

GENERALISED CHECKERBOARD LATTICES

ATSUSHI MATSUO AND HIROKI SHIMAKURA

ABSTRACT. A series of integral lattices parametrised by integers k, m, n are introduced and investigated, where n is the rank of the lattice, including the root lattices described in a uniform way and unimodular lattices such as the Niemeier lattices of type A_{24} and D_{24} . The lattices are characterised by means of a sublattice isomorphic to the root lattice of type A_{n-1} . A sufficient condition for existence of an orthogonal k -frame of such a lattice is given in terms of symmetric 2-designs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. Consider the symmetric bilinear form $(\ |)_{k,m,n}$ on \mathbb{Q}^n defined by the matrix

$$(1.1) \quad B_{k,m,n} = I_n + \frac{k-m}{m^2} J_n,$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of order n and J_n the all 1 matrix of the same order. Let $\text{lat}(x)$ denote the sum of the entries of $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, which we call the *latitude* of x . Then, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^n$,

$$(1.2) \quad (x|y)_{k,m,n} = x \cdot y + \frac{\text{lat}(x)\text{lat}(y)}{m^2}(k-m),$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the Euclidean inner product of $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^n$. We will denote the squared norm $(x|x)_{k,m,n}$ by $|x|_{k,m,n}^2$. We sometimes omit writing the subscripts for short.

Consider the subgroup $L_{k,m,n}$ of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ consisting of the elements of latitude divisible by m :

$$L_{k,m,n} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \text{lat}(x) \in m\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is clear by (1.2) that the free abelian group $L_{k,m,n}$ equipped with $(\ |)_{k,m,n}$ is an integral lattice.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11H06, Secondary 17B22.

Key words and phrases. Even lattices, root systems, unimodular lattices, block designs.

H. Shimakura was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19KK0065, JP20K03505 and JP24K06658.

the lattices $L_{2,3,n}$ agree with the E_n lattices for $3 \leq n \leq 8$, where $E_3 = A_2 \oplus A_1$, $E_4 = A_4$, $E_5 = D_5$, thus giving rise to a uniform construction of the root lattices:

$$L_{2,1,n} = A_n \ (1 \leq n), \quad L_{2,2,n} = D_n \ (2 \leq n), \quad L_{2,3,n} = E_n \ (3 \leq n \leq 8).$$

The construction of $L_{2,3,n} = E_n$ for $n = 6, 7, 8$ is seen to be the dual of the uniform construction of E_6, E_7, E_8 by Shioda [20] (cf. Note 4.3).

The lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ is worth calling the *generalised checkerboard lattice*, for the lattices $L_{2,2,n} = D_n$ are often called the checkerboard lattice, among which the lattice $D_2 = A_1 \oplus A_1$ is the ordinary checkerboard.

By construction, the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ contains a primitive sublattice of rank $n - 1$ isomorphic to the root lattice A_{n-1} . We will show in Sect. 3 that this property characterises the lattices $L_{k,m,n}$ (Theorem 1), after describing some basic properties of $L_{k,m,n}$ in Sect. 2.

In Sect. 4, we will examine the cases when the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ becomes a root lattice (Subsect. 4.1) and those when it is unimodular (Subsect. 4.2). For example, the Niemeier lattices ([15]) of type A_{24} and D_{24} arise as $N(A_{24}) = L_{4,5,24}$ and $N(D_{24}) = L_{6,11,24}$, respectively. We will also describe a particular setting under which the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ carries an orthogonal k -frame (Subsect. 4.3). In particular, any symmetric 2 - (n, m, λ) design gives rise to an orthogonal $(m - \lambda)$ -frame of $L_{m-\lambda,m,n}$ if $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ (Proposition 4.8).

In Appendix A, we list up the roots when $L_{2,m,n}$ is a root lattice and calculate the orders of the Weyl groups as an application.

The existence of a sublattice of type A_{n-1} in our construction, although not a full sublattice, is in contrast with the existence of a sublattice of type $A_1^n = \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z}^n$ in Construction A of Leech-Sloane [11], a construction of integral lattices from binary codes.

We note that our construction can be generalised at least in two directions: one is to adjoin codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ for an integer $r \geq 2$, and the other is to replace A_{n-1} or the ambient lattice \mathbb{Z}^n with other lattices. A recent work of Shimada [19] seems to fit in a position of such generalisation, as Corollary 1.1 of Ref. [19] certainly shares the same feature with ours.

After finishing this work, the authors came to know that the construction for $k = 2$ has already been considered by Jensen et al. [9] and further studied by Baur et al. [1].

We refer the reader to [4], [5], [14], and [18] for integral lattices, to [3] and [8] for root systems, and to [2] and [10] for block designs.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE LATTICES

Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$ and consider the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$.

2.1. Determinants and signatures. The determinant of the matrix $B_{k,m,n}$ of the bilinear form $(\ |)_{k,m,n}$ on \mathbb{Q}^n is easily calculated, and the result reads

$$\det B_{k,m,n} = \det\left(I_n + \frac{k-m}{m^2}J_n\right) = 1 + \frac{(k-m)n}{m^2}.$$

Since $|\mathbb{Z}^n/L_{k,m,n}| = m$, the determinant (or the discriminant) of the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ is given by $m^2 \det B_{k,m,n}$, thus

$$\det L_{k,m,n} = m^2 - mn + kn.$$

As the base lattice $M = L_{k,m,n}[0] \cong A_{n-1}$ is positive-definite of rank $n-1$, the signature of $L_{k,m,n}$ is determined by the sign of $\det L_{k,m,n}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$.*

- (1) *If $m^2 - mn + kn > 0$, then the signature of $L_{k,m,n}$ is $(n, 0, 0)$.*
- (2) *If $m^2 - mn + kn = 0$, then the signature of $L_{k,m,n}$ is $(n-1, 0, 1)$.*
- (3) *If $m^2 - mn + kn < 0$, then the signature of $L_{k,m,n}$ is $(n-1, 1, 0)$.*

In other words, $L_{k,m,n}$ is positive-definite, positive-semidefinite with one-dimensional radical, and hyperbolic if and only if $m^2 - mn + kn$ is positive, zero, and negative, respectively.

The parameters k, m, n for which the number $m^2 - mn + kn$ coincides with a given integer d are characterised as follows.

Lemma 2.2. *Let d, k, m, n be integers. Then $m^2 - mn + kn = d$ if and only if there exist integers p, q satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad k^2 - d = pq, \quad m = k + p, \quad n = 2k + p + q.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that (2.1) implies $m^2 - mn + kn = d$. Conversely, let d, k, m, n be integers and assume $m^2 - mn + kn = d$. Since m is an integer, the discriminant $n^2 - 4kn + 4d$ is a square of an integer $s \geq 0$. Moreover, since n is an integer, $4k^2 - 4d + s^2$ is a square of an integer $t \geq 0$. For such s and t , we have

$$(2.2) \quad 4k^2 - 4d = t^2 - s^2, \quad 2m = 2k \pm t \pm s, \quad n = 2k \pm t.$$

Let p and q be the halves of $t + s$ and $t - s$, respectively. They are integers by (2.2), and any change of signs of s and t is achieved by replacing (p, q) with one of $\pm(p, q), \pm(q, p)$, all of which are solutions (x, y) of the same equation $k^2 - d = xy$. We may therefore assume that the signs in (2.2) are all positive, and the conditions (2.2) reduce to (2.1) as desired. \square

Applying Lemma 2.2 to the parameters of the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$, we immediately obtain that $\det L_{k,m,n} = d$ if and only if there exist integers p, q satisfying the conditions (2.1).

Remark 2.3. If $\det L_{k,m,n} = d$, then $n^2 - 4kn + 4d \geq 0$, hence $k \leq n/4 + d/n$ if $n \neq 0$. In particular, if $(n, d) = (8, 1)$, $(7, 2)$, or $(6, 3)$, for instance, then $k \leq 2$.

2.2. The opposite lattices. It is clear from the diagram in Sect. 1 that the lattices $L_{k,m,n}$ and $L_{k,n-m,n}$ are isomorphic to each other if $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$.

To be more precise, let k, m, n be arbitrary integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. Consider the linear map $\theta_{m,n}: \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n$ defined by setting

$$(2.3) \quad \theta_{m,n}(x) = x - \frac{\text{lat}(x)}{m}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n).$$

In particular, $\theta_{m,n}(e_i - e_j) = e_i - e_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and

$$\theta_{m,n}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) = -\frac{n-m}{m}(e_1 + \cdots + e_n).$$

Since $e_1 + \cdots + e_n, e_2 - e_1, \dots, e_n - e_{n-1}$ form a basis of \mathbb{Q}^n , the map $\theta_{m,n}$ is a linear isomorphism with the inverse given by $\theta_{n-m,n}$ unless $m = n$.

Now the latitudes of elements of \mathbb{Q}^n behave under $\theta_{m,n}$ as

$$\text{lat}(\theta_{m,n}(x)) = -\frac{\text{lat}(x)}{m}(n-m).$$

Thus $\text{lat}(x) \in m\mathbb{Z}$ implies $\text{lat}(\theta_{m,n}(x)) \in (n-m)\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, if $m \neq n$, then the map $\theta_{m,n}$ restricts to a homomorphism of abelian groups between $L_{k,m,n}$ and $L_{k,n-m,n}$, and it follows from (1.2) and (2.3) that $(\theta_{m,n}(x)|\theta_{m,n}(y))_{k,n-m,n} = (x|y)_{k,m,n}$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^n$.

We have thus obtained the following.

Proposition 2.4. *Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0, n$ and $n \geq 1$. Then $\theta_{m,n}$ induces an isomorphism of lattices between $L_{k,m,n}$ and $L_{k,n-m,n}$.*

We will say that the lattice $L_{k,n-m,n}$ is *opposite* to $L_{k,m,n}$. The proposition says that a pair of opposite lattices are isomorphic to each other. For example, if $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, then

$$\theta_{m,n}(e_1 + \cdots + e_m) = -(e_{m+1} + \cdots + e_n).$$

Remark 2.5. (1) If $\det B \neq 0$, then $e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ spans the subspace $(M^\perp)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ orthogonal to the \mathbb{Q} -span $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the base lattice $M = L[0]$, and the properties $\theta_{m,n} = 1$ on $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\theta_{m,n} = -(n-m)/m$ on $(M^\perp)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ characterise the map $\theta_{m,n}$.

(2) Since the bilinear form is stable under the change of parameters given by $(k, m) \leftrightarrow (k - 2m, -m)$, that is, $(|)_{k,m,n} = (|)_{k-2m,-m,n}$, the lattices $L_{k,m,n}$ and $L_{k-2m,-m,n}$ are identical. Therefore, the parameters of the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ are put in a form satisfying $1 \leq m \leq n$ by repeatedly applying $L_{k,m,n} = L_{k-2m,-m,n}$ and $L_{k,m,n} \cong L_{k,n-m,n}$. If $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, we may further assume $1 \leq m \leq n - m$.

2.3. The dual lattices. Recall that the *dual lattice* of an integral lattice $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ is the free abelian group $L^* = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^n \mid (x|L) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ equipped with the restriction of the \mathbb{Q} -linear extension of the bilinear form of L , which need not be an integral lattice, but a *rational lattice*.

Recall the matrix $B = B_{k,m,n}$ of the bilinear form $(\mid)_{k,m,n}$ on \mathbb{Q}^n defined by (1.1). Assume $\det B \neq 0$, that is, the bilinear form is nondegenerate. Identify \mathbb{Q}^n with its dual space via the bilinear form.

Let e^1, \dots, e^n be the dual basis of the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathbb{Q}^n . The two bases are related as

$$e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (e_i|e_j)e^j \quad \text{and} \quad e^i = \sum_{j=1}^n (e^i|e^j)e_j.$$

Here the coefficients of the second equalities are the entries of the inverse matrix $C = B^{-1}$, given by

$$(e^i|e^j) = \delta_{i,j} - \frac{k-m}{m^2 - mn + kn} = \delta_{i,j} + \frac{m-k}{\det L_{k,m,n}}.$$

Consider the following vector in \mathbb{Q}^n :

$$e^0 = \frac{1}{m}(e^1 + \dots + e^n).$$

Then, for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$,

$$(x|e^0) = \frac{\text{lat}(x)}{m}, \quad (x|e^i) = x_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Therefore, it is immediate that the dual lattice $L_{k,m,n}^*$ includes the \mathbb{Z} -span of e^0, e^1, \dots, e^n , and it is easy to show the opposite inclusion as well. We thus obtain the following.

Proposition 2.6. *Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. If $\det B \neq 0$, then e^0, e^1, \dots, e^n generate the dual lattice $L_{k,m,n}^*$.*

Remark 2.7. (1) If $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, then $(e^0|e_{i+1} - e_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and $(e^0|e_1 + \dots + e_m) = 1$, whence for $k=2$ the vector e^0 is the fundamental weight corresponding to the node $e_1 + \dots + e_m$ of the diagram in Sect. 1.

(2) If $1 \leq m \leq n$, then the element $z_j = (m-k)(e_1 + \dots + e_n) + (\det L)e_j$ of $L = L_{k,m,n}$ satisfies $(e_i|z_j) = (\det L)\delta_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$). Hence $w_n = (\det L)^{-1}z_n$ is the fundamental weight corresponding to the node $\alpha_{n-1} = e_n - e_{n-1}$ if $k=2$, $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, and $\det L \neq 0$.

3. CHARACTERISATION OF THE LATTICES

Recall that a sublattice M of an integral lattice L is said to be *primitive* if L/M is torsion free, or equivalently, if $L \cap M_{\mathbb{Q}} = M$, where $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -span of the sublattice M . We will say that a lattice is *nondegenerate* if the bilinear form is nondegenerate.

3.1. Statement of the result. Consider the base lattice $M = L[0]$ of the lattice $L = L_{k,m,n}$. Then, by construction, it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) M is a primitive sublattice of rank $n - 1$.
- (ii) M is isomorphic to the root lattice A_{n-1} .

Existence of such a sublattice M characterises the lattices $L_{k,m,n}$ as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let L be an integral lattice of rank n . Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) L is isomorphic to $L_{k,m,n}$ for some integers k, m with $1 \leq m \leq n$.
- (2) L contains a sublattice M satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii).

The proof will be given in Subject. 3.3.

Remark 3.1. Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. Then, by Theorem 1, there exist some integers k', m' with $1 \leq m' \leq n$ satisfying $L_{k,m,n} \cong L_{k',m',n}$ (cf. Remark 2.5 (2)).

3.2. Preliminaries on lattices. Let L be an integral lattice of rank n and M a sublattice of L . Let M^\perp denote the annihilator of M in L defined as

$$M^\perp = \{x \in L \mid (x|M) = 0\}.$$

Then M is nondegenerate if and only if $L_\mathbb{Q}$ decomposes into the orthogonal sum of $M_\mathbb{Q}$ and $(M^\perp)_\mathbb{Q}$.

Assume that M is nondegenerate and consider the projections $i_M: L \rightarrow M_\mathbb{Q}$ and $i_{M^\perp}: L \rightarrow (M^\perp)_\mathbb{Q}$ according to the orthogonal decomposition. Denote their values at x by x_M and x_{M^\perp} . These maps induce maps

$$j_M: L/(M + M^\perp) \rightarrow M_\mathbb{Q}/M \quad \text{and} \quad j_{M^\perp}: L/(M + M^\perp) \rightarrow (M^\perp)_\mathbb{Q}/M^\perp,$$

respectively, which are group homomorphisms. Let M^* be the dual lattice of M .

Lemma 3.2. (1) *The map i_M is valued in M^* .*

(2) *The map j_M is injective.*

(3) *If M is primitive, then the map j_{M^\perp} is injective.*

Proof. For $x \in L$, we have $x_M \in M^*$ by $(x_M|M) = (x - x_{M^\perp}|M) = (x|M) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map j_M is injective since if $x_M \in M$, then $x_{M^\perp} = x - x_M \in L \cap (M^\perp)_\mathbb{Q} = M^\perp$, thus $x = x_M + x_{M^\perp} \in M + M^\perp$. If $M_\mathbb{Q} \cap L = M$, then j_{M^\perp} is also injective by the same reason. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If M is primitive and $\text{rank } M^\perp = 1$, then $L/(M + M^\perp)$ is cyclic.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 (3), the group homomorphism j_{M^\perp} is injective. So the group $L/(M + M^\perp)$ is cyclic as its image under the injective homomorphism j_{M^\perp} is a finite subgroup of $(M^\perp)_\mathbb{Q}/M^\perp \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Remark 3.4. By Lemma 3.2, the group $L/(M + M^\perp)$ is identified with a subgroup of M^*/M . Hence $|L/(M + M^\perp)|$ divides $|M^*/M|$, and if M^*/M is cyclic, then $L/(M + M^\perp)$ is also cyclic.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1. It remains to show that (2) implies (1). Let L be an integral lattice of rank n and M a sublattice satisfying (i) and (ii), that is, M is a primitive sublattice of rank $n-1$ and isomorphic to the root lattice A_{n-1} . We are to show $L \cong L_{k,m,n}$ for some integers k and m .

Proof. Since M is nondegenerate by (ii), $L_\mathbb{Q} = M_\mathbb{Q} \oplus (M^\perp)_\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, $\text{rank } M^\perp = 1$. If $L = M + M^\perp$, then $L \cong L_{k,m,n}$ with $k = |\nu|^2$ and $m = n$ for a generator ν of M^\perp ; we may and do assume $L \neq M + M^\perp$.

By Lemma 3.3, the group $L/(M + M^\perp)$ is cyclic, say of order $d \geq 2$. Let λ be a representative in L of a generator of $L/(M + M^\perp)$ and ν a generator of M^\perp . By Lemma 3.2 (3), $\lambda_{M^\perp} = (c/d)\nu$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(c, d) = 1$. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ac + bd = 1$. Then $L = a\lambda + M + M^\perp$ by $\gcd(a, d) = 1$. Since $a\lambda_M \in M^*$ by Lemma 3.2 (1), there exists $\mu \in M$ such that $a\lambda_M + \mu$ becomes one of the fundamental weights with respect to any prescribed choice of the simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ of $M \cong A_{n-1}$ ordered in accordance with $e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n$ as usual.

Let k be the squared norm of $\beta = a\lambda + \mu + b\nu \in L$ and m the position of the simple root corresponding to the fundamental weight $\beta_M = a\lambda_M + \mu$. Then $L \cong L_{k,m,n}$ since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ and β form a basis of L and the two lattices have the same Gram matrix. To see that M and β generate L , note $d\beta_{M^\perp} = ad\lambda_{M^\perp} + bd\nu = ac\nu + bd\nu = \nu$. Since $d\beta_M = ad\lambda_M + d\mu \in M$, we have $d\beta_{M^\perp} = d\beta - d\beta_M \in \mathbb{Z}\beta + M$. So $M^\perp = \mathbb{Z}\nu = \mathbb{Z}d\beta_{M^\perp} \subset \mathbb{Z}\beta + M$, thus $L = \mathbb{Z}\beta + M + M^\perp = \mathbb{Z}\beta + M$. \square

Remark 3.5. By the same proof as above, the following statement holds true. Let L be an integral lattice of rank n , M a primitive sublattice of rank $n-1$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ a basis of M . If M is nondegenerate, then there exists an element $\beta \in L$ such that $L = \mathbb{Z}\beta + M$, $\beta_M \in M^*$, and $(\beta_M | \alpha_i) = \delta_{i,m}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) for some m with $1 \leq m \leq n$. We note that if M is a root lattice, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ the simple roots, and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, then β_M is a minuscule weight. (For A_{n-1} , every fundamental weight is minuscule.)

4. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

Let k, m, n be integers with $m \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. In classifying the lattices $L_{k,m,n}$, we may assume without loss of generality that the parameters satisfy $1 \leq m \leq n$ by Remark 2.5 (2). If $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, we may further assume $m \leq n - m$.

4.1. Root lattices. By a *root lattice*, we mean a positive-definite lattice generated by its roots, the elements of squared norm 2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let k, m, n be integers with $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then $L_{k,m,n}$ is a root lattice only if $k = 2$.*

Proof. Assume that $L = L_{k,m,n}$ is a root lattice and let $M = L[0]$ be the base lattice. Since $1 \leq m \leq n$, we must have $k = |e_1 + \cdots + e_m|^2 \geq 2$. Assume $k \geq 4$. If $x \in L[m]$, then $|x|^2 \geq k \geq 4$. Therefore, $L[m]$ has no root. Since L is generated by roots, there exists $h > m$ such that $L[h]$ has a root α . Then the full sublattice $M + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ is a root lattice containing A_{n-1} . Such a sublattice exists only when L is of type E_n with $n = 6, 7, 8$ (cf. [13]), for which only $k = 2$ is allowed by Remark 2.3. \square

The parameters for which $L_{k,m,n}$ becomes a root lattice are classified by the following proposition under the assumption $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, while $L_{2,n,n} = A_{n-1} \oplus A_1$ for $m = n$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let k, m, n be integers with $1 \leq m \leq n - m$. Then $L_{k,m,n}$ is a root lattice if and only if $k = 2$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) $m = 1$ and $2 \leq n$. (2) $m = 2$ and $4 \leq n$. (3) $m = 3$ and $6 \leq n \leq 8$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the condition $k = 2$ is necessary. It is easy to check ‘if part’ by consulting the diagram in Sect. 1. The proof of ‘only if’ part is also easy by positivity of $\det L_{2,m,n} = m^2 - mn + 2n$. \square

The three cases correspond to A_n , D_n , and E_n , respectively, as mentioned in Sect. 1. See Appendix for the lists of roots.

Note 4.3. (1) For $L_{2,3,n} = E_n$ ($n = 6, 7, 8$), choose the simple roots $e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n, -e_1 - e_2 - e_3$. Recall the dual basis e^1, \dots, e^n of e_1, \dots, e_n and the element $e^0 = (1/3)(e^1 + \cdots + e^n)$ in Subsect. 2.3. Then

$$(e^i | e^j) = \delta_{i,j} + \frac{1}{9-n} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n),$$

and the simple roots are expressed as $e^1 - e^2, \dots, e^{n-1} - e^n, e^0 - e^1 - e^2 - e^3$ in agreement with the description of E_6, E_7, E_8 by Shioda [20] (cf. [12],[17]) under identification of e^0, e^1, \dots, e^n with v_0, u_1, \dots, u_n in Ref. [20].

(2) Let B_n, C_n, F_n , and G_n denote the lattices generated by the root systems of the corresponding types, respectively, normalised so that the long roots have squared norm 2. These lattices are also realised as $L_{k,m,n}$ up to rescaling: $B_n = L_{1,1,n} = \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\sqrt{2}C_n = L_{4,1,n}$, $\sqrt{2}F_4 = L_{2,2,4} = D_4$, and $\sqrt{3}G_2 = L_{2,1,2} = A_2$.

4.2. Unimodular lattices. Recall that a lattice is *unimodular* if and only if its determinant is ± 1 . For $L = L_{k,m,n}$, it is the case when $m^2 - mn + kn = \pm 1$, and such parameters are classified by Lemma 2.2 with $d = \pm 1$.

Let us restrict our attention to positive-definite lattices and assume $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then k is necessarily positive, and $L = L_{1,1,1} = \mathbb{Z}$ if $m = n$. Therefore, it suffices to consider the case with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, whence the integers p, q in Lemma 2.2 are nonnegative for $d = 1$. The classification is now achieved by the following.

Proposition 4.4. *Let k, m, n be integers with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Then $L_{k,m,n}$ is positive-definite and unimodular if and only if there exist nonnegative integers p, q satisfying $k^2 - 1 = pq$, $m = k + p$, and $n = 2k + p + q$.*

Remark 4.5. (1) The isomorphism $L_{k,m,n} \cong L_{k,n-m,n}$ of opposite lattices corresponds to switching of p and q in Proposition 4.4.

(2) Assume $1 \leq m \leq n - m$. For $k = 1$, the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ is positive-definite only when $m = 1$, and the lattice $L_{1,1,n} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ is unimodular. For $k \geq 2$, the integers p, q in Proposition 4.4 must be positive.

Here are a couple of instances when the lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ becomes positive-definite and unimodular. It is even if and only if k is even.

Example 4.6. (1) The lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ with $m = k + 1$ and $n = k^2 + 2k$ is positive-definite and unimodular for all $k \geq 1$. It contains a sublattice of type A_n formed by the simple roots $e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_1 + \dots + e_{n-1} + 2e_n$. For $k = 2$, the lattice $L_{2,3,8}$ is isomorphic to E_8 and it contains a sublattice of type A_8 . For $k = 4$, the lattice $L_{4,5,24}$ is isomorphic to the Niemeier lattice $N(A_{24})$ of type A_{24} . The lattice $L_{k,k+1,k^2+2k+1}$ is degenerate and $L_{k,k+1,k^2+2k+2}$ is hyperbolic and unimodular.

(2) The lattice $L_{k,m,n}$ with $m = 2k - 1$ and $n = 4k$ is positive-definite and unimodular for all $k \geq 1$. As it contains a sublattice of type D_n formed by the simple roots $e_2 - e_1, \dots, e_n - e_{n-1}, e_1 + \dots + e_{n-2}$, the lattice is isomorphic to D_n^+ . For $k = 2$, the lattice $L_{2,3,8}$ is isomorphic to E_8 and it contains a sublattice of type D_8 . For $k = 6$, the lattice $L_{6,11,24} \cong D_{24}^+$ is the Niemeier lattice $N(D_{24})$ of type D_{24} .

Note 4.7. As the rank of an even positive-definite unimodular lattice is divisible by 8, if $L_{k,m,n}$ is such a lattice, then $k \leq n/4$ follows by Remark 2.3. For example, if $1 \leq m \leq n = 24$, then $2 \leq k \leq 6$ with k even, and we can easily check by Proposition 4.4 that

the lattices $L_{4,5,24} \cong L_{4,19,24}$ and $L_{6,11,24} \cong L_{6,13,24} \cong D_{24}^+$ in Example 4.6 are the only possibilities. It is not difficult to show that $L_{n/4,n/2-1,n} \cong L_{n/4,n/2+1,n} \cong D_n^+$ is the only possibility in case n is a power of 2.

4.3. Orthogonal frames and symmetric designs. Let k, m, n be integers with $k \neq 0$ and $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, and set $X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. We will call each element of X_n a *point* and a subset of X_n consisting of m distinct points an *m-set*.

For each m -set I , write $e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$, which is an element of latitude m and belongs to $L = L_{k,m,n}$. Then $(e_I | e_J) = |I \cap J| + k - m$ for m -sets I and J , hence $(e_I | e_J) = 0$ if and only if $|I \cap J| = m - k$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a set of m -sets of X_n , and let $E(\mathcal{B})$ denote the set of elements of the form e_I for $I \in \mathcal{B}$. If $|I \cap J| = m - k$ for any distinct $I, J \in \mathcal{B}$, then the elements of $E(\mathcal{B})$ are orthogonal to each other with squared norm k . Therefore, under the same assumption and $|\mathcal{B}| = n$, the set $E(\mathcal{B})$ is an *orthogonal k-frame* of L , where k necessarily satisfies $1 \leq k \leq m$.

The condition that $|I \cap J|$ is constant for distinct $I, J \in \mathcal{B}$ means that the pair (X_n, \mathcal{B}) is the *dual* of a *2-design*, which becomes a *symmetric 2-design* (or a *square 2-design*) if and only if $|\mathcal{B}| = n$. The standard parameter of such a symmetric design is given by $2-(n, m, \lambda)$, where λ is the intersection number $|I \cap J|$ of distinct $I, J \in \mathcal{B}$.

In summary, we have the following.

Proposition 4.8. *Let k, m, n be integers with $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n - 1$, and let \mathcal{B} be a set of m -sets of X_n . Then $E(\mathcal{B})$ is an orthogonal k -frame of $L_{k,m,n}$ if and only if (X_n, \mathcal{B}) is a symmetric $2-(n, m, m - k)$ design.*

Example 4.9. Consider the lattice $L_{k,2k-1,4k-1}$ ($k \geq 1$) of determinant k , which is a sublattice of $L_{k,2k-1,4k}$ of Example 4.6 (2) and contains a sublattice of type $A_{4k-1} \subset D_{4k}$. If (X_{4k-1}, \mathcal{B}) is a symmetric $2-(4k-1, 2k-1, k-1)$ design, then $E(\mathcal{B})$ becomes an orthogonal k -frame of $L_{k,2k-1,4k-1}$. Existence of such a design is equivalent to that of an Hadamard matrix of order $4k$ (cf. [2],[10]). Thus the design exists if k is a power of 2, for example, and existence for all k is an open problem equivalent to the Hadamard conjecture [6].

We may generalise the construction of orthogonal subsets as above by replacing e_I with de_I or m -sets with dm -sets etc., where d is a positive integer and $dm \leq n$ for the latter.

Example 4.10. Consider the lattice $L_{k,2k-1,4k} = D_{4k}^+$ in Example 4.6 (2) and set $\mathcal{B} = \{X_{4k} \setminus \{2i-1, 2i\} \mid i = 1, \dots, 2k\}$. Then the elements of $E(\mathcal{B})$ together with $e_1 - e_2, e_3 - e_4, \dots, e_{4k-1} - e_{4k}$ form an orthogonal 2-frame of D_{4k}^+ .

Note 4.11. An integral lattice L admits an orthogonal k -frame if and only if it is constructed from a code over $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ by (a generalization of) Construction A (cf. [4],[7]). If L

is an irreducible root lattice, it is the case when L is of type A_1 , D_n ($n \geq 4$ and even), E_7 , and E_8 (cf. [5]). An orthogonal 2-frame of $D_n = L_{2,2,n}$ (n even) is easily found to be given by $e_1 \pm e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} \pm e_n$, and one for E_7 is described by Example 4.9 by letting (X_7, \mathcal{B}) be the Fano plane. The seven roots of an orthogonal 2-frame of E_7 and the highest root of E_8 form an orthogonal 2-frame of E_8 . Example 4.10 with $k = 2$ gives an alternative construction of such a frame of E_8 .

A. ROOTS OF THE ROOT LATTICES

We write the shape of an element $x \in L_{k,m,n} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ as


$$(\dots (-2)^{d-2} (-1)^{d-1} 0^{d_0} 1^{d_1} 2^{d_2} \dots),$$

where d_j is the number of j 's in the coordinates of x . We often omit 0^{d_0} . For example, (1^3) denotes an element of the form $e_{i_1} + e_{i_2} + e_{i_3}$ with distinct i_1, i_2, i_3 , or the set of such elements, depending on the context.

Recall the isomorphism $\theta_{m,n}: L_{k,m,n} \rightarrow L_{k,n-m,n}$ of opposite lattices (Subsect. 2.2), which sends the roots of $L_{k,m,n}$ bijectively onto the roots of $L_{k,n-m,n}$. Therefore, as long as the lists of roots are concerned, we may assume $m \leq n - m$ without loss of generality.


A.1. Roots of $L_{2,m,n}$ ($m = 1, 2, 3$). The symbol ${}_n C_m$ refers to the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m}$.

$L_{2,1,n} = A_n$ ($n \geq 1$).




latitude	shape	number
1	1^1	${}_n C_1 = n$
0	$(-1)^1 1^1$	$2 {}_n C_2 = n(n-1)$
-1	$(-1)^1$	${}_n C_1 = n$
		total: $n(n+1)$.

$L_{2,2,n} = D_n$ ($n \geq 2$).



latitude	shape	number
2	1^2	${}_n C_2 = n(n-1)/2$
0	$(-1)^1 1^1$	$2 {}_n C_2 = n(n-1)$
-2	$(-1)^2$	${}_n C_2 = n(n-1)/2$
		total: $2n(n-1)$.

$L_{2,3,n} = E_n$ ($n = 6, 7, 8$).



$L_{2,3,6} = E_6$.

latitude	shape	number
6	1^6	${}_6 C_6 = 1$
3	1^3	${}_6 C_3 = 20$
0	$(-1)^1 1^1$	$2 {}_6 C_2 = 30$
-3	$(-1)^3$	${}_6 C_3 = 20$
-6	$(-1)^6$	${}_6 C_6 = 1$
		total: 72.

$L_{2,3,7} = E_7$.

latitude	shape	number
6	1^6	${}_7 C_6 = 7$
3	1^3	${}_7 C_3 = 35$
0	$(-1)^1 1^1$	$2 {}_7 C_2 = 42$
-3	$(-1)^3$	${}_7 C_3 = 35$
-6	$(-1)^6$	${}_7 C_6 = 7$
		total: 126.

$L_{2,3,8} = E_8$.

latitude	shape	number
9	$1^7 2^1$	${}_8C_1 = 8$
6	1^6	${}_8C_6 = 28$
3	1^3	${}_8C_3 = 56$
0	$(-1)^1 1^1$	$2 {}_8C_2 = 56$
-3	$(-1)^3$	${}_8C_3 = 56$
-6	$(-1)^6$	${}_8C_6 = 28$
-9	$(-2)^1 (-1)^7$	${}_8C_1 = 8$
total: 240.		

A.2. Orders of the Weyl groups. Let X_n denote the root lattice $L_{2,m,n}$ of type A_n , D_n , and E_n for $m = 1, 2$, and 3 , respectively. Recall the element z_n given in Remark 2.7 (2):

$$z_n = (m - 2)(e_1 + \cdots + e_n) + (\det X_n)e_n.$$

Then $(x|z_n) = (\det X_n)x_n$ for $x \in X_n$. Hence $(x|z_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_n = 0$, and it follows that the isotropy group of z_n in the Weyl group $W(X_n)$ agrees with the subgroup $W(X_{n-1})$ (cf. [8]). Thus the orders of $W(X_n)$ are calculated recursively by $|W(X_n)| = c_n |W(X_{n-1})|$, where c_n denotes the cardinality of the $W(X_n)$ -orbit of z_n .

For A_n and D_n , we have $c_n = n + 1$ and $c_n = 2n$, hence $|W(A_n)| = (n + 1)!$ and $|W(D_n)| = 2^{n-1}n!$ as expected.

The numbers c_n for E_n and the orders of $W(E_n)$ for $n = 6, 7, 8$ are counted as below; an arrow in the schemes indicates that a reflection with respect to a root of shape (1^3) interchanges a pair of elements of the shapes on both sides of the arrow. Note that the action of the subgroup $W(A_{n-1})$ does not change the shapes of elements.

$L_{2,3,6} = E_6$.

$$|W(E_6)| = 27 |W(D_5)| = 27 \cdot 1920 = 51840.$$

$$\frac{z_6 \in (1^5 4^1) \longleftrightarrow ((-2)^2 1^4) \longleftrightarrow ((-2)^5 1^1)}{\text{total: } c_6 = {}_6C_1 + {}_6C_2 + {}_6C_5 = 6 + 15 + 6 = 27.}$$

$L_{2,3,7} = E_7$.

$$|W(E_7)| = 56 |W(E_6)| = 56 \cdot 51840 = 2903040.$$

$$\frac{z_7 \in (1^6 3^1) \longleftrightarrow ((-1)^2 1^5) \longleftrightarrow ((-1)^5 1^2) \longleftrightarrow ((-3)^1 (-1)^6)}{\text{total: } c_7 = {}_7C_1 + {}_7C_2 + {}_7C_5 + {}_7C_6 = 7 + 21 + 21 + 7 = 56.}$$

$$L_{2,3,8} = E_8.$$

$$|W(E_8)| = 240 |W(E_7)| = 240 \cdot 2903040 = 696729600.$$

The $W(E_8)$ -orbit of z_8 is the whole set of the roots since z_8 is a root; we have $c_8 = 240$.

We can count the numbers c_n for $n = 4, 5$ in the same way as well; we may calculate the orders of $W(E_n)$ ($3 \leq n \leq 8$) within the E_n series alone starting with $|W(E_3)| = 12$.

Note A.1. Recall that $w_n = (\det X_n)^{-1} z_n$ is the fundamental weight of X_n corresponding to $\alpha_{n-1} = e_n - e_{n-1}$ (Remark 2.7 (2)). The $W(E_n)$ -orbit of w_n for $n = 6$ and 7 consists of the weights of the minuscule representations of E_6 and E_7 , respectively (cf. [16]). For E_6 , there are two such representations and the weights of the other one constitute the orbit of $-w_6$. The union of the two orbits for E_6 and the orbits for E_7 and E_8 agree with the sets of minimal vectors of the dual lattices E_6^* , E_7^* , and $E_8^* = E_8$, respectively, as described in Ref. [20]. The vector w_n is a minuscule weight for A_n ($1 \leq n$), D_n ($3 \leq n$), and E_n ($4 \leq n \leq 7$). It is a minimal vector of X_n^* for A_n ($1 \leq n$), D_n ($4 \leq n$), and E_n ($6 \leq n \leq 8$).

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Baur, J.-R. Li, and A. Smolensky: Real roots in the root system $T_{2,p,q}$. *J. Lie Theory* 33 (2023), no.4, 1113–1138.
- [2] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz: *Design theory*. *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, 69. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*. Chap. 4,5 et 6, Hermann, Paris (1968).
- [4] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane: *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*. 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [5] W. Ebeling: *Lattices and Codes: A Course Partially Based on Lectures by Friedrich Hirzebruch*, 3rd Ed. *Advanced Lectures in Mathematics*, Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden, 2013.
- [6] J.S. Hadamard: Résolution d’une question relative aux déterminants. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 17, (1893), 240–246.
- [7] M. Harada, A. Munemasa, and B. Venkov: Classification of ternary extremal self-dual codes of length 28. *Math. Comp.* 78 (2009), no.267, 1787–1796.
- [8] J.E. Humphreys: *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [9] B.T. Jensen, A.D. King, and X. Su: A categorification of Grassmannian cluster algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 113 (2016), no.2, 185–212.
- [10] E.S. Lander: *Symmetric designs: an algebraic approach*. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 74 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [11] J. Leech and N.J.A. Sloane: Sphere packings and error-correcting codes. *Canadian J. Math.* 23 (1971), 718–745.
- [12] Yu.I. Manin: *Cubic forms*. *North-Holland Math. Library*, 4., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

- [13] J. Martinet: Perfect lattices in Euclidean spaces. Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 327. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [14] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller: Symmetric bilinear forms. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Band 73. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [15] H.-V. Niemeier: Definite quadratische Formen der Dimension 24 und Diskriminante 1. J. Number Theory 5 (1973), 142–178.
- [16] H. Ochiai: Root lattices and weight lattices of exceptional simple Lie algebras of type E. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 46 (1997), no.1, 23–31.
- [17] M. Schütt and T. Shioda: Mordell-Weil Lattices. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics Volume 70. Springer, Singapore, 2019.
- [18] J.-P. Serre: A course in arithmetic. Graduate. Texts in Math., 7. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [19] I. Shimada: A note on construction of the Leech lattice. Discrete Math. 347 (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 113832, 13 pp.
- [20] T. Shioda: A uniform construction of the root lattices E_6 , E_7 , E_8 and their dual lattices. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 71 (1995), no.7, 140–143.

(A. Matsuo) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

Email address: matsuo@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

(H. Shimakura) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY,
FUKUOKA 814-0180, JAPAN

Email address: shimakura@fukuoka-u.ac.jp