

Extremal problems for star forests and cliques*

Yongchun Lu¹ Yichong Liu¹ Liying Kang^{1,2†}

¹Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China, 200444

²Newtouch Center for Mathematics of Shanghai University, Shanghai, China, 200444

Abstract

Given a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , the Turán number $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$ denotes the maximum number of edges in any \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. Recently, Alon and Frankl studied of maximum number of edges in an n -vertex $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free graph, where K_{k+1} is a complete graph on $k+1$ vertices and M_{s+1} is a matching of $s+1$ edges. They determined the exact value of $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$. In this paper, we extend the matching M_{s+1} to star forest $(s+1)S_l$, and determine the exact value of $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s+1)S_l\})$ for sufficiently large enough n . Furthermore, all the extremal graphs are obtained.

Keywords: Turán number; Star forest; Clique

AMS (2000) subject classification: 05C35

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. Set $e(G) = |E(G)|$. If $u, v \in V(G)$ and $uv \in E(G)$, we say u and v are *adjacent*. Denote by $G[S]$ the graph induced by S , and denote by $G \setminus S$ the graph obtained from G by deleting all vertices of S and all edges incident with S . For $V_1, V_2 \subseteq V(G)$, $E(V_1, V_2)$ denotes the set of edges between V_1 and V_2 in G , and $e(V_1, V_2) = |E(V_1, V_2)|$.

Given a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , a graph G is called \mathcal{F} -free if G does not contain any copy of a graph in \mathcal{F} as a subgraph. The *Turán number* of a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , denoted as $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{F} -free graph G of order n . Denote by $EX(n, \mathcal{F})$ the set of \mathcal{F} -free graphs with $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$ edges and call a graph in $EX(n, \mathcal{F})$ an *extremal graph* for \mathcal{F} .

*Research was partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 12331012)

†Corresponding author. Email addresses: lykang@shu.edu.cn (L. Kang), luyongchun@shu.edu.cn (Y. Lu), lyc328az@163.com (Y. Liu)

Let K_r denote the complete graph on r vertices. A complete subgraph is called a clique. The r -vertex independent set is denoted by $\overline{K_r}$. Let M_s denote the matching of s edges, and S_l denote the star on $l + 1$ vertices. The Turán graph $T_k(n)$ is a complete multipartite graph formed by partitioning the set of n vertices into k subsets with size as equal as possible, and connecting two vertices by an edge if and only if they belong to different subsets. For two vertex disjoint graphs G and H , we write $G \cup H$ for the union of G and H . The *join* of G and H , denoted by $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained by joining every vertex of G to every vertex of H . We write k disjoint copies of H as kH .

Erdős and Gallai [2] determined $ex(n, M_{s+1})$ for all positive integers k and n . Lidický et al. [9] investigated the Turán number of a star forest, and determined the Turán number of a star forest for sufficiently large n . Lan et al. [7] gave $ex(n, (s+1)S_l)$ for $k, l \geq 1$ and n is sufficiently large. Li et al. [8] determined $ex(n, (s+1)S_l)$ for all positive integers k, l and n . Recently, Alon and Frankl [1] determined the exact value of $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$.

Theorem 1.1. ([1]) *For $n \geq 2s + 1$ and $k \geq 2$, $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = \max\{e(T_k(2s + 1)), e(G(n, k))\}$ where $G(n, k) = T_{k-1}(s) \vee \overline{K_{n-s}}$.*

Katona and Xiao [4] determined $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, P_l\})$ if $l > 2k + 1$. For a graph H with $\chi(H) > 2$, Gerbner [3] determined $ex(n, \{H, M_{k+1}\})$ apart from a constant additive term. Liu and Kang [6] obtained asymptotical result for $ex(n, \{H, P_l\})$.

In this paper, we extend M_{s+1} to the star forest $(s + 1)S_l$, we determine the exact value of $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\})$. We will show the following lemma which claims that there exists a K_3 -free extremal graph for S_{l+1} on n vertices.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $l \geq 1$ and $n \geq l^2 + 2$.*

(1) *If n is even, there exists an l -regular bipartite graph \mathcal{R} on n vertices whose two parts V_1, V_2 have size $\frac{n}{2}$.*

(2) *If n is odd, there exists a K_3 -free l -regular graph or a K_3 -free almost l -regular graph \mathcal{R} on n vertices, and there is a partition $V(\mathcal{R}) = V_1 \cup V_2$ and a vertex $v_0 \in V_2$ such that $|V_1| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, |V_2| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ and $\mathcal{R}[V \setminus v_0]$ is a bipartite graph.*

The partition $V(\mathcal{R}) = V_1 \cup V_2$ in Lemma 1.1 is called a good partition of \mathcal{R} and v_0 is called an exceptional vertex of \mathcal{R} when n is odd. We construct two families of graphs $\mathcal{G}_1(s)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(s)$ as follows. Let \mathcal{R} be an $(n - s)$ -vertex $(l - 1)$ -regular graph or almost $(l - 1)$ -regular graph in Lemma 1.1, V_1, V_2 be a good-partition of \mathcal{R} and v_0 be the exceptional vertex of \mathcal{R} when $n - s$ is odd. A graph in $\mathcal{G}_1(s)$ is obtained from $T_2(s)$ and \mathcal{R} by joining every vertex in the larger part of $T_2(s)$ to every vertex in V_1 and joining every vertex in the other part of $T_2(s)$ to every

vertex in V_2 ($V_2 \setminus \{v_0\}$) when $n - s$ is even (odd). Let \mathcal{R}_1 be a bipartite graph on $n - s$ vertices with bipartition S, T , where $|S| = \lceil \frac{n-s}{2} \rceil$ and $|T| = \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor$, and the degree of each vertex in T is $l - 1$ and the degree of each vertex in S is not exceeding $l - 1$. It is easily seen that graph \mathcal{R}_1 always exists from the proof of Lemma 1.1. A graph in $\mathcal{G}_2(s)$ is obtained from $T_2(s)$ and \mathcal{R}_1 by joining every vertex in the larger part of $T_2(s)$ to every vertex in S and joining every vertex in the other part of $T_2(s)$ to every vertex in T .

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k \geq 3, l \geq 2, s \geq 0$ be integers and $n \geq ks^2 + (s + 1)(l + 1)^2$. Then*

$$ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}) = e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n - s) + \lfloor \frac{(l - 1)(n - s)}{2} \rfloor.$$

The graphs $T_{k-2}(s) \vee \mathcal{R}$ are the extremal graphs for $\{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}$, where \mathcal{R} is a K_3 -free extremal graph for S_l on $n - s$ vertices.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $k = 2$ and n is large enough.*

(1) *If $l < s + 1$, then*

$$ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}) = s(n - s),$$

and $K_{s, n-s}$ is the unique extremal graph.

(2) *If $l \geq s + 1, n - s$ is even, then*

$$ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}) = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n - s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(l - 1)(n - s)}{2} \rfloor,$$

and $EX(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}) = \mathcal{G}_1(s)$.

If $l \geq s + 1, n - s$ is odd, then

$$ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}) = \max\{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n - s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(l - 1)(n - s)}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n - s}{2} \rfloor + (l - 1) \lfloor \frac{n - s}{2} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil\},$$

any extremal graph for $\{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}$ is in $\mathcal{G}_1(s)$ or in $\mathcal{G}_2(s)$.

2 The Turán number of $\{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}$ ($k \geq 3$)

The following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 2.1. *For any l and $n \geq l^2 + 2$, $ex(n, S_{l+1}) = \lfloor \frac{ln}{2} \rfloor$. The l -regular graph or almost l -regular graph on n vertices is an extremal graph for S_{l+1} .*

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Given a vertex set V of size n , we divide the vertex set V into 2 balanced parts V_1 and V_2 , where $|V_1| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, |V_2| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Let $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = ml + r, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = ml + r + c$, where $c = 0$ if n is even and $c = 1$ if n is odd, $0 \leq r < l$. Then we divide both V_1 and V_2 into $m + 1$ parts, where each of the first m parts contains l vertices while the last part contains r and $r + c$ vertices respectively. Let the parts be $V_{1,1}, \dots, V_{1,m+1}$ and $V_{2,1}, \dots, V_{2,m+1}$, i.e. $|V_{1,i}| = |V_{2,i}| = l, i \in [m]$ and $|V_{1,m+1}| = r, |V_{2,m+1}| = r + c$. We label the vertices in $V_{1,m+1}$ be a_1, \dots, a_r , label the vertices in $V_{2,m+1}$ be b_1, \dots, b_r if $c = 0$ and label the vertices in $V_{2,m+1}$ be b_1, \dots, b_r, v_0 if $c = 1$. Then we connect every vertex in $V_{1,i}$ to every vertex in $V_{2,i}$ for $i \in [m]$ and connect every $a_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ to every $b_j, j = 1, \dots, r$. Then for any $i \in [m]$, $V_{1,i}$ and $V_{2,i}$ form an l -regular bipartite graph, $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$ form an r -regular bipartite graph. Next we apply some changes to construct a K_3 -free l -regular graph or a K_3 -free almost l -regular graph.

(1) If n is even, we select an edge xy between V_{1,i_0} and V_{2,i_0} where $x \in V_{1,i_0}, y \in V_{2,i_0}, i_0 \in [m]$. We delete xy and add two edges xb_1, ya_1 , then x and y remain degree l , the degrees of both a_1 and b_1 increase by 1. By applying such an operation $l - r$ times, we change the degrees of both a_1 and b_1 from r to l , and the degrees of other vertices remain the same. Since $n \geq l^2 + 2$, applying the similar operation for $(a_i, b_i), 2 \leq i \leq r$, we get an l -regular bipartite graph \mathcal{R} on the vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2$.

(2) If n is odd, we choose an edge x_1y_1 between V_{1,i_1} and $V_{2,i_1}, i_1 \in [m]$, delete it and add two edges x_1v_0 and y_1v_0 . Then x_1 and y_1 remain degree l , the degree of v_0 increases by 2. If $l - 2 > 2$, we choose an edge x_2y_2 between V_{1,i_2} and V_{2,i_2} , where $i_2 \in [m], i_2 \neq i_1$. Since $n \geq l^2 + 2$, continue this operation $\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor$ times, we change the degree of v_0 from 0 to $l - 1$ or l , and the degrees of other vertices remain the same. It is easy to check that the resulting graph \mathcal{R} is a K_3 -free l -regular graph or a K_3 -free almost l -regular graph and $\mathcal{R}[V \setminus v_0]$ is a bipartite graph. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use induction on s . If $s = 0$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 1.1, $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, S_l\}) = ex(n, S_l) = \lfloor \frac{(l-1)n}{2} \rfloor$, and a K_3 -free $(l - 1)$ -regular graph or a K_3 -free almost $(l - 1)$ -regular graph on n vertices is an extremal graph for $\{K_{k+1}, S_l\}$. Now suppose the conclusion is true for $0 \leq s' \leq s$. One can verify that $T_{k-2}(s) \vee \mathcal{R}$ is $\{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}$ -free, where \mathcal{R} is a K_3 -free $(l - 1)$ -regular graph or a K_3 -free almost $(l - 1)$ -regular graph on $n - s$ vertices. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}) &\geq e(T_{k-2}(s) \vee \mathcal{R}) \\ &= e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n - s) + \lfloor \frac{(l - 1)(n - s)}{2} \rfloor. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Next we prove the upper bound. Let \mathcal{G} be the set of n -vertex $\{K_{k+1}, (s + 1)S_l\}$ -free graphs

which maximize the number of edges. For any graph G in \mathcal{G} , we have the following claim.

Claim 1. *There exists a vertex set $U \subseteq V(G)$ with size s such that $G[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free.*

Proof. By (2.1) and $n \geq ks^2 + (s+1)(l+1)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &\geq e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\ &> e(T_{k-2}(s-1)) + (s-1)(n-s+1) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s+1)}{2} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with the induction hypothesis, we conclude that G must contain a copy of sS_l . We denote it by T_0 .

We choose an arbitrary copy of S_l in T_0 , say K . Note that $G \setminus V(K)$ is sS_l -free. By the induction hypothesis

$$e(G \setminus V(K)) \leq e(T_{k-2}(s-1)) + (s-1)(n-s-l) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s-l)}{2} \rfloor.$$

Let m_0 be the number of edges incident to $V(K)$ in G . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= e(G) - e(G \setminus V(K)) \\ &\geq e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\ &\quad - \left(e(T_{k-2}(s-1)) + (s-1)(n-s-l) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s-l)}{2} \rfloor \right) \\ &\geq n-s, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that each copy of S_l in T_0 must contain a vertex of degree at least $\frac{n-s}{l+1}$. Let U be the set of such a vertex from each S_l in T_0 , then $|U| = s$. We claim that $G[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free. Otherwise, $G[V \setminus U]$ contains a copy of S_l , say T . Since $d(u) \geq \frac{n-s}{l+1}$ for any u in U and $n \geq ks^2 + (s+1)(l+1)^2$, $G \setminus V(T)$ must contain a copy of sS_l . Then G contains a copy of $(s+1)S_l$, a contradiction. \square

For two non-adjacent vertices u and v in G , if we delete the edges connecting u to $N_G(u)$ and add new edges connecting u to $N_G(v)$, we call such an operation as *symmetrization* and we say *symmetrize u to v* . Let the resulting graph be $G_{u \rightarrow v}$. Then $V(G_{u \rightarrow v}) = V(G)$ and $E(G_{u \rightarrow v}) = (E(G) \setminus E(u, N_G(u))) \cup E(u, N_G(v))$.

We call two vertices u and v in $V(G)$ are *equivalent* if and only if $N_G(u) = N_G(v)$. Obviously, it is an equivalent relation. So the vertices in U can be partitioned into equivalent classes and every equivalent class is an independent set. Among \mathcal{G} , we choose the graphs that minimize the

number of equivalent classes of U , and denote the family of such graphs by \mathcal{G}' . For any graph G in \mathcal{G}' , we have the following claim.

Claim 2. *Any two non-adjacent vertices of U have the same neighborhood in G .*

Proof. We first prove that when we do symmetrization for non-adjacent vertices in U , the resulting graph is still $\{K_{k+1}, (s+1)S_l\}$ -free. Suppose u and v are non-adjacent vertices in U , and we symmetrize u to v , then $G_{u \rightarrow v}[V \setminus U] = G[V \setminus U]$. By Claim 1, $G_{u \rightarrow v}[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free, then $G_{u \rightarrow v}$ is $(s+1)S_l$ -free. Moreover, $G_{u \rightarrow v}$ is K_{k+1} -free. If $G_{u \rightarrow v}$ contains a copy of K_{k+1} , say T , then T must contain u . Since u and v are non-adjacent, $(V(T) \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$ will induce a copy of K_{k+1} in G , which contradicts the assumption that G is K_{k+1} -free.

Next we claim that any non-adjacent vertices in U have the same degree. Otherwise, without loss of generality, suppose u and v are non-adjacent vertices in U but $d(u) < d(v)$. Then we symmetrize u to v , $G_{u \rightarrow v}$ is still $\{K_{k+1}, (s+1)S_l\}$ -free and $e(G_{u \rightarrow v}) = e(G) - d(u) + d(v) > e(G)$, which contradicts the assumption that $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

Suppose there exists non-adjacent vertices u and v in U with $N_G(u) \neq N_G(v)$, then u and v must belong to different equivalent classes W_1 and W_2 . Since W_1 is an independent set, for any vertex w in W_1 , $d(w) = d(u) = d(v)$. We symmetrize all the vertices in W_1 to v one by one, and denote the resulting graph by G' , then $e(G) = e(G')$ and G' is still $\{K_{k+1}, (s+1)S_l\}$ -free. However, in this case W_1 and W_2 become one equivalent class in G' , so the number of equivalent classes decreases, which contradicts the assumption that $G \in \mathcal{G}'$. \square

By Claim 2, we conclude that $G[U]$ is a complete m -partite graph. Let the vertex partition of $G[U]$ be V_1, \dots, V_m , and $|V_1| \geq \dots \geq |V_m| > 0$. Since $G[U]$ is K_{k+1} -free, $m \leq k$. For any vertex v in $V \setminus U$, if v is adjacent to one vertex in some $V_i, i \in [m]$, then v is adjacent to all the vertices in V_i by Claim 2. For each V_i , we denote by $N_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_i)$ the common neighborhood of vertices of V_i in $G[V \setminus U]$, and $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_i) = |N_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_i)|$.

Next we show that $m = k - 2$.

Claim 3. $m = k - 2$.

Proof. We first show that $m \geq k - 2$. Otherwise, $m \leq k - 3$. By Claim 1, $e(G[V \setminus U]) \leq$

$\lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &= e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\
&\leq e(T_m(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&\leq e(T_{k-3}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&< e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&\leq e(G),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If $m = k - 1$, we claim that there exists a part V_{i_0} in U such that $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_{i_0}) \leq \frac{k-1}{k}(n-s)$. Otherwise, for any $j \in [m-1]$, $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_j) > \frac{k-1}{k}(n-s)$. This implies that for each V_j , there are at most $\frac{1}{k}(n-s)$ vertices in $V \setminus U$ which are not the common neighbor of V_j . Then the fact $m = k - 1$ implies that there are at least $\frac{1}{k}(n-s)$ vertices in $V \setminus U$ which are adjacent to all vertices in U . Let the set of such vertices be Q . So $|Q| \geq \frac{1}{k}(n-s)$. We claim that Q is an independent set of G . Otherwise, an edge from $G[Q]$ and a vertex in each $V_j, j \in [k-1]$ will form a copy of K_{k+1} , a contradiction. So $e(G[Q]) = 0$. Next we show that $|Q| \leq \frac{k-1}{k}(n-s)$. Otherwise, $V \setminus U$ can be partitioned into two parts, one is Q with size at least $\frac{k-1}{k}(n-s)$ and another is $V \setminus (U \cup Q)$ with size at most $\frac{1}{k}(n-s)$. According to Claim 1 and the fact Q is an independent set of G , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &= e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\
&\leq e(T_{k-1}(s)) + s(n-s) + \frac{1}{k}(l-1)(n-s) \\
&< e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&\leq e(G)
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq ks^2 + (s+1)(l+1)^2$, a contradiction. So $\frac{1}{k}(n-s) \leq |Q| \leq \frac{k-1}{k}(n-s)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &= e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\
&= e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus (U \cup Q)) + e(U, Q) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\
&\leq e(T_{k-1}(s)) + (s - |V_{k-1}|)(n-s - |Q|) + s|Q| + ex(n-s, S_l) \\
&\leq e(T_{k-1}(s)) + (s - |V_{k-1}|)(n-s) + |V_{k-1}||Q| + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&< e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&\leq e(G)
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq ks^2 + (s+1)(l+1)^2$, a contradiction. Therefore there exists a V_{i_0} , $1 \leq i_0 \leq m-1$, such that $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_{i_0}) \leq \frac{k-1}{k}(n-s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\ &\leq e(T_{k-1}(s)) + (s - |V_{i_0}|)(n-s) + \frac{k-1}{k}|V_{i_0}|(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\ &< e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\ &\leq e(G) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq ks^2 + (s+1)(l+1)^2$, a contradiction.

If $m = k$, we claim that there exists a part V_{i_0} , $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$, in U such that $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_{i_0}) \leq \frac{k}{k+1}(n-s)$. Otherwise, $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_j) > \frac{k}{k+1}(n-s)$ for any $j \in [k]$. This implies that for each V_j , there are at most $\frac{1}{k+1}(n-s)$ vertices in $V \setminus U$ which are not the common neighbor of V_j . Then the fact $m = k$ implies that there are at least $\frac{1}{k+1}(n-s)$ vertices in $V \setminus U$ which are adjacent to all vertices in U . Let the set of such vertices be Q . So $|Q| \geq \frac{1}{k+1}(n-s)$. However, a vertex in Q and a vertex from each V_j , $j \in [k]$ will form a copy of K_{k+1} , a contradiction. Therefore there exists a V_{i_0} in U such that $d_{G[V \setminus U]}(V_{i_0}) \leq \frac{k}{k+1}(n-s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\ &\leq e(T_k(s)) + (s - |V_{i_0}|)(n-s) + \frac{k}{k+1}|V_{i_0}|(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\ &< e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\ &\leq e(G) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq ks^2 + (s+1)(l+1)^2$, a contradiction. \square

By Claim 3 and (2.1), we conclude that $ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, (s+1)S_l\}) = e(T_{k-2}(s)) + s(n-s) + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor$, and $T_{k-2}(s) \vee \mathcal{R}$ are extremal graphs for $\{K_{k+1}, (s+1)S_l\}$, where \mathcal{R} is a K_3 -free extremal graph for S_l on $n-s$ vertices. This finishes the proof. \square

3 The Turán number of $\{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}$

Let $G_1(s)$ and $G_2(s)$ be graphs in $\mathcal{G}_1(s)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(s)$ respectively. Obviously, graphs $K_{s,n-s}$, $G_1(s)$ and $G_2(s)$ all are $\{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}$ -free,

$$\begin{aligned} e(G_1(s)) &= \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor, \\ e(G_2(s)) &= \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + (l-1) \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{n-s}{2} \rceil, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{G}_1(s)=\mathcal{G}_2(s)$ if $n - s$ is even.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will show $\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) = \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}$. Since $K_{s,n-s}, G_1(s)$ and $G_2(s)$ are $\{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}$ -free,

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) \geq \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Next we use induction on s to prove $\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) \leq \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}$. If $s = 0$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 1.1,

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) = e(G_1(0)) \leq \max\{e(K_{0,n}), e(G_1(0)), e(G_2(0))\}.$$

Now suppose the conclusion is true for $0 \leq s' \leq s$.

Let \mathcal{G} be the set of n -vertex $\{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}$ -free graphs which maximize the number of edges. For any graph G in \mathcal{G} , we have the following claim.

Claim 4. *There exists a vertex set $U \subseteq V(G)$ with size s such that $G[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free.*

Proof. By (3.1) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &\geq \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\} \\ &> \max\{e(K_{s-1,n-s+1}), e(G_1(s-1)), e(G_2(s-1))\} \\ &\geq \text{ex}(n, \{K_3, sS_l\}). \end{aligned}$$

Then G contains a copy of sS_l . We denote it by T_1 .

We choose an arbitrary copy of S_l in T_1 , say K_1 . Note that $G \setminus V(K_1)$ is $\{K_3, sS_l\}$ -free. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$e(G \setminus V(K_1)) \leq \max\{e(K_{s-1,n-s+1}), e(G_1(s-1)), e(G_2(s-1))\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let m_1 be the number of edges incident to $V(K_1)$ in G . Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= e(G) - e(G \setminus V(K_1)) \\ &\geq \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\} - \max\{e(K_{s-1,n-s+1}), e(G_1(s-1)), e(G_2(s-1))\} \\ &\geq \min\{e(K_{s,n-s}) - e(K_{s-1,n-s+1}), e(G_1(s)) - e(G_1(s-1)), e(G_2(s)) - e(G_2(s-1))\} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that each copy of S_l in T_1 must contain a vertex of degree at least $q = \frac{1}{2(l+1)}n + O(1)$. Let U be the set of such a vertex from each copy of S_l in T_1 . Then $|U| = s$ and $G[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free. \square

Using the approach of Symmetrization as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can prove that $G[U]$ is a complete m -partite graph. Moreover, since $G[U]$ is K_3 -free, $m \leq 2$. Let U_1 be the larger part in $G[U]$ and $U_2 = U \setminus U_1$, $|U_1| = x$ and $|U_2| = y$. Obviously, $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \geq y \geq 0$. We use I to denote the maximum independent set in $G[V \setminus U]$.

Claim 5. *If $|I| \leq \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor$, then $e(G) \leq e(G_1(s))$.*

Proof. Since G is K_3 -free, the neighborhood of each vertex in U is an independent set. Thus the number of edges between U and $V \setminus U$ is at most $s|I|$. Notice that $G[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free, we have $e(G[V \setminus U]) \leq \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\
&\leq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s|I| + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&\leq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(l-1)(n-s)}{2} \rfloor \\
&= e(G_1(s)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

□

Claim 6. *If $|I| > \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor$, then*

$$e(G) \leq s|I| + (l-1)(n-s-|I|) + y(n-2|I|-y).$$

Proof. Since I is the maximum independent set in $G[V \setminus U]$ and $G[V \setminus U]$ is S_l -free,

$$e(G[V \setminus U]) \leq (l-1)(n-s-|I|) \tag{3.4}$$

Let I_u be the neighborhood of vertex $u \in U$ in $G[V \setminus U]$. Then $I_{u_1} \cap I_{u_2} = \emptyset$ for any vertex u_1 in U_1 and any vertex u_2 in U_2 . Otherwise, we can find a K_3 copy, a contradiction. This implies that $|I_{u_1}| + |I_{u_2}| \leq n-s$. Let $|I_1| = \max_{u \in U_1} |I_u|$ and $|I_2| = \max_{u \in U_2} |I_u|$. Then $|I_1| + |I_2| \leq n-s$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
e(U, V \setminus U) &= \sum_{u \in U_1} d_{G[V \setminus U]}(u) + \sum_{v \in U_2} d_{G[V \setminus U]}(v) \\
&= \sum_{u \in U_1} |I_u| + \sum_{v \in U_2} |I_v| \\
&\leq x|I_1| + y|I_2| \\
&\leq x|I_1| + y(n-s-|I_1|) \\
&\leq (x-y)|I| + y(n-s).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq e(G[U]) + e(U, V \setminus U) + e(G[V \setminus U]) \\
&\leq xy + (x - y)|I| + y(n - s) + (l - 1)(n - s - |I|) \\
&= s|I| + (l - 1)(n - s - |I|) + y(n - 2|I| - y).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Claim 7. *If $|I| > \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor$ and n is large enough, then the following statements holds.*

(1) *If $l \geq s + 1$ and $n - s$ is even, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s + 1)S_l\}) \leq e(G_1(s)).$$

If $l \geq s + 1$ and $n - s$ is odd, then

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s + 1)S_l\}) \leq e(G_2(s)).$$

(2) *If $l < s + 1$, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s + 1)S_l\}) \leq e(K_{s, n-s}).$$

Proof. Let $t = n - 2|I|$. Applying Claim 6, we obtain

$$e(G) \leq s|I| + (l - 1)(n - s - |I|) + y(t - y). \quad (3.6)$$

Invoking the facts $|I| > \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \geq s + |I|$, we get $-n + 2s \leq t \leq s - 1$.

(1) For the case $l \geq s + 1$, if $t < 0$, we obtain from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq s|I| + (l - 1)(n - s - |I|) \\
&\leq (l - 1)(n - s) + (s - l + 1)(\lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + 1) \\
&< e(G_2(s)).
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq t \leq s - 1$ and $n - s$ is even, by (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq (l - 1)(n - s) + (s - l + 1)|I| + \lceil \frac{t}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor \\
&< \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + (l - 1)(n - s) + (s - l + 1)(\frac{n-s}{2} + 1) \\
&= \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s\frac{n-s}{2} + (l - 1)\frac{n-s}{2} + (s - l + 1) \\
&\leq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s\frac{n-s}{2} + \frac{(l - 1)(n - s)}{2} \\
&= e(G_1(s)).
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq t \leq s-1$ and $n-s$ is odd, combining with (3.6), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq (l-1)(n-s) + (s-l+1)(\lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + 1) + \lceil \frac{s-1}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s-1}{2} \rfloor \\
&= \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s - \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + (l-1)(n-s - \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor - 1) \\
&= \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + s \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + (l-1) \lfloor \frac{n-s}{2} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \\
&= e(G_2(s)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

(2) For the case $l < s+1$, if $t < 0$, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq (l-1)(n-s) + (s-l+1)|I| \\
&\leq s(n-s).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

If $0 \leq t \leq s-1$, notice that $|I| = \frac{n-t}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq (l-1)(n-s) + (s-l+1)\frac{n-t}{2} + \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \\
&\leq \frac{s+l-1}{2}n + \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \\
&< s(n-s)
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n . □

Using Claims 5 and 7, we deduce

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) \leq \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}.$$

Combining with (3.1), we get

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) = \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}.$$

To be more specific,

(1) If $l < s+1$ and n is large enough, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) &= \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\} \\
&= s(n-s).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, according to the proofs of Claims 5 and 7, we deduce that $e(G) = s(n-s)$ if and only if the equality in (3.8) holds, which implies that $|I| = n-s$ and $y = 0$. Then $G \cong K_{s,n-s}$, hence $K_{s,n-s}$ is the unique extremal graph.

(2) If $l \geq s + 1$ and n is large enough, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) &= \max\{e(K_{s,n-s}), e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\} \\ &= \max\{e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}.\end{aligned}$$

When $n - s$ is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) &= \max\{e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\} \\ &= e(G_1(s)) = e(G_2(s)).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can see from the proofs of Claims 5 and 7 that $e(G) = e(G_1(s))$ if and only if the equality in (3.3) holds, which implies that G is a graph in $\mathcal{G}_1(s)$.

When $n - s$ is odd, then

$$\text{ex}(n, \{K_3, (s+1)S_l\}) = \max\{e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}.$$

Furthermore, it follows from the proofs of Claims 5 and 7 that $e(G) = \max\{e(G_1(s)), e(G_2(s))\}$ if and only if the equality in (3.3) or the equality in (3.7) holds, which implies that G is a graph in $\mathcal{G}_1(s)$ or a graph in $\mathcal{G}_2(s)$. The proof is finished. \square

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] N. Alon, P. Frankl, Turán graphs with bounded matching number, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 165 (2024) 223-229.
- [2] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 10 (1959) 337-356.
- [3] D. Gerbner, On Turán problems with bounded matching number, *Journal of Graph Theory*, (2023) 1-7.
- [4] G.O.H. Katona, C. Xiao, Extremal graphs without long paths and large cliques, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, (2023) 103807.
- [5] O. Khormali, C. Palmer, Turán numbers for hypergraph star forests, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 102 (2022) 103506.

- [6] Y. Liu, L. Kang, Extremal graphs without long paths and a given graph, *Discrete Mathematics*, 347 (2024) 113988.
- [7] Y. Lan, T. Li, Y. Shi, J. Tu, The Turán number of star forests, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 348 (2019) 270-274.
- [8] S. Li, J. Yin, J. Li, The Turán number of kS_ℓ , *Discrete Mathematics*, 345 (2022) 112653.
- [9] B. Lidický, H. Liu, C. Palmer, On the Turán Number of Forests, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 20 (2013) 62.