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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra, let $\varphi$ be a normal faithful state on $M$ and let $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ be the associated Haagerup non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $D \in$ $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ be the density of $\varphi$. Given a positive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$ for some $C_{1} \geq 0$, we study the boundedness of the $L^{p}$-extension $T_{p, \theta}: D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} M D^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \rightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ which maps $D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ to $D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T(x) D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ for all $x \in M$. Haagerup-Junge-Xu showed that $T_{p, \frac{1}{2}}$ is always bounded and left open the question whether $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded for $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$. We show that for any $1 \leq p<2$ and any $\theta \in\left[0,2^{-1}(1-\sqrt{p-1})\right] \cup\left[2^{-1}(1+\sqrt{p-1}), 1\right]$, there exists a completely positive $T$ such that $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded. We also show that if $T$ is 2-positive, then $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded provided that $p \geq 2$ or $1 \leq p<2$ and $\theta \in[1-p / 2, p / 2]$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful state $\varphi$. Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a positive map such that $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$ on the positive cone $M^{+}$, for some constant $C_{1} \geq 0$. Assume first that $\varphi$ is a trace (that is, $\varphi(x y)=\varphi(y x)$ for all $x, y \in M$ ) and consider the associated non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)$ (see e.g. [6, 19] or [10, Chapter 4]). Let $C_{\infty}=\|T\|$. Then for all $1 \leq p<\infty, T$ extends to a bounded map on $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T: \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)\right\| \leq C_{\infty}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} C_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [16, Lemma 1.1]. This extension result plays a significant role in various aspects of operator theory on non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces, in particular for the study of diffusion operators or semigroups on those spaces, see for example [1, 7, 11] or [14, Chapter 5].

Let us now drop the tracial assumption on $\varphi$. For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ denote the Haagerup non-commutative $L^{p}$-space $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ associated with $\varphi$ [8, 9, 10, 22]. These spaces extend the tracial non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p}(\cdots)$ in a very beautiful way and many topics in operator theory which had been first studied on tracial non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces were/are investigated on Haagerup non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces. This has led to several major advances, see in particular [9], [16, Section 7], [4], [2] and [13].

The question of extending a positive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ to $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ was first considered in [16, Section 7] and [9, Section 5]. Let $D \in L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ be the density of $\varphi$, let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and
let $\theta \in[0,1]$. Let $T_{p, \theta}: D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} M D^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \rightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p, \theta}\left(D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right)=D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T(x) D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}, \quad x \in M \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See Section 2 for the necessary background on $D$ and the above definition.) Then [9, Theorem 5.1] shows that if $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$, then $T_{p, \frac{1}{2}}$ extends to a bounded map on $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$, with

$$
\left\|T_{p, \frac{1}{2}}: L^{p}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)\right\| \leq C_{\infty}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} C_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

This extends the tracial case (1.1), see Remark 2.5, Furthermore, [9, Proposition 5.5] shows that if $T$ commutes with the modular automorphism group of $\varphi$, then $T_{p, \theta}=T_{p, \frac{1}{2}}$ for all $\theta \in[0,1]$.

In addition to the above results, Haagerup-Junge-Xu stated as an open problem the question whether $T_{p, \theta}$ is always bounded for $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ (see [9, Section 5]). The main result of the present paper is a negative answer to this question. More precisely, we show that if $1 \leq p<2$ and if either $0 \leq \theta<2^{-1}(1-\sqrt{p-1})$ or $2^{-1}(1+\sqrt{p-1})<\theta \leq 1$, then there exists $M, \varphi$ as above and a unital completely positive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ T=\varphi$ and $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded, see Theorem 6.1.

We also show that for any $M, \varphi$ as above and for any 2-positive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$ for some $C_{1} \geq 0$, then $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded for all $p \geq 2$ and all $\theta \in[0,1]$, see Theorem 4.1, In other words, the Haagerup-Junge-Xu problem has a positive solution for $p \geq 2$, provided that we restrict to 2-positive maps. We also show, under the same assumptions, that $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded for all $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and all $\theta \in[1-p / 2, p / 2]$, see Theorem 4.3.

Section 2 contains preliminaries on the $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ and on the question whether $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded. Section 3 presents a way to compute $\left\|T_{p, \theta}\right\|$ in the case when $M=M_{n}$ is a matrix algebra, which plays a key role in the last part of the paper. Section 4 contains the extension results stated in the previous paragraph. Finally, Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the construction of examples for which $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded.

## 2. The extension problem

Throughout we consider a von Neumann algebra $M$ and we let $M_{*}$ denote its predual. We let $M^{+}$and $M_{*}^{+}$denote the positive cones of $M$ and $M_{*}$, respectively.
2.1. Haagerup non-commutative $L^{p}$-spaces. Assume that $M$ is $\sigma$-finite and let $\varphi$ be a normal faithful state on $M$. We shall briefly recall the definition of the Haagerup noncommutative $L^{p}$-spaces $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ associated with $\varphi$, as well as some of their main features. We refer the reader to [8], [9, Section 1], [10, Chapter 9] and [22] for details and complements. We note that $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ can actually be defined when $\varphi$ is any normal faithful weight on $M$. The assumption that $\varphi$ is a state makes the description below a little simpler.

Let $\left(\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the modular automorphism group of $\varphi$ [20, Chapter VIII] and let

$$
\mathcal{R}=M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi}} \mathbb{R} \subset M \bar{\otimes} B\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

be the resulting crossed product, see e.g. [20, Chapter X ]. We regard $M$ as a sub-von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{R}$ in the natural way. Let $\widehat{\sigma^{\varphi}}: \mathbb{R} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ be the dual action of $\sigma^{\varphi}\left[20, \S\right.$ VIII.2]. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{R}, \widehat{\sigma}_{t}^{\varphi}(x)=x$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $x \in M$.

There exists a unique normal semi-finite trace $\tau_{0}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ such that

$$
\tau_{0} \circ \widehat{\sigma}_{t}^{\varphi}=e^{-t} \tau_{0}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

This trace gives rise to the $*$-algebra $L^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{0}\right)$ of $\tau_{0}$-measurable operators [10, Chapter 4]. Then for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Haagerup $L^{p}$-space $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ is defined as

$$
L^{p}(M, \varphi)=\left\{y \in L^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{0}\right): \widehat{\sigma}_{t}^{\varphi}(y)=e^{-\frac{t}{p}} y \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
$$

At this stage, this is just a $*$-subspace of $L^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{0}\right)$ (with no norm). One defines its positive cone as

$$
L^{p}(M, \varphi)^{+}=L^{p}(M, \varphi) \cap L^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{0}\right)^{+}
$$

It follows from above that $L^{\infty}(M, \varphi)=M$.
Let $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$, that we regard as a normal weight on $M$ and let $\widehat{\psi}$ be its dual weight on $\mathcal{R}$ [20, § VIII.1]. Let $h_{\psi}$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\psi$ with respect to $\tau_{0}$. That is, $h_{\psi}$ is the unique positive operator affiliated with $\mathcal{R}$ such that

$$
\widehat{\psi}(y)=\tau_{0}\left(h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}} y h_{\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad y \in \mathcal{R}_{+}
$$

It turns out that $h_{\psi}$ belongs to $L^{1}(M, \varphi)^{+}$for all $\psi \in M_{*}^{+}$and that the mapping $\psi \mapsto h_{\psi}$ is a bijection from $M_{*}^{+}$onto $L^{1}(M, \varphi)^{+}$. This bijection readily extends to a linear isomorphism $M_{*} \longrightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi)$, still denoted by $\psi \mapsto h_{\psi}$. Then $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ is equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ inherited from $M_{*}$, that is, $\left\|h_{\psi}\right\|_{1}=\|\psi\|_{M_{*}}$ for all $\psi \in M_{*}$. Next, for any $1 \leq p<\infty$ and any $y \in L^{p}(M, \varphi)$, the positive operator $|y|$ belongs to $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ as well (thanks to the polar decomposition) and hence $|y|^{p}$ belongs to $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$. This allows to define $\|y\|_{p}=\left\||y|^{p}\right\|^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $y \in L^{p}(M, \varphi)$. Then $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is a complete norm on $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$.

The Banach spaces $L^{p}(M, \varphi), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, satisfy the following version of Hölder's inequality (see e.g. [10, Proposition 9.17]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ such that $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=r^{-1}$. Then for all $x \in L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ and all $y \in L^{q}(M, \varphi)$, the product $x y$ belongs to $L^{r}(M, \varphi)$ and $\|x y\|_{r} \leq\|x\|_{p}\|y\|_{q}$.

Let $D$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\varphi$ with respect to $\tau_{0}$ and recall that $D \in$ $L^{1}(M, \varphi)^{+}$. This operator is called the density of $\varphi$. Recall that we regard $M$ as a sub-von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{R}$. Then $D^{i t}$ is a unitary of $M$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D$ satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}(x)=D^{i t} x D^{-i t}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in M \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Tr}: L^{1}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(h_{\psi}\right)=\psi(1)$ for all $\psi \in M_{*}$. This functional has two remarkable properties. First, for all $x \in M$ and all $\psi \in M_{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(h_{\psi} x\right)=\psi(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second if $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ are such that $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$, then for all $x \in L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ and all $y \in L^{q}(M, \varphi)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(x y)=\operatorname{Tr}(y x) .
$$

This tracial property will be used without any further comment in the paper.

It follows from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and (2.2) that the duality pairing $\langle x, y\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}(x y)$ for $x \in M$ and $y \in L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ yields an isometric isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{1}(M, \varphi)^{*} \simeq M \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a special case of (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\operatorname{Tr}(D x), \quad x \in M \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ is a Hilbert space for the inner product $(x \mid y)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(y^{*} x\right)$. Moreover by (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(x^{*} x\right)=\left\|x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi\left(x x^{*}\right)=\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\|_{2}^{2}, \quad x \in M . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally mention a useful tool. Let $M_{a} \subset M$ be the subset of all $x \in M$ such that $t \mapsto \sigma_{t}^{\varphi}(x)$ extends to an entire function $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \sigma_{z}^{\varphi}(x) \in M$. (Such elements are called analytic). It is well-known that $M_{a}$ is a $w^{*}$-dense $*$-sub-algebra of $M$ [20, Section XII.2]. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i \theta}(x)=D^{-\theta} x D^{\theta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M_{a}$ and all $\theta \in[0,1]$, and $M_{a} D^{\frac{1}{p}}=D^{\frac{1}{p}} M_{a}$ is dense in $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$, for all $1 \leq p<\infty$. See [15, Lemma 1.1] and its proof for these properties.
2.2. Extension of maps $M \rightarrow M$. Given any linear map $T: M \rightarrow M$, we say that $T$ is positive if $T\left(M^{+}\right) \subset M^{+}$. This implies that $T$ is bounded. For any $n \geq 1$, we say that $T$ is $n$-positive if the tensor extension map $I_{M_{n}} \otimes T: M_{n} \bar{\otimes} M \rightarrow M_{n} \bar{\otimes} M$ is positive. (Here $M_{n}$ is the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices.) Next, we say that $T$ is completely positive if $T$ is $n$-positive for all $n \geq 1$. See e.g. [18] for basics on these notions.

Consider any $\theta \in[0,1]$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ belongs to $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ for all $x \in M$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{p, \theta}=D^{\frac{(1-\theta)}{p}} M D^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \subset L^{p}(M, \varphi) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that this is a dense subspace, see [15, Lemma 1.1].
Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be any bounded linear map. For any $(p, \theta)$ as above, define a linear map $T_{p, \theta}: \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta}$ by (1.2). The question we consider in this paper is whether $T_{p, \theta}$ extends to a bounded map $L^{p}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ in the case when $T$ is 2-positive and $\varphi \circ T \leq \varphi$ on $M_{+}$. More precisely, we consider the following:

Question 2.2. Determine the pairs $(p, \theta) \in[1, \infty) \times[0,1]$ such that

$$
T_{p, \theta}: L^{p}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)
$$

is bounded for all $(M, \varphi)$ as above and all 2-positive maps $T: M \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\varphi \circ T \leq \varphi$ on $M_{+}$.

As in the introduction, we could consider maps such that $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$ for some $C_{1} \geq 0$. However by an obvious scaling, there is no loss in considering $C_{1}=1$ only.

Remark 2.3. Question 2.2 originates from the Haagerup-Junge-Xu paper 9]. In Section 5 of the latter paper, the authors consider two von Neumann algebras $M, N$, and normal faithful states $\varphi \in M_{*}$ and $\psi \in N_{*}$ with respective densities $D_{\varphi} \in L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ and $D_{\psi} \in L^{1}(N, \psi)$. Then they consider a positive map $T: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\psi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$ for some $C_{1}>0$. Given any $(p, \theta) \in[1, \infty) \times[0,1]$, they define $T_{p, \theta}: D_{\varphi}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} M D_{\varphi}^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \rightarrow L^{p}(N, \psi)$ by

$$
T_{p, \theta}\left(D_{\varphi}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D_{\varphi}^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right)=D_{\psi}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T(x) D_{\psi}^{\frac{\theta}{p}}, \quad x \in M
$$

In [9, Theorem 5.1], they show that $T_{p, \frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded and that setting $C_{\infty}=\|T\|$, we have $\left\|T_{p, \frac{1}{2}}: L^{p}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{p}(N, \psi)\right\| \leq C_{\infty}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} C_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then after the statement of [9, Proposition 5.4], they mention that the boundedness of $T_{p, \theta}$ for $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is an open question.
Remark 2.4. We wish to point out a special case which will be used in Section 5, Let $B$ be a von Neumman algebra equipped with a normal faithful state $\psi$. Let $A \subset B$ be a sub-von Neumann algebra which is stable under the modular automorphism group of $\psi$ (i.e. $\sigma_{t}^{\psi}(A) \subset A$ for all $\left.t \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. Let $\varphi=\psi_{\mid A}$ be the restriction of $\psi$ to $A$. Let $D \in L^{1}(A, \varphi)$ and $\Delta \in L^{1}(B, \psi)$ be the densities of $\varphi$ and $\psi$, respectively. On the one hand, it follows from [9, Theorem 5.1] (see Remark [2.3) that there exists, for every $1 \leq p<\infty$, a contraction

$$
\Lambda(p): L^{p}(A, \varphi) \longrightarrow L^{p}(B, \psi)
$$

such that $[\Lambda(p)]\left(D^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x D^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right)=\Delta^{\frac{1}{2 p}} x \Delta^{\frac{1}{2 p}}$ for all $x \in A$.
On the other hand, there exists a unique normal conditional expectation $E: B \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi=\varphi \circ E$ on $B$, by [20, Theorem IX.4.2]. Moreover it is easy to check that under the natural identifications $L^{1}(A, \varphi)^{*} \simeq A$ and $L^{1}(B, \psi)^{*} \simeq B$, see (2.3) and the discussion preceding it, we have

$$
\Lambda(1)^{*}=E
$$

Now using [9, Theorem 5.1] again, there exists, for every $1 \leq p<\infty$, a contraction $E(p): L^{p}(B, \psi) \rightarrow L^{p}(A, \varphi)$ such that $[E(p)]\left(\Delta^{\frac{1}{2 p}} y \Delta^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\right)=D^{\frac{1}{2 p}} E(y) D^{\frac{1}{2 p}}$ for all $y \in B$. It is clear that $E(p) \circ \Lambda(p)=I_{L^{p}(A, \varphi)}$. Consequently, $\Lambda(p)$ is an isometry.

We refer to [15, Section 2] for more on this.
Remark 2.5. Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a positive map and let $\varphi, D$ as in Subsection 2.1. Assume that $\varphi$ is tracial and for any $1 \leq p<\infty$, let $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)$ be the (classical) non-commutative $L^{p}$-space with respect to the trace $\varphi$ [10, Section 4.3]. That is, $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)$ is the completion of $M$ for the norm

$$
\|x\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)}=\left(\varphi\left(|x|^{p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad x \in M
$$

In this case, $D$ commutes with $M$ and

$$
\left\|D^{\frac{1}{p}} x\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}=\|x\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)}, \quad x \in M
$$

see e.g. [10, Example 9.11]. Hence, $T_{p, \theta}=T_{p, 0}$ for all $1 \leq p<\infty$ and all $\theta \in[0,1]$ and moreover, $T_{p, 0}$ is bounded if and only if $T$ extends to a bounded map $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \varphi)$. Thus, in the tracial case, the fact that $T_{p, 0}$ is bounded under the assumption $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} \varphi$ is equivalent to the result mentionned in the first paragraph of Section 11, see (1.1).

## 3. Computing $\left\|T_{p, \theta}\right\|$ on semifinite von Neumann algebras

As in the previous section, we let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal faithful state $\varphi$ and we let $D \in L^{1}(M, \varphi)^{+}$be the density of $\varphi$. We assume further that $M$ is semifinite and we let $\tau$ be a distinguished normal semifinite faithful trace on $M$. For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we let $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$ be the non-commutative $L^{p}$-space with respect to $\tau$. Although $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Haagerup $L^{p}$-space $L^{p}(M, \tau)$, it is necessary for our purpose to consider $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$ as such.

Let us give a brief account, for which we refer e.g. to [10, Section 4.3]. Let $\mathcal{L}^{0}(M, \tau)$ be the $*$-algebra of all $\tau$-measurable operators on $M$. For any $p<\infty, \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$ is the Banach space of all $x \in \mathcal{L}^{0}(M, \tau)$ such that $\tau\left(|x|^{p}\right)<\infty$, equipped with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)}=\left(\tau\left(|x|^{p}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)
$$

Moreover $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(M, \tau)=M$. The following analogue of Lemma 2.1 holds true: whenever $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ are such that $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=r^{-1}$, then for all $x \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}^{q}(M, \tau)$, $x y$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{r}(M, \tau)$, with $\|x y\|_{r} \leq\|x\|_{p}\|x\|_{q}$ (Hölder's inequality). Furthermore, we have an isometric identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)^{*} \simeq M \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the duality pairing given by $\langle x, y\rangle=\tau(y x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)$.
Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)$ be associated with $\varphi$ in the identification (3.1), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\tau(\gamma x), \quad x \in M \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\gamma$ is positive and it is clear from Hölder's inequality that for any $1 \leq p<\infty, \theta \in[0,1]$ and $x \in M$, the product $\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$.

It is well-known that $\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)$ and $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ are isometrically isomorphic (apply Remark 9.10 and Example 9.11 in [10]). The following lemma provides concrete isometric isomorphisms between these two spaces.

Lemma 3.1. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$. Then for all $x \in M$, we have

$$
\left\|\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)}=\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}
$$

Before giving the proof of this lemma, we recall a classical tool. For any $\theta \in[0,1]$, define an embedding $J_{\theta}: M \rightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ by letting

$$
J_{\theta}(x)=D^{1-\theta} x D^{\theta}, \quad x \in M
$$

Consider $\left(J_{\theta}(M), L^{1}(M, \varphi)\right)$ as an interpolation couple, the norm on $J_{\theta}(M)$ being given by the norm on $M$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{1-\theta} x D^{\theta}\right\|_{J_{\theta}(M)}=\|x\|_{M}, \quad x \in M \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(p, \theta)=\left[J_{\theta}(M), L^{1}(M, \varphi)\right]_{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the resulting interpolation space provided by the complex interpolation method 33, Chapter 4]. Regard $C(p, \theta)$ as a subspace of $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ in the natural way. Then Kosaki's
theorem [17, Theorem 9.1] (see also [10, Theorem 9.36]) asserts that $C(p, \theta)$ is equal to $D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p^{\prime}}} L^{p}(M, \varphi) D^{\frac{\theta}{p^{\prime}}}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p^{\prime}}} y D^{\frac{\theta}{p^{\prime}}}\right\|_{C(p, \theta)}=\|y\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}, \quad y \in L^{p}(M, \varphi) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p^{\prime}$ is the conjugate index of $p$, so that $D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p^{\prime}}} y D^{\frac{\theta}{p^{\prime}}}$ belongs to $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ provided that $y$ belongs to $L^{p}(M, \varphi)$.

Likewise, let $j_{\theta}: M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)$ be defined by $j_{\theta}(x)=\gamma^{1-\theta} x \gamma^{\theta}$ for all $x \in M$. Consider $\left(j_{\theta}(M), \mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)\right)$ as an interpolation couple, the norm on $j_{\theta}(M)$ being given by the norm on $M$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(p, \theta)=\left[j_{\theta}(M), \mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)\right]_{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

regarded as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)$. Then arguing as in the proof of [17, Theorem 9.1], one obtains that $c(p, \theta)$ is equal to $\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p^{\prime}}} \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau) \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p^{\prime}}}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p^{\prime}}} y \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p^{\prime}}}\right\|_{c(p, \theta)}=\|y\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)}, \quad y \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We fix some $\theta \in[0,1]$. We start with the case $p=1$. Let $x \in M$. For any $x^{\prime} \in M$, we have $\tau\left(\gamma x x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(D x x^{\prime}\right)$ and hence $\left|\tau\left(\gamma x x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(D x x^{\prime}\right)\right|$, by (2.4) and (3.2). Taking the supremum over all $x^{\prime} \in M$ with $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{M} \leq 1$, it therefore follows from (2.3) and (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma x\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)}=\|D x\|_{L^{1}(M, \varphi)}, \quad x \in M \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that $x \in M_{a}$ (the space of analytic elements of $M$ ). According to (2.6), we have $D \sigma_{i \theta}^{\varphi}(x)=D^{1-\theta} x D^{\theta}$. Likewise, $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}(x)=\gamma^{i t} x \gamma^{-i t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by [20, Theorem VIII.2.11], hence $\sigma_{i \theta}^{\varphi}(x)=\gamma^{-\theta} x \gamma^{\theta}$. Hence we have $\gamma \sigma_{i \theta}^{\varphi}(x)=\gamma^{1-\theta} x \gamma^{\theta}$. Applying (3.8) with $\sigma_{i \theta}^{\varphi}(x)$ in place of $x$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma^{(1-\theta)} x \gamma^{\theta}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)}=\left\|D^{(1-\theta)} x D^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{1}(M, \varphi)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the standard representation $M \hookrightarrow B\left(L^{2}(M, \varphi)\right)$ and consider an arbitrary $x \in M$. There exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i}$ in $M_{a}$ such that $x_{i} \rightarrow x$ strongly. Then by [12, Lemma 2.3], $D^{1-\theta} x_{i} D^{\theta}$ converges to $D^{1-\theta} x D^{\theta}$ in $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$. A similar argument shows that $\gamma^{1-\theta} x_{i} \gamma^{\theta}$ converges to $\gamma^{1-\theta} x \gamma^{\theta}$ in $\mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)$. Consequently, (3.9) holds true for $x$. This proves the result when $p=1$.

We further note that the proof that $\mathcal{A}_{1, \theta}=D^{(1-\theta)} M D^{\theta}$ is dense in $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ shows as well that the space $\gamma^{1-\theta} M \gamma^{\theta}$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)$. Thus, (3.9) provides an isometric isomorphism

$$
\Phi: L^{1}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{1}(M, \tau)
$$

such that

$$
\Phi\left(D^{1-\theta} x D^{\theta}\right)=\gamma^{1-\theta} x \gamma^{\theta}, \quad x \in M
$$

Now let $p>1$ and consider the interpolation spaces $C(p, \theta)$ and $c(p, \theta)$ defined by (3.4) and (3.6). Since $j_{\theta}=\Phi \circ J_{\theta}$, the mapping $\Phi$ restricts to an isometric isomorphism from
$C(p, \theta)$ onto $c(p, \theta)$. Let $x \in M$. Applying (3.7) and (3.5), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}(M, \tau)} & =\left\|\gamma^{1-\theta} x \gamma^{\theta}\right\|_{c(p, \theta)} \\
& =\left\|D^{1-\theta} x D^{\theta}\right\|_{C(p, \theta)} \\
& =\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the result.
The following is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.1. Given any $T: M \rightarrow M$, it provides a concrete way to compute the norm of the operator $T_{p, \theta}$ associated with $\varphi$. Note that in this statement, this norm may be infinite.

Corollary 3.2. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$, let $\theta \in[0,1]$ and let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be any bounded map. Then

$$
\left\|T_{p, \theta}\right\|=\sup \left\{\left\|\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T(x) \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{p}: x \in M,\left\|\gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x \gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{p} \leq 1\right\}
$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and consider the special case when $M=M_{n}$, equipped with its usual trace tr. For any $\varphi$ and $T: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ as above, $T_{p, \theta}$ is trivially bounded for all $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\theta$ since $L^{p}\left(M_{n}, \varphi\right)$ is finite dimensional. However we will see in Sections 5 and 6 that finding (lower) estimates of the norm of $T_{p, \theta}$ in this setting will be instrumental to devise counter-examples on infinite dimensional von Neumann algebras. This is why we give a version of the preceding corollary in this specific case.

For any $1 \leq p<\infty$, let $S_{n}^{p}=\mathcal{L}^{p}\left(M_{n}, \operatorname{tr}\right)$ denote the $p$-Schatten class over $M_{n}$.
Proposition 3.3. Let $\Gamma \in M_{n}$ be a positive definite matrix such that $\operatorname{tr}(\Gamma)=1$ and let $\varphi$ be the faithful state on $M_{n}$ associated with $\Gamma$, that is, $\varphi(X)=\operatorname{tr}(\Gamma X)$ for all $X \in M_{n}$. Let $T: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ be any linear map. For any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$, let $U_{p, \theta}: S_{n}^{p} \rightarrow S_{n}^{p}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p, \theta}(Y)=\Gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T\left(\Gamma^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} Y \Gamma^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}\right) \Gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}, \quad Y \in S_{n}^{p} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|T_{p, \theta}: L^{p}\left(M_{n}, \varphi\right) \longrightarrow L^{p}\left(M_{n}, \varphi\right)\right\|=\left\|U_{p, \theta}: S_{n}^{p} \longrightarrow S_{n}^{p}\right\|
$$

## 4. Extension results

This section is devoted to two cases for which Question 2.2 has a positive answer. Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal state $\varphi$ and let $D \in L^{1}(M, \varphi)^{+}$ denote its density.

Theorem 4.1. Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a 2-positive map such that $\varphi \circ T \leq \varphi$. For any $p \geq 2$ and for any $\theta \in[0,1]$, the mapping $T_{p, \theta}: \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta}$ defined by (1.2) extends to a bounded $\operatorname{map} L^{p}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)$.

Proof. Consider a completely positive $T: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ T \leq \varphi$. We start with the case $p=2$. For any $x \in M$, we have

$$
T(x)^{*} T(x) \leq\|T\| T\left(x^{*} x\right)
$$

by the Kadison-Schwarz inequality [5]. By (2.5), we have

$$
\left\|T(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\varphi\left(T(x)^{*} T(x)\right) \leq\|T\| \varphi\left(T\left(x^{*} x\right)\right) \leq\|T\| \varphi\left(x^{*} x\right)=\|T\|\left\|x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

This shows that $T_{2,1}$ is bounded. The proof that $T_{2,0}$ is bounded is similar.
Now let $\theta \in(0,1)$ and let us show that $T_{2, \theta}$ is bounded. Consider the open strip

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<\operatorname{Re}(z)<1\} .
$$

Let $x, a \in M_{a}$ and define $F: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
F(z)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(T\left(\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}(1-z)}^{\varphi}(x)\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{-\frac{i z}{2}}^{\varphi}(a) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

This is a well-defined function which is actually the restriction to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ of an entire function. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(i t) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left(\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}^{\varphi}\left(\sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(x)\right)\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(a)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left(D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(a)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{2,0}\left(\sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(a)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.6). Hence by (2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(i t)| & \leq\left\|T_{2,0}\left(\sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\|_{2}\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(a)\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|\left\|D^{\frac{i t}{2}}\left(x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) D^{-\frac{i t}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\|D^{\frac{i t}{2}}\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right) D^{-\frac{i t}{2}}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|\left\|x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right\|_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise,

$$
F(1+i t)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{2,1}\left(\sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\varphi}(a)\right)
$$

hence

$$
|F(1+i t)| \leq\left\|T_{2,1}\right\|\left\|x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right\|_{2}
$$

By the three lines lemma, we deduce that

$$
|F(\theta)| \leq\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|^{1-\theta}\left\|T_{2,1}\right\|^{\theta}\left\|x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right\|_{2}
$$

To calculate $F(\theta)$, we apply (2.6) again and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(\theta) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(T\left(D^{-\frac{1-\theta}{2}} x D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} D^{\frac{\theta}{2}} a D^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} T\left(D^{-\frac{1-\theta}{2}} x D^{\frac{1}{2}} D^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{\theta}{2}} D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{2, \theta}\left(x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{2, \theta}\left(x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right)\right| \leq\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|^{1-\theta}\left\|T_{2,1}\right\|^{\theta}\left\|x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} a\right\|_{2} .
$$

Since $M_{a} D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $D^{\frac{1}{2}} M_{a}$ are both dense in $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$, this estimate shows that $T_{2, \theta}$ is bounded, with $\left\|T_{2, \theta}\right\| \leq\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|^{1-\theta}\left\|T_{2,1}\right\|^{\theta}$.

We now let $p \in(2, \infty)$. The proof in this case is a variant of the proof of [9, Theorem 5.1]. We use Kosaki's theorem which is presented after Lemma 3.1, see (3.4) and (3.5). Let $\theta \in[0,1]$. Let $\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}: M \rightarrow L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ be defined by $\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(x)=D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{2}}$ for all $x \in M$. Equip $\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(M)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\right\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(M)}=\|x\|_{M}, \quad x \in M \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\left(\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(M), L^{2}(M, \varphi)\right)$ as an interpolation couple. In analogy with (3.4), we set

$$
E(p, \theta)=\left[\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(M), L^{2}(M, \varphi)\right]_{\frac{2}{p}}
$$

subspace of $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ given by the complex interpolation method. Let $q \in(2, \infty)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

We introduce one more mapping $U_{\theta}: L^{2}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ defined by

$$
U_{\theta}(\zeta)=D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} \zeta D^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad \zeta \in L^{2}(M, \varphi)
$$

By (3.5), $U_{\theta}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ onto $C(2, \theta)$. Since $U_{\theta}$ restricts to an isometric isomorphism from $\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(M)$ onto $J_{\theta}(M)$, by (3.3) and (4.1), it induces an isometric isomorphism from $E(p, \theta)$ onto $\left[J_{\theta}(M), C(2, \theta)\right]_{\frac{2}{p}}$. By (3.4) and the reiteration theorem for complex interpolation (see [3, Theorem 4.6.1]), the latter is equal to $C(p, \theta)$. Hence $U_{\theta}$ actually induces an isometric isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(p, \theta) \stackrel{U_{\theta}}{\simeq} C(p, \theta) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}$, we have

$$
U_{\theta}\left(D^{\frac{1-\theta}{q}} y D^{\frac{\theta}{q}}\right)=D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p^{\prime}}} y D^{\frac{\theta}{p^{\prime}}}
$$

for all $y \in L^{p}(M, \varphi)$. Applying (3.5) and (4.2), we deduce that

$$
E(p, \theta)=D^{\frac{1-\theta}{q}} L^{p}(M, \varphi) D^{\frac{\theta}{q}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{q}} y D^{\frac{\theta}{q}}\right\|_{E(p, \theta)}=\|y\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}, \quad y \in L^{p}(M, \varphi) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let

$$
S=T_{2, \theta}: L^{2}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow L^{2}(M, \varphi)
$$

be given by the first part of the proof (boundedness of $T_{2, \theta}$ ). By (4.1), $S$ is bounded on $\mathfrak{J}_{\theta}(M)$. Hence by the interpolation theorem, $S$ is bounded on $E(p, \theta)$.

Using (4.3), we deduce that for all $x \in M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T(x) D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)} & =\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} T(x) D^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\right\|_{E(p, \theta)} \\
& \leq\|S: E(p, \theta) \rightarrow E(p, \theta)\|\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\right\|_{E(p, \theta)} \\
& =\|S: E(p, \theta) \rightarrow E(p, \theta)\|\left\|D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded and completes the proof.

Remark 4.2. Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a 2-positive map such that $\varphi \circ T \leq C_{1} T$ for some $C_{1} \geq 0$ and let $C_{\infty}=\|T\|$. It follows from the above proof and an obvious scaling that for any $p \geq 2$ and any $\theta \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\left\|T_{p, \theta}: L^{p}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)\right\| \leq C_{\infty}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} C_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $T: M \rightarrow M$ be a 2-positive map such that $\varphi \circ T \leq \varphi$ and let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. If

$$
1-\frac{p}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{p}{2}
$$

then $T_{p, \theta}: \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta}$ extends to a bounded map $L^{p}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)$.
Proof. We will use the boundedness of $T_{2,0}$ and $T_{2,1}$, given by Theorem 4.1, as well as the fact that $T_{1, \frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded, see [9, Lemma 5.3] or Remark [2.3. We set

$$
S=T_{1, \frac{1}{2}}: L^{1}(M, \varphi) \longrightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi)
$$

Let $V: L^{2}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ defined by $V(y)=y D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $y \in L^{2}(M, \varphi)$. According to (3.5), $V$ is an isometric isomorphism from $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ onto $C(2,1)$. Hence for all $x \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}} x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right\|_{C(2,1)} & =\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} T(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{C(2,1)} \\
& =\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} T(x)\right\|_{L^{2}(M, \varphi)} \\
& \leq\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\|_{L^{2}(M, \varphi)} \\
& =\left\|T_{2,0}\right\|\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{C(2,1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $S$ is bounded on $C(2,1)$.
Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. By (3.4) and the reiteration theorem, we have

$$
C(p, 1)=\left[C(2,1), L^{1}(M, \varphi)\right]_{\frac{2}{p}-1}
$$

Therefore, $S$ is bounded on $C(p, 1)$. Using (3.5) again, we deduce that for any $x \in M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} T(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)} & =\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} T(x) D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{C(p, 1)} \\
& \leq\|S: C(p, 1) \rightarrow C(p, 1)\|\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} x D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{C(p, 1)} \\
& =\|S: C(p, 1) \rightarrow C(p, 1)\|\left\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} x D^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\right\|_{L^{p}(M, \varphi)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\theta_{0}=1-\frac{p}{2}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1-\theta_{0}}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\theta_{0}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}
$$

Hence the above estimate shows that $T_{p, \theta_{0}}$ is bounded.
Now let $\theta_{1}=\frac{p}{2}$. Using the boundedness of $T_{2,1}$, a similar proof shows as well that $T_{p, \theta_{1}}$ is bounded.

Finally, we deduce that $T_{p, \theta}$ is bounded for any $\theta \in\left[\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}\right]$ by an argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to show that $T_{2, \theta}$ is bounded for any $\theta \in[0,1]$. We skip the details.

## 5. The use of infinite tensor products

In this section, we show how to reduce the problem of constructing a unital completely positive map $T:(M, \varphi) \rightarrow(M, \varphi)$ such that $\varphi \circ T=\varphi$ and $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded, for a certain pair $(p, \theta)$, to a finite dimensional question. In the sequel, by a matrix algebra $A$, we mean an algebra $A=M_{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$.
Lemma 5.1. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$ be two matrix algebras and for $i=1,2$, consider a faithful state $\varphi_{i}$ on $A_{i}$. Let $B=A_{1} \otimes_{\min } A_{2}$ and consider the faithful state $\psi=\varphi_{1} \otimes \varphi_{2}$ on $B$. Let $T_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}$ be a linear map, for $i=1,2$, and consider $T=T_{1} \otimes T_{2}: B \rightarrow B$. Then for any $1 \leq p<\infty$ and any $\theta \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| T_{p, \theta}: L^{p}(B, \psi) & \rightarrow L^{p}(B, \psi) \| \\
& \left\|\left\{T_{1}\right\}_{p, \theta}: L^{p}\left(A_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(A_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)\right\|\left\|\left\{T_{2}\right\}_{p, \theta}: L^{p}\left(A_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(A_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 1$ such that $A_{1}=M_{n_{1}}$ and $A_{2}=M_{n_{2}}$ and let $n=n_{1} n_{2}$. For $i=1,2$, let $\Gamma_{i} \in M_{n_{i}}$ such that $\varphi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{i} X_{i}\right)$ for all $X_{i} \in M_{n_{i}}$. As in Proposition 3.3, consider the mapping $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{p, \theta}: S_{n_{i}}^{p} \rightarrow S_{n_{i}}^{p}$ defined by $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{p, \theta}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\Gamma_{i}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} T_{i}\left(\Gamma_{i}^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} Y_{i} \Gamma_{i}^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}\right) \Gamma_{i}^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ for all $Y_{i} \in S_{n_{i}}^{p}$. Using the standard identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=M_{n_{1}} \otimes_{\min } M_{n_{2}} \simeq M_{n} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we observe that $\left.\psi(X)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\Gamma_{1} \otimes \Gamma_{2}\right) X\right)\right)$ for all $X \in M_{n}$. Hence using the identification $S_{n}^{p}=S_{n_{1}}^{p} \otimes S_{n_{2}}^{p}$ inherited from (5.1), we obtain the the mapping $U_{p, \theta}$ defined by (3.10) is actually given by

$$
U_{p, \theta}=\left\{U_{1}\right\}_{p, \theta} \otimes\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{p, \theta} .
$$

For any $Y_{1} \in S_{n_{1}}^{p}$ and $Y_{2} \in S_{n_{2}}^{p}$, we have $\left\|Y_{1} \otimes Y_{2}\right\|_{p}=\left\|Y_{1}\right\|_{p}\left\|Y_{2}\right\|_{p}$. Hence we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\{U_{1}\right\}_{p, \theta}\left(Y_{1}\right)\right\|\left\|\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{p, \theta}\left(Y_{2}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\left\{U_{1}\right\}_{p, \theta}\left(Y_{1}\right) \otimes\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{p, \theta}\left(Y_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|U_{p, \theta}\left(Y_{1} \otimes Y_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|U_{p, \theta}\right\|\left\|Y_{1}\right\|_{p}\left\|Y_{2}\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left\|\left\{U_{1}\right\}_{p, \theta}\right\|\left\|\left\{U_{2}\right\}_{p, \theta}\right\| \leq\left\|U_{p, \theta}\right\|$ Applying Proposition 3.3, we obtain the requested inequality.

Throughout the rest of this section, we let $\left(A_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of matrix algebras. For any $k \geq 1$, let $\varphi_{k}$ be a faithful state on $A_{k}$. Let

$$
(M, \varphi)=\bar{\otimes}_{k \geq 1}\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right)
$$

be the infinite tensor product associated with the $\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right)$. We refer to [21, Section XIV.1] for the construction and the properties of this tensor product. We merely recall that if we regard $\left(A_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ as an increasing sequence of (finite-dimensional) algebras in the natural way, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}:=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $w^{*}$-dense in $M$. Further, $\varphi$ is a normal faithful state on $M$ such that

$$
\varphi_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{n}=\varphi_{\mid A_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{n}}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
Proposition 5.2. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$. For any $k \geq 1$, let $T_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow A_{k}$ be a unital completely positive map such that $\varphi_{k} \circ T_{k}=\varphi_{k}$. Assume that

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{p, \theta}: L^{p}\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { when } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then there exists a unital completely positive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ T=\varphi$ and $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded.

Proof. For any $n \geq 1$, we introduce $B_{n}=A_{1} \otimes_{\min } \cdots \otimes_{\min } A_{n}$ and the faithful state

$$
\psi_{n}=\varphi_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{n}
$$

on $B_{n}$. According to [21, Proposition XIV.1.11], the modular automorphism group of $\varphi$ preserves $B_{n}$. Consequently (see Remark (2.4), there exists a unique normal conditional expectation $E_{n}: M \rightarrow B_{n}$ such that $\varphi=\psi_{n} \circ E_{n}$, and the pre-adjoint of $E_{n}$ yields an isometric embedding

$$
L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi) .
$$

Likewise, let $F_{n}: B_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ be the conditional expectation defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} \otimes a_{n+1}\right)=\varphi_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1}\right) a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{1} \in A_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A_{n}, a_{n+1} \in A_{n+1}$. Then the pre-adjoint of $F_{n}$ yields an isometric embedding

$$
J_{n}: L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{1}\left(B_{n+1}, \psi_{n+1}\right)
$$

We can therefore consider $\left(L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ as an increasing sequence of subspaces of $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$. We introduce

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right) \subset L^{1}(M, \varphi)
$$

Let $D \in L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ be the density of $\varphi$. It follows from Remark 2.4 that

$$
\mathcal{L}=D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{B} D^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by (5.2). Since $\mathcal{B}$ is $w^{*}$-dense, it is dense in $M$ for the strong operator topology given by the standard representation $M \hookrightarrow B\left(L^{2}(M, \varphi)\right)$. Hence by [12, Lemma 2.2], $\mathcal{B} D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is dense in $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is dense in $L^{1}(M, \varphi)$.

For any $n \geq 1$, let

$$
V(n):=T_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n}: B_{n} \longrightarrow B_{n}
$$

This is a unital completely positive map. Hence its norm is equal to 1 . Let

$$
S_{n}=V(n)_{*}: L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right) \longrightarrow L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right)
$$

be the pre-adjoint of $V(n)$. Then $\left\|S_{n}\right\|=1$. We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n} \circ S_{n}=S_{n+1} \circ J_{n} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed by duality, this amounts to show that $V(n) \circ F_{n}=F_{n} \circ V(n+1)$, where $F_{n}$ is given by (5.3). The latter is true because $\varphi_{n+1} \circ T_{n+1}=\varphi_{n+1}$.

Thanks to (5.4), one may define

$$
\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}
$$

by letting $\mathcal{S}(\eta)=S_{n}(\eta)$ if $\eta \in L^{1}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded, with $\|\mathcal{S}\|=1$. Owing to the density of $\mathcal{L}$, there exists a unique bounded $S: L^{1}(M, \varphi) \rightarrow L^{1}(M, \varphi)$ extending $\mathcal{S}$. Using the duality (2.3), we set

$$
T=S^{*}: M \longrightarrow M
$$

By construction, $T$ is a contraction. Furthermore, for each $n \geq 1, S_{n}^{*}=V(n)$ is a unital completely positive map and $\psi_{n} \circ S_{n}^{*}=\psi_{n}$. We deduce that $T$ is unital and completely positive and that

$$
\varphi \circ T=\varphi
$$

Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and let $\theta \in[0,1]$. Let us use the isometric embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{p}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as explained in Remark 2.4. If $D_{n}$ denotes the density of $\psi_{n}$, then it follows from 9, Proposition 5.5] that the embedding (5.5) maps $D_{n}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D_{n}^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ to $D^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} x D^{\frac{\theta}{p}}$ for all $x \in B_{n}$. Then the restriction of $T_{p, \theta}: \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta} \rightarrow L^{p}(M, \varphi)$ coincides with

$$
V(n)_{p, \theta}: L^{p}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right) \longrightarrow L^{p}\left(B_{n}, \psi_{n}\right)
$$

Finally we observe that by a simple iteration of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\left\|V(n)_{p, \theta}\right\| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{p, \theta}: L^{p}\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right)\right\|
$$

The assumption that this product of norms tends to $\infty$ therefore implies that the operator $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded.

## 6. NON-EXTENSION RESULTS

The aim of this section is to show the following.
Theorem 6.1. Let $1 \leq p<2$. If either

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \theta<\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{p-1}) \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{p-1})<\theta \leq 1, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist a von Neumann algebra $M$ equipped with a normal faithful state $\varphi$, as well as a unital completely positive map $T: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ T=\varphi$ and the mapping $T_{p, \theta}: \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p, \theta}$ defined by (1.2) is unbounded.

This result will be proved at the end of this section, as a simple combination of Proposition 5.2 and the following key result. Recall that $M_{2}$ denotes the space of $2 \times 2$ matrices.

Proposition 6.2. Let $1 \leq p<2$ and let $\theta \in[0,1]$ be satisfying (6.1). Then there exist a unital completely positive map $T: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ and a faithful state $\varphi$ on $M_{2}$ such that $\varphi \circ T=\varphi$ and $\left\|T_{p, \theta}\right\|>1$.

Proof. Let $c \in(0,1)$ and consider

$$
\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-c & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is a positive invertible matrix with trace equal to 1 . We let $\varphi$ denote its associated faithful state on $M_{2}$, that is, $\varphi(X)=\operatorname{tr}(\Gamma X)=(1-c) x_{11}+c x_{22}$, for all $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22}\end{array}\right)$ in $M_{2}$.

Let $E_{i, j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, denote the standard matrix units of $M_{2}$. Let $T: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be the linear map defined by

$$
T\left(E_{11}\right)=(1-c) I_{2}, \quad T\left(E_{22}\right)=c I_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(E_{21}\right)=T\left(E_{12}\right)=(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(E_{12}+E_{21}\right)
$$

Let $A=\left[T\left(E_{i j}\right)\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \in M_{2}\left(M_{2}\right)$. If we regard $A$ as an element of $M_{4}$, we have

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-c & 0 & 0 & (c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0 & 1-c & (c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & (c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}} & c & 0 \\
(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & c
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Clearly $A$ is unitarily equivalent to $B \otimes I_{2}$, with

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-c & (c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}} & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is plain that $B$ is positive. Consequently, $A$ is positive. Hence $T$ is completely positive, by Choi's theorem (see e.g. [18, Theorem 3.14]). Furthermore, $T$ is unital. We note that $\varphi\left(T\left(E_{11}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(E_{11}\right)=1-c, \varphi\left(T\left(E_{22}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(E_{22}\right)=c, \varphi\left(T\left(E_{12}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(E_{12}\right)=0$ and $\varphi\left(T\left(E_{21}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(E_{21}\right)=0$. Thus,

$$
\varphi \circ T=\varphi
$$

Our aim is now to estimate $\left\|T_{p, \theta}\right\|$, using Proposition 3.3. We let $U_{p, \theta}: S_{2}^{p} \rightarrow S_{2}^{p}$ be defined by (3.10). We shall focus on the action of $U_{p, \theta}$ on the anti-diagonal part of $S_{2}^{p}$. First, we have

$$
\Gamma^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} E_{12} \Gamma^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}=(1-c)^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} c^{-\frac{\theta}{p}} E_{12}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(\Gamma^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} E_{12} \Gamma^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}\right) & =(1-c)^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} c^{-\frac{\theta}{p}} T\left(E_{12}\right) \\
& =(1-c)^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} c^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(E_{12}+E_{21}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{p, \theta}\left(E_{12}\right) & =(1-c)^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} c^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} E_{12} \Gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}+\Gamma^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} E_{21} \Gamma^{\frac{\theta}{p}}\right) \\
& =(1-c)^{-\frac{1-\theta}{p}} c^{-\frac{\theta}{p}}(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((1-c)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} c^{\frac{\theta}{p}} E_{12}+c^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}}(1-c)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} E_{21}\right) \\
& =(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(E_{12}+\left(\frac{1-c}{c}\right)^{\frac{2 \theta-1}{p}} E_{21}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, we have

$$
U_{p, \theta}\left(E_{21}\right)=(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^{\frac{2 \theta-1}{p}} E_{12}+E_{21}\right)
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\left(\frac{1-c}{c}\right)^{\frac{2 \theta-1}{p}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
b & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad|a|^{p}+|b|^{p}=1
$$

so that $\|Y\|_{p}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{p, \theta}(Y) & =(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(a E_{12}+a \delta E_{21}+b \delta^{-1} E_{12}+b E_{21}\right) \\
& =(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(a+b \delta^{-1}\right) E_{12}+(a \delta+b) E_{21}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{p, \theta}(Y)\right\|_{p}^{p}=(c(1-c))^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\left(a+b \delta^{-1}\right)^{p}+(a \delta+b)^{p}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Proposition 6.2, it therefore suffices to show that for any $1 \leq p<2$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$ satisfying (6.1), there exist $a, b>0$ and $c \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
a^{p}+b^{p}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad(c(1-c))^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\left(a+b \delta^{-1}\right)^{p}+(a \delta+b)^{p}\right)>1
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (6.2).
We first assume that $\underline{p>1}$. We let $q=\frac{p}{p-1}$ denote its conjugate exponent. Given $c \in(0,1)$ and $\delta$ as above, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left(\frac{\delta^{q}}{1+\delta^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

They satisfy $a^{p}+b^{p}=1$ as required. Note that these values of $(a, b)$ are chosen in order to maximize the quantity $(c(1-c))^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\left(a+b \delta^{-1}\right)^{p}+(a \delta+b)^{p}\right)$, according to the Lagrange multiplier method.

We set

$$
c_{t}=\frac{1}{2}+t, \quad-\frac{1}{2}<t<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Then we denote by $\delta_{t}, a_{t}, b_{t}$ the real numbers $\delta, a, b$ defined by (6.2) and (6.4) when $c=c_{t}$. Also we set

$$
\gamma_{t}=\left(c_{t}\left(1-c_{t}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{m}_{t}=\gamma_{t}\left(\left(a_{t}+b_{t} \delta_{t}^{-1}\right)^{p}+\left(a_{t} \delta_{t}+b_{t}\right)^{p}\right)
$$

It follows from above that it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{m}_{t}>1$ for some $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. We will prove this property by writing the second order Taylor expansion of $\mathfrak{m}_{t}$.

We have

$$
\left(a_{t}+b_{t} \delta_{t}^{-1}\right)^{p}+\left(a_{t} \delta_{t}+b_{t}\right)^{p}=\left(1+\delta_{t}^{-p}\right)\left(a_{t} \delta_{t}+b_{t}\right)^{p}
$$

Moreover

$$
a_{t} \delta_{t}=\frac{\delta_{t}^{\frac{q}{p}+1}}{\left(1+\delta_{t}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}=\frac{\delta_{t}^{q}}{\left(1+\delta_{t}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left(a_{t}+b_{t} \delta_{t}^{-1}\right)^{p}+\left(a_{t} \delta_{t}+b_{t}\right)^{p}=\left(1+\delta_{t}^{-p}\right)\left(1+\delta_{t}^{q}\right)^{p-1}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{t}=\gamma_{t}\left(1+\delta_{t}^{-p}\right)\left(\delta_{t}^{q}+1\right)^{p-1}
$$

In the sequel, we write

$$
f_{t} \equiv g_{t}
$$

when $f_{t}=g_{t}+o\left(t^{2}\right)$ when $t \rightarrow 0$.
We note that $c_{t}\left(1-c_{t}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+t\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(1-4 t^{2}\right)$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t} \equiv \frac{1}{2^{p}}\left(1-2 p t^{2}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\lambda=2 \theta-1$ for convenience. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{t} & =\left(\frac{1-2 t}{1+2 t}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} \\
& \equiv\left((1-2 t)\left(1-2 t+4 t^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} \\
& \equiv\left(1-4 t+8 t^{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} \\
& \equiv 1-\frac{4 \lambda}{p} t+\frac{8 \lambda}{p} t^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}-1\right)(4 t)^{2} \\
& \equiv 1-\frac{4 \lambda}{p} t+\frac{8 \lambda^{2}}{p^{2}} t^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{t}^{q} & \equiv 1-\frac{4 \lambda q}{p} t+\frac{8 \lambda^{2} q}{p^{2}} t^{2}+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1)\left(\frac{4 \lambda}{p}\right)^{2} t^{2} \\
& \equiv 1-\frac{4 \lambda q}{p} t+\frac{8 \lambda^{2} q^{2}}{p^{2}} t^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{t}^{-p} \equiv 1+4 \lambda t+8 \lambda^{2} t^{2} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p-1=\frac{p}{q}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\delta_{t}^{q}\right)^{p-1} & \equiv 2^{\frac{p}{q}}\left(1-\frac{2 \lambda q}{p} t+\frac{4 \lambda^{2} q^{2}}{p^{2}} t^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\
& \equiv 2^{\frac{p}{q}}\left(1-2 \lambda t+\frac{4 \lambda^{2} q}{p} t^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{q}\left(\frac{p}{q}-1\right)\left(\frac{2 \lambda q}{p}\right)^{2} t^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv 2^{\frac{p}{q}}\left(1-2 \lambda t+2 \lambda^{2} q t^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this expansion with (6.5) and (6.6), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}_{t} & \equiv \frac{1}{2^{p}}\left(1-2 p t^{2}\right) \cdot 2\left(1+2 \lambda t+4 \lambda^{2} t^{2}\right) \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}}\left(1-2 \lambda t+2 \lambda^{2} q t^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(1-2 p t^{2}\right)\left(1+2 \lambda^{2} q t^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}_{t} \equiv 1+\alpha t^{2} \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha=2\left(\lambda^{2} q-p\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second order coefficient $\alpha$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =2 q\left((2 \theta-1)^{2}-\frac{p}{q}\right) \\
& =8 q\left(\theta^{2}-\theta+\frac{q-p}{4 q}\right) \\
& =8 q\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)\left(\theta-\theta_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\theta_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{p-1}) \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{p-1})
$$

Now assume (6.1). Then $\alpha>0$. Hence (6.7) ensures the existence of $t>0$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_{t}>1$, which concludes the proof (in the case $p>1$ ).

We now consider the case $p=1$. We apply the same method as before, with

$$
a=1 \quad \text { and } \quad b=0
$$

According to (6.3), it will suffice to show that whenever $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $c \in(0,1)$ such that $(c(1-c))^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\delta)>1$.

Again we set $c_{t}=\frac{1}{2}+t$, for $-\frac{1}{2}<t<\frac{1}{2}$, we define $\delta_{t}$ accordingly and we set

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{t}=\left(c_{t}\left(1-c_{t}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\delta_{t}\right)
$$

It follows from the previous calculations that

$$
\left(c_{t}\left(1-c_{t}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}+o(t) \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{t}=1-4(2 \theta-1) t+o(t)
$$

Consequently

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{t}=1-2(2 \theta-1) t+o(t)
$$

This order one expansion ensures that if $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $t \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}(t)>1$, which concludes the proof (in the case $p=1$ ).

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $(p, \theta)$ satisfying (6.1). Thanks to Proposition 6.2, let $T_{0}: M_{2} \rightarrow$ $M_{2}$ and let $\varphi_{0}$ be a faithful state on $M_{2}$ such that $\varphi_{0} \circ T_{0}=\varphi_{0}$ and $\left\|\left\{T_{0}\right\}_{p, \theta}\right\|>1$. We apply Proposition 5.2 with $\left(A_{k}, \varphi_{k}, T_{k}\right)=\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{0}, T_{0}\right)$ for all $k \geq 1$. In this case,

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{p, \theta}\right\|=\left\|\left\{T_{0}\right\}_{p, \theta}\right\|^{n}
$$

and the latter goes to $\infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $T_{p, \theta}$ is unbounded.

Remark 6.3. With Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 6.1, we have solved Question 2.2 in the following cases: (i) $p \geq 2$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$; (ii) $1 \leq p<2$ and $\theta \in[1-p / 2, p / 2]$; (iii) $1 \leq p<2$ and $\theta \in\left[0,2^{-1}(1-\sqrt{p-1})\right) ;$ (iv) $1 \leq p<2$ and $\theta \in\left(2^{-1}(1+\sqrt{p-1}), 1\right]$.

However we do not know the answer to Question 2.2 when $1 \leq p<2$ and

$$
\theta \in\left[2^{-1}(1-\sqrt{p-1}), 1-p / 2\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \theta \in\left(p / 2,2^{-1}(1+\sqrt{p-1})\right]
$$

Writing a $(+)$ when Question 2.2 has a positive answer, a $(-)$ when it has a negative answer and a (?) when we do not know the answer, we obtain the following diagram:
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