

GIBBS MEASURES FOR HARDCORE-SOS MODELS ON CAYLEY TREES

BENEDIKT JAHNEL & UTKIR ROZIKOV

ABSTRACT. We investigate the finite-state p -solid-on-solid model, for $p = \infty$, on Cayley trees of order $k \geq 2$ and establish a system of functional equations where each solution corresponds to a (splitting) Gibbs measure of the model. Our main result is that, for three states, $k = 2, 3$ and increasing coupling strength, the number of translation-invariant Gibbs measures behaves as $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7$. This phase diagram is qualitatively similar to the one observed for three-state p -SOS models with $p > 0$ and, in the case of $k = 2$, we demonstrate that, on the level of the functional equations, the transition $p \rightarrow \infty$ is continuous.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2022). 82B26 (primary); 60K35 (secondary)

Keywords. Cayley tree, p -SOS model, Gibbs measure, tree-indexed Markov chain.

1. SETTING, MODELS AND FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

Statistical-mechanics models on trees are known to possess rich structural properties, see for example [5, 18] for general references. In the present note we contribute to this field by analyzing a hardcore model that emerges as a limit of the p -SOS model that was recently introduced in [3]. The setting for this ∞ -SOS model is as follows.

Let $\Gamma^k = (V, L)$ be the uniform *Cayley tree* where each vertex has $k + 1$ neighbors with V being the set of vertices and L the set of edges. Endpoints x, y of an edge $\ell = \langle x, y \rangle$ are called *nearest neighbors*. On the Cayley tree there is a natural distance, to be denoted $d(x, y)$, being the smallest number of nearest-neighbors pairs in a path between the vertices x and y , where a *path* is a sequence of nearest-neighbor pairs of vertices where two consecutive pairs share at least one vertex. For a fixed $x^0 \in V$, the *root*, we let

$$V_n = \{x \in V : d(x, x^0) \leq n\} \quad \text{and} \quad W_n = \{x \in V : d(x^0, x) = n\}$$

denote the *ball* of radius n , respectively the *sphere* of radius n , both with center at x^0 . Further, let $S(x)$ be the *direct successors* of x , i.e., for $x \in W_n$

$$S(x) = \{y \in W_{n+1} : d(x, y) = 1\}.$$

Next, we denote by $\Phi = \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ the *local state space*, i.e., the space of values of the spins associated to each vertex of the tree. Then, a *configuration* on the Cayley tree is a collection $\sigma = \{\sigma(x) : x \in V\} \in \Phi^V = \Omega$.

Let us now describe hardcore interactions between spins of neighboring vertices. For this, let $G = (\Phi, K)$ be a graph with vertex set Φ , the set of spin values, and edge set K . A configuration σ is called *G -admissible* on a Cayley tree if $\{\sigma(x), \sigma(y)\} \in K$ is an edge of G for any pair of nearest neighbors $\langle x, y \rangle \in L$. We let Ω^G denote the sets of G -admissible configurations. The restriction of a configuration on a subset A of V is denoted by σ_A and Ω_A^G denotes the set of all G -admissible configurations on A . On a general level, we further define the *matrix of activity* on edges of G as a function

$$\lambda : \{i, j\} \in K \rightarrow \lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where \mathbb{R}_+ denotes the positive real numbers and $\lambda_{i,j}$ is called the *activity* of the edge $\{i, j\} \in K$. In this note, we consider the graph G as shown in Figure 1, which is called a *hinge-graph*, see for

example [2]. In words, in the hinge-graph G , configurations are admissible only if, for any pair of




FIGURE 1. The hinge-graph G with $m + 1$ vertices.

nearest-neighbor vertices $\{x, y\}$, we have that

$$(1.1) \quad |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Let us also note that our choice of admissibilities generalizes certain finite-state random homomorphism models, see [6, 11], where only configurations with $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| = 1$ are allowed.

Our main interest lies in the analysis of the set of *splitting Gibbs measures* (SGMs) defined on hinge-graph admissible configurations. Let us start by defining SGMs for general admissibility graphs G . Let

$$z: x \mapsto z_x = (z_{0,x}, z_{1,x}, \dots, z_{m,x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}$$

be a vector-valued function on V . Then, given $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and an activity $\lambda = (\lambda_{i,j})_{\{i,j\} \in K}$, consider the probability distribution $\mu^{(n)}$ on $\Omega_{V_n}^G$, defined as

$$(1.2) \quad \mu^{(n)}(\sigma_n) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \prod_{\langle x,y \rangle \in V_n} \lambda_{\sigma_n(x), \sigma_n(y)} \prod_{x \in W_n} z_{\sigma(x), x},$$

where $\sigma_n = \sigma_{V_n}$. Here Z_n is the *partition function*

$$Z_n = \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_n \in \Omega_{V_n}^G} \prod_{\langle x,y \rangle \in V_n} \lambda_{\tilde{\sigma}_n(x), \tilde{\sigma}_n(y)} \prod_{x \in W_n} z_{\tilde{\sigma}(x), x}.$$

The sequence of probability distributions $(\mu^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ is called *compatible* if, for all $n \geq 1$ and σ_{n-1} , we have that

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\omega_n \in \Omega_{W_n}^G} \mu^{(n)}(\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n \in \Omega_{V_n}^G\}} = \mu^{(n-1)}(\sigma_{n-1}),$$

where $\sigma_{n-1} \vee \omega_n$ is the concatenation of the configurations σ_{n-1} and ω_n . Note that, by Kolmogorov's extension theorem, for a compatible sequence of distributions, there exists a unique measure μ on Ω^G such that, for all n and $\sigma_n \in \Omega_{V_n}^G$,

$$\mu(\{\sigma|_{V_n} = \sigma_n\}) = \mu^{(n)}(\sigma_n).$$

This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1. We call the measure μ , defined by (1.2) and (1.3), the *splitting Gibbs measure* corresponding to the activity λ and the function $z: x \in V \setminus \{x^0\} \mapsto z_x$.

Let $A = A^G = (a_{ij})_{\{i,j\} \in K}$ denote the adjacency matrix of G , i.e.,

$$a_{ij} = a_{ij}^G = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{i, j\} \in K, \\ 0, & \text{if } \{i, j\} \notin K, \end{cases}$$

then, the following statement describes conditions on z_x guaranteeing compatibility of the distributions $(\mu^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$.

Theorem 1. *The sequence of probability distributions $(\mu^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ in (1.2) are compatible if and only if, for any $x \in V$, the following system of equations holds*

$$(1.4) \quad z_{i,x} = \prod_{y \in S(x)} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{ij} \lambda_{i,j} z_{j,y} + a_{im} \lambda_{i,m}}{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{mj} \lambda_{m,j} z_{j,y} + a_{mm} \lambda_{m,m}}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [17, Theorem 1] and [16, Proposition 2.1]. \square

Note that, in (1.4), the normalization is at the spin state m , i.e., we assume that, for all $x \in V$, we have $z_{m,x} = 1$.

In the remainder of the manuscript, we restrict our choice of activities in order to make contact to p -SOS models defined via the formal Hamiltonian

$$(1.5) \quad H(\sigma) = -J \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|^p,$$

for $p > 0$ and coupling constant $J \in \mathbb{R}$, see [3, 5, 18, 19] and references therein. The present note then presents a continuation of previous investigations related to p -SOS models on trees with $p > 0$, but now in the case where $p = \infty$. More precisely, we denote $\theta = \exp(J)$ and consider the activity $\lambda = (\lambda_{i,j})_{\{i,j\} \in K}$ defined as

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ \theta, & \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call the resulting hinge-graph model (with hinge-graph as in Figure 1) the ∞ -SOS model. Consequently, for the ∞ -SOS model with activity (1.6), the equation (1.4) reduces to

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{0,x} &= \prod_{y \in S(x)} \frac{z_{0,y} + \theta z_{1,y}}{\theta z_{m-1,y} + 1} \\ z_{i,x} &= \prod_{y \in S(x)} \frac{\theta z_{i-1,y} + z_{i,y} + \theta z_{i+1,y}}{\theta z_{m-1,y} + 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ z_{m,x} &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and, by Theorem 1, for any $z = \{z_x : x \in V\}$ satisfying (1.7), there exists a unique SGM μ for the ∞ -SOS. However, the analysis of solutions to (1.7) for an arbitrary m is challenging. We therefore restrict our attention to a smaller class of measures, namely the translation-invariant SGMs.

2. TRANSLATION-INVARIANT SGMs FOR THE ∞ -SOS MODEL WITH $m = 2$

Searching only for translation-invariant measures, the functional equation (1.7) reduces to

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} z_0 &= \left(\frac{z_0 + \theta z_1}{\theta z_{m-1} + 1} \right)^k, \\ z_i &= \left(\frac{\theta z_{i-1} + z_i + \theta z_{i+1}}{\theta z_{m-1} + 1} \right)^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ z_m &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we restrict our attention to the case where $m = 2$. In this case, denoting $x = \sqrt[k]{z_0}$ and $y = \sqrt[k]{z_1}$, from (2.1) we get

$$(2.2) \quad x = \frac{x^k + \theta y^k}{\theta y^k + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{\theta x^k + y^k + \theta}{\theta y^k + 1}.$$

In particular, considering only the first equation of this system, we find the solutions $x = 1$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \theta y^k = x^{k-1} + x^{k-2} + \dots + x.$$

We start by investigating the case $x = 1$.

2.1. **Case $x = 1$.** In this case, from the second equation in (2.2), we get that

$$(2.4) \quad \theta y^{k+1} - y^k + y - 2\theta = 0$$

and hence, as a direct application of Descartes' rule of signs, the following statement follows.

Lemma 1. *For all $k \geq 2$, there exist at most three positive roots for (2.4).*

For small values of k , i.e., $k = 2, 3$, we can solve (2.4) explicitly and exhibit regions of θ where there are exactly three solutions.

2.1.1. *Case $k = 2$.* In this case, the equation (2.4) coincides with the corresponding equation for $p = \infty$ found in [7]. The solutions are presented in Section 3 below.

2.1.2. *Case $k = 3$.* In this case, we can rearrange (2.4) as

$$\theta = \frac{y^3 - y}{y^4 - 2} =: \alpha(y),$$

where we assumed $y \neq \sqrt[4]{2}$ since $y = \sqrt[4]{2}$ is not a solution. It follows from Figure 2 that up to three solutions y for (2.4) appear as follows. There exists $\theta_c \approx 0.206$ such that we have:




FIGURE 2. Graph of the function $\alpha(y)$.

- 1) If $\theta \in (0, \theta_c)$, then there are three solutions $y_1 > \sqrt[4]{2}$ and $y_2 < y_3 < 1$.
- 2) If $\theta = \theta_c$, then there are two solutions $y_1 > \sqrt[4]{2}$ and $y_2 < 1$.
- 3) If $\theta > \theta_c$, then there is a unique solution $y_1 > \sqrt[4]{2}$.

We can derive the value of θ_c explicitly as follows. The equation $\alpha'(y) = 0$ can be solved explicitly as

$$y_0 = \sqrt{1 - c + 1/c} \approx 0.635, \quad \text{with } c = \sqrt[3]{1 + \sqrt{2}}.$$

Since $y_0 \in (0, 1)$, the critical value θ_c is thus given by

$$(2.5) \quad \theta_c = \alpha(y_0) = \frac{(1 - c)\sqrt{c(1 + c - c^2)}}{c^4 - 3c^2 + 2c - 2\sqrt{2} - 1}.$$

2.2. **Case $x \neq 1$.** Let us consider the second situation as presented in (2.2).

2.2.1. *Case $k = 2$.* In this case, using (2.3) and the second equation in (2.2), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \theta^4 x^4 + (2\theta^2 - \theta)x^3 + (2\theta^4 - 2\theta + 1)x^2 + (2\theta^2 - \theta)x + \theta^4 = 0,$$

which is a polynomial with symmetric coefficients and hence, denoting $\xi = x + 1/x$, (2.6) can be rewritten as

$$\theta^4(\xi^2 - 2) + (2\theta^2 - \theta)\xi + (2\theta^4 - 2\theta + 1) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad \theta^4 \xi^2 + (2\theta^2 - \theta)\xi + 1 - 2\theta = 0.$$

But, this equation has two solutions $\xi_{1,2}$ given in (3.7) below and thus we have up to four additional solutions. Again, this case coincides with the corresponding equation for $p = \infty$ found in [7] and the overview of solutions is presented in Section 3 below.

2.2.2. *Case $k = 3$.* In this case, by (2.3) and the second equation in (2.2) we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta^6 x^8 + (-\theta^6 + 3\theta^4 - \theta^2)x^7 + \theta^6 x^6 + (2\theta^6 - 3\theta^2 + 1)x^5 + (-2\theta^6 + 6\theta^4 - 7\theta^2 + 2)x^4 \\ + (2\theta^6 - 3\theta^2 + 1)x^3 + \theta^6 x^2 + (-\theta^6 + 3\theta^4 - \theta^2)x + \theta^6 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and this equation may have up to eight positive solutions since, if $\theta < \theta_c'' \approx 0.605$, the number of sign changes in the coefficients is eight. However, by computer analysis we can show that there exists $\hat{\theta}_c \approx 0.4812$ such that, if $\theta < \hat{\theta}_c$, then (2.8) has precisely four positive solutions, if $\theta = \hat{\theta}_c$, then there are precisely two solutions and, if $\theta > \hat{\theta}_c$, then there exists no positive solution (see Figure 3 for an implicit plot). For example, if $\theta = 0.481$, then the four positive solutions are given approximately by

$$0.2072006567, 0.2260627940, 4.423549680, \text{ and } 4.826239530.$$




FIGURE 3. The implicit plot of (2.8). Left: For $\theta \in (0, \hat{\theta}_c)$, there are two solutions in $(0, 0.2927)$. Right: For the same region of θ there are two fixed points in $(3.41, \infty)$ since both curves towards the right side converge to zero.

Let us provide an analytical proof of this as well. Since (2.8) is symmetric, we divide both sides by x^4 and denote $\eta = x + 1/x$. Then, we arrive at

$$\theta^6((\eta^2 - 2)^2 - 2) + (-\theta^6 + 3\theta^4 - \theta^2)\eta(\eta^2 - 3) + \theta^6(\eta^2 - 2) + (2\theta^6 - 3\theta^2 + 1)\eta - 2\theta^6 + 6\theta^4 - 7\theta^2 + 2 = 0$$

and consequently

$$(2.9) \quad \theta^6\eta^4 + (-\theta^6 + 3\theta^4 - \theta^2)\eta^3 - 3\theta^6\eta^2 + (5\theta^6 - 9\theta^4 + 1)\eta - 2\theta^6 + 6\theta^4 - 7\theta^2 + 2 = 0.$$

Thus, each solution $\eta > 2$ of (2.9) defines two positive solutions to (2.8). Denoting $t = \theta^2$, we write (2.9) as the following cubic equation with respect to t ,

$$(\eta^4 - \eta^3 - 3\eta^2 + 5\eta - 2)t^3 + (3\eta^3 - 9\eta + 6)t^2 + (-\eta^3 - 7)t + \eta + 2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.10) \quad (\eta - 1)^3(\eta + 2)t^3 + 3(\eta - 1)^2(\eta + 2)t^2 - (\eta^3 + 7)t + \eta + 2 = 0.$$

Now, again by the rule of sign changes, for each $\eta > 2$, this equation may have up to two positive solution $t = \theta^2 = t(\eta)$. For $\eta > 2$ let us introduce the new variables

$$(2.11) \quad w = (\eta - 1)t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E = \frac{\eta^3 + 7}{(\eta - 1)(\eta + 2)} =: b(\eta).$$

With this, (2.10) can be expressed as

$$(2.12) \quad w^3 + 3w^2 - Ew + 1 = 0$$

and we can solve the last equation with respect to E , which leads to

$$E = w^2 + 3w + 1/w =: a(w).$$

Note that the function $a(w)$ is monotone decreasing between 0 and $1/2$ (because $a'(w) = 0$ has a unique positive solution $w = 1/2$ and $a(0) = a(+\infty) = \infty$) and increasing when $w > 1/2$. Thus, the minimal value of E is given by $a(1/2) = 15/4$ and hence, for each $E > 15/4$, there are exactly two positive solutions $w_1 = w_1(E)$ and $w_2 = w_2(E)$, with $w_1 < w_2$. If $E = 15/4$, then there exists a unique $w_c = 1/2$. If $E < 15/4$, then there is no solution, see Figure 4. From Figure 4 it is also




FIGURE 4. The graph of the function $E = a(w)$.

easy to see that $w_1(E)$ is a decreasing function with values in $(0, 1/2]$ and $w_2(E)$ is an increasing function with values in $[1/2, \infty)$ with respect to the variable $E > 15/4$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{E \rightarrow \infty} w_1(E) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{E \rightarrow \infty} w_2(E) = \infty,$$

and from the function $b(\eta)$ given in (2.11), for $E = 15/4$, we get that

$$\eta_c = (7 + 3\sqrt{57})/8 \approx 3.707.$$

Note that, for the derivative of $\eta \mapsto b(\eta)$, we have

$$b'(\eta) = \frac{(\eta + 1)^2(\eta^2 - 7)}{(\eta - 1)^2(\eta + 2)^2}.$$

Consequently, $b(\eta)$ is increasing for $\eta > \sqrt{7} \approx 2.65$ with a minimum value $b(\sqrt{7}) = 21/(1 + 2\sqrt{7}) \approx 3.34$. For each $E \geq 15/4$, since $b(\eta)$ is an increasing function, from $E = b(\eta)$ one obtains a unique $\eta \geq \eta_c$. As a consequence, $w_1(b(\eta))$ is a decreasing and $w_2(b(\eta))$ is an increasing functions of $\eta > \eta_c$. Thus, η is a solution to the following two independent equations, obtained from the first formula of (2.11),

$$(2.13) \quad w_1(b(\eta)) = (\eta - 1)\theta^2.$$

$$(2.14) \quad w_2(b(\eta)) = (\eta - 1)\theta^2.$$

Denoting by $\tilde{\theta}$ the positive solution of the equation

$$1/2 = (\eta_c - 1)\tilde{\theta}^2,$$

see (2.11), we have

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3\sqrt{57} - 1}} \approx 0.4298.$$

Then, as can be seen in Figure 5, the following assertions hold.

- i. If $\theta \in (0, \tilde{\theta})$, then (2.13) and (2.14) have a unique solution $\eta > 2$.
- ii. If $\theta \in [\tilde{\theta}, \hat{\theta}_c)$, then (2.13) has no solution, but (2.14) has two solutions greater than 2.
- iii. If $\theta = \hat{\theta}_c$, then (2.13) has no solution, but (2.14) has a unique solution.
- iv. If $\theta > \hat{\theta}_c$, then both equations have no solution.

Consequently, under the above mentioned Conditions i.-ii., (2.9) has two solutions greater than 2, which define four positive solutions for (2.8).

Remark 1. To find the exact critical value $\hat{\theta}_c$ mentioned above, one has to solve the following system of equation with respect to unknowns $\hat{\theta}_c$ and η_1

$$w_2'(b(\eta_1))b'(\eta_1) = \hat{\theta}_c^2 \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(b(\eta_1)) = (\eta_1 - 1)\hat{\theta}_c^2.$$

With respect to Theorem 1, we can summarize our results for $k = 3$ in the following statement.

Theorem 2. For the ∞ -SOS model with $m = 2$ and $k = 3$, there exist critical values $\theta_c \approx 0.206$ (given explicitly by (2.5)) and $\hat{\theta}_c \approx 0.4812$ such that

1. If $\theta > \hat{\theta}_c$, then there is unique translation-invariant SGM.
2. If $\theta = \hat{\theta}_c$, then there are three translation-invariant SGMs.
3. If $\theta \in (\theta_c, \hat{\theta}_c)$, then there are five translation-invariant SGMs.
4. If $\theta = \theta_c$, then there are six translation-invariant SGMs.
5. If $\theta \in (0, \theta_c)$, then there are seven translation-invariant SGMs.




FIGURE 5. Up-left: The graphs of $\eta \mapsto (\eta - 1)\widehat{\theta}_c^2$ (red), $\eta \mapsto w_2(b(\eta))$ (blue) and $\eta \mapsto w_1(b(\eta))$ (black). Up-right: The graphs of $\eta \mapsto (\eta - 1)0.22$ (red), $\eta \mapsto w_2(b(\eta))$ (blue) and $\eta \mapsto w_1(b(\eta))$ (black). Down: The graphs of $\eta \mapsto (\eta - 1)0.15$ (red), $\eta \mapsto w_2(b(\eta))$ (blue) and $w_1(b(\eta))$ (black).

3. THE p -SOS MODEL WITH $p \rightarrow \infty$

In this section we exhibit the functional equations corresponding to the p -SOS model defined by the Hamiltonian (1.5) and give results related to the case when $p \rightarrow \infty$. The limiting equations turn out to be the same equations as the ones for the ∞ -SOS model. Assuming $k = m = 2$, [7] establishes and analyzes the translation-invariant SGMs of the p -SOS model corresponding to the positive solutions of the following system

$$(3.1) \quad x = \frac{x^2 + \theta y^2 + \theta^{2p}}{\theta^{2p} x^2 + \theta y^2 + 1},$$

$$(3.2) \quad y = \frac{\theta x^2 + y^2 + \theta}{\theta^{2p} x^2 + \theta y^2 + 1}.$$

In the following, we establish limits for the obtained solutions when $p \rightarrow \infty$. First, from (3.1) we get $x = 1$ or

$$(3.3) \quad \theta y^2 = (1 - \theta^{2p})x - \theta^{2p}(x^2 + 1).$$

Remark 2. *Since $x > 0$ we have that (3.3) can hold iff $\theta < 1$.*

3.1. Case $0 < \theta < 1$. Let us distinguish two subcases.

3.1.1. Case $x = 1$. Solving by computer the cubic equation

$$(3.4) \quad \theta y^3 - y^2 + (\theta^{2p} + 1)y - 2\theta = 0$$

and taking limits of each solution as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the solutions have the limits $y_i(\theta)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The limiting functions have lengthy formulas, but their graphs can be simply plotted as shown in Figure 6. Moreover, the critical value of θ for existence of more than one solution is obtained from the discriminant of the cubic equation as $p \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$\Delta_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{27\theta^2} (4(1 - 3\theta)^3 - (2 - 9\theta + 54\theta^3)^2) = 0.$$

Hence, by Figure 6 it is clear that there exists a unique $\theta_0 \approx 0.135$ such that $\Delta_0(\theta_0) = 0$.

3.1.2. Case $x \neq 1$. In this case, there are up to four solutions when $p > 0$ is fixed. These solutions are defined by the quantities $\xi_1(\theta, p) < \xi_2(\theta, p)$ given by

$$(3.5) \quad \xi_{1,2}(\theta, p) := \frac{q - 3\theta q^2 + 2(\theta + 1)q + 2(\theta^2 - 1) \mp \theta \sqrt{q(q + 2\theta - 2)[(q - \theta - 1)^2 + (\theta + 1)(3\theta - 1)]}}{2(q - \theta - 1)[\theta q^2 + (\theta^2 - 1)(q + \theta - 1)]},$$

where $q = 1 - \theta^{2p}$, see [7]. Moreover, if

$$(3.6) \quad 2 < \xi_1(\theta, p) \leq \xi_2(\theta, p),$$

one can find all four positive solutions $x_i = x_i(\theta, p)$, $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$ explicitly. In this case, as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we check the Condition (3.6). From (3.5) we get

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{1,2}(\theta, p) = \xi_{1,2}(\theta) := \frac{1}{2\theta^3} \cdot \left(1 - 2\theta \mp \sqrt{(2\theta - 1)(4\theta^2 + 2\theta - 1)} \right)$$

and these numbers exist iff

$$(2\theta - 1)(4\theta^2 + 2\theta - 1) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta \in (0, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4] \cup [1/2, 1).$$

Thus, Condition (3.6) is satisfied iff $\theta \in (0, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4]$ (see Figure 7). Now using (3.7), for $\theta \in (0, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4)$, we obtain $x_i(\theta)$, $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$. Since the last x_i 's exist, we get

$$y_i(\theta) = \sqrt{x_i(\theta)/\theta}, \quad i = 4, 5, 6, 7.$$

3.2. Case $\theta > 1$. In this case, assuming $x = 1$, from (3.4) we get a unique solution for large p , which has a limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$. If $\theta > 1$ and $x \neq 1$ then the statement of Remark 2 is satisfied for any $p > 0$ and therefore there is no solution. We summarize the results of this section in the following statement which essentially says that the number of translation-invariant SGMs remains unchanged in the limiting model as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 1. *For the p -SOS model, as $p \rightarrow \infty$, there exist critical values $\theta_0 \approx 0.135$ and $\theta'_0 = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4 \approx 0.309$ such that*

1. *If $\theta > \theta'_0$, then there is a unique translation-invariant SGM.*
2. *If $\theta = \theta'_0$, then there are three translation-invariant SGMs.*




FIGURE 6. Left: The graphs of the functions $\theta \mapsto y_1(\theta)$ (gray), $\theta \mapsto y_2(\theta)$ (blue) and $\theta \mapsto y_3(\theta)$ (orange). Right: The graph of the function $\theta \mapsto \Delta_0(\theta)$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$.




FIGURE 7. Left: The graphs of the functions $\theta \mapsto \xi_1(\theta)$ (blue) and $\theta \mapsto \xi_2(\theta)$ (black) for $\theta \in (0, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4)$. Right: The same graphs for $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

3. If $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta'_0)$, then there are five translation-invariant SGMs.
4. If $\theta = \theta_0$, then there are six translation-invariant SGMs.
5. If $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$, then there are seven translation-invariant SGMs.

4. CONDITIONS FOR NON-EXTREMALITY OF TRANSLATION-INVARIANT SGMs

It is known that a translation-invariant SGM corresponding to a vector $v = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (which is a solution to (2.2)) is a tree-indexed Markov chain with states $\{0, 1, 2\}$, see [5, Definition 12.2],

and for the transition matrix

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x^k}{x^k + \theta y^k} & \frac{\theta y^k}{x^k + \theta y^k} & 0 \\ \frac{\theta x^k}{\theta x^k + y^k + \theta} & \frac{y^k}{\theta x^k + y^k + \theta} & \frac{\theta}{\theta x^k + y^k + \theta} \\ 0 & \frac{\theta y^k}{\theta y^k + 1} & \frac{1}{\theta y^k + 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for each given solution (x_i, y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, 7$ of (2.2), we need to calculate the eigenvalues of \mathbb{P} . The first eigenvalue is one since we deal with a stochastic matrix, the other two eigenvalues

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda_j(x_i, y_i, \theta, k), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

can be found via symbolic computer analysis, but they have bulky formulas. For example, in the case $x = 1$, for each y the matrix (4.1) has three eigenvalues, 1 and

$$\lambda_1(1, y, \theta, k) = \frac{(1 - 2\theta^2)y^k}{\theta y^{2k} + (2\theta^2 + 1)y^k + 2\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(1, y, \theta, k) = \frac{1}{\theta y^k + 1}.$$

However, we can still deduce the following relation.

Lemma 2. *If $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then, for any solution y of (2.4), we have that*

$$|\lambda_1(1, y, \theta, k)| \leq \lambda_2(1, y, \theta, k).$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_2 > 0$, we have to show that

$$(4.3) \quad -\lambda_2(1, y, \theta, k) \leq \lambda_1(1, y, \theta, k) \leq \lambda_2(1, y, \theta, k).$$

It is easy to see that the inequality on the left is true for θ satisfying $1 - 2\theta^2 \geq 0$. If $1 - 2\theta^2 < 0$ then the inequality on the left is equivalent to

$$\theta(1 - \theta^2)y^{2k} + y^k + \theta \geq 0,$$

which is true for all $\theta < 1$. Next, the inequality on the right of (4.3) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(\theta y^k + 1)^2 \geq 0,$$

which is universally true, concluding the proof. \square

Now, a sufficient condition for non-extremality of a Gibbs measure μ corresponding to \mathbb{P} on a Cayley tree of order $k \geq 1$ is given by the Kesten–Stigum Condition $k\lambda^2 > 1$, where λ is the second-largest (in absolute value) eigenvalue of \mathbb{P} , see [8]. Hence, denoting for $i = 1, \dots, 7$,

$$\eta_i(\theta, k) = k\lambda_2^2(x_i, y_i, \theta, k) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{K}_i = \{(\theta, k) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{N} : \eta_i(\theta, k) > 0\},$$

using Lemma 2, we have the following criterion.

Proposition 2. *Let μ_i denote the translation-invariant SGM associated to the tuple (x_i, y_i, θ, k) . If $(\theta, k) \in \mathbb{K}_i$ then μ_i is non-extremal.*

In order to employ the proposition, for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$, we find representations for \mathbb{K}_i . In case $k = 2$ and $x_i = 1$, we have for $i = 1, 2, 3$ that $\lambda_2(1, y_i, \theta, 2) = 1/(\theta y_i^2 + 1)$ and thus

$$\eta_i(\theta, 2) = \frac{2}{(\theta y_i^2 + 1)^2} - 1.$$

Hence, from Figure 8 it follows that, for μ_1 , the Kesten–Stigum condition is never satisfied, but for μ_2 and μ_3 the condition is always satisfied, i.e., μ_2 and μ_3 are not-extreme.

In case $k = 3$ and $x_i = 1$, we have for $i = 1, 2, 3$, using $\lambda_2(1, y_i, \theta, 3) = 1/(\theta y_i^3 + 1)$, that

$$\eta_i(\theta, 3) = \frac{3}{(\theta y_i^3 + 1)^2} - 1.$$




FIGURE 8. Left: The graph of the function $\eta_1(\theta, 2)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Right: The graphs of the functions $\eta_2(\theta, 2)$ (solid line) and $\eta_3(\theta, 2)$ (dotted line) when $\theta \in (0, 0.14)$.

But, as shown in Section 2.1.2, if $\theta < \theta_c \approx 0.206$, there exist two solutions $y_2, y_3 < 1$. For these solutions we have

$$\eta_i(\theta, 3) = \frac{3}{(\theta y_i^3 + 1)^2} - 1 > \frac{3}{(\theta + 1)^2} - 1.$$

But, since $\theta < \theta_c$, we have that $3/(\theta + 1)^2 - 1 > 0$ and we can formulate the following summarizing result.

Proposition 3. *For $\theta < 1$, $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ the translation-invariant SGMs corresponding to solutions of the form $(1, y)$ with $y < 1$ are not-extreme.*

Let us note that for $k = 3$ and $x_i = 1$ the translation-invariant SGM corresponding to the solution $y_1 > \sqrt[4]{2}$ does not satisfy the Kesten–Stigum condition if $\theta > (\sqrt{3} - 1)/\sqrt[4]{8} \approx 0.435$. Indeed, using $y_1 > \sqrt[4]{2}$ we get

$$\eta_i(\theta, 3) = \frac{3}{(\theta y_1^3 + 1)^2} - 1 < \frac{3}{(\theta \sqrt[4]{8} + 1)^2} - 1 < 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta > \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{\sqrt[4]{8}}.$$

Remark 3. *Let us finally discuss further extremality conditions for translation-invariant SGMs. Various approaches in the literature aim to establish sufficient conditions for extremality, which can be simplified to a finite-dimensional optimization problem based solely on the transition matrix. For instance, the percolation method proposed in [13] and [14], the symmetric-entropy method by [4], or the bound provided in [12] for the Ising model in the presence of an external field. Different techniques are employed also in [1] in order to demonstrate the sharpness of the Kesten–Stigum bound for an Ising channel with minimal asymmetry.*

However, since, in our case, the transition matrix corresponding to a translation-invariant SGM depends on the solutions (x_i, y_i) , which have a very complex form, it appears challenging to apply the aforementioned methods to verify extremality. Furthermore, the difficulty increases when we only have knowledge of the existence of a solution but lack its explicit form. Nonetheless, our results could serve as a basis for numerical investigations of extremality in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

B. Jahnel is supported by the Leibniz Association within the Leibniz Junior Research Group on *Probabilistic Methods for Dynamic Communication Networks* as part of the Leibniz Competition (grant no. J105/2020). U. Rozikov thanks the Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Berlin, Germany for support of his visit. His work was partially supported through a grant from the IMU–CDC and the fundamental project (grant no. F–FA–2021–425) of The Ministry of Innovative Development of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Borgs, J. Chayes, E. Mossel, S. Roch, *The Kesten-Stigum reconstruction bound is tight for roughly symmetric binary channels*, FOCS, 2006, 47th Annual IEEE Conference on Foundations of Computer Science, 518–530. Preprint arXiv:math/0604366v1. [12](#)
- [2] G.R. Brightwell, P. Winkler, *Graph homomorphisms and phase transitions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **77**(2) (1999), 221–262. [2](#)
- [3] L. Coquille, C. Külske, A. Le Ny, *Extremal inhomogeneous Gibbs states for SOS-models and finite-spin models on trees*, J. Stat. Phys. **190** (2023), 71–97. [1](#), [3](#)
- [4] M. Formentin, C. Külske, *A symmetric entropy bound on the non-reconstruction regime of Markov chains on Galton-Watson trees*. Electron. Commun. Probab. **14** (2009), 587–596. [12](#)
- [5] H.-O. Georgii, *Gibbs Measures and Phase Transitions*, Second edition. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 9. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011. [1](#), [3](#), [10](#)
- [6] B. Jahnel, C. Külske, U.A. Rozikov, *Gradient Gibbs measures for the random homomorphism model on Cayley trees*. In preparation. [2](#)
- [7] B. Jahnel, U.A. Rozikov, *Three-state p-SOS models on binary Cayley trees*. arXiv:2402.09839 [4](#), [5](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [8] H. Kesten, B.P. Stigum, *Additional limit theorem for indecomposable multi-dimensional Galton-Watson processes*, Ann. Math. Statist. **37** (1966), 1463–1481. [11](#)
- [9] C. Külske, U.A. Rozikov, *Extremality of translation-invariant phases for a three-state SOS-model on the binary tree*, J. Stat. Phys. **160**(3) (2015), 659–680.
- [10] C. Külske, U.A. Rozikov, *Fuzzy transformations and extremality of Gibbs measures for the Potts model on a Cayley tree*, Random Struct. Algorithms. **50**(4) (2017), 636–678.
- [11] P. Lammers, F. Toninelli: *Height function localisation on trees*, Comb. Probab, **33**(1) (2024), 50–64. [2](#)
- [12] J.B. Martin, *Reconstruction thresholds on regular trees*. Discrete random walks (Paris, 2003), 191–204 (electronic), Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AC, Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2003. [12](#)
- [13] F. Martinelli, A. Sinclair, D. Weitz, *Fast mixing for independent sets, coloring and other models on trees*, Random Struct. Algorithms, **31** (2007), 134–172. [12](#)
- [14] E. Mossel, Y. Peres, *Information flow on trees*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **13**(3) (2003), 817–844. [12](#)
- [15] E. Mossel, *Survey: Information flow on trees*, Graphs, Morphisms and Statistical Physics, 155–170, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 63, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [16] U.A. Rozikov, Y.M. Suhov, *Gibbs measures for SOS model on a Cayley tree*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **9**(3) (2006), 471–488. [3](#)
- [17] U.A. Rozikov, Sh.A. Shoyusupov, *Fertile three state HC models on Cayley tree*, Theor. Math. Phys. **156**(3) (2008), 1319–1330. [3](#)
- [18] U.A. Rozikov, *Gibbs Measures on Cayley Trees*, World Sci. Publ. Singapore. 2013. [1](#), [3](#)
- [19] U.A. Rozikov, *Gibbs Measures in Biology and Physics: The Potts model*, World Sci. Publ. Singapore. 2022. [3](#)

B. JAHNEL, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE STOCHASTIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BRAUNSCHWEIG, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 2, 38106, BRAUNSCHWEIG, GERMANY & WEIERSTRASS INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED ANALYSIS AND STOCHASTICS, MOHRENSTRASSE 39, 10117, BERLIN, GERMANY.

Email address: benedikt.jahnel@tu-braunschweig.de

U. ROZIKOV^{1,2,3},

¹V.I. ROMANOVSKIY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 9, UNIVERSITY STR., TASHKENT, 100174, UZBEKISTAN.

²NEW UZBEKISTAN UNIVERSITY, 1, MOVAROUNNAHR STR. TASHKENT, 100000, UZBEKISTAN.

³NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, 4, UNIVERSITET STR., TASHKENT, 100174, UZBEKISTAN.

Email address: rozikovu@yandex.ru