

# Hopf bifurcation of a non-parallel Navier-Stokes flow

Zhi-Min Chen

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China*

---

## Abstract

A plane non-parallel flow in a square fluid domain exhibits an odd number of vortices. A spectral structure is found to have a non-real solution of the spectral problem linearized around the flow. With the use of this structure, Hopf bifurcation or secondary time periodic flows branching of a basic square eddy flow is found. In contrast to a square eddy flow involving an even number of vortices in earlier analytical and experimental investigations, instability of the flow leads to steady-state bifurcations.

*Keywords:* Hopf bifurcation, non-parallel flows, Navier-Stokes equations, square eddy flows, bifurcating time periodic flows

*2020 MSC:* 35B32, 35Q30, 76D05, 76E09, 76E25

---

## 1. Introduction

Transitions of a basic flow into steady-state flows and time periodic flows are inherent nonlinear phenomena of viscous incompressible fluid motions governed by Navier-Stokes equations. The study of these phenomena is the first stage for the understanding of the instability nature of Navier-Stokes flows.

Some explicit sinusoidal Navier-Stokes models have been found to have the secondary time periodic flows. For example, Chen and Price [6] and Chen et al. [7] employed Fourier expansion showing the existence of time periodic bifurcating flows from the parallel Kolmogorov flow [1], whose physical motion and instability were measured by Bondarenko *et al.* [2] in a laboratory experiment by taking a Hartmann layer friction into consideration.

---

*Email address:* zmchen@szu.edu.cn (Zhi-Min Chen)

In the present study, we consider the existence of time periodic flows bifurcating from the non-parallel square eddy flow

$$(-\sin x \cos y, \cos x \sin y)$$

in the fluid domain  $\Omega = (0, N\pi) \times (0, N\pi)$  for an odd integer  $N \geq 1$ . When  $N = 1$ , the flow is globally nonlinear stable [3]. This rules out the existence of secondary flows. When the integer  $N = 3$ , the occurrence of secondary time periodic flows were confirmed by numerical computations [8]. When  $n \geq 5$ , we shall show the existence of time periodic flows branching off the basic square eddy flow in the direction of its critical spectral solutions.

Consider the two-dimensional fluid motion governed by the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mu \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\nu > 0$  is viscosity,  $\mu$  is an energy dissipation coefficient with respect to a horizontal friction effect,  $\mathbf{u}$  is the velocity and  $p$  is the pressure of the fluid. The vorticity formulation of (1) driven by the vortical forcing

$$\mathbf{f} = (2\nu + \mu)(-\sin x \cos y, \cos x \sin y)$$

can be expressed as (See [4, 23])

$$-\frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial t} + J(\psi, \Delta \psi) + \nu \Delta^2 \psi - \mu \Delta \psi = (4\nu + 2\mu) \sin x \sin y \quad (2)$$

for the Jacobian  $J(\varphi, \phi) = \partial_y(\phi \partial_x \varphi) - \partial_x(\phi \partial_y \varphi)$ . Here  $\psi$  is the stream function subject to the equation

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla \times \psi = \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right).$$

The boundary condition of the fluid motion is

$$\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = \Delta \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The basic steady-state solution of (2)-(3) is the square eddy flow

$$\psi_0 = \sin x \sin y$$

in the stream function formulation.

By taking the horizontal bottom Hartmann layer friction into consideration, this two-dimensional problem is approximated by a thin layer three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamical fluid motion problem via the laboratory experiments of Sommeria and Verron [21] and Sommeria [22], showing the presence of secondary steady-state bifurcation flows. The equivalent formulation of the experimental fluid motion model [22, 21] and (2)-(3) is shown in [3]. The steady-state bifurcation theory in relating to the experimental steady-state bifurcation phenomena [22, 21] for  $N = 2$  was obtained by the author [4]. The existence of secondary steady-state flows in rectangular domains in response to the experimental secondary steady-state flows of Tabeling *et al.* [24, 25] was presented recently by the author [5].

However, for the square eddy flow with an odd number of vortices, the instability of the square eddy flow behaviours in a different manner, due to the presence of secondary time periodic flows. A spectral structure with respect to this flow is found to have a complex spectral behaviour leading to the existence of the secondary time periodic flows.

Linearizing (2)-(3) by employing the perturbation

$$\psi = \psi_0 + e^{\lambda t} \psi'$$

and omitting the superscript primes, we have the spectral problem

$$0 = -\lambda \Delta \psi + \nu \Delta^2 \psi - \mu \Delta \psi + L\psi \quad \text{for} \quad L\psi = J(\psi_0, (\Delta + 2)\psi), \quad (4)$$

where the eigenfunction  $\psi$  has the Fourier expansion

$$\psi = \sum_{n,m \geq 1} a_{n,m} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \neq 0. \quad (5)$$

Equivalently, the expansion coefficients  $a_{n,m}$  are subject to the algebraic equation [3]

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \sum_{n,m \geq -N} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \left\{ 4N(\lambda \beta_{n,m} + \mu \beta_{n,m} + \nu \beta_{n,m}^2) a_{n,m} \right. \\ \left. + (n-m)[(\beta_{n-N,m-N} - 2)a_{n-N,m-N} - (\beta_{n+N,m+N} - 2)a_{n+N,m+N}] \right. \\ \left. + (n+m)[(\beta_{n-N,m+N} - 2)a_{n-N,m+N} - (\beta_{n+N,m-N} - 2)a_{n+N,m-N}] \right\} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\beta_{n,m} = \frac{n^2+m^2}{N^2}$  and  $a_{n,m} = 0$  whenever  $n \leq 0$  or  $m \leq 0$ .

Due to the non-parallel flow property of  $\psi_0$  running in  $x$  and  $y$  directions, the expansion coefficient  $a_{n,m}$  is effected initially by the following four influence coefficients

$$a_{n-N,m-N}, a_{n-N,m+N}, a_{n+N,m-N}, a_{n+N,m+N}.$$

When  $N = 2$ , there is an eigenfunction balance between  $a_{n,m}$  and its initial influence  $a_{n\pm N,m\pm N}$  and  $a_{n\pm N,m\mp N}$  for  $(n, m)$  having the same parity to  $(n \pm N, m \pm N)$  and  $(n \pm N, m \mp N)$ . Thus a real and simple critical spectral solution was found in a suitable function space, which is flow invariant with respect to the associated nonlinear equation, and hence the existence of steady-state bifurcations was obtained [3, 4]. This steady-state bifurcation analysis can be extended to an even number  $N > 2$ . In contrast, for  $N \geq 3$  odd, there arises a different balance with the indices in the opposite parity. This gives rise to the occurrence of non-real critical eigenfunctions and Hopf bifurcation, confirmed numerically [8] at  $N = 3$ . However, this opposite parity property leads to the absence of a nonlinear flow invariant subspace, in which the simplicity condition holds true.

As shown in [3], we may find eigenfunctions as Fourier expansions generated respectively by the modes  $\sin \frac{n_0 x}{N} \sin \frac{m_0 y}{N}$  with

$$\beta_{n_0, m_0} = \frac{n_0^2 + m_0^2}{N^2} < 2. \quad (7)$$

To seek a suitable eigenfunction space containing non-real critical eigenfunctions for  $N \geq 3$  odd, we assume that the positive index  $(n_0, m_0)$  is subject to the condition

$$N = n_0 + m_0 \quad \text{and} \quad m_0 = n_0 + 1. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the eigenfunction expansion coefficient  $a_{n_0, m_0}$  has the initial influence coefficients

$$a_{-n_0+N, -m_0+N}, a_{-n_0+N, m_0+N}, a_{n_0+N, -m_0+N}, a_{n_0+N, m_0+N} \quad (9)$$

To check the validity of (7) for these indices, by (8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{-n_0+N, -m_0+N} &< 2, \\ \beta_{-n_0+N, m_0+N} &= \frac{(N+1)^2 + (3N+1)^2}{4N^2} > 2, \\ \beta_{-m_0+N, n_0+N} &= \frac{(N-1)^2 + (3N-1)^2}{4N^2} > 2, \quad \text{if } N \geq 5, \\ \beta_{n_0+N, m_0+N} &> 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $N > 5$  shown in (8), it follows from (6) that we have the eigenfunction  $\psi$  generated exactly by the two modes

$$\sin \frac{n_0 x}{N} \sin \frac{m_0 y}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \frac{m_0 x}{N} \sin \frac{n_0 y}{N}, \quad (10)$$

with the integer vectors  $(n_0, m_0)$  subject to (7). Therefore, for  $N \geq 5$ , the Fourier expansion of an eigenfunction generated by the modes (10) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= a_{n_0, n_0+1} \sin \frac{n_0 x}{N} \sin \frac{(n_0+1)y}{N} + a_{n_0+1, n_0} \sin \frac{(n_0+1)x}{N} \sin \frac{n_0 y}{N} \\ &+ \sum_{n, m \geq 1, \beta_{n, m} > 2} a_{n, m} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for the influence coefficients  $a_{n, m}$  subject to the following possible form

$$a_{n_0+jN, m_0+kN}, a_{m_0+jN, n_0+kN}, a_{n_0+jN, n_0+kN}, a_{m_0+jN, m_0+kN} \quad (12)$$

for integers  $j, k \geq 0$ . It is readily seen that  $\beta_{n, m} \neq 2$  for the indices  $(n, m)$  displayed in (12).

By a numerical computation, we obtained the critical eigenfunction given by (11) associated with a pure imaginary eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq 0$  and found that the expansion coefficients are subject to the condition

$$a_{n, m} = -ia_{m, n}, \quad \text{whenever } n \text{ is even and } m \text{ is odd.} \quad (13)$$

Note that  $n_0$  and  $m_0 = n_0 + 1$  have opposite parity. This leads to the opposite parity of the indices  $n$  and  $m$  in (11). We thus consider eigenfunctions in the following space

$$E_N = \left\{ \psi \in H^4 \mid \psi \text{ is expanded as in (11), (8) and (13) so that the influence coefficients indices } n \text{ and } m \text{ have opposite parity} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

which is an invariant eigenfunction space of the spectral operator determined on the right-hand side of (4). Here  $H^4$  is the Hilbert space of functions subject to the boundary condition (3) and equipped with the norm  $\|\Delta^2 \psi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ .

To show the existence of periodic solutions of frequency  $\omega$  of (2), we put  $s = \omega t$  to rewrite (2) as

$$-\omega \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s} + \nu \Delta \psi - \mu \psi + \Delta^{-1} L \psi + \Delta^{-1} J(\psi, \Delta \psi) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Consider solutions in the parabolic Hölder space  $C^{2k+2\alpha, k+\alpha}$ , the Banach space of functions subject to (3) and equipped with the Hölder norm  $\|\cdot\|_{2k+2\alpha, k+\alpha}$  on the space time domain  $\Omega \times [0, 2\pi]$  (See [15, 20]). Moreover, we assume  $C_{2\pi}^{2k+2\alpha, k+\alpha}$  the complete subspace of the functions satisfying the  $2\pi$ -periodic condition in time.  $L_2$  inner products are in the following sense.

$$(\phi, \varphi) = \frac{4}{N^2\pi^2} \int_0^{N\pi} \int_0^{N\pi} \phi \bar{\varphi} dx dy \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\phi, \varphi) ds.$$

We are now in the position to state the main result of the present study.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $N \geq 5$  be an odd integer. Assume that the spectral problem (4)-(5) has a critical spectral solution*

$$(\lambda, \nu, \mu, \psi) = (i\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c, \psi_c) \quad \text{with} \quad \nu_c > 0, \mu_c \geq 0$$

with  $\psi_c \in E_N$ . Here the real  $\omega_c \neq 0$  is unique with respect to  $(\nu_c, \mu_c)$ . Let  $P$  be the projection operator in the following sense

$$P\psi = \psi - \langle \psi, e^{-is}\Delta\psi_c^* \rangle e^{-is}\psi_c - \langle \psi, e^{is}\Delta\bar{\psi}_c^* \rangle e^{is}\bar{\psi}_c \quad (16)$$

where  $\psi_c^*$  is the critical conjugate eigenfunction defined by the conjugate eigenfunction problem

$$0 = i\omega_c\Delta\psi_c^* + \nu_c\Delta^2\psi_c^* - \mu_c\Delta\psi_c^* + L^*\psi_c^* \quad (17)$$

with  $L^*$  defined through the  $L_2$  conjugation

$$(L\phi, \varphi) = (\phi, L^*\varphi) \quad \text{for} \quad \phi, \varphi \in H^4. \quad (18)$$

If

$$\dim \left\{ \psi \in H^4 \mid 0 = (-i\omega_c\Delta + \nu_c\Delta^2 - \mu_c\Delta + L)\psi \right\} = 1, \quad (19)$$

then for any  $\epsilon \neq 0$  sufficiently small, equations (3) and (15) admit a unique element

$$(\omega, \sigma, \phi) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \times PC_{2\pi}^{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha},$$

dependant smoothly on  $\epsilon$ , in a neighborhood of  $(\omega_c, 1, 0)$  so that

$$\psi = \epsilon(e^{-is}\psi_c + e^{is}\bar{\psi}_c + \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad (\omega, \mu, \nu) = (\omega, \sigma\mu_c, \sigma\nu_c)$$

solve (3) and (15).

In comparison with parallel Kolmogorov flow  $\sin y$  running in the single  $y$  direction, the Fourier expansion coefficient  $b_n$  of the corresponding eigenfunction is effected initially by two influence coefficients denoted as  $b_{n-N}$  and  $b_{n+N}$ . Therefore, a continued fraction method [18] was employed to show the existence of critical values leading to the occurrence of Hopf bifurcation of Navier-Stokes flows [6, 7]. However, in the present non-parallel case, the existence of critical values remains open due to a lack of suitable rigorous analysis, although numerical critical values are available [4, 8].

A basic flow loses stability and gives rise to a primary bifurcation, which is essentially determined by the spectral behaviour of a linearized equation around the basic flow. Steady-state bifurcation motion arises in the direction of a single real critical eigenfunction, while Hopf bifurcation occurs in the direction of a pair of conjugate critical eigenfunctions. Therefore, in the viewpoint of rigorous analysis, the former is much easier to be observed in contrast with the later [17].

In the pioneer work of Hopf [11], an eigenfunction simplicity condition and an eigenfunction transversal crossing condition was found to be sufficient to ensure the existence of Hopf bifurcation for a finite dimensional system. The extension of this result to Navier-Stokes equations was given by Joseph and Sattinger [14]. However, as emphasized by Kirchgässner [17] that it is very difficult to find a concrete Navier-Stokes motion model displaying the validity of the two spectral conditions. Positive answers to this validity was obtained in [6, 7] for parallel Kolmogorov related flows, whereas there is little literature available for non-parallel flows. Amongst some assumptions, Galdi [10] studied a non-parallel flow passing a cylinder and obtained the existence of Hopf bifurcation by assuming the transversal crossing condition.

For the present non-parallel square vortex flow, an attempt has been made to show the validity of the transversal crossing condition in Section 3 by following the study of Kolmogorov flows [6, 7]. For the eigenfunction simplicity condition, we adopt the eigenfunction space  $E_N$ , which is invariant with respect to the linear problem (4) and

$$\dim \left\{ \psi \in E_N \mid 0 = (-i\omega_c \Delta + \nu_c \Delta^2 - \mu_c \Delta + L)\psi \right\} \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

Indeed, assuming the existence of two eigenfunctions  $\psi, \psi' \in E_N$  with the same coefficients  $a_{n_0, n_0+1} = a'_{n_0, n_0+1}$  and taking the inner product of the critical spectral equation

$$0 = (-i\omega_c \Delta + \nu_c \Delta^2 - \mu_c \Delta + L)(\psi - \psi')$$

with  $(-\Delta - 2)(\psi - \psi')$ , we have

$$0 = \sum_{n,m \geq 1; \beta_{n,m} > 2} (\nu_c \beta_{n,m}^2 + \mu_c \beta_{n,m}) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m} - a'_{n,m}|^2,$$

since  $a_{n_0+1, n_0} = a'_{n_0+1, n_0}$  due to (13). This gives  $\psi = \psi'$  and the validity of (20). The equality of (20) can be shown by numerical computation. The eigenfunction space  $E_N$  is not flow invariant with respect to the nonlinear problem (2). We thus assume the simplicity condition (19) in the whole space  $H^4$ .

From the laboratory experiments of [21, 22] and the numerical computation of [4, 8], the first critical spectral solutions of (4) are always real and lead to steady-state bifurcations. The bifurcating steady-state solutions are stable and thus observable experimentally [21, 22]. Thus the bifurcating time-periodic flows in the present study is not expected to be stable and is not observable experimentally.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we provide basic spectral properties for the Navier-Stokes equations for the use in the present study. Based on the results from Section 2, we derive some spectral properties at a critical stage in Section 3. Numerical computation of critical spectral solutions are provided in Section 4, where we take  $N = 5$  as displaying purpose. A bifurcation theorem is shown in Section 5. Theorem 1.1 is obtained by the combination of the results in Sections 3 and 4.

## 2. Preliminary spectral analysis

Let us begin with the eigenfunction energy identity, which is of fundamental importance in the present analysis.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(\lambda, \nu, \mu, \psi) \in \mathbb{C} \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times H^4$  solve the spectral problem (4)-(5) with  $N \geq 1$ . Then there holds the identity*

$$0 = \Re \sum_{n,m \geq 1} (\lambda \beta_{n,m} + \mu \beta_{n,m} + \nu \beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2. \quad (21)$$

*Proof.* Taking the inner product of the spectral equation (4) with  $-(\Delta + 2)\psi$  and then integrating by parts by the boundary condition (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Re (-\lambda \Delta \psi - \mu \Delta \psi + \nu \Delta^2 \psi + J(\psi_0, (\Delta + 2)\psi), -(\Delta + 2)\psi) \\ &= \Re (-\lambda \Delta \psi - \mu \Delta \psi + \nu \Delta^2 \psi, -(\Delta + 2)\psi). \end{aligned}$$



This gives (21) after an elemental calculation for the Fourier expansion of  $\psi$ .  $\square$

Consider the conjugate spectral problem

$$0 = -\bar{\lambda}\Delta\psi^* - \mu\Delta\psi^* + \nu\Delta^2\psi^* + L^*\psi^*. \quad (22)$$

Here  $L^*\psi^* = -(\Delta + 2)J(\psi_0, \psi^*)$  is derived from (18).

We have the following characterisation of the conjugate spectral problem:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(\lambda, \nu, \mu, \psi) \in \mathbb{C} \times (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times H^4$  solve the spectral problem (4), (8) and (11) with  $N \geq 5$  odd. Then its conjugate eigenfunction presents in the following form*

$$\psi^* = \sum_{n,m \geq 1} a_{n,m}^* \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \text{ for } a_{n,m}^* = (-1)^m (-\beta_{n,m} + 2) \bar{a}_{n,m}. \quad (23)$$

*Proof.* By the derivation of the conjugate operator, observing that  $\Delta + 2$  is invertible on the set of all functions (11), we can rewrite (22) as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\bar{\lambda}\Delta\psi^* - \mu\Delta\psi^* + \nu\Delta^2\psi^* - (\Delta + 2)J(\psi_0, \psi^*) \\ &= (\Delta + 2)(-\bar{\lambda}\Delta - \mu\Delta + \nu\Delta^2 - L)(\Delta + 2)^{-1}\psi^* \end{aligned}$$

or

$$0 = (-\bar{\lambda}\Delta - \mu\Delta + \nu\Delta^2 - L)(\Delta + 2)^{-1}\psi^*$$

for

$$(\Delta + 2)^{-1}\psi^* = \sum_{n,m \geq 1} b_{n,m} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N}.$$

Hence, consulting with (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{n,m \geq -N} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \left\{ 4N(\bar{\lambda}\beta_{n,m} + \mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2)b_{n,m} \right. \\ &\quad - (n-m)[(\beta_{n-N,m-N} - 2)b_{n-N,m-N} - (\beta_{n+N,m+N} - 2)b_{n+N,m+N}] \\ &\quad \left. - (n+m)[(\beta_{n-N,m+N} - 2)b_{n-N,m+N} - (\beta_{n+N,m-N} - 2)b_{n+N,m-N}] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m$  and  $m \pm N$  have opposite parity due to  $N$  odd, let  $b_{n,m} = (-1)^m \bar{a}_{n,m}$  and apply the complex conjugate to the resultant equation to transform the previous equation into the following:

$$0 = \sum_{n,m \geq -N} (-1)^m \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \left\{ 4N(\lambda\beta_{n,m} + \mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2)a_{n,m} \right. \\ \left. + (n-m)[(\beta_{n-N,m-N} - 2)a_{n-N,m-N} - (\beta_{n+N,m+N} - 2)a_{n+N,m+N}] \right. \\ \left. + (n+m)[(\beta_{n-N,m+N} - 2)a_{n-N,m+N} - (\beta_{n+N,m-N} - 2)a_{n+N,m-N}] \right\},$$

which is identical to the algebraic equation (6) for  $\psi$ . We thus have

$$a_{n,m}^* = (-1)^m (-\beta_{n,m} + 2)\bar{a}_{n,m}$$

and thus the validity of (23).  $\square$

### 3. Verification of the transversal crossing condition

For the spectral problem at the critical stage  $(\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c)$ , let  $(\nu, \mu) = \sigma(\nu_c, \mu_c)$ . Differentiating (4) with respect to  $\sigma$  at  $\sigma = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \sigma} \Delta \psi = \nu_c \Delta^2 \psi - \mu_c \Delta \psi - i\omega_c \Delta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \sigma} + \nu_c \Delta^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \sigma} - \mu_c \Delta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \sigma} + L \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \sigma} \quad (24)$$

Taking the inner product of the previous equation with the conjugate eigenfunction  $\psi^*$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \sigma} (\Delta \psi, \psi^*) = (\nu_c \Delta^2 \psi - \mu_c \Delta \psi, \psi^*) \quad (25)$$

This section is devoted to show the transversal crossing condition  $\Re \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \sigma} \neq 0$  at the critical stage  $(\nu, \mu) = (\nu_c, \mu_c)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $N \geq 5$  odd,  $\lambda = i\Im \lambda \neq 0$ ,  $\nu > 0$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $0 \neq \psi \in E_N$ , assume that  $(\lambda, \nu, \mu, \psi)$  solves the spectral problem (4), (8) and (11). Then we have the properties*

$$(\psi, \Delta \psi^*) \neq 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\Re \frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} \neq 0, \quad (27)$$

where  $\psi^*$  is the conjugate counterpart of  $\psi$ .

Equation (27) is actually the eigenvalue transversal crossing condition. For the square vortex flow problem, Theorem 3.1 shows the validity of the condition.

*Proof.* To prove (26), we see that  $\psi \in E_N$  is in the form of (11). Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, (11) and the property  $a_{n,m} = -ia_{m,n}$  for  $n$  even and  $m$  odd due to  $\psi \in E_N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi, \Delta\psi^*) &= -\left( \sum_{n \text{ even}, m \text{ odd}} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} \right) \beta_{n,m} a_{n,m} \bar{a}_{n,m}^* \\
&= \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} \beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) (a_{n,m}^2 - a_{m,n}^2) \\
&= \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} 2\beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) a_{n,m}^2 \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} (\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2) a_{n_0, n_0+1}^2 + \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}; \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) a_{n,m}^2. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the property  $\Re\lambda = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} 2(\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2 \\
&= 2(\mu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}^2) (\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2) |a_{n_0, n_0+1}|^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2(\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

The combination of (29) and (30) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)| &\geq -2\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} (\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2) |a_{n_0, n_0+1}|^2 \\
&\quad - \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2 \frac{(\mu + \nu\beta_{n,m})\beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2}{\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2 \frac{\nu(\beta_{n,m} - \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n,m} (\beta_{n,m} - 2) |a_{n,m}|^2}{\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}} > 0. \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain (26).

To prove (27), we use Lemma 2.2 and the property  $a_{m,n} = -ia_{n,m}$  for  $m$  even and  $n$  odd to produce

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*) \\
&= \left( \sum_{n \text{ even}, m \text{ odd}} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} \right) (\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) a_{n,m} \overline{a_{n,m}^*} \\
&= - \left( \sum_{n \text{ even}, m \text{ odd}} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} \right) (-1)^m (\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) a_{n,m}^2 \\
&= - \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} (\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) (a_{n,m}^2 - a_{m,n}^2) \\
&= - \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} 2(\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) a_{n,m}^2. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

By (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*) &= (-1)^{n_0} 2(\mu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}^2) (\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2) a_{n_0, n_0+1}^2 \\
&\quad - \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2(\mu\beta_{n,m} + \nu\beta_{n,m}^2) (\beta_{n,m} - 2) a_{n,m}^2. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*) = (-\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})(\psi, \Delta\psi^*) + \nu((\Delta + \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\psi, \Delta\psi^*).$$

By (26), we have

$$\frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} = -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \nu \frac{((\Delta + \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}. \tag{34}$$

If we have the non-zero imaginary part

$$\Im \frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} \neq 0, \tag{35}$$

equation (34) together with (32) and (35) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Re \frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} \\
&= -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \nu \Re \frac{((\Delta + \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\Delta\psi, \psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} \\
&< -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \nu \left| \frac{((\Delta + \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\Delta\psi, \psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} \right| \\
&= -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \frac{\left| \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\nu(\beta_{n,m} - \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n,m}(\beta_{n,m} - 2)a_{n,m}^2 \right|}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\
&\leq -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\nu(\beta_{n,m} - \beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n,m}(\beta_{n,m} - 2)|a_{n,m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\
&= -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\nu\beta_{n,m}^2(\beta_{n,m} - 2)|a_{n,m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\
&\quad - \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2\nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}\beta_{n,m}(\beta_{n,m} - 2)|a_{n,m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|},
\end{aligned}$$

which equals,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} + \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n,m})\beta_{n,m}(\beta_{n,m} - 2)|a_{n,m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\
& - \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n,m} > 2} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n,m}(\beta_{n,m} - 2)|a_{n,m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|},
\end{aligned}$$

which becomes, by (11) and (30),

$$-\mu - \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - \frac{2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}(\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2)|a_{n_0, n_0+1}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\ \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n, m} > 2} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)|a_{n, m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|}.$$

Hence, by (28) and the condition  $\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} < 2$ , we have

$$\Re \frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)} \\ < - \frac{\left| \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)a_{n, m}^2 \right|}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\ + \frac{2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}|\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2||a_{n_0, n_0+1}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\ - \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n, m} > 2} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)|a_{n, m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|},$$

which is upper bounded by

$$\frac{\left| \sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n, m} > 2} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)a_{n, m}^2 \right|}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \\ - \frac{\sum_{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n, m} > 2} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)|a_{n, m}|^2}{|(\psi, \Delta\psi^*)|} \leq 0.$$

This gives the validity of (27) under condition (35).

If (35) is not true, we have

$$\Re \frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\Delta\psi, \psi^*)} = \frac{(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*)}{(\Delta\psi, \psi^*)}.$$

Thus it remains to show that

$$(-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, without loss of generality, we may assume  $a_{n_0, n_0+1} = 1$  as the spectral problem is linear. It follows from (30) and (33) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-\mu\psi + \nu\Delta\psi, \Delta\psi^*) \\
&= (-1)^{n_0} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+1})\beta_{n_0, n_0+1}(\beta_{n_0, n_0+1} - 2) \\
&- \sum_{\substack{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n, m} > 2}} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n, m})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)a_{n, m}^2 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{n \text{ odd}, m \text{ even}, \beta_{n, m} > 2}} 2(\mu + \nu\beta_{n, m})\beta_{n, m}(\beta_{n, m} - 2)((-1)^{n_0}|a_{n, m}|^2 - a_{n, m}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which is not equal to zero, unless  $\Im a_{n, m} \equiv 0$ . We thus obtain (27).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. Numerical spectral solutions

For  $N = n_0 + m_0 \geq 5$  with  $m_0 = n_0 + 1$ , we consider the spectral problem (4) with the eigenfunction in the Fourier expansion (11) generated by the principle modes  $\sin \frac{n_0 x}{N} \sin \frac{m_0 y}{N}$  and  $\sin \frac{m_0 x}{N} \sin \frac{n_0 y}{N}$ . This spectral problem specified in (6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \sum_{n, m \geq -N} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \left\{ (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{n, m})a_{n, m} \right. \\
& + \frac{n-m}{4N\beta_{n, m}} [(\beta_{n-N, m-N} - 2)a_{n-N, m-N} - (\beta_{n+N, m+N} - 2)a_{n+N, m+N}] \\
& \left. + \frac{n+m}{4N\beta_{n, m}} [(\beta_{n-N, m+N} - 2)a_{n-N, m+N} - (\beta_{n+N, m-N} - 2)a_{n+N, m-N}] \right\}, \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

This is a coupled system of infinite algebraic equations. For the understanding of the system, these individual equations are displayed as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, m_0})a_{n_0, m_0} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4N\beta_{n_0, m_0}} [(\beta_{m_0, n_0} - 2)a_{m_0, n_0} - (\beta_{n_0+N, m_0+N} - 2)a_{n_0+N, m_0+N}] \\
&\quad - \frac{N}{4N\beta_{n_0, m_0}} [(\beta_{m_0, m_0+N} - 2)a_{m_0, m_0+N} - (\beta_{n_0+N, n_0} - 2)a_{n_0+N, n_0}], \\
0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{m_0, n_0})a_{m_0, n_0} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4N\beta_{m_0, n_0}} [(\beta_{n_0, m_0} - 2)a_{n_0, m_0} - (\beta_{m_0+N, n_0+N} - 2)a_{m_0+N, n_0+N}] \\
&\quad + \frac{N}{4N\beta_{m_0, n_0}} [(\beta_{m_0+N, m_0} - 2)a_{m_0+N, m_0} - (\beta_{n_0, n_0+N} - 2)a_{n_0, n_0+N}], \\
0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{n_0+N, n_0})a_{n_0+N, n_0} \\
&\quad + \frac{2n_0 + N}{4N\beta_{n_0+N, n_0}} [(\beta_{n_0+2N, m_0} - 2)a_{n_0+2N, m_0} + (\beta_{n_0, n_0+N} - 2)a_{n_0, n_0+N}] \\
&\quad - \frac{N}{4N\beta_{n_0+N, n_0}} [(\beta_{n_0, m_0} - 2)a_{n_0, m_0} + (\beta_{n_0+2N, n_0+N} - 2)a_{n_0+2N, n_0+N}], \\
0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{n_0, n_0+N})a_{n_0, n_0+N} \\
&\quad - \frac{2n_0 + N}{4N\beta_{n_0, n_0+N}} [(\beta_{m_0, n_0+2N} - 2)a_{m_0, n_0+2N} + (\beta_{n_0+N, n_0} - 2)a_{n_0+N, n_0}] \\
&\quad + \frac{N}{4N\beta_{n_0, n_0+N}} [(\beta_{m_0, n_0} - 2)a_{m_0, n_0} + (\beta_{n_0+N, n_0+2N} - 2)a_{n_0+N, n_0+2N}], \\
&\quad \dots, \\
0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{n, m})a_{n, m} \\
&\quad + \frac{n - m}{4N\beta_{n, m}} [(\beta_{n-N, m-N} - 2)a_{n-N, m-N} - (\beta_{n+N, m+N} - 2)a_{n+N, m+N}] \\
&\quad + \frac{n + m}{4N\beta_{n, m}} [(\beta_{n-N, m+N} - 2)a_{n-N, m+N} - (\beta_{n+N, m-N} - 2)a_{n+N, m-N}] \Big\}, \\
&\quad \dots
\end{aligned}$$

for  $(n, m) = (n_0 + jN, m_0 + kN)$ ,  $(m_0 + jN, n_0 + kN)$ ,  $(n_0 + jN, n_0 + kN)$  and  $(m_0 + jN, m_0 + kN)$ , respectively, with positive integers  $j$  and  $k$ .

For the odd integer  $N \geq 5$ , this equation system is essentially the same from viewpoint of numerical computation. Numerical critical spectral solution is obtained. The numerical eigenvalue  $\lambda = i\omega_c \neq 0$  reaches the imaginary



line at a critical value  $(\nu_c, \mu_c)$ . This critical parameter  $(\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c)$  is unique under the condition  $\mu_c = 0$ . The corresponding numerical eigenfunction  $\psi_c$  is unique up to a constant factor. The coefficients of  $\psi_c$  are subject to the condition

$$a_{n,m} = -ia_{m,n}, \quad \text{for } n \text{ even and } m \text{ odd.} \quad (37)$$

Hence the equality of (20) is true by numerical computation.

For displaying purpose, we only provide computation results for  $N = 5$ . The corresponding spectral equations become

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{2,3})a_{2,3} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\beta_{3,2} - 2)a_{3,2} - (\beta_{7,8} - 2)a_{7,8}}{20\beta_{2,3}} - \frac{(\beta_{3,8} - 2)a_{3,8} - (\beta_{7,2} - 2)a_{7,2}}{4\beta_{2,3}}, \\ 0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{3,2})a_{3,2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\beta_{2,3} - 2)a_{2,3} - (\beta_{8,7} - 2)a_{8,7}}{20\beta_{3,2}} + \frac{(\beta_{8,3} - 2)a_{8,3} - (\beta_{2,7} - 2)a_{2,7}}{4\beta_{3,2}}, \\ 0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{7,2})a_{7,2} \\ &\quad + 9\frac{(\beta_{12,3} - 2)a_{12,3} + (\beta_{2,7} - 2)a_{2,7}}{20\beta_{7,2}} - \frac{(\beta_{2,3} - 2)a_{2,3} + (\beta_{12,7} - 2)a_{12,7}}{4\beta_{7,2}}, \\ 0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{2,7})a_{2,7} \\ &\quad - 9\frac{(\beta_{3,12} - 2)a_{3,12} + (\beta_{7,2} - 2)a_{7,2}}{20\beta_{2,7}} + \frac{(\beta_{3,2} - 2)a_{3,2} + (\beta_{7,12} - 2)a_{7,12}}{4\beta_{2,7}}, \\ &\quad \dots, \\ 0 &= (\lambda + \mu + \nu\beta_{n,m})a_{n,m} \\ &\quad + \frac{n-m}{4N\beta_{n,m}} [(\beta_{n-N,m-N} - 2)a_{n-N,m-N} - (\beta_{n+N,m+N} - 2)a_{n+N,m+N}] \\ &\quad + \frac{n+m}{4N\beta_{n,m}} [(\beta_{n-N,m+N} - 2)a_{n-N,m+N} - (\beta_{n+N,m-N} - 2)a_{n+N,m-N}], \\ &\quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

for  $(n, m) = (2+5j, 3+5k)$ ,  $(3+5j, 2+5k)$ ,  $(2+5j, 2+5k)$  and  $(3+5j, 3+5k)$ , respectively, with positive integers  $j$  and  $k$ .

For the critical spectral solutions  $(i\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c, \psi_c)$  and  $(-i\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c, \bar{\psi}_c)$  at the pure viscosity case, we obtain  $(\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c) \approx (0.1397, 0.2064, 0)$ . Their




Figure 1: Real and imaginary parts of the critical eigenfunction  $\psi_c \in E_5$  at  $(i\omega_c, \nu_c, \mu_c) \approx (0.1397, 0.2064, 0)$ .

corresponding critical eigenfunction  $\psi_c$  generated by the modes  $\sin \frac{2x}{5} \sin \frac{3y}{5}$  and  $\sin \frac{3x}{5} \sin \frac{2y}{5}$  is displayed in Figure 1.

It should be noted that the number of algebraic equations are significantly reduced after the use of (37), as (36) can be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \sum_{n,m \geq -N; n \text{ even}, m \text{ odd}} \sin \frac{nx}{N} \sin \frac{my}{N} \left\{ (\lambda + \mu + \nu \beta_{n,m}) i a_{m,n} \right. & (38) \\
& + \frac{n-m}{4N\beta_{n,m}} [(\beta_{n-N,m-N} - 2)a_{n-N,m-N} - (\beta_{n+N,m+N} - 2)a_{n+N,m+N}] \\
& \left. + \frac{n+m}{4N\beta_{n,m}} [(\beta_{n-N,m+N} - 2)a_{n-N,m+N} - (\beta_{n+N,m-N} - 2)a_{n+N,m-N}] \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which only involves the expansion coefficients with the same parity indices.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By the derivation of [14, Lemmas 7.3-7.6], we have the following invertibility lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *For a critical value  $(\mu_c, \nu_c)$  with  $\nu_c > 0$  and  $\mu_c \geq 0$ , we assume that the critical spectral problem*

$$(-\mu_c + \nu_c \Delta + \Delta^{-1}L)\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad \Im \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } 0 \neq \psi \in H^4$$

has exactly two spectral solutions  $(-i\omega_c, \psi_c)$  and  $(i\omega_c, \bar{\psi}_c)$ . Then the operator  $(-\omega_c \partial_s - \mu + \nu_c \Delta + \Delta^{-1}L)$  is a bijection mapping  $PC_{2\pi}^{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha}$  onto  $PC_{2\pi}^{2\alpha, \alpha}$  for  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  and

$$\|P\psi\|_{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} \leq C \|(-\omega_c \partial_s + \nu_c \Delta + \Delta^{-1}L)P\psi\|_{2\alpha, \alpha},$$

where  $C$  is a generic constant throughout the paper.

Theorem 1.1 is implied from Theorem 3.1 and the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *In addition to the assumption of Lemma 5.1, we assume that*

$$(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*) \neq 0 \tag{39}$$

and

$$\Re \frac{(-\mu\psi_c + \nu\Delta\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} \neq 0. \tag{40}$$

Then for any  $\epsilon \neq 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a unique element

$$(\omega, \sigma, \phi) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times PC_{2\pi}^{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha},$$

dependant smoothly on  $\epsilon$ , in a neighborhood of  $(\omega_c, 1, 0)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \epsilon(e^{-is}\psi_c + e^{is}\bar{\psi}_c + \phi), \\ (\mu, \nu) &= \sigma(\mu_c, \nu_c) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

solves (3) and (15).

Hopf bifurcation theorem under sufficient conditions has been well studied. For example, Crandall and Rabinowitz [9, Theorem 1.11] and Kielhöfer [16, Theorem I.8.2] considered general nonlinear dynamic systems and provided sufficient conditions for the occurrence of Hopf bifurcation. Amongst these conditions, the principal sufficient ones are eigenfunction simplicity and eigenvalue transversal crossing conditions. Independently of [14], Similar Hopf bifurcation analysis on Navier-Stokes equations was also given by Iudovich [12, 13] and Sattinger [20]. Nevertheless, the Hopf bifurcation analysis on a Navier-Stokes fluid motion model studied by Joseph and Sattinger [14] is most suitable to the present study. However, strictly speaking, the present fluid motion equation and that of [14] have several differences with

respect to boundary conditions, energy dissipations and equation formulations (vorticity formulation in the present and velocity formulation in [14]). Thus for the completion of rigorous analysis, we prefer to provide a complete proof for Theorem 5.1 by developing the analysis from Joseph and Sattinger [14] via the use of the solution perturbation form (41). This perturbation can also be found in Rabinowitz [19] for a steady-state bifurcation problem. In addition, our proof is straightforward and is obtained simply from setting up a contraction mapping, which is an immediate consequence of the equation nonlinearity and the eigenfunction simplicity and eigenvalue transversal crossing conditions.

*Proof.* We adopt the notation

$$\varphi_c \triangleq e^{-is}\psi_c, \quad \bar{\varphi}_c \triangleq e^{is}\bar{\psi}_c, \quad \phi_c \triangleq \varphi_c + \bar{\varphi}_c.$$

On the substitution of  $\psi = \epsilon(\phi_c + \phi)$  into (15) and with division by  $\epsilon$  to the resultant equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( (-\omega_c \partial_s - \mu_c + \nu_c \Delta + \Delta^{-1} L) \psi - (\omega - \omega_c) \partial_s \psi \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\mu - \mu_c) \psi + (\nu - \nu_c) \Delta \psi + \Delta^{-1} J(\psi, \Delta \psi) \right) \\ &= (-\omega_c \partial_s - \mu_c + \nu_c \Delta + \Delta^{-1} L) \phi - (\omega - \omega_c) \partial_s (\phi_c + \phi) \\ &\quad + (\sigma - 1) (-\mu_c + \nu_c \Delta) (\phi_c + \phi) + \epsilon \Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Since  $P\phi = \phi$  and  $\phi$  is  $2\pi$  periodic, we have

$$\langle \partial_s \phi, \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\partial_s \phi, e^{-is} \Delta \psi_c^*) ds = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\langle \partial_s \phi_c, \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\partial_s (e^{-is} \psi_c + e^{is} \bar{\psi}_c), e^{-is} \Delta \psi_c^*) ds = -i \langle \psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^* \rangle, \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\mu_c \phi_c + \nu_c \Delta \phi_c, \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} ((-\mu_c + \nu_c \Delta) (e^{-is} \psi_c + e^{is} \bar{\psi}_c), e^{-is} \Delta \psi_c^*) ds \\ &= (-\mu_c \psi_c + \nu_c \Delta \psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Therefore, taking the inner product of (42) with  $\Delta \varphi_c^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= i(\omega - \omega_c) \langle \psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^* \rangle + (\sigma - 1) \langle -\mu_c \psi_c + \nu_c \Delta \psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^* \rangle \\ &\quad + (\sigma - 1) \langle -\mu_c \phi + \nu_c \Delta \phi, \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle + \epsilon \langle \Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

That is, after the division of the previous equation by  $(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)$  due to (39),

$$0 = i(\omega - \omega_c) + (\sigma - 1) \frac{(-\mu_c\psi_c + \nu_c\Delta\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} \quad (46)$$

$$+ (\sigma - 1) \frac{\langle -\mu_c\phi + \nu_c\Delta\phi, \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} + \epsilon \frac{\langle \Delta^{-1}J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}.$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts of (46), we have

$$\sigma - 1 = f_\epsilon \quad (47)$$

with

$$f_\epsilon \triangleq - \frac{(\sigma - 1) \Re \frac{\langle -\mu_c\phi + \nu_c\Delta\phi, \Delta\psi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} + \epsilon \Re \frac{\langle \Delta^{-1}J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}}{\Re \frac{(-\mu_c + \nu_c\Delta\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}}, \quad (48)$$

$$\omega - \omega_c = -f_\epsilon \Im \frac{(-\mu_c\psi_c + \nu_c\Delta\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} - (\sigma - 1) \Im \frac{\langle -\mu_c\phi + \nu_c\Delta\phi, \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}$$

$$- \epsilon \Im \frac{\langle \Delta^{-1}J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} \triangleq g_\epsilon. \quad (49)$$

By using the mappings  $f_\epsilon$  and  $g_\epsilon$ , we rewrite (42) as

$$-(-\omega_c\partial_s - \mu_c + \nu_c\Delta + \Delta^{-1}L)\phi$$

$$= -(\omega - \omega_c)\partial_s\phi + (\sigma - 1)(-\mu_c + \nu_c\Delta)\phi - g_\epsilon\partial_s\phi_c$$

$$+ f_\epsilon(-\mu_c + \nu_c\Delta)\phi_c + \epsilon\Delta^{-1}J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)). \quad (50)$$

Let  $\Phi_\epsilon$  denote the right-hand side of (50). To check  $\Phi_\epsilon$  to be orthogonal to  $\Delta\varphi_c^*$  and  $\Delta\overline{\varphi_c^*}$ , we see that, by (43)-(45),

$$\langle \Phi_\epsilon, \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle = (\sigma - 1)\langle -\mu_c\phi + \nu_c\Delta\phi, \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle + ig_\epsilon(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)$$

$$+ f_\epsilon(-\mu_c\psi_c + \nu_c\Delta\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)$$

$$+ \epsilon\langle \Delta^{-1}J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle, \quad (51)$$

or

$$\frac{\langle \Phi_\epsilon, \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} = (\sigma - 1) \frac{\langle \mu_c\phi + \nu_c\Delta\phi, \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)} + ig_\epsilon$$

$$+ f_\epsilon \frac{(-\mu_c\psi_c + \nu_c\Delta\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}$$

$$+ \epsilon \frac{\langle \Delta^{-1}J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta\varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta\psi_c^*)}. \quad (52)$$

This together with (48) and (49) gives

$$\langle \Phi_\epsilon, \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle = 0.$$

Arguing in the same way, we have

$$\langle \Phi_\epsilon, \Delta \overline{\varphi_c^*} \rangle = 0.$$

Hence we have  $P\Phi_\epsilon = \Phi_\epsilon$ . Applying Lemma 5.1 to (42), we have

$$\phi = (-\omega_c \partial_s - \mu_c + \nu_c \Delta + \Delta^{-1} L)^{-1} \Phi_\epsilon \triangleq h_\epsilon. \quad (53)$$

Thus (42) is rewritten into the fixed point problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma - 1, \omega - \omega_c, \phi) &= F_\epsilon(\sigma - 1, \omega - \omega_c, \phi) \\ &\triangleq \left( f_\epsilon(\sigma - 1, \phi), g_\epsilon(\sigma - 1, \phi), h_\epsilon(\sigma - 1, \omega - \omega_c, \phi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to check that  $F_\epsilon$  is a contraction mapping on the complete metric space

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \left\{ (\sigma - 1, \omega - \omega_c, \phi) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \times PC_{2\pi}^{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} \right\} \\ &\quad \left\| (\sigma - 1, \omega - \omega_c, \phi) \right\|_X = |\sigma - 1| + |\omega - \omega_c| + \|\phi\|_{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} \leq M \end{aligned}$$

for a constant  $M$  to be determined.

To check the injection property  $F_\epsilon : X \mapsto X$ , we use the Hölder estimate and the boundedness of the operator  $\Delta^{-1} \nabla$  to produce

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\epsilon| &= \left| \frac{(\sigma - 1) \Re \frac{\langle -\mu_c \phi + \nu_c \Delta \phi, \Delta \psi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)} + \epsilon \Re \frac{\langle \Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta \varphi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)}}{\Re \frac{(-\mu_c + \nu_c \Delta \psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)}{(\psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)}} \right| \\ &\leq C \left( |\sigma - 1| \|\Delta \phi\|_{L_2} + \epsilon \|\Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c, \Delta \phi_c)\|_{L_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_2} + \epsilon \|\Delta \phi\|_{L_2} + \epsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_\infty} \|\Delta \phi\|_{L_2} \right) \\ &\leq C(M^2 + \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon M^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|g_\epsilon| &\leq \left| f_\epsilon \Im \frac{\langle -\mu_c \psi_c + \nu_c \Delta \psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)} + (\sigma - 1) \Im \frac{\langle -\mu_c \phi + \nu_c \Delta \phi, \Delta \phi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon \Im \frac{\langle \Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi)), \Delta \phi_c^* \rangle}{(\psi_c, \Delta \psi_c^*)} \right| \\
&\leq C(|f_\epsilon| + |\sigma - 1| \|\Delta \phi\|_{L_2} + \epsilon \|\Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c, \Delta \phi_c)\|_{L_2}) \\
&\quad + \epsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_2} + \epsilon \|\Delta \phi\|_{L_2} + \epsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_\infty} \|\Delta \phi\|_{L_2} \\
&\leq C(M^2 + \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon M^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h_\epsilon\|_{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} &\leq C \|\Phi_\epsilon\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} \\
&\leq C \|(\omega - \omega_c) \partial_s \phi + (\sigma - 1)(-\mu_c \phi + \nu_c \Delta \phi) + g_\epsilon \partial_s \phi_c \\
&\quad + f_\epsilon(-\mu_c \phi_c + \nu_c \Delta \phi_c) + \epsilon \Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c + \phi, \Delta(\phi_c + \phi))\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} \\
&\leq C \left( |\omega - \omega_c| \|\phi\|_{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} + |\sigma - 1| \|\phi\|_{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} + |g_\epsilon| + |f_\epsilon| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon \|\Delta^{-1} J(\phi_c, \Delta \phi_c)\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} + \epsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} + \epsilon \|\Delta \phi\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon \|\nabla \phi\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} \|\Delta \phi\|_{2\alpha, \alpha} \right) \\
&\leq C(M^2 + |g_\epsilon| + |f_\epsilon| + \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon M^2) \\
&\leq C(M^2 + \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon M^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms , we have

$$\|F_\epsilon\|_X = |f_\epsilon| + |g_\epsilon| + \|h_\epsilon\|_{2+2\alpha, 1+\alpha} \leq C(M^2 + \epsilon + \epsilon M + \epsilon M^2). \quad (54)$$

For the constant  $C$  in (54), we take the parameters  $\epsilon$  and  $M$  sufficiently small so that

$$2C\epsilon \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad C(M + \epsilon + \epsilon M) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This gives the injection property

$$\|F_\epsilon(\omega - \omega_c, \sigma - 1, \phi)\|_X \leq M \quad \text{for } (\omega - \omega_c, \sigma - 1, \phi) \in X.$$

Similarly, we have the contraction property

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F_\epsilon(\omega' - \omega_c, \sigma' - 1, \phi') - F_\epsilon(\omega'' - \omega_c, \sigma'' - 1, \phi'')\|_X \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\omega' - \omega_c, \sigma' - 1, \phi') - (\omega'' - \omega_c, \sigma'' - 1, \phi'')\|_X
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $(\omega' - \omega_c, \sigma' - 1, \phi'), (\omega'' - \omega_c, \sigma'' - 1, \phi'') \in X$ , provided that  $\epsilon$  and  $M$  are sufficiently small.

Therefore, by the Banach contraction mapping principle, the operator  $F_\epsilon$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . We thus have the desired result.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete. □

**Acknowledgement.** This research is supported by The Shenzhen Natural Science Fund of China (the stable Support Plan Program No. 20220805175116001).

## References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, L. D. Meshalkin, A. N. Kolmogorov's seminar on selected problems of analysis (1958-1959). *Russ. Math. Surv.* **15** (1960), 247-250.
- [2] N. F. Bondarenko, M. Z. Gak, F. V. Dolzhanskii, Laboratory and theoretical models of a plane periodic flow. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmos. Okeana*, **15** (1979), 1017-1026.
- [3] Z. M. Chen, Instability of two-dimensional square eddy flows. *Phys. Fluids* **31**, 044107 (2019).
- [4] Z. M. Chen, Steady-state bifurcation of a non-parallel flow involving energy dissipation over a Hartmann boundary layer. *J. Nonl. Sci.* **31**:91 (2021).
- [5] Z. M. Chen, Secondary flows from a linear array of vortices perturbed principally by a Fourier mode. *J. Nonl. Sci.* **32**:48 (2022).
- [6] Z. M. Chen, W. G. Price, Remarks on the time dependent periodic Navier-Stokes flows on a two-dimensional torus. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **207** (1999), 81 - 106.
- [7] Z. M. Chen, M. Ghil, E. Simonnet, S. Wang, Hopf bifurcation in quasi-geostrophic channel flow. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **64** (2003), 343-368.
- [8] Z. M. Chen, W. G. Price, Secondary steady-state and time-periodic flows from a basic flow with square array of odd number of vortices. *Appl. Math. Mech.* **44** (2023), 447-458.



- [9] M. G. Crandall, P. H. Rabinowitz, The Hopf bifurcation theorem in infinite dimensions. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **67** (1977), 53-72.
- [10] G. P. Galdi, On bifurcating time-periodic flow of a Navier-Stokes liquid past a cylinder. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **222** (2016), 285-315.
- [11] E. Hopf, Abzweigung einer periodischen Lösung von einer stationären Lösung eines Differentialsystems. *Ber. Math. Phys. Kl. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig* **94** (1942), 3-22.
- [12] V. I. Iudovich, The onset of auto-oscillations in a fluid. *Prikl. Mat. Mek.* **35** (1971), 638-655.
- [13] V. I. Iudovich, Investigation of auto-oscillations of a continuous medium occurring at loss of stability of a stationary mode. *Prikl. Mat. Mek.* **36** (1972), 450-459.
- [14] D. D. Joseph, D. H. Sattinger, Bifurcating time periodic solutions and their stability. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **45** (1972), 75-109.
- [15] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*. Rvsd. 2nd edition. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [16] H. Kielhöfer, *Bifurcation Theory: An Introduction with Applications to PDEs*. Springer, New York, 2004
- [17] K. Kirchgässner, Bifurcation in nonlinear hydrodynamic stability. *SIAM Rev.* **17** (1975), 652-683.
- [18] L. D. Meshalkin, Ya. G. Sinai, Investigation of the stability of a stationary solution of a system of equations for the plane movement of an incompressible viscous fluid. *J. Math. Mech.* **19** (1961), 1700-1705.
- [19] P. H. Rabinowitz, Existence and nonuniqueness of rectangular solutions of the Bénard problem. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **29** (1968), 32-57.
- [20] O. Sattinger, Bifurcation of periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **41** (1971), 66-80.
- [21] J. Sommeria, J. Verron, An investigation of nonlinear interactions in a two-dimensional recirculating flow. *Phys. Fluids* **27**, 1918 (1984).

- [22] J. Sommeria, Experimental study of the two-dimensional inverse energy cascade in a square box. *J. Fluid Mech.* **170** (1986), 139-168.
- [23] A. Thess, Instabilities in two-dimensional spatially periodic flows. Part II: Square eddy lattice. *Phys. Fluids A* **4**, 1396 (1992).
- [24] P. Tabeling, B. Perrin, S. Fauve, Instability of a linear array of forced vortices. *Europhys. Lett.* **3** (1987), 459-465.
- [25] P. Tabeling, O. Cardoso, S. Fauve, Chaos in a linear array of vortices. *J. Fluid Mech.* **213** (1990), 511-530.