

On the homotopy groups of the automorphism groups of Cuntz–Krieger algebras

Kengo Matsumoto
Department of Mathematics
Joetsu University of Education
Joetsu, Niigata 943-8512, Japan

Taro Sogabe
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan

April 10, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we first present the homotopy groups of the automorphism groups of Cuntz–Krieger algebras in terms of the underlying matrices of the Cuntz–Krieger algebras. We also show that the homotopy groups are complete invariants of the isomorphism class of the Cuntz–Krieger algebras. As a result, the isomorphism type of Cuntz–Krieger algebras are completely characterized by the group structure of the weak extension groups and the strong extension groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46L80; Secondary 19K33, 19K35.

Keywords and phrases: Homotopy group, K-group, KK-theory, extension group, C^* -algebra, Cuntz–Krieger algebra, automorphism group.

1 Introduction

Study of homotopy groups of endomorphisms of Cuntz–Krieger algebras was initiated by J. Cuntz in [8]. He showed that the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$ of the endomorphisms of stabilized Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)$ is isomorphic to the bi-variant n th weak extension group $\text{Ext}_w^n(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H), \mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))$. By using KK-theoretic machinery, M. Dadarlat investigated the homotopy groups of endomorphisms and automorphisms of Kirchberg algebras, and showed that the n th homotopy group $\pi_n(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}))$ of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ of a unital Kirchberg algebra \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the KK-group $\text{KK}^{n+1}(C_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{A})$, where $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the mapping cone of the unital embedding $u_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Related to classification of continuous fields of C^* -algebras, Izumi–Sogabe [16] and Sogabe [28] studied the homotopy groups of automorphisms of Cuntz algebras and Cuntz–Toeplitz algebras (see also [11]).

In this paper, we will first present the groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)), i = 1, 2$ for the Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A in terms of the four abelian groups $K_i(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s^i(\mathcal{O}_A), i = 0, 1$ by using a Dadarlat’s general result [10] on the homotopy groups of the automorphisms of Kirchberg algebras. The former $K_i(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is the K-groups and the latter $\text{Ext}_s^i(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is the strong extension groups of \mathcal{O}_A , where the first strong extension group Ext_s^1 is the usual strong extension group Ext_s as in [22], [24] and [21]. Since $K_i(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s^i(\mathcal{O}_A), i = 0, 1$ are

written in terms of the underlying matrix A ([6], [21]), it is a easy task to compute the groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)), i = 1, 2$ by hand.

In [29], the second named author introduced the notion of reciprocity for two unital Kirchberg algebras with finitely generated K-groups. Two unital Kirchberg algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are said to be reciprocal if both $\mathcal{A} \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $\mathcal{B} \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{A}})$ hold, where $\underset{\text{KK}}{\sim}$ means KK-equivalence, and the C^* -algebra $D(C_{\mathcal{A}})$ is the Spanier–Whitehead K-dual defined by Kaminker–Schochet [18] (cf. [17]). The Spanier–Whitehead K-duality is a noncommutative analogue of the classical Spanier–Whitehead duality for finite CW complexes. Related to the homotopy groups of automorphisms of unital Kirchberg algebras, the second named author proved in [29] that $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}))$ is isomorphic to $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}))$ for $i = 1, 2$ if and only if \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to \mathcal{B} , or \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are reciprocal. In the present paper, we will show that every pair \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B of two Cuntz–Krieger algebras can never be reciprocal by computing the K-groups of $D(C_{\mathcal{O}_A})$ and $D(C_{\mathcal{O}_B})$ (Lemma 4.5). In particular, the groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$ and $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B))$ for $i = 1, 2$ are isomorphic if and only if \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B . Since we know that the group structure of the two groups $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A)$ determine the other two groups $K_1(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ (Lemma 4.7), the homotopy groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})), i = 1, 2$ are determined by the only two groups $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A)$. For an $N \times N$ matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, let us denote by \widehat{A} the $N \times N$ matrix $\widehat{A} = A + R_1 - AR_1$, where R_1 is the $N \times N$ matrix such that its first row is the vector $[1, \dots, 1]$ whose entries are all 1s and the other rows are zero vectors. As a result, we will prove the following theorem in the present paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.8). *Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^M$ be irreducible non permutation matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Then the following four conditions are mutually equivalent.*

- (i) $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong \pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B)), i = 1, 2.$
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_A \cong \mathcal{O}_B.$
- (iii) $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B)$ and $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_B).$
- (iv) $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{Z}^M / (I - B)\mathbb{Z}^M$ and $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{Z}^M / (I - \widehat{B})\mathbb{Z}^M.$

Since $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is isomorphic to the weak extension group $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A)$, the condition (iii) is replaced with

$$\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_B) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_B).$$

The homotopy groups of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)$ completely determine the isomorphism class of \mathcal{O}_A , and also the isomorphism class of \mathcal{O}_A is determined by the group structure of the two extension groups $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)$, which are computed as the abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$ and $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N$ that are the cokernels of the matrices $I - A$ by [9] and $I - \widehat{A}$ by [21], respectively. Thus, our theorem implies that the pair of two extension groups has exactly the same information as the pair $(K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0)$ due to [25]. The possible range of the pair $(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))$ is clarified in Corollary 5.2, and the relationship between $(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))$ and $(K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0)$ is discussed in Proposition 5.4.

Concerning the homotopy groups of the automorphism group of the stabilizations $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)$ together with Dadarlat’s result in [10], we will show that $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \cong \pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H))), i = 1, 2$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H) \cong \mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H)$ (Proposition 4.12).

2 Preliminary

Throughout the paper, we mean by a Kirchberg algebra a separable unital nuclear simple purely infinite C^* -algebra. We always assume that a Kirchberg algebra satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem (UCT).

2.1 Extension groups as KK-groups

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Kirchberg algebra. Let $u_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the unital embedding defined by $u_{\mathcal{A}}(c) = c1_{\mathcal{A}}$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$, where $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the unit of \mathcal{A} . The mapping cone $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ for the map $u_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by the C^* -algebra

$$C_{\mathcal{A}} := \{f \in C_0(0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A} \mid f(1) \in \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{A}}\}.$$

The suspension $S\mathcal{A}$ is the C^* -algebra $C_0(0, 1) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ which is naturally embedded into $C_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have then a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

in a natural way. For a separable unital nuclear C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , we write

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{s}}^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{KK}^{1-n}(C_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}), \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{w}}^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{KK}^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \quad n = 0, 1,$$

where $\text{KK}^n(\ , \)$ means the Kasparov KK-group of degree n ([19], cf. [2]). For separable UCT C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , one can compute the KK-groups by the following short exact sequence, called UCT, splitting unnaturally (see [2, 3, 26]):

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(K_i(\mathcal{A}), K_{i+1}(\mathcal{B})) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \text{Hom}(K_i(\mathcal{A}), K_i(\mathcal{B})) \rightarrow 0.$$

We in particular write

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{s}}^n(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{s}}^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}), \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{w}}^n(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{w}}^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}), \quad n = 0, 1.$$

The K-homology groups $K^n(\mathcal{A}), n = 0, 1$ are defined by $\text{KK}^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$, so that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{s}}^n(\mathcal{A}) = K^{1-n}(C_{\mathcal{A}}), \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{w}}^n(\mathcal{A}) = K^n(\mathcal{A}), \quad n = 0, 1$$

(see [2], [15], [27] for detail accounts on the relation of Ext-groups and KK-theory). The extension groups $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{s}}^n(\mathcal{A}), \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{w}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ were primary defined and studied to investigate extensions of C^* -algebras motivated by classification of essentially normal operators on Hilbert spaces (cf. [4], [12], etc.). Let $B(H)$ denote the C^* -algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space H . The quotient C^* -algebra $Q(H)$ of $B(H)$ by the C^* -subalgebra $K(H)$ of compact operators on H is called the Calkin algebra. For a separable unital nuclear C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , a $*$ -homomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q(H)$ is called an extension of \mathcal{A} . The extension is said to be unital (resp. essential) if τ is unital (resp. injective). Two extensions $\tau_1, \tau_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q(H)$ are said to be strongly (resp. weakly) equivalent if there exists a unitary $U \in B(H)$ (resp. $u \in Q(H)$) such that $\tau_2(a) = \pi(U)\tau_1(a)\pi(U)^*, a \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\tau_2(a) = u\tau_1(a)u^*, a \in \mathcal{A}$). Let us denote by $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathcal{A})$

(resp. $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{A})$) the set of strong (resp. weak) equivalence classes of unital essential extensions of \mathcal{A} . It is well-known that both $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{A})$ become abelian groups whose addition is defined by direct sum of extensions (cf. [4], [12], [15], etc.). It is also well-known that $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{A})$ are isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{A})$ as abelian groups, respectively (cf. [27, 2.4 Corollary], [23, Theorem 4.5]). By a general theory of KK-theory, the short exact sequence (2.1) of C^* -algebras yield the following cyclic six term exact sequence (see [21, 27])

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_w^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

2.2 The cyclic exact sequence for Cuntz–Krieger algebras

In what follows, we denote by A an $N \times N$ irreducible non permutation matrix $[A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A is defined to be the universal C^* -algebra generated by N -partial isometries S_1, \dots, S_N subject to the operator relations:

$$S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i, j) S_j S_j^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N S_j S_j^* = 1.$$

Let $\hat{i}_A : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \hat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N$ be the homomorphism of abelian groups defined by $\hat{i}_A(m) = [(I - A)[k_i]_{i=1}^N]$ where $\sum_{i=1}^N k_i = m$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [21]). Since $I - \hat{A} = (I - A)(I - R_1)$, the map \hat{i}_A is well-defined. Let us denote by $\text{Ker}(I - A), \text{Ker}(I - \hat{A})$ the subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^N defined by the kernels of the homomorphisms $I - A : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N, I - \hat{A} : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$, respectively. In [20], the cyclic six term exact sequence (2.2) for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_A$ is computed as follows

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(I - \hat{A})/i_1(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_A} \text{Ker}(I - A) \xrightarrow{s_A} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\hat{i}_A} \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \hat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{\hat{q}_A} \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

where $i_1 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(I - \hat{A})$ and the two maps s_A, j_A are defined by

$$i_1(m) := \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s_A([l_i]_{i=1}^N) := \sum_{i=1}^N l_i,$$

$$j_A([l_i]_{i=1}^N + i_1(\mathbb{Z})) := (I - R_1)([l_i]_{i=1}^N) = \begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{i=2}^N l_i \\ l_2 \\ \vdots \\ l_N \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively, and $\hat{q}_A : \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \hat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$ denotes the natural quotient map.

Let us consider the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_A for the matrix A , which is defined by the universal C^* -algebra generated by N -partial isometries T_1, \dots, T_N and one non zero projection P_0 subject to the operator relations (see [13, 14]):

$$T_i^* T_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i, j) T_j T_j^* + P_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N T_j T_j^* + P_0 = 1.$$

The correspondence $T_i \rightarrow S_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

called the Toeplitz extension of \mathcal{O}_A . The short exact sequence (2.4) for the transposed matrix A^t yields the cyclic six term exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

which is nothing but the cyclic six term exact sequence (2.3) ([20, Proposition 4.6]). We summarize the extension groups and K-groups of Cuntz–Krieger algebras in the following way.

Lemma 2.1 ([6], [9], [20], [21]). *Let A be an $N \times N$ irreducible non permutation matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_A) &= \text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N = K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), \\ \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) &= \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N = K_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}), \\ \text{Ext}_w^0(\mathcal{O}_A) &= \text{Ker}(I - A) = K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \cong K_1(\mathcal{O}_A), \\ \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) &= \text{Ker}(I - \widehat{A})/i_1(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Ker}(s_A : \text{Ker}(I - A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) = K_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the Smith normal forms for the \mathbb{Z} -module maps $I - A, I - A^t$ show that $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N = K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $\text{Ker}(I - A) \cong \text{Ker}(I - A^t) = K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)$.

3 Formulas of $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$

Let us denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ the group of automorphisms of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . It has a topology defined by pointwise norm convergence. The n th homotopy group of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ is denoted by $\pi_n(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The following theorem is due to M. Dadarlat [10].

Theorem 3.1 (Dadarlat [10, Corollary 5.10]). *For a unital Kirchberg algebra \mathcal{A} and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have the following formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) &\cong KK^{n+1}(C_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{A}) = \text{Ext}_s^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \\ \pi_n(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))) &\cong KK^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = \text{Ext}_w^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Formulas of $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a separable unital nuclear C^* -algebra with finitely generated K-groups. We have short exact sequences of abelian groups:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{A})) \oplus (\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\longrightarrow \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A}), K_1(\mathcal{A})) \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{A}), K_0(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{A})) \oplus (\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\longrightarrow \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A}), K_0(\mathcal{A})) \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{A}), K_1(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \cong \text{KK}(C_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{A})$, the Künneth theorem (see [2, Theorem 23.1.2], [26]) tells us that there exists a short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{K}^0(C_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{A})) \oplus (\mathbb{K}^1(C_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{K}_1(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\longrightarrow \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K}^0(C_{\mathcal{A}}), \mathbb{K}_1(\mathcal{A})) \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K}^1(C_{\mathcal{A}}), \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{Ext}_s^i(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{K}^{i+1}(C_{\mathcal{A}})$, $i = 0, 1$, we have the desired short exact sequence.

The exact sequence for $\pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}))$ is proved similarly. \square

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an irreducible $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. By applying Lemma 3.2 to the Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A , we have the following formulas.

Proposition 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) &\cong (\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathbb{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A)), \\ \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) &\cong (\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathbb{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{A}), \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{A})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A) = \text{Ker}(I - A^t)$ and $\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) = \text{Ker}(s_A : \text{Ker}(I - A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion free, one has

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A), \mathbb{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) = \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A), \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) = 0,$$

and this shows the desired formula for $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$ by Lemma 3.2. The desired formula for $\pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$ is proved similarly. \square

For an $N \times N$ matrix A , let us define an $(N + 1) \times N$ matrix A_T by

$$A_T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & I - A & \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is direct to see that $\text{Ker}(A_T : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}) = \text{Ker}(s_A : \text{Ker}(I - A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ (cf. [20, Lemma 4.2]).

Corollary 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) &\cong (\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N) \oplus (\text{Ker}(A_T : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}) \otimes \text{Ker}(I - A^t)), \\ \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) &\cong (\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \text{Ker}(I - A^t)) \oplus (\text{Ker}(A_T : \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N+1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N) \\ &\quad \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N, \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. We note that direct computation yields

$$\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N),$$

where $\text{Tor}(-)$ denotes the torsion part (see examples in Section 6).

3.2 $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$

Combining Dadarlat's result

$$\pi_n(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))) \cong KK^n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

with UCT and Lemma 2.1, we know the following formulas.

Proposition 3.6.

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \\ & \cong (\text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (\text{Ext}_w^0(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \\ & = (\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N) \oplus (\text{Ker}(I - A) \otimes \text{Ker}(I - A^t)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \\ & \cong (\text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (\text{Ext}_w^0(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \\ & \quad \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_A), K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \\ & = (\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \text{Ker}(I - A^t)) \oplus (\text{Ker}(I - A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N) \\ & \quad \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N, \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N). \end{aligned}$$

4 $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$ and reciprocity

4.1 Reciprocity in K-duals

In [29], the second named author introduced the notion of reciprocity for a pair of unital Kirchberg algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} with finitely generated K-groups to investigate the homotopy groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}))$ of the automorphism group of \mathcal{A} and continuous fields of C^* -algebras, so called bundles of C^* -algebras. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a separable nuclear UCT C^* -algebra with finitely generated K-groups. Then Kaminker–Schochet [18] showed that there exists another separable nuclear UCT C^* -algebra written $D(\mathcal{C})$ unique up to KK-equivalence satisfying the duality

$$KK^i(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong KK^i(\mathbb{C}, D(\mathcal{C})), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

The C^* -algebra $D(\mathcal{C})$ is called the Spanier–Whitehead K-dual of \mathcal{C} (see [17], [18], etc.). By Theorem 3.1 due to M. Dadarlat, we see that for a Kirchberg algebra \mathcal{A} with finitely generated K-groups, the mapping cone $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ has its dual $D(C_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that

$$\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \cong K_{i+1}(D(C_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathcal{A}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) \cong \pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})), i = 1, 2, \dots$. By (4.1), we have $D(C_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathcal{A} \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}$. The reciprocity for a pair \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of unital Kirchberg algebras was introduced in [29] in the following way.

Definition 4.1 (Sogabe [29]). Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be unital UCT Kirchberg algebras both of them have finitely generated K-groups. Then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are said to be reciprocal if both $\mathcal{A} \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $\mathcal{B} \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{A}})$ hold.

The second named author proved the following theorem in [29].

Theorem 4.2 ([29, Theorem 1.2]). *The homotopy groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}))$ are isomorphic for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$ if and only if either $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$ or \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are reciprocal.*

Let us provide a couple of lemmas to study the reciprocity in Cuntz–Krieger algebras.

Lemma 4.3. *For an irreducible non permutation matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, we have $D(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}) \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{A^t}$, that is, the K-dual of the mapping cone $C_{\mathcal{O}_A}$ of \mathcal{O}_A is KK-equivalent to the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_{A^t} defined by the transposed matrix A^t .*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ satisfy UCT, $C_{\mathcal{O}_A}$ and \mathcal{T}_{A^t} also satisfy UCT. We have

$$\text{KK}(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) = \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \widehat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N = \text{K}_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}) = \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{T}_{A^t}),$$

$$\text{KK}^1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) = \text{Ker}(s_A : \text{Ker}(I - A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) = \text{K}_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}) = \text{KK}^1(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{T}_{A^t}),$$

and hence \mathcal{T}_{A^t} is the Spanier–Whitehead K-dual of $C_{\mathcal{O}_A}$. \square

For a finitely generated abelian group G , we write the rank of the torsion free part of G as $\text{rank}(G)$. The group G is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module and $\text{rank}(G)$ is the dimension of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$.

Lemma 4.4. *For an irreducible matrix $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, we have*

$$\text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})) = \text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})) + 1.$$

Proof. Applying the exact functor $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$ to the sequence (2.5), one has the following exact sequence of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}))} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}))} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}))} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}))} \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies $\text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})) - \text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})) + 1 - \text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})) + \text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})) = 0$. The statement follows from the equation

$$\text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})) = \text{rank}(\text{Ker}(I - A)) = \text{rank}(\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N) = \text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})).$$

\square

Lemma 4.5. *For every pair of irreducible non permutation matrices A, B with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, \mathcal{O}_A is not reciprocal to \mathcal{O}_B .*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B are reciprocal (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_A \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{O}_B})$, $\mathcal{O}_B \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(C_{\mathcal{O}_A})$). Since Lemma 4.3 shows $\mathcal{O}_A \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{B^t}$, one has $\text{K}_*(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{K}_*(\mathcal{T}_{B^t})$ and $\text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{T}_{B^t})) = \text{rank}(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) = \text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) = \text{rank}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{T}_{B^t}))$. This contradicts Lemma 4.4. \square

Theorem 4.6. *The homotopy groups $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A))$ and $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B))$ are isomorphic for every $i = 1, 2$ if and only if \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B .*

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 4.2 together with Lemma 4.5. \square

4.2 Main theorem

Lemma 4.7. *Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^M$ be irreducible non permutation matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B)$ and $\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_B)$. Then we have $K_1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_1(\mathcal{O}_B)$ and $\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_B)$.*

Proof. Let us denote by T_A (resp. \tilde{T}_A) the torsion part of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ (resp. $K_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})$), and let r_A (resp. R_A) be $\text{rank}(K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})) = \text{rank}(K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}))$ (resp. $K_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})$). Then, one has

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) = \mathbb{Z}^{r_A} \oplus T_A, \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) = \mathbb{Z}^{r_A},$$

and Lemma 4.3, 4.4 show that

$$\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) = K_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}) = \mathbb{Z}^{R_A+1} \oplus \tilde{T}_A,$$

$$\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) = K_1(\mathcal{T}_{A^t}) = \mathbb{Z}^{R_A}.$$

Applying the same formula for the matrix B , the statement follows immediately. \square

We present the following theorem which is the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^M$ be irreducible non permutation matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Then the following five conditions are mutually equivalent.*

- (i) $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong \pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B)), i = 1, 2.$
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_A \cong \mathcal{O}_B.$
- (iii) $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B)$ and $\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_B).$
- (iv) $\text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_B)$ and $\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_B).$
- (v) $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{Z}^M / (I - B)\mathbb{Z}^M$ and $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \hat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{Z}^M / (I - \hat{B})\mathbb{Z}^M.$

Proof. The equivalence (i) \iff (ii) follows from Theorem 4.6. The implication (ii) \implies (iii) is clear. Since $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_w^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$, the equivalences among (iii), (iv) and (v) are obvious. By Lemma 4.7, we know that the condition (iii) implies that $K_i(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_i(\mathcal{O}_B)$ and $\text{Ext}_s^i(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_s^i(\mathcal{O}_B)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence Proposition 3.3 shows $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong \pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B)), i = 1, 2$ which is the condition (i). \square

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, take a unitary $u_m \in Q(H)$ whose Fredholm index is m . Take a trivial extension $\tau : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow Q(H)$ which means that there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\tau_0 : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $\tau = \pi \circ \tau_0$, where $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow Q(H)$ is a natural quotient map. Let us denote by σ_m the extension $\text{Ad}(u_m) \circ \tau : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow Q(H)$. Let $q_A : \text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)$ denotes the natural quotient map. Then the map $\iota_A : m \in \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [\sigma_m]_s \in \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)$ yields a homomorphism of groups such that the sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\iota_A} \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) \xrightarrow{q_A} \text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) \quad (4.2)$$

is exact at the middle so that we have $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)/\iota_A(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A)$. The sequence (4.2) is rephrased as

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\hat{\iota}_A} \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - \hat{A})\mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{\hat{q}_A} \mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$$

([20, Lemma 3.1]).

Corollary 4.9. *The pair $(\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A), \iota_A(1))$ of the group $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and the position $\iota_A(1)$ in $\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is a complete invariant of the isomorphism class of \mathcal{O}_A . It means that the class $[(I - A)[e_1]]$ of the vector $(I - A)[e_1] \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, where $e_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^t$, in the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$ is a complete invariant of the isomorphism class of \mathcal{O}_A .*

4.3 $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$

In this subsection, we will refer to $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$.

Lemma 4.10. $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \cong \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$.

Proof. We write $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{Z}^{r_A} \oplus T_A$ with a finite abelian group T_A . By Proposition 3.6, it is direct to see that both $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$ and $\pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)))$ are isomorphic to the abelian group

$$(T_A \otimes T_A) \oplus (T_A \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{r_A}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^{r_A} \otimes T_A) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^{r_A} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{r_A}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^{r_A} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{r_A}). \quad (4.3)$$

□

Remark 4.11. One can also check that, for a Kirchberg algebra \mathcal{A} with finitely generated K-groups, the isomorphism $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))) \cong \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H)))$ holds if and only if $\text{rank}(K_0(\mathcal{A})) = \text{rank}(K_1(\mathcal{A}))$ holds.

We see that the group structure of the abelian group of (4.3) determines the torsion group T_A and the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^{r_A} as in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.12. $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \cong \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H)))$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H) \cong \mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H)$.

Proof. We show the only if part. We use the same notation as in the above proof, and there are integers $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{m_j\}_{j=1}^l$ such that

$$0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_k, \quad n_1 | n_2 | \dots | n_k,$$

$$0 < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_l, \quad m_1 | m_2 | \dots | m_l,$$

$$T_A = \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_k\mathbb{Z}, \quad T_B = \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_l\mathbb{Z}.$$

By the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups, these integers are uniquely determined for the groups T_A, T_B . The assumption $\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \cong \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H)))$ and (4.3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} r_A &= r_B =: r, \\ (T_A \otimes T_A) \oplus T_A^{2r} &\cong (T_B \otimes T_B) \oplus T_B^{2r}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Comparing the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z})^{2(k+r-i)+1} &= (T_A \otimes T_A) \oplus T_A^{2r} \\
&= (T_B \otimes T_B) \oplus T_B^{2r} \\
&= \bigoplus_{j=1}^l (\mathbb{Z}/m_j\mathbb{Z})^{2(l+r-j)+1},
\end{aligned}$$

we have $n_k = m_l =: n$. For the groups

$$T'_A := \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_{k-1}\mathbb{Z}, \quad T'_B := \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_{l-1}\mathbb{Z},$$

one has $T'_A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = T'_A, T'_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = T'_B$, and (4.4) implies

$$(T'_A \otimes T'_A) \oplus T_A^{2(r+1)} \cong (T'_B \otimes T'_B) \oplus T_B^{2(r+1)}.$$

Now we can inductively obtain

$$n_{k-1} = m_{l-1}, n_{k-2} = m_{l-2}, \dots, \quad k = l,$$

and this proves $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B)$. □

Remark 4.13. The analogy of the above proposition for general stable Kirchberg algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} with finitely generated K-groups dose not hold. Let P_∞ be the stable Kirchberg algebra KK-equivalent to $S(= C_0(0, 1))$. Then, two algebras $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)$ and $\mathcal{B} = P_\infty \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H)$ have the same homotopy groups of their automorphism groups, but they are not isomorphic in general (see the case that \mathcal{O}_A is the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N, N \geq 3$).

5 The invariants $(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))$

In this section, we will determine the possible range of the pair $(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))$.

Proposition 5.1. *For a finitely generated abelian group M and an element $e \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus M$, there exists a Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A for an irreducible non permutation matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ such that*

$$\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e, \quad \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus M.$$

Before going to the proof of Proposition 5.1, we recall the range of K-groups of the purely infinite simple Cuntz–Krieger algebras. For a finite abelian group

$$T := \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_k\mathbb{Z}, \quad n_i \geq 2,$$

and an integer $r \geq 0$, one can find a matrix A of size $N := (r + \sum_{i=1}^k (1 + n_i)) + 3$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{Z}^N / (I - A)\mathbb{Z}^N = \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T, \quad \text{Ker}(I - A) = \mathbb{Z}^r$$

as follows. Let $N_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ denote the $(1 + n_i) \times (1 + n_i)$ -matrix whose entries are all 1 and let I_r denote the identity matrix of size r . Then, the matrix A is defined by

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & \mathbf{D} & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D := \begin{bmatrix} I_r & & & & \\ & N_1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & N_k \end{bmatrix},$$

and it is straightforward to check that

$$\mathbb{Z}^N / (I_N - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{(1+n_i)} / (I_{1+n_i} - N_i)\mathbb{Z}^{(1+n_i)} = \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T,$$

$$\text{Ker}(I_N - A) = \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

In the directed graph with N -vertices determined by A , every two vertices are connected by a directed path passing through the N th vertex, which implies that A is an irreducible, non permutation matrix. Combining this with [1], for an arbitrary element $e \in \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T$, one can find a purely infinite simple Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_B (i.e., \mathcal{O}_B with an irreducible non permutation matrix B) satisfying

$$(\text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_B), [1_{\mathcal{O}_B}]_0, \text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_B)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^r \oplus T, e, \mathbb{Z}^r).$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1. First, we show the statement when $e \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus M$ is a non torsion element. In this case one has a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus M \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups that gives an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1((\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e, \mathbb{Z})$. Let \mathcal{O}_B be a purely infinite simple Cuntz–Krieger algebra with $\text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_B) \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e$. Then, the following UCT

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1((\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_B), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

implies that there is an essential unital extension $0 \rightarrow K(H) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow 0$ whose six term exact sequence splits into the following short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{K}_0(E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{K}_1(E) \rightarrow \text{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_B) \rightarrow 0$$

and the first sequence is equivalent to the extension $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus M \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e$. Hence one has $\mathbb{Z} \oplus M \cong \text{K}_0(E)$, and the strong K-theoretic duality (see [20, 23]) implies that there is a unital Kirchberg algebra \mathcal{B} satisfying

$$\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{B}) = \text{K}_0(E), \quad \text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{B}) = \text{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_B), \quad \mathcal{B} \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} D(S\mathcal{O}_B) \underset{\text{KK}}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_B.$$

Applying [1] to $\mathcal{B} \otimes K(H) \cong \mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H)$, \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to a purely infinite simple Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A (i.e., \mathcal{O}_A with an irreducible non permutation matrix A).

Next, we show the statement when e is a torsion element. We write $M := \mathbb{Z}^m \oplus \tilde{T}$, $e := (0, \tilde{t}) \in M$, $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e = \mathbb{Z}^{1+m} \oplus T$ where $m \geq 0$ is an integer and $\tilde{T}, T = \tilde{T}/\mathbb{Z}\tilde{t}$ are finite abelian groups. By [29, Cor. 3.10], there exists a non torsion element $d \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus T$ satisfying

$$\tilde{T} = (\mathbb{Z} \oplus T)/\mathbb{Z}d.$$

For a purely infinite simple Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A with

$$(\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0, \mathbf{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^m \oplus (\mathbb{Z} \oplus T), (0, d), \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}),$$

one has

$$\mathrm{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e, \quad \mathbf{K}_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m \oplus (\mathbb{Z} \oplus T)/\mathbb{Z}d = \mathbb{Z}^m \oplus \tilde{T}.$$

We have the following six term exact sequence of $0 \rightarrow S\mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{O}_A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}_0(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbb{C}) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{K}_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}) & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A), \end{array}$$

where the vertical map $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is identified with

$$\mathbf{K}_0(u_{\mathcal{O}_A}) : \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbb{C}) \ni [1]_0 \mapsto [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0 = (0, d) \in \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A).$$

Since d is a non torsion element, the map $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is injective, and the above six term exact sequence shows $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1} = \mathbf{K}_1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbf{K}_0(C_{\mathcal{O}_A})$. Thus, the UCT

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbf{K}_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{K}_0(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

implies $\mathrm{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \tilde{T} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m+1} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus M$. □

Since $\mathrm{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{T}_{A^t})$, Lemma 4.4 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2.

$\{(\mathrm{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \mathrm{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A)) \mid A : \text{irreducible non permutation matrix with entries in } \{0, 1\}\}$
 $= \{(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus M) \mid M : \text{finitely generated abelian group, } G \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e \text{ for some } e \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus M\}$

One may notice that complete invariant of \mathcal{O}_A appears in two different ways. One is a pair of G and its element $d \in G$ (i.e., $(\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0)$ due to [25]), and the other is the pair of the groups $(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus M)$ as in the above corollary. We will explain the relationship between these two aspects via the reciprocity.

Lemma 5.3 (c.f. [29, Corollary 3.10]). *Let M be a finitely generated abelian group. For any $e \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus M$, there exists an element $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus M$ satisfying*

$$M \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\langle e, \tilde{d} \rangle.$$

Proof. We write $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus T$ for a finite abelian group T and an integer $m \geq 0$ so that $\mathbb{Z} \oplus M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^m \oplus T)$. We may assume that e is of the form $(n, (0, t))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and [29, Corollary 3.10] gives an element $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus 0 \oplus T$ satisfying

$$(\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0 \oplus T)/\langle e, \tilde{d} \rangle \cong T.$$

Thus, the elements e, \tilde{d} satisfy $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\langle e, \tilde{d} \rangle \cong M$. \square

For $d \in G$ and $d' \in G'$, we say that (G, d) and (G', d') are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow G'$ with $\theta(d) = d'$, and denote by $[G, d]$ the equivalence class. We write

$$\mathcal{K} := \{[G, d] \mid G : \text{finitely generated abelian group}\} = \{[\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0]\},$$

$$\mathcal{E} := \{(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus M) \mid G \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e\} / \sim_{\text{isom}} = \{(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))\} / \sim_{\text{isom}},$$

where, in the definition of \mathcal{E} , two pairs $(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus M)$ and $(G', \mathbb{Z} \oplus M')$ are said to be isomorphic if $G \cong G'$ and $\mathbb{Z} \oplus M \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus M'$ hold.

Proposition 5.4. *Let G, M be finitely generated abelian groups.*

(i) *For any $[G, d] \in \mathcal{K}$, one has $(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus (G/\mathbb{Z}d)) \in \mathcal{E}$.*

(ii) *For any $(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus M) \in \mathcal{E}$, there exist two elements $e, \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus M$ satisfying*

$$G \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e, \quad (\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\langle e, \tilde{d} \rangle \cong M,$$

and the class $[(\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e, \tilde{d} + \mathbb{Z}e] \in \mathcal{K}$ dose not depend on the choice of e, \tilde{d} .

(iii) *The above two constructions yield $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{E}$ by which $[\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0]$ corresponds to $(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))$.*

Proof. First, we prove (i). Applying Lemma 5.3 to $d \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus G$, there exists an element $\tilde{e} \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus G$ satisfying

$$G = (\mathbb{Z} \oplus G)/\langle d, \tilde{e} \rangle = (\mathbb{Z} \oplus (G/\mathbb{Z}d))/\langle (\tilde{e} + \mathbb{Z}d) \rangle,$$

and one has $(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus (G/\mathbb{Z}d)) \in \mathcal{E}$.

Next, we show (ii). Existence of e, \tilde{d} follows from the definition of \mathcal{E} and Lemma 5.3. Since $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e \cong G$ and $((\mathbb{Z} \oplus M)/\mathbb{Z}e)/\langle \tilde{d} + \mathbb{Z}e \rangle \cong M$ hold for any choice of e, \tilde{d} , [29, Proposition 2.19] proves the statement.

Finally, we show (iii). The statements (i) and (ii) provide two correspondences $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{(i)} \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{(ii)} \mathcal{K}$, and it is straightforward to check that the composition $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{(ii)} \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{(i)} \mathcal{E}$ is the identity.

We show that the composition $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{(i)} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{(ii)} \mathcal{K}$ is the identity. For a given $[G, d] \in \mathcal{K}$, the correspondence $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{(i)} \mathcal{E}$ gives the pair $(G, \mathbb{Z} \oplus (G/\mathbb{Z}d))$, and the correspondence $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{(ii)} \mathcal{K}$ sends the pair to $[G, d']$ satisfying $G/\mathbb{Z}d' \cong G/\mathbb{Z}d$. Thus, [29, Proposition 2.19] shows $[G, d'] = [G, d]$.

Since $\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$, the following computation implies that $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{(i)} \mathcal{E}$ sends $[K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0]$ to $(\text{Ext}_w(\mathcal{O}_A), \text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A))$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ext}_s(\mathcal{O}_A) &= KK(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}, \mathbb{C}) \\
&\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(K_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}), \mathbb{Z}) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rank}(K_0(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}))} \oplus \text{Tor}(K_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A})) \\
&= \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rank}(K_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}))} \oplus \text{Tor}(K_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A})) \\
&= \mathbb{Z} \oplus K_1(C_{\mathcal{O}_A}) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)/\mathbb{Z}[1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]_0).
\end{aligned}$$

□

6 Examples

1. \mathcal{O}_N : Let \mathcal{O}_N be the Cuntz algebra of order $1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [5]). It was shown that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_N) = \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z}$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_N) = 0$ in [7] and also $\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_N) = \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_N) = 0$ in [22], [24]. By Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N)) &\cong (\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_N) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_N) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z} \\
&\cong \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z}, \\
\pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N)) &\cong (\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_N) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_N) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \\
&\quad \oplus \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_N), K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong 0, \\
\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes K(H))) &\cong \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes K(H))) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. $\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$: We note that $\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ is realized as a Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^{<k>}}$ for the $Nk \times Nk$ matrix $A^{<k>}$ defined by

$$A^{<k>} := \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0_N & \cdots & 0_N & [N] \\ \hline I_N & & & 0_N \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & I_N & 0_N \end{array} \right], \quad [N] := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[N], 0_N, I_N$ are $N \times N$ matrices. Hence the formulas of Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 are applicable for $\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Since $K_1(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})) = K_1(\mathcal{O}_N) = 0$ and hence $\text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_N) = 0$, we have

$$\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}))) \cong \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z}.$$

By Paschke and Salinas [22], we know that $\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(N-1, k)\mathbb{Z}$, where $(N-1, k)$ is the greatest common divisor of $N-1$ and k . Hence we have

$$\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}))) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(N-1, k)\mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}))) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(k, N-1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

As $(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})) \otimes K(H) \cong \mathcal{O}_N \otimes K(H)$, we have $\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})) \otimes K(H)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(1-N)\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

3. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As in [21], we know that

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad K_1(\mathcal{O}_A) = K_1(\mathcal{O}_B) = \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_A) = \text{Ext}_s^0(\mathcal{O}_B) = 0,$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{Ext}_s^1(\mathcal{O}_B) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

so that

$$\pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_1(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence we know that \mathcal{O}_A is not isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B . Similarly we have

$$\pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)) \cong 0, \quad \pi_2(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes K(H))) \cong \pi_i(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_B \otimes K(H))) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The results of the present paper will be generalized to a wider class of Kirchberg algebras in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgment: K. Matsumoto is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03537. T. Sogabe is supported by Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] S. E. ARKLINT, E. RUIZ, *Corners of Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365, 11 (2015), pp. 7595–7612.
- [2] B. E. BLACKADAR, *K-theory for operator algebras*, MSRI Publications 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1986.
- [3] L. G. BROWN, *The universal coefficient theorem for Ext and quasidiagonality*, Proceedings of International Conference on Operator Algebras and Group Representations, Monographs and Studies in Math. **17**(1984), pp. 60–64.
- [4] L. G. BROWN, R. G. DOUGLAS AND P. A. FILLMORE, *Extensions of C^* -algebras and K-homology*, Ann. Math. **105**(1977), pp. 265–324.
- [5] J. CUNTZ, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57**(1977), pp. 173–185.

- [6] J. CUNTZ, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains II: reducible chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **63**(1980), pp. 25–40.
- [7] J. CUNTZ, *K -theory for certain simple C^* -algebras*, Ann. Math. **117**(1981), pp. 181–197.
- [8] J. CUNTZ, *On the homotopy groups of the space of endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra (with applications to topological Markov chains)*, In *Operator algebras and group representations, Vol I* (Neptun 1980), 124–137, Pitman, Boston, MA. 1984.
- [9] J. CUNTZ AND W. KRIEGER, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56**(1980), pp. 251–268.
- [10] M. DADARLAT, *The homotopy groups of the automorphism groups of Kirchberg algebras*, J. Noncommut. Geo. **1**(2007), pp. 113–139.
- [11] M. DADARLAT AND U. PENNING, *A Dixmier–Douady theory for strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **718**(2016), pp. 153–181.
- [12] R. G. DOUGLAS, *C^* -algebra extensions and K -homology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1980.
- [13] M. ENOMOTO, M. FUJII AND Y. WATATANI, *Tensor algebras on the Fock space associated with \mathcal{O}_A* , Math. Japon. **24** (1979), pp. 463–468.
- [14] D. EVANS, *Gauge actions on \mathcal{O}_A* , J. Operator theory, **7** (1982), pp. 79–100.
- [15] N. HIGSON AND J. ROE, *Analytic K -homology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000
- [16] M. IZUMI AND T. SOGABE, *The group structure of the homotopy set whose target is the automorphism group of the Cuntz algebra*, Internat. J. Math. **30**(2019), 1950057, 19 pp.
- [17] J. KAMINKER AND I. PUTNAM, *K -Theoretic duality for shifts of finite type*, Commun. Math. Phys. **187**(1997), pp. 509–522.
- [18] J. KAMINKER AND C. L. SCHOCHET, *Spanier–Whitehead K -duality for C^* -algebras*, J. Topol. Anal. **11**(2019), pp. 21–52.
- [19] G. G. KASPAROV, *The operator K -functor and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Math. USSR Izvestija **16**(1981), pp. 513–572.
- [20] K. MATSUMOTO, *K -theoretic duality for extensions of Cuntz–Krieger algebras* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **531**(2024), P. 127827, 26 pages.
- [21] K. MATSUMOTO, *On strong extension groups of Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, preprint, to appear in Analysis Mathematica, arXiv:2208.08001 [math.OA].
- [22] W. L. PASCHKE AND N. SALINAS, *Matrix algebras over \mathcal{O}_n* , Michigan Math. J. **26**(1979), pp. 3–12.

- [23] U. PENNIG AND T. SOGABE, *Spanier—Whitehead K-duality and duality of extensions of C^* -algebras*, arXiv:2403.2008.
- [24] M. PIMSNER AND S. POPA, *The Ext-groups of some C^* -algebras considered by J. Cuntz*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. **23**(1978), pp. 1069–1076.
- [25] M. RØRDAM, *Classification of Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, K-theory **9**(1995), pp. 31–58.
- [26] J. ROSENBERG AND C. SCHOCHET, *The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov’s generalized K-functor*, Duke Math. J. **55**(1987), pp. 431–474.
- [27] G. SKANDALIS, *On the strong Ext bifunctor*, Preprint, Queen’s University no 19, 1983.
- [28] T. SOGABE, *The homotopy groups of the automorphism groups of Cuntz–Toeplitz algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **72**(2020), pp. 959–989.
- [29] T. SOGABE, *The reciprocal Kirchberg algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2204. 11199v3 [math.OA].