Jiangdong Ai^{*}, Fankang He[†], Yihang Liu[‡], Bo Ning[§]

Abstract

Let G be a graph on n vertices with degree sequence (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) . For a real $p \geq 1$, let $D_p(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^p$. A Turán-type problem of degree power sum was initiated by Caro and Yuster [5]: determining the function $D_p(n, H) := \max\{D_p(G) : G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } H\text{-free graph}\}$. They obtained some exact values for certain graphs H. For a path P_k , they mentioned that "a close examination of the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that the value of $n_0(k)$ in the statement of the theorem is $O(k^2)$ ", namely, they could show the n-vertex P_k -free graph with maximum degree power sum is $W_{n,k-1,\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor-1} = K_{\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor-1} \vee \left((n-\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil)K_1 \cup K_{1+k-2\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor}\right)$ when $n \geq ck^2$ for some constant c. In this note, we improve their result to a linear size of k by a different approach. The bound is tight up to a constant factor.

1 Introduction

Let G be a graph on n vertices whose degree sequence is (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) . For a real $p \geq 1$, we denote the *degree power sum* of G by $D_p(G) := \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^p$. This parameter, which is also known as the *general zeroth-order Randić index*, is well-studied in chemical graph theory, see the comprehensive survey [2]. Caro and Yuster [5] initiated a Turán-type problem of degree power sum: determining the function $D_p(n, H) := \max\{D_p(G) : G \text{ is an } n \text{-vertex } H \text{-free graph}\}$. Since then, a lot of research on this Turán-type problem has appeared, see [3–10].

In this note, we focus on this Turán-type problem when the forbidden graph is a path. Caro and Yuster [5] determined $D_p(n, P_k)$ for any integers $k \ge 2$, $p \ge 2$ and sufficiently large n, and characterized the corresponding extremal graphs. Define $W_{n,k,s}$ to be a graph on n vertices, in which its vertex set can be partitioned into three subsets X, Y, Z such that |X| = s, |Y| = k - 2s, |Z| = n - (k - s), and the edge set consists of all possible edges between X and Z and all edges in $X \cup Y$.

Theorem 1 ([5]). For two integers $k \ge 4$ and $p \ge 2$, there exists a positive integer $n_0 = n_0(k)$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$, the following holds. If G is an n-vertex P_k -free graph with maximum degree power sum $D_p(G)$, then G is $W_{n,k-1,t}$ where $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

^{*}Corresponding author. School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. Email: jd@nankai.edu.cn.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. Email: hefankang@mail.nankai.edu.cn.

[‡]School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. Email: liuyihang@mail.nankai.edu.cn.

[§]College of Computer Science, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, P.R. China. Email: bo.ning@nankai.edu.cn. Partially supported by the NSFC grants (Nos. 12371350 and 11971346).

Note that Caro and Yuster obtained the above result for integers $p \ge 2$. A natural question arising from Theorem 1 is to determine, for fixed real p, how large $n_0(k)$ should be as a function of k. Caro and Yuster mentioned that "a close examination of the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that the value of $n_0(k)$ in the statement of the theorem is $O(k^2)$ " (quoted from [5]). In this note, by a different method, we prove that $n_0(k)$ can be as small as 10k. And our result extends Theorem 1 to any real $p \ge 2$. We remark that the bound is tight up to a constant factor. Note that K_{k-1} , rather than $W_{k-1,k-1,t}$ where $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$, attains the maximum degree power sum among all (k - 1)-vertex P_k -free graphs. This yields $n_0(k) \ge k$.

Theorem 2. For an integer $k \ge 4$ and real $p \ge 2$, let G be an n-vertex P_k -free graph with the maximum degree power sum $D_p(G)$. If $n \ge 10k$, then G is $W_{n,k-1,t}$ where $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Let us outline the main ideas behind the proof of Theorem 2. Given an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph G with the largest degree power sum, we will first show that there are a few components. This will allow us to use structural information to guarantee the existence of a large component G_i . Next, we will show that if there is any other component G_j , then we can use G_i to "absorb" G_j to get a larger degree power sum which contradicts the maximality of the degree power sum of G.

2 Proofs

Recently, Ai, Lei, Ning, and Shi [1] proved two connected versions of Theorem 1. Based on these results, we characterize some structures in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 1 ([1]). Let $k \ge 4$ and $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and let $p \ge 2$. The following holds for all $n \ge k$. If G is a connected n-vertex P_k -free graph with maximum degree power sum $D_p(G)$, then G is $W_{n,k-1,1}$ or $W_{n,k-1,t}$.

Lemma 2 ([1]). Let $k \ge 4$ and $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and let $p \ge 2$. The following holds for all $n \ge 2k$. If G is a connected n-vertex P_k -free graph with maximum degree power sum $D_p(G)$, then G is $W_{n,k-1,t}$.

The following lemma is useful in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 3. Let $a \ge b > 0$ and $p \ge 2$. Then $(a + b)^p \ge a^p + pa^{p-1}b + b^p$. In particular, $(a + b)^p \ge a^p + (p + 1)b^p$.

Proof. We first show that $(x+1)^p \ge x^p + px^{p-1} + 1$ when $x \ge 1$. Let $f(x) = (x+1)^p - x^p - px^{p-1} - 1$ and so $f(1) = 2^p - p - 2 \ge 0$. Taking derivative of f(x), we get $f'(x) = p((x+1)^{p-1} - x^{p-1} - (p-1)x^{p-2})$. Note that $f'(x) \ge 0$ due to convexity of x^{p-1} . Hence, $f(x) \ge 0$ when $x \ge 1$ as desired. Then

$$(a+b)^{p} = b^{p} \left(\frac{a}{b} + 1\right)^{p} \ge b^{p} \left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{p} + p\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{p-1} + 1\right) = a^{p} + pa^{p-1}b + b^{p} \ge a^{p} + (p+1)b^{p}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be an n-vertex P_k -free graph which attains the maximum degree power sum $D_p(G)$ where $p \ge 2$. Let $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and let G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_ℓ be all components of G. Let $n_i = |G_i|$ for $i \in [\ell]$. By Lemma 1, we can immediately get the following claim.

Claim 1. For any component G_i , where $1 \le i \le \ell$, if $n_i \le k - 1$, then G_i is a clique; if $n_i \ge k$, then $G_i \in \{W_{n_i,k-1,1}, W_{n_i,k-1,t}\}$.

Proof. When $n_i \leq k - 1$, then any n_i -vertex graph is P_k -free. Hence, K_{n_i} would attain the largest degree power sum among all n_i -vertex graphs. When $n_i \geq k$, by Lemma 1, we have $G_i \in \{W_{n_i,k-1,1}, W_{n_i,k-1,t}\}$.

In the rest of this proof, we say that a component G_i is a Type-A component if $G_i = W_{n_i,k-1,1}$, and is a Type-B component if $G_i = W_{n_i,k-1,t}$ where $t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$.

Claim 2. For any two components G_1 and G_2 of order $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq k - 1$, we have $n_1 + n_2 \geq k$.

Proof. Suppose that $n_1 + n_2 \leq k - 1$. Then G_i must be a clique for i = 1, 2. Note that $D_p(G_1 \cup G_2) = n_1(n_1 - 1)^p + n_2(n_2 - 1)^p$. If we replace $G_1 \cup G_2$ with $K_{n_1+n_2}$ and denote the new graph by G'. Then G' is still P_k -free since $n_1 + n_2 \leq k - 1$. And $D_p(K_{n_1+n_2}) = (n_1 + n_2)(n_1 + n_2 - 1)^p$. Note that $D_p(G_1 \cup G_2) = n_1(n_1 - 1)^p + n_2(n_2 - 1)^p \leq (n_1 + n_2 - 1)((n_1 - 1)^p + (n_2 - 1)^p) < D_p(K_{n_1+n_2})$, as there holds the inequality $x^p + y^p < (x + y + 1)^p$ where $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ and $p \geq 2$. Now, we proved G' has a larger degree power sum than G, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Claim 3. There exists at most one component of order at most k-2.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two components, say G_1 and G_2 , of order $n_1 \leq k-2$ and $n_2 \leq k-2$, respectively. By Claim 2, $n_1 + n_2 \geq k$. Let $n'_1 = k-1$ and $n'_2 = n_1 + n_2 - k + 1$. Note that $n'_2 \leq k-3$, then $K_{n'_1} \cup K_{n'_2}$ contains no P_k . Recall that $D_p(K_r) = r(r-1)^p$. Then $D_p(K_{n'_1} \cup K_{n'_2}) > D_p(G_1 \cup G_2)$ by convexity argument. This means that if we replace $G_1 \cup G_2$ with $K_{n'_1} \cup K_{n'_2}$, then we get an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph with a larger degree power sum, a contradiction.

Claim 4. There exist at most five components of order k - 1.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist six components, say G_i , i = [6] of order $n_i = k - 1, i \in [6]$. Then

$$D_p(W_{6k-6,k-1,t}) - D_p(\bigcup_{i=1}^{6} G_i) = \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right) (6k-7)^p + (6k - \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 6) \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right)^p + \left(1 + k - 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor\right) \left(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1\right)^p - 6(k-1)(k-2)^p > 6^p \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right) \left(k - \frac{7}{6}\right)^p - 6(k-1)(k-2)^p \ge 6^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\right) (k-2)^p - 6(k-1)(k-2)^p = (12k-48)(k-2)^p \ge 0.$$

This means that we can replace $\bigcup_{i=1}^{6} G_i$ with $W_{6k-6,k-1,t}$ to get an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph with a larger degree power sum, a contradiction.

Claim 5. There exists at most one Type-A component.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two Type-A components, say G_1 and G_2 , of order n_1 and n_2 , respectively. Then by Claim 1 and Lemma 2, $k \leq n_1 \leq 2k - 1$ and $k \leq n_2 \leq 2k - 1$. Let $n'_1 = k - 1$ and $n'_2 = n_1 + n_2 - k + 1$, let $G'_1 = W_{n'_1,k-1,1}$ and $G'_2 = W_{n'_2,k-1,1}$. Recall that $D_p(W_{n,k-1,t}) = (n - k + 2) + (k - 3)^p + (n - 1)^p$ holds for $n \geq k-2$. Then $D_p(G'_1 \cup G'_2) > D_p(G_1 \cup G_2)$ by convexity argument. Now we can replace $G_1 \cup G_2$ with $G'_1 \cup G'_2$ to get an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph with a larger degree power sum, a contradiction.

Claim 6. There exists at most one Type-B component.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two Type-B components, say G_1 and G_2 , of order n_1 and n_2 , respectively. W.O.L.G., suppose $n_1 \ge n_2$. By Claim 1, $n_1 \ge k$ and $n_2 \ge k$. Then $D_p(G_i) = (\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1)(n_i - 1)^p + (n_i - \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil)(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1)^p + (1 + k - 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor)(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1)^p, i = 1, 2$. Let $G_0 = W_{n_1+n_2,k-1,t}$. Note that

$$D_{p}(G_{0}) - D_{p}(G_{1} \cup G_{2}) = \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) \left((n_{1} + n_{2} - 1)^{p} - (n_{1} - 1)^{p} - (n_{2} - 1)^{p} \right) + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right)^{p} - \left(1 + k - 2 \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \right) \left(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1 \right)^{p} \right) > \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) \left((n_{1} + n_{2} - 2)^{p} - (n_{1} - 1)^{p} - (n_{2} - 1)^{p} \right) + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right)^{p} - \left(1 + k - 2 \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \right) \left(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1 \right)^{p} \right) \geq \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) p(n_{2} - 1)^{p} + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right)^{p} - \left(1 + k - 2 \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \right) \left(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1 \right)^{p} \right) \geq 2(k - 1)^{p} - 2 \left(\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1 \right)^{p} + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right)^{p} \right)$$
(1)
>0.

The inequality (1) holds due to Lemma 3 by letting $a = n_1 - 1$ and $b = n_2 - 1$. Then we can replace $G_1 \cup G_2$ with G_0 to get an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph with a larger degree power sum, a contradiction.

Claim 7. If $n_i \ge 2k, n_j \le k-1$ and G_i is of Type-B, then we can replace $G_i \cup G_j$ with $W_{n_i+n_j,k-1,t}$ to get a larger degree power sum.

Proof. Let $G_0 = W_{n_i+n_j,k-1,t}$. Then

$$D_{p}(G_{0}) - D_{p}(G_{i} \cup G_{j}) = \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right) \left((n_{i} + n_{j} - 1)^{p} - (n_{i} - 1)^{p}\right) \\ + n_{j} \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right)^{p} - n_{j}(n_{j} - 1)^{p} \\ \ge \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right) p(n_{i} - 1)^{p-1}n_{j} + n_{j} \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right)^{p} - n_{j}(n_{j} - 1)^{p} \quad (2) \\ \ge p \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\right) n_{j}(2k - 1)^{p-1} - n_{j}(n_{j} - 1)^{p} + n_{j} \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1\right)^{p}$$

$$\geq n_j(2k-6)\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1} - n_j(k-2)^p + n_j\left(\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor - 1\right)^p > 0.$$

The inequality (2) holds due to Lemma 3 by letting $a = n_i - 1$ and $b = n_j$. This completes the proof.

Claim 8. If $n_i \ge 2k$, G_i is of Type-B, and G_j is of Type-A, then we can replace $G_i \cup G_j$ with $W_{n_i+n_j,k-1,t}$ to get a larger degree power sum.

Proof. Let $G_0 = W_{n_i+n_j,k-1,t}$. By Lemma 2 and Claim 1, we have $k \leq n_j \leq 2k-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_p(G_0) - D_p(G_1 \cup G_2) &= \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) \left((n_i + n_j - 1)^p - (n_i - 1)^p) + n_j \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right)^p \\ &- (n_j - k + 2) - (k - 3)^{p+1} - (n_j - 1)^p \\ &> \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) p(n_i - 1)^{p-1} n_j + n_j \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right)^p \\ &- (n_j - k + 2) - (k - 3)^{p+1} - (n_j - 1)^p \\ &\geq \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) n_j (n_i - 1)^{p-1} - (k - 3)^{p+1} \\ &+ \left(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right) n_j (n_i - 1)^{p-1} - (n_j - 1)^p - (n_j - k + 2) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) k(2k - 1)^{p-1} - (k - 3)^{p+1} \\ &+ n_j (n_i - 1)^{p-1} - (n_j - 1)^p - (n_j - k + 2) \\ &\geq (k - 3) k \left(k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{p-1} - (k - 3)^{p+1} \\ &+ n_j (n_j - 1)^{p-1} - (n_j - 1)^p - (n_j - k + 2) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3) holds due to Lemma 3 by letting $a = n_i - 1$ and $b = n_j$. This completes the proof.

Claim 9. There is no component of order at most k - 1. And there is no Type-A component.

Proof. We first show that there is a component of order at least 2k. By Claim 3 and Claim 4, there are at most six components of order at most k - 1. By Claim 5, there is at most one Type-A component. Lemma 2 tells us that the order of a Type-A component is at most 2k - 1. Combined with the assumption that $n \ge 10k$, there is a component of order at least 10k - 6(k - 1) - 2k + 1 = 2k + 7, which is of Type-B.

If there is a component of order at most k-1, then by Claim 7, we can get an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph with a larger degree power sum. If there is a Type-A component, then by Claim 8, we can get an *n*-vertex P_k -free graph with a larger degree power sum. Now, we are done.

As a result, G has only one component which is of Type-B, i.e., G is $W_{n,k-1,t}$. This proves the theorem.

References

- J. Ai, H. Lei, B. Ning, and Y. Shi. Graph operations and a unified method for kinds of Turán-type problems on paths, cycles and matchings. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08226, 2023.
- [2] A. Ali, I. Gutman, E. Milovanović, and I. Milovanović. Sum of powers of the degrees of graphs: extremal results and bounds. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 80(1):5–84, 2018.
- [3] B. Bollobás and V. Nikiforov. Degree powers in graphs with forbidden subgraphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11(1):Research Paper 42, 8, 2004.
- [4] B. Bollobás and V. Nikiforov. Degree powers in graphs: the Erdős-Stone theorem. Combin. Probab. Comput., 21(1-2):89–105, 2012.
- [5] Y. Caro and R. Yuster. A Turán type problem concerning the powers of the degrees of a graph. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 7:Research Paper 47, 14, 2000.
- [6] Y. Chang, X. Chen, and S. Zhang. Extremal results on degree powers in some classes of graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07005, 2023.
- [7] R. Gu, X. Li, and Y. Shi. Degree powers in C₅-free graphs. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 38(4):1627–1635, 2015.
- [8] Y. Lan, H. Liu, Z. Qin, and Y. Shi. Degree powers in graphs with a forbidden forest. Discrete Math., 342(3):821–835, 2019.
- [9] O. Pikhurko and A. Taraz. Degree sequences of F-free graphs. Electron. J. Combin., 12:Research Paper 69, 12, 2005.
- [10] L. Zhang. Degree powers in $K_{s,t}$ -minor free graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 345(4):Paper No. 112783, 9, 2022.