

A LINEAR OPERATOR BOUNDED IN ALL BESOV BUT NOT IN TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES

LIDING YAO

ABSTRACT. We construct a linear operator $T : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $T : \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, but $T(\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \not\subset \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ unless $p = q$. As a result Triebel-Lizorkin spaces cannot be interpolated from Besov spaces unless $p = q$. In the appendix we propose a question for the interpolation framework via structured Banach spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

It is well known that Besov spaces are real interpolation spaces to Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, since we have $(\mathcal{F}_{pq_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{F}_{pq_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta, q} = \mathcal{B}_{pq}^{(1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_{\infty q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{F}_{\infty q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta, q} = \mathcal{B}_{\infty q}^{(1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < \theta < 1$, $s_0 \neq s_1$, $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $q_0, q_1, q \in (0, \infty]$. See e.g. [Tri10, Theorem 2.4.2]. As a result if we have a linear operator that is bounded in all Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, then it is automatically bounded in all Besov spaces as well.

In this paper we show that the converse is false.

Theorem 1. *Let $(\phi_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be a Littlewood-Paley family that defines the norms for Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces (see (2) (3) (4) below). Let $(y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a sequence such that $\inf_{j \neq k} |y_j - y_k| > 0$. Set $\tau_{y_j} f(x) := f(x - y_j)$ and we define*

$$(1) \quad Tf := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_{y_j}(\phi_j * f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_j * f)(\cdot - y_j).$$

- (i) *As a side result $T : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded linear if and only if there is a $N_0 > 0$ such that $|y_j| \leq 2^{N_0 j}$ for every $j \geq 1$.*
- (ii) *T defines a bounded linear operator on Besov spaces $T : \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$.*
- (iii) *However on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $T(\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \not\subset \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $p \neq q$.*

As an immediate corollary we see that Triebel-Lizorkin spaces cannot be interpolated from Besov space (unless $p = q$) in the classical setting.

Corollary 2. *Elements in $\{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q \leq \infty, p \neq q\}$ can never be any classical interpolation space from any pair of elements in $\{\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q \leq \infty\}$.*

This seems to be a well-known result, as there are discussions on real-interpolation of Besov spaces, e.g. [Kre94, DP88]. But to the best of author's knowledge, Corollary 2 is not found in literature.

For completeness we give more concrete statements in Corollaries C and F by recalling the definitions of (both categorical and set-theoretical) interpolation spaces in Appendix A.

Remark 3. Here Corollary 2 is stated “without extra structures imposed on Besov spaces”. It is important to point out that the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces can may still be obtained via interpolations on spaces with extra structures (see (28)). This idea was brought up by Kunstmann [Kun15] using *structured Banach spaces* and later generalized by Lindermulder-Lorist [LL24] who unified Kunstmann's approach and the classical real and complex interpolations. We will discuss it briefly in Appendix B.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35 (primary) 46B70, 42B35 and 42B25 (secondary).

However, a general interpolation framework is still not known to extract Triebel-Lizorkin spaces from “structured Besov spaces”, see Question I.

Remark 4 (Application to fractional Sobolev spaces). In literature there are two standard fractional Sobolev spaces, the Sobolev-Bessel spaces $H^{s,p} = \mathcal{F}_{p2}^s$ and the Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces $W^{s,p} = \mathcal{B}_{pp}^s$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. See [Tri10, Page 34] for a short description.

These two spaces are different when $p \neq 2$. As a result T is bounded in Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces but not in Sobolev-Bessel space (unless $p = 2$).

Remark 5 (T is not Hörmander-Mikhlin multiplier). We say that $m(\xi) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Hörmander-Mikhlin multiplier if $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|m(2^{-j}\xi)\|_{H^s(\frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2)} < \infty$ for some $s > \frac{n}{2}$. The multiplier theorem shows that for such m the operator $[f \mapsto (m\hat{f})^\vee] : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded for all $1 < p < \infty$.

The operator T is indeed a convolution operator, in other words a Fourier multiplier operator. But T is not bounded in $L^p = \mathcal{F}_{p2}^0$ for $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, as a result it is not a Hörmander-Mikhlin multiplier. In fact for its multiplier $m(\xi)$ (see (5)) $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|m(2^{-j}\xi)\|_{H^s(\frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2)} = \infty$ for all $s > 0$. This follows from the fact that $\|e^{-2\pi i y_j \cdot \xi}\|_{H^s(\frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2)} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ as we have $y_j \rightarrow \infty$ (see also (7)).

Remark 6 (On homogeneous function spaces). Note that the image of Tf has Fourier support away from the origin. Therefore the same result is true if we replace \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s and \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s by the homogeneous spaces $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{pq}^s$ and $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{pq}^s$ respectively. We leave the details to the reader.

Remark 7. No matter how rapidly $|y_j|$ grows, T is always defined on Besov functions. The assumption $|y_j| \leq 2^{N_0 j}$ is only used to ensure that T is defined on space of tempered distributions. As a corollary we get an alternative proof that $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \bigcup_{p,q,s} \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. not every tempered distributions are Besov functions.

Here by a *Littlewood-Paley family* we mean a sequence of Schwartz functions $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that their Fourier transform $\hat{\phi}_j(\xi) = \int \phi_j(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$ satisfy

- $\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_0 \subset B(0, 2)$ and $\hat{\phi}_0 \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{B(0, 1)}$.
- $\hat{\phi}_j(\xi) = \hat{\phi}_0(2^{-j}\xi) - \hat{\phi}_0(2^{1-j}\xi)$ for $j \geq 1$.

As a result $\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_j \subset \{2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}\}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

For $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the *Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin norms associated to ϕ* are defined by

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\phi)} := \|(2^{js} \phi_j * f)_{j=0}^\infty\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{jsq} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_j * f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}};$$

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\phi)} := \|(2^{js} \phi_j * f)_{j=0}^\infty\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q(\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}))} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty |2^{js} \phi_j * f(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p < \infty;$$

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty q}^s(\phi)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \|(2^{js} \phi_j * f)_{j=\max(J,0)}^\infty\|_{L^q(B(x, 2^{-J}); \ell^q)}, \quad p = \infty.$$

For $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F}\}$ we define $\mathcal{A}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_{pq}^s(\phi)} < \infty\}$ by a fixed choice of ϕ . Different choice ϕ results in equivalent norm (see e.g. [Tri10, Proposition 2.3.2] and [Tri20, Propositions 1.3 and 1.8]).

In the following $\mathbf{1}_U : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ denotes the characteristic function of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We use $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. We use the notation $A \lesssim B$ to denote that $A \leq CB$, where C is a constant independent of A, B . We use $A \approx B$ for “ $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ ”. And we use $A \lesssim_p B$ to emphasize that the constant depends on the quantity p .

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

The boundedness of T in \mathcal{S}' uses the characterization of multipliers on Schwartz space, originally given in [Sch66]. See also [Lar13].

Proof of Theorem 1 (i). Applying Fourier transform we have, for every Schwartz function f ,

$$(5) \quad (Tf)^\wedge(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i y_j \xi} \hat{\phi}_j(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i y_j \xi} \hat{\phi}_1(2^{1-j}\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) =: m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Since Fourier transform is isomorphism on space of tempered distributions, $T : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded if and only if the multiplier operator $[g \mapsto mg] : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded. By taking adjoint this holds if and only if $[g \mapsto mg] : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded.

By characterization of Schwartz multipliers (see for example [Hor66, Proposition 4.11.5, page 417]), $[g \mapsto mg] : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded if and only if

$$(6) \quad m \in C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \forall k \geq 0, \exists M_k > 1, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\nabla^k m(\xi)| \leq M_k(1 + |\xi|)^{M_k}.$$

If there is N_0 such that $|y_j| \leq 2^{N_0 j}$ for all j , then for every $j \geq 1$ and $2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}$,

$$|\nabla^k(e^{-2\pi i y_j \xi} \hat{\phi}_1(2^{1-j}\xi))| \lesssim_k |\nabla^{\leq k} e^{-2\pi i y_j \xi}| \cdot |\nabla^{\leq k}(\hat{\phi}_1(2^{1-j}\xi))| \lesssim |2\pi y_j|^k \sum_{l=0}^k 2^{(1-j)l} |\nabla^l \hat{\phi}_1| \lesssim_{\phi,k} 2^{N_0 k j}.$$

That is to say there is a $C_k > 1$ such that $|\nabla^k m(\xi)| \leq C_k(1 + |\xi|)^{N_0 k}$ for all ξ . Taking $M_k = \max(C_k, N_0 k)$, (6) is satisfied and hence m is a Schwartz multiplier.

Conversely, using $\text{supp } \nabla \hat{\phi}_1 \subset \{1 < |\xi| < 2\} \cup \{2 < |\xi| < 4\}$ and $\hat{\phi}_1(2) = 1$, for every $|\xi_0| = 1$ we have

$$(7) \quad (\nabla m)(2^j \xi_0) = \nabla_\xi(e^{-2\pi i y_j \xi})|_{\xi=2^j \xi_0} = e^{-2\pi i 2^j y_j \xi_0} \cdot (-2\pi i y_j) \Rightarrow |(\nabla m)(2^j \xi_0)| = 2\pi |y_j|.$$

Therefore if m is a Schwartz multiplier, taking $k = 1$ in (6) we get $2\pi |y_j| \leq (1 + 2^j)^{M_1} \leq 2^{j(M_1+1)}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Taking $N_0 = M_1 + 1$ we get $|y_j| \leq 2^{N_0 j}$ for all $j \geq 1$. \square

The boundedness in Besov spaces follows from direct computations.

Proof of Theorem 1 (ii). The support assumption of $\hat{\phi}$ gives $\hat{\phi}_j = (\hat{\phi}_{j-1} + \hat{\phi}_j + \hat{\phi}_{j+1})\hat{\phi}_j$ for all $j \geq 0$ (here we use $\phi_{-1} = 0$).

The standard estimate yields $\|\phi_j * \phi_k * f\|_{L^p} \lesssim_p \|\phi_k * f\|_{L^p}$ for $|k| \leq 1$, see e.g. [Tri10, (2.3.2/4)], which can be done via either Hörmander-Mikhlin multipliers or Peetre's maximal functions.

Therefore $\phi_j * Tf = \phi_j * \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \tau_{y_k}(\phi_k * f) = \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \tau_{y_k}(\phi_j * \phi_k * f)$, which means

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\phi)} &= \left\| \left(2^{js} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \tau_{y_k}(\phi_j * \phi_k * f) \right)_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{\ell^q(L^p)} \lesssim_{p,q} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \left\| (2^{js} \tau_{y_k}(\phi_j * \phi_k * f))_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{\ell^q(L^p)} \\ &= \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \left\| (2^{js} \|\phi_j * \phi_k * f\|_{L^p})_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{\ell^q} \lesssim_p \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \left\| (2^{js} \|\phi_k * f\|_{L^p})_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{\ell^q} \\ &\lesssim_s \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \left\| (2^{ks} \|\phi_k * f\|_{L^p})_{j=0}^\infty \right\|_{\ell^q(L^p)} \approx \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\phi)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves $T : \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Next for each $p \neq q$ we construct examples $f = f_{pq} \in \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Tf \notin \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\mu_0 := \frac{1}{2} \inf_{j \neq k} |y_j - y_k| > 0$. Fix a $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|y_0| = 1$. We set

$$(9) \quad \chi \in C_c^\infty(B(0, 2\mu_0)) \text{ such that } \mathbf{1}_{B(0, \mu_0)} \leq \chi \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(0, 2\mu_0)};$$

$$(10) \quad e_j(x) := \exp(2\pi i 2^j y_0 \cdot x), \quad \tilde{e}_j(x) := e_j(-x) = \exp(-2\pi i 2^j y_0 \cdot x) \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.$$

Notice that $e_j(x-y) = e_j(x)e_j(-y) = e_j(x)\tilde{e}_j(y)$. Therefore, for $j \geq 0$, $g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(11) \quad |g * (he_j)(x)| = \left| \int g(y)h(x-y)e_j(x-y)dy \right| = \left| e_j(x) \int g(y)\tilde{e}_j(y)h(x-y)dy \right| = |(g\tilde{e}_j) * h(x)|.$$

Our counterexample function $f = f_{pq}$ would have the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j 2^{-js} \cdot (\chi e_j)(x + u_j)$$

- when $p < q$, we require $(a_j)_j \in \ell^q \setminus \ell^p$ and $u_j \equiv 0$;
- when $p > q$, we require $(a_j)_j \in \ell^p \setminus \ell^q$ and $u_j \equiv y_j$.

Either case we want $2^{js}\phi_j * f \approx a_j \cdot \tau_{-u_j}(\chi e_j) \approx a_j \cdot e_j \mathbf{1}_{B(-u_j, \mu_0)}$. More precisely

Proposition 8. *For every $M \geq 1$ there is $C = C(M, \mu_0, \phi, \chi) > 0$ such that,*

$$(12) \quad |\phi_j * (\chi e_k)(x)| \leq C 2^{-M \max(j,k)} (1 + 2^j \max(0, |x| - 2\mu_0))^{-M}, \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq j \neq k \quad \text{and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular there is a $C' = C'(M, \mu_0, \phi, \chi) > 0$ such that

$$(13) \quad |\phi_j * (\chi e_k)(x)| \leq C' 2^{-M|j-k|} (1 + |x|)^{-M}, \quad \text{for all } j, k \geq 0 \quad \text{and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. By assumption there is a $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_0 \subset \{|\xi| < 2^{1-\epsilon_0}\}$ and $\hat{\phi}_0|_{B(0, 2^{\epsilon_0})} \equiv 1$. Therefore $\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_j \subset \{2^{j-1+\epsilon_0} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1-\epsilon_0}\}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Take $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\epsilon_0) \geq 1$ such that $1 - 2^{-\epsilon_0} \geq 2^{2-\rho_0}$. In particular $2^{\epsilon_0} - 1 \geq 2^{2-\rho_0}$ as well. Note that $\text{supp}(\tilde{e}_k)^\wedge = \{-2^k y_0\} \subset \{|\xi| = 2^k\}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$(14) \quad \text{supp}(\phi_j \tilde{e}_k)^\wedge \subset \{2^{\max(j,k)-\rho_0+1} < |\xi| < 2^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0-1}\}, \quad \text{for all } j, k \geq 0 \quad \text{such that } k \neq j.$$

Let us define $(\psi_l)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\hat{\psi}_l(\xi) := \hat{\phi}_1(2^{1-l}\xi)$. Therefore $\text{supp } \hat{\psi}_l \subset \{2^{l-1} < |\xi| < 2^{l+1}\}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}_l(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \neq 0$. We conclude that,

$$\phi_j \tilde{e}_k = \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} \psi_l * (\phi_j \tilde{e}_k), \quad \text{for all } j, k \geq 0 \quad \text{such that } j \neq k.$$

Let us assume M to be even without loss of generality. Since ψ_l has Fourier support away from 0, we have $\psi_l * \chi = (\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_l) * (\Delta^{\frac{M}{2}} \chi)$ with $\Delta^{\frac{M}{2}} \chi$ still supported in $\text{supp } \chi \subset B(0, 2\mu_0)$, which means

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_j * (\chi e_k)(x)| &\stackrel{(11)}{=} |(\phi_j \tilde{e}_k) * \chi(x)| \leq \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} |(\phi_j \tilde{e}_k) * \psi_l * \chi(x)| \\ &= \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} |(\phi_j \tilde{e}_k) * \Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_l * \Delta^{\frac{M}{2}} \chi(x)| \leq \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} |\phi_j| * |\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \phi_l| * |\Delta^{\frac{M}{2}} \chi|(x) \\ &\leq \|\chi\|_{C^M} \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} |\phi_j| * |\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \phi_l| * \mathbf{1}_{B(0, 2\mu_0)}(x) \lesssim_{\chi} \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} \int_{B(0, 2\mu_0)} |\phi_j| * |\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_l|(x-y) dy \\ &\leq \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} \iint_{|s|+|t| \geq \max(0, |x|-2\mu_0)} |\phi_j(t)| |\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_l(s)| dt ds \\ &\leq \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} \left(\|\phi_j\|_{L^1} \int_{|s| \geq \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x|-\mu_0)} |\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_l(s)| ds + \|\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_l\|_{L^1} \int_{|t| \geq \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x|-\mu_0)} |\phi_j(t)| dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_{\phi, M} \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} 2^{-Ml} \left(\int_{|s| \geq 2^l \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x| - \mu_0)} |\Delta^{-\frac{M}{2}} \psi_0(s)| ds + \int_{|t| \geq 2^{j-1} \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x| - \mu_0)} (|\phi_0(t)| + |\phi_1(t)|) dt \right) \\
&\lesssim_{M, \phi} \sum_{l=\max(j,k)-\rho_0}^{\max(j,k)+\rho_0} (2^{-Ml} (1 + 2^l \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x| - \mu_0))^{-M} + 2^{-Ml} (1 + 2^{j-1} \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x| - \mu_0))^{-M}) \\
&\lesssim_{\mu_0} 2^{-M \max(j,k)} (1 + 2^{j-\rho_0} \max(0, \frac{1}{2}|x| - \mu_0))^{-M} \lesssim_{\phi} 2^{-M \max(j,k)} (1 + 2^j \max(0, |x| - 2\mu_0))^{-M}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (12) holds for all $j \neq k$.

For (13), when $j \neq k$, (13) follows from (12) with $2^{\max(j,k)}(1 + 2^j \max(0, |x| - 2\mu_0)) \gtrsim_{\mu_0} 2^{|j-k|}(1 + |x|)$. When $j = k$, (13) is obtained from the following decay estimates: when $|x| \geq 4\mu_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi_j * (\chi e_j)(x)| &\leq \int_{B(0, 2\mu_0)} |\phi_j(x-y)| dy \leq \int_{|y| > |x|/2} |\phi_j(y)| dy \\
&\leq \int_{|y| > 2^{j-1}|x|} (|\phi_0(y)| + 2^n |\phi_1(2y)|) dy \lesssim_{M, \mu_0} (1 + |x|)^{-M}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

For $p > q$ we want the estimate $\|f_{pqs}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} \lesssim \|(a_j)_j\|_{\ell^p}$, which is obtained from the following:

Lemma 9. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive bounded function such that $\sup_x (1 + |x|)^{n+1} |\varphi(x)| < \infty$. Let $(y_j)_j$ be from the assumption that $\inf_{j \neq k} |y_j - y_k| \geq 2\mu_0$. Then for every $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ there is a $C = C(r, \varphi) > 0$ such that for every $b = (b_j)_{j=1}^\infty$,*

$$(15) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \tau_{y_j} \varphi \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|b\|_{\ell^r};$$

$$(16) \quad \sup_{R>0; x \in \mathbb{R}^n} R^{n/r} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \tau_{y_j} \varphi \right\|_{L^r(B(x, R))} \leq C \|b\|_{\ell^\infty}.$$

The result holds for $r < 1$ if φ has a faster decay. In application we will use $r = p/q$ where $q < p$.

Proof. Note that for every $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $R > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(17) \quad R^{\frac{n}{r}} \|g\|_{L^r(B(x, R))} \leq |B(0, 1)|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Therefore (16) is implied by taking $r = \infty$ in (15).

Let $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \sup_{|y| < \mu_0} |\varphi(x+y)|$. Clearly $\sup_x (1 + |x|)^{n+1} \tilde{\varphi}(x) < \infty$, thus $\tilde{\varphi}$ is still integrable. Therefore $\varphi(x) \leq |B(0, \mu_0)|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{B(0, \mu_0)} * \tilde{\varphi}(x)$ which means

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \tau_{y_j} \varphi \right\|_{L^r} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j (\delta_{y_j} * \varphi) \right\|_{L^r} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B(y_j, \mu_0)}}{|B(0, \mu_0)|} * \tilde{\varphi} \right\|_{L^r} \leq \frac{\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^1}}{|B(0, \mu_0)|} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j, \mu_0)} \right\|_{L^r}.$$

Since $(B(y_j, \mu_0))_{j=1}^\infty$ are all disjointed, we get $\|\sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j, \mu_0)}\|_{L^r} = \|b\|_{\ell^r} \|\mathbf{1}_{B(0, \mu_0)}\|_{L^r}$, finishing the proof of (15) and hence the whole lemma. \square

Next we bound $\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s}$ from below. Recall from the assumption and construction that $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ satisfy $\inf_{j \neq k} |y_j - y_k| \geq 2\mu_0$ and χ satisfies $\mathbf{1}_{B(0, \mu_0)} \leq \chi \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(0, 2\mu_0)}$.

Proposition 10. *For every $N > 1$ there is a $K = K(N, \phi, \mu_0) \geq 1$ such that for every $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $j \geq K$ and $x \in B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)$,*

$$(18) \quad |\phi_j * \phi_j * \tau_{y_j - u_j}(\chi e_j)(x)| - \sum_{\substack{k, l=1 \\ (k, l) \neq (j, j)}}^\infty 2^{N|l-j|} |\phi_j * \phi_k * \tau_{y_k - u_l}(\chi e_l)(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular let $(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|a_j| \leq 2^{|j-k|}|a_k|$ for all $j, k \geq 1$, then for every $|s| \leq N-1$,

$$(19) \quad 2^{js} \left| \phi_j * \sum_{k,l=1}^\infty 2^{-ls} a_l \cdot \tau_{y_k - u_l} (\phi_k * (\chi e_l))(x) \right| \geq \frac{|a_j|}{2}, \quad \text{for } j \geq K \text{ and } x \in B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0).$$

Proof. Recall that from (11) that $|\phi_j * \phi_j * (e_j \chi)| = |((\phi_j * \phi_j) \tilde{e}_j) * \chi|$.

Note that $\int (\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j = (\phi_j * \phi_j) \wedge (-2^j y_0) = \hat{\phi}_j(-2^j y_0)^2 = 1$. We see that $((\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j) * \mathbf{1}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Since ϕ_1 rapidly decay we have for every $j \geq 1$

$$\int_{|y| > \frac{1}{2}\mu_0} |(\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j(y)| dy = \int_{|y| > \frac{1}{2}\mu_0} |\phi_j * \phi_j(y)| dy \leq \int_{|y| > 2^{2-j}\mu_0} |\phi_1 * \phi_1(y)| dy \lesssim_{M, \mu_0} 2^{-Mj}.$$

In particular there is a $C_1 = C_1(\phi, \mu_0) > 0$ such that $\int_{|y| > \frac{1}{2}\mu_0} |(\phi_j * \phi_j) \tilde{e}_j| \leq C_1 2^{-j}$.

Recall $\chi|_{B(0, \mu_0)} \equiv 1$ from (9). Therefore for $|x| < \frac{1}{2}\mu_0$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_j * \phi_j * (e_j \chi)(x)| &= |((\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j) * \chi(x)| \geq |((\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j) * \mathbf{1}(x)| - |(\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j| * (\mathbf{1} - \chi)(x) \\ &\geq 1 - \int_{|x-y| > \mu_0} |(\phi_j * \phi_j) \cdot \tilde{e}_j(y)| (1 - \chi(x-y)) dy \geq 1 - \int_{|y| > \frac{1}{2}\mu_0} |\phi_j * \phi_j(y)| dy \geq 1 - C_1 2^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking translation, this is to say

$$(20) \quad |\phi_j * \phi_j * \tau_{y_j - u_j} (e_j \chi)| \geq (1 - C_1 2^{-j}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)}, \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

On the other hand since $\phi_j * \phi_k = 0$ for $|j - k| \geq 2$, we can assume the index k in (18) satisfies $|k - j| \leq 1$. When $(k, l) \neq (j, j)$, by (12),

$$\|\phi_j * \phi_k * (\chi e_l)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{N, \mu_0, \phi, \chi} \begin{cases} \|\phi_j\|_{L^1} 2^{-(N+2) \max(k, l)} & k \neq l \\ \|\phi_k\|_{L^1} 2^{-(N+2) \max(j, l)} & j \neq l \end{cases} \approx_{N, \phi} 2^{-(N+2) \max(j, l)}.$$

Therefore there is a $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(21) \quad \|\phi_j * \phi_k * (\chi e_l)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2 2^{-(N+1) \max(j, l)}, \quad \text{for all } j, k, l \geq 1 \text{ such that } (k, l) \neq (j, j).$$

Combining (20) and (21) we have for every $j \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\phi_j * \phi_j * \tau_{y_j - u_j} (\chi e_j)(x)| - \sum_{k, l \geq 1; (k, l) \neq (j, j)} 2^{N|l-j|} |\phi_j * \phi_k * \tau_{y_k - u_l} (\chi e_l)(x)| \\ &\geq (1 - C_1 2^{-j}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)}(x) - \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \sum_{l=1}^\infty 2^{N|l-j|} C_2 2^{-(N+1) \max(j, l)} \\ &\geq (1 - C_1 2^{-j}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)}(x) - 3C_2 \left(\sum_{l=1}^j 2^{N(j-l) - (N+1)j} + \sum_{l=j+1}^\infty 2^{N(l-j) - (N+1)l} \right) \\ &\geq (1 - C_1 2^{-j}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)}(x) - 6C_2 2^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Take K such that $(C_1 + 6C_2) 2^{-K} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $K \geq 1 + \log_2(C_1 + 6C_2)$, we get (18).

Suppose $|s| \leq N-1$ and $|a_j| \leq 2^{|j-k|}|a_k|$ holds for all $j, k \geq 1$. We see that for every x and $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 1 \\ (k, l) \neq (j, j)}} 2^{(j-l)s} a_l \cdot \phi_j * \phi_k * \tau_{y_k - u_l} (\chi e_l)(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 1 \\ (k, l) \neq (j, j)}} 2^{j-l(|s|+1)} |a_j| \cdot |\phi_j * \phi_k * \tau_{y_k - u_l} (\chi e_l)(x)| \\ &\leq |a_j| \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 1 \\ (k, l) \neq (j, j)}} 2^{|j-l|N} \cdot |\phi_j * \phi_k * \tau_{y_k - u_l} (\chi e_l)(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (18) for $j \geq K$ we get (19) immediately. \square

We now prove Theorem 1 (iii). Recall the following convolution inequality, see e.g. [Ryc99, Lemma 2]: for every $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $\delta > 0$ there is a $C_{p,q,\delta} > 0$ such that

$$(22) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta|j-k|} g_j \right)_{k=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q)} \leq C_{p,q,\delta} \| (g_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q)}, \quad g = (g_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \ell^q(\mathbb{Z}_+).$$

Notice that if $p, q \geq 1$ this follows directly from Young's convolution inequality on \mathbb{Z} .

Proof of Theorem 1 (iii). Let χ be from (9), $(e_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be from (10).

Let $(u_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{\infty}$ to be determined later, such that $|a_j| \leq 2^{|j-k|} |a_k|$ for all $j, k \geq 1$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$(23) \quad f_{s,a,u} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-js} a_j \cdot \tau_{-u_j}(\chi e_j).$$

Therefore when $p < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{s,a,u}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\phi)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)s} a_k \cdot \tau_{-u_k} \phi_j * (\chi e_k)(y) \right)_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{\ell^q}^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim_M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(j-k)s-|j-k|M} a_k}{(1+|y+u_k|)^M} \right)_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{\ell^q}^p dy \right)^{1/p} && \text{(by (13))} \\ (24) \quad &\lesssim_{p,q} \| (a_k (1+|y+u_k|)^{-M})_{k=1}^{\infty} \|_{L_y^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q)} && \text{(by (22) with } M \geq |s| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

When $p = \infty$, similarly by Proposition 8 and (22) we have, for $M \geq |s| + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{s,a,u}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty q}^s(\phi)} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-J})} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)s} a_k \cdot \tau_{-u_k} \phi_j * (\chi e_k)(y) \right)_{j=\max(J,0)}^{\infty} \right\|_{\ell^q}^q dy \right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim_M \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-J})} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(j-k)s-|j-k|M} a_k}{(1+|y+u_k|)^M} \right)_{j=\max(J,0)}^{\infty} \right\|_{\ell^q}^q dy \right)^{1/q} \\ (25) \quad &\lesssim_q \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \| (a_k (1+|y+u_k|)^{-M})_{k=1}^{\infty} \|_{L_y^q(B(x, 2^{-J}); \ell^q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that by (1) and (23),

$$\phi_j * T(f_{s,a,u}) = \phi_j * \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_{y_k}(\phi_k * f_{s,a,u}) = \phi_j * \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-ls} a_l \cdot \tau_{y_k - u_l}(\phi_k * (\chi e_l)).$$

Now we take $K = K(|s| + 1, \phi, \mu_0) \geq 1$ to be the index in Proposition 10. Since $|a_j| \leq 2^{|j-k|} |a_k|$, applying (19) we see that, when $p < \infty$,

$$(26) \quad \|T(f_{s,a,u})\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\phi)} \geq \left\| \left(2^{js} \phi_j * T(f_{s,a,u}) \right)_{j=K}^{\infty} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(a_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)} \right)_{j=K}^{\infty} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q)}.$$

When $p = \infty$, similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f_{s,a,u})\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty q}^s(\phi)} &\geq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \left\| \left(2^{js} \phi_j * T(f_{s,a,u}) \right)_{j=\max(J,K)}^{\infty} \right\|_{L^q(B(x, 2^{-J}); \ell^q)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \left\| \left(a_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)} \right)_{j=\max(J,K)}^{\infty} \right\|_{L^q(B(x, 2^{-J}); \ell^q)} \\ (27) \quad &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(a_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_{2j} - u_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)} \right)_{j=K}^{\infty} \right\|_{L^q(B(0,1); \ell^q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we separate the cases $p < q$ and $p > q$.

When $p < q$ we choose $u_j \equiv 0$. We pick $(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^q \setminus \ell^p$ such that $|a_j| \leq 2^{|j-k|} |a_k|$ for all $j, k \geq 1$, e.g. $a_j := (j + \frac{3}{p})^{-1/p}$. Applying (24) with $M \geq \max(|s|, n/p) + 1$,

$$\|f_{s,a,0}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} \lesssim \|(1+|x|)^{-M}\|_{L_x^p} \|(a_k)_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^q} < \infty.$$

On the other hand by (26) and the fact that $(B(y_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0))_{j=1}^\infty$ are disjointed we have

$$\|T(f_{s,a,0})\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} \gtrsim \|(a_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(y_j, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)})_{j=K}^\infty\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^q)} = |B(0, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(a_j)_{j=K}^\infty\|_{\ell^p} \approx_{\mu_0, p} \|(a_j)_{j=K}^\infty\|_{\ell^p} = \infty.$$

We conclude that $T(f_{s,a,0}) \notin \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as desired.

When $p > q$ we choose $u_j \equiv y_j$ for all $j \geq 1$. We pick $(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^p \setminus \ell^q$ such that $|a_j| \leq 2^{|j-k|} |a_k|$ for all $j, k \geq 1$, e.g. $a_j := (j + \frac{3}{q})^{-1/q}$.

In this case applying (24), (25) with $M \geq \max(\frac{n+1}{q}, |s| + 1)$ and (15), (16) with $r = \frac{p}{q} \in (1, \infty]$,

$$\|f_{s,a,y}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|a_k|^q}{(1+|x+y_k|)^{Mq}} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \|(|a_k|^q)_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^{p/q}}^{1/q} = \|(a_k)_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^p}, \quad p < \infty;$$

$$\|f_{s,a,y}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, J \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\frac{n}{q}} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^{-J})} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|a_k|^q}{(1+|x+y_k|)^{Mq}} dx \right)^{1/q} \lesssim \|(a_k)_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^\infty}, \quad p = \infty.$$

On the other hand applying (26) when $p < \infty$ and (27) when $p = \infty$, both with $u_j \equiv y_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f_{s,a,y})\|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} &\gtrsim \|(a_j \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B(0, \frac{1}{2}\mu_0)})_{j=K}^\infty\|_{L^p(B(0,1); \ell^q)} \\ &= |B(0, \max(\frac{1}{2}\mu_0, 1))|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(a_j)_{j=K}^\infty\|_{\ell^q} \approx_{\mu_0, p} \|(a_j)_{j=K}^\infty\|_{\ell^q} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $T(f_{s,a,y}) \notin \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as desired, finishing the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. DEFINITION OF INTERPOLATION SPACES

To include the cases $p, q < 1$ for Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, we work on quasi-Banach spaces instead of Banach spaces.

A standard formulation of interpolation spaces is regarded as an image object of some interpolation functor. See also for example [BL76, Chapter 2.4].

Here we let \mathfrak{C}_1 be the category of (complex) quasi-Banach spaces with morphisms being bounded linear maps.

We let \mathfrak{C}_2 be the category of *compatible tuples* of (complex) quasi-Banach spaces:

- $\text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}_2$ consists of all pair of quasi-Banach spaces (X_0, X_1) such that the sum space $X_0 + X_1$ is a well-defined quasi-Banach space. Such (X_0, X_1) is called a compatible quasi-Banach tuple.
- The hom set $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}((X_0, X_1), (Y_0, Y_1))$ consists of all bounded linear map $T : X_0 + X_1 \rightarrow Y_0 + Y_1$ such that $T|_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is bounded linear for $i = 0, 1$. We also call such T an *admissible operator* from (X_0, X_1) to (Y_0, Y_1) .

Definition A. An *interpolation functor* is a functor $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_1$ such that

- For every $(X_0, X_1) \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}_2$, $X_0 \cap X_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}(X_0, X_1) \subseteq X_0 + X_1$, with both set inclusions being embeddings.
- For every $(X_0, X_1), (Y_0, Y_1) \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}_2$ and $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}_2}((X_0, X_1), (Y_0, Y_1))$, we have $\mathfrak{F}(T) = T|_{\mathfrak{F}(X_0, X_1)}$.

The classical complex interpolations $[-, -]_\theta$ and real interpolations $(-, -)_{\theta, q}$ for $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ are all interpolation functors. See [BL76, Chapters 3 and 4], also [BL76, Chapter 3.11] for the case $0 < q \leq 1$.

Definition B. Let $\mathfrak{S} \subset \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}_1$ be a collection of quasi-Banach spaces, such that (X_0, X_1) are compatible tuples for all $X_0, X_1 \in \mathfrak{S}$.

We say $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathfrak{C}_1$ is a (categorical) interpolation space from \mathfrak{S} , if there is an interpolation functor $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_1$ and $X_0, X_1 \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $Y = \mathfrak{F}(X_0, X_1)$.

In this way Corollary 2 can be formulated to the following:

Corollary C. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p \neq q$. There are no interpolation functor $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_1$ and $0 < p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \leq \infty, s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof. Suppose they exist. By assumption $\mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0} \cap \mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0} + \mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The operator T in Theorem 1 satisfies $T : \mathcal{B}_{p_iq_i}^{s_i}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p_iq_i}^{s_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $i = 0, 1$. By assumption of \mathfrak{F} , $\mathfrak{F}(T) : \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ must be bounded. However $T|_{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s} = \mathfrak{F}(T)$ by definition, and $T(\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, giving a contradiction. \square

Alternatively we can focus on local without traversing all quasi-Banach spaces. For details see e.g. [BS88, Chapter 3.1].

Definition D. Let (X_0, X_1) be a compatible pair of quasi-Banach spaces. We say X is a (set-theoretical) interpolation space of (X_0, X_1) , if

- $X_0 \cap X_1 \subseteq X \subseteq X_0 + X_1$, both set inclusions are embeddings.
- For every admissible operator T on (X_0, X_1) (i.e. $T : X_0 + X_1 \rightarrow X_0 + X_1$ is bounded linear such that $T|_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow X_i$ is also bounded for $i = 0, 1$), $T|_X : X \rightarrow X$ is also bounded.

Definition E. Let \mathcal{X} be a Hausdorff topological space and let \mathfrak{S} be a collection of quasi-Banach spaces $X \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, such that $X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are all topological embeddings.

We say Y is a (set-theoretical) interpolation space from \mathfrak{S} , if there are $X_0, X_1 \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that Y is a set-theoretical interpolation of (X_0, X_1) .

In this way Corollary 2 can be formulated to the following:

Corollary F. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p \neq q$. There are no $0 < p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \leq \infty, s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a set-theoretical interpolation space of $(\mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof. The operator T in Theorem 1 is an admissible operator of $(\mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. However $T(\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore by definition $\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a set-theoretical interpolation space of $(\mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. \square

APPENDIX B. A SHORT NOTES ON STRUCTURED BANACH SPACES AND OPEN QUESTION

In this section we briefly recall the approach in [Kun15] and purpose an open question in this framework. A special thanks to Emiel Lorist for the discussion of this topic.

A *structured Banach space* is a triple $\mathcal{X} = (X, J, E)$ where X is a Banach space, E is a Banach function space (see [Kun15, Definition 2.1] for details) and $J : X \rightarrow E$ is a linear isometry map. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, we say a bounded linear map $S : X \rightarrow X$ is ℓ^q -bounded with respect to (J, E) , if

$$\exists C > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |JSf_k|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_E \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Jf_k|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_E, \quad \forall f_1, f_2, \dots \in X.$$

For such S we also say that $S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is ℓ^q -bounded.

Let $\mathcal{X}_i = (X_i, J_i, E_i)$, $i = 0, 1$ be two structured Banach spaces with (X_0, X_1) being a compatible pair. For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, the ℓ^q -interpolation space $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)_{\theta, \ell^q}$ is a subspace of $X_0 + X_1$ given by (see [Kun15, Definition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1])

$$\|f\|_{(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)_{\theta, \ell^q}} := \inf_{\substack{(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset X_0 \cap X_1 \text{ such that} \\ f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f_j \text{ converges in } X_0 + X_1}} \left(\left\| (2^{-\theta j} J_0 f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{E_0(\ell^q)} + \left\| (2^{(1-\theta)j} J_1 f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{E_1(\ell^q)} \right).$$

The corresponding interpolation theorem [Kun15, Theorem 2.11] says that for structured Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_i = (X_i, J_i, E_i)$, $\mathcal{Y}_i = (Y_i, K_i, F_i)$ ($i = 0, 1$) such that (X_0, X_1) , (Y_0, Y_1) are compatible, if $S : X_0 + X_1 \rightarrow Y_0 + Y_1$ is a linear operator such that $S : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_i$ is ℓ^q -bounded, then $S : (\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1)_{\theta, \ell^q} \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{Y}_1)_{\theta, \ell^q}$ is bounded for $0 < \theta < 1$.

Remark G. The following are typical examples of structured Banach spaces:

- (i) The Bessel potential $(I - \Delta)^{s/2} : H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphism for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Therefore $(H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), (I - \Delta)^{s/2}, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ defines a structured Banach space. Similarly for the homogeneous case $(\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), (-\Delta)^{s/2}, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is also a structured Banach space.
- (ii) For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and a Littlewood-Paley family $\phi = (\phi_j)_{j=0}^\infty$, define

$$J_\phi^s f(j, x) := 2^{js} \phi_j * f(x), \quad (j, x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $(\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), J_\phi^s, \ell^q(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ is a structured Banach space.

Indeed $\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a Banach function space over $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the natural product of counting and Lebesgue measures.

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By [Kun15, Proposition 5.1] with $A = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ we get for $0 < \theta < 1$, $((L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), I, L^p), (\dot{H}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}, L^p))_{\theta, \ell^q} = \mathcal{F}_{pq}^{\theta s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is a ℓ^q -interpolation on homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space. By simple modification from its proof one can show that:

$$(28) \quad \left((L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), I, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), (H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), (I - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \right)_{\theta, \ell^q} = \mathcal{F}_{pq}^{\theta s}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

We leave the proof of (28) to readers.

In our case T in Theorem 1 is not bounded in \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s when $p \neq q$. As a result:

Lemma H. *The T defined in Theorem 1 is not ℓ^q -bounded on $(H^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), (I - \Delta)^{s/2}, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for every $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, unless $p = q = 2$.*

Sketch. Recall from Remark 4, T is unbounded on $H^{s,p}$ unless $p = 2$. It remains to prove the case $p = 2 \neq q$.

Define $\vec{f}_{a,u} := (a_k \tau_{-u_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ for $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly $\|(I - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \vec{f}_{a,u}\|_{L^2(\ell^q)} \approx_s \|\vec{f}\|_{L^2(\ell^q)}$. When $q < 2 = p$, take $a \in \ell^2 \setminus \ell^q$ and $u_k \equiv y_k$, we see that $\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2(\ell^q)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\ell^2}$ but $\|T\vec{f}\|_{L^2(\ell^q)} \gtrsim \|a\|_{\ell^q} = \infty$. When $q > 2 = p$, take $a \in \ell^q \setminus \ell^2$ and $u_k \equiv 0$, we see that $\|\vec{f}\|_{L^2(\ell^q)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\ell^q}$ but $\|T\vec{f}\|_{L^2(\ell^q)} \gtrsim \|a\|_{\ell^2} = \infty$. \square

It is natural to ask whether we can get a general interpolation result to (28) that obtains Triebel-Lizorkin spaces:

Question I. *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $s_0 \neq s_1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. Can we find Banach function spaces $E_j = E_j(p, q, s_0, s_1, \theta)$ and isometric mapping $J_j = J_j(p, q, s_0, s_1, \theta) : \mathcal{B}_{pp}^{s_j}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E_j$ for $j = 0, 1$ such that the following holds?*

$$\left((\mathcal{B}_{pp}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), J_0, E_0), (\mathcal{B}_{pp}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n), J_1, E_1) \right)_{\theta, \ell^q} = \mathcal{F}_{pq}^{\theta s}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

If such J_0, J_1, E_0, E_1 do not exist, can we still obtain $\mathcal{F}_{pq}^{\theta s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from the framework of sequential structures given in [LL24]?

If such J_0, J_1, E_0, E_1 always exist, can we make (J_j, E_j) depend only on p and s_j ?

Notice that in both [Kun15] and [LL24] only Banach spaces are discussed. One may need to give slight modification so that they work for quasi-Banach spaces when p or $q < 1$.

If one wants a positive answer to Question I, the structure J_ϕ^s in Remark G (ii) does not work.

Lemma J. *For $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$, T is ℓ^r -bounded with respect to the structure $(\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s, J_\phi^s, \ell^q(L^p))$.*

In particular, for every $r \in [1, \infty]$, elements in $\{\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : s \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in [1, \infty], p \neq q\}$ cannot be ℓ^r -interpolated from the structured Besov spaces $\{(\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s, J_\phi^s, \ell^q(L^p)) : s \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in [1, \infty]\}$.

This is likely to be true for p, q or $r < 1$, provided that we have a version interpolation for structured quasi-Banach spaces.

Proof. Indeed T is ℓ^r -bounded in $(\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s, J_\phi^s, \ell^q(L^p))$ if and only if $T : \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^r)$.

On the other hand, we have $\|\phi_j * \phi_k * \vec{f}\|_{L^p(\ell^r)} \leq \|\phi_k * \vec{f}\|_{L^p(\ell^r)}$ for every $\vec{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n; \ell^r)$, since $\|\phi_j * \vec{g}\|_{L^p(\ell^r)} \leq \|\vec{g}\|_{L^p(\ell^r)}$ holds via Young's inequality. The boundedness T on $\mathcal{B}_{pq}^s(\ell^r)$ then follows from repeating (8) with f replacing by \vec{f} .

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ such that $p \neq q$. Suppose by contrast that there are $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1, r \in [1, \infty]$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{pq}^s = ((\mathcal{B}_{p_0q_0}^{s_0}, J_\phi^{s_0}), (\mathcal{B}_{p_1q_1}^{s_1}, J_\phi^{s_1}))_{\theta, \ell^r}$, then T will be bounded on \mathcal{F}_{pq}^s . This contradicts to the Theorem 1 (iii). \square

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Jan Lang for suggesting an ongoing collaboration project that led to this paper. The author thanks Emiel Lorist and Winfried Sickel for some helpful discussion.

The author also acknowledge the travel funding from the AIM Fourier restriction community.

REFERENCES

- [BL76] Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. No. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. MR 482275
- [BS88] Colin Bennett and Robert Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 129, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. MR 928802
- [DP88] Ronald A. DeVore and Vasil A. Popov, *Interpolation of Besov spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305** (1988), no. 1, 397–414. MR 920166
- [Hor66] John Horváth, *Topological vector spaces and distributions. Vol. I*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1966. MR 205028
- [Kre94] V. L. Krepkogorskiĭ, *Interpolation in Lizorkin-Triebel and Besov spaces*, Mat. Sb. **185** (1994), no. 7, 63–76. MR 1300132
- [Kun15] Peer Christian Kunstmann, *A new interpolation approach to spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin type*, Illinois J. Math. **59** (2015), no. 1, 1–19. MR 3459625
- [Lar13] Julian Larcher, *Multiplications and convolutions in L. Schwartz' spaces of test functions and distributions and their continuity*, Analysis (Berlin) **33** (2013), no. 4, 319–332. MR 3143770
- [LL24] Nick Lindemulder and Emiel Lorist, *A discrete framework for the interpolation of Banach spaces*, Adv. Math. **440** (2024), Paper No. 109506, 75. MR 4705488
- [Ryc99] Vyacheslav Slava Rychkov, *On a theorem of Bui, Paluszynski, and Taibleson*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **227** (1999), no. Issled. po Teor. Differ. Funkts. Mnogikh Perem. i ee Prilozh. 18, 286–298. MR 1784322
- [Sch66] Laurent Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg, vol. IX-X, Hermann, Paris, 1966, Nouvelle édition, entièrement corrigée, refondue et augmentée. MR 209834
- [Tri10] Hans Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010, Reprint of 1983 edition [MR0730762], Also published in 1983 by Birkhäuser Verlag [MR0781540]. MR 3024598
- [Tri20] ———, *Theory of function spaces IV*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 107, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020. MR 4298338

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210

Email address: yao.1015@osu.edu