

Robust estimators for the log-logistic model based on ranked set sampling

Felipe, A.; Jaenada, M., Miranda, P. and Pardo, L.

¹Department of Statistics and O.R., Complutense University of Madrid, Spain

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new family of estimators for the parameters of shape and scale of the log-logistic distribution being robust when rank set sample method is used to select the data. Rank set sampling arises as a way to reduce the impact of extremal data. Log-logistic distribution is an important distribution suitable for modeling many different situations ranging from Economy to Engineering and Hydrology. This new family of estimators is based on density power divergences. The choice of this family of divergence measures is motivated by the fact that they have shown a very good behavior in terms of robustness at a reduced cost in efficiency. This new family recovers the classical maximum likelihood estimator as a special case. We have developed the form of these estimators and derived their corresponding asymptotic distribution. A simulation study is carried out, suggesting that these new estimators are very robust when contamination arises and are competitive with classical estimators in terms of efficiency.

Keywords: Log-logistic distribution, rank set sample, Minimum density power divergence estimator, robustness, efficiency.

AMS 2001 Subject Classification: 62F35, 62J12.

1 Introduction

In this paper we deal with the log-logistic distribution. This distribution arises as the logarithmic transformation of the logistic distribution and it is a special case of the Burr type-II distribution family (Burr [1942]) and the Kappa distribution family (Mielke and Johnson [1973]). This distribution was first studied in (Bain [1974]) and it has been shown that it is a suitable model for many different situations. For example, it has been applied in Hydrology (Shoukri et al. [1988]) as a good option to model flows in the rivers; it is a well-known distribution in Economics in the study of wealth or income distributions, where it is known as the Fisk distribution (Fisk [1961]). Other fields where log-logistic distribution has been applied ranges from Engineering (Ashkar and Mahdi [2003]) to Cancer studies (Gupta et al. [1999]). In general, log-logistic distribution deals with variables whose rate first increases and later decreases.

Log-logistic distribution depends on two parameters α, β . Parameter α models the scale of the distribution and coincides with the median, while parameter β is a shape parameter that controls the form of the distribution. A problem in the practical use of log-logistic distribution is the estimation of parameters α, β . The classical method is maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) and estimators using MLE can be found in (Balakrishnan and Malik [1987], Kantam and Srinavasa [2002], Reath et al. [2018]).

Although MLE provides asymptotic efficient estimations, it is also common knowledge that MLE provides non-robust estimators. To deal with this problem, several other estimators have been proposed in the literature, mainly based on position measures that are more robust to contamination. We name here as example (Ashkar and Mahdi [2003], Gupta et al. [1999], Shoukri et al. [1988], Abbas and Tang [2016], He et al. [2021]). A survey of these procedures can be found in (Ma et al. [2023]), where it is also introduced the RM-estimator.

In a recent paper (Felipe et al. [b]), we have proposed the minimum density power divergence estimators (MDPDE) based on density power divergence (DPD) as a robust procedure to estimate the parameters of the log-logistic distribution. This family of divergences was first presented in (Basu et al. [1998]) and recovers as a special case the Kullback-Leibler divergence. It depends on a tuning parameter τ that models the trade-off between efficiency and robustness. Hence, small values of τ lead to very efficient but non-robust estimators, while large values of τ give way to robust although not very efficient estimators. MDPDE have proved to be efficient and very robust in many different situations, so that they seem to be a logical choice when searching robust estimators being at the same time efficient. In (Felipe et al. [b]), we have shown that there exist values of τ that provide estimations that are competitive with MLE and are at the same time very robust in the presence of outliers.

In this paper, we deal with the case in which data have been obtained via ranking set sample (RSS) procedure instead of random sampling. This way to build samples was first introduced by McIntyre in (McIntyre [1952]) and it is aimed to obtain samples avoiding extreme values. Hence, it is expected to obtain samples where sample (possible) contamination might be reduced. McIntyre observed that the relative efficiency, i.e. the ratio between the variance of the mean of a simple random sample of size k and the variance of the mean of a ranked set sample of the same size, is not much less than $(k+1)/2$ for symmetric or moderately asymmetric distributions. He also noticed that the relative efficiency diminishes with increasing asymmetry of the underlying distribution but it is always greater than 1. A first study of the theoretical properties of RSS appears in (Takahasi and Wakimoto [1968]). Since then, many papers applying RSS have been published. We mention here as a glimpse (Dell and Clutter [1972], Stokes [1980], Kvam and Samaniego [1993], Chen et al. [2016], Presnell and Bohn [1999], Abu-Dayyeh and Muttlak [1996], Li and Chuiv [1997], Kaur et al. [1997], Al-Saleh and Al-Hadhrami [2003], Chen et al. [2013]).

In (He et al. [2020]) MLE for the parameters of the log-logistic distribution based on RSS is presented. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and to study the MDPDE for the parameters of the log-logistic distribution and to

study the robustness of the corresponding new family of estimators when RSS is used.

The rest of the paper goes as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the MDPDE based on RSS for the parameters of the log-logistic model. The asymptotic distribution of the family of estimators introduced in the previous Section is studied in Section 3. In Section 4, the results of a simulation study to show the behavior of these new estimators and compare it with other estimators appearing in the literature are presented. We finish with the conclusions and open problems. The proofs of the theoretical results established in the paper are given in the Supplementary Material. In the Supplementary Material we also include more tables related to the simulation study.

2 The minimum density power divergence estimator for the log-logistic model

2.1 Basic concepts

A random variable X is said to have a **log-logistic distribution** if the probability density function is given by

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\beta\alpha^\beta x^{\beta-1}}{(x^\beta + \alpha^\beta)^2}, \quad x > 0. \quad (1)$$

The parameter $\alpha > 0$ in this distribution is a scale parameter and is also the median of the distribution. The parameter $\beta > 0$ is a shape parameter. The distribution is unimodal when $\beta > 1$ and its dispersion decreases as beta increases. The log-logistic distribution has been applied in many different fields, especially in Economics.

Let us now introduce the **rank set sample procedure** (RSS) introduced in (McIntyre [1952]). Let X_1^1, \dots, X_n^1 be a random sample of size n from the random variable X and let us denote by Y_1 the first order statistic (or the smallest order statistic), i. e., $Y_1 \equiv X_{(1)}^1 = \min(X_1^1, \dots, X_n^1)$. Consider X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2 a second random sample of size n from the random variable X and denote by $Y_2 \equiv X_{(2)}^2$ the second order statistics. In general, we consider the i -th random sample from X , X_1^i, \dots, X_n^i and we denote by $Y_i \equiv X_{(i)}^i$ the i -th order statistic. We continue in the same way until to get a n -th random sample from X , X_1^n, \dots, X_n^n and we denote the largest order statistic by $Y_n \equiv X_{(n)}^n$. This way of selecting individuals in the final sample has been proved to be more stable in the presence of outliers.

Proceeding this way, Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent observations but they are not identically distributed. It can be easily seen that the distribution of Y_i is given by

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)}(y) = \frac{c(i, n) \beta \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{i\beta-1}}{\alpha \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{n+1}} \quad y > 0, \quad (2)$$

being

$$c(i, n) = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!(i-1)!}.$$

Let us finally introduce the family of **density power divergence** (DPD). This family of divergences has been introduced in (Basu et al. [1998]) and it has been applied in many different fields. Given two densities f and g , the DPD measure, $d_\tau(f, g)$, between g and f , as the function of a single tuning parameter τ (≥ 0), is defined by

$$d_\tau(g, f) = \int \left\{ f^{1+\tau}(x) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) f^\tau(x)g(x) + \frac{1}{\tau}g^{1+\tau}(x) \right\} dx. \quad (3)$$

Taking limits for $\tau \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$d_0(g, f) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} d_\tau(g, f) = \int g(x) \log f(x) dx,$$

i.e. the classical Kullback-Leibler divergence (see (Pardo [2006]) for more details about Kullback-Leibler divergence). The parameter τ controls the trade-off between efficiency and robustness of the MDPDE. Hence, it has been shown in many different problems that DPD offers robust estimators in the presence of outliers at a reduced cost in terms of efficiency (see e.g. (Basu et al. [2022a], Balakrishnan et al. [2019b,a], Patra et al. [2013], Balakrishnan et al. [2024])).

2.2 Minimum density power divergence estimators

Let us then turn to the problem of estimating the parameters of the log-logistic distribution when RSS is considered via DPD. We have seen that Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent observations but they are not identically distributed. Initially we shall assume that the probability density function of Y_i is g_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, being g_1, \dots, g_n different probability density functions. We want to model g_i $i = 1, \dots, n$, by $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y)$ was defined in (2). Note that the distributions $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y)$ are different for different values of i but the unknown parameters $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$ are common for all of them.

Our interest is to estimate $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$ by minimizing the DPD between the data and the model. However, here the model density is different for each Y_i , and hence we need to calculate the divergence between data and model separately for each data point. Considering all data points, it makes sense to choose as criterion to minimize the average divergence between the data points and the models. Because the DPD measures the similarity between two distributions, the best parameter value θ approximating the distribution g_i should minimize the divergence between the true and assumed densities, g_i and $f_{\theta}^{Y_i}$. Therefore, the minimum density power divergence functional at the distribution g_i , denoted by $\mathbf{T}_\tau(g_i)$, is defined as

$$d_\tau(g_i, f_{\mathbf{T}_\tau(g_i)}^{Y_i}) = \min_{\theta \in \Theta} d_\tau(g_i, f_{\theta}^{Y_i}). \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{T}_\tau(g_i) \in \Theta$ denotes the best parameter so the distributions g_i and $f_{\mathbf{T}_\tau(g_i)}^{Y_i}$ are as close as possible. Therefore, if $d_\tau(g_i, f_{\alpha, \beta}^{Y_i})$ denotes the DPD between the density estimate corresponding to the i -th data point and the associated model density, we must minimize

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_\tau(g_i, f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}). \quad (5)$$

Moreover, the real distributions g_i are in general unknown in practice. Hence, these distributions need to be estimated and as we only have one datum for this distribution, the estimation is given by the degenerate distribution at this point. We will denote this degenerate distribution by \hat{g}_i .

We will call the **minimum density power divergence estimators** (MDPDE), denoted $\hat{\alpha}_\tau, \hat{\beta}_\tau$, the values of α and β respectively where the minimum of

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_\tau(\hat{g}_i, f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}) \quad (6)$$

is attained. Here, we are denoting $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{Y_i}$ by $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}$.

It can be easily seen (see e.g. (Ghosh and Basu [2013])) that in order to get the MDPDE for $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$ for the objective function stated in (6), it suffices to consider

$$H_{n, \tau}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_0^\infty f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y)^{\tau+1} dy - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y_i)^\tau \right\}. \quad (7)$$

In the following theorem we get the expression of

$$\int_0^\infty f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y)^{\tau+1} dy. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 1 *The expression of (8) is given by*

$$\int_0^\infty f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(y)^{\tau+1} dy = \frac{c(i, n) \beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right),$$

where $B(a, b)$ is the Beta function with arguments a and b , i.e.,

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx. \quad (9)$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

Based on the previous theorem the objective function given in (7) can be written as

$$H_{n,\tau}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau B \left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \frac{c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)}}{\alpha^\tau \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \right\}$$

In what follows, we will denote by $\Psi(a)$ the digamma function, defined as the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function. Hence, the following holds.

Theorem 2 *The MDPDE for the parameters α, β of the log-logistic distribution when RSS is applied are given as the solutions of the system*

$$0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{c(i, n)}{\alpha^\tau} B \left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \frac{(-\tau)}{\alpha} \right. \\ \left. - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \right) y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta \right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \left[\frac{y_i^\beta \beta \tau (n-i+1) - \alpha^\beta \beta \tau i}{\alpha \left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta \right)} \right] \right\}.$$

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c(i, n) B \left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\ \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta} \right) \right) \right] \\ - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \beta \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \\ \left[\left(\frac{1}{\beta} + i \ln \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right) \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{\tau(n-1)} - (n+1) \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \ln \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{\tau(n-1)-1} \right].$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

3 Asymptotic distribution of the MDPDE for the log-logistic model for the RSS

In this section, we derive the asymptotic distribution of MDPDE for the log-logistic model assuming RSS in three different situations. First, we assume that β is known and only α needs to be estimated. Next, we consider the case in which α is known and it is parameter β that needs to be estimated. We finally treat the case in which both parameters need to be estimated.

To obtain the asymptotic distribution, we use the results in (Ghosh and Basu [2013]) in which it is shown that under mild conditions, given Y_1, \dots, Y_n

independent and not identically distributed variables all of them depending on a fixed parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, then the MDPDE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_\tau$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ satisfies

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_\tau - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N} \left(0, J_\tau^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) K_\tau(\boldsymbol{\theta}) J_\tau^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right), \quad (10)$$

being

$$J_\tau(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n J_\tau^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\tau(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = J_{2\tau}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \xi_\tau(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \xi_\tau(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\xi_\tau(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (12)$$

Here, $J_\tau^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ refer to the corresponding values for Y_i . Hence,

$$J_\tau^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{Y_i}(x)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)^2 f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{Y_i}(x)^{\tau+1} dx,$$

and

$$\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial \log f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{Y_i}(x)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{Y_i}(x)^{\tau+1} dx.$$

3.1 Asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\alpha}_\tau$

In relation to the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\alpha}_\tau$, assuming β known, we have applying the previous results for $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \alpha$

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\alpha}_\tau - \alpha) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N} \left(0, J_\tau^{-1}(\alpha) K_\tau(\alpha) J_\tau^{-1}(\alpha) \right),$$

being $J_\tau(\alpha)$ and $\xi_\tau(\alpha)$ the corresponding values given in Eqs. (11) and (12), respectively. In our case, $J_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha)$ and $\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha)$ are referred to the corresponding values for the i -th ordered statistic of the log-logistic distribution. Then,

$$J_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \log f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \alpha} \right)^2 f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)^{\tau+1} dx, \quad \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \log f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \alpha} f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)^{\tau+1} dx.$$

In the next theorem we are going to get the expression of $J_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 3 *For the log-logistic distribution given in (1) we have*

$$J_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3,$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i, n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right). \\
A_2 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta[(n+1)\tau + (n+2)]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta[(n+1)\tau + (n+1)]} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right). \\
A_3 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} 2(n-i+1)(n+1)c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{(n+1)\tau\beta + (n+1)\beta} \\
&\quad B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

Corollary 4 *The Fisher information for α is given by*

$$I_F^{(i)}(\alpha) = J_{\tau=0}^{(i)}(\alpha) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} - 2\right) = \frac{\beta^2}{3\alpha^2}.$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

Let us now turn to matrix $K_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 5 *For the log-logistic distribution given in (1) we have,*

$$K_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) = J_{2\tau}^{(i)}(\alpha) - \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha)^2,$$

being

$$\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{-\tau}{\beta + \tau\beta}\right).$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

Corollary 6 *We can observe that for $\tau = 0$ we have*

$$\xi_{\tau=0}^{(i)}(\alpha) = 0 \text{ and } K_{\tau=0}^{(i)}(\alpha) = I_F^{(i)}(\alpha).$$

3.2 Asymptotic distribution of $\widehat{\beta}_\tau$

In relation to the asymptotic distribution of $\widehat{\beta}_\tau$, assuming α known, we have applying Eq. (10)

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\beta}_\tau - \beta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, J_\tau^{-1}(\beta)K_\tau(\beta)J_\tau^{-1}(\beta)).$$

Hence, it just suffices to obtain

$$J_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \log f_\beta^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 f_\beta^{(i)}(x)^{\tau+1} dx, \quad \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \log f_\beta^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \beta} f_\beta^{(i)}(x)^{\tau+1} dx.$$

In the next theorem we are going to get the expression of $J_\tau^{(i)}(\beta)$.

Theorem 7 For the log-logistic distribution given in (1) we have

$$J_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 - C_5 - C_6,$$

where $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right). \\ C_2 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot i^2 B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[\Psi' \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) + \Psi' \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right)^2 \right]. \\ C_3 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot (n+1)^2 \\ &\quad \times B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + (i+2)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[\Psi' \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + (i+2)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) + \Psi' \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + (i+2)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right)^2 \right]. \\ C_4 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot 2i B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\ &\quad \left[\Psi \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right]. \\ C_5 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot 2(n+1) B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\ &\quad \left[\Psi \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right]. \\ C_6 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot 2i(n+1) B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\ &\quad \left[\Psi' \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) + \Psi' \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\tau\beta + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

Theorem 8 For the log-logistic distribution given in (1) we have,

$$K_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) = J_{2\tau}^{(i)}(\beta) - \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\beta)^2,$$

being

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) = & \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\ & \frac{\tau}{1+\tau} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right) + 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

3.3 Asymptotic distribution of $(\widehat{\alpha}_\tau, \widehat{\beta}_\tau)$

In relation to the asymptotic distribution of $(\widehat{\alpha}_\tau, \widehat{\beta}_\tau)$, we have,

$$\sqrt{n} \left((\widehat{\alpha}_\tau, \widehat{\beta}_\tau)^T - (\alpha, \beta)^T \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J}_\tau^{-1}(\alpha, \beta) \mathbf{K}_\tau(\alpha, \beta) \mathbf{J}_\tau^{-1}(\alpha, \beta))$$

being

$$\mathbf{J}_\tau(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} J_\tau^{11}(\alpha, \beta) & J_\tau^{12}(\alpha, \beta) \\ J_\tau^{12}(\alpha, \beta) & J_\tau^{22}(\alpha, \beta) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_\tau(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{J}_{2\tau}(\alpha, \beta) - \xi_\tau(\alpha, \beta)^T \xi_\tau(\alpha, \beta).$$

Here

$$J_\tau^{11}(\alpha, \beta) = J_\tau(\alpha), \quad J_\tau^{22}(\alpha, \beta) = J_\tau(\beta), \quad J_\tau^{12}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta)$$

and

$$\xi_\tau(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha, \beta).$$

Finally,

$$J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \alpha} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \beta} \right) f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{\tau+1} dx$$

and

$$\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha, \beta) = \left(\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha), \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) \right)^T.$$

Thus, we just need to derive the expression for $J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta)$. This is done in next theorem.

Theorem 9 *For the log-logistic distribution given in (1) we have*

$$J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) = E_1 + E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 + E_6,$$

where E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5 and E_6 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} B\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{\tau\beta + \beta - \tau}{\beta}\right). \\ E_2 &= \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} B\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{\tau\beta + \beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right\}. \\ E_3 &= -2 \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} B\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right\}. \\ E_4 &= -2 \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} B\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{\tau\beta + \beta - \tau}{\beta}\right). \\ E_5 &= -2 \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} B\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{\tau\beta + \beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + \beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right\}. \\ E_6 &= 4 \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} B\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \left\{ \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{\tau\beta + 2\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Supplementary Material. ■

For $\tau = 0$, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 10 *For the log-logistic distribution given in (1) we have*

$$J_0^{(i)}(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} J_0^{(i)11}(\alpha, \beta) & J_0^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) \\ J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) & J_0^{(i)22}(\alpha, \beta) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $J_0^{(i)11}(\alpha, \beta) = J_0^{(i)}(\alpha)$ and $J_0^{(i)22}(\alpha, \beta) = J_0^{(i)}(\beta)$ has been obtained in (He et al. [2020]) and

$$J_0^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{n+2} [n - 2i + 1 - i(n - i + 1)(\Psi(i) - \Psi(n - i + 1))].$$

Remark 11 *Note that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i(n - i + 1)(\Psi(i) - \Psi(n - i + 1)) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (n - 2i + 1) = n(n + 1) - 2 \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n J_0^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) = 0.$$

4 Numerical analysis

To assess the performance of MDPDE in estimating log-logistic parameters for data coming from a rank sample, we have conducted a simulation study. In this study, we compare MDPDE coming from RSS for different values of parameters α and β and for different sample sizes. We consider that α is fixed to 1, while β attains values $\beta = 1.5, 2.5, 5.0, 10$. The sample sizes are $n = 10, 25, 50, 75, 100$. Hence, for a concrete value of n , we generate n samples of size n . For the first sample, denoted (X_1^1, \dots, X_n^1) , we define $Y_1 = X_{(1)}^1$. Similarly, for the i -th sample (X_1^i, \dots, X_n^i) , we define $Y_i = X_{(i)}^i$. Finally, we consider the sample (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) .

For each combination of model parameters and sample size, we estimate the log-logistic parameters using MLE and MDPDE approaches for sample (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) , with different values of the tuning parameter, namely $\tau = 0.1, 0.2, \dots, 1.0$. We have also considered the repeated median estimator (RM), the sample median estimator (SM), and the Hodge-Lehmann and Shamos estimator (HL) (see (Ma et al. [2023])) for samples coming from RSS.

To avoid any possible influence of the sample, we repeat this process for each combination $M = 1000$ times. To measure the performance of the different methods, we compute for the i -th sample

$$Bias_i = |\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha| + |\hat{\beta}_i - \beta|, MSE_i = (\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha)^2 + (\hat{\beta}_i - \beta)^2,$$

and we compute the average over the $M = 1000$ simulations, i.e.

$$Bias = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M Bias_i, RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M MSE_i}.$$

In a first step, we consider an uncontaminated scenario. The corresponding error measures can be found in the Supplementary Material. We include as an example the values for $n = 100, \alpha = 1, \beta = 5$ in Table 1.

As expected, MLE performs very well when there is no contamination and this performance improves with the sample size. For MDPDE, note that these estimators follow the same behavior as MLE. Moreover, the performance approaches to that of MLE when the sample size increases. Moreover, for small values of τ , the behavior is more or less the same as MLE. Hence, except for $n = 10$, it can be seen that they are almost equivalent for $\tau < 0.6$. This was

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.10319	0.12446	0.99999	5.00754
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.09279	0.11053	1.00000	5.00540
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.09203	0.10901	1.00000	5.00537
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.09566	0.11314	0.99998	5.00622
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.10117	0.11936	0.99996	5.00744
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.10703	0.12607	0.99994	5.00881
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.11268	0.13261	0.99992	5.01023
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.11787	0.13873	0.99989	5.01164
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.12253	0.14438	0.99987	5.01301
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.12683	0.14957	0.99985	5.01435
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.13092	0.15435	0.99983	5.01564
RM	0.11775	0.14018	0.99973	4.92095
SM	1.98093	1.97550	1.00388	3.03025
HL	2.11494	2.11189	1.00008	2.88908

Table 1: Results for $n = 100$ and $\beta = 5.0$.

expected due to the limit properties of MDPDE. Compared to other estimators, the only one competing with MDPDE is RM, but it is overcome for small values of τ (≤ 0.4) in all cases.

It should be noted, as remarked in the introduction, that RMSE when using a sample of size n with RSS is smaller than the corresponding value for a random sample of the same size. To see the extent of this reduction for the log-logistic distribution, we include in Table 2 the corresponding values when random sampling is used for $n = 100, \alpha = 1, \beta = 5$. Compare these values with the ones appearing in Table 1. For other situations, we refer to the tables in the Supplementary Material of this paper and the Supplementary Material of (Felipe et al. [b]).

In a second step we study the behavior of these estimators in the presence of contamination for ranked samples. For this, we repeat the previous study assuming $\alpha = 1, \beta = 5$, and $n = 100$ under four different conditions of contamination:

- **Case 1:** For a fixed percentage of data p , we change the value in the sample for a new value coming from a log-logistic distribution with $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0.2$.
- **Case 2:** For a fixed percentage of data p , we change the value in the sample for a new value coming from a log-logistic distribution with $\alpha = 4, \beta = 10$.
- **Case 3:** For a fixed percentage of data p , we change the value in the sample for a new value coming from a uniform distribution $\mathcal{U}(0, 20)$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.36844	0.43431	1.00030	5.06549
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.37232	0.44030	1.00024	5.06584
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.38300	0.45529	1.00016	5.07067
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.39717	0.47472	1.00007	5.07793
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.41270	0.49589	0.99999	5.08649
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.42850	0.51711	0.99990	5.09562
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.44379	0.53734	0.99982	5.10485
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.45786	0.55603	0.99975	5.11385
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.47062	0.57299	0.99968	5.12247
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.48213	0.58821	0.99962	5.13062
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.49251	0.60182	0.99957	5.13828
RM	0.40334	0.47948	0.99994	5.01636
SM	1.93207	1.93817	1.00447	3.10069
HL	2.09938	2.08758	1.00038	2.92855

Table 2: Results for $n = 100$ and $\beta = 5$.

- **Case 4:** For a fixed percentage of data p , we change the value in the sample for a new value of 50.

The percentage of contamination ranges from no contamination ($p = 0\%$) to $p = 40\%$, increasing the contamination 5% at each step. We use RMSE to assess the goodness-of-fit for each estimator. And we repeat this procedure $M = 1000$ times to avoid the possible influence of a specific sample. In Figures 1 to 4, the evolution of RMSE for MLE, $MDPDE_{0.3}$, $MDPDE_{0.5}$, $MDPDE_{0.8}$ and RM is shown for each case. Tables with the corresponding values can be found in the Supplementary Material.

The results illustrate that while the MLE performs very well in the absence of contamination, its performance decreases sharply in the presence of contamination, and this happens in all the scenarios considered in the simulations. However, if we turn to MDPDE, we can see that they are competitive (indeed, we could say almost equivalent) in the absence of contamination for small values of τ , while they provide very stable results when contamination arises and are very little affected by this contaminated data. And again, this happens in all considered scenarios. Comparing the results of MDPDE with the results provided with other estimators, we can see that only RM provides acceptable results, but it is outperformed by MDPDE for $\tau \in [0.2, 0.6]$.

In conclusion, it seems at the light of this simulation study that DPD is an appealing alternative to MLE and other estimation methods, as its behavior is competitive with MLE in the absence of contamination and exhibits high stability when contamination occurs.

We finish this section with a note about the optimal value for the tuning parameter τ . As shown in the development of DPD and supported by the simulation study, small values of τ provide very efficient estimators while large




Figure 1: Evolution of RMSE for different values of contamination (Case 1).




Figure 2: Evolution of RMSE for different values of contamination (Case 2).




Figure 3: Evolution of RMSE for different values of contamination (Case 3).




Figure 4: Evolution of RMSE for different values of contamination (Case 4).

values of τ provide robust estimators. For the log-logistic model using RSS, it seems that the best trade-off between efficiency and robustness appears for $\tau \in [0.2, 0.5]$.

5 Conclusions and open problems

In this paper we have introduced a new family of estimators for the parameters of a log-logistic distribution considering RSS based on MDPDE. The choice of this family of divergences was justified by the fact that it has been previously used in other fields leading to robust estimators and besides, it includes MLE. In the paper we have developed the estimators of the parameters and we have obtained the corresponding asymptotic distribution for each estimator separately and for the joint estimators. An extensive simulation study has been carried out. This study seems to show that this new family of estimators are competitive in terms of efficiency with MLE and other estimators appearing in the literature, whilst it has a better performance when contamination arises for values of the tuning parameter ranging from 0.2 to 0.5. As a conclusion, we feel that this family of estimators is an appealing option to estimate the parameters of the log-logistic distribution for this type of sampling.

As explained previously, we have chosen the DPD because it has been proved to lead to robust estimators. However, this is not the only family of divergence leading to robust estimators. Another option that could be considered is the family of Rényi's pseudo-distances. The development of these estimators and the comparison with MDPDE presented in this paper is a problem that we intend to treat in the future. In this sense, the problem of finding estimators based on minimizing Rényi's pseudodistance in the context of independent but not identically distributed data has been treated e.g. in (Castilla et al. [2022], Felipe et al. [a]).

After introducing the MDPDE, it could be interesting to consider the restricted MDPDE in the line of (Basu et al. [2022b]). The restricted MDPDE was considered in Statistical Information Theory in (Pardo et al. [2002]) in relation to ϕ -divergences or in (Jaenada et al. [2022]) for Rényi's pseudodistance. The restricted MDPDE is necessary to deal with Rao-type test statistics based on MDPDE (first considered in (Basu et al. [2022b])) for testing composite hypothesis for the parameters of the log-logistic distribution.

Similarly, it is also possible to consider Wald-type test statistics based on MDPDE for testing simple and composite null hypothesis for the parameters of the log-logistic distribution (see e.g. (Basu et al. [2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2021], Ghosh et al. [2016, 2021]) for Wald-type tests statistics based on MDPDE).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Grant PID2021-124933NB-I00.

References

- Abbas, K. and Tang, Y. (2016). Objective bayesian analysis for log-logistic distribution. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*, 45:2782–2791.
- Abu-Dayyeh, W. and Muttalak, H. (1996). Using ranked set sampling for hypothesis tests on the scale parameter of the exponential and uniform distributions. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics*, 12:131–138.
- Al-Saleh, M. and Al-Hadhrami, S. (2003). Estimation of the mean of the exponential distribution using moving extremes ranked set sampling. *Statistical Papers*, 44(3):367–38.
- Ashkar, F. and Mahdi, S. (2003). Comparison of two testing methods for the log-logistic distribution. *Water Resources Research*, 39:12–19.
- Bain, L. (1974). Analysis for the linear failure rate life-testing distribution. *Technometrics*, 16(4):551–559.
- Balakrishnan, N., Castilla, E., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2019a). Robust estimators and test statistics for one-shot device testing under the exponential distribution. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 65(5):3080–3096.
- Balakrishnan, N., Castilla, E., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2019b). Robust estimators for one-shot device testing data under gamma lifetime model with an application to a tumor toxicological data. *Metrika*, 82(8):991–1019.
- Balakrishnan, N., Jaenada, M., and Pardo, L. (2024). Non-destructive one-shot device test under step-stress experiment with lognormal lifetime distribution. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 437:115483,17.
- Balakrishnan, N. and Malik, H. (1987). Moments of order statistics from truncated loglogistic distribution. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 17:251–267.
- Basu, A., Chakarborty, S., Ghosh, A., and Pardo, L. (2022a). Robust density power divergence based tests in multivariate analysis: a comparative overview of different approaches. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 188:104846.
- Basu, A., Ghosh, A., Mandal, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2017). A Wald-type test statistic for testing linear hypothesis in logistic regression models based on minimum density power divergence estimator. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 11(2):2741–2772.
- Basu, A., Ghosh, A., Mandal, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2021). Robust Wald-type tests in GLM with random design based on minimum density power divergence estimators. *Statistical Methods and Applications*, 30(3):973–1005.

- Basu, A., Ghosh, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2018). Robust wald-type tests for non-homogeneous observations based on the minimum density power divergence estimator. *Metrika*, 81(5):493–522.
- Basu, A., Ghosh, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2022b). A robust generalization of the Rao test. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 40(2):868–879.
- Basu, A., Harris, I., Hjort, N., and Jones, M. (1998). Robust and efficient estimation by minimizing a density power divergence. *Biometrika*, 85:549–559.
- Basu, A., Mandal, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2016). Generalized Wald-type tests based on minimum density power divergence estimators. *Statistics*, 50(1):1–26.
- Basu, A., Mandal, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2019). A robust Wald-type test for testing the equality of two means from log-normal samples. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, 21(1):85–107.
- Burr, I. (1942). Cumulative frequency functions. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 13:215–232.
- Castilla, E., Jaenada, M., and Pardo, L. (2022). Estimation and testing on independent not identically distributed observations based on rényi’s pseudodistances. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 68(7):4588–4609.
- Chen, W., Xie, M., and Wu, M. (2013). Parametric estimation for the scale parameter for scale distributions using moving extremes ranked set sampling. *Statistical and Probability Letters*, 83(9):2060–2066.
- Chen, W., Xie, M., and Wu, M. (2016). Modified maximum likelihood estimator of scale parameter using moving extremes ranked set sampling. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 45(6):2232–2240.
- Dell, T. and Clutter, J. (1972). Ranked set sampling theory with order statistics background. *Biometrika*, 28(2):545–555.
- Felipe, A., Jaenada, M., Miranda, P., and Pardo, L. Model selection for independent not identically distributed observations based on rényi’s pseudodistances. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 440:115630.
- Felipe, A., Jaenada, M., Miranda, P., and Pardo, L. Robust parameter estimation of the log-logistic distribution based on density power divergence estimators. *Submitted*.
- Fisk, P. (1961). The graduation of income distributions. *Econometrica*, 29:171–185.
- Ghosh, A. and Basu, A. (2013). Robust estimation for independent non-homogeneous observations using density power divergence with applications to linear regression. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 7:2420–2456.

- Ghosh, A., Basu, A., and Pardo, L. (2021). Robust wald-type tests under random censoring. *Statistics in Medicine*, 40(5):1285–1305.
- Ghosh, A., Mandal, A., Martín, N., and Pardo, L. (2016). Influence analysis of robust Wald-type tests. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 47:102–126.
- Gupta, R., Akman, O., and Lvin, S. (1999). A study of log-logistic model in survival analysis. *Biometrical Journal*, 41:431–443.
- He, X., Chen, W., and Qian, W. (2020). Maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters of the log-logistic distribution. *Statistical Papers*, 61:1875–1892.
- He, X., Chen, W., and Yang, R. (2021). Modified best linear unbiased estimator of the shape parameter of log-logistic distribution. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 91(2):383–395.
- Jaenada, M., Miranda, P., and Pardo, L. (2022). Robust test-statistics based on restricted minimum rényi’s pseudodistances estimators. *Entropy*, 24(5):016.
- Kantam, R. and Srinavasa, G. (2002). Log-logistic distribution; modified maximum likelihood estimation. *Gujarat Statistical Review*, 29(1–2):25–36.
- Kaur, A., Patil, G., and Taillie, C. (1997). Unequal allocation models for ranked set sampling with skew distributions. *Biometrics*, 53:123–130.
- Kvam, P. and Samaniego, F. (1993). On the inadmissibility of empirical averages as estimators in ranked set sampling. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 36:39–55.
- Li, D. and Chuiv, N. (1997). On the efficiency of ranked set sampling strategies in parametric estimation. *Calcutta Statistical Association Bulletin*, 47:23–42.
- Ma, Z., Wang, M., and Park, C. (2023). Robust explicit estimation of the log-logistic distribution with applications. *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice*, 17(17):21.
- McIntyre, G. (1952). A method for unbiased selective sampling using ranked sets. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 3:385–390.
- Mielke, P. and Johnson, E. (1973). Three parameter kappa distribution maximum likelihood estimates and likelihood ratio tests. *Monthly Weather Review*, 101:701–709.
- Pardo, J., Pardo, L., and Zografos, K. (2002). Minimum phi-divergence estimators with constraints in multinomial populations. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 104:221–237.
- Pardo, L. (2006). *Statistical inference based on divergence measures*. Chapman and Hall CRC, Boca Raton (USA).

- Patra, S., Maji, A., Basu, A., and Pardo, L. (2013). The power divergence and the density power divergence families: the mathematical connection. *Sankhya B*, 75(1):16–28.
- Presnell, B. and Bohn, L. (1999). U-statistics and imperfect ranking in ranked set sampling. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, 10:111–126.
- Reath, J., Dong, J., and Wang, M. (2018). Improved parameter estimation of the log-logistic distribution with applications. *Computational Statistics*, 33(1):339–356.
- Shoukri, M., Mian, I., and Tracy, D. (1988). Sampling properties of estimators of log-logistic distribution with application to Canadian precipitation data. *Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 16:223–236.
- Stokes, S. (1980). Inferences on the correlation coefficient in bivariate normal populations from ranked set samples. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 75:989–995.
- Takahasi, K. and Wakimoto, K. (1968). On unbiased estimates of the population mean based on the sample stratified by means of ordering. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 20:1–31.

A APPENDIX: Proofs of the results

A.1 Previous results

In this subsection we will establish some results that will be used in the different proofs. Let us denote by $B(a, b)$ the beta function of parameters a and b , i.e.,

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx.$$

We denote by $\Psi(x)$ the digamma function defined as the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function. First, we need some previous results that will be applied in the proofs of the results.

Lemma 12 (*Felipe et al. [b]*) *Let $m(\beta)$ be a real function for which there exists the first derivative. We have,*

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{m(\beta)}}{(1+t)^s} dt = B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1).$$

Corollary 13 *Let $m(\beta)$ be a real function for which there exists the first derivative. We have*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{m(\beta)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^s} dx = B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1).$$

Proof. It suffices to make the change $t = \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta$, $dt = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta-1} dx$ so that

$$dx = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\beta} dt = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} dt.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{m(\beta)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^s} dx &= \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{m(\beta)}}{(1+t)^s} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{m(\beta) + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^s} dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} B\left(s - m(\beta) - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1, m(\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we are applying Lemma 12 for the last equality. This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 14 (Felipe et al. [b]) *Let $m(\beta)$ be a real function for which there exists the first derivative. We have,*

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^\infty (\log t) \frac{t^{m(\beta)}}{(t+1)^s} dt \\ &= B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1) \{\Psi(m(\beta) + 1) - \Psi(s - m(\beta) - 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 15 *Let $m(\beta)$ be a real function for which there exists the first derivative. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \log\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right) \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{m(\beta)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^s} dx &= B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1) \\ &\quad \times [\Psi(m(\beta) + 1) - \Psi(s - m(\beta) - 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As before, we make the change $t = \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta$, $dt = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta-1} dx$, so that

$$dx = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\beta} dt = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} dt.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \log \left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right) \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{m(\beta)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^s} dx &= \int_0^\infty \log t \frac{t^{m(\beta)}}{(1+t)^s} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} dt \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^\infty \log t \frac{t^{m(\beta) + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^s} dt \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} B \left(s - m(\beta) - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1, m(\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi \left(m(\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(s - m(\beta) - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1 \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we are applying Lemma 14 for the last equality. This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 16 (Felipe et al. [b]) *Let $m(\beta)$ be a real function for which there exists the first derivative. For the log-logistic distribution we have,*

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_0^\infty (\log t)^2 \frac{t^{m(\beta)}}{(t+1)^s} dt \\
&= B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1) \left\{ (\Psi(m(\beta) + 1) - \Psi(s - m(\beta) - 1))^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\Psi'(m(\beta) + 1) + \Psi'(s - m(\beta) - 1)) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

A.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty f_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)}(x)^{\tau+1} dx &= \int_0^\infty \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the change $t = \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta$, so that $dt = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^\beta} x^{\beta-1} dx$ and hence $x^{\beta-1} dx = \frac{\alpha^\beta}{\beta} dt$. Therefore, as

$$(i\beta - 1)(\tau + 1) - \beta + 1 = i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau - 1 - \beta + 1 = i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau - \beta,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty f_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)}(x)^{\tau+1} dx &= \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{\beta-1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau-\beta}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dt \\
&= c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \\
&\quad \times B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau-\beta}{\beta} - 1, \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau-\beta}{\beta} - 1 &= \frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \\
\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau-\beta}{\beta} + 1 &= \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta+\tau}{\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

A.3 Proof of Theorem 2

We need to derive $\frac{\partial H_{n,\tau}(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial \alpha}$ and $\frac{\partial H_{n,\tau}(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial \beta}$. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}
f_i(\alpha,\beta) &= c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right), \\
g_i(\alpha,\beta) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \frac{c(i,n)^\tau \beta^\tau \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)}}{\alpha^\tau \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$H_{n,\tau}(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\alpha,\beta) + g_i(\alpha,\beta).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_i(\alpha,\beta)}{\partial \alpha} &= c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \tau \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau-1} \frac{(-\beta)}{\alpha^2} B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right) \frac{(-\tau)}{\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $g_i(\alpha,\beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_i(\alpha, \beta) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)}}{\alpha^\tau \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)}}{\alpha^\tau \left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n+1)}}} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n+1) - \tau(i\beta-1) - \tau}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial g_i(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \left[\frac{\beta\tau(n-i+1)\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)-1}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)} \left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)-1} \tau(n+1)\beta\alpha^{\beta-1}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{2\tau(n+1)}} \right] \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \left[\frac{\beta\tau(n-i+1)}{\alpha} - \frac{\beta\tau(n+1)\alpha^{\beta-1}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)} \right] \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \left[\frac{y_i^\beta \beta\tau(n-i+1) - \alpha^\beta \beta\tau i}{\alpha \left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H_{n,\tau}(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \frac{(-\tau)}{\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau y_i^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \frac{\alpha^{\beta\tau(n-i+1)}}{\left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)^{\tau(n+1)}} \left[\frac{y_i^\beta \beta\tau(n-i+1) - \alpha^\beta \beta\tau i}{\alpha \left(\alpha^\beta + y_i^\beta\right)} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Deleting $c(i, n)^\tau \beta^\tau$ as a common factor, we obtain the first equation.

Next, to consider $\frac{\partial H_{n,\tau}(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta}$, we have to take into account that

$$\frac{\partial B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1)}{\partial \beta} = m'(\beta) B(s - m(\beta) - 1, m(\beta) + 1) [\Psi(m(\beta) + 1) - \Psi(s - m(\beta) - 1)],$$

where Ψ denotes the digamma function. In this case, $m(\beta) = \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \beta - \tau}{\beta}$, and consequently

$$m'(\beta) = \frac{(i\tau + i - 1)\beta - (i\beta\tau + i\beta - \beta - \tau)}{\beta^2} = \frac{\tau}{\beta^2}.$$

Applying this,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_i(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} &= c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \tau \frac{\beta^{\tau-1}}{\alpha^\tau} B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad + c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{\tau}{\beta^2} B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}\right) \right] \\ &= c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \tau \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\ &\quad \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}\right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_i(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}) c(i, n)^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \left\{ \left[\tau \beta^{\tau-1} \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)} + \beta^\tau \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \tau i \ln\left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right) \right] \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta^\tau \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \tau(n+1) \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \ln\left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right) \right\} \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n-1)-1} \\ &= \frac{(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}) c(i, n)^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \tau \beta^\tau \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \\ &\quad \left[\left(\frac{1}{\beta} + i \ln\left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n-1)} - (n+1) \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \ln\left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n-1)-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

And we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H_{n,\tau}(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \tau \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n+i-1)\tau\beta + \tau + \beta(n+i-1)}{\beta}\right)\right)\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}) c(i, n)^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \tau \beta^\tau \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau(i\beta-1)} \\
&\quad \left[\left(\frac{1}{\beta} + i \ln\left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n-1)} - (n+1) \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \ln\left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{y_i}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\tau(n-1)-1}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Removing the common factor $\frac{c(i, n)^\tau \beta^{\tau-1}}{\alpha^\tau}$ the second equation arises.

A.4 Proof of Theorem 3

As

$$f_\alpha^{(i)}(x) = \frac{c(i, n) \beta x^{i\beta-1} \alpha^{\beta(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{n+1}},$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} - \frac{(n+1)}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)} \cdot \beta \alpha^{\beta-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{\partial \log f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \alpha}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 + \frac{(n+1)^2 \beta^2 \alpha^{2\beta-1}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^2} - 2(n-i+1)(n+1) \beta^2 \alpha^{\beta-2} \frac{1}{\alpha^\beta + x^\beta}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 + \frac{(n+1)^2 \beta^2 \alpha^{2\beta-1}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^2} - 2(n-i+1)(n+1) \beta^2 \alpha^{\beta-2} \frac{1}{\alpha^\beta + x^\beta} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= A_1 + A_2 - A_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us find each of these parts separately.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{-\beta i(\tau+1)} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta-1}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{-\beta i(\tau+1)} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \beta^2 \alpha^{2\beta-2} (n-i+1)^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+2}} dx \\
&= \beta^2 \alpha^{2\beta-2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\beta-1} x^{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+2}} dx \\
&= \beta^2 \alpha^{2\beta-2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)} \alpha^{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau} \alpha^{-\beta((n+1)(\tau+1)+2)} \cdot \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+2}} dx \\
&= \beta^2 \alpha^{2\beta-2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)} \alpha^{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau} \alpha^{-\beta((n+1)(\tau+1)+2)} \alpha^\beta \beta^{-1} \cdot \\
&\quad B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+3)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+3)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+3)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{(n+1)\tau\beta+(n+2)\beta} \cdot B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{(n+1)\tau\beta+(n+2)\beta} \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+1)\beta+\tau}{(n+1)\tau\beta+(n+1)\beta} \\
&\quad \times B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+1)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} (n-i+1)^2 c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{\beta[(n+1)\tau+(n+2)]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+1)\beta+\tau}{\beta[(n+1)\tau+(n+1)]} \cdot B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+1)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= 2(n-i+1)(n+1)\beta^2\alpha^{\beta-2} \int_0^\infty \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}\beta^{\tau+1}x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}\alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta+x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dx \\
&= 2(n-i+1)(n+1)\beta^2\alpha^{\beta-2}c(i, n)^{\tau+1}\beta^{\tau+1}\alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\beta-1}x^{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}}{(\alpha^\beta+x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dx \\
&= 2(n-i+1)(n+1)\beta^2\alpha^{\beta-2}c(i, n)^{\tau+1}\beta^{\tau+1}\alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}\alpha^{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}\alpha^{-\beta((n+1)(\tau+1)+1)} \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1}}{\left(1+\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dx \\
&= 2(n-i+1)(n+1)\beta^2\alpha^{\beta-2}c(i, n)^{\tau+1}\beta^{\tau+1}\alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}\alpha^{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}\alpha^{-\beta((n+1)(\tau+1)+1)}\alpha^\beta\beta^{-1} \cdot \\
&\quad B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} 2(n-i+1)(n+1)c(i, n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}
& B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+2)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+1)\beta+\tau}{(n+1)\tau\beta+(n+1)\beta} \cdot B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta+(n-i+1)\beta+\tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$A_3 = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+2} 2(n-i+1)(n+1)c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{(n+1)\tau\beta + (n+1)\beta} \cdot B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\tau\beta + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\tau\beta + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right).$$

A.5 Proof of Corollary 4

It suffices to take $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 3 for A_1, A_2, A_3 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^1 B(n-i+1, i), \\ A_2 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 (n-i+1)^2 c(i,n)^1 \cdot \frac{n-i+2}{n+2} \cdot \frac{n-i+1}{n+1} B(n-i+1, i), \\ A_3 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 2(n-i+1)(n+1)c(i,n)^1 \cdot \frac{(n-i+1)}{n+1} \cdot B(n-i+1, i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 + A_2 - A_3 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 c(i,n)B(n-i+1, i) \\ &\quad \left[(n-i+1)^2 + \frac{(n+1)(n-i+2)(n-i+1)}{n+2} - 2(n-i+1)^2 \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 c(i,n)B(n-i+1, i)(n-i+1) \left[\frac{(n+1)(n-i+2)(n-i+1)}{n+2} - (n-i+1)^2 \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 c(i,n)B(n-i+1, i)(n-i+1) \frac{i}{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

A.6 Proof of Theorem 5

We already know that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_\alpha^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} - \frac{(n+1)\beta\alpha^{\beta-1}}{\alpha^\beta + x^\beta}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\tau^{(i)}(\alpha) &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} - \frac{(n+1)\beta\alpha^{\beta-1}}{\alpha^\beta + x^\beta} \right) \cdot \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\ &= B_1 - B_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} (n-i+1) c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we are using that the integral has been already computed for A_1 . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(n+1)\beta\alpha^{\beta-1}}{\alpha^\beta + x^\beta} \cdot \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= (n+1)\beta\alpha^{\beta-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dx \\
&= (n+1)\beta\alpha^{\beta-1} c(i,n)^{\tau+1} \beta^\tau \alpha^{-\tau-\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} c(i,n)^{\tau+1} (n+1) \frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta(n+1)(\tau+1)} \\
&\quad \times B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta(\tau+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we are using that the integral has been already computed for A_3 . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[(n-i+1) - \frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta(\tau+1)} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} c(i,n)^{\tau+1} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \cdot \frac{-\tau}{\beta\tau + \beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

A.7 Proof of Theorem 7

As

$$f_\beta^{(i)} = \frac{c(i, n)\beta x^{i\beta-1}\alpha^{\beta(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{n+1}},$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_\beta^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} + i \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) - \frac{(n+1)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial \log f_\beta^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \beta}\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} + i^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right)^2 + \frac{(n+1)^2}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^2} \left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2i}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) - \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} - 2i(n+1) \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right)^2 \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2} + i^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right)^2 + \frac{(n+1)^2}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^2} \left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2i}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) - \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} - 2i(n+1) \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right)^2 \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\ &= C_1 + C_2 - C_3 + C_4 - C_5 - C_6. \end{aligned}$$

Let us find each of these parts separately.

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^\beta}{\beta} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dt \\ &= \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} B\left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2 &= \int_0^\infty i^2 \left(\log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{i^2}{\beta^2} \left(\log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{i^2}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^\infty (\log t)^2 \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dt \\
&= \frac{i^2 c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{\beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \cdot B \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left[\Psi' \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) + \Psi' \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right) \right]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(n+1)^2}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^2} \left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^2 \left(\log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{(n+1)^2}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \left(\log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta+\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+2}} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^\infty (\log t)^2 \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta+\beta-\tau}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+2}} dt \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \cdot B \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + (i+2)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi' \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+2)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) + \Psi' \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+2)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right) \right]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_4 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2i}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{2i}{\beta^2} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{2i}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \log t \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dt \\
&= \frac{2i}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \cdot B\left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_5 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta^2} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dx \\
&= \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot \int_0^\infty \log t \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\beta-\tau}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dt \\
&= \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \cdot B\left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_6 &= \int_0^\infty 2i(n+1) \left(\log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{2i(n+1)}{\beta^2} \left(\log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \cdot \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-1}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dx \\
&= \frac{2i(n+1)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1}}{\alpha^{\tau+1}} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot \int_0^\infty (\log t)^2 \cdot \frac{t^{\frac{i\beta\tau+i\beta-\tau}{\beta}}}{(1+t)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)+1}} dt \\
&= \frac{2(n+1)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^\tau}{\alpha^\tau} \cdot B \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left[\Psi' \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) + \Psi' \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\Psi \left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right) - \Psi \left(\frac{(n+1-i)\tau\beta + (n+1-i)\beta + \tau}{\beta} \right) \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

A.8 Proof of Theorem 8

We already know that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_\beta^{(i)}(x)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} + i \log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) - \frac{(n+1)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_\tau^{(i)}(\beta) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{\beta} + i \log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) - \frac{(n+1)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \right)} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^\beta \log \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= D_1 + D_2 - D_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \beta C_1 \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta} B \left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 &= \int_0^\infty i \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{2} C_4 \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \cdot i}{\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(n+1)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} x^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)} \alpha^{\beta(\tau+1)(n-i+1)}}{(\alpha^\beta + x^\beta)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{2} C_5 \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \cdot (n+1)}{\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right] \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \cdot (n+1)}{\beta} \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{(n+1)\beta\tau + (n+1)\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) + \frac{\beta}{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau} - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right] \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta} \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta(\tau+1)} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) + \frac{\beta}{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau} - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 + D_2 - D_3 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{\tau+1}\right] + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left[i - \frac{\beta}{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}\right] \cdot \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right] \\
&= \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1}}{\beta} B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \frac{\tau}{\tau+1} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

A.9 Proof of Theorem 9

By definition,

$$J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \alpha} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \beta} \right) f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{\tau+1} dx.$$

On the other hand, we already know that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\beta(n-i+1)}{\alpha} - \frac{(n+1)}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} + i \log \frac{x}{\alpha} - \frac{(n+1)}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \log \frac{x}{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, $J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by six terms corresponding to the product

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial \log f_{\alpha, \beta}(x)^{(i)}}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{\alpha} i(n-i+1) \log \frac{x}{\alpha} \\ &- \frac{(n-i+1)(n+1)\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \log \frac{x}{\alpha}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \\ &- \frac{(n+1)}{\alpha \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} \\ &- \frac{(n+1)i\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\log \frac{x}{\alpha}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \\ &+ \frac{(n+1)^2\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \log \frac{x}{\alpha}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$J_\tau^{(i)12}(\alpha, \beta) = E_1 + E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 + E_6.$$

Let us compute each of them separately.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\alpha^{\tau+1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\
&\quad \times B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1, \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right) \\
&= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we are applying Corollary 13 for the last equality.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta}{\alpha} i(n-i+1) \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\alpha^{\tau+1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} i(n-i+1) c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{i(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{i(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\
&\quad B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1, \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right) - \Psi\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we are applying Corollary 13 for the last equality.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (n+1)(n-i+1) \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\alpha^{\tau+1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (n+1)(n-i+1) c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + 1}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1) + 1}} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\
&\quad B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1, \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 2\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 2\right) - \Psi\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} - 1\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{(n+1)(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+1)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_4 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{n+1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\alpha^{\tau+1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{n+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1) + 1}} dx \\
&= \frac{n+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1) + 1}} dx \\
&= \frac{n+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\
&\quad \times B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}, \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right) \\
&= \frac{n+1}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_5 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (n+1)i \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\alpha^{\tau+1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (n+1) i c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)i}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)} + 1} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)i}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\
&\quad B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}, \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 1\right) - \Psi\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{(n+1)i}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + i\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_6 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (n+1)^2 \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^2} \cdot \frac{c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \beta^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\alpha^{\tau+1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (n+1)^2 c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1)}} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \int_0^\infty \log\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta \frac{\left(\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + 1}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right)^{(n+1)(\tau+1) + 2}} dx \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\tau+1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\
&\quad B\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}, \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 2\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} + 2\right) - \Psi\left((n+1)(\tau+1) - \frac{(i\beta-1)(\tau+1)}{\beta} - \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\alpha} c(i, n)^{\tau+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^\tau B\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta}, \frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) \\
&\quad \left[\Psi\left(\frac{i\beta\tau + (i+1)\beta - \tau}{\beta}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{(n-i+1)\beta\tau + (n-i+2)\beta + \tau}{\beta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

A.10 Proof of Corollary 10

It suffices to take $\tau = 0$ in E_1, \dots, E_6 . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i). \\
E_2 &= \frac{i(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i) [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)]. \\
E_3 &= \frac{(n-i+1)(n+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i+1) [\Psi(i+1) - \Psi(n-i+1)] \\
&= \frac{(n-i+1)(n+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) \frac{i}{n+1} B(n-i+1, i) \left[\Psi(i) + \frac{1}{i} - \Psi(n-i+1)\right] \\
&= \frac{i(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i) [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)] + \frac{(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $E_1 + E_2 - E_3 = 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_4 &= \frac{n+1}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+2, i) \\
&= \frac{n+1}{\alpha} c(i, n) \frac{n-i+1}{n+1} B(n-i+1, i) \\
&= \frac{n-i+1}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_5 &= \frac{i(n+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+2, i) [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+2)] \\
&= \frac{i(n+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) \frac{n-i+1}{n+1} B(n-i+1, i) \left[\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1) - \frac{1}{n-i+1} \right] \\
&= \frac{i(n-i+1)}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i) [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)] - \frac{i}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_6 &= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+2, i+1) [\Psi(i+1) - \Psi(n-i+2)] \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^2}{\alpha} c(i, n) \frac{n-i+1}{n+2} \frac{i}{n+1} B(n-i+1, i) \left[\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1) \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{n-i+1} \right] \\
&= \frac{(n+1)i(n-i+1)}{(n+2)\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i) [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)] \\
&\quad - \frac{(n+1)(n-2i+1)}{(n+2)\alpha} c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_6 - E_5 - E_4 &= \frac{c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i)}{\alpha} \left[-\frac{(n+1)(n-2i+1)}{(n+2)} + (n-i+1) - i \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i)}{\alpha} [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)] \left[\frac{(n-i+1)i(n+1)}{n+2} - i(n-i+1) \right] \\
&= \frac{c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i)}{\alpha} (n-2i+1) \left[1 - \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i)}{\alpha} [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)] \left[\frac{n+1}{n+2} - 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i)}{(n+2)\alpha} [n-2i+1 - (n-i+1)i [\Psi(i) - \Psi(n-i+1)]].
\end{aligned}$$

And taking into account that $c(i, n) B(n-i+1, i) = 1$, the result follows.

B Complementary simulation results

In the simulation section, we provided the results for different values of n and $(\alpha = 1, \beta = 2.5)$. Here, we present the results for other combinations of n and β in order to show that the conclusions are the same when varying these values.

In order to be self-contained, let us briefly outline the formulas for RM, SM and HL estimators.

Consider a sample (x_1, \dots, x_n) and assume the log-logistic model holds. Let us denote the ordered sample by $(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)})$. For the RM-estimator, we consider the values

$$y_i = \log \left[\frac{1}{1 - F(x_{(i)})} - 1 \right], \quad z_i = \log(x_{(i)}),$$

where $F(x_{(i)}) = \frac{i}{n+1}$. Next, we compute

$$b_1 = \text{Med}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Med}_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{y_i - y_j}{z_i - z_j} \right), \quad b_0 = \text{Med}_{1 \leq i \leq n} (y_i - b_1 z_i),$$

and finally the RM-estimations for α and β are given by

$$\hat{\alpha}_{RM} = \exp\left(-\frac{b_0}{b_1}\right), \quad \hat{\beta}_{RM} = b_1.$$

To compute the SM and HL estimators, we define $z_i = \log(x_i)$. Next, we compute

$$\hat{\mu}_{SM} = \text{Med}(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad \hat{\mu}_{HL} = \text{Med}_{i < j} \left(\frac{z_i + z_j}{2} \right),$$

$$\hat{s}_{SM} = \frac{\text{Med}(|z_i - \hat{\mu}_{SM}|)}{\Phi^{-1}(3/4)}, \quad \hat{s}_{HL} = \frac{\text{Med}_{i < j}(|z_i - z_j|)}{\sqrt{2}\Phi^{-1}(3/4)},$$

with Φ^{-1} the inverse of the distribution function of a standard normal. Then, the SM- and HL- estimations for α and β are given, respectively, by

$$\hat{\alpha}_{SM} = \exp(\hat{\mu}_{SM}), \hat{\beta}_{SM} = \frac{1}{\hat{s}_{SM}}, \quad \hat{\alpha}_{HL} = \exp(\hat{\mu}_{HL}), \hat{\beta}_{HL} = \frac{1}{\hat{s}_{HL}}.$$

In Tables 21 to 24, we include the data that have been considered to build Figures 1 to 4 in the paper.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.36673	0.36196	1.01193	1.57755
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.36932	0.36600	1.00557	1.57785
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.39371	0.39703	0.99852	1.59257
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.43178	0.46292	0.99167	1.61555
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.46650	0.50738	0.98510	1.63550
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.49885	0.54768	0.97902	1.65519
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.53514	0.60531	0.97303	1.68085
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.57010	0.66090	0.96649	1.71013
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.59823	0.69868	0.96066	1.73315
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.63409	0.75694	0.95527	1.76218
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.65697	0.79073	0.95020	1.78173
RM	0.42076	0.40904	0.98584	1.41224
SM	0.90421	0.77553	1.16817	0.87481
HL	0.82004	0.72495	1.01568	0.81724

Table 3: Results for $n = 10$ and $\beta = 1.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.47564	0.55101	1.00422	2.62800
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.47217	0.54805	1.00164	2.62059
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.49444	0.57902	0.99891	2.63449
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.53863	0.68286	0.99624	2.67013
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.58501	0.75436	0.99370	2.70683
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.64293	0.86396	0.99088	2.75772
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.70101	0.96378	0.98817	2.80802
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.76316	1.06942	0.98534	2.86487
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.81998	1.15550	0.98266	2.91709
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.89565	1.28145	0.97958	2.99121
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.94722	1.35939	0.97694	3.04155
RM	0.55745	0.62953	0.98809	2.35373
SM	1.23750	1.18055	1.09027	1.45801
HL	1.22112	1.17829	1.00602	1.36207

Table 4: Results for $n = 10$ and $\beta = 2.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.83677	1.08660	1.00100	5.25600
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.82271	1.07093	1.00014	5.23326
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.85020	1.11349	0.99927	5.25419
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.93062	1.31988	0.99838	5.32875
$DPD_{0.4}$	1.02072	1.50815	0.99763	5.41476
$DPD_{0.5}$	1.11491	1.67100	0.99686	5.50457
$DPD_{0.6}$	1.23986	1.92238	0.99598	5.62392
$DPD_{0.7}$	1.36824	2.14666	0.99501	5.75036
$DPD_{0.8}$	1.50255	2.39812	0.99412	5.88462
$DPD_{0.9}$	1.61624	2.57792	0.99318	6.00189
$DPD_{1.0}$	1.73744	2.75931	0.99211	6.12463
RM	0.99281	1.24377	0.99275	4.70745
SM	2.26485	2.33462	1.04152	2.91602
HL	2.32061	2.34843	1.00175	2.72414

Table 5: Results for $n = 10$ and $\beta = 5.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	1.61649	2.17128	1.00023	10.51201
$DPD_{0.1}$	1.58512	2.13466	0.99990	10.46085
$DPD_{0.2}$	1.63064	2.21082	0.99959	10.49843
$DPD_{0.3}$	1.78063	2.56874	0.99928	10.64058
$DPD_{0.4}$	1.97119	3.01871	0.99903	10.82651
$DPD_{0.5}$	2.16026	3.35303	0.99878	11.00950
$DPD_{0.6}$	2.39309	3.83894	0.99854	11.23980
$DPD_{0.7}$	2.65919	4.34485	0.99819	11.51039
$DPD_{0.8}$	2.93641	4.86804	0.99787	11.79605
$DPD_{0.9}$	3.14187	5.17449	0.99756	12.01123
$DPD_{1.0}$	3.38205	5.54445	0.99725	12.25933
RM	1.92418	2.48559	0.99605	9.41491
SM	4.42956	4.66632	1.01991	5.83205
HL	4.58067	4.69587	1.00057	5.44828

Table 6: Results for $n = 10$ and $\beta = 10.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.15503	0.14879	1.00309	1.51668
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.15597	0.14853	1.00270	1.51438
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.16643	0.15826	1.00229	1.51537
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.17916	0.16970	1.00191	1.51711
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.19037	0.18057	1.00150	1.51911
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.20027	0.19043	1.00097	1.52147
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.20888	0.19964	1.00026	1.52429
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.21649	0.20775	0.99923	1.52769
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.22320	0.21554	0.99811	1.53137
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.23051	0.22361	0.99725	1.53449
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.23746	0.23078	0.99632	1.53767
RM	0.17040	0.15938	1.00428	1.44596
SM	0.65352	0.59037	1.01232	0.94318
HL	0.70996	0.66643	1.00635	0.84061

Table 7: Results for $n = 25$ and $\beta = 1.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.20709	0.22950	1.00142	2.52780
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.20097	0.22035	1.00136	2.52370
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.20563	0.22442	1.00121	2.52582
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.21541	0.23429	1.00097	2.53087
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.22655	0.24692	1.00065	2.53751
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.23908	0.26103	1.00025	2.54501
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.25187	0.27585	0.99980	2.55292
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.26377	0.29081	0.99932	2.56094
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.27500	0.30585	0.99884	2.56893
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.28565	0.32077	0.99838	2.57670
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.29595	0.33454	0.99794	2.58406
RM	0.22880	0.24554	1.00206	2.40994
SM	0.98601	0.96551	1.00566	1.57196
HL	1.12924	1.10631	1.00333	1.40101

Table 8: Results for $n = 25$ and $\beta = 2.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.37099	0.45363	1.00055	5.05560
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.35206	0.42820	1.00059	5.04766
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.35341	0.42847	1.00058	5.05266
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.36761	0.44552	1.00054	5.06431
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.38836	0.47186	1.00046	5.07929
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.41170	0.50278	1.00035	5.09585
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.43482	0.53546	1.00023	5.11297
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.45658	0.56795	1.00010	5.13002
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.47792	0.59875	0.99996	5.14660
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.49793	0.62739	0.99982	5.16269
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.51671	0.65480	0.99968	5.17851
RM	0.41113	0.48526	1.00084	4.81987
SM	1.88554	1.92593	1.00218	3.14392
HL	2.21307	2.21140	1.00149	2.80202

Table 9: Results for $n = 25$ and $\beta = 5.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.72041	0.90658	1.00023	10.11120
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.67864	0.85190	1.00027	10.09599
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.67814	0.84914	1.00029	10.10661
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.70428	0.88296	1.00029	10.13060
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.74547	0.93715	1.00027	10.16114
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.79114	1.00115	1.00025	10.19468
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.83663	1.06864	1.00021	10.22919
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.88090	1.13530	1.00018	10.26340
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.92174	1.19780	1.00014	10.29656
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.96071	1.25461	1.00010	10.32857
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.99714	1.30633	1.00006	10.35983
RM	0.79906	0.96978	1.00037	9.63974
SM	3.72798	3.85123	1.00093	6.28785
HL	4.40349	4.42266	1.00070	5.60404

Table 10: Results for $n = 25$ and $\beta = 10.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.08380	0.07966	0.99982	1.50756
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.08246	0.07838	0.99960	1.50630
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.08781	0.08328	0.99947	1.50592
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.09415	0.08875	0.99937	1.50570
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.09978	0.09357	0.99922	1.50560
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.10464	0.09763	0.99900	1.50565
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.10877	0.10108	0.99871	1.50583
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.11232	0.10409	0.99837	1.50613
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.11543	0.10682	0.99798	1.50649
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.11821	0.10936	0.99758	1.50692
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.12074	0.11181	0.99717	1.50739
RM	0.09302	0.08723	0.99808	1.45694
SM	0.66464	0.61029	1.03188	0.90040
HL	0.66722	0.64313	0.99968	0.85875

Table 11: Results for $n = 50$ and $\beta = 1.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.11258	0.12330	0.99977	2.51259
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.10531	0.11463	0.99971	2.50999
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.10608	0.11485	0.99968	2.50918
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.11027	0.11828	0.99967	2.50902
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.11515	0.12289	0.99964	2.50922
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.12008	0.12790	0.99958	2.50969
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.12512	0.13299	0.99950	2.51036
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.13032	0.13804	0.99939	2.51119
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.13532	0.14297	0.99927	2.51214
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.14002	0.14776	0.99913	2.51319
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.14444	0.15243	0.99899	2.51430
RM	0.12599	0.13563	0.99871	2.42824
SM	1.03790	1.00918	1.01836	1.50067
HL	1.08433	1.07070	0.99968	1.43126

Table 12: Results for $n = 50$ and $\beta = 2.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.20229	0.24384	0.99984	5.02519
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.18330	0.22067	0.99984	5.01953
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.17952	0.21547	0.99985	5.01793
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.18473	0.21992	0.99987	5.01799
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.19297	0.22885	0.99990	5.01887
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.20197	0.23957	0.99992	5.02030
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.21131	0.25076	0.99994	5.02218
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.22059	0.26183	0.99996	5.02444
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.22926	0.27252	0.99997	5.02703
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.23721	0.28274	0.99998	5.02992
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.24474	0.29248	0.99999	5.03304
RM	0.22744	0.26840	0.99930	4.85648
SM	2.01779	2.01620	1.00890	3.00133
HL	2.14528	2.14106	0.99979	2.86251

Table 13: Results for $n = 50$ and $\beta = 5.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.39314	0.48733	0.99991	10.05038
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.35297	0.43752	0.99991	10.03879
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.34244	0.42443	0.99993	10.03574
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.35139	0.43261	0.99995	10.03634
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.36737	0.45089	0.99997	10.03874
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.38509	0.47316	1.00000	10.04232
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.40285	0.49647	1.00002	10.04683
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.42052	0.51945	1.00005	10.05215
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.43690	0.54155	1.00008	10.05816
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.45232	0.56259	1.00010	10.06476
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.46632	0.58254	1.00013	10.07184
RM	0.44259	0.53645	0.99964	9.71296
SM	4.00686	4.03215	1.00438	6.00266
HL	4.27887	4.28209	0.99989	5.72503

Table 14: Results for $n = 50$ and $\beta = 10.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.05552	0.05357	1.00047	1.50174
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.05494	0.05199	1.00033	1.50154
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.05884	0.05510	1.00019	1.50175
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.06308	0.05863	0.99999	1.50209
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.06696	0.06188	0.99974	1.50255
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.07034	0.06479	0.99943	1.50310
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.07330	0.06742	0.99909	1.50370
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.07591	0.06983	0.99874	1.50431
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.07830	0.07209	0.99840	1.50491
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.08055	0.07424	0.99808	1.50548
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.08272	0.07631	0.99777	1.50602
RM	0.06222	0.05875	1.00098	1.47066
SM	0.62188	0.58480	1.00168	0.92068
HL	0.65325	0.63691	1.00032	0.86398

Table 15: Results for $n = 75$ and $\beta = 1.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.07467	0.08292	1.00023	2.50289
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.07018	0.07601	1.00016	2.50253
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.07120	0.07647	1.00008	2.50294
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.07442	0.07938	0.99998	2.50356
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.07821	0.08315	0.99984	2.50427
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.08223	0.08717	0.99969	2.50502
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.08619	0.09117	0.99952	2.50576
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.08992	0.09498	0.99935	2.50647
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.09330	0.09856	0.99918	2.50714
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.09643	0.10190	0.99902	2.50776
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.09939	0.10503	0.99886	2.50835
RM	0.08437	0.09135	1.00053	2.45111
SM	0.99106	0.97106	1.00066	1.53447
HL	1.07037	1.06097	1.00014	1.43996

Table 16: Results for $n = 75$ and $\beta = 2.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.13427	0.16399	1.00009	5.00579
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.12247	0.14714	1.00007	5.00505
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.12062	0.14523	1.00004	5.00578
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.12450	0.15010	1.00000	5.00678
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.13070	0.15744	0.99995	5.00775
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.13752	0.16533	0.99990	5.00870
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.14403	0.17301	0.99984	5.00964
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.15041	0.18019	0.99979	5.01063
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.15624	0.18679	0.99973	5.01168
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.16144	0.19284	0.99967	5.01281
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.16618	0.19839	0.99961	5.01401
RM	0.15243	0.18079	1.00024	4.90221
SM	1.94382	1.94111	1.00020	3.06894
HL	2.12524	2.12178	1.00005	2.87993

Table 17: Results for $n = 75$ and $\beta = 5.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.26099	0.32774	1.00004	10.01158
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.23603	0.29278	1.00003	10.01009
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.23087	0.28783	1.00002	10.01140
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.23739	0.29724	1.00000	10.01310
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.24880	0.31180	0.99999	10.01465
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.26117	0.32741	0.99997	10.01611
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.27319	0.34248	0.99995	10.01765
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.28497	0.35652	0.99993	10.01938
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.29591	0.36942	0.99991	10.02136
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.30589	0.38128	0.99989	10.02362
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.31468	0.39219	0.99987	10.02613
RM	0.29671	0.36134	1.00011	9.80442
SM	3.86850	3.88210	1.00007	6.13788
HL	4.24273	4.24355	1.00002	5.75986

Table 18: Results for $n = 75$ and $\beta = 10.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.04296	0.04082	1.00005	1.50226
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.04247	0.03971	1.00005	1.50162
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.04547	0.04232	1.00001	1.50158
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.04869	0.04513	0.99995	1.50172
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.05143	0.04761	0.99988	1.50194
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.05379	0.04975	0.99979	1.50220
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.05581	0.05162	0.99970	1.50249
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.05761	0.05329	0.99961	1.50277
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.05930	0.05483	0.99952	1.50306
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.06090	0.05630	0.99943	1.50335
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.06255	0.05772	0.99934	1.50363
RM	0.04815	0.04561	0.99919	1.47629
SM	0.62856	0.59454	1.01370	0.90907
HL	0.64668	0.63379	1.00037	0.86672

Table 19: Results for $n = 100$ and $\beta = 1.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.05753	0.06297	1.00000	2.50377
$DPD_{0.1}$	0.05365	0.05741	1.00001	2.50267
$DPD_{0.2}$	0.05452	0.05789	0.99999	2.50264
$DPD_{0.3}$	0.05699	0.06026	0.99996	2.50304
$DPD_{0.4}$	0.05998	0.06325	0.99991	2.50364
$DPD_{0.5}$	0.06297	0.06638	0.99985	2.50434
$DPD_{0.6}$	0.06590	0.06947	0.99978	2.50505
$DPD_{0.7}$	0.06871	0.07240	0.99972	2.50574
$DPD_{0.8}$	0.07138	0.07516	0.99967	2.50639
$DPD_{0.9}$	0.07380	0.07774	0.99962	2.50700
$DPD_{1.0}$	0.07608	0.08016	0.99957	2.50757
RM	0.06522	0.07084	0.99948	2.46048
SM	1.00733	0.98813	1.00796	1.51512
HL	1.06350	1.05599	1.00019	1.44454

Table 20: Results for $n = 100$ and $\beta = 2.5$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.10319	0.12446	0.99999	5.00754
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.09279	0.11053	1.00000	5.00540
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.09203	0.10901	1.00000	5.00537
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.09566	0.11314	0.99998	5.00622
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.10117	0.11936	0.99996	5.00744
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.10703	0.12607	0.99994	5.00881
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.11268	0.13261	0.99992	5.01023
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.11787	0.13873	0.99989	5.01164
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.12253	0.14438	0.99987	5.01301
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.12683	0.14957	0.99985	5.01435
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.13092	0.15435	0.99983	5.01564
RM	0.11775	0.14018	0.99973	4.92095
SM	1.98093	1.97550	1.00388	3.03025
HL	2.11494	2.11189	1.00008	2.88908

Table 21: Results for $n = 100$ and $\beta = 5.0$.

	Bias	RMSE	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$
MLE	0.20044	0.24873	0.99999	10.01508
<i>DPD</i> _{0.1}	0.17818	0.21954	1.00000	10.01092
<i>DPD</i> _{0.2}	0.17579	0.21540	1.00000	10.01089
<i>DPD</i> _{0.3}	0.18276	0.22352	0.99999	10.01253
<i>DPD</i> _{0.4}	0.19328	0.23615	0.99999	10.01484
<i>DPD</i> _{0.5}	0.20496	0.24974	0.99998	10.01743
<i>DPD</i> _{0.6}	0.21578	0.26292	0.99997	10.02014
<i>DPD</i> _{0.7}	0.22551	0.27523	0.99996	10.02288
<i>DPD</i> _{0.8}	0.23456	0.28659	0.99995	10.02562
<i>DPD</i> _{0.9}	0.24297	0.29703	0.99994	10.02833
<i>DPD</i> _{1.0}	0.25078	0.30663	0.99993	10.03101
RM	0.22916	0.28018	0.99986	9.84190
SM	3.94509	3.95091	1.00192	6.06049
HL	4.22385	4.22377	1.00004	5.77816

Table 22: Results for $n = 100$ and $\beta = 10.0$.

MLE	$DPD_{0.1}$	$DPD_{0.2}$	$DPD_{0.3}$	$DPD_{0.4}$	$DPD_{0.5}$	$DPD_{0.6}$	$DPD_{0.7}$	$DPD_{0.8}$	RM	SM	HL
0.12632	0.11165	0.11087	0.11520	0.12112	0.12743	0.13368	0.13971	0.14541	0.13975	1.96792	2.11103
2.79244	0.50392	0.24375	0.20220	0.19519	0.19824	0.20519	0.21360	0.22230	0.51994	2.15191	2.43101
3.65647	1.14888	0.45768	0.34101	0.31673	0.31965	0.33290	0.35003	0.36806	0.87505	2.32271	2.73765
3.96925	2.35926	0.72293	0.51264	0.47168	0.47765	0.50009	0.52800	0.55661	1.23249	2.50961	3.04014
3.99991	3.88676	1.08157	0.72456	0.65355	0.65562	0.68243	0.71722	0.75308	1.58023	2.69591	3.33873
4.00007	3.99984	2.03909	0.99514	0.87329	0.86499	0.89334	0.93355	0.97579	1.94470	2.88669	3.66102
4.00008	4.00038	3.72815	1.50177	1.15606	1.12048	1.14550	1.19343	1.23397	2.32659	3.09083	4.01093
4.00011	4.00132	3.99733	2.72044	1.66613	1.45473	1.43524	1.47776	1.50158	2.71268	3.29234	4.38769
4.00012	4.00301	4.00209	3.82119	2.83881	2.24735	2.13889	2.04122	1.97892	3.13622	3.51284	4.60939

Table 23: Results for Case 1.

MLE	$DPD_{0.1}$	$DPD_{0.2}$	$DPD_{0.3}$	$DPD_{0.4}$	$DPD_{0.5}$	$DPD_{0.6}$	$DPD_{0.7}$	$DPD_{0.8}$	RM	SM	HL
0.12355	0.10807	0.10489	0.10745	0.11232	0.11799	0.12383	0.12957	0.13504	0.14346	1.97776	2.11525
0.87226	0.64923	0.46066	0.33165	0.25890	0.22482	0.21263	0.21167	0.21617	0.47403	2.15495	2.43551
1.49037	1.23164	0.94426	0.69598	0.52993	0.44030	0.40118	0.39050	0.39455	0.77591	2.38458	2.72341
1.94563	1.73798	1.44769	1.12633	0.86459	0.70480	0.62710	0.59901	0.59744	1.08563	2.61851	2.98071
2.27474	2.14570	1.92592	1.61591	1.28657	1.04358	0.91020	0.85262	0.83744	1.40805	2.87023	3.21243
2.51379	2.45419	2.32259	2.09676	1.77666	1.45892	1.25011	1.14688	1.10795	1.80102	3.13408	3.41433
2.69080	2.68653	2.63214	2.51479	2.30993	2.01350	1.72616	1.54541	1.45785	2.19305	3.42132	3.60479
2.82244	2.85790	2.85641	2.81587	2.72694	2.56959	2.32908	2.07492	1.90390	2.50179	3.71815	3.75821
2.91965	2.98466	3.01900	3.02543	3.00404	2.95139	2.85869	2.71289	2.52452	2.72515	3.99548	3.86485

Table 24: Results for Case 2.

MLE	$DPD_{0.1}$	$DPD_{0.2}$	$DPD_{0.3}$	$DPD_{0.4}$	$DPD_{0.5}$	$DPD_{0.6}$	$DPD_{0.7}$	$DPD_{0.8}$	RM	SM	HL
0.12589	0.11152	0.10995	0.11358	0.11898	0.12483	0.13060	0.13608	0.14122	0.14328	1.97600	2.11241
1.27777	0.64442	0.32032	0.21528	0.18952	0.18812	0.19467	0.20386	0.21364	0.46522	2.16102	2.42516
2.06203	1.27998	0.65611	0.40214	0.32782	0.31584	0.32542	0.34237	0.36122	0.76126	2.35214	2.71561
2.59347	1.89381	1.06596	0.63796	0.50322	0.47689	0.48833	0.51269	0.54044	1.05625	2.56784	2.99396
2.95930	2.42607	1.55034	0.92734	0.71159	0.66236	0.67144	0.70060	0.73535	1.35907	2.79512	3.25626
3.22429	2.85505	2.09778	1.29313	0.96755	0.88465	0.88701	0.91871	0.95897	1.71144	3.04216	3.50446
3.42083	3.17806	2.64003	1.75981	1.27808	1.14042	1.12654	1.15528	1.19724	2.10080	3.29826	3.74301
3.58067	3.42320	3.08301	2.33847	1.66653	1.44151	1.39837	1.41769	1.45735	2.54256	3.55278	3.99143
3.72012	3.62031	3.41200	2.95737	2.19725	1.82155	1.72323	1.72113	1.75162	3.07281	3.80248	4.25151

Table 25: Results for Case 3.

MLE	$DPD_{0.1}$	$DPD_{0.2}$	$DPD_{0.3}$	$DPD_{0.4}$	$DPD_{0.5}$	$DPD_{0.6}$	$DPD_{0.7}$	$DPD_{0.8}$	RM	SM	HL
0.12703	0.11096	0.10803	0.11061	0.11526	0.12055	0.12592	0.13112	0.13609	0.14086	1.97898	2.11389
2.04462	0.53361	0.15189	0.13275	0.14324	0.15783	0.17263	0.18659	0.19934	0.46801	2.16097	2.43498
2.97688	1.21611	0.25912	0.18733	0.21085	0.24633	0.28181	0.31464	0.34411	0.76764	2.37859	2.72843
3.49092	2.05810	0.40965	0.26923	0.30785	0.36634	0.42335	0.47498	0.52054	1.08621	2.61033	2.99299
3.81426	2.90145	0.60903	0.36654	0.41701	0.49778	0.57601	0.64609	0.70726	1.42199	2.86607	3.23862
4.05861	3.51932	0.89918	0.49440	0.55437	0.65817	0.75809	0.84651	0.92272	1.82150	3.14369	3.47779
4.21761	3.93500	1.34703	0.63635	0.69930	0.82514	0.94646	1.05279	1.14338	2.27052	3.42705	5.48892
4.43936	4.24694	2.52282	0.81571	0.87183	1.01942	1.16261	1.28696	1.39157	2.85635	3.72076	6.38169
4.47214	4.45733	4.15105	1.03388	1.06507	1.23320	1.39845	1.54079	1.65894	3.74137	4.02277	6.83677

Table 26: Results for Case 4.