

Detection of twice-punctured tori in hyperbolic knot complements

Yi Wang

Abstract

We extend the methods of [18] to show that certain families of essential twice-punctured tori are detected by an ideal point on the character variety, and that the limiting character at these ideal points is irreducible. This completes the proof that all punctured tori in two-bridge knots are detected by an ideal point in the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ character variety and initiates the study of punctured tori in pretzel knots.

1 Introduction

Given a one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold M , the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety $X(M)$ is an associated algebraic set. The character variety is a source of arithmetic invariants of 3-manifolds and provides a bridge between low-dimensional topology and arithmetic geometry. For instance, Chinburg-Reid-Stover [2] defined a particular hyperbolic knot invariant called the *canonical Azumaya algebra* $A_{k(C)}$, associated to the function field $k(C)$ of an irreducible component C of $X(M)$. A K-theoretic argument was used in [2] to extend equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over *ideal points*, i.e. “points at infinity”, of C . In [18], the author initiated the study of *tautological extension* of $A_{k(C)}$ over ideal points of C . Tautological extension is intimately connected to *Culler-Shalen theory* [4], which associates ideal points of C to essential surfaces in M .

Definition 1.1. Let $C \subset X(M)$ be a one-dimensional irreducible component of the character variety, and let $x \in C$ be an ideal point. An essential surface $S \subset M$ is said to be *detected by x* if S is the essential surface associated to x via the process described in [4].

Definition 1.2. Let $x \in C$ be an ideal point, and let $S \subset M$ be the detected surface. Given a connected component $M_i \subset M \setminus S$, the *limiting character at M_i* is

$$\chi_i^\infty = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow x} \chi|_{\pi_1(M_i)} \quad (1)$$

It is a consequence of the work in [4] that $\chi_i^\infty(g)$ takes finite values for all $g \in \pi_1(M_i)$.

Definition 1.3. Let $x \in C$ be an ideal point, and let $S \subset M$ be the detected surface. The canonical Azumaya algebra $A_{k(C)}$ *tautologically extends over x* if there exists a connected component $M' \subset M \setminus S$ such that the limiting character at M' is an irreducible $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character of $\pi_1(M')$.

This is a refinement of the Chinburg-Reid-Stover invariant because it provides an explicit Azumaya algebra over the valuation ring associated to the ideal point. In addition, tautological extension is an invariant that comes from the hyperbolic structure of JSJ decompositions of toroidal Dehn fillings of K , which gives topological meaning to the explicit algebraic extension of $A_{k(C)}$ over ideal points, in contrast to the purely algebraic methods from [2]. The first theorem establishing tautological extension to a class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, proven in [18], is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. [18] *Let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ be a hyperbolic knot complement, and let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset M$ be a system of disjoint non-parallel once-punctured JSJ tori with slope 0. Suppose the following:*

- *All irreducible components of $X(M)$ containing irreducible characters are norm curves.*
- *No JSJ complementary region of $M(0)$ is Seifert fibered over the annulus.*
- *No JSJ torus in $M(0)$ bounds two hyperbolic components on both sides.*

Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i$ is detected by an ideal point x on a norm curve $\tilde{C} \subset \widetilde{X(M)}$, and $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over x .

In other words, genus one Seifert surfaces that cap off to a JSJ decomposition are detected by an ideal point of the character variety; that ideal point is the one that admits tautological extension. The following corollary establishes an infinite example of ideal points over which $A_{k(C)}$ extends:

Corollary 1.1. *Any genus one Seifert surface in a genus one nonfibered two-bridge knot complement M is detected by an ideal point of a component C in $X(M)$. In addition, $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over that ideal point.*

In this paper, we complete the proof of ideal point detection (and tautological extension) of almost all punctured JSJ tori in two-bridge knots and investigate the phenomenon in some pretzel knots. The main theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $M = S^3 \setminus K$ is a hyperbolic knot complement with a system of separating twice-punctured JSJ tori $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i$ with slope β satisfying the following:*

1. *All components of $X(M)$ containing traces of irreducible representations are norm curves.*
2. *$M(\beta)$ has JSJ components that are either*
 - (a) *Seifert-fibered over $D(p, q)$ with $p \geq 2, q > 2$*
 - (b) *Seifert-fibered over $A^2(r)$ with $r \geq 2$*
 - (c) *hyperbolic*
 - (d) *the twisted I-bundle over the Klein bottle*
3. *If $n = 2$, the JSJ torus in $M(\beta)$ does not bound two twisted I-bundles of Klein bottles.*
4. *Let \mathcal{O} be a Seifert-fibered JSJ component \mathcal{O} of $M(\beta)$ meeting a twisted I-bundle over the Klein bottle, denoted \tilde{K} . Then the regular fiber of \mathcal{O} is not glued to the regular fiber of \tilde{K} Seifert-fibered over $D^2(2, 2)$.*

Then \mathbb{T} is detected by an ideal point $x \in X(M)$, and $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over x .

This, along with Corollary 1.1, is then used to show the following, more explicit corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let K be either a hyperbolic two-bridge knot or a $(-2, 3, 2n + 1)$ -pretzel knot with $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ its complement in the three-sphere. Then any union of essential punctured tori in M with slope β that caps off to a maximal set of essential JSJ tori of $M(\beta)$, with the exception of the Seifert surface of the figure-eight knot, is detected by an ideal point x on a norm curve C in the character variety. In addition, $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over x .*

1.1 Outline of the paper

Section 2 covers necessary background for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 3 goes through the proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 4 applies Theorem 1.1 to several families of knots, including those addressed in Corollary 1.2.

2 Background for the proof

2.1 The JSJ structure of $M(\beta)$

Let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ be a hyperbolic knot complement containing a disjoint union of non-isotopic separating twice-punctured tori $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i$. Suppose that \mathbb{T} meets the boundary torus of M at slope β . Since each T_i is separating, there are n complementary regions $M_i \subset M \setminus \mathbb{T}$. Notice that each M_i has ∂M_i either one or two genus two surfaces, as depicted below:




Figure 1: Left: Schematic of how T_1 and T_2 are arranged in M . Right: The complementary regions M_i when cutting M via T_1 and T_2 . Note the blue annuli marked β , which come from a tubular neighborhood of the knot.

Under Dehn filling, each boundary component of M_i has an annulus glued to one of the handles of the genus two surface, as shown below. This becomes \mathcal{O}_i , a JSJ complementary region of $M(\beta)$. This is depicted in the below figure.




Figure 2: Left: Demonstrating where the Dehn filling annuli get glued. Right: After the gluing, the boundary becomes two tori, forming the JSJ complementary region \mathcal{O}_i .

In particular, the complementary regions at the two end vertices have one torus boundary component, while the rest of the complementary regions have two torus boundary components. In summary, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Given $n - 1$ essential separating twice-punctured tori T_i inside a knot complement $M = S^3 \setminus K$ with boundary slope β , there are n complementary regions $M_i \subset M$, two of which have boundary a single genus 2 surface, and the rest of which have two genus 2 surfaces as their boundaries. In $M(\beta)$, there are $n - 1$ JSJ tori \tilde{T}_i with n complementary regions \mathcal{O}_i , two of which have one torus as their boundary, and the rest of which have two tori as their boundary.*

Remark 2.1. This is a different situation compared to the once-punctured torus case in [18], in which the JSJ complementary regions all had two torus boundary components and were arranged in a circle. This slightly changes the calculations necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.2 The twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle

One space that often appears in JSJ decompositions of Dehn fillings capping of twice-punctured tori in knot complements is the *twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle*, denoted \tilde{K} . This is an orientable 3-manifold with torus boundary. For an in-depth discussion of \tilde{K} , we refer the reader to [9].

We will study representations of $\pi_1(\tilde{K})$. It is known that

$$\pi_1(\tilde{K}) = \langle s, t \mid s^2 t^2 = 1 \rangle \quad (2)$$

The incompressible torus boundary has fundamental group generated by the meridian st and longitude s^2 . It will be useful to compute the representation and character varieties of this group. Utilizing the

trace relation

$$\mathrm{tr}(AB) = \mathrm{tr}(A)\mathrm{tr}(B) - \mathrm{tr}(AB^{-1}) \quad (3)$$

we can determine that the character variety is a complex cubic surface:

$$X(\tilde{K}) = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid xyz - x^2 - y^2 = 0\} \quad (4)$$

with coordinates are $x = \mathrm{tr}(s), y = \mathrm{tr}(t), z = \mathrm{tr}(st)$. We now determine all the irreducible $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of $\pi_1(\tilde{K})$. Any such representation is conjugate to

$$\rho(s) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ r & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Determining $\rho(s^2t^2)$ and setting the entries equal to the identity, we obtain that any irreducible representation must satisfy

$$\rho(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 0 \\ r & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(st) = \begin{pmatrix} r-1 & -i \\ -ri & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

which correspond to the point $(0, 0, r-2) \in X(\tilde{K})$. The reducible representations can be conjugated into

$$\rho(s) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} y & r \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Once again solving for $\rho(s^2t^2) = I$, we find that the reducible representations can be given by two families.

1. The representations that satisfy

$$\rho(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & r \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

which correspond to the points $(0, 0, \pm 2) \in X(\tilde{K})$.

2. The representations that satisfy

$$\rho(s) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm x^{-1} & \mp 1 \\ 0 & \pm x \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

which correspond to the points $(a, \pm a, \pm 2) \in X(\tilde{K})$. Note that the traces of these points contain the traces of the previous type of reducible representation.

We will be interested in representations of $\pi_1(\tilde{K})$ where $\mathrm{tr}(st) = z = \pm 2$ and $\mathrm{tr}(s^2) = x^2 - 2 = \pm 2$. From the character variety, we see that the only points in $X(\tilde{K})$ satisfying this condition are $(0, 0, \pm 2)$

or $(\pm 2, \pm 2, \pm 2)$ (with an even number of negatives) i.e. the representations

$$\rho_A(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 0 \\ 4 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_B(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & r \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$\rho_C(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_C(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & \mp 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

Notice that all of these representations are reducible, since the coordinates always satisfy $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 4 = 0$. We will use this in the proof of the main theorem. To summarize, we state the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let \tilde{K} be the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle. Then the following are the representations of $\pi_1(\tilde{K})$ such that $\text{tr}(st) = \pm 2$ and $\text{tr}(s^2) = \pm 2$:*

$$\rho_A(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 0 \\ 4 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$\rho_B(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & r \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$\rho_C(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_C(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & \mp 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

2.3 $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility

Suppose $M = S^3 \setminus K$ is a hyperbolic knot complement, with \mathbb{T} a system of twice-punctured JSJ tori with slope β . We must analyze the behavior of the signs of the traces of the boundary components of $M(\beta)$. In particular, the proof of the main theorem requires a choice of a set of characters on the JSJ complementary regions $M(\beta)$ such that the traces on the boundary tori match. This property, defined in [18], is known as $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility. Proving $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility makes heavy use of *negative-flexibility*, which we define here:

Definition 2.1. A pair (M, ρ) consisting of a 3-manifold M with torus boundary components T_1, \dots, T_m and a representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called *negative-flexible* if for any $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \in \pi_1(T_1) \times \dots \times \pi_1(T_m)$ with γ_i simple and nontrivial, there exists a lift $\hat{\rho} : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(\gamma_i)) = -2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

To begin, we recall the following lemma, proved in [18]:

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose M is either hyperbolic or Seifert-fibered over $A^2(q)$. Let*

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \text{holonomy representation of } M & M \text{ hyperbolic} \\ \text{holonomy representation of } A^2(q) & M \text{ Seifert-fibered over } A^2(q) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Then (M, ρ) is negative-flexible.

We now prove similar lemmas for two more types of spaces.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose M is Seifert-fibered over $D(p, q)$ with $p \geq 2, q > 2$. Let ρ be the holonomy representation of $D(p, q)$. Then (M, ρ) is negative-flexible.*

Proof. The holonomy representation of the thrice-punctured sphere, whose fundamental group is given by $\langle a, b, c \mid c = ab \rangle$, is

$$\rho(a) = \left[\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad \rho(b) = \left[\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad \rho(c) = \left[\pm \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (17)$$

In particular, for any lift $\hat{\rho}$ of this representation to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, if the signs of $\hat{\rho}(a)$ and $\hat{\rho}(b)$ are the same, then the sign of $\hat{\rho}(c)$ is negative, and if the traces of $\hat{\rho}(a)$ and $\hat{\rho}(b)$ are different, then the sign of $\hat{\rho}(c)$ is positive. The holonomy representation of $D^2(p, q)$, $p \geq 2, q > 2$ is thus given by

$$\rho(a) = \left[\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad \rho(b) = \left[\pm \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{2p} & 0 \\ x_q & \xi_{2p}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad \rho(c) = \left[\pm \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{2p} + 2x_q & 2\xi_{2p}^{-1} \\ x_q & \xi_{2p}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (18)$$

where

$$\xi_{2p} + \xi_{2p}^{-1} + 2x_q = \xi_{2q} + \xi_{2q}^{-1} \quad (19)$$

Since the holonomy of $D^2(p, q)$ is a continuous deformation of the holonomy of the thrice-punctured sphere, it follows that the signs of the traces of a lift of a and b are equal if and only if c lifts to a negative trace, as is true for the thrice-punctured sphere. We will show that in all cases, the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homologically trivial element of $\pi_1(\partial M)$, i.e. the one with trace sign fixed in all lifts, must lift to a negative trace. Let h be the regular fiber.

Case 1: Either p or q are even. Without loss of generality, let q be even. In this case, $c^q h^r = 1$ for r odd. Since q is even, given any lift $\hat{\rho} : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c^q)) = -2$. By Lemma 3.1 in [8], for all lifts, $\hat{\rho}, \hat{\rho}(h) = \pm I$. Since r is odd and $c^q h^r = 1$, this means that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h)) = -2$. Since $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h)) = -2$ for all lifts $\hat{\rho}$, this means that $[h] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. By the half-lives, half-dies theorem, $[h]$ must generate the kernel of the induced map $H^1(\partial M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h)) = -2$, this shows that (M, ρ) is negative-flexible in this case.

Case 2: p and q are both odd. In this case, $b^p h^{r_1} = c^q h^{r_2} = 1$.

Subcase 2.1: r_1 and r_2 are both even. In this case, for all lifts $\hat{\rho}, \hat{\rho}(h^{r_i}) = I, i = 1, 2$. This means that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b^p)) = \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c^q)) = 2$, and since p and q are both odd, this means that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b)) = -(\xi_{2p} + \xi_{2p}^{-1})$ and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c)) = -(\xi_{2q} + \xi_{2q}^{-1})$. Since c lifts to a negative trace, the signs of the traces of a and b are equal. Thus $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a)) = -2$ for all lifts $\hat{\rho}$, and as in the previous case, $[a]$ generates the kernel of the boundary-induced $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology map. Thus (M, ρ) is negative-flexible.

Subcase 2.2: r_1 is even and r_2 is odd. Then for all lifts $\hat{\rho}, \hat{\rho}(h^{r_1}) = 1$, and so $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b^p)) = 2$, hence $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b)) = -(\xi_{2p} + \xi_{2p}^{-1})$. In addition, if $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c)) = -(\xi_{2q} + \xi_{2q}^{-1})$, then $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c^q)) = 2$ and thus $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h^{r_1})) = \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h)) = 2$. If $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c)) = \xi_{2q} + \xi_{2q}^{-1}$, then $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c^q)) = -2$ and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h^{r_1})) = \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h)) = -2$, i.e. c and h have opposite sign traces under every lift. In particular, if $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ is negative, then $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a))$

and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b))$ have the same sign. It follows that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a))$ is negative while $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h))$ is positive. If $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ is positive, then $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a))$ and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b))$ have opposite signs. It follows that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a))$ is positive and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h))$ is negative. In either case, a and h have negative traces, so ah always has a negative trace. Thus $[ah]$ generates the kernel of the boundary-induced $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology map. Thus (M, ρ) is negative-flexible.

Subcase 2.3: r_1 is odd and r_2 is even. A symmetric argument to the previous case shows that (M, ρ) is also negative-flexible in this case.

Subcase 2.4: r_1 and r_2 are odd. Then by the argument involving $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ in Subcase 2.2, both $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b))$ have the opposite sign trace as $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(h))$; this means that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ and $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b))$ have the same sign. If $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ is negative, then the traces of a and b under the same lift are equal. But since $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b))$ is also negative, $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a))$ is negative as well. If $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(c))$ is positive, then the traces of a and b under that lift are different. But since $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(b))$ is also positive, it follows that $\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(a))$ is once again negative. Thus a has negative trace for all lifts, and jence $[a]$ generates the kernel of the boundary-induced $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology map. Thus (M, ρ) is negative-flexible. \square

Remark 2.2. In light of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3, a reasonable conjecture is the following. For any 3-manifold M Seifert-fibered over a hyperbolic 2-orbifold \mathcal{O} , $p : M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ the fibering map, and ρ the holonomy representation of \mathcal{O} , $(M, \rho \circ p)$ is negative-flexible. A proof sketch mimics the proof for negative-flexibility of hyperbolic 3-manifolds in Lemma 3.9 of [12], though there are many details left to be filled in.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose M is the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle \tilde{K} . Let $\rho_A, \rho_B, \rho_C : \pi_1(\tilde{K})$ be as in Lemma 2.2. Then (M, ρ_A) and (M, ρ_B) are negative-flexible, while (M, ρ_C) is not negative-flexible.*

Proof. Recall that

$$\rho_A(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 0 \\ 4 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

$$\rho_B(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & r \\ 0 & \mp i \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

$$\rho_C(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_C(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mp 1 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & \mp 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

The behavior of the representations on the boundary torus is as follows:

$$\rho_A(st) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \mp i \\ \mp 4i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_A(s^2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

$$\rho_B(st) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \pm i(r-1) \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_B(s^2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

$$\rho_C(st) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_C(s^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

Notice that $\rho_A(s^2)$ and $\rho_B(s^2)$ are fixed at $-I$, while $\rho_C(s^2)$ has trace 2. This proves the lemma. \square

The proof of the following theorem largely mimics the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [18].

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a closed irreducible 3-manifold with JSJ decomposition with JSJ tori $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, gluing homomorphisms $\{\varphi_i : \partial M_i \rightarrow \partial M_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, and complementary regions $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^n$, such that:*

1. *The JSJ graph is a line, with endpoints M_1, M_n .*
2. *M_1, M_n are either Seifert-fibered over $D(p, q)$ with $p \geq 2, q > 2$ or the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle.*
3. *The other M_i are either hyperbolic or cable spaces.*
4. *If $n = 2$, then M_1 and M_2 cannot both be the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle.*

Then the JSJ decomposition of M is $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatible. Moreover, there exist $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatible choices ρ_i of representations on the $\pi_1(M_i)$ such that if M_1 or M_n is the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle, ρ_i is one of ρ_A or ρ_B from Lemma 2.2.

Proof. Take any M_i that is not the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle, and let ρ_i be the associated hyperbolic holonomy representation. If M_i is the twisted I -bundle of the Klein bottle, then let ρ_i be either ρ_A or ρ_B from Lemma 2.2. By Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5, all the (M_i, ρ_i) are negative-flexible.

We know that the $M_i, i \neq 1, n$ (i.e. the JSJ components with two torus boundaries) can be categorized into two types: Type 1 and Type 3 (see [18], proof of Theorem 3.2). By the ‘‘half-lives, half-dies’’ theorem (Lemma 5.3 in [15]), $\iota : \partial M_i \rightarrow M_i$ is the boundary inclusion, then $\ker(\iota_*)$ is half-dimensional in $H_1(\partial M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. In the case where ∂M_i has two torus boundary components, this means that $\ker(\iota_*) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ as a subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$. A *Type 1* JSJ component is one where $\ker(\iota_*)$ is generated by two homology classes in separate torus boundary components, and a *Type 3* JSJ component is one where $\ker(\iota_*)$ is generated by two homology classes both of which include homology classes from both tori.

For $M_i, i = 1, n$, by the half-lives half-dies theorem and negative-flexibility, the generator of the kernel of the induced homology map must have trace -2 for any lift $\widehat{\rho}_i$ or ρ_i to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. We now have the following possibilities for the $M_i, i \neq 1, n$:

- There are no such M_i , i.e. $n = 2$. In this case, two spaces with one torus boundary component are glued together. Let $\pi_1(\partial M_i) = \langle m_i, \ell_i \rangle$ where ℓ_i is the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homologically trivial element of $\pi_1(M_i)$. If $\varphi_1 : \partial M_1 \rightarrow \partial M_2$ takes $[\ell_1]$ to $[\ell_2]$, then we simply make sure the other negatives match. If not, then the two $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology classes will be locked into trace -2. This realizes $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility.
- All the M_i are of Type 1. Repeat the above argument for each φ_i . This will realize $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility.
- All the M_i are of Type 3. Note that both M_1 and M_n have $\text{tr}(\widehat{\rho}_i(\ell_i)) = -2$ for $i = 1, 2$, while the traces of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -homology class of m and $m\ell$ must have the opposite sign under any lift. For each type 3 component, let the homology class glued to ℓ_1 and ℓ_n have trace -2 under the chosen lift. This will satisfy the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility condition.

- The M_i are mixed type. Note that type 3 components can be ignored in this setting, so we are in the same situation as the case where the M_i are of Type 1. So the same argument suffices.

□

3 Proof of main theorem

For convenience of the reader, we restate the theorem here.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $M = S^3 \setminus K$ is a hyperbolic knot complement with a system of separating twice-punctured JSJ tori $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i$ with slope β satisfying the following:*

1. *All components of $X(M)$ containing traces of irreducible representations are norm curves.*
2. *$M(\beta)$ has JSJ components that are either*
 - (a) *Seifert-fibered over $D(p, q)$ with $p \geq 2, q > 2$*
 - (b) *Seifert-fibered over $A^2(r)$ with $r \geq 2$*
 - (c) *hyperbolic*
 - (d) *the twisted I-bundle over the Klein bottle*
3. *If $n = 2$, the JSJ torus in $M(\beta)$ does not bound two twisted I-bundles of Klein bottles.*
4. *Let \mathcal{O} be a Seifert-fibered JSJ component \mathcal{O} of $M(\beta)$ meeting a twisted I-bundle over the Klein bottle, denoted \tilde{K} . Then the regular fiber of \mathcal{O} is not glued to the regular fiber of \tilde{K} Seifert-fibered over $D^2(2, 2)$.*

Then \mathbb{T} is detected by an ideal point $x \in X(M)$, and $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over x .

Proof. Let H_i be the complementary regions of $\overline{M \setminus \mathbb{T}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let $\hat{\mathbb{T}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{T}_i$ denote the JSJ tori in $M(\beta)$. We have the restriction map

$$r : X(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X} = \prod X(H_i) \tag{26}$$

By the Lemma 2.1, H_1, H_n have a single genus 2 surface as their boundaries, while any other complementary regions H_i (if they exist) have two genus 2 surfaces as their boundaries. Note that by Theorem 13.3.6 in [17], $\dim(X(H_i)) \geq 3$ for $i = 1, n$, and $\dim(X(H_i)) \geq 6$ for any other H_i . Thus $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq 6(n - 1)$. Let V be the variety inside \mathcal{X} defined by the following equations.

1. In viewing H_i as the complementary regions of the knot complement M , there are $n - 1$ gluing maps between twice-punctured tori in H_i . For each gluing, there are seven matching equations. If the first twice-punctured torus has π_1 a free group generated by a_1, b_1, c_1 and the other one is a free group generated a_2, b_2, c_2 , the equations are

$$\mathrm{tr}(a_1) = \mathrm{tr}(a_2) \quad \mathrm{tr}(b_1) = \mathrm{tr}(b_2) \quad \mathrm{tr}(c_1) = \mathrm{tr}(c_2) \quad \mathrm{tr}(a_1 b_1) = \mathrm{tr}(a_2 b_2) \tag{27}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(a_1c_1) = \operatorname{tr}(a_2c_2) \quad \operatorname{tr}(b_1c_1) = \operatorname{tr}(b_2c_2) \quad \operatorname{tr}(a_1b_1c_1) = \operatorname{tr}(a_2b_2c_2) \quad \operatorname{tr}(a_1c_1b_1) = \operatorname{tr}(a_2c_2b_2) \quad (28)$$

We make the following variable assignments.

$$\operatorname{tr}(a_1) = x_1 \quad \operatorname{tr}(b_1) = x_2 \quad \operatorname{tr}(c_1) = x_3 \quad \operatorname{tr}(a_1b_1) = x_{12} \quad (29)$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(a_1c_1) = x_{13} \quad \operatorname{tr}(b_1c_1) = x_{23} \quad \operatorname{tr}(a_1b_1c_1) = x_{123} \quad \operatorname{tr}(a_1c_1b_1) = x_{132} \quad (30)$$

Similarly, we assign $\operatorname{tr}(a_2) = x'_1$, and so on. We now show that only five of these equations suffice to define V . Suppose we have the equations

$$x_1 = x'_1 \quad x_2 = x'_2 \quad x_3 = x'_3 \quad x_{12} = x'_{12} \quad x_{13} = x'_{13} \quad (31)$$

On each twice-punctured torus, since the punctures correspond to the same loop $[\beta]$ in M , the two punctures are known to have equal trace. We thus have the trace equation

$$\operatorname{tr}(bc^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(aba^{-1}c^{-1}) \quad (32)$$

which translates into the equations

$$x_2x_3 - x_3 = x_{12}x_{13} - x_1x_{123} + x_{23} \quad x'_2x'_3 - x'_3 = x'_{12}x'_{13} - x'_1x'_{123} + x'_{23} \quad (33)$$

Hence we can use our existing six equations to conclude that $x_{23} = x'_{23}$. By Section 5.1 in [5], (x_{123}, x_{132}) and (x'_{123}, x'_{132}) are pairs of solutions to quadratics with coefficients in the other six coordinates, which we already know are equal. Thus, either $(x_{123}, x_{132}) = (x'_{132}, x'_{123})$ or $(x_{123}, x_{132}) = (x'_{123}, x'_{132})$; each option represents one component of the variety V . Pick the component with $(x_{123}, x_{132}) = (x'_{123}, x'_{132})$; we now have an irreducible component defined with $5(n-1)$ equations (5 equations for $n-1$ gluings).

2. The other equations defining V are as follows: there are $n-2$ complementary regions H_i that have two twice-punctured tori inside the two genus 2 surface boundary components S_1, S_2 . For each such component, there is one extra equation which dictates that the trace of the gluing annulus (i.e. the annulus coming from the knot complement) on S_1 is equal to the corresponding trace on S_2 .

Thus V is defined by $(5n-5) + (n-2) = 6n-7$ equations in \mathcal{X} , whose dimension is at least $6n-6$. Hence $\dim(V) \geq 1$. We now show that V is in fact one-dimensional. Notice that $\operatorname{Im}(r) \subset V$, since any restriction of a character in $X(M)$ satisfies the gluing equations. Note that β^2 is the product of two punctures in T , hence a commutator in $\pi_1(T)$. Let $V^{irr} \subset V$ be the Zariski-open subset of V defined by $\operatorname{tr}(\beta^2) \neq 2$, i.e. $\operatorname{tr}(\beta) \notin \{-2, 2\}$. This means that V^{irr} is a subset of traces that match on the boundary and are irreducible on T , which glue to give traces of $\pi_1(M)$ by Lemma 6 in [13]. Hence $V^{irr} \subset \operatorname{Im}(r)$. By assumption, $\dim(X(M)) = 1$, hence $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(r)) \leq 1$. The dimension of V^{irr} cannot be zero, since this would mean that $\dim(V^{irr}) < \dim(V)$ and it Zariski open, hence V^{irr} is empty, contradicting the assumptions. Thus $\dim(V) = \dim(V^{irr}) = 1$. This also means that

$\dim(X(H_1)) = \dim(X(H_n)) = 3$ and for all other H_i , $\dim(X(H_i)) = 6$.

Let \mathcal{O}_i denote the JSJ complementary regions of $M(\beta)$ corresponding to a glued H_i . By Theorem 2.1, $M(\beta)$ is $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatible. let χ_i be the corresponding traces realizing $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility, and let $\chi_\infty = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in V$. Note that χ_∞ cannot be an isolated point of V , since V is defined by $6n - 7$ equations in a $6n - 6$ -dimensional algebraic set. Furthermore, by assumption, there exists at least one χ_i that is irreducible, and by Zariski-openness of irreducibility, χ_i is surrounded by irreducible representations. Therefore χ_∞ cannot be surrounded by restrictions of reducible representations of $\pi_1(M)$, and from this we have a sequence of points $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset V^{irr}$ approaching χ_∞ . Let $\{\alpha_j\} \in X(M)$ be such that $r(\alpha_j) = \chi_j$. Suppose for contradiction that up to subsequence, $\{\alpha_j\}$ converges to a character $\alpha_\infty \in X(M)$. Then α_∞ must be the trace of some $\rho_\infty : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $q_i : \pi_1(H_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{O}_i)$ be the quotient map induced by the gluing annulus. Since $r(\alpha_\infty) = \chi_\infty$, it follows that $\rho_\infty|_{\pi_1(H_i)}$ has the same trace as $\rho_i \circ q_i$. In the case where \mathcal{O}_i is not the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle, this means that $\rho_i \circ q_i$ is irreducible, so $\rho_\infty|_{\pi_1(H_i)}$ is conjugate to $\rho_i \circ q_i$. In particular, $\rho_i|_{T_i} \circ q_i|_{\partial H_i}$ is the restriction of the holonomy representation to the boundary tori. When \mathcal{O}_i is the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle, by the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility conditions from Theorem 2.1, this means that $\rho_i|_{T_i} \circ q_i|_{\partial H_i} = \rho_j$ for $j = A, B$. We have the following cases for the incompressible twice-punctured torus $T_k \subset M$ that caps off to $\widehat{T}_k \subset M(\beta)$. Let $\widehat{T}_{k_1}, \widehat{T}_{k_2}$ be the corresponding boundary tori in the complementary JSJ components, with $\pi_1(\widehat{T}_{k_1}) = \langle m_1, \ell_1 \rangle, \pi_1(\widehat{T}_{k_2}) = \langle m_2, \ell_2 \rangle$.

1. \widehat{T}_k bounds two Seifert-fibered spaces. In this case, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are the regular fibers of the complementary regions, which have holonomy regions ρ'_1, ρ'_2 . Then up to conjugacy,

$$\rho'_k(m_k) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho'_k(\ell'_k) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (34)$$

for $k = 1, 2$ and a choice of sign determined by $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility. By Proposition 1.6.2 of [1], the regular fibers don't match, i.e. if $\varphi : \langle m_1, \ell_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle m_2, \ell_2 \rangle$ is the gluing map, then $\varphi(\ell_1) \neq \ell_2$. Thus, $\varphi^{-1}(\ell_2)$ is a nontrivial simple closed curve in $\pi_1(\widehat{T}_{k_1})$ that is not ℓ_1 ; this means it must be of the form $m_1^p \ell_1^q$ with $p \neq 0$. However,

$$\rho'_1(m_1^p \ell_1^q) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

which means that the two representations are not conjugate under the gluing map φ . This contradicts the assertion that α_∞ is the trace of some $\rho_\infty : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

2. \widehat{T}_k bounds a Seifert-fibered and a hyperbolic space. The hyperbolic space will have a holonomy representation ρ_h that restricts to the torus cusp $\langle m_h, \ell_h \rangle$ as follows.

$$\rho_h(m_h) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_h(\ell_h) = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

where $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$, and the choice of sign is determined by $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -compatibility. This is the *cuspidal shape* of ρ_h . As seen previously, the cusp shape of the holonomy representation of a Seifert-

fibered manifold is 0, but it is non-zero for a hyperbolic manifold, so the two torus representations are nonconjugate, leading to a contradiction.

3. \widehat{T}_k bounds two hyperbolic spaces. Since the gluing map is orientation-reversing, the cusp shape of one of the holonomy representations has positive imaginary part, while the other has negative imaginary part. Since the cusp shapes are different, the holonomy representations restricted to the glued boundary tori cannot be conjugate, leading to a contradiction.
4. \widehat{T}_k bounds a twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle \tilde{K} and a Seifert-fibered space. Let $\rho_{\tilde{K}}$ be ρ_∞ restricted to \tilde{K} . We know that $\rho_{\tilde{K}} = \rho_A$ or ρ_B . In either case, the image of the regular fiber under the induced representation is negative the identity matrix, behaving the same way as a manifold Seifert-fibered over a hyperbolic orbifold. Thus, the argument from Case 1 leads to another contradiction.
5. \widehat{T}_k bounds a twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle and a hyperbolic component. Since no element of the holonomy of the hyperbolic component restricted to the boundary torus is plus or minus the identity matrix, that representation cannot be conjugate to the twisted Klein bottle holonomy restricted to the boundary torus. We thus have a contradiction.

Thus, $\{\chi_j\}$ approaches an ideal point x on a norm curve of $X(M)$, and the limiting character restricted to the H_i is χ_∞ . By Tillmann's criterion, x detects T . Since the limiting character is irreducible for some \mathcal{H}_i , $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over x , so we are done. \square

4 Examples

The main family of examples on which we apply Theorem 1.1 are the punctured JSJ tori in alternating knots. The following theorem classifies such punctured JSJ tori:

Theorem 4.1. [7] *Let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ be a hyperbolic alternating knot complement. Suppose $M(r)$ is toroidal but not Seifert-fibered. Then K is equivalent to one of:*

1. *the figure-eight knot, with $r = 0, \pm 4$*
2. *a two-bridge knot $K_{(b_1, b_2)}$ with $|b_1|, |b_2| > 2$, with $r = 0$ if b_1, b_2 are even and $r = 2b_2$ if b_1 is odd and b_2 is even*
3. *a twist knot $K_{(2n, \pm 2)}$ with $|n| > 1$ and $r = 0, \pm 4$*
4. *a pretzel knot $P(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ with $q_i \neq 0, \pm 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $r = 0$ if q_i are all odd, and $r = 2(q_2 + q_3)$ if q_1 is even and q_2, q_3 are odd*

The cases where K is a two-bridge knot and $r = 0$ are addressed in [18]. In this section, we largely discuss the hyperbolic alternating knot complements admitting essential twice-punctured JSJ tori, i.e. the cases in the above theorem where $r \neq 0$. In order to apply Theorem 1.1 to these specific examples, we must understand the components of their $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character varieties and the JSJ decompositions of the associated toroidal Dehn fillings.

4.1 Two-bridge knots

Let M be a two-bridge knot complement. Theorem 1.2 of [10] and Theorem 7.3 of [14] combine to show that all irreducible components of $X(M)$ are norm curves. In order to satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, it only remains to study the JSJ decompositions of the toroidal Dehn fillings associated to the essential twice-punctured tori in two-bridge knots. For the case of the twice-punctured tori in twist knots, we use Proposition 2.2 from [16]:

Lemma 4.1 ([16]). *Let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ be a hyperbolic twist knot. Then $M(4,1)$ is a graph manifold whose JSJ regions are the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle and a torus knot exterior. In addition, the regular fiber of the torus knot exterior is not identified with the regular fiber of the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle viewed as a Seifert-fibered space over $D^2(2,2)$.*

For the case of the other two-bridge knots with twice-punctured tori, we use Lemma 3.1 from [3]:

Lemma 4.2 ([3]). *Let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ be the complement of the two bridge knot K_{b_1, b_2} with b_1 odd and b_2 even. Then $M(2b_2)$ is a graph manifold whose JSJ regions are the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle, a space Seifert-fibered over $A^2(b_2)$, and a torus knot exterior. In addition, the regular fiber of the space Seifert-fibered over $A^2(b_2)$ is not identified with the regular fiber of the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle viewed as a Seifert-fibered space over $D^2(2,2)$.*

Remark 4.1. The lemma actually shows that the regular fiber of the cable space is identified with the regular fiber of \tilde{K} viewed as a Seifert-fibered space over the Mobius strip.

These two results show that all two-bridge knots with essential twice-punctured JSJ tori in their knot complements satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. We thus have the following corollary.

Theorem 4.2. *Any system of punctured JSJ tori in a two-bridge knot, with the exception of the minimal genus Seifert surface of the figure-eight knot, is detected by an ideal point x on a norm curve C in the character variety. In addition, $A_{k(C)}$ tautologically extends over x .*

4.2 Pretzel knots

Less is generally known regarding character varieties and toroidal surgeries of pretzel knots. We have the following result from [6] which determines the JSJ decomposition of the toroidal Dehn fillings of $(-2, p, q)$ pretzel knots with $3 \leq p \leq q$, p, q odd:

Theorem 4.3 ([6]). *Consider the toroidal manifold M obtained by $2(p+q)$ -surgery on the hyperbolic $(-2, p, q)$ pretzel knot with odd integers $3 \leq p \leq q$. Then M admits one JSJ torus which splits M into the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle and $M_{p,q}$, which is either Seifert-fibered over $D^2(p, q)$, $p > 2, q \geq 2$, or hyperbolic.*

All of these pretzel knots satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 involving the JSJ decompositions of the toroidal Dehn fillings. Furthermore, Mattman [11] showed the following:

Theorem 4.4 (Theorem 1.6, Claim 6.3 in [11]). *The $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of the $(-2, 3, 2n+1)$ pretzel knot complement with $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ consists of the canonical component and the curve of reducible components. In addition, the regular fiber of the twisted I -bundle over the Klein bottle viewed as a fibering over $D^2(2,2)$ does not match with the regular fiber of the other component.*

These two results combined gives

Corollary 4.1. *Let K be a $(-2, 3, 2n + 1)$ pretzel knot, and let $M = S^3 \setminus K$ be its complement in the three-sphere. Then the twice-punctured torus of slope $2(2n + 4)$ is detected by an ideal point x on the canonical component of the character variety, and $A_{k(C)}$ extends over x .*

This result combined with Theorem 4.2 gives Corollary 1.2.

References

- [1] Matthias Aschenbrenner, Stefan Friedl, and Henry Wilton. 3-manifold groups. *EMS Lecture Series in Mathematics*, 2015.
- [2] Ted Chinburg, Alan Reid, and Matthew Stover. Azumaya algebras and canonical components. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022, 06 2017.
- [3] Adam Clay and Masakazu Teragaito. Left-orderability and exceptional Dehn surgery on two-bridge knots. In *Geometry and topology down under*, volume 597 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 225–233. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [4] Marc Culler and P. B. Shalen. Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 117:109–146, 1983.
- [5] William Goldman. Trace coordinates on fricke spaces of some simple hyperbolic surfaces. *Handbook Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. II, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys*, 13, 01 2009.
- [6] Kazuhiro Ichihara, In Jong, and Yuichi Kabaya. Exceptional surgeries on $(-2, p, p)$ -pretzel knots. *Topology and its Applications*, 159:1064–1073, 03 2012.
- [7] Kazuhiro Ichihara and Hidetoshi Masai. Exceptional surgeries on alternating knots. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 24, 10 2013.
- [8] Teruaki Kitano. Reidemeister torsion of seifert fibered spaces for $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ -representations. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 17:59–75, 1994.
- [9] Tye Lidman and Liam Watson. Nonfibered L-space knots. *Pacific J. Math.*, 267(2):423–429, 2014.
- [10] Melissa L. Macasieb, Kathleen L. Petersen, and Ronald van Luijk. On character varieties of two-bridge knot groups. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 103, 2009.
- [11] Thomas W. Mattman. The Culler-Shalen seminorms of the $(-2, 3, n)$ pretzel knot. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 11(8):1251–1289, 2002.
- [12] Pere Menal-Ferrer and Joan Porti. Twisted cohomology for hyperbolic three manifolds. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 49, 01 2010.
- [13] Luisa Paoluzzi and Joan Porti. Conway spheres as ideal points of the character variety. *Mathematische Annalen*, 354:707–726, 2010.

- [14] Kathleen L. Petersen and Alan W. Reid. Gonality and genus of canonical components of character varieties. *Characters in Low-Dimensional Topology*, 2014.
- [15] Saul Schleimer. Introduction to towers in topology. 2018.
- [16] Masakazu Teragaito. Left-orderability and exceptional dehn surgery on twist knots. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 56, 2013.
- [17] W.P. Thurston. The geometry and topology of three-manifolds. Princeton University, 1979.
- [18] Yi Wang. Punctured jsj tori and tautological extensions of azumaya algebras. 2023.