# Convergence rates for a finite volume scheme of the stochastic heat equation

Niklas Sapountzoglou \* Aleksandra Zimmermann †

In this contribution, we provide convergence rates for a finite volume scheme of the stochastic heat equation with multiplicative Lipschitz noise and homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (SHE). More precisely, we give an error estimate for the  $L^2$ -norm of the space-time discretization of SHE by a semi-implicit Euler scheme with respect to time and a TPFA scheme with respect to space and the variational solution of SHE. The only regularity assumptions additionally needed is spatial regularity of the initial datum and smoothness of the diffusive term.

**Keywords:** Finite volume scheme • error estimates • stochastic heat equation • multiplicative noise • space-time discretization

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 60H15 • 35K05 • 65M08

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Lambda$  be a bounded, open, connected, and polygonal set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with d=2,3. Moreover let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space endowed with a right-continuous, complete filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  and let  $(W(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a standard, one-dimensional Brownian motion with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ .

For T > 0, we consider the stochastic heat equation forced by a multiplicative stochastic noise:

$$du - \Delta u \, dt = g(u) \, dW(t), \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \times \Lambda;$$
  

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times \Lambda;$$
  

$$\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \qquad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T) \times \partial \Lambda;$$
  
(SHE)

<sup>\*</sup>Institute of Mathematics, Clausthal University of Technology, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany niklas.sapountzoglou@tu-clausthal.de

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Mathematics, Clausthal University of Technology, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany aleksandra.zimmermann@tu-clausthal.de

where **n** denotes the unit normal vector to  $\partial \Lambda$  outward to  $\Lambda$ ,  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega; L^2(\Lambda))$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable,  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace operator on  $H^1(\Lambda)$  associated with the weak formulation of the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition, and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Lipschitz function with linear growth.

#### 1.1 State of the art

In the literature, the existence and uniqueness of variational solutions to Problem (SHE) (see Definition 2.1) is well known and is covered by the classical framework about stochastic parabolic equations, see, e.g., [24, 23, 28, 14]. We are interested in studying numerical schemes for parabolic stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) of type (SHE). In the last decades, numerical schemes for SPDEs have been studied extensively in the literature. For an overview of the state of the art, see, e.g., [1, 15, 27]. Finite volume schemes have been studied for stochastic scalar conservation laws in, e.g., [4, 5, 3]. The convergence of a full space-time discretization by a finite volume scheme towards the unique variational solution of the stochastic heat equation in two spatial dimensions has been studied in [6] in the case of a linear multiplicative noise and in [7] in the more general case of a multiplicative Lipschitz noise. In these contributions, a semi-implicit Euler scheme has been used for the time discretization and a two point flux approximation scheme (TPFA) has been used with respect to space. In [6], the convergence could be proved by classical arguments, since the linear multiplicative noise is compatible with the weak convergences given by the a-priori estimates. In [7], the convergence of the nonlinear multiplicative noise term has been addressed using the stochastic compactness method based on the theorems of Prokhorov and Skorokhod. This finite volume scheme has been extended to a stochastic convection-diffusion equation with nonlinearities of zeroth and first order in two or three spatial dimensions in [8] and [9]. Here, the convection term is approximated by an upwind scheme and the use of the stochastic compactness method could be avoided, which makes the approach more accessible without deeper knowledge of stochastic analysis.

For all these finite volume schemes, convergence has been proved without giving any rate. To the best of our knowledge, there are no results about convergence rates of finite volume schemes for parabolic SPDEs in the variational setting. However, there are many results concerning convergence rates for different numerical schemes of SPDEs, see, e.g., [25, 12, 22] and, in particular, see [20, 21, 11, 16] for space-time discretizations and convergence rates for nonlinear monotone stochastic evolution equations. In our study, we want to provide error estimates for the finite volume scheme proposed in [7] in space dimensions d = 2 and d = 3 under rather mild and natural regularity assumptions on the initial condition  $u_0$  and on the diffusive term g in the stochastic integral.

#### 1.2 Aim of the study

Our aim is to extend the existence and uniqueness result for variational solutions of Problem (SHE) by providing a result about convergence rates of a finite volume scheme for parabolic SPDEs with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. According to the

existing convergence results, we consider the case of two and three spatial dimensions. We remark that the finite volume scheme proposed in [7] does not coincide with the finite element methods and other numerical schemes for which convergence rates for (SHE) have been considered.

Our study provides error estimates for the  $L^2$ -norm of the space-time discretization of (SHE) proposed in [7] and the variational solution of (SHE) of order  $O(\tau^{1/2} + h + h\tau^{-1/2})$ , where  $\tau$  represents the time step and h the spatial parameter. In the deterministic case, see e.g. [26], one may get rid of the unsatisfying term  $h\tau^{-1/2}$  by assuming the exact solution to be two times differentiable in time. Since we cannot assume more that continuity in time in the stochastic case, the stochastic nature of Problem (SHE) creates worse convergence rates compared to the deterministic case. Convergence rates of order  $O(h\tau^{-1/2})$  do also appear for mixed finite element schemes for the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation (see [18]).

The main idea of the work is to compare the exact solution of Problem (SHE) with the solution of the semi-implicit Euler scheme first. In order to do this, we need to assume  $H^2$ -regularity in space for the initial value  $u_0$  and smoothness for the function g in the stochastic Itô integral. This error turns out to be of order  $O(\tau^{1/2})$ . Then, we compare the solution of the semi-implicit Euler scheme with its parabolic projection (see Definition 6.3), whose error is of order O(h). Finally, comparing the parabolic projection of the solution of the semi-implicit Euler scheme with the solution of the finite volume scheme provides an error of order  $O(h + h\tau^{-1/2})$ .

#### 1.3 Outline

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the considered problem as well as the finite volume framework. Moreover, we provide some analytic tools which are used frequently throughout the paper.

In Section 3 we state the main result and the regularity assumptions.

In Section 4 we introduce the semi-implicit Euler scheme for the stochastic heat equation with Neumann boundary conditions and we provide estimates for its solution.

Section 5 contains stability estimates for the exact solution of the stochastic heat equation and a regularity result.

In Section 6 we prove error estimates, more precisely we estimate the  $L^2$ -error between the exact solution and the solution of the semi-implicit Euler scheme, the  $L^2$ -error between the solution of the semi-implicit Euler scheme and its parabolic projection and finally the  $L^2$ -error between the parabolic projection and the solution of the finite volume scheme. Finally, in Section 7, we collect the previous results and prove our main theorem.

# 2 A Finite Volume scheme for the stochastic heat equation

#### 2.1 The stochastic heat equation with multiplicative noise

For the well-posedness of a variational solution to the stochastic heat equation, we assume the following regularity on the data:

- i.)  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega; L^2(\Lambda))$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable.
- ii.)  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Lipschitz continuous function and there exists a constant  $C_g > 0$  such that  $|g(r)|^2 \le C_g(1+r^2)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $u_0$  and g that satisfy the above assumptions, we will be interested in the following concept of *variational solution* for (SHE):

**Definition 2.1.** A predictable stochastic process u in  $L^2\left(\Omega \times (0,T); L^2(\Lambda)\right)$  is a variational solution to Problem (SHE) if it belongs to

$$L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; L^2(\Lambda))) \cap L^2(\Omega; L^2(0,T; H^1(\Lambda)))$$

and satisfies, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$u(t) - u_0 - \int_0^t \Delta u(s) \, ds = \int_0^t g(u(s)) \, dW(s) \tag{1}$$

in  $L^2(\Lambda)$  and  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. in  $\Omega$ , where  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace operator on  $H^1(\Lambda)$  associated with the weak formulation of the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition.

Remark 2.2. Existence, uniqueness and regularity of a variational solution to (SHE) in the sense of Definition 2.1 is well-known in the literature, see, e.g., [28, 23, 24].

#### 2.2 Admissible meshes and notations

Let us introduce the temporal and spatial discretizations. For the time-discretization, let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. We define the equidistant time step  $\tau = \frac{T}{N}$  and divide the interval [0,T] in  $0=t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_N = T$  with  $t_n=n\tau$  for all  $n \in \{0,...,N-1\}$ . For the space discretization, we consider admissible meshes in the sense of [17], Definition 9.1. For the sake of self-containedness, we add the definition:

**Definition 2.3** (see [17], Definition 9.1). For a bounded domain  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d=2 or d=3, an admissible finite-volume mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  is given by a family of open, polygonal, and convex subsets K, called control volumes and a family of subsets of  $\overline{\Lambda}$ , contained in hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with strictly positive (d-1)-dimensional Lebesgue measure, denoted by  $\mathcal{E}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  are called edges (for d=2) or sides (for d=3) of the control volumes. Finally we associate a family  $\mathcal{P}=(x_K)_{K\in\mathcal{T}}$  of points in  $\Lambda$  to the family of control volumes, called centers. We assume  $K\in\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  to satisfy the following properties:

- $\overline{\Lambda} = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}} \overline{K}$ .
- For any  $K \in \mathcal{T}$ , there exists a subset  $\mathcal{E}_K$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\partial K = \overline{K} \setminus K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \overline{\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_K$ .  $\mathcal{E}_K$  is called the set of edges of K for d = 2 and sides for d = 3, respectively.
- If  $K, L \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $K \neq L$  then either the (d-1)-dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $\overline{K} \cap \overline{L}$  is 0 or  $\overline{K} \cap \overline{L} = \overline{\sigma}$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$ , which will then be denoted by K|L.

- $\mathcal{P} = (x_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}$  is such that  $x_K \in \overline{K}$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{T}$  and, if  $K, L \in \mathcal{T}$  are two neighbouring control volumes,  $x_K \neq x_L$  and the straight line between the centers  $x_K$  and  $x_L$  is orthogonal to the edge  $\sigma = K|L$ .
- For any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\sigma \subset \partial \Lambda$ , let K be the control volume such that  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K$ . If  $x_K \notin \sigma$ , the straight line going through  $x_K$  and orthogonal to  $\sigma$  has a nonempty intersection with  $\sigma$

Examples of admissible meshes are triangular meshes for d=2, where the condition that angles of the triangles are less than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  ensures  $x_K \in K$ . For d=3, Vorononoi meshes are admissible. See [17], Example 9.1 and 9.2 for more details. In the following, we will use the following notation:

- $h = \text{size}(\mathcal{T}) = \sup\{\text{diam}(K) : K \in \mathcal{T}\}\$ the mesh size.
- $\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}} := \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{E} : \sigma \nsubseteq \partial \Lambda \}, \ \mathcal{E}_{\text{ext}} := \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{E} : \sigma \subseteq \partial \Lambda \}, \ \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^K = \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}} \cap \mathcal{E}_K \text{ for } K \in \mathcal{T} \}$
- For  $K \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$  and d = 2 or d = 3, let  $m_K$  be the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure of K and let  $m_{\sigma}$  be the (d-1) dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $\sigma$ .
- For  $K \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_K$  denotes the unit normal vector to  $\partial K$  outward to K and for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K$ , we denote the unit vector on the edge  $\sigma$  pointing out of K by  $\mathbf{n}_{K,\sigma}$ .
- Let  $K, L \in \mathcal{T}$  be two neighbouring control volumes. For  $\sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$ , let  $d_{K|L}$  denote the Euclidean distance between  $x_K$  and  $x_L$ .

Using these notations, we introduce a positive number

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}) = \max \left( \mathcal{N}, \max_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{T} \\ \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K}} \frac{\operatorname{diam}(K)}{d(x_K, \sigma)} \right)$$
 (2)

(where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the maximum of edges incident to any vertex) that measures the regularity of a given mesh and is useful to perform the convergence analysis of finite-volume schemes. This number should be uniformly bounded by a constant  $\chi > 0$  not depending on the mesh size h for the convergence results to hold, *i.e.*,

$$reg(\mathcal{T}) \le \chi. \tag{3}$$

We have in particular  $\forall K, L \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$$\frac{h}{d_{K|L}} \le \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}).$$

#### 2.3 The finite volume scheme

Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be an admissible finite volume mesh with mesh size h > 0. For a  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random element  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega; L^2(\Lambda))$  we define  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. in  $\Omega$  its piecewise constant spatial discretization  $u_h^0 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} u_K^0 \mathbb{1}_K$ , where

$$u_K^0 = \frac{1}{m_K} \int_K u_0(x) dx, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

The finite-volume scheme we propose reads, for the initially given  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random vector  $(u_K^0)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}$  induced by  $u_h^0$ , as follows: For any  $n\in\{1,\cdots,N\}$ , given the  $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}$ -measurable random vector  $(u_K^{n-1})_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}$  we search for a  $\mathcal{F}_{t_n}$ -measurable random vector  $(u_K^n)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}$  such that, for almost every  $\omega\in\Omega$ ,  $(u_K^n)_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}$  is solution to the following random equations

$$m_K(u_K^n - u_K^{n-1}) + \tau \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K^{int}} \frac{m_\sigma}{d_{K|L}} (u_K^n - u_L^n) = m_K g(u_K^{n-1}) \Delta_n W, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \tag{4}$$

where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_N = T$  and  $\tau = t_n - t_{n-1} = T/N$  for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** The second term on the left-hand side of (4) is the classical two-point flux approximation of the Laplace operator, (see [17, Section 10] for more details on the two-point flux approximation of the Laplace operator with Neumann boundary conditions).

With any finite sequence of unknowns  $(w_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h}$ , we may associate the piecewise constant function

$$w_h(x) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} w_K \mathbb{1}_K(x), x \in \Lambda.$$

The discrete  $L^2$ -norm for  $w_h$  is then given by

$$||w_h||_2^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} m_K |w_K|^2$$

and we may define its discrete  $H^1$ -seminorm by

$$|w_h|_{1,h}^2 := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}} \frac{m_{\sigma}}{d_{K|L}} (w_K - w_L)^2.$$

Consequently, if  $(u_K^n)_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h}$  is the solution to (4), we will consider

$$u_h^n = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} u_K^n \mathbb{1}_K$$

for any  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$  a.s. in  $\Omega$ .

#### 2.4 Tools from discrete analysis

In the sequel, the following discrete Gronwall inequality will be freugently used:

**Lemma 2.5** ([30], Lemma 1). Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_n, b_n, \alpha \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . Assume that for every  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ 

$$a_n \le \alpha + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k b_k.$$

Then, for any  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$  we have

$$a_n \le \alpha \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k\right).$$

Moreover, we use the following version of discrete Poincaré inequality:

**Lemma 2.6** ([10], Theorem 3.6, see also [26], Lemma 1). There exists a constant  $C_p > 0$ , only depending on  $\Lambda$ , such that for all admissible meshes  $\mathcal{T}_h$  and for all piecewise constant functions  $w_h = (w_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h}$  we have

$$||w_h||_2^2 \le C_p |w_h|_{1,h}^2 + 2|\Lambda|^{-1} \left(\int_{\Lambda} w_h(x) dx\right)^2.$$
 (PI)

# 3 Regularity assumptions on the data and main result

In order to prove our result, we need the following additional regularity assumptions on the data:

- (R1)  $g \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that g' and g'' are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- (R2)  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega; H^2(\Lambda))$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (R1) and (R2) be satisfied and let u be the variational solution of the stochastic heat equation (SHE) in the sense of Definition 2.1. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and h > 0, let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be an admissible mesh and  $(u_h^n)_{n=1,\ldots,N}$  the solution of the finite volume scheme (4). Then, there exists a constant  $\Upsilon > 0$  depending on the mesh regularity  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  but not depending on n, N and h explicitly such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \|u(t) - u_{h,N}^r(t)\|_2^2 \le \Upsilon(\tau + h^2 + \frac{h^2}{\tau}),$$

where  $u_{h,N}^r(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N u_h^n \mathbb{1}_{[t_{n-1},t_n)}(t)$ ,  $u_{h,N}^r(T) = u_h^N$ . If (3) is satisfied,  $\Upsilon$  may depend on  $\chi$  and the dependence of  $\Upsilon$  on  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  can be omitted.

Remark 3.2. The error estimate of Theorem 3.1 also applies to

$$u_{h,N}^l(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N u_h^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[t_{n-1},t_n)}(t), \ u_{h,N}(T) = u_h^N.$$

It is only necessary to check that for any  $t \in [0, t_1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}||u(t) - u_h^0||_2^2 \le \Upsilon(\tau + h^2 + \frac{h^2}{\tau}).$$

Eventually, we obtain by applying Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 2.6:

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_h^0\|_2^2 \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_0\|_2^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\|u_0 - u_h^0\|_2^2 \\ & \leq 2K_4\tau + 2\mathbb{E}\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}\int_K |u_0(x) - \frac{1}{m_K}\int_K u_0(y)\,dy|^2\,dx \\ & = 2K_4\tau + 2\mathbb{E}\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}\int_K \frac{1}{m_K^2}|\int_K u_0(x) - u_0(y)\,dy|^2\,dx \\ & \leq 2K_4\tau + 2\mathbb{E}\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}\frac{1}{m_K}\int_K C(2,\Lambda)h^2\|u_0\|_{H^2(K)}^2\,dx \\ & \leq 2K_4\tau + 2C(2,\Lambda)\mathbb{E}\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}h^2\|u_0\|_{H^2(K)}^2 \\ & = 2K_4\tau + 2C(2,\Lambda)\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2h^2. \end{split}$$

# 4 Semi-implicit Euler scheme for the stochastic heat equation

Now, for a  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable  $v^0 \in L^2(\Omega; L^2(\Lambda))$  we consider the semi-implicit Euler scheme

$$\begin{cases} v^n - v^{n-1} - \tau \Delta v^n = g(v^{n-1}) \Delta_n W & \text{in } \Omega \times \Lambda, \\ \nabla v^n \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \partial \Lambda, \end{cases}$$
(ES)

where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_N = T$  and  $\tau = t_n - t_{n-1} = T/N$  for all  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . From the Theorem of Stampaccia (see, e.g., [13], Thm. 5.6) or arguing as in [29] it follows that there exists a unique  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_n})$ -measurable solution  $v^n$  in  $L^2(\Omega; H^1(\Lambda))$  to (ES) in the weak sense.

Moreover, from Theorem 3.2.1.3 in [19] we obtain  $v^n \in L^2(\Omega; H^2(\Lambda))$ , hence (ES) holds a.e. in  $\Omega \times \Lambda$  and the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition holds in the weak sense, i.e., for any  $w \in H^1(\Lambda)$  we have

$$\int_{\partial \Lambda} w \nabla v^n \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = 0,$$

where the integrands  $\nabla v^n$  and w have to be understood in the sense of trace operators. Furthermore, in the case d=2, Lemma 4.3.1.2, Lemma 4.3.1.3 and Theorem 4.3.1.4 in [19] yield the existence of a constant C>0 only depending on  $\Lambda$  such that for any random variable  $u:\Omega\to H^2(\Lambda)$  satisfying the weak homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions we have

$$||u||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2 \le C(||\Delta u||_2^2 + ||u||_2^2)$$
 P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ . (5)

Actually, inequality (5) still holds true for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and it can be choosen C = 12, see Appendix, Lemma 8.1.

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists a constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \|v^n\|_2^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_2^2 \le K_1.$$

*Proof.* Using  $v^n$  as a test function in the first equation of (ES) yields

$$I + II = III, (6)$$

where

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|v^n\|_2^2 - \|v^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_2^2 \right) = (v^n - v^{n-1}, v^n)_2,$$

$$II = \tau \|\nabla v^n\|_2^2,$$

$$III = (q(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, v^n)_2.$$

Taking the expectation in III and applying Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}(III)| &= |\mathbb{E}(g(v^{n-1}\Delta_n W, v^n - v^{n-1})_2)| \le \tau \mathbb{E}||g(v^{n-1})||_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}||v^n - v^{n-1}||_2^2 \\ &\le \tau C_g \left(|\Lambda| + \mathbb{E}||v^{n-1}||_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}||v^n - v^{n-1}||_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the expectation in (6) and taking the sum over n = 1, ..., m for any  $m \in \{1, ..., N\}$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|v^{m}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|v^{n} - v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla v^{n}\|_{2}^{2} 
\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|v^{0}\|_{2}^{2} + TC_{g}|\Lambda| + \tau C_{g}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(7)

Then, Lemma 2.5 yields the existence of  $\tilde{K}_1 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \|v^n\|_2^2 \le \tilde{K}_1.$$

Now, using (7) with m = N yields the assertion.

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $v_0 \in L^2(\Omega; H^1(\Lambda))$   $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable and  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{K}_2 = \tilde{K}_2(r) > 0$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{l=1}^r \left[ \|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^l} + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^l} \right] \times \left( \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \tau \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 \right) \right] \leq \tilde{K}_2.$$

$$(8)$$

Especially, there exists a constant  $K_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E}\left[ \|v^n\|_2^4 \right] + \sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E}\left[ \|\nabla v^n\|_2^{10} \right] + \tau \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[ \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 \|\nabla v^n\|_2^2 \right] \le K_2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [25], however, for the sake of completeness, we want to give the whole proof by induction.

We firstly claim the following: For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and any n = 1, ..., N there exists K(r) > 0 and a  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}})$ -measurable real-valued random variable  $f_{n-1}^r$  with  $|f_{n-1}^r|^2 \le \tilde{C}(r)(1+\|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+2}})$  for some constant  $\tilde{C}(r)$  only depending on r such that

$$\left[\|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}}\right] + \left[\prod_{l=1}^r \left[\|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^l} + \frac{1}{2}\|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^l}\right] \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \tau\|\Delta v^n\|_2^2\right)\right]$$

$$\leq C(r) \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} |\Delta_n W|^{2^l} (1 + ||v^{n-1}||_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}}) + f_{n-1}^r \Delta_n W$$
(9)

 $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. in  $\Omega$ . We prove (9) for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  any  $n = 1, \ldots, N$  by induction over r. For r = 0 we have to prove

$$||v^{n}||_{H^{1}}^{2} - ||v^{n-1}||_{H^{1}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}||v^{n} - v^{n-1}||_{H^{1}}^{2} + \tau ||\Delta v^{n}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq C(0)|\Delta_{n}W|^{2}(1 + ||v^{n-1}||_{H^{1}}^{2}) + f_{n-1}^{0}\Delta_{n}W$$

P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ , where we use the convention  $\prod_{l=1}^{0} = 1$ . Applying  $v^n - \Delta v^n$  to (ES) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \Big[ \|v^n\|_{H^1}^2 - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^2 \Big] + \tau \Big( \|\nabla v^n\|_2^2 + \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 \Big) 
= (g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, v^n)_2 + (\nabla g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, \nabla v^n)_2 
= (g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, v^n - v^{n-1})_2 + (\nabla g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, \nabla v^n - \nabla v^{n-1})_2 
+ (g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, v^{n-1})_2 + (\nabla g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, \nabla v^{n-1})_2$$

$$\leq \|g(v^{n-1})\|_{2}^{2} |\Delta_{n}W|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|v^{n} - v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla g(v^{n-1})\|_{2}^{2} |\Delta_{n}W|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v^{n} - \nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} \\
+ \left(\int_{\Lambda} g(v^{n-1})v^{n-1} + g'(v^{n-1})|\nabla v^{n-1}|^{2} dx\right) \Delta_{n}W \\
\leq \frac{1}{2}C(0)|\Delta_{n}W|^{2} (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}) + \frac{1}{4} \|v^{n} - v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}f_{n-1}^{0}\Delta_{n}W$$

for some constant C(0) > 0 only depending on  $C_g$ ,  $\|g'\|_{\infty}$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $f_{n-1}^0 := 2(\int_{\Lambda} g(v^{n-1})v^{n-1} + g'(v^{n-1})|\nabla v^{n-1}|^2 dx)$ . Subtracting  $\frac{1}{4}\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^2$ , multiplying by 2 and discarding nonnegative terms on the left-hand side yields (9) for r = 0.

Now, we assume (9) holds true for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and we show that (9) holds true for r+1. Multiplying (9) with  $(\|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}} + \frac{1}{2}\|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}})$  yields

$$\begin{split} &\frac{3}{4} \bigg( \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{pr+2} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) + \frac{1}{4} \bigg( \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{pr+1} \bigg)^2 \\ &+ \bigg[ \prod_{l=1}^{r+1} \bigg[ \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2l} + \frac{1}{2} \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2l} \bigg] \times \bigg( \frac{1}{2} \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} + \tau \|\Delta v^n\|_{2}^{2} \bigg) \bigg] \\ &\leq \bigg( C(r) \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} |\Delta_n W|^{2l} (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1}) \bigg) (\|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} + \frac{1}{2} \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1}) \\ &+ \bigg( \int_{r-1}^{r} |\Delta_n W| \bigg) (\|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} + \frac{1}{2} \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1}) \\ &\leq 2C(r)^2 \bigg( \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} |\Delta_n W|^{2l} \bigg)^2 (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1})^2 + \frac{1}{8} \bigg( \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \bigg)^2 \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} C(r) \bigg( \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} |\Delta_n W|^{2l} \bigg) (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1}) \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \\ &+ 2 |f_{r-1}^r|^2 |\Delta_n W|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \bigg( \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \bigg)^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{r-1}^r \Delta_n W \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \\ &\leq 4 C(r)^2 (r+1)^2 \bigg( \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} |\Delta_n W|^{2^{l+1}} \bigg) (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2}) + \frac{1}{4} \bigg( \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \bigg)^2 \\ &+ 3 C(r) \bigg( \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} |\Delta_n W|^{2^{l}} \bigg) (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2}) + 2 \tilde{C}(r) (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2}) |\Delta_n W|^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{r-1}^r \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \Delta_n W \bigg) \\ &\leq \big( 4 (r+1)^2 C(r)^2 + 3 C(r) + 2 \tilde{C}(r) \big) \bigg( \sum_{l=1}^{r+2} |\Delta_n W|^{2^{l}} \bigg) (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2}) \\ &+ \frac{3}{4} f_{r-1}^{r+1} \Delta_n W + \frac{1}{4} \bigg( \|v^n\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+1} \bigg)^2 \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2r+2} \bigg) \bigg( 1 + \|v^{$$

 $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. in }\Omega\text{, where }f_{n-1}^{r+1}:=2f_{n-1}^r\|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}}\text{. Hence, subtracting }\frac{1}{4}\bigg(\|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}}-\|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+1}}\bigg)^2$ 

yields

$$\frac{3}{4} \left( \|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+2}} - \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+2}} \right) \\
+ \left[ \prod_{l=1}^{r+1} \left[ \|v^n\|_{H^1}^{2^l} + \frac{1}{2} \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^l} \right] \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \tau \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 \right) \right] \\
\leq \frac{3}{4} C(r+1) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{r+2} |\Delta_n W|^{2^l} \right) (1 + \|v^{n-1}\|_{H^1}^{2^{r+2}}) + \frac{3}{4} f_{n-1}^{r+1} \Delta_n W$$

P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ , where  $C(r+1) := \frac{4}{3} \left( 4(r+1)C(r)^2 + 3C(r) + 2\tilde{C}(r) \right)$ . Multiplying with  $\frac{4}{3}$  and discarding nonnegative terms on the left-hand side yields (9) for r+1.

Now, we have  $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{r+1}|\Delta_n W|^{2^l}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{r+1}\tau^l(l-1)!! \leq \tilde{C}(T,r)\tau$  for some constant  $\tilde{C}(T,r) > 0$ , where

$$k!! = \begin{cases} k \cdot (k-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 2, & k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ even,} \\ k \cdot (k-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 1, & k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and  $\mathbb{E}(f_{n-1}^r\Delta_n W) = (\mathbb{E}f_{n-1}^r)(\mathbb{E}\Delta_n W) = 0$ . Therefore, applying the expectation in (9) and setting  $\overline{C}(T,r) := C(r)\tilde{C}(T,r)$  yields

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n}\|_{H^{1}}^{2^{r+1}} - \mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2^{r+1}} \\ & + \mathbb{E}\bigg[\prod_{l=1}^{r} \left[\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n}\|_{H^{1}}^{2^{l}} + \frac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2^{l}}\right] \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n} - \boldsymbol{v}^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \tau\|\Delta\boldsymbol{v}^{n}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\bigg] \\ & \leq \overline{C}(T,r)\tau + \overline{C}(T,r)\tau\mathbb{E}\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n-1}\|_{H^{1}}^{2^{r+1}} \end{split}$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Now, applying Lemma 2.5 yields (8).

**Remark 4.3.** Let us remark that  $\Delta v^n = (1/\tau)(v^n - v^{n-1} - g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W)$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_{t_n})$ -measurable with values in  $H^1(\Lambda)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $v^0 \in L^2(\Omega; H^2(\Lambda))$  be  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable. Then there exists a constant  $K_3 > 0$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \|\Delta (v^n - v^{n-1})\|_2^2 + \tau \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \|\nabla \Delta v^n\|_2^2 \le K_3.$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\nabla$  to (ES) and testing with  $-\nabla \Delta v^n$  yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 - \|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \|\Delta v^n - \Delta v^{n-1}\|_2^2 \right) + \tau \|\nabla \Delta v^n\|_2^2 
= (\Delta g(v^{n-1})\Delta_n W, \Delta v^n)_2.$$

Taking the expectation and using the  $\mathcal{F}_{t_n}$ -measurability of  $\Delta v^n$  yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\|\Delta v^{n}\|_{2}^{2} - \|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\Delta v^{n} - \Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}\right) + \tau\mathbb{E}\|\nabla\Delta v^{n}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\Delta g(v^{n-1})\Delta_{n}W, \Delta(v^{n} - v^{n-1}))_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{n} - \Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau\mathbb{E}\|\Delta g(v^{n-1})\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(10)

Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, Lemma 8.1 and the boudedness of g' and g'' yield the existence of constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{split} &\|\Delta g(v^{n-1})\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C_{1}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + C_{2}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + C_{3}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4} + C_{4}\|v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4}, & d = 2, \\ C_{1}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + C_{2}\|\nabla \Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{3/2}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{5/2} + C_{3}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4}, & d = 3. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Summing over n = 1, ..., m for any  $m \in \{1, ..., N\}$  in (10) and using (11) in the case d = 2 yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{m}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{n} - \Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla\Delta v^{n}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{0}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\left(C_{1}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + C_{2}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + C_{3}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4} + C_{4}\|v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4}\right).$$
(12)

In the case d=3 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{m}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{n} - \Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla\Delta v^{n}\|_{2}^{2} 
\leq \mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{0}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\left(C_{1}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + C_{2}\|\nabla\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{5/2}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{3/2} + C_{3}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4}\right) 
\leq \mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^{0}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\left(C_{1}\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\Delta v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \tilde{C}_{2}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{10} + C_{3}\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_{2}^{4}\right).$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^m\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^n - \Delta v^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\|\nabla \Delta v^n\|_2^2$$
(13)

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\|\Delta v^0\|_2^2 + \frac{\tau}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla\Delta u_0\|_2^2 + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left(C_1\|\Delta v^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \tilde{C}_2\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_2^{10} + C_3\|\nabla v^{n-1}\|_2^4\right).$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 2.5 in both cases d=2,3, we may conclude the existence of a constant  $\tilde{K}_3>0$  such that

$$\sup_{n \in \{1,\dots,N\}} \mathbb{E} \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 \le \tilde{K}_3.$$

Now, using this estimate in (12) or (13) respectively with m=N yields the assertion.  $\square$ 

# 5 Stability estimates for the stochastic heat equation

#### 5.1 A regularity result

**Proposition 5.1.** Let (R1) and (R2) be satisfied. Then, the unique variational solution u to (SHE) has the additional regularity  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))$  and satisfies the weak homogeneous Neumann boundary condition.

*Proof.* For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the piecewise constant process

$$u_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[t_{n-1}, t_n)}(t), \ u_N(T) = u_N$$

where, for  $n=1,\ldots,N,$   $u_n$  is the unique  $\mathcal{F}_{t_n}$ -measurable solution to (ES) starting at the  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable initial datum  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega; H^2(\Lambda))$ . Consequently,  $u_N(t) \in H^2(\Lambda)$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. in  $\Omega$  for all  $t \in [0,T]$ . Proceeding, e.g., as in the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.5 in [2] with minor modifications, it is not very hard to see that the semi-implicit Euler approximations  $(u_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge for  $n \to \infty$  towards the unique variational solution u to (SHE) in  $L^2(\Omega; L^2(0,T;L^2(\Lambda)))$ . Thanks to (5),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_N(t)\|_{H^2}^2\right] \le C\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\|\Delta u_N(t)\|_2^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\|u_N(t)\|_2^2\right]\right)$$

for all  $t \in [0,T]$ . By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.4 it follows that the quantities in expectation on the right-hand side of the above equation are uniformly bounded by positive constants  $K_1$  and  $K_3$ , respectively, which do not depend on  $t \in [0,T]$  and on  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, the sequence  $(u_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is in particular bounded in  $L^2(\Omega; L^2(0,T; H^2(\Lambda)))$ . Hence, up to a not relabeled subsequence,  $(u_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges weakly to u in  $L^2(\Omega \times (0,T); H^2(\Lambda))$ . In this way we also get the additional information that u is progressively measurable with values in  $H^2(\Lambda)$ . Now, according to [24], Theorem 4.2.5 with  $V = H = H^2(\Lambda)$ , we get  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))$ . Now, equality (1) yields that  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., for all  $t \in [0,T]$  we have  $\int_0^t \Delta u(s) ds \in L^2(\Lambda)$ . This yields

$$\left(\int_{0}^{t} \Delta u(s) \, ds, v\right)_{2} = \int_{0}^{t} (\Delta u(s), v)_{2} \, ds = -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Lambda} \nabla u(s) \nabla v \, dx \, ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{\Lambda} (\Delta_{K} u(s)) v \, dx - \int_{\partial \Lambda} v \nabla u(s) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS\right) ds$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{t} (\Delta_{K} u(s)), v\right)_{2} - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\partial \Lambda} v \nabla u(s) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS \, ds$$
(14)

for all  $v \in H^1(\Lambda)$ , where  $\Delta_K w = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{ii} w \in L^2(\Lambda)$  denotes the classical Laplace for  $w \in H^2(\Lambda)$ . For  $v \in C_c^{\infty}(\Lambda)$ , the boundary term in (14) vanishes and we have

$$\left(\int_0^t \Delta u(s) \, ds, v\right)_2 = \left(\int_0^t (\Delta_K u(s)), v\right)_2. \tag{15}$$

Since  $C_c^{\infty}(\Lambda)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Lambda)$ , equality (15) holds true for all  $v \in L^2(\Lambda)$ , especially for all  $v \in H^1(\Lambda)$ . Together with (14) we obtain  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $v \in H^1(\Lambda)$ 

$$\int_0^t \int_{\partial \Lambda} v \nabla u(s) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS \, ds = 0.$$

Since  $\nabla u$  is continuous with values in  $H^1(\Lambda)$ , we get  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., for all  $t \in [0,T]$  and all  $v \in H^1(\Lambda)$ 

$$\int_{\partial \Lambda} v \nabla u(t) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = 0.$$

#### 5.2 Stability estimates

For the following results, the regularity  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))$  of the variational solution to the heat equation is crucial. It is provided by Proposition 5.1.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let (R1) and (R2) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant

$$K_4 = K_4(T, \Lambda, ||u||_{L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))}, g) > 0$$

such that for all  $s, t \in [0, T]$ :

$$\mathbb{E}||u(t) - u(s)||_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \le K_{4}|t - s|.$$

*Proof.* We fix  $s \in [0,T]$ . Then, for any  $t \in [0,T]$ , we have

$$v(t) := u(t) - u(s) = \int_{s}^{t} \Delta u(s) \, ds = \int_{s}^{t} g(u(s)) \, dW. \tag{16}$$

Applying Itô's formula with  $\frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|_2^2$  to v we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v(t)\|_2^2 = \int_s^t (\Delta u(r), v(r))_2 dr + \int_s^t (v(r), g(u(r)) dW)_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \|g(u(r))\|_2^2 dr.$$

We apply the expectation on both sides of the equality. Then, we use Young's inequality and the growth condition of g to obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|v(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t} \|\Delta u(r)\|_{2}^{2} dr + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t} \|v(r)\|_{2}^{2} dr + \frac{1}{2}C_{g}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t} |\Lambda| + \|u(r)\|_{2}^{2} dr 
\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{2}(\Lambda)))}^{2}|t-s| + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t} \|v(r)\|_{2}^{2} dr + \frac{1}{2}C_{g}|\Lambda||t-s| 
+ \frac{1}{2}C_{g}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{2}(\Lambda)))}^{2}|t-s| 
= K_{4}|t-s| + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t} \|v(r)\|_{2}^{2} dr,$$

where  $K_4 = K_4(T, \Lambda, ||u||_{L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))}, g) > 0$ . Now, Lemma 2.5 yields the assertion.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let (R1) and (R2) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant

$$K_5 = K_5(T, \Lambda, ||u||_{L^2(\Omega:\mathcal{C}([0,T]:H^2(\Lambda)))}, ||g'||_{\infty}) > 0$$

such that for all  $s, t \in [0, T]$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\|\nabla(u(t) - u(s))\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \le K_5|t - s|.$$

*Proof.* We fix  $s \in [0,T]$ . Then, for any  $t \in [0,T]$ , we set v(t) := u(t) - u(s). Now, applying Itô's formula with  $\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \cdot\|_2^2$  to (16) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 = -\int_s^t (\Delta u(r), \Delta v(r))_2 dr - \int_s^t (\Delta v(r), g(u(r)) dW)_2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t (g(u(r)), \Delta g(u(r))_2 dr.$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of the equality, applying Gauss' theorem and Young's inequality yields

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{2}^{2} &= -\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t}(\Delta u(r),\Delta u(r) - \Delta u(s))_{2}\,dr + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t}\|\nabla g(u(r))\|_{2}^{2}\,dr \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t}(\Delta u(r),\Delta u(s))_{2}\,dr - \mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t}\|\Delta u(r)\|_{2}^{2}\,dr + \frac{1}{2}\|g'\|_{\infty}^{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t}\|\nabla u(r)\|_{2}^{2}\,dr \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{s}^{t}\|\Delta u(r)\|_{2}^{2}\,dr + \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{2}(\Lambda)))}^{2}|t-s| \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\|g'\|_{\infty}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{2}(\Lambda)))}^{2}|t-s| \\ &\leq K_{5}|t-s|, \end{split}$$

where  $K_5 = K_5(T, \Lambda, ||u||_{L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))}, ||g'||_{\infty}) > 0.$ 

# 6 Convergence rates

Now, let us assume that (R1) and (R2) are satisfied and u is a solution to (SHE). Then, by Proposition 5.1, u is in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{C}([0,T]; H^2(\Lambda)))$  and satisfies the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition in the weak sense.

**Lemma 6.1.** For n = 1, ..., N let  $v^n$  be a solution to (ES) for  $v^0 = u_0$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_6 > 0$  not depending on n and N such that

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \|u(t_n) - v^n\|_2^2 + \tau \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} \|\nabla (u(t_n) - v^n)\|_2^2 \le K_6 \tau.$$

*Proof.* We set  $e^n := u(t_n) - v^n$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{split} e^n - e^{n-1} &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \Delta(u(s) - v^n) \, ds + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} g(u(s)) - g(v^{n-1}) \, dW \\ &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \Delta(u(s) - u(t_n)) \, ds + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \Delta e^n \, ds \\ &+ \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} g(u(s)) - g(u(t_{n-1})) \, dW + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} g(u(t_{n-1})) - g(v^{n-1}) \, dW. \end{split}$$

Multiplying with  $e^n$  and integrating over  $\Lambda$  yields

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \bigg( \|e^n\|_2^2 - \|e^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2 \bigg) = (e^n, e^n - e^{n-1})_2 \\ &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} \Delta(u(s) - u(t_n)) e^n \, ds + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} \Delta e^n \cdot e^n \, ds \\ &+ \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} (g(u(s)) - g(u(t_{n-1}))) e^n \, dW + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} (g(u(t_{n-1})) - g(v^{n-1})) e^n \, dW. \end{split}$$

Taking expectation we obtain the equality

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\|e^n\|_2^2 - \|e^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2\right) = I + II + III + IV,\tag{17}$$

where

$$I = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} \Delta(u(s) - u(t_n)) e^n \, ds,$$

$$II = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} \Delta e^n \cdot e^n \, ds,$$

$$III = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} (g(u(s)) - g(u(t_{n-1}))) (e^n - e^{n-1}) \, dW,$$

$$IV = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} (g(u(t_{n-1})) - g(v^{n-1})) (e^n - e^{n-1}) \, dW.$$

Young's inequality, Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and Itô isometry yield

$$\begin{split} |I| &\leq \left| \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{\Lambda} \nabla (u(s) - u(t_n)) \nabla e^n \, ds \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|\nabla (u(s) - u(t_n))\|_2^2 \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|\nabla e^n\|_2^2 \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_5}{2} \tau^2 + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla e^n\|_2^2, \\ III &= -\tau \mathbb{E} \|\nabla e^n\|_2^2, \\ III &\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|g(u(s)) - g(u(t_{n-1}))\|_2^2 \, ds + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \|g'\|_{\infty}^2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|u(s) - u(t_{n-1})\|_2^2 \, ds + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \|g'\|_{\infty}^2 K_4 \tau^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2, \\ IV &\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|g(u(t_{n-1})) - g(v^{n-1})\|_2^2 \, ds + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \|g'\|_{\infty}^2 \tau \mathbb{E} \|e^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2. \end{split}$$

Summing over  $n = 1, ..., m, m \in \{1, ..., N\}$ , equality (17) and the previous estimates yield

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|e^m\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\|e^n - e^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\|\nabla e^n\|_2^2 
\leq \left(2\|g'\|_{\infty}^2 K_4 T + \frac{K_5}{2}\right)\tau + 2\|g'\|_{\infty}^2 \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\|e^{n-1}\|_2^2.$$

Lemma 2.5 yields that there exists  $\tilde{K}_6 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{n=1,\dots,N} \mathbb{E} \|e^n\|_2^2 \le \tilde{K}_6 \tau$$

and therefore the assertion holds.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let  $w \in H^2(\Lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  an admissible mesh. Then, there exists a unique solution  $(\tilde{w}_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}$  to the following discrete problem: Find  $(\tilde{w}_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}$  such that

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} m_K \tilde{w}_K = \int_{\Lambda} w \, dx$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K^{int}} \frac{m_{\sigma}}{d_{K|L}} (\tilde{w}_K - \tilde{w}_L) = -\int_K \Delta w \, dx, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}.$$

*Proof.* See e.g. [26], Definition 6 and Remark 2.

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an admissible mesh and  $w \in H^2(\Lambda)$ . The elliptic projection of w on  $\mathcal{T}$  is given by

$$\tilde{w} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} \tilde{w}_K \mathbb{1}_K,$$

where  $(\tilde{w}_K)_{K\in\mathcal{T}}$  are given by Proposition 6.2. The centered projection of w on  $\mathcal{T}$  is given by

$$\hat{w} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} w(x_K) \mathbb{1}_K.$$

**Lemma 6.4.** Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be an admissible mesh with size  $(\mathcal{T}_h) = h$  and w a random variable with values in  $H^2(\Lambda)$ .

Moreover, let  $\tilde{w}_h$  be the elliptic projection of w a.s. in  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_7 > 0$  only depending on  $\Lambda$  and  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  such that

$$||w - \tilde{w}_h||_2^2 \le K_7 h^2 ||w||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2$$
 P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ .

Furthermore, let  $\hat{w}_h$  be the centered projection of w a.s. in  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_8 > 0$  only depending on  $\Lambda$  and  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  such that

$$\|\hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h\|_2^2 \le K_8 h^2 \|w\|_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2$$
 P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ .

If (3) is satisfied,  $K_7$  and  $K_8$  may depend on  $\chi$  and the dependence of  $K_7$  and  $K_8$  on  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  can be omitted.

*Proof.* First, from Lemma 8.2 we observe that there exists a constant  $\tilde{K} > 0$  only depending on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$||w - \hat{w}_h||_2^2 \le \tilde{K}h^2 ||w||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2$$
 P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ .

Moreover, by Definition of the elliptic projection we have

$$\int_{\Lambda} \hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h \, dx = \int_{\Lambda} \hat{w}_h \, dx - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \tilde{w}_K = \int_{\Lambda} \hat{w}_h - w \, dx.$$

Therefore, Hölder inequality and Lemma 8.2 yield the existence of a constant  $\hat{K} > 0$  only depending on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\left(\int_{\Lambda} \hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h \, dx\right)^2 \le |\Lambda| \int_{\Lambda} |\hat{w}_h - w|^2 \, dx \le \hat{K}h^2 ||w||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. in } \Omega.$$

Now, from (PI) we have

$$\|\hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h\|_2^2 \le C_p |\hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h|_{1,h}^2 + 2|\Lambda|^{-1} \left( \int_{\Lambda} \hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h \, dx \right)^2$$

$$\le C_p |\hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h|_{1,h}^2 + 2|\Lambda|^{-1} \hat{K} h^2 \|w\|_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2$$

Hence, it is left to show that there exists a constant  $\overline{K} > 0$  only depending on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$|\hat{w}_h - \tilde{w}_h|_{1,h}^2 \le \overline{K} \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)^2 h^2 ||w||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2.$$

You may obtain this result by proceeding similarly as in [17], Section 3.2.3.

Corollary 6.5. For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $v^n$ ,  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$  be the solution to (ES) and  $\tilde{v}_h^n$  the elliptic projection of  $v^n$ , a.s. in  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_9 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \|v^n - \tilde{v}_h^n\|_2^2 \le K_9 h^2.$$

Especially, we have

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \|v^n - \tilde{v}_h^n\|_2^2 \le K_9 T h^2.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 6.4 and (5) it follows that for all n = 1, ..., N we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|v^n - \tilde{v}_h^n\|_2^2 \le K_7 h^2 \mathbb{E}\|v^n\|_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2 \le K_7 C h^2 \mathbb{E}(\|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 + \|v^n\|_2^2).$$

Thus, from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.4 we get

$$\mathbb{E}||v^n - \tilde{v}_h^n||_2^2 \le K_7 C(K_3 + K_1)h^2.$$

Mutiplying this inequality with  $\tau$  and summing over n = 1, ..., N yields the result.

**Lemma 6.6.** For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $v^n$ ,  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$  be the solution to (ES). Let  $\tilde{v}_h^n$  be the elliptic projection of  $v^n$ . Then there exists a constant  $K_{10} > 0$  not depending on N, n, h such that

$$\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{v}_h^n - u_h^n\|_2^2 \le K_{10} (h^2 + \frac{h^2}{\tau}).$$

*Proof.* We divide (4) by  $m_K$  and subtract this equality from (ES) and get

$$\left(v^n - u_K^n - (v^{n-1} - u_K^{n-1})\right) - \tau \left(\Delta v^n + \frac{1}{m_K} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K^{int}} \frac{m_\sigma}{d_{K|L}} (u_K^n - u_L^n)\right)$$
$$= \left(g(v^{n-1}) - g(u_K^{n-1})\right) \Delta_n W \quad \text{on } K.$$

Adding and subtracting  $\tilde{v}_K^n - \tilde{v}_K^{n-1}$  in the first large brackets, then multiplying with  $\tilde{v}_K^n - u_K^n$  and integrating over K yields

$$I_K + II_K + III_K = IV_K$$

where using the definition of the elliptic projection, we obtain

$$\begin{split} I_{K} &= \int_{K} \left( v^{n} - \tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - (v^{n-1} - \tilde{v}_{K}^{n-1}) \right) dx \left( \tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n} \right) \\ II_{K} &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg( \| \tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n} \|_{L^{2}(K)}^{2} - \| \tilde{v}_{K}^{n-1} - u_{K}^{n-1} \|_{L^{2}(K)}^{2} + \| \tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n} - (\tilde{v}_{K}^{n-1} - u_{K}^{n-1}) \|_{L^{2}(K)}^{2} \bigg) \\ III_{K} &= -\tau \bigg( \int_{K} \Delta v^{n} dx + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{int}} \frac{m_{\sigma}}{d_{K|L}} (u_{K}^{n} - u_{L}^{n}) \bigg) (\tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n}) \\ &= +\tau \bigg( \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}^{int}} \frac{m_{\sigma}}{d_{K|L}} (\tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n} - (\tilde{v}_{L}^{n} - u_{L}^{n}) \bigg) (\tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n}) \\ IV_{K} &= \int_{K} (g(v^{n-1}) - g(\tilde{v}_{K}^{n-1})) (\tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n}) \Delta_{n} W \, dx + \int_{K} (g(\tilde{v}_{K}^{n-1}) - g(u_{K}^{n-1})) (\tilde{v}_{K}^{n} - u_{K}^{n}) \Delta_{n} W \, dx. \end{split}$$

Summing over  $K \in \mathcal{T}$  and using the abbreviations  $\dot{e}_h^n := \tilde{v}_h^n - u_h^n$  and  $\overline{e}_h^n := v^n - \tilde{v}_h^n$  yield

$$I + II + III = IV, (18)$$

where, rewriting III by using the discrete integration by parts rule, we have

$$\begin{split} I &= \int_{\Lambda} (\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}) \dot{e}_h^n = (\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}, \dot{e}_h^n)_2 \\ II &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg( \|\dot{e}_h^n\|_2^2 - \|\dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \|\dot{e}_h^n - \dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2 \bigg) \\ III &= \tau \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}} \frac{m_{\sigma}}{d_{K|L}} \bigg( \tilde{v}_K^n - u_K^n - (\tilde{v}_L^n - u_L^n) \bigg)^2 = \tau |\tilde{v}_h^n - u_h^n|_{1,h}^2 = \tau |\dot{e}_h^n|_{1,h}^2 \\ IV &= \int_{\Lambda} (g(v^{n-1}) - g(\tilde{v}_h^{n-1})) \dot{e}_h^n \Delta_n W \, dx + \int_{\Lambda} (g(\tilde{v}_h^{n-1}) - g(u_h^{n-1})) \dot{e}_h^n \Delta_n W \, dx. \end{split}$$

Taking the expectation in IV and applying Young's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}(IV) \leq 2\tau \mathbb{E} \|g(v^{n-1}) - g(\tilde{v}_h^{n-1})\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|\dot{e}_h^n - \dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2 + 2\tau \mathbb{E} \|g(\tilde{v}_h^{n-1}) - g(u_h^{n-1})\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \|\dot{e}_h^n - \dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2.$$

Hence, taking expectation in (18) and using the boundedness of g' yields

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}(\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}, \dot{e}_h^n)_2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\|\dot{e}_h^n\|_2^2 - \|\dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}\|\dot{e}_h^n - \dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \tau \mathbb{E}|\dot{e}_h^n|_{1,h}^2 \\ & \leq 2\|g'\|_{\infty}^2 \tau \mathbb{E}\|\overline{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2 + 2\|g'\|_{\infty}^2 \tau \mathbb{E}\|\dot{e}_h^{n-1}\|_2^2. \end{split}$$

We sum over n = 1, ..., m for  $m \in \{1, ..., N\}$  and obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}(\overline{e}_{h}^{n} - \overline{e}_{h}^{n-1}, \dot{e}_{h}^{n})_{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\dot{e}_{h}^{m}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathbb{E} \|\dot{e}_{h}^{n} - \dot{e}_{h}^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathbb{E} |\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\dot{e}_{h}^{0}\|_{2}^{2} + 2 \|g'\|_{\infty}^{2} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathbb{E} \|\overline{e}_{h}^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + 2 \|g'\|_{\infty}^{2} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathbb{E} \|\dot{e}_{h}^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(19)

Being  $(\hat{u_0})_h$  the centered projection of  $u_0 = v^0$ , Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 8.2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\dot{e}_{h}^{0}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\tilde{v}_{h}^{0} - u_{h}^{0}\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\|\tilde{v}_{h}^{0} - (\hat{u}_{0})_{h}\|_{2}^{2} + 2\|(\hat{u}_{0})_{h} - u_{h}^{0}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq 2K_{8}h^{2}\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} + 2\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{K}|u_{0}(x_{K}) - \frac{1}{m_{K}}\int_{K}u_{0}(y)\,dy|^{2}\,dx \\ &= 2K_{8}h^{2}\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} + 2\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}\frac{1}{m_{K}}|\int_{K}u_{0}(x_{K}) - u_{0}(y)\,dy|^{2} \\ &\leq 2K_{8}h^{2}\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} + 2\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}}C(2,\Lambda)h^{2}\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(K)}^{2} \\ &= 2(K_{8} + 2C(2,\Lambda))h^{2}\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

a.s. in  $\Omega$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\|\dot{e}_{h}^{0}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}\left(2K_{8}h^{2}\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} + 2|\Lambda|\|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Lambda)}^{2}h^{2}\right)$$

$$= K_{11}h^{2}$$
(20)

for  $K_{11} := 2(K_8 + 2C(2, \Lambda))\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2$ . We note that  $\int_{\Lambda} \overline{e}_h^n dx = \int_{\Lambda} v_h^n - \tilde{v}_h^n dx = 0$  for n = 0, ..., N, hence  $\int_{\Lambda} (\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}) \cdot c \, dx = 0$  for all n = 1, ..., N and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  by the definition of  $\tilde{v}_h^n$ . Then, setting  $c = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\Lambda} \dot{e}_h^n \, dx$ , using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (PI) we get

$$|\mathbb{E}(\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}, \dot{e}_h^n)_2| = |\mathbb{E}(\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}, \dot{e}_h^n - c)_2| \le C_p \mathbb{E}||\overline{e}_h^n - \overline{e}_h^{n-1}||_2 |\dot{e}_h^n|_{1,h}.$$

Now, using Young's inequality, Lemma 6.4, Lemma 8.1 and the fact that  $\tilde{v}_h^n - \tilde{v}_h^{n-1}$  is the elliptic projection of  $v^n - v^{n-1}$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} &|\mathbb{E}(\overline{e}_{h}^{n} - \overline{e}_{h}^{n-1}, \dot{e}_{h}^{n})_{2}| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{p}^{2}}{2\tau} \mathbb{E} ||\overline{e}_{h}^{n} - \overline{e}_{h}^{n-1}||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{E} |\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2} \\ &= \frac{C_{p}^{2}}{2\tau} \mathbb{E} ||v^{n} - \tilde{v}_{h}^{n} - (v^{n-1} - \tilde{v}_{h}^{n-1})||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{E} |\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2} \\ &= \frac{C_{p}^{2}}{2\tau} \mathbb{E} ||(v^{n} - v^{n-1}) - (\tilde{v}_{h}^{n} - \tilde{v}_{h}^{n-1})||_{2}^{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{E} |\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{K_{7}h^{2}C_{p}^{2}}{2\tau} \mathbb{E} ||v^{n} - v^{n-1}||_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{E} |\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{6K_{7}h^{2}C_{p}^{2}}{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left( ||v^{n} - v^{n-1}||_{2}^{2} + ||\Delta v^{n} - \Delta v^{n-1}||_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{E} |\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Summing over n=1,...,m for  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  and using Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.4 and inequality (21) yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} |\mathbb{E}(\overline{e}_{h}^{n} - \overline{e}_{h}^{n-1}, \dot{e}_{h}^{n})_{2}|$$

$$\leq 6K_{7}(K_{1} + K_{3})C_{p}^{2} \frac{h^{2}}{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}|\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2}.$$
(22)

Now we combine (19), (20), (22) and Corollary 6.5 and get

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|\dot{e}_{h}^{m}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\dot{e}_{h}^{n} - \dot{e}_{h}^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\tau}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}|\dot{e}_{h}^{n}|_{1,h}^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(6K_{7}(K_{1} + K_{3})C_{p}^{2}\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{K_{11}}{2} + 2\|g'\|_{\infty}^{2}K_{9}T\right)h^{2} + 2\|g'\|_{\infty}^{2}\tau\sum_{n=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\|\dot{e}_{h}^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 finalizes the proof of this lemma.

**Remark 6.7.** The constants  $K_9$  and  $K_{10}$  respectively depend on  $K_7$  and this constant may depend on reg( $\mathcal{T}_h$ ). Assuming (3), we may overcome this dependence.

# 7 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let  $t \in [0,T]$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $n \in \{1,...,N-1\}$  such that  $t \in [t_{n-1},t_n)$  or  $t \in [t_{N-1},t_N]$ . For  $n \in \{1,...,N\}$  we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_{h,N}^{r}(t)\|_{2}^{2} = \mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_{h}^{n}\|_{2}^{2} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u(t_{n}) + u(t_{n}) - v^{n} + v^{n} - \tilde{v}_{h}^{n} + \tilde{v}_{h}^{n} - u_{h}^{n}\|_{2}^{2} \\ & \leq 16 \bigg( \mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u(t_{n})\|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\|u(t_{n}) - v^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\|v^{n} - \tilde{v}_{h}^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\|\tilde{v}_{h}^{n} - u_{h}^{n}\|_{2}^{2} \bigg), \end{split}$$

where  $v^n$  is the solution to (ES) with initial value  $u_0$  and  $\tilde{v}_h^n$  is the elliptic projection of  $v^n$ . Now, Lemma 5.2, Lemma 6.1, Corrolary 6.5 and Lemma 6.6 yield

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_{h,N}^{r}(t)\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq 16(K_{4}\tau + K_{6}\tau + K_{9}h^{2} + K_{10}(h^{2} + \frac{h^{2}}{\tau}))$$

$$\leq \Upsilon(\tau + h^{2} + \frac{h^{2}}{\tau})$$

for some constant  $\Upsilon > 0$  depending on the mesh regularity  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  but not depending on n, N and h explicitly. Therefore, taking the supremum over  $t \in [0, T]$  on the left hand side and the right hand side of the inequality, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \|u(t) - u_{h,N}^r(t)\|_2^2 \le \Upsilon(\tau + h^2 + \frac{h^2}{\tau}).$$

# 8 Appendix

**Lemma 8.1.** Let  $d \in \{2,3\}$ . Then, for any  $u \in H^2(\Lambda)$  satisfying the weak homogeneous boundary condition we have

$$||u||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2 \le 12(||\Delta u||_2^2 + ||u||_2^2),$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace operator on  $H^1(\Lambda)$  associated with the weak formulation of the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition.

Especially, for any random variable  $u: \Omega \to H^2(\Lambda)$  we obtain

$$||u||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2 \le 12(||\Delta u||_2^2 + ||u||_2^2)$$
 P-a.s. in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in H^2(\Lambda)$ . We set  $f := -\Delta u + u \in L^2(\Lambda)$ . Then, according to Theorem 3.2.1.3 in [19] u is the unique solution of  $-\Delta u + u = f$  satisfying the weak homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. Now, we follow the ideas of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.3 in [19]. There exists a sequence  $(\Lambda_m)_m$  such that  $\Lambda_m \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded, open, convex,

 $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_m$ ,  $\operatorname{dist}(\partial \Lambda, \partial \Lambda_m) \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$  and  $\Lambda_m$  has a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -boundary for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We set

$$\tilde{f} := \begin{cases} f, & \text{in } \Lambda, \\ 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Then, since  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Lambda_m)$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a unique  $u_m \in H^2(\Lambda_m)$  satisfying the weak Neumann boundary conditions w.r.t.  $\Lambda_m$  such that  $-\Delta u_m + u_m = \tilde{f}$  in  $\Lambda_m$ . According to the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.3 in [19] there exists C > 0 such that  $||u_m||_{H^2(\Lambda_m)} \leq C$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(u_m)_{|\Lambda} \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^2(\Lambda)$  for a subsequence. Now, Theorem 3.1.2.3 in [19] yields

$$||u_m||_{H^2(\Lambda_m)} \le \sqrt{6}||-\Delta u_m + u_m||_{L^2(\Lambda_m)}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)} &\leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} \|u_{m}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda)} \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} \|u_{m}\|_{H^{2}(\Lambda_{m})} \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} \sqrt{6} \| -\Delta u_{m} + u_{m} \|_{L^{2}(\Lambda_{m})} \\ &= \liminf_{m \to \infty} \sqrt{6} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{2}(\Lambda_{m})} \\ &= \sqrt{6} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)} \\ &= \sqrt{6} \| -\Delta u + u \|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{6} (\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)} + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we may conclude

$$||u||_{H^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} \le 6(||\Delta u||_{L^{2}(\Lambda)} + ||u||_{L^{2}(\Lambda)})^{2} \le 12(||\Delta u||_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2} + ||u||_{L^{2}(\Lambda)}^{2})$$

**Lemma 8.2.** Let  $u \in H^2(\Lambda)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  an admissible mesh,  $K \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $y \in K$ . Then, for any  $1 \leq q \leq 2$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(q, \Lambda) > 0$  such that

$$\int_{K} |u(x) - u(y)|^{q} dx \le Ch^{q} ||u||_{W^{2,q}(K)}^{q}.$$

Especially, for any function v of the form  $v(x) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} u(y_K) \mathbb{1}_K(x)$ , where  $y_K \in K$  and  $x \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$||u-v||_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \le C(2,\Lambda)h^2||u||_{H^2(\Lambda)}^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in K$ . Then we have

$$u(x) - u(y) = \nabla u(x) \cdot (x - y) + \int_0^1 (1 - s)(D^2(u)((1 - s)y + sx)(x - y)) \cdot (x - y) \, ds.$$

Jensen inequality yields:

$$\int_{K} |u(x) - u(y)|^{q} dx \le 2^{q-1} \int_{K} |\nabla u(x)(x - y)|^{q} dx + 2^{q-1} \int_{K} \int_{0}^{1} |(1 - s)(D^{2}(u)((1 - s)y + sx)(x - y)) \cdot (x - y)|^{q} ds dx.$$

Now, a change of variables z := (1 - s)y + sx yields

$$\int_{K} |u(x) - u(y)|^{q} dx \leq 2^{q-1} h^{q} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(K)}^{q} + 2^{q-1} h^{2q} \|D^{2}(u)\|_{L^{q}(K)}^{q}$$
$$\leq C h^{q} \|u\|_{W^{2,q}(K)}$$

for 
$$C = C(q, \Lambda) = 2^{q-1}(1 + \operatorname{diam}(\Lambda)^q).$$

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Andreas Prohl for his valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] R. Anton, D. Cohen, and L. Quer-Sardanyons. A fully discrete approximation of the one-dimensional stochastic heat equation. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 40(1):247–284, 2020.
- [2] C. Bauzet, E. Bonetti, G. Bonfanti, F. Lebon, and G. Vallet. A global existence and uniqueness result for a stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with constraint. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 40(14):5241–5261, 2017.
- [3] C. Bauzet, V. Castel, and J. Charrier. Existence and uniqueness result for an hyperbolic scalar conservation law with a stochastic force using a finite volume approximation. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 17(2):213–294, 2020.
- [4] C. Bauzet, J. Charrier, and T. Gallouët. Convergence of flux-splitting finite volume schemes for hyperbolic scalar conservation laws with a multiplicative stochastic perturbation. *Math. Comput.*, 85(302):2777–2813, 2016.
- [5] C. Bauzet, J. Charrier, and T. Gallouët. Convergence of monotone finite volume schemes for hyperbolic scalar conservation laws with a multiplicative noise. *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.*, 4(1):150–223, 2016.
- [6] C. Bauzet and F. Nabet. Convergence of a finite-volume scheme for a heat equation with a multiplicative stochastic force. In *Finite volumes for complex applications IX—methods, theoretical aspects, examples,* volume 323 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 275–283. Springer, Cham, 2020.

- [7] C. Bauzet, F. Nabet, K. Schmitz, and A. Zimmermann. Convergence of a finite-volume scheme for a heat equation with a multiplicative Lipschitz noise. *ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 57(2):745–783, 2023.
- [8] C. Bauzet, F. Nabet, K. Schmitz, and A. Zimmermann. Finite volume approximations for non-linear parabolic problems with stochastic forcing. In *Finite volumes for complex applications X—volume 1, elliptic and parabolic problems*, volume 432 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 157–166. Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [9] C. Bauzet, K. Schmitz, and A. Zimmermann. On a finite-volume approximation of a diffusion-convection equation with a multiplicative stochastic force. arXiv:2304.02259v2 [math.NA], 2024.
- [10] M. Bessemoulin-Chatard, C. Chainais-Hillairet, and F. Filbet. On discrete functional inequalities for some finite volume schemes. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 35(3):1125–1149, 2015.
- [11] D. Breit, M. Hofmanova, and S. Loisel. Space-time approximation of stochastic p-Laplace-type systems. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 59(4):2218–2236, 2021.
- [12] D. Breit and A. Prohl. Error analysis for 2D Stochastic Navier-Stokes equations in bounded domains with Dirichlet data. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 2023.
- [13] H. Brézis. Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [14] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [15] A. Debussche and J. Printems. Weak order for the discretization of the stochastic heat equation. *Math. Comp.*, 78(266):845–863, 2009.
- [16] L. Diening, M. Hofmanova, and J. Wichmann. An averaged space-time discretization of the stochastic p-Laplace system. Numer. Math., 153(2-3):557–609, 2023.
- [17] R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, and R. Herbin. Finite Volume Methods. In *Handb. Numer. Anal.*, VII, pages 713–1020. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [18] X. Feng and H. Qiu. Analysis of fully discrete mixed finite element methods for timedependent stochastic Stokes equations with multiplicative noise. J. Sci. Comput., 88(2):Paper No. 31, 25, 2021.
- [19] P. Grisvard. Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains. Pitman, Boston, 1985.
- [20] I. Gyöngy and A. Millet. On discretization schemes for stochastic evolution equations. *Potential Anal.*, 23(2):99–134, 2005.

- [21] I. Gyöngy and A. Millet. Rate of convergence of space time approximations for stochastic evolution equations. *Potential Anal.*, 30(1):29–64, 2009.
- [22] U. Koley and G. Vallet. On the rate of convergence of a numerical scheme for fractional conservation laws with noise. arXiv:2202.10811 [math.AP], 2022.
- [23] N. V. Krylov and B. L. Rozovskii. Stochastic evolution equations. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 16(4):1233–1277, 1981.
- [24] W. Liu and M. Röckner. Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [25] A.K. Majee and A. Prohl. Optimal strong rates of convergence for a space-time discretization of the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with multiplicative noise. *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.*, 18(2):297–311, 2018.
- [26] F. Nabet. An error estimate for a finite-volume scheme for the Cahn-Hillard equation with dynamic boundary conditions. *Numer. Math.*, 149(1):185–226, 2021.
- [27] A. Ondrejat, A. Prohl, and N. Walkington. Numerical approximation of nonlinear SPDE's. Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ.: Anal. Comput., 11(4):1553–1634, 2023.
- [28] É. Pardoux. Équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques non linéaires monotones. Phd thesis, University Paris Sud, 1975.
- [29] N. Sapountzoglou, P. Wittbold, and A. Zimmermann. On a doubly nonlinear PDE with stochastic perturbation. Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput., 7(2):297– 330, 2019.
- [30] S. Sugiyama. Stability problems on difference and functional-differential equations. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 45:140–144, 1969.