

A combinatorial interpretation of Mahonian numbers of type B

Hasan Arslan^{a,1},

^a*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Erciyes University, 38039, Kayseri, Turkey*

¹*hasanarslan@erciyes.edu.tr*

Abstract

In this paper, we first introduce the number of signed permutations with exactly k inversions, which is denoted by $i_B(n, k)$ and called *Mahonian numbers of type B* . Then we provide a recurrence relation for the Mahonian numbers $i_B(n, k)$. In addition, we give an explicit recursive description for the summation of inversions of all permutations in the hyperoctahedral group B_n , denoted by \mathcal{B}_n . Furthermore, we enumerate the total number of inversions in permutations in the hyperoctahedral group B_n concretely with the help of an inversion statistic and a backward permutation concepts on B_n .

Keywords: Mahonian numbers, hyperoctahedral group, inversion number.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A05, 05A15, 05A30.

1 Introduction

The main aim of this paper is to introduce Mahonian numbers of type B , which is defined to be the number of permutations having k inversions and is denoted by $i_B(n, k)$. We will then investigate some combinatorial properties of these numbers by means of the method depending more on an inversion statistic defined on the hyperoctahedral group B_n . Of particular interest here are that $i_B(n, k)$ satisfies the recurrence for all $n \geq 2$

$$i_B(n, k) = \sum_{i=\max\{0, k-2n+1\}}^{\min\{k, (n-1)^2\}} i_B(n-1, i)$$

and that the total number of inversions in all permutations in the group B_n for all $n \geq 2$ is equal to $2^{n-1}n^2n!$.

Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} be the sets of integers and non-negative integers, respectively. We will be interested in three sets of integers, that is, $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $[m, n] := \{m, m+1, \dots, n\}$ and $\langle n \rangle := \{-n, \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The group of all the permutations of $[n]$ is the symmetric group S_n . Let $\beta = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_n$ be a one-line presentation of $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_n \end{pmatrix} \in S_n$ to mean that $\beta_i = \beta(i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. As is well-known from [12], the inversion number, the descent set and the major index of β are respectively defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}(\beta) &= |\{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < j \text{ and } \beta_i > \beta_j\}| \\ \text{Des}(\beta) &= \{i \in [n-1] : \beta_i > \beta_{i+1}\} \\ \text{maj}(\beta) &= \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(\beta)} i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I(n, k) := \{\beta \in S_n : \text{inv}(\beta) = k\}$ for any two integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ and let $i(n, k) = |I(n, k)|$. More precisely, $i(n, k)$ is the number of permutations having k inversions and is called as *the classical Mahonian numbers*. Actually, $i(n, k)$ counts the number of different ways of distributing " k " balls among " $n-1$ " boxes such that the j th box contains at most " $n-j$ " balls. Denote by \mathcal{A}_n the sum of inversions of all elements β in S_n , that is, $\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{\beta \in S_n} \text{inv}(\beta)$. It is well-known from [7] that $\mathcal{A}_n = \frac{n!}{2} \binom{n}{2}$ for $n \geq 1$ and it has a recurrence relation for all $n \geq 2$

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \frac{n!(n-1)}{2} + n\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$$

with the initial condition $\mathcal{A}_1 = 0$.

MacMahon proved in [9] that the inversion statistic "inv" is equi-distributed with the major index "maj" over the symmetric group S_n , that is,

$$\sum_{\beta \in S_n} q^{\text{inv}(\beta)} = \sum_{\beta \in S_n} q^{\text{maj}(\beta)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1-q^i}{1-q} \quad (1)$$

where q is an indeterminate. Considering that the element with the maximum number of inversions in S_n is $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\text{inv}(\beta) = \binom{n}{2}$, the equation (1) can be expressed as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\binom{n}{2}} i(n, k) q^k = (1+q)(1+q+q^2) \cdots (1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{n-1}). \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, $i(n, k)$ is equal to zero when $k < 0$ or $k > \binom{n}{2}$. As a matter of fact, the classical Mahonian numbers coincide with the coefficients of the terms of the polynomial given in the equation (2). Due to [7], the classical Mahonian numbers have the symmetry property and longitudinal recurrence relation given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Indong and Peralta, [7]). *For all $n > 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2}$, we have*

$$i(n, k) = i(n, \binom{n}{2} - k)$$

and

$$i(n, k) = \sum_{j=\max\{0, k-n+1\}}^{\min\{k, \binom{n-1}{2}\}} i(n-1, j).$$

One can create Table 1, which is known as *the Mahonian triangle* and appears in Sloane [11] as A008302, with the help of the equation (2).

Table 1: The Mahonian triangle

$n \setminus k$	\mathcal{A}_n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	0	1															
2	1	1	1														
3	9	1	2	2	1												
4	72	1	3	5	6	5	3	1									
5	600	1	4	9	15	20	22	20	15	9	4	1					
6	5400	1	5	14	29	49	71	90	101	101	90	71	49	29	14	5	1

Ghemit and Ahmia in [6] introduced a q -analogue of the classical Mahonian numbers. Moreover, they provided lattice path and tiling interpretations of q -Mahonian numbers and then investigated some combinatorial properties of q -Mahonian numbers such as q -log-concavity in k and n .

Now let $S_0(x) = 1 + x^3 + 5x^4 + 22x^5 + 90x^6 + 359x^7 + 1415x^8 + \dots$ represent the generating function for the Mahonian numbers $i(n, n)$ and let $C(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$ denote the generating function for the Catalan numbers, $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$. Let $S_j(x)$ be the generating function for the Mahonian numbers $i(n, n - j)$ for a fixed non-negative integer j , namely

$$S_j(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} i(n, n - j) x^n.$$

In [4], the authors proved that the generating function $S_j(x)$ for the Mahonian numbers $i(n, n - j)$ is precisely equal to

$$(xC(x))^j S_0(x). \tag{3}$$

Following [1] we let, $\gamma_0 := t_1$ and $\gamma_i := s_i s_{i-1} \cdots s_1 t_1 \in B_n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus, the collection $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}\}$ is a different set of generators for B_n and any $w \in B_n$ has a unique expression

$$w = \gamma_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \cdots \gamma_2^{k_2} \gamma_1^{k_1} \gamma_0^{k_0}$$

with $0 \leq k_i \leq 2i+1$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. *Flag-major index* was defined for the group B_n as follows (see [1]): Let $w \in B_n$. Then

$$fmaj(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i.$$

It is well-known from [1] that the flag-major index is Mahonian, that is,

$$\sum_{w \in B_n} q^{fmaj(w)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - q^{2i}}{1 - q}.$$

The *inversion table* of $w \in B_n$ is defined to be the sequence $Inv_B(w) = (inv_1(w) : \cdots : inv_n(w))$, where $inv_i(w)$ is called i -inversion of the permutation w for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. We denote the sum of i -inversions of the permutation $w \in B_n$ by $inv_B(w)$, namely $inv_B(w) := \sum_{i=1}^n inv_i(w)$. Note that inv_B agrees with the length function defined with respect to the generating set S on B_n . The inversion table of w can be easily constructed with the help of the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *For $w = \beta \prod_{k=1}^n t_k^{r_k} \in B_n$, we have*

$$inv_i(w) = r_{n+1-i} + 2 \cdot |\{(j, n+1-i) : j < n+1-i, \beta_j < \beta_{n+1-i}, r_{n+1-i} \neq 0\}| + inv_i(\beta) \quad (4)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $inv_i(\beta) = |\{(j, n+1-i) : j < n+1-i, \beta_j > \beta_{n+1-i}\}|$ in S_n and $r_{n+1-i} \in \{0, 1\}$. More precisely, $inv_i(w) = 1 + 2 \cdot |\{(j, n+1-i) : j < n+1-i, \beta_j < \beta_{n+1-i}\}| + inv_i(\beta)$ when $r_{n+1-i} = 1$ and $inv_i(w) = inv_i(\beta)$ when $r_{n+1-i} = 0$.

Theorem 2.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.5 in [2] (the case $m = 2$). We here note that $inv_i(w) \in [0, 2(n-i) + 1]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Example 2.2. Let $w = \left(\frac{1}{7} \ \frac{2}{3} \ \frac{-3}{-2} \ \frac{4}{8} \ \frac{-5}{-6} \ \frac{-6}{-4} \ \frac{-7}{-1} \ \frac{8}{5}\right) \in B_8$. Considering the equation (4) we obtain the inversion table of w as $Inv_B(w) = (3 : 7 : 8 : 7 : 0 : 3 : 1 : 0)$, and so $inv_B(w) = 29$.

If we specifically take $m = 2$ in Proposition 4.12 of [2], we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{2,n} &= \{(a_1 : \cdots : a_n) : 0 \leq a_i \leq 2(n-i+1) - 1, i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= [0, 2n-1] \times [0, 2n-3] \times \cdots \times [0, 3] \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

The correspondence $w \mapsto Inv(w)$ gives a bijection between B_n and $\mathcal{T}_{2,n}$.

3 A partition of the hyperoctahedral group

Any two permutations σ_1 and σ_2 in B_n are related, written $\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2$, if and only if $\sigma_1(n) = \sigma_2(n)$. It can be verified that \sim is an equivalence relation on B_n . The equivalence relation \sim give rises to equivalence classes $C_j = \{\sigma \in B_n : \sigma(n) = j\}$ of B_n , where $j = -n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n$. It is clear that $|C_j| = 2^{n-1}(n-1)!$ for all $j = -n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n$. Thus we have a decomposition $B_n = \bigsqcup_{j=-n}^n C_j$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n \sum_{\sigma \in C_j} inv_B(\sigma) \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{B}_n represents the total number of inversions of all permutations in B_n , namely $\mathcal{B}_n = \sum_{w \in B_n} inv_B(w)$. Let $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \dots & \sigma(n-1) & j \end{pmatrix} \in C_j$ and a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} be an arrangement of elements of $[n] \setminus \{j\}$ in increasing order. Now we associate σ with the permutation τ , which is defined by

$$\tau := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \dots & \sigma(n-1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that τ is a signed permutation of $[n] \setminus \{j\}$. We will denote by $\mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})$ the group of all the signed permutation of the set $[n] \setminus \{j\}$. In addition, if we define

$$\sigma_{\tau,j} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ \tau(a_1) & \tau(a_2) & \dots & \tau(a_{n-1}) & j \end{pmatrix}$$

then we see that $\sigma = \sigma_{\tau,j}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, we conclude that

$$inv_B(\sigma) = \begin{cases} n - j + inv_B(\tau) & j > 0 \\ n - j - 1 + inv_B(\tau) & j < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (6)$$

Based on the equations (5) and (6), we will give a recursive formula for \mathcal{B}_n in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *We have $\mathcal{B}_1 = 1$ and for all $n \geq 2$*

$$\mathcal{B}_n = 2^{n-1}n!(2n-1) + 2n\mathcal{B}_{n-1}.$$

Proof. Since $B_1 = \{e, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\}$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}_1 = 1$. Now suppose $n \geq 2$.

Case 1. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\sigma \in C_j} inv_B(\sigma) &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})} inv_B(\sigma_{\tau,j}) \\
&= \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})} ((n-j) + inv_B(\tau)) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-j) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})} inv_B(\tau) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-j) + \mathcal{B}_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in C_j} inv_B(\sigma) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (2^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-j) + \mathcal{B}_{n-1}) \\
&= 2^{n-2}n!(n-1) + n\mathcal{B}_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. For each $j = -n, \dots, -1$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\sigma \in C_j} inv_B(\sigma) &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})} inv_B(\sigma_{\tau,j}) \\
&= \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})} ((n-j-1) + inv_B(\tau)) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-j-1) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{j\})} inv_B(\tau) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-j-1) + \mathcal{B}_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=-n}^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in C_j} inv_B(\sigma) &= \sum_{j=-n}^{-1} (2^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-j-1) + \mathcal{B}_{n-1}) \\
&= 2^{n-2}n!(3n-1) + n\mathcal{B}_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Considering Case 1 and Case 2 together, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_n &= \sum_{j=-n}^n \sum_{\sigma \in C_j} inv_B(\sigma) \\
&= 2^{n-2}n!(n-1) + n\mathcal{B}_{n-1} + 2^{n-2}n!(3n-1) + n\mathcal{B}_{n-1} \\
&= 2^{n-1}n!(2n-1) + 2n\mathcal{B}_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

4 Mahonian numbers of type B

For any two integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, let $I_B(n, k) := \{w \in B_n : \text{inv}_B(w) = k\}$ and $i_B(n, k) = |I_B(n, k)|$. In other words, $i_B(n, k)$ represents the number of signed permutations having k inversions and in turn is called as *Mahonian numbers of type B*. Hence, we can interpret these Mahonian numbers combinatorially as follows:

Combinatorial interpretation: $i_B(n, k)$ counts the number of ways to place " k " balls into " n " boxes such that the j th box contains at most " $2(n - j) + 1$ " balls.

The element of B_n having maximum number of inversions is unique and it is expressed by the following form:

$$w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & \cdots & -(n-1) & -n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be easily from Theorem 2.1 seen that $\text{inv}_B(w_0) = n^2$. For this reason, we deduce that $i_B(n, k) = 0$ when $k < 0$ or $k > n^2$. By [2], we can write

$$\sum_{w \in B_n} q^{\text{inv}_B(w)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - q^{2i}}{1 - q} \quad (7)$$

and so we say that the inversion statistic " inv_B " and the flag-major index " fmaj " are equi-distributed over B_n . We can restate the equation (7) as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n^2} i_B(n, k) q^k = (1 + q)(1 + q + q^2 + q^3) \cdots (1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + \cdots + q^{2n-1}). \quad (8)$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n^2} i_B(n, k) k$. Table 2 can be established by using the equation (8) and we will call this table as *the Mahonian triangle of type B*. This table also appears in Sloane [11] as A128084.

Table 2: The Mahonian triangle of type B

$n \setminus k$	\mathcal{B}_n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
1	1	1	1																									
2	16	1	2	2	2	1																						
3	216	1	3	5	7	8	8	7	5	3	1																	
4	3072	1	4	9	16	24	32	39	44	46	44	39	32	24	16	9	4	1										
5	48000	1	5	14	30	54	86	125	169	215	259	297	325	340	340	325	297	259	215	169	125	86	54	30	14	5	1	

Based on Proposition 2.3, we can immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.1. For each $0 \leq k \leq n^2$, there exists an element w of B_n such that $\text{inv}_B(w) = k$.

Theorem 4.2. For each $0 \leq k \leq n^2$, we have $i_B(n, n^2 - k) = i_B(n, k)$.

Proof. Let $P_1 = \{w \in B_n : \text{inv}_B(w) = k\}$ and $P_2 = \{w \in B_n : \text{inv}_B(w) = n^2 - k\}$. By Corollary 4.1, it is clear that P_1 and P_2 are both nonempty. Thus the mapping $B : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$, $B(w) = w_0w$ is clearly bijective since $\text{inv}_B(w_0w) = n^2 - \text{inv}_B(w)$ for all $w \in B_n$. Therefore, we get $|P_1| = |P_2|$ and $i_B(n, n^2 - k) = i_B(n, k)$. \square

As a result of Theorem 4.2, we observe that Mahonian numbers of type B are palindromic in k .

Theorem 4.3. For each $0 \leq k \leq n^2$, we have the following recurrence relation

$$i_B(1, 0) = i_B(1, 1) = 1$$

and for all $n \geq 2$

$$i_B(n, k) = \sum_{i=\max\{0, k-2n+1\}}^{\min\{k, (n-1)^2\}} i_B(n-1, i). \quad (9)$$

Proof. It is clear that $i_B(1, 0) = i_B(1, 1) = 1$. Now suppose $n \geq 2$, $\sigma \in I_B(n, k)$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_j$. Since $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_j$, then there exists a unique $\tau \in \mathbf{P}([n] \setminus \{|j|\})$ such that $\sigma = \sigma_{\tau, j}$. Therefore, we have $\text{inv}_B(\sigma_{\tau, j}) = \begin{cases} n - j + \text{inv}_B(\tau) & j > 0 \\ n - j - 1 + \text{inv}_B(\tau) & j < 0 \end{cases}$ by the equation (6). There always exists i such that $\text{inv}_B(\tau) = i$ and $\text{inv}_B(\sigma_{\tau, j}) = k$ for a given value j . Clearly, we have $0 \leq i \leq (n-1)^2$. As a result of this fact, $i_B(n, k)$ can be created by summing $i_B(n-1, i)$ for all values of i that we found.

Case 1. Let $j > 0$. Then we determine two upper bounds for i as $i = k + j - n \leq k$ and $i \leq (n-1)^2$. Thus we obtain $i \leq \min\{k, (n-1)^2\}$. Since i must be non-negative and $i = k + j - n \geq k - n + 1$, then we conclude $i \geq \max\{0, k - n + 1\}$.

Case 2. If $j < 0$, then we have $i = k + j + 1 - n \leq k - n$ and $i \leq (n-1)^2$. Thus we deduce $i \leq \min\{k - n, (n-1)^2\}$. Since i must be non-negative and $i = k + j + 1 - n \geq k - 2n + 1$, then we have $i \geq \max\{0, k - 2n + 1\}$.

In calculation process of $i_B(n, k)$, we need to find the number of all signed permutations with exactly k inversions. Therefore, we will first determine the contribution to the desired sum of the permutations such that each of them has k inversions and such that $j > 0$. From Case 1, these permutations contribute $i_B(n-1, i)$ to the sum, where i satisfies $\max\{0, k - n + 1\} \leq i \leq \min\{k, (n-1)^2\}$. On the other hand, as can be clearly seen from Case 2, the contribution of the permutations having k inversions and $j < 0$ to the sum is $i_B(n-1, i)$, where i

ranges over all non-negative integers included in the interval $[\max\{0, k - 2n + 1\}, \min\{k - n, (n - 1)^2\}]$. When these two observations are considered together, we deduce that

$$i_B(n, k) = \sum_{i=\max\{0, k-2n+1\}}^{\min\{k, (n-1)^2\}} i_B(n-1, i).$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

Example 4.4. We can illustrate the recurrence relation in the equation (9) as $i_B(4, 7) = \sum_{i=0}^7 i_B(3, i) = 44$, $i_B(5, 11) = \sum_{i=2}^{11} i_B(4, i) = 325$ and $i_B(5, 17) = \sum_{i=8}^{16} i_B(4, i) = 215$ by using Table 2.

Taking into account the equation (9), it is not hard to see that for all $n \geq 2$

$$i_B(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} i_B(n-1, k-i).$$

Given a permutation $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_{n-1} & w_n \end{pmatrix} \in B_n$. The *backward permutation* associated with the permutation $w \in B_n$, denoted by \overleftarrow{w} , is defined as

$$\overleftarrow{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ w_n & w_{n-1} & \cdots & w_2 & w_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Essentially, the backward permutation of a given permutation in B_n is obtained by reversing the elements in its row images. Clearly, any backward permutation \overleftarrow{w} is also an element of B_n .

Theorem 4.5. For $n > 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \sum_{w \in B_n} \text{inv}_B(w) = 2^{n-1} n^2 n!.$$

Proof. Let $f : B_n \rightarrow B_n$ be a mapping that assigns every permutation onto its backward permutation, namely $f(w) = \overleftarrow{w}$. It is clear that f is an involution. Thus we have $B_n = \{\overleftarrow{w} : w \in B_n\}$. Since $\text{inv}_B(w) + \text{inv}_B(\overleftarrow{w}) = \binom{n}{2} + 2 \sum_{w_i < 0} |w_i|$,

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_{w \in B_n} \text{inv}_B(w) &= \sum_{w \in B_n} \text{inv}_B(w) + \sum_{w \in B_n} \text{inv}_B(\overleftarrow{w}) \\
&= \sum_{w \in B_n} \left(\binom{n}{2} + 2 \sum_{w_i < 0} |w_i| \right) \\
&= \sum_{w \in B_n} \binom{n}{2} + 2 \sum_{w \in B_n} \left(\sum_{w_i < 0} |w_i| \right) \\
&= 2^n n! \binom{n}{2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (2^{n-1} n!) i \\
&= 2^n n! \binom{n}{2} + n 2^{n-1} (n+1)! \\
&= 2^n n^2 n!
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Questions:

1. How can q -analogue of Mahonian numbers of type B be defined in the sense of [6]? As a consequence, in what form do the q -analogues of Theorem 4.2 and 4.3 appear?
2. How can the structure of the generating function of $i_B(n, n-j)$ for a fixed non-negative integer j be derived as an analogue of the equation (3)?
3. The Knuth-Netto [8, 10] formula for the k th Mahonian number $i(n, k)$ when $k \leq n$ has the form

$$i(n, k) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{n+k-u_j-j-1}{k-u_j-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{n+k-u_j-1}{k-u_j}$$

where $u_j = \frac{j(3j-1)}{2}$ is the j th pentagonal number. Thus, what exactly is the analogue of the Knuth-Netto formula for $i_B(n, k)$ when $k \leq n$?

References

- [1] R. M. Adin, Y. Roichman, The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings, *European J. Combin.*, **22**:431-446, 2001.
- [2] H. Arslan, A. Altoum, M. Zaarour, An inversion statistic on the generalized symmetric groups, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **154**, 2024, 102655.

- [3] A. Björner, F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups: Volume 231*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer, New York, 2005.
- [4] A. Claesson, A. F. Franklín, E. Steingrímsson, Permutations with few inversions, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **30(4)**, 2023, #P4.7
- [5] J. W. Davies, A. O. Morris, The Schur multiplier of the generalized symmetric group, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, s2-**8(4)**:615-620, 1974.
- [6] Y. Ghemit, M. Ahmia, An analogue of Mahonian numbers and log-concavity, *Ann. Comb.*, **27**:895-916, 2023.
- [7] D. J. L. Indong, G. R. Peralta, Inversions of permutations in symmetric, alternating, and dihedral groups, *J. Integer Seq.*, **11**, 2008.
- [8] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming: Volume 3*, Addison-Wesley. Reading, MA, p. 15, 1973.
- [9] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis: Volume 1*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1915.
- [10] E. Netto, *Lehrbuch der Combinatorik: 2nd ed.*, Teubner, Leipzig, p. 96, 1901.
- [11] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <https://oeis.org>.
- [12] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 1*, Cambridge University Press, USA, 2nd edition, 2011.