc a to get a surface F_a . Then, apply Lemma 8 to isotop B to a 3-ball B' with $\partial B = \partial B'$ such that $B' \pitchfork S^3 = F_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \partial(\text{nb}(U))$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and

³To be very precise, we note that [Che21, Lemma 3.7] assumes that $\partial F = K$ is a superslice knot and that ∂B is an unknotted 2-sphere that arises by doubling a slice disc for K ; however, the argument given never makes use of these extra assumptions.

U some link in the complement of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$. Note that stabilisations never disconnect the components; thus, provided we avoid stabilising to closed tori coming from $\partial(\text{nb}(U))$, this procedure eventually yields a surface with a unique non-closed component. We remark that this is the only time in the argument that we invoke Lemma 8 for stabilisation arcs that start and end on different components.

We may also assume that the core of each $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$ intersects F_0 algebraically zero times. This can be achieved by twisting b_k around an arc that attaches it to L ; see Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Modification of b_k that changes the algebraic intersection of the core of b_k with the non-closed component of F without changing the isotopy class of L .

Next, we stabilise F to a surface F' that satisfies $F' \cap b_k = L \cap b_k$. We do this by stabilisations that start and end at the same component of F . Indeed, consider the core I of b_k . If b_k intersects any of the F_l for $l > 0$, then one finds a subarc $I' \subset I$ that starts and ends on the same F_l and the interior of I' misses F . Stabilisation along I' reduces the number of intersections of I with F_l , and we may inductively arrange for I to miss all F_l with $l > 0$ by such stabilisations. Similarly, since the algebraic intersection number between F_0 and I is zero, if I intersects F_0 , then we can find a subarc $I' \subset I$ with endpoints on F_0 such that the interior of I' is disjoint from F and I' approaches F_0 at the boundary from the same side (i.e., the intersection points of I with F_0 that correspond to the endpoints of I' have opposite sign).

By Lemma 8 we can find a boundary-fixing isotopy of B to a 3-ball B' such that $F'' := B' \natural S^3$ is the union of F' and a closed surface that is the boundary of a link in S^3 . Up to a small isotopy, F'' is disjoint from b_k . By sequentially applying the above procedure to each $b_k \in \mathcal{B}$, we may arrange that each b_k intersects the resulting Seifert surface only in $\phi_k(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])$. Hence, we conclude the argument as in Case 1. \square

The proof of our main theorem now follows easily.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let K be a symmetric union knot and let $(D_J \sqcup -D_J)(\infty_1, n_1, \dots, n_t)$ be a diagram for K with $t = \text{tw}(K)$. By Subsection 2.1, the ∞ -resolution K_∞ of the diagram $(D_J \sqcup -D_J)(\infty_1, n_1, \dots, n_t)$ can be turned into K via t band moves. Since K_∞ is weakly doubly slice by Proposition 7, the result follows by Proposition 2. \square

It remains to prove Lemma 8. We invite the reader to compare the proof we give below with the proof of [Che21, Lemma 3.7], where the objects of interest are given by more explicit parametrisations.

Proof of Lemma 8. Let a be a stabilisation arc for F , i.e., a is an arc in S^3 with endpoints p_0 and p_1 in $F \setminus \partial F$ and no further intersections with F . Furthermore, a is

transversal to F and the two orientations of S^3 obtained by extending the orientation of F with an outward pointing tangent vector of a at p_0 and p_1 , respectively, agree.

Let b be an embedded arc in the interior of B with endpoints p_0 and p_1 such that b is transversal to F and the two orientations of B obtained by extending the orientation of F with an outward pointing tangent vector of b at p_0 and p_1 , respectively, agree. Note that we allow for the possibility that $b \setminus \{p_0, p_1\}$ intersects $F \setminus \partial F$. However, if p_0 and p_1 lie on the same component of F , we choose b such that p_0 and p_1 constitute the only intersections between F and b , e.g., by choosing b to lie in a collar of said component of F . Also note that b intersects S^3 an even number of times, since, by our choice of how B hits F at its endpoints, b points into the same hemisphere of S^4 at both ends.

The idea is to find a disc D in S^4 with $\partial D = a \cup b$ and to perform an isotopy of B that is the identity outside a neighbourhood of b and that moves b along D ; the disc D will be a bigon (i.e., a smooth manifold with two corners that is homeomorphic to a disc). We first provide more details on D and then explain the effect of the isotopy.

Let W be a small neighbourhood of $B \cup a$, and let a' and b' be push-offs (normal to S^3 and B) of a and b , respectively, such that $a' \cup b'$ is a smooth curve $l \subset \partial W$.⁴ Let $A \subset W$ be the annulus with two corners that is traced out by the push-off of $a \cup b$ to $a' \cup b'$; the annulus A shall serve as a collar of ∂D in W . See left-hand side of Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Dimension reduced illustration of D , B and B' .

Left: B (green), part of S^3 (light blue), part of $F = B \cap S^3$ (black), a and a' (blue), b and b' (red), A (yellow).

Middle: the bigon D (yellow), the flow Ψ of b under the vector field v (orange arrows).

Right: B' , explicitly drawn are the subsets $B \cap B'$, $\phi(D \times \partial \mathbb{D})$ (green), and $\Psi(b)$ (red).

Noting that W is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times D^3$ and using that every embedded S^1 in S^4 is the boundary of an embedded disc, we find an embedded $D' \subset S^4$ with $\partial D' = D' \cap W \subset \partial W$ that is transversal to ∂W such that $\partial D'$ is a section of the normal projection of ∂W to the core of W . Since l and $\partial D'$ are isotopic in

⁴Here, we are using that a and b both hit F from the same side.

$\partial W \cong S^1 \times S^2$ (e.g., by the 3-dimensional light bulb trick), we may assume (by an isotopy of D') that $\partial D' = l$. We extend this to a smooth bigon with corners $D = D' \cup A$ that has $a \cup b$ as its boundary; see middle of Figure 4.

We further arrange for D to intersect S^3 transversally. The intersection $D \pitchfork S^3$ consists of a union of circles U in the interior of D , the arc a , and a (possibly empty) union $\bigcup_k^n I_k$ of properly embedded intervals with endpoints on b . Note that a is the only interval in this intersection (i.e., $n = 0$) if and only if b is disjoint from F away from p_0 and p_1 .

The result B' of an isotopy of B that is the identity outside a neighbourhood of D and pushes b along D slightly past a is readily seen to have the following intersection with S^3 . The surface $F' := B' \pitchfork S^3$ is a stabilisation of F along the arcs $a, I_1, \dots, I_n \subset S^3$ together with the boundary of a small neighbourhood of the link $U \subset S^3$. To see this more explicitly, we pick a vector field v that is non-zero in a small neighbourhood of D as follows. On D , the vector field v is tangential to D and normal to b , and its time one map $\Psi: S^4 \rightarrow S^4$ maps b slightly past a ; see middle of Figure 4. Since the collar A of D is normal to B and since D intersects S^3 transversally, we find an embedding $\phi: D \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow S^4$ with image $N(D)$ such that $\phi|_{a \times \mathbb{D}}$ (resp., $\phi|_{b \times \mathbb{D}}$) parametrises a cylinder in S^3 (resp., B) with the core a (resp., b); in other words, up to smoothing corners, the trivialisation of the embedded normal bundle of D induces a trivialisation of the embedded normal bundle of $a \cup b$ in $S^3 \cup B$. Furthermore, by transversality, we may arrange that

$$S^3 \cap N(D) = \phi((S^3 \cap D) \times \mathbb{D}) = Z_a \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Z_k \cup \text{nb}(U),$$

where $Z_a := \phi(a \times \mathbb{D})$ and $Z_k := \phi(I_k \times \mathbb{D})$ are cylinders in S^3 with the cores a and I_k , respectively, and $\text{nb}(U) := \phi(U \times \mathbb{D})$ is a neighbourhood of U in S^3 ; see Figure 5.




FIGURE 5. Dimension reduced illustration of $N(D)$ and $S^3 \cap N(D) = \phi(D \cap S^3 \times \mathbb{D}) = Z_a \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Z_k \cup \text{nb}(U)$.

With this notation, one may describe the vector field v in terms of the coordinates given by ϕ^{-1} or a slight extension of it with the domain containing the whole neighbourhood of D . A natural choice is to set $v(x, y) = v(x, 0)$ for all $x \in D$ and $y \in \mathbb{D}$ in coordinates given by ϕ^{-1} , or, in other words, to extend v normally from how it is chosen on D , and then quickly let it become zero outside of $\phi(B \times \mathbb{D})$. However, to be able to explicitly write F' , we modify v slightly such that $B' := \Psi(B)$, where Ψ is the time one flow of v , satisfies $B' \cap N(D) = \phi(D \times \partial \mathbb{D})$; see right-hand side of Figure 4. With this choice of v , we can describe $F' := \partial B' \cap S^3$

explicitly (up to smoothing corners afterwards) as

$$\left(F \setminus \left(B_a \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k \right) \right) \cup M_a \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n M_k \cup \partial(\text{nb}(U)),$$

where $B_a := \phi(\partial a \times \mathbb{D})$ and $B_k := \phi(\partial I_k \times \mathbb{D})$ are the bases of the cylinders Z_a and Z_k , respectively, and $M_a := \phi(a \times \partial \mathbb{D})$ and $M_k := \phi(I_k \times \partial \mathbb{D})$ are the mantles of Z_a and Z_k , respectively. Hence, indeed, F' is the result of stabilising F along a and the arcs I_k union a closed surface given by the neighbourhood of a link $U \subset S^3$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bod+22] H. U. Boden, C. Elmacioglu, A. Guha, H. Karimi, W. Rushworth, Y. Tang, and B. Wang Peng Jun. *On knots that divide ribbon knotted surfaces*. 2022. arXiv: 2209.15577 [math.GT].
- [Che21] W. Chen. “A lower bound for the double slice genus”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 374.4 (2021), pp. 2541–2558.
- [Kam02] S. Kamada. *Braid and knot theory in dimension four*. Vol. 95. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [KS21] L. Karageorghis and F. Swenton. “Determining the doubly slice genera of prime knots with up to 12 crossings”. In: *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications* 30.08 (2021), p. 2150057.
- [KT57] S. Kinoshita and H. Terasaka. “On unions of knots”. In: *Osaka Mathematical Journal* 9 (1957), pp. 131–153.
- [Kös21] F. C. Köse. *On amphichirality of symmetric unions*. 2021. arXiv: 2111.08765 [math.GT].
- [Kös22] F. C. Köse. “Symmetric union of knots, double branched covers and Dehn surgery”. PhD thesis. 2022.
- [Lam21] C. Lamm. “Symmetric union presentations for 2-bridge ribbon knots”. In: *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications* 30.12 (2021).
- [Lam00] C. Lamm. “Symmetric unions and ribbon knots”. In: *Osaka Journal of Mathematics* 37.3 (2000), pp. 537–550.
- [Lam19] C. Lamm. “The search for nonsymmetric ribbon knots”. In: *Experimental Mathematics* 30.3 (2019), pp. 349–363.
- [LM15] C. Livingston and J. Meier. “Doubly slice knots with low crossing number”. In: *New York Journal of Mathematics* 21 (2015), pp. 1007–1026.
- [LM24] C. Livingston and A. H. Moore. *KnotInfo: Table of knot invariants*. 2024.
- [MM24] D. McCoy and C. McDonald. “Doubly slice Montesinos links”. In: *Michigan Mathematical Journal* 74.1 (2024), pp. 85–117.
- [McD19] C. McDonald. “Band number and the double slice genus”. In: *New York Journal of Mathematics* 25 (2019), pp. 964–974.
- [OP21] P. Orson and M. Powell. “A lower bound for the doubly slice genus from signatures”. In: *New York Journal of Mathematics* 27 (2021), pp. 379–392.
- [Tan19] T. Tanaka. “On composite knots with symmetric union presentations”. In: *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications* 28.10 (2019), p. 1950065.
- [Tan21] T. Tanaka. “On satellite knots with symmetric union presentations”. In: *Osaka Journal of Mathematics* 58.3 (2021), pp. 685–696.
- [Tan15] T. Tanaka. “The Jones polynomial of knots with symmetric union presentations”. In: *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society* 52.2 (2015), pp. 389–402.
- [Zee65] E. Zeeman. “Twisting spun knots”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 115 (1965), pp. 471–495.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: vitalijs.brejevs@univie.ac.at

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, RÄMISTRASSE 101, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

Email address: peter.feller@math.ch