

GENUS AND CROSSCAP OF NORMAL SUBGROUP BASED POWER GRAPH OF FINITE GROUPS

PARVEEN, MANISHA, JITENDER KUMAR*

ABSTRACT. Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G . The normal subgroup based power graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ of G is the simple undirected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma_H(G)) = (G \setminus H) \cup \{e\}$ and two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if either $aH = b^m H$ or $bH = a^n H$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Mohammadi and Ashrafi (Normal subgroup based power graph of finite groups, *Ricerche di Matematica*, 71(02), 549–559, 2022) studied the normal subgroup based power graph of a finite group. In this paper, we continue the study of normal subgroup based power graph and characterize all the pairs (G, H) , where H is a non-trivial normal subgroup of G , such that the genus of $\Gamma_H(G)$ is at most 2. Moreover, we determine all the subgroups H and the quotient groups $\frac{G}{H}$ such that the cross-cap of $\Gamma_H(G)$ is at most three.

1. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND MAIN RESULTS

Graphs associated to finite groups have been studied extensively in the literature. In particular, Cayley graphs, commuting graphs and the power graphs have received considerable attention from various researchers due to their valuable applications (see [7, 9, 10, 11]). The *power graph* $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of a finite group G is a simple undirected graph with vertex set G and two vertices a, b are adjacent if one of them is a power of the other. Topological graph theory mainly focuses on the representation of graphs on surfaces so that no two edges cross each other. Its applications lies in electronic circuit design, where the main purpose is to embed a circuit (represented as a graph) on a circuit board (the surface) without any two connections crossing each other, which could cause a short circuit. A graph is said to be *embeddable* on a topological surface if it can be drawn on a surface so that no two edges cross each other. The *genus* $\gamma(\Gamma)$ of a graph Γ is the minimum non-negative integer g such that the graph can be embedded in a sphere with g handles. The problem of calculating the genus of a graph is NP-hard (cf. [22]). The *cross-cap* $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma)$ of a graph Γ , is the minimum non-negative integer k such that Γ can be embedded in \mathbb{N}_k , where \mathbb{N}_k is a nonorientable surface with k crosscaps. Mirzargar *et al.* [17], classified all the finite groups whose power graphs are planar. In [4], the authors characterized the finite groups whose power graphs are of (non)orientable genus one. All the finite groups with (non)orientable genus two power graphs have been characterized in [14]. The power graphs of finite groups have been studied through various aspects. For more detail on the power graph, we refer the reader to the survey paper [13] and references therein.

Bhuniya and Bera [3] introduced the normal subgroup based power graph. Let G be a finite group and let H be a normal subgroup of G . The *normal subgroup based power graph* $\Gamma_H(G)$ of G based on the normal subgroup H is a simple undirected graph with vertex set is $(G \setminus H) \cup \{e\}$ and two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $xH = y^m H$ or $yH = x^n H$, for some integers m and n . It is a generalization of the usual power graph in which $H = \{e\}$. They classified all the pairs of group (G, H) of a finite group G and a non-trivial normal subgroup H such that $\Gamma_H(G)$ is complete, bipartite, planar, Eulerian and perfect, respectively. Recently, Mohammadi and Ashrafi [15] classified of all the pairs (G, H) of a finite group G and a non-trivial proper normal subgroup H of G such that $\Gamma_H(G)$ is a split, bisplit and $(n - 1)$ -bisplit. They proved that the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is bisplit if and only if $|H| = 2$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Further, they characterized all the pairs (G, H) such that $\Gamma_H(G)$ is unicyclic, bicyclic, tricyclic and tetracyclic, respectively. They showed that the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is unicyclic if and only if $|H| = 2$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is tricyclic if and only if $|H| = 2$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $|H| = 3$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Motivated by the above mentioned work on normal subgroup based power graph of a finite group, in this paper, we classify all the pairs (G, H) of a finite group G and a non-trivial proper normal subgroup H of G such that the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is of genus at most 2. Additionally, we determine all the subgroups H and the quotient groups $\frac{G}{H}$ such that the cross-cap of $\Gamma_H(G)$ is at most three. The main results of this paper are as follows.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25.

Key words and phrases. power graph, genus and cross-cap of a graph.

* Corresponding author.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group and let H be a proper non-trivial normal subgroup of G . Then*

- (i) $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ if and only if one of the following holds:
 - (a) $|H| \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.
 - (b) $|H| = 3$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$.
 - (c) $|H| = 2$ and $\frac{G}{H}$ is isomorphic to one of the groups : $\mathbb{Z}_3, S_3, D_8, \mathbb{Z}_4$.
- (ii) $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 2$ if and only if one of the following holds:
 - (a) $|H| = 7$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.
 - (b) $|H| = 2$ and $\frac{G}{H}$ is a 2-group with exponent 4 such that $\frac{G}{H}$ has exactly two cyclic subgroups of order 4.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite group and let H be a proper non-trivial normal subgroup of G . Then*

- (i) $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ if and only if one of the following holds:
 - (a) $|H| \in \{4, 5\}$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.
 - (b) $|H| = 2$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$.
- (ii) the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ cannot have cross-cap 2.
- (iii) $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$ if and only if one of the following holds:
 - (a) $|H| = 6$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.
 - (b) $|H| \in \{4, 5\}$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.
 - (c) $|H| = 3$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$.
 - (d) $|H| = 2$ and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong D_8$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall essential definitions and results. Let G be a group with the identity element e . The order $o(x)$ of an element $x \in G$ is the smallest positive integer n satisfying $x^n = e$. We write $\pi_G = \{o(g) : g \in G\}$. The least common multiple of the orders of all the elements of G is called the *exponent* of G and it is denoted by $\exp(G)$. For $d \in \pi_G$, by $s_d(G)$ we mean the number of cyclic subgroups of order d in G . A finite group G is called a *p-group* if $|G| = p^\alpha$ for some prime p and integer α . By $\langle x, y \rangle$, we mean the subgroup of G generated by x and y . Let H, K be subgroups of a group G . The subgroup $[H, K]$ of G is defined as the subgroup generated by all elements of the form $[h, k] := h^{-1}k^{-1}hk$, where $h \in H, k \in K$. The *lower central series* of G is the descending sequence

$$G^0 \geq G^1 \geq G^2 \geq \dots \geq G^i \geq G^{i+1} \geq \dots$$

of normal subgroups of G , where $G^0 = G$, $G^1 = [G, G]$ and $G^{i+1} = [G^i, G]$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let G be a finite p -group of order p^n . Then G is said to be of *maximal class* if $G^{n-1} \neq \{e\}$ and $G^n = \{e\}$. The following results are useful in the sequel.

Theorem 2.1. [2, 8, 12, 16] *Let G be a finite p -group of exponent p^k . Assume that G is not cyclic for an odd prime p , and for $p = 2$ it is neither cyclic nor of maximal class. Then*

- (i) $s_p(G) \equiv 1 + p \pmod{p^2}$.
- (ii) $p \mid s_{p^i}(G)$ for every $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Corollary 2.2. [20] *Let G be a finite p -group of exponent p^k . Then $s_{p^i}(G) = 1$, for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, if and only if one of the following occurs:*

- (1) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ and $s_{p^j}(G) = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, or
- (2) $p = 2$ and G is isomorphic to one of the following 2-groups:
 - (i) *dihedral 2-group*

$$D_{2^{k+1}} = \langle x, y : x^{2^k} = 1, y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle, \quad (k \geq 1)$$

where $s_2(G) = 1 + 2^k$ and $s_{2^j}(G) = 1$ for all $(2 \leq j \leq k)$.

- (ii) *generalized quaternion 2-group*

$$Q_{2^{k+1}} = \langle x, y : x^{2^k} = 1, x^{2^{k-1}} = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle, \quad (k \geq 2)$$

where $s_4(G) = 1 + 2^{k-1}$ and $s_{2^j}(G) = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $j \neq 2$.

(iii) *semi-dihedral 2-group*

$$SD_{2^{k+1}} = \langle x, y : x^{2^k} = 1, y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1+2^{k-1}} \rangle, \quad (k \geq 3)$$

where $s_2(G) = 1 + 2^{k-1}$, $s_4(G) = 1 + 2^{k-2}$ and $s_{2^j}(G) = 1$ for all $3 \leq j \leq k$.

In view of Corollary 2.2, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite p -group with exponent p^2 and G contains exactly one cyclic subgroup of order p^2 . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If $p = 2$, then G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 or D_8 .*
- (ii) *If $p > 2$, then G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} .*

Lemma 2.4. [19, Lemma 2.1] *Let G be a finite p -group with exponent p^2 . Then either G has exactly one cyclic subgroup of order p^2 or G contains at least two cyclic subgroups M and N of order p^2 such that $|M \cap N| = p$.*

Theorem 2.5. [5, Section 4, I] *Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G . Then $s_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, where $s_p(G)$ is the number of subgroups of order p .*

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a finite group such that $\pi_G \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and let G has a unique cyclic subgroup of order 3. Then either $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $G \cong S_3$.*

Proof. Let $x \in G$ such that $\langle x \rangle$ be the unique cyclic subgroup of order 3. Since $\pi_G \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we obtain $C_G(x) = \langle x \rangle$. Also, note that for $g \in G$, we have $g^{-1}\langle x \rangle g = \langle x \rangle$. It follows that $\langle x \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G . Consequently, $\frac{G}{\langle x \rangle}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\langle x \rangle)$. It implies that $o(G) \in \{3, 6\}$. Thus, either $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $G \cong S_3$. \square

Now we recall the necessary graph theoretic definitions and notions from [6, 23]. A *graph* Γ is a structure $(V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$, where $V(\Gamma)$ is the vertex set of Γ and $E(\Gamma) \subseteq V(\Gamma) \times V(\Gamma)$ is the edge set of Γ . If $\{u_1, u_2\} \in E(\Gamma)$, then we say that u_1 is *adjacent* to u_2 and we denote it by $u_1 \sim u_2$. Otherwise, we write it as $u_1 \not\sim u_2$. An edge $\{u, v\}$ in a graph Γ is said to be a *loop* if $u = v$. A graph Γ is called a *simple* graph if it has no loops or multiple edges. Throughout the paper, we consider only simple graphs. A *subgraph* Γ' of a graph Γ is defined as a graph where $V(\Gamma')$ and $E(\Gamma')$ are subsets of $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$, respectively. A subgraph Γ' of a graph Γ is an *induced subgraph by a set* $X \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ if $V(\Gamma') = X$ and two vertices u and v of $V(\Gamma')$ are adjacent in Γ' if and only if they are adjacent in Γ . A graph Γ is called *complete* if any two vertices of Γ are adjacent. The complete graph on n vertices is denoted by K_n . A graph Γ is called *bipartite* if $V(\Gamma)$ can be partitioned into two subsets such that no two vertices in the same subset are adjacent. A *complete bipartite* graph is a bipartite graph having its parts sizes n_1 and n_2 such that every vertex in one part is adjacent to each vertex of the second part and it is denoted by K_{n_1, n_2} . In a graph Γ , the *subdivision* of an edge $\{u, v\}$ is the operation of replacing $\{u, v\}$ with a path $u \sim w \sim v$ through a new vertex w . A *subdivision* of Γ is a graph obtained from Γ by successive edge subdivisions. Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be m graphs such that $V(\Gamma_i) \cap V(\Gamma_j) = \emptyset$, for distinct i, j . Then $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_m$ is a graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma_1) \cup \dots \cup V(\Gamma_m)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma_1) \cup \dots \cup E(\Gamma_m)$. We denote by mK_n the union of m copies of K_n . Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be two graphs with disjoint vertex set, the *join* $\Gamma_1 \vee \Gamma_2$ of Γ_1 and Γ_2 is the graph obtained from the union of Γ_1 and Γ_2 by adding new edges from each vertex of Γ_1 to every vertex of Γ_2 . Two graphs Γ and Γ' are called *isomorphic graphs* if there is a bijection f from $V(\Gamma)$ to $V(\Gamma')$ such that $u \sim v$ in Γ if and only if $f(u) \sim f(v)$ in Γ' . A graph Γ is *planar* if it can be drawn on a plane surface such that no two edges cross each other. If $\gamma(\Gamma)$ (or $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma) = 0$), then Γ is planar. A graph with genus 1 is called *toroidal graph* and a graph with cross-cap 1 is called *projective planar graph*. The following results are useful for determining the genus and cross-cap of a graph.

Theorem 2.7. [23] *A graph Γ is planar if and only if it does not contain a subdivision of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$.*

Theorem 2.8. [24, p. 58, p. 152] *The genus and cross-cap of the complete graphs K_n and $K_{m,n}$ are given below:*

- (i) $\gamma(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{12} \right\rceil$, $n \geq 3$.
- (ii) $\gamma(K_{m,n}) = \left\lceil \frac{(m-2)(n-2)}{4} \right\rceil$, $m, n \geq 2$.
- (iii) $\bar{\gamma}(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \right\rceil$, $n \geq 3$, $n \neq 7$; $\bar{\gamma}(K_7) = 3$.
- (iv) $\bar{\gamma}(K_{m,n}) = \left\lceil \frac{(m-2)(n-2)}{2} \right\rceil$, $m, n \geq 2$.

A *block* of a graph Γ is a maximal connected subgraph B of Γ with respect to the property that B remains connected even if we remove a single vertex from B . The following result provides a method to compute the genus and the cross-cap of a graph by using its blocks.

Theorem 2.9 ([1, Theorem 1], [21, Corollary 3]). *Let Γ be a connected graph with n blocks B_1, \dots, B_n . Then*

- (i) $\gamma(\Gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(B_i)$.
- (ii) *If $\bar{\gamma}(B_i) = 2\gamma(B_i) + 1$ for each i , then*

$$\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma) = 1 - n + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\gamma}(B_i).$$

Otherwise,

$$\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma) = 2n - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i),$$

where $\mu(B_i) = \max\{2 - 2\gamma(B_i), 2 - \bar{\gamma}(B_i)\}$.

Theorem 2.10. [24] *Let Γ be a simple connected graph with n vertices and m edges, where $n \geq 3$. Then $\gamma(\Gamma) \geq \frac{m}{6} - \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Furthermore, equality holds if and only if Γ has a triangular embedding.*

Lemma 2.11. [18, Lemma 3.1.4] *Let $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_k$ be a 2-cell embedding of a connected graph Γ to the non-orientable surface \mathbb{N}_k . Then $v - e + f = 2 - k$, where v , e and f are number of vertices, edges and faces of $\phi(\Gamma)$ respectively, and k is a cross-cap of \mathbb{N}_k .*

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the main results of the manuscript. The following results are useful to prove our main results.

Proposition 3.1 ([3, Proposition 2.1]). *Let H be a proper normal subgroup of a group G and let $aH (\neq H)$ and $bH (\neq H)$ be two distinct cosets of H . If $a \sim b$ in $\Gamma_H(G)$, then each element of aH is adjacent to every element of bH in $\Gamma_H(G)$.*

Proposition 3.2 ([3, Proposition 2.3]). *Let a and b be two distinct vertices of the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$. Then $a \sim b$ in $\Gamma_H(G)$ if and only if either $aH = bH$ or $aH \sim bH$ in the power graph $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$.*

Theorem 3.3 ([3, Theorem 3.1]). *Let G be a finite group and H be a non-trivial normal subgroup of G . Then the normal subgroup based power graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is complete if and only if $\frac{G}{H}$ is a cyclic p -group for some prime p .*

Theorem 3.4 ([3, Theorem 5.4]). *Let G be a finite group and H be a non-trivial normal subgroup of G . Then the normal subgroup based power graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is planar if and only if $|H| = 2$ or 3 and $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

3.1. Proof of Theorems 1.1-1.2. We prove both of the main results through the following cases.

Case-1: $|H| = 2$. We discuss this case in the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: $\frac{G}{H}$ has an element of order greater than 6. Consider $aH \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(aH) > 6$. Then the number of generators of the subgroup $\langle aH \rangle$ is greater than or equal to 4. Let aH, a^iH, a^jH and a^kH be four generators of $\langle aH \rangle$. Then all of them are adjacent to one another in the power graph $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup a^iH \cup a^jH \cup a^kH \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to K_9 . Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 5$ (see Theorems 2.8 and 2.9).

Subcase 1.2: $\frac{G}{H}$ has an element of order 6. Let $aH \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(aH) = 6$. In the power graph $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$, the generators aH and a^5H are adjacent to all the other elements of $\langle aH \rangle$. Also, $a^2H \sim a^4H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. The subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup a^2H \cup a^4H \cup a^5H \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to K_9 . Consequently, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 5$.

Subcase 1.3: $\frac{G}{H}$ has an element of order 5. By the similar argument used in **Subcase 1.1**, the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ has a subgraph isomorphic to K_9 . Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 5$.

Subcase 1.4 $\pi_{\frac{G}{H}} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. In view of Theorem 2.5, we discuss the following subcases.

Subcase 1.4(a) $\frac{G}{H}$ has at least 4 cyclic subgroups of order 3. Let a_1H, a_2H, a_3H and a_4H be the generators of four cyclic subgroups of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $a_iH \sim a_i^2H$ and $a_iH \approx a_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. It follows

that the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $(\bigcup_{i=1}^4 a_iH) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^4 a_i^2H) \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to $K_1 \vee 4K_4$. Therefore, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq \gamma(K_1 \vee 4K_4) = 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$.

Subcase 1.4(b) $\frac{G}{H}$ has precisely one cyclic subgroup of order 3. By Lemma 2.6, either $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$. If $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, then $\frac{G}{H}$ contains two non-identity elements. Consider $aH \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(aH) = 3$. Then $aH \sim a^2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Consequently, $\Gamma_H(G) = K_5$. It follows that $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$. Let $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$. Then consider $a_1H, a_2H, a_3H, b_1H, b_2H \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(a_1H) = o(a_2H) = o(a_3H) = 2$ and $o(b_1H) = o(b_2H) = 3$. Observe that for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $a_iH \sim a_jH$ and $b_1H \sim b_2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Also, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $a_iH \sim b_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. It follows that $\Gamma_H(G) = K_1 \vee (K_4 \cup 3K_2)$. Consequently, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$.

Subcase 1.5 $\frac{G}{H}$ is a 2-group with exponent 4. We have the following subcases.

Subcase-1.5(a): $\frac{G}{H}$ has at least three cyclic subgroups of order 4. Let a_1H, a_2H and a_3H be generators of three distinct cyclic subgroups of order 4 in $\frac{G}{H}$. Then by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 a_iH) \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^3 a_j^3H) \cup a_1^2H \cup \{e\}$ contains a subgraph which is isomorphic to $K_1 \vee (K_6 \cup 2K_4)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.9, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$.

Subcase-1.5(b): $\frac{G}{H}$ has exactly two cyclic subgroups of order 4. Let a_1H and a_2H be generators of two distinct cyclic subgroups of order 4 in $\frac{G}{H}$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $a_1^2H = a_2^2H$. Let b_1H, b_2H, \dots, b_tH be all the other elements of order 2 in $\frac{G}{H}$. Note that for $1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, we have $a_i^jH \sim b_kH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$ where $1 \leq k \leq t$. Also, for $i, j \in \{1, 3\}$, $a_i^jH \sim a_j^iH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain $\Gamma_H(G) = K_1 \vee ((K_2 \vee 2K_4) \cup tK_2)$. Notice that $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = \gamma(K_3 \vee 2K_4)$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = \bar{\gamma}(K_3 \vee 2K_4)$. Since the graph $K_3 \vee 2K_4$ has 11 vertices and 39 edges, by Theorem 2.10, we have $\gamma(K_3 \vee 2K_4) \geq 2$. The genus 2 drawing of $K_3 \vee 2K_4$ is given in Figure 1. Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 2$. Also, $K_3 \vee 2K_4$ contains a subgraph which is isomorphic to K_7 and so $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$. If




FIGURE 1. The genus 2 drawing of $K_3 \vee 2K_4$.

$\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$, then by Lemma 2.11, the number of faces in 2-cell embedding of $K_3 \vee 2K_4$ in \mathbb{N}_3 is 27. It follows that $2e < 3f$, which is not possible for simple graphs. Consequently, $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$.

Subcase-1.5(c): $\frac{G}{H}$ has at exactly one cyclic subgroup of order 4. By Lemma 2.3, either $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong D_8$. If $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, then by Theorem 3.3, $\Gamma_H(G) \cong K_7$. Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$. If $\frac{G}{H} \cong D_8$, then by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, $\Gamma_H(G) = K_1 \vee (K_6 \cup 4K_2)$. Note that $\gamma(K_1 \vee (K_6 \cup 4K_2)) = \gamma(K_7)$ and $\bar{\gamma}(K_1 \vee (K_6 \cup 4K_2)) = \bar{\gamma}(K_7)$. It follows that $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$.

Subcase-1.6: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Theorem 3.4, the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is planar.

Case-2: $|H| = 3$. We discuss this case into the following subcases.

Subcase-2.1: $\frac{G}{H}$ has an element of order greater than 4. Let $aH \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(aH) > 4$. Then in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$, $aH \sim a^2H$, $aH \sim a^4H$ and $a^2H \sim a^4H$. It follows that the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup a^2H \cup a^4H \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to K_{10} . By Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, we have $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 7$.

Subcase-2.2: $\frac{G}{H}$ has an element of order 4. Let $aH \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(aH) = 4$. Then in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$, $aH \sim a^2H$, $aH \sim a^3H$ and $a^2H \sim a^3H$. Therefore the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by $aH \cup a^2H \cup a^3H \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to K_{10} . It implies that $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 7$.

Subcase-2.3: $\pi_{\frac{G}{H}} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\frac{G}{H}$ has an element of order 3. In view of Theorem 2.5, we have the following subcases.

Subcase-2.3(a): $\frac{G}{H}$ has at least four cyclic subgroups of order 3. Let a_1H, a_2H, a_3H and a_4H be generators of four distinct cyclic subgroups of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we have $a_iH \sim a_i^2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Further, for distinct $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $r, s \in \{1, 2\}$, $a_j^rH \sim a_k^sH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $(\bigcup_{i=1}^4 a_iH) \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^4 a_j^2H) \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to $K_1 \vee 4K_6$. Consequently, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq \gamma(K_1 \vee 4K_6) = 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 9$ (see Theorems 2.8 and 2.9).

Subcase-2.3(b): $\frac{G}{H}$ has precisely one cyclic subgroup of order 3. By Lemma 2.6, either $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$. If $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, then $\frac{G}{H}$ has two non-identity elements. Let aH and a^2H be the non-identity elements of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then $aH \sim a^2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Consequently, $\Gamma_H(G) = K_7$ (see Propositions 3.1 and 3.2). It follows that $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$. Let $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$. Then consider $a_1H, a_2H, a_3H, b_1H, b_2H \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(a_1H) = o(a_2H) = o(a_3H) = 2$ and $o(b_1H) = o(b_2H) = 3$. Observe that for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $a_iH \sim a_jH$ and $b_1H \sim b_2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Also, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $a_iH \sim b_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Thus, $\Gamma_H(G) = K_1 \vee (K_6 \cup 3K_3)$ (see Figure 2). By Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$. Also, observe that $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = \bar{\gamma}(K_7) = 3$.




FIGURE 2. The graph $\Gamma_H(G)$, where $\frac{G}{H} \cong S_3$ and $|H| = 3$.

Subcase-2.4: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Theorem 3.4, the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ is planar.

Case-3: $|H| = 4$. We have the following subcases.

Subcase-3.1: $\frac{G}{H}$ contains an element of order greater than 2. Let $aH \in \frac{G}{H}$ such that $o(aH) > 2$. Then $aH \neq a^2H$ and $aH \sim a^2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. The subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup a^2H \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to the complete graph K_3 . Consequently, we obtain $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$.

Subcase-3.2: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ k -times, where $k \geq 3$. Consider the four non-identity elements a_1H, a_2H, a_3H and a_4H of $\frac{G}{H}$. Note that for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $a_iH \approx a_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. It implies that the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^4 a_iH \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to the graph $K_1 \vee 4K_4$. Thus, by Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4\gamma(K_5) = 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$.

Subcase-3.3: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let a_1H, a_2H and a_3H be the three non-identity elements of $\frac{G}{H}$. Observe that for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have $a_iH \approx a_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. Consequently, $\Gamma_H(G) = K_1 \vee 3K_4$ and so $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$.

Subcase-3.4: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let aH be the non-identity element of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then $V(\Gamma_H(G)) = aH \cup \{e\}$. It follows that $\Gamma_H(G) = K_5$. Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$.

Case-4: $|H| = 5$. We divide this case into the following subcases.

Subcase-4.1: $\frac{G}{H}$ contains an element of order greater than 2. By the similar argument used in **Subcase 3.1**, the graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ contains a subgraph which is isomorphic to the complete graph K_{11} . It follows that $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 5$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 10$.

Subcase-4.2: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ k -times, where $k \geq 3$. In the similar lines of **Subcase 3.2**, we obtain $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4\gamma(K_6) = 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 4$.

Subcase-4.3: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let a_1H, a_2H and a_3H be the three non-identity elements of $\frac{G}{H}$. Observe that $a_iH \approx a_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$ for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Consequently, $\Gamma_H(G) = K_1 \vee 3K_5$ and so $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$.

Subcase-4.4: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let aH be the non-identity element of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then $V(\Gamma_H(G)) = aH \cup \{e\}$. It follows that $\Gamma_H(G) = K_6$. Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$.

Case-5: $|H| = 6$. We discuss this case through the following subcases.

Subcase-5.1: $\frac{G}{H}$ contains an element of order greater than 2. Let aH be an element of order greater than 2 in $\frac{G}{H}$. Then $aH \neq a^2H$ and $aH \sim a^2H$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. The subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup a^2H \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to the complete graph K_{13} . Consequently, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 8$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 15$.

Subcase-5.2: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ k -times, where $k \geq 2$. Let a_1H, a_2H and a_3H be three non-identity elements of $\frac{G}{H}$. Note that $a_iH \approx a_jH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$ for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. It implies that the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $a_1H \cup a_2H \cup a_3H \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to the graph $K_1 \vee 3K_6$. Thus, by Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3\gamma(K_7) = 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 7$.

Subcase-5.3: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let aH be the non-identity element of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then $V(\Gamma_H(G)) = aH \cup \{e\}$. It follows that $\Gamma_H(G) = K_7$. Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 1$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 3$.

Case-6: $|H| = 7$. This case is elaborated in the subsequent two subcases.

Subcase-6.1: $|\frac{G}{H}| \geq 3$. Let aH and bH be two non-identity elements of $\frac{G}{H}$. If $aH \sim bH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$, then by Propositions 3.2 and 3.1, the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup bH \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to the complete graph K_{15} . Thus, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 11$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 22$. Now suppose $aH \approx bH$ in $\mathcal{P}(\frac{G}{H})$. By Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, we obtain $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 2\gamma(K_8) = 4$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 8$.

Subcase-6.2: $\frac{G}{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let aH be the non-identity element of $\frac{G}{H}$. Then $V(\Gamma_H(G)) = aH \cup \{e\}$ and so $\Gamma_H(G) = K_8$. Thus $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) = 2$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) = 4$.

Case-7: $|H| \geq 8$. Now, let $a \in G \setminus H$. Then $aH \neq H$ and $|aH| \geq 8$. Thus the subgraph of $\Gamma_H(G)$ induced by the set $aH \cup \{e\}$ is isomorphic to the complete graph K_n , where $n \geq 9$. Consequently, $\gamma(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 3$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_H(G)) \geq 5$.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: The first author gratefully acknowledges for providing financial support to CSIR (09/719(0110)/2019-EMR-I) government of India. The second author and the third author wishes to acknowledge the support of Core Research Grant (CRG/2022/001142) funded by SERB.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: There is no conflict of interest regarding the publishing of this paper.

Availability of data and material (data transparency): Not applicable.

Code availability (software application or custom code): Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Battle, F. Harary, Y. Kodama, and J. W. T. Youngs. Additivity of the genus of a graph. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 68:565–568, 1962.
- [2] J. G. Berkovič. p -groups of finite order. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.*, 9:1284–1306, 1968.
- [3] A. K. Bhuniya and S. Bera. Normal subgroup based power graphs of a finite group. *Comm. Algebra*, 45(8):3251–3259, 2017.
- [4] A. Doostabadi and M. Farrokhi D. G. Embeddings of (proper) power graphs of finite groups. *Algebra Discrete Math.*, 24(2):221–234, 2017.
- [5] G. Frobenius. *Verallgemeinerung des Sylow’schen Satzes: Über auflösbare Gruppen II. Von G. Frobenius*. Reichsdr., 1895.
- [6] C. Godsil and G. Royle. *Algebraic graph theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [7] U. Hayat, M. Umer, I. Gutman, B. Davvaz, and A. Nolla de Celis. A novel method to construct NSSD molecular graphs. *Open Math.*, 17(1):1526–1537, 2019.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [9] A. Kelarev. *Graph algebras and automata*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2003.
- [10] A. Kelarev, J. Ryan, and J. Yearwood. Cayley graphs as classifiers for data mining: the influence of asymmetries. *Discrete Math.*, 309(17):5360–5369, 2009.
- [11] A. V. Kelarev. Labelled Cayley graphs and minimal automata. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 30:95–101, 2004.
- [12] A. Kulakoff. Über die Anzahl der eigentlichen Untergruppen und der Elemente von gegebener Ordnung in p -Gruppen. *Math. Ann.*, 104(1):778–793, 1931.
- [13] A. Kumar, L. Selvaganesh, P. J. Cameron, and T. Tamizh Chelvam. Recent developments on the power graph of finite groups—a survey. *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, 18(2):65–94, 2021.
- [14] X. Ma, G. L. Walls, and K. Wang. Power graphs of (non)orientable genus two. *Comm. Algebra*, 47(1):276–288, 2019.
- [15] N. Malek-Mohammadi and A. R. Ashrafi. Normal subgroup based power graph of finite groups. *Ric. Mat.*, 71(2):549–559, 2022.
- [16] G. A. Miller. An Extension of Sylow’s Theorem. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 2:142–143, 1905.
- [17] M. Mirzargar, A. R. Ashrafi, and M. J. Nadjafi-Arani. On the power graph of a finite group. *Filomat*, 26(6):1201–1208, 2012.
- [18] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen. *Graphs on surfaces*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2001.
- [19] Parveen and J. Kumar. Nilpotent groups whose difference graphs have positive genus. *Ric. Mat.*, pages 1–19, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-023-00830-9>.
- [20] S. Sarkar and M. Mishra. The lambda number of the power graph of a finite p -group. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 57(1):101–110, 2023.
- [21] S. Stahl and L. W. Beineke. Blocks and the nonorientable genus of graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 1(1):75–78, 1977.
- [22] C. Thomassen. The graph genus problem is NP-complete. *J. Algorithms*, 10(4):568–576, 1989.
- [23] D. B. West. *Introduction to graph theory*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.
- [24] A. T. White. *Graphs, groups and surfaces*, volume 8. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1984.

Parveen¹, Manisha¹, Jitender Kumar¹

Addresses:

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE PILANI, PILANI-333031, INDIA
 Email address: p.parveenkumar144@gmail.com, yadavmanisha2611@gmail.com, jitenderarora09@gmail.com