

# AI-Enabled System for Efficient and Effective Cyber Incident Detection and Response in Cloud Environments

Mohammed Ashfaq M. Farzaan, Mohamed Chahine Ghanem\*, Ayman El-Hajjar, Deepthi N. Ratnayake

**Abstract**—The escalating sophistication and volume of cyber threats in cloud environments necessitate a paradigm shift in strategies. Recognising the need for an automated and precise response to cyber threats, this research explores the application of AI and ML and proposes an AI-powered cyber incident response system for cloud environments. This system, encompassing Network Traffic Classification, Web Intrusion Detection, and post-incident Malware Analysis (built as a Flask application), achieves seamless integration across platforms like Google Cloud and Microsoft Azure. The findings from this research highlight the effectiveness of the Random Forest model, achieving an accuracy of 90% for the Network Traffic Classifier and 96% for the Malware Analysis Dual Model application. Our research highlights the strengths of AI-powered cyber security. The Random Forest model excels at classifying cyber threats, offering an efficient and robust solution. Deep learning models significantly improve accuracy, and their resource demands can be managed using cloud-based TPUs and GPUs. Cloud environments themselves provide a perfect platform for hosting these AI/ML systems, while container technology ensures both efficiency and scalability. These findings demonstrate the contribution of the AI-led system in guaranteeing a robust and scalable cyber incident response solution in the cloud.

**Index Terms**—Cyber Incident, Digital Forensics, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Cloud Security, Incident Response.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the proliferation of cyber attacks targeting organisations across various industries has reiterated the critical need for robust incident response capabilities. According to the UK government’s Cybersecurity Breaches Survey in 2023 [1], a significant percentage of businesses and charities have experienced breaches or attacks, with alarmingly low adoption rates of formal Incident Response capabilities. Consequently, there is a pressing demand for organisations to invest in Incident Response capabilities to safeguard against data breaches and cyber threats. Notably, organisations with well-tested Incident Response capabilities and high levels of AI and ML integration for threat detection and response

demonstrated substantially lower data breach costs, as highlighted by IBM’s Cost of Data Breach 2022 report [2]. This demonstrates how essential it is for organizations to embrace AI and ML technologies to bolster their cybersecurity posture [27].

This study investigates how AI contributes to cybersecurity and explores the potential of applying it in cloud environments to address challenges associated with it. It proposes a novel system leveraging AI and ML techniques to enhance cybersecurity within cloud environments. The proposed system includes three main components, a network traffic classifier, a web intrusion detection system (WIDS) and a malware analysis system.

The network classifier utilises real-time network traffic capture to analyse ongoing network activity for anomalies potentially indicative of malicious behaviour. The NSL-KDD dataset [23], a benchmark for network traffic analysis, serves as the foundation for training and evaluating our classifier. By effectively classifying incoming traffic in real-time based on this rich feature set, the classifier can significantly enhance network security by enabling prompt identification and mitigation of potential cyber-attacks.

The Web Intrusion Detection System (WIDS) focuses on detecting suspicious behaviour in web traffic to prevent unauthorised access. It achieves this by extracting informative features from standard HTTP server logs. The key innovation of this design lies in its real-time deployment and distributed data collection using lightweight agents on web servers. This ensures efficient log collection and minimises the impact on individual servers. Anomaly detection employs the Isolation Forest algorithm, which is effective in high-dimensional datasets commonly encountered in security applications. To reduce false positives, the application triggers alerts only when the number of detected anomalies exceeds a predefined threshold, based on the assumption that real-world attacks often involve rapid bursts of activity. The Malware Analysis system streamlines the process of analysing suspicious files to determine if they are malicious. It achieves this by first extracting string features from training binaries and then using them to train a model. The model adopts a combined model architecture to mitigate false positives. It uses the Random Forest model as the primary model, complemented by a secondary model, the Keras TensorFlow model. Both models were trained on a comprehensive dataset obtained from VirusTotal.com. The system follows a logical flow where uploaded files undergo initial processing and classification. If the initial model predicts a

\* Mohammed Chahine Ghanem the corresponding author.

Mr M.A.M. Farzaan is with Cyber Security Research Group, University of Westminster, London, UK. e-mail: w1945035@westminster.ac.uk

Dr M.C. Ghanem is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. email: mohamed.chahine.ghanem@liverpool.ac.uk

Dr A. El-Hajjar is with Cyber Security Research Group, University of Westminster, London, UK. e-mail: a.elhajjar@my.westminster.ac.uk

Dr D. N. Ratnayake is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK. e-mail: d.ratnayake@herts.ac.uk

high likelihood of malicious content, the file is classified as "Malicious", and a detailed analysis report is generated. For files with an uncertain classification, a secondary deep-learning model is invoked for precise prediction.

This paper represents a concerted effort to explore the practical application of AI techniques in the domain of digital forensics, with a specific focus on developing an AI-enabled Cyber Incident Investigations Framework tailored for deployment in cloud environments. By leveraging the capabilities of AI and ML, this research seeks to enhance the efficacy and efficiency of digital forensics processes, thereby enabling organisations to better detect, analyse, and mitigate cyber threats in cloud infrastructures. Through comprehensive investigations, this work delves into three distinct AI and ML applications of digital forensics: Network Traffic Classification, Web Intrusion Detection, and Malware Analysis Systems. These applications are meticulously integrated within leading cloud platforms such as Google Cloud and Microsoft Azure to facilitate forensic operations effectively.

The findings derived from this research shed light on several critical aspects of AI-driven digital forensics. Firstly, the suitability of Random Forest emerges prominently for classification tasks, demonstrating robust performance in distinguishing between various network behaviours and identifying potential threats. Furthermore, the integration of deep learning models unveils new horizons in Malware Analysis, underscoring the potential for enhanced accuracy and efficacy in digital forensics tasks. Moreover, this research underscores the effectiveness and scalability of cloud environments as hosting platforms for AI and ML systems. By harnessing cloud infrastructures' computational power and flexibility, organisations can significantly enhance their digital forensics capabilities, thereby overcoming the constraints of traditional on-premises solutions.

Additionally, the exploration of container technology underscores its pivotal role in facilitating the deployment and scalability of AI and ML-driven digital forensics systems within cloud environments. The agility and resource efficiency offered by containerisation presents compelling advantages for organizations seeking to streamline their forensic operations and adapt dynamically to evolving cyber threats. In conclusion, this research presents a novel and pragmatic approach to combating cybercrime in cloud environments, leveraging the synergistic potential of Artificial Intelligence and cloud resources. By bridging the gap between cutting-edge AI technologies and the demand for digital forensics, the proposed AI-led DFIR system represents a crucial step towards fortifying organizational resilience against cyber threats in the digital age.

## II. RELATED WORK

This section synthesises research related to digital forensics and incident response systems in cloud environments, as well as the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) within these domains. The selected papers shed light on various methodologies, frameworks, and technologies aimed at enhancing cyber forensic capabilities and addressing emerging challenges in cloud computing security.

### A. Incident Response and Investigation in Cloud Environments

Several works have been done to address incident detection and response in Cloud environments; Stelly and Roussev [8] introduce SCARF, a container-based software framework designed to enable digital forensic processing at a cloud scale. The paper advocates for leveraging containers as a solution to address critical issues in digital forensics, offering practical insights into the integration capabilities and performance considerations of the solution. However, the absence of experiments in cloud environments limits the assessment of SCARF's full potential. Hemdan and Manjaiah [10] presented a Cloud Forensics Investigation model Centred around digital forensics as a Service (DFaaS), emphasising the deployment of a forensics Server within cloud service providers' infrastructures. While the proposed model performance and features look promising, its reliance on proprietary cloud environments restricts its applicability to public cloud deployments. Dykstra and Sherman [11] introduced FROST, a trusted digital forensics tool designed specifically for the OpenStack cloud computing platform. An essential and noteworthy feature of FROST is its focus on evidence integrity; FROST enables the reliable acquisition of virtual disks and API logs. However, its compatibility limited to OpenStack platforms presents challenges for investigations spanning diverse cloud infrastructures. Edington and Kishore [20] proposed a comprehensive forensics framework for cloud computing featuring a central forensics server and an external forensics monitoring plane. While the framework addresses key challenges in cloud forensics, its on-premise resource approach and lack of deployment in actual cloud environments necessitate further validation. Pastras, Cucu and Patriciu [21] suggest a secure framework focused on monitoring user activity in cloud environments, with a modular architecture tailored for KVM virtualisation technology. Although the framework offers insights into securing cloud environments, its narrow focus on KVM may limit its applicability in heterogeneous cloud infrastructures.

### B. AI and ML in Digital Forensics and Incident Response

There are different research and proposals on how to integrate AI and ML techniques in the DFIR process. Temechu and Anteneh [12] proposed a hybrid Machine Learning (ML) approach for anomaly detection in IoT and cloud environments. Their approach utilises Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) to address security threats. The authors also acknowledged the limitations of traditional CNNs on small datasets and proposed the use of Bayesian CNNs for improved performance. They emphasise the importance of large datasets for training and suggest using datasets from sources like CIADA and Packt. Their solution involves data pre-processing, feature extraction using CNN, and classification using SVM, with the possibility of incorporating entropy-based anomaly detection for further improvement. While this research offers a promising direction with its exploration of Bayesian CNN, it would benefit from addressing how the chosen ML algorithms handle zero-day attacks and the computational demands of complex models

TABLE I: Summary of related works.

Reference	Data Source	Technique Used	Approach
Stelly & Rousev. [9]	Experimental Data	(1) Containerisation is used to encapsulate individual executable modules (2) ExifTool and OpennSFW are used as worker modules	Propose a container-based software framework that integrates existing forensics tools into a processing pipeline as worker modules.
Nanda and Hansen. [18]	Cloud Resources	(1) Forensics as a Service (2) VM snapshots	Implement a Forensic as a Service (FaaS) solution, enabling digital forensics to be conducted efficiently through a cloud-based Forensic Server.
Dykstra & Sherman [11]	Virtual Disks, API logs	Openstack cloud	Suggest a set of three novel forensic tools designed for the OpenStack cloud platform, ensuring trustworthy acquisition of virtual disks, API logs, and guest firewall logs.
Philip et al. [28]	DNS logs	(1) Multi-agent system (2) Decentralised Model	Propose a multi-agent model for forensics investigation in domains where devices are often distributed across a wide area.
Rughani. [19]	Disk Images	Acquisition, Analysis and Presentation of data for forensics	Introduce a framework aimed at optimising speed and performance in investigating cyber crimes and minimizing user interactions. .
Irina Baptista et al. [30]	Malicious and Benign files.	(1) Malware detection based on binary visualization. (2) Neural Networks.	Describe a new approach to malware detection that combines machine learning with a creative method of visualizing malware as images.
Temechu et al. [12]	Log files from CAIDA and Packt.	Data pre-processing, feature extraction using CNNs, and classification using SVMs	Suggest a hybrid Machine Learning (ML) approach for anomaly detection in IoT and cloud environments using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Support Vector Machines (SVMs) to address security threats.
Our Model	Network Traffic, HTTP Server logs, .exe files	(1) Real Time feature Engineering for Classification. (2) Docker containers and Kubernetes in cloud environments (3) TensorFlow deep learning model to reduce false positives.	Propose and evaluate a system with multiple applications deployed to defend against cyber threats and respond to incidents. The system can interact with large amounts of data by scaling and predicting with higher accuracy.

on resource-constrained IoT devices. Furthermore, the paper lacks details on the evaluation methodology used to assess the effectiveness of the proposed solution. Irina Baptista et al. [30] proposed a new approach to malware detection using machine learning and a unique method of visualizing malware as images. While the reported accuracy for specific file types such as PDF and DOC, appears promising, a thorough critical analysis and evaluation is still required. Firstly, the effectiveness against a wider range of malware formats beyond PDFs and DOCs is unclear. Secondly, the generalisability of the self-organizing neural network for unknown malware requires more exploration. Finally, the computational cost of image visualisation for real-time applications must be addressed. Overall, the approach holds merit, but broader testing and efficiency analysis are needed for a more comprehensive evaluation.

Al Balushi et al. [26] addressed the growing importance of machine learning (ML) in digital forensics, highlighting its potential to streamline investigations overwhelmed by vast amounts of digital evidence. Their paper investigated how ML techniques can automate tasks, improve accuracy, and expedite the forensic process, all while using different algorithms suitable for different forensic scenarios. However, a deeper dive into specific algorithms, Implementation mechanisms and their strengths and weaknesses for different tasks would strengthen the analysis. Du et al. [25] investigated the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in digital forensics, emphasising its potential to tackle the backlog of cases caused by the ever-increasing volume of digital evidence. They explored how AI-based tools can automate evidence processing, thereby expediting the investigation process and increasing case throughput. The paper discussed challenges and future directions for AI in various digital forensic domains. However, a more detailed analysis of the specific AI techniques and their limitations in

different forensic tasks could offer deeper insights.

Qadir, et.al [16] highlighted the crucial role of machine learning in addressing challenges in digital forensics, proposing applications such as link analysis and fraud detection. Despite its insightful analysis, the paper lacked empirical validation of the proposed techniques. Additionally, it overlooked potential drawbacks associated with using machine learning in this context, such as the substantial amount of training data required and the possibility of bias within the algorithms themselves. Overall, the paper provides a springboard for exploring the potential of machine learning in digital forensics. Hilmand et al. [17] conducted a survey study on the application of ML in digital forensics, offering insights into various algorithms employed for tasks such as access controls and image distortion detection. The authors discussed various applications of ML in the field, without delving into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each application. Additionally, the paper did not address the potential drawbacks of using ML such as algorithm overheads and inherent biases.

Rughani [19] proposed a digital forensics framework that leverages artificial intelligence to enhance tool performance and minimise user interaction. However, it remains unclear how the framework would address the handling of entirely new types of cybercrime that are not included in its training data. While the suggested framework show potential as a viable solution, it still needs an in-depth evaluation and validation of the results it claims to achieve.

Dunsin et al. [14] developed a multi-agent framework for digital investigations, showcasing reduced time for evidence file integrity checks. Despite promising results, the framework would benefit from validation in diverse cloud environments. In another study, Dunsin et al. [13] provided a thorough examination of AI and ML applications in digital forensics, summarising contributions, drawbacks, and impacts of exist-

ing research. The reviewed literature showcased the growing significance of AI and ML in enhancing digital forensics and incident response capabilities while highlighting the need for empirical validations and practical implementations to realise their full potential in cloud environments.

### III. METHODOLOGY AND IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, we present the methodology employed in this research and outline the systematic approach utilised to achieve the study's objectives. This section covers the design, development and deployment of the system in detail.

#### A. System Design and Development

1) *Overall System:* Our research proposes a novel AI-powered system with a three-tier architecture designed for efficient cyber threat detection and investigation. This architecture leverages containerization technology to isolate and deploy various functionalities across three distinct environments: Production, Honeypot, and DFIR as illustrated in Figure 1. The Production environment securely hosts critical infrastructure needed by the customer, ensuring the integrity, availability, and confidentiality of production data. It also securely mirrors network traffic to the DFIR Environment VPC for analysis by AI models. The Honeypot environment, a core component of our system's innovation, utilises a T-Pot honeypot to strategically attract and deceive attackers. This deception facilitates the collection of valuable training data for our continuously learning AI models.

The DFIR environment acts as the central hub for analysis. It houses a suite of security applications consisting of trained models that will perform predictions on new data points, including a network attack classifier that performs real-time classification on network traffic. Additionally, a Web Intrusion Detection System (WIDS) analyses web server logs collected from the production environment for anomalies. Furthermore, a storage bucket monitor in the DFIR environment leverages the Malware Analysis system (hosted on a separate subnet) to analyse suspicious files and perform static analysis. Subnet 3, considered the nerve centre, hosts the ELK stack (Elasticsearch, Logstash, and Kibana) for centralised storage and analysis of logs generated by the ML models. These logs, enriched with insights from both production and honeypot environments, empower analysts to identify patterns and anomalies that might indicate potential threats. The final subnet, Research and Development, acts as a bridge between the honeypot environment and the system. Labelled training data from the honeypot and the computing power provided by the cloud facilitate a continuous model training and deployment pipeline, ensuring our AI models stay up-to-date with evolving threats. This research explores different approaches and methods to address security problems by utilizing AI/ML as a core defence mechanism. Our proposed system architecture which was initially deployed on the Google Cloud platform as depicted in Figure 2 contributes to this goal by enabling efficient data flow and promoting a Framework of a complete end-to-end AI system. Figure 3 depicts a framework for data analysis employed in end-to-end AI system

TABLE II: Performance Comparison of Algorithms for Traffic Classification

Algorithm	Accuracy
Random Forest	90.92%
Logistic Regression	85.75%
Decision Tree	87.13%
KNN	85.34%
Naive Bayes	51.58%

construction, which served as the guiding structure for this research. This ten-step process, beginning with defining the business problem and culminating in the deployment of the trained model, was utilised to develop the AI system presented here. Following data selection and collection, the framework emphasised data pre-processing and feature engineering to prepare the information for model training and evaluation. An iterative loop was adopted, where model performance was assessed and potentially necessitated revisiting earlier stages in the framework for refinement. We also investigate various techniques for deploying AI applications within a secure and efficient architecture in the subsequent sub-sections. Table VIII provides a summary of the specific security problems addressed and the corresponding algorithms employed.

---

#### Algorithm 1 Network Traffic Classification using Random Forest

---

**Require:** Training data: Network traffic dataset  $D = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$

1: where  $x_i$  is a feature vector and  $y_i$  is the attack type label.

**Ensure:** Traffic Classification model  $M$

2: Preprocess data:

3: Read data from CSV files with specified column names.

4: Drop irrelevant features (e.g., flags, protocols, services).

5: Separate features ( $X$ ) and labels ( $y$ ).

6: Split data into training and testing sets:  $(X_{train}, y_{train}), (X_{test}, y_{test})$ .

7: Standardize features using StandardScaler.

8: Build Random Forest model  $M$ :

9: Define a Random Forest classifier with a desired number of estimators (e.g., 100).

10: Set random state for reproducibility (e.g., 42).

11: Train model  $M$  on  $(X_{train}, y_{train})$ .

12: Evaluate model  $M$  on  $(X_{test}, y_{test})$  using metrics (e.g., accuracy, classification report).

13: **return** Trained Traffic Classification model  $M$

---

2) *The network traffic classifier:* Securing critical infrastructure is paramount, and network security plays a vital role in this endeavour. Attackers often exploit vulnerabilities within network systems, making network traffic analysis a crucial tool for defence. Network traffic is a rich source of data, containing valuable information about ongoing network activity. To effectively identify and mitigate potential threats, we propose the development of a network traffic classifier.

Algorithm 1 illustrates the functioning of Network Traffic Classification. This classifier will leverage real-time network traffic capture, allowing for online analysis of network activity. Algorithm 2 summarises the Malware detection using deep




Fig. 1: Framework for Data Management and Analysis

TABLE III: NSL-KDD Dataset Feature categories with general descriptions

Feature category	Features	Description
Basic Connection	duration, protocol_type, service, flag, src_bytes, dst_bytes, land	Basic attributes of the network connection.
Host-Based	wrong_fragment, urgent, hot, num_failed_logins, logged_in, num_compromised, root_shell, su_attempted, num_root, num_file_creations, num_shells, num_access_files, num_outbound_cmds, is_host_login, is_guest_login	Characteristics related to the behavior of the host system during the connection.
Traffic	count, srv_count, error_rate, srv_error_rate, error_rate, srv_error_rate, same_srv_rate, diff_srv_rate, srv_diff_host_rate, dst_host_count, dst_host_srv_count, dst_host_same_srv_rate, dst_host_diff_srv_rate, dst_host_same_src_port_rate, dst_host_srv_diff_host_rate, dst_host_error_rate, dst_host_srv_error_rate, dst_host_error_rate, dst_host_srv_error_rate	Statistical features and connection rates between hosts and services.

learning. The NSL-KDD dataset, a well-established benchmark for network traffic analysis, will serve as the foundation for training and evaluating our classifier. This dataset comprises connection-based features, offering detailed insights into individual network connections and their characteristics. The NSL-KDD dataset boasts a rich feature set as shown in Table II, consisting of 41 features that capture various aspects of network traffic. Notably, the NSL-KDD dataset encompasses a diverse range of attack types, including 38 different categories. By effectively classifying incoming network traffic in real-time based on this rich feature set, our classifier can significantly enhance network security by enabling prompt identification and mitigation of potential cyber-attacks.

Unlike existing monolithic solutions, this novel approach to network traffic classification by containerizing key components into distinct engines: the Capture Engine, Feature Engine, and Model Classifier, offers modularity and flexibility, enabling users to independently scale and deploy each component according to their specific requirements. This approach streamlines the feature extraction process by automat-

ing feature engineering from PCAP files, facilitating seamless integration with diverse network environments. The network traffic classifier application encompasses a comprehensive and modularised design workflow, tailored to handle the complexities of real-time network traffic analysis within cloud environments. The logical design unfolds through three interconnected components, each contributing crucial functionalities to the overall system. Firstly, the packet capture engine serves as the foundational component, leveraging the Scapy library to capture network packets continuously. Operating within its designated container, this engine listens on specified interfaces, intercepts network traffic, and stores captured packets in PCAP files for subsequent analysis. Secondly, the packet analysis module, encapsulated within another container, reads the captured PCAP files, extracts connection-based statistics, and transforms them into structured datasets suitable for predictive modelling. Utilizing the pre-trained machine learning model during the development phase, it predicts predefined attack labels. Finally, the alerting mechanism, integrated into a separate container, monitors prediction outcomes and trig-




Fig. 2: System Data Flow diagram

gers alerts in real-time upon detecting anomalous network behaviour. These alerts serve as actionable insights for security analysts, enabling timely responses to potential threats. This containerised architecture ensures scalability, flexibility, and isolation, facilitating seamless deployment, management, and scalability of the network traffic classifier application within diverse computing environments.

3) *Web Intrusion Detection System*: This research implements a web intrusion detection system leveraging real-time anomaly detection techniques. Anomaly detection, in the context of network and host security, identifies unusual activity that may signify an attacker's presence. However, traditional anomaly detection often struggles with defining "normal" behaviour, leading to a high rate of false alarms. This research aims to address this challenge by employing AI for anomaly detection within the specific domain of web application security. The system focuses on extracting informative features from standard HTTP server logs. While these logs offer a limited view of overall network traffic, they contain valuable insights into web server activity. By analyzing features given in the table, the system can identify anomalies potentially indicative of various attacks, including those listed in the OWASP Top Ten. The key novelty of this design lies in its real-time deployment and distributed data collection. Each web server can deploy a lightweight agent

responsible for collecting and forwarding logs promptly to the Web Intrusion Detection System (WIDS). This agent-based approach ensures efficient log collection and minimises the impact on individual web servers. The WIDS itself utilises a shared volume accessible by both the virtual machine (VM) and the deployed container. This shared volume facilitates efficient storage and access to the collected logs for real-time analysis.

Anomaly detection identifies unusual activity that deviates from established patterns of normal behaviour. Common approaches include:

- **Statistical Metrics**: Analyzing deviations from statistical properties like mean, standard deviation, or frequency distribution.
- **Unsupervised Machine Learning**: Employing algorithms that learn patterns from unlabeled data to identify outliers.
- **Goodness-of-Fit Tests**: Evaluating how well a data sample fits a pre-defined statistical model.
- **Density-Based Methods**: Identifying anomalies as data points located in low-density regions of the feature space.

This application leverages the Isolation Forest algorithm due to its effectiveness in high-dimensional datasets, often encountered in real-world security applications. Isolation Forest works by isolating potential anomalies by randomly partitioning the data. Instances that are easier to isolate are




Fig. 3: System Detailed Architecture

TABLE IV: Features generated from HTTP Server logs

Feature Name	Description
1-IP-level access statistics	High frequency, periodicity, or volume by a single IP address or subnet is suspicious. Self-referencing paths (./) or backreferences (../) are frequently used in path-traversal attacks. Page accesses with an abnormal referrer URL are often a signal of an unwelcome access to an HTTP endpoint. Out-of-order access to HTTP endpoints that do not correspond to the website's logical flow Alerts on never-before-seen user agent strings or extremely old clients which are likely spoofed.
2-URL string aberrations	
3-Unusual referrer patterns	
4-Sequence of accesses to endpoints	
5-User agent patterns	

likely anomalies, while those requiring deeper partitioning are likely normal data points. A critical aspect of anomaly detection is defining the threshold for flagging data points as anomalies. In this research, a novel approach to determine the contamination ratio is utilised by simulating a specific attack scenario (e.g., path traversal attack) and observing the model's detection rate. This method leverages domain knowledge about potential attacks to optimise the threshold for real-world deployment. Due to the inherent difficulty of acquiring perfectly representative datasets for all scenarios, continuous monitoring and adaptation are essential for robust security. This application prioritises simplicity and efficiency by utilizing a single container. The container performs the following tasks:

- Reads HTTP access logs from web servers.
- Pre-processes the log data by converting it to a pandas data frame for manipulation in Python.

- Generates features mentioned in Table II for anomaly detection from the log data.
- Sends the extracted features to the trained Isolation Forest model for real-time anomaly detection.

To reduce false positives, the application triggers alerts only when the number of detected anomalies exceeds a predefined threshold. This approach is based on the assumption that real-world attacks often involve rapid bursts of activity, leading to a surge in detected anomalies. This design demonstrates a practical approach to deploying AI-powered anomaly detection for web intrusion detection in real-time. By leveraging Isolation Forest and a domain-informed threshold determination method, the system aims to achieve efficient and accurate anomaly detection. Algorithm 3 illustrates the web intrusion detection model using the HTTP access logs.

4) *Malware Analysis System*: Malware analysis, encompassing the investigation of malicious software's functionality,

**Algorithm 2** Malware Classification with LSTM

**Require:** Training data: executable file  
 $(d_1, l_1), (d_2, l_2), \dots, (d_N, l_N)$   
1: where  $d_i$  is a file and  $l_i$  is its label. Number of classes: C  
2: Maximum vocabulary size: V  
3: Maximum sequence length: T  
**Ensure:** Trained malware classification model  $M$   
4: Preprocess text data:  
5: Tokenize files  $(d_i)$  into sequences of integers  $(w_i^1, w_i^2, \dots, w_i^{|d_i|})$ .  
6: Pad sequences to uniform length  $(w_i^1, w_i^2, \dots, w_i^T)$ .  
7: Encode labels: Convert text labels  $(l_i)$  to numerical labels  $(y_i)$ .  
8: Split data into training and testing sets:  $(X_{train}, y_{train}), (X_{test}, y_{test})$ .  
9: Build LSTM model  $M$ :  
10: Define a sequential model.  
11: Add Embedding layer with vocabulary size V and embedding dimension E.  
12: Add LSTM layer with hidden units H.  
13: Add Dense layer with C output units and sigmoid activation for C classes.  
14: Compile model  $M$ :  
15: Set optimizer (e.g., Adam).  
16: Set loss function (binary\_crossentropy for binary classification, categorical\_crossentropy for multi-class).  
17: Set metrics (e.g., accuracy).  
18: Train model  $M$  on  $(X_{train}, y_{train})$  for a desired number of epochs.  
19: Evaluate model  $M$  on  $(X_{test}, y_{test})$  using metrics (e.g., accuracy).  
20: **return** Trained malware classification model  $M$

purpose, origin, and potential impact, traditionally demands extensive manual effort and expertise in software internals and reverse engineering. Our research introduces a novel application that streamlines this process, offering efficient and automated malware analysis capabilities.

TABLE V: Malware Dataset

Dataset		Samples	
Type	Purpose	Count	Percentage
Benignware	Training	694	70%
	Testing	297	30%
Malware	Training	299	70%
	Testing	129	30%

The application development commenced with the implementation of code designed to extract features from training binaries. Feature extraction involves the identification and collection of pertinent data from training binaries, which are then stored within a Python dictionary. For simplicity, this research focused solely on extracting string features. Detailed information regarding the dataset utilised in the study is provided in Table III. Subsequently, a model was trained using the extracted string features from the samples. Various algorithms were explored during the training phase, and the most suitable one was selected based on evaluation metrics

**Algorithm 3** Web Server Logs Anomaly Detection using Isolation Forest

**Require:** Web server log file  $L$   
**Ensure:** Anomaly detection model  $M$   
1: **Preprocess data:**  
2: Read log file  $L$  into a DataFrame  $D$ .  
3: Remove missing values from  $D$ .  
4: **Extract features:**  
5: IP-level statistics: ip\_frequency, Unique\_connections\_count, ip\_volume  
6: URL aberrations: url\_aberrations  
7: Unusual referrer patterns: unusual\_referrer  
8: User-Agent analysis: user\_agent\_analysis (categorical)  
9: Out-of-order access: out\_of\_order\_access  
10: Standardize numerical features using StandardScaler.  
11: **Train Isolation Forest model:**  
12: Create an IsolationForest model  $M$  with:  
13: Max samples:  $N$  (number of samples in  $D$ )  
14: Contamination:  $c$  (estimated outlier ratio)  
15: Random state:  $r$  (for reproducibility)  
16: Train  $M$  on features  $X$  in  $D$ .  
17: **Detect anomalies:**  
18: Use  $M$  to predict anomaly scores  $y_{pred}$  for new data points.  
19: Mark data points with scores below a threshold as anomalies.  
20: **return** Anomaly detection model  $M$

TABLE VI: Performance Comparison of Algorithms for Malware Analysis

Algorithm	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Random Forest	96.47%	96.63%	91.26%	93.87%
Support Vector Machine	91.07%	97.82%	71.42%	82.56%
Logistic Regression	95.07%	99.06%	84.12%	90.98%
Decision Tree	92.25%	96.03%	76.98%	85.46%

such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. To facilitate comparison, Table IV presents a summary of the performance of three different algorithms employed in the study.

The models were trained using Google Colab with T4 GPU acceleration, optimizing computational efficiency. Notably, the application adopts a combined model architecture to mitigate false positives effectively. The primary model in this architecture is a random forest model, complemented by a secondary Keras TensorFlow model. Both models were trained on a dataset obtained from VirusTotal.com, ensuring comprehensive coverage of malware samples. The integration of a deep learning model as the secondary component aims to reduce latency in real-time applications, enhancing the system's responsiveness.

- Random Forest Model: This model provides a relatively fast initial classification with good accuracy.
- Keras TensorFlow Model: This deep learning model offers additional refinement, particularly for complex malware samples.

The deep learning model employs a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network, a type of Recurrent Neural Network






Fig. 4: Keras model parameters

(RNN) well-suited for analyzing sequential data like text. The model begins with an embedding layer that converts discrete words into dense vector representations (32 dimensions in this case). An LSTM layer with 32 hidden units then processes these sequences. Unlike the embedding layer, each hidden unit within the LSTM can be considered a "neuron" that learns and extracts features from the data. Finally, a dense layer with a single output neuron and a sigmoid activation function performs binary classification. This single output neuron leverages the features learned by the LSTM layer to predict the maliciousness of the analysed file.

The system follows a logical flow that begins with the user uploading a file through the application's user interface. Upon submission, the uploaded file undergoes initial processing, where its features are extracted and prepared for classification. Utilizing a pre-trained machine learning model, the system predicts the probability of the file being malicious. If the probability exceeds a threshold of 0.7, indicative of a high likelihood of malicious content, the system immediately classifies the file as "Malicious" and generates a detailed analysis report in PDF format. Conversely, if the probability falls between 0.5 and 0.7, the system invokes a Keras deep learning model for further binary classification. Upon classification completion, the system produces a comprehensive analysis report, facilitating informed decision-making regarding the file's security implications. For files deemed benign based on the classification results, the system provides a "Benign" classification and presents the analysis outcome to the user through a web interface. This approach combines the power of cloud computing, deep learning, and web technologies, offering a robust solution for real-time malware detection and analysis with enhanced user accessibility and efficiency.

The versatility of the application enables its utilization in diverse scenarios. Firstly, it serves as a web service, allowing

online users to upload executable files for malware analysis. The application swiftly determines the maliciousness of the files and provides results to the users. Secondly, it functions as an API, facilitating the scanning of storage buckets deployed in cloud environments. This multi-faceted approach ensures the broad applicability and practicality of the developed malware analysis solution, catering to varying user requirements and deployment environments.

### B. System Implementation

While prior research has explored the potential of AI/ML for cyber security through theoretical frameworks, there is a scarcity of studies demonstrating practical implementation. This gap is addressed in this research by deploying a trained AI/ML system in a real-time cybersecurity environment. This deployment attempts to bridge the theory-practice divide in this domain. The system is implemented in a public cloud environment to address the challenges in three main areas in cyber security. This real-time deployment allows for faster threat detection and response, potentially leading to improved security posture. This research deployed three AI applications in a real-world cyber security environment to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical implementation.

- **Web Intrusion Detection:** A single container, deployed on a virtual machine (VM), analyses web server access logs for anomalies. This simple deployment prioritises ease of maintenance for this initial application.
- **Malware Analysis:** A Flask application, deployed on Kubernetes Engine, classifies uploaded executable files (exe) as benign or malware. This containerised approach allows for easier scaling and updating of the model as needed.
- **Network Traffic Classifier:** This application, deployed using multiple Docker containers on a single VM, analyses network traffic captured as PCAP data for real-time attack detection. Containerization again facilitates model updates and simplifies deployment.

The deployment strategy leverages containerization (e.g., Docker) for several advantages:

- **Scalability:** Containers enable easy scaling of computational resources to meet changing demands.
- **Simplified Updates:** Containerised models streamline updates, reducing downtime and improving maintenance efficiency.
- **Portability:** A single trained model can be deployed across diverse cloud environments by pulling the container image from a central registry like Docker Hub. This simplifies multi-cloud deployments.

However, it is important to acknowledge the potential challenges associated with deployment. While containerization offers a robust deployment strategy, real-world implementation presents potential challenges. A critical factor is ensuring the deployed model's throughput meets the demands of real-time operation. The production system's hardware platform (CPU, GPU, memory) must provide sufficient computational resources to handle the processing demands. Careful consideration of these resource requirements is essential to avoid

TABLE VII: Neural Network Architecture

Layer	Function	Neurons	Activation Function
Embedding	Converts words to dense vectors	max_words (adjustable)	N/A (vector representation)
LSTM	Captures long-range dependencies in sequences	32	Sigmoid, tanh, or ReLU (default: sigmoid)
Dense	Performs final binary classification	1	Sigmoid

TABLE VIII: Summary of our AI-Enabled System contribution to Cyber Incident Response

Security Problem	Application	Algorithm	Dataset	Contribution
Attack Detection	Network Traffic Classifier	Random Forest	NSL-KDD	<b>End-to-end Solution:</b> An end-to-end solution for network traffic classification encompassing feature extraction from PCAP and the classification model itself. <b>Automation:</b> Time and labour-efficient Automation of feature extraction from PCAP files by implementing a feature engineering container. <b>Real-time Analysis:</b> Real-time analysis allows an immediate detection and response to network threats.
Anomaly Detection	Web Intrusion Detection	Isolation Forest	Private Dataset	<b>Distributed Data Collection:</b> The deployment of lightweight agents on individual web servers for efficient log collection and forwarding to the central Web Intrusion Detection System (WIDS). This distributed data collection method minimises the impact on individual web servers while facilitating real-time analysis. Dynamic <b>Contamination Ratio Determination:</b> Methodology to optimise the model's sensitivity to anomalies by dynamically determining the contamination ratio for anomaly detection models by simulating attacks and observing the model's detection rate. <b>Threshold-Based Alarm Triggering:</b> Threshold-based approach to trigger alarms only when the number of detected anomalies exceeds the preset value and this minimises unnecessary alerts (false positives) by prioritizing significant deviations from normal behaviour. <b>Feature Engineering from HTTP Logs:</b> Novel approach to feature engineering tailored to HTTP server logs, resulting in the creation of five features that capture relevant aspects of web server activity and enable the detection of breaches with high accuracy and efficiency.
Malware Detection	Malware Analysis System	Hybrid (RF & Keras)	VirusTotal	<b>Combined Model Architecture:</b> Hybrid architecture consisting of a random forest model and a secondary Keras TensorFlow models mitigating false positives by leveraging the strengths of both models. <b>Utilization of LSTM Network for Deep Learning:</b> Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network, a type of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) suitable for analyzing sequential data like text allows the model to effectively capture temporal dependencies in the data, enhancing its ability to detect subtle patterns indicative of malicious behaviour. <b>Real-Time Analysis with User Interface:</b> user-friendly web interface that allows users to upload executable files for real-time malware analysis. the process is streamed including file features analysis, maliciousness prediction, and detailed analysis report generation.

bottlenecks and maintain the effectiveness of the AI system in a real-time cybersecurity environment.

Furthermore, as the demands on the AI system grow, on-premises infrastructure may struggle to keep pace. Cloud platforms offer an excellent solution for scaling computational resources to meet these growing needs. Cloud environments can also be particularly adept at deploying artificial neural networks, which often have significant computational requirements for both training and inference. cloud platforms offer additional benefits for real-world AI deployments. Cloud environments facilitate red-green deployments, allowing for seamless model updates with zero downtime. Additionally, many cloud providers offer Machine Learning specific services for training, deployment, and management of AI models. While this research utilised core cloud services for deployment demonstration, these specialised services can further streamline the process. Finally, infrastructure as code tools like Terraform can automate the deployment process in cloud environments. This ensures consistent and repeatable deployments, minimizing human error and configuration inconsistencies. By leveraging containerization, cloud platforms, and infrastructure automation, this research establishes a robust and scalable framework for deploying AI systems in real-world cybersecurity applications.

## IV. RESULTS

### A. Network Traffic Classifier

During development, various machine learning models were evaluated on the NSL-KDD dataset to identify the most effective approach for classifying network traffic patterns. The Random Forest algorithm emerged as the frontrunner with an accuracy of 90.92%, outperforming Logistic Regression (85.75%), Decision Tree (87.13%), KNN (85.34%), and Naive Bayes (51.58%). To leverage this performance, the application was deployed using a modular containerised approach. Three separate containers handled packet capturing, feature engineering, and classification.

Following deployment, a comprehensive evaluation assessed the system's real-world capabilities. A simulated security threat was implemented using a TCP SYN scan attack launched by Nikto, a web vulnerability scanner, against an Azure cloud server. This attack, commonly used by hackers to probe potential targets, was successfully identified by the network traffic classifier. The system classified the attack as "Neptune," a half-opened TCP SYN attack type present in the NSL-KDD dataset. Additionally, the system generated an immediate alert and logged the event, demonstrating its effectiveness in real-time detection and response to anomalous network activity. It's important to note that the models were trained on a dataset encompassing 37 different attack types,




Fig. 5: Keras model ROC curve




Fig. 6: Random Forest ROC Curve

while the evaluation focused on identifying 22 specific attack categories.

### B. Web Intrusion Detection

Our research investigated the effectiveness of anomaly detection for web intrusion prevention. A key parameter,




Fig. 7: Contamination 0.01




Fig. 8: Contamination 0.001

contamination, was explored to determine the model's sensitivity to anomalous traffic patterns. We evaluated different contamination levels (0.01 and 0.001) to assess the model's ability to differentiate normal website traffic from potential attacks (Figures 7 and 8).

Following model training with features extracted from HTTP logs (as detailed in the methodology section), the system was integrated and deployed on the Azure cloud environment. A real-world simulation involved generating live traffic targeting a public website's IP address. The system effectively detected a simulated directory traversal attack launched using the Nikto tool on port 80. This detection triggered immediate logging and email notification, demonstrating the system's proficiency in real-time intrusion identification and response.

### C. Malware Analysis System

Various metrics were employed to evaluate the performance of the malware analysis system, including ROC curves, accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. The Random Forest algorithm exhibited an accuracy of 96%, while the Keras model achieved an even higher accuracy of 99%. Additionally, the dual model malware analysis was deployed as a Flask Application. Practical testing involved uploading an IRC Bot executable file to simulate real-world scenarios. The system accurately predicted the presence of malware and generated a detailed Static Analysis PDF report. Furthermore, the system successfully identified benign applications, such as a Minesweeper executable game file. The dual-model approach, coupled with successful practical testing, highlights the malware analysis system's capability to reliably identify and report on malicious entities while maintaining high accuracy in distinguishing benign software.

## V. DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the testing phase serve as crucial indicators of the overall effectiveness of the implemented system. Notably, the Random Forest algorithm utilised in both applications demonstrates impressive accuracy in both multi-class and binary classification tasks. Moreover, the accuracy

achieved by the Keras deep learning model surpasses that of the Random Forest algorithm, reaching an impressive 99%. However, due to its high compute resource utilization during the prediction of new data points, the deep learning model is relegated to a reserve role in the dual-model approach. In the context of the Network Traffic Classifier, this research introduced a novel way to perform real-time feature engineering on the NSL-KDD dataset, enhancing the system's ability to extract relevant features and improve classification accuracy. Additionally, in the Web Intrusion Detection application, unique features were engineered based on HTTP log files, further augmenting the system's capabilities and contributing to its uniqueness.

The incorporation of static analysis automation into the system streamlines processes and saves valuable time. The static analysis report, which includes the assembly code of the binary file, provides a comprehensive basis for further investigation. In summary, the malware analysis system, employing a dual-model approach, effectively integrates deep learning models and reduces false positives. Similarly, the Isolation Forest model in the web intrusion anomaly detection system exhibits robustness across varying contamination parameters, highlighting its adaptability to diverse threat scenarios. Despite these successes, challenges remain, particularly concerning the Network Traffic Classifier's robustness in real-time classification. The modular architecture of containerised applications effectively addresses scalability and administration challenges, showcasing the versatility of container technology in deploying AI/ML applications. Deploying various AI/ML applications in containers with different architectures underscores the flexibility and suitability of this deployment method.

While initial results are promising, continuous model updates and rigorous testing are imperative to ensure effectiveness against evolving cyber threats. Moreover, the scalability of the designed system allows for the integration of new AI/ML models, expanding its capabilities in various cybersecurity areas. Deploying all models within a designated subnet enhances security and streamlines administration, with different cloud providers offering diverse solutions for AI/ML deployment. However, despite thorough research, several limitations and challenges impact study outcomes, including uncertainties associated with real-world threats, detection of outliers, false positives, and limitations in training data availability. Additionally, constraints such as the need for TPU-powered VM instances for training deep learning models pose challenges in continuous model training and exploration. By acknowledging and addressing these limitations, the research contributes to enhancing the credibility and practical understanding of AI/ML applications in cyber security.

## VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we presented a comprehensive exploration of the practical use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques in the context of cyber security, focusing on their integration into incident response systems within cloud environments. Through the development and deployment of a cyber threat defence system, which included a network traffic classifier application,

malware analysis application, and web intrusion detection system, we demonstrated the practical application of AI/ML in enhancing cyber security capabilities. Our research highlighted the potential of AI/ML to address emerging cyber threats and improve the efficiency and effectiveness of cyber incident investigations. By deploying the system on both Google Cloud and Microsoft Azure platforms, we showcased the scalability and versatility of AI-powered cyber security solutions in cloud environments.

Furthermore, the integration of a T-pot for continuous development of models and the ELK Stack for log gathering and visualization emphasised the importance of comprehensive data analysis and visualization in cyber security operations. These tools, combined with AI/ML techniques, offer a holistic approach to cyber security that enables proactive threat detection and rapid incident response. Overall, our findings underscore the critical role of AI/ML in modern cyber security and highlight the need for continued research and development in this field. As cyber threats continue to evolve, leveraging advanced technologies such as AI and ML will be essential for staying ahead of adversaries and ensuring the security of digital assets and infrastructure.

## FOOTNOTES

### *Ethical Approval*

This research received University of Westminster Ethical Committee Approval.

### *Funding*

No Funding.

### *Availability of data and materials*

Code generated for this research are made publically available on GitHub <https://github.com/Ashfaq98/Periscope-AI>.

### *Competing Interests*

The authors declare that they have no known competing interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] gov.uk, Official statistics security breaches survey 2023, 19 April 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/cyber-security-breaches-survey-2023/cyber-security-breaches-survey-2023>. [Accessed: 03- Apr- 2024].
- [2] ibm.com, Cost of a Data Breach Report 2023, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ibm.com/reports/data-breach>. [Accessed: 03- Apr- 2024].
- [3] Rizvi, S., Scanlon, M., Mcgibney, J. and Sheppard, J., 2022. Application of artificial intelligence to network forensics: Survey, challenges and future directions. *Ieee Access*, 10, pp.110362-110384. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3214506>
- [4] Pichan, A., Lazarescu, M. and Soh, S.T., 2015. Cloud forensics: Technical challenges, solutions and comparative analysis. *Digital investigation*, 13, pp.38-57
- [5] Simou, S., Kalloniatis, C., Gritzalis, S. and Mouratidis, H., 2016. A survey on cloud forensics challenges and solutions. *Security and Communication Networks*, 9(18), pp.6285-6314.
- [6] Purnaye, P. and Kulkarni, V., 2022. A comprehensive study of cloud forensics. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 29(1), pp.33-46.

- [7] De Arroyabe, I.F., Arranz, C.F., Arroyabe, M.F. and de Arroyabe, J.C.F., 2023. Cybersecurity capabilities and cyber-attacks as drivers of investment in cybersecurity systems: A UK survey for 2018 and 2019. *Computers & Security*, 124, p.102954.
- [8] Stelly, C. and Roussev, V. (2017). SCARF: A container-based approach to cloud-scale digital forensic processing. *Digital Investigation*, [online] 22, pp.S39–S47. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2017.06.008>.
- [9] Stelly, C. and Roussev, V., 2019, August. Language-based integration of digital forensics & incident response. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security* (pp. 1-6).
- [10] Hemdan, E.E.-D. and Manjaiah, D.H. (2021). An efficient digital forensic model for cybercrime investigation in cloud computing. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-020-10358-x>.
- [11] Dykstra, J. and Sherman, A.T. (2013). Design and implementation of FROST: Digital forensic tools for the OpenStack cloud computing platform. *Digital Investigation*, [online] 10, pp.S87–S95. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2013.06.010>.
- [12] Zewdie, Temechu Girma. (2020). IoT Security and the Role of AI/ML to Combat Emerging Cyber Threats in Cloud Computing Environment. *Issues In Information Systems: Issues in Information Systems*, 21(4).
- [13] Dunsin, D., Ghanem, M., Ouazzane, K. and Vassilev, V. (2024) . A comprehensive analysis of the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in modern digital forensics and incident response, *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, Volume 48, 2024,301675, ISSN 2666-2817, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsidi.2023.301675>.
- [14] Dunsin, D., Ghanem, M., Ouazzane, K., Dunsin, D., Ghanem, M. and Ouazzane, K. (2022). The use of artificial intelligence in digital forensics and incident response (DFIR) in a constrained environment. [online] Available at: <https://repository.londonmet.ac.uk/7708/>.
- [15] Du, X., Hargreaves, C., Sheppard, J., Anda, F., Sayakkara, A., Le-Khac, N.-A. and Scanlon, M. (2020). SoK: Exploring the State of the Art and the Future Potential of Artificial Intelligence in Digital Forensic Investigation. *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security*, [online] pp.1–10. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1145/3407023.3407068>.
- [16] A. M. Qadir and A. Varol, "The Role of Machine Learning in Digital Forensics," 2020 8th International Symposium on Digital Forensics and Security (ISDFS), Beirut, Lebanon, 2020, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ISDFS49300.2020.9116298.
- [17] Hilmand, K., Sarmad, H. and Bakht, M. (2021). A survey of machine learning applications in digital forensics. *Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, pp.020–024. doi:<https://doi.org/10.17352/tcsit.000034>.
- [18] Nanda, S. and Hansen, R.A., 2016, July. Forensics as a service: Three-tier architecture for cloud-based forensic analysis. In *2016 15th International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Computing (ISPDC)* (pp. 178-183). IEEE.
- [19] Rughani, P.H. (2017). ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED DIGITAL FORENSICS FRAMEWORK. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science*, 8(8), pp.10–14. <https://doi.org/10.26483/ijarcs.v8i8.4571>.
- [20] Alex, M. Edington and R. Kishore. "Forensics framework for cloud computing." *Comput. Electr. Eng.* 60 (2017): 193-205.
- [21] A. Patrascu, V. Patriciu, "Logging System for Cloud Computing Forensic Environments." *Journal of Control engineering and applied informatics*, Vol 16, Number 1 (2014): 193-205.
- [22] GitHub. (2020). *telekom-security/tpotce*. [online] Available at: <https://github.com/telekom-security/tpotce>.
- [23] [www.unb.ca](http://www.unb.ca). (n.d.). NSL-KDD | Datasets | Research | Canadian Institute for Cybersecurity | UNB. [online] Available at: <https://www.unb.ca/cic/datasets/nsl.html>.
- [24] Dunsin, D., Ghanem, M C. and Ouazzane, K. (2022) The use of artificial intelligence in digital forensics and incident response in a constrained environment. *International Journal of Information and Communication Engineering*, 16 (8), pp. 280-285. ISSN 2010-4057,
- [25] Xiaoyu Du, Chris Hargreaves, John Sheppard, Felix Anda, Asanka Sayakkara, Nhien-An Le-Khac, and Mark Scanlon. 2020. SoK: exploring the state of the art and the future potential of artificial intelligence in digital forensic investigation. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security (ARES '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 46, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3407023.3407068>
- [26] Balushi, Y.A., Shaker, H. and Kumar, B. (2023). The Use of Machine Learning in Digital Forensics: Review Paper. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Innovation in Information Technology and Business (ICIITB 2022)*, [online] pp.96–113. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-110-4-9>.
- [27] Ghanem, M.C., Mulvihill, P., Ouazzane, K., Djemai, R. and Dunsin, D., 2023. D2WFP: a novel protocol for forensically identifying, extracting, and analysing deep and dark web browsing activities. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 3(4), pp.808-829. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/jcp3040036>.
- [28] Kendrick, P., Hussain, A.J., Criado, N. (2016). Multi-agent Systems for Dynamic Forensic Investigation. In: Huang, DS., Bevilacqua, V., Premaratne, P. (eds) *Intelligent Computing Theories and Application. ICIC 2016. Lecture Notes in Computer Science()*, vol 9771. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42291-6\\_79](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42291-6_79)
- [29] Zewdie, T.G. and Girma, A., 2020. IoT Security and The Role of AI/ML to Combat Emerging Cyber Threats in Cloud Computing Environment. *Issues in Information Systems*, 21(4).
- [30] Baptista, I., Shiaeles, S. and Kolokotronis, N. (n.d.). A Novel Malware Detection System Based on Machine Learning and Binary Visualization | IEEE Conference Publication | IEEE Xplore. [online] [ieeexplore.ieee.org](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org). Available at: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8757060>.



Dr Mohamed Chahine Ghanem is an Associate Professor in Cyber Security and AI, the Deputy Director of the Cyber Security Research Centre/ London Metropolitan University, and a Senior Fellow in Cyber Security at the University of Liverpool. Dr Ghanem serves as the Chair of the Subject Standards Board and Program Manager for the MSc Cyber Security. Before joining Academia, Dr Ghanem earned solid industry experience with over 15 years of practice in mid-senior positions in Law enforcement and corporations mainly acting as Principal Cyber Investigator and Risk Auditor. Dr Ghanem holds an Engineering Degree (MEng) in Computer Science, an MSc in Digital Forensics & IT Security with Distinction and a PhD in Cyber Security Engineering from the City, University of London. Dr Ghanem is a Senior Fellow of HEA (SFHEA) and holds a PGCert in Academic Practice and a PGDip in Security Studies. Chahine has over 15 years of experience in digital forensics and incident response at law enforcement and a corporate level and earned many certificates such as CISSP, GCFE, GICSP, GWAPT, ACE, and CPCI. Dr Ghanem is currently leading the research in applied AI for Cyber Security and Digital Forensics and supervising research students on topics related to digital forensics, IoT, offensive cyber security and applied AI and published numerous research papers in top-quality journals.